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## SUMMARY TABLE OF FINDINGS

Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) and Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) Indicators, Selected Districts of West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  |  |  |  | Value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number |  | Kaimana | Manokwari | Sorong |  |
| CHILD MORTALITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child mortality | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ | Under-five mortality rate Infant mortality rate | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { per 1,000 } \\ & \text { per } 1,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| NUTRITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breastfeeding and infant feeding | 2.4 <br> 2.5 <br> 2.6 <br> 2.7 <br> 2.8 <br> 2.9 <br> 2.10 <br> 2.11 <br> 2.13 <br> 2.14 <br> 2.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ | Children ever breastfed <br> Early initiation of breastfeeding <br> Exclusive breastfeeding under <br> 6 months <br> Continued breastfeeding at <br> 1 year <br> Continued breastfeeding at 2 years <br> Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months <br> Duration of breastfeeding <br> Bottle feeding <br> Minimum meal frequency Age-appropriate breastfeeding Milk feeding frequency for non-breastfed children | $\begin{aligned} & 91.3 \\ & 22.7 \\ & (41.3) \\ & (75.0) \\ & (65.5) \\ & (46.1) \\ & \\ & 25.2 \\ & 43.9 \\ & 45.9 \\ & 41.3 \\ & 71.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.9 \\ & 23.2 \\ & (18.6) \\ & \left({ }^{*}\right) \\ & (54.7) \\ & \\ & (30.6) \\ & \\ & 21.6 \\ & 43.6 \\ & 59.3 \\ & 38.9 \\ & (86.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92.8 \\ & 27.2 \\ & (43.9) \\ & (74.2) \\ & (*) \\ & (51.2) \\ & \\ & 22.5 \\ & 41.9 \\ & 62.7 \\ & 46.4 \\ & (93.5) \end{aligned}$ | per cent per cent per cent per cent per cent per cent months per cent per cent per cent per cent |
| Vitamin A | 2.17 |  | Vitamin A supplementation (children under age 5) | 51.1 | 47.1 | 70.4 | per cent |
| Low birth weight | $\begin{aligned} & 2.18 \\ & 2.19 \end{aligned}$ |  | Low-birth weight infants Infants weighed at birth | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & 47.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.3 \\ & 70.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4 \\ & 63.3 \end{aligned}$ | per cent per cent |
| CHILD HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccinations | 3.1 <br> 3.2 <br> 3.3 <br> 3.4 <br> 3.5 | 4.3 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage <br> Polio immunization coverage Immunization coverage for diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) <br> Measles immunization coverage Hepatitis B immunization coverage | $\begin{aligned} & 79.2 \\ & 38.4 \\ & 33.1 \\ & 53.6 \\ & 31.9 \end{aligned}$ | 68.1 <br> 50.8 <br> 38.8 <br> 61.0 <br> 29.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 95.9 \\ & 87.0 \\ & 69.9 \\ & 88.9 \\ & 67.6 \end{aligned}$ | per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> per cent <br> per cent |
| Tetanus toxoid | 3.7 |  | Neonatal tetanus protection | 62.4 | 56.5 | 76.4 | per cent |
| Solid fuel use | 3.11 |  | Solid fuels | 53.0 | 45.9 | 52.0 | per cent |
| Malaria | $\begin{aligned} & 3.12 \\ & 3.14 \\ & 3.15 \end{aligned}$ | 6.7 | Household availability of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) Children under age 5 sleeping under any mosquito net Children under age 5 sleeping under insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) | $\begin{aligned} & 37.2 \\ & 46.6 \\ & 36.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.8 \\ & 40.9 \\ & 25.2 \end{aligned}$ | 44.0 <br> 64.3 <br> 45.6 | per cent per cent per cent |


| Topic |  |  | Indicator | Value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number |  | Kaimana | Manokwari | Sorong |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.16 \\ & 3.17 \\ & \\ & 3.18 \end{aligned}$ | 6.8 | Malaria diagnostics usage Antimalarial treatment of children under 5 the same or next day <br> Antimalarial treatment of children under age 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.3 \\ & 20.0 \\ & \\ & 33.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.4 \\ & 47.2 \\ & 55.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & 12.9 \end{aligned}$ <br> 14.1 | per cent per cent <br> per cent |
| WATER AND SANITATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and sanitation | 4.1 <br> 4.2 <br> 4.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \\ & 7.9 \end{aligned}$ | Use of improved drinking water sources Water treatment Use of improved sanitation | $\begin{aligned} & 66.4 \\ & 75.6 \\ & 43.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.0 \\ & 88.0 \\ & 56.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.9 \\ & \\ & 92.3 \\ & 48.7 \end{aligned}$ | per cent <br> per cent per cent |
| REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contraception | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \\ & 5.2 \\ & 5.3 \end{aligned}$ | 5.4 5.3 | Adolescent birth rate <br> Early childbearing Contraceptive prevalence rate Unmet need | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 15.5 \\ & 30.1 \\ & 14.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 15.2 \\ & 53.9 \\ & 9.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 16.8 \\ & 53.7 \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | per 1,000 per cent per cent per cent |
| Maternal and newborn health | $\begin{aligned} & 5.5 \mathrm{a} \\ & 5.5 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \\ & 5.6 \\ & 5.7 \\ & 5.8 \end{aligned}$ | 5.5 5.2 | Antenatal care coverage: <br> - At least once by skilled personnel <br> - At least four times by any provider <br> Content of antenatal care Skilled attendant at delivery Institutional deliveries | $\begin{aligned} & 83.7 \\ & 53.7 \\ & 29.6 \\ & 57.7 \\ & 33.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.8 \\ & 66.5 \\ & 19.4 \\ & 75.5 \\ & 54.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91.2 \\ & 72.5 \\ & 16.6 \\ & 75.3 \\ & 21.8 \end{aligned}$ | per cent <br> per cent <br> per cent per cent per cent |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy and education | 7.1 <br> 7.2 <br> 7.3 <br> 7.4 <br> 7.5 <br> 7.6 <br> 7.7 <br> 7.8 <br> 7.9 <br> 7.10 | 2.3 2.1 2.2 | Literacy rate among young people: <br> - women age 15-24 years <br> - men age 15-24 years <br> School readiness <br> Net intake rate in primary education <br> Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) <br> Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) <br> Children reaching last grade of primary <br> Primary completion rate Transition rate to secondary school <br> Gender parity index (primary school) <br> Gender parity index (secondary school) | 73.7 <br> 73.1 <br> 27.8 <br> 77.0 <br> 93.6 <br> 48.0 <br> 96.3 <br> 88.8 <br> (80.7) <br> 1.02 <br> 1.32 | 87.5 <br> 89.2 <br> 42.2 <br> 76.6 <br> 94.0 <br> 77.9 <br> 98.8 <br> 114.4 <br> 95.3 <br> 1.01 <br> 0.98 | $\begin{array}{r} 95.2 \\ 89.7 \\ 40.1 \\ 69.6 \\ 95.8 \\ 77.1 \\ 96.3 \\ \\ 112.6 \\ 100.0 \\ 1.01 \\ 1.07 \end{array}$ | per cent per cent per cent per cent <br> per cent <br> per cent <br> per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> ratio <br> ratio |
| CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth registration |  |  | Birth registration | 46.2 | 50.1 | 51.2 | per cent |
| Child labour (age 5-14) ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \\ & 8.3 \end{aligned}$ |  | Child labour <br> School attendance among child labourers | $\begin{aligned} & 26.9 \\ & 89.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.1 \\ & 88.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.0 \\ & 92.4 \end{aligned}$ | per cent per cent |

[^0]| Topic | MICS4 <br> Indicator Number | MDGs <br> Indicator <br> Number | Indicator | Value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Kaimana | Manokwari | Sorong |  |
|  | 8.4 |  | Child labour among students | 29.0 | 23.1 | 23.8 | per cent |
| Child discipline | 8.5 |  | Violent discipline | 86.4 | 83.7 | 89.9 | per cent |
| Early marriage | 8.6 <br> 8.7 <br> 8.8 <br> 8.10b |  | Marriage before age 15: <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age $15-49$ years <br> Marriage before age 18: <br> - women age 20-49 years <br> - men age 20-49 years <br> Young women age 15-19 years currently married or in union <br> Young men age $15-19$ years currently married or in union Spousal age difference women age 20-24 years | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 1.5 \\ \\ 21.5 \\ 4.5 \\ 13.0 \\ \\ 0.6 \\ \\ 5.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.6 \\ 2.8 \\ \\ 30.1 \\ 8.0 \\ 21.7 \\ \\ 6.6 \\ \\ 9.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1 \\ 0.9 \\ \\ 34.7 \\ 5.1 \\ 15.4 \\ \\ 1.2 \\ \\ 19.2 \end{array}$ | per cent per cent per cent per cent per cent <br> per cent <br> per cent |
| Domestic violence | 8.14 |  | Attitudes towards domestic violence <br> - women age $15-49$ years <br> - men age 15-49 years | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0 \\ & 48.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.7 \\ & 28.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.6 \\ & 20.1 \end{aligned}$ | per cent per cent |
| HIV/AIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR, AND ORPHANED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV/AIDS knowledge and attitudes | 9.1 <br> 9.2 <br> 9.3 <br> 9.4 <br> 9.5 <br> 9.6 <br> 9.7 | 6.3 | Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention: <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age 15-49 years <br> Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people: <br> - women age 15-24 years <br> - men age 15-24 years <br> Knowledge of mother-to-child <br> transmission of HIV <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age 15-49 years <br> Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV: <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age 15-49 years <br> Know a place to get tested for HIV: <br> - women age 15-49 years: <br> - men age 15-49 years <br> Have been tested and have been told result: <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age 15-49 years <br> Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the result: <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age 15-49 years | 17.9 <br> 12.1 <br> 16.3 <br> 8.7 <br> 50.5 <br> 65.8 <br> 6.2 <br> 6.8 <br> 22.6 <br> 21.2 <br> 0.6 <br> 2.0 <br> 0.0 1.1 | 27.1 <br> 29.7 <br> 61.7 <br> 57.8 <br> 13.4 <br> 14.6 <br> 31.1 <br> 36.4 <br> 0.8 $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | 22.7 <br> 25.1 <br> 36.8 <br> 27.7 <br> 59.5 <br> 67.2 <br> 21.1 <br> 17.0 <br> 19.5 <br> 20.1 <br> 0.8 <br> 0.8 <br> 1.2 <br> (2.2) | per cent per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> per cent <br> per cent |
| Sexual behaviour | 9.10 |  | Young women who have never had sex Young men who have never had sex | $\begin{aligned} & 91.3 \\ & 73.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.3 \\ & 79.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.2 \\ & 92.3 \end{aligned}$ | per cent <br> per cent |


| Topic |  |  | Indicator | Value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number |  | Kaimana | Manokwari | Sorong |  |
|  | 9.11 <br> 9.12 <br> 9.13 <br> 9.14 <br> 9.15 |  | Sex before age 15 among young people: <br> - women age 15-24 years <br> - men age 15-24 years <br> Age-mixing among sexual partners: <br> - women age 15-24 years <br> - men age 15-24 years <br> Sex with multiple partners: <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age 15-49 years <br> Condom use during sex with multiple partners <br> - men age 15-49 years <br> Sex with non-regular partners: <br> - women age 15-24 years <br> - men age 15-24 years | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ 3.7 \\ \\ 6.3 \\ 5.5 \\ 0.4 \\ 6.4 \\ \\ 20.1 \\ 3.6 \\ 58.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ 4.6 \\ \\ 15.0 \\ 1.5 \\ \\ 0.2 \\ 4.5 \\ \\ 27.9 \\ \\ 4.0 \\ 38.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ 1.1 \\ \\ 26.4 \\ (0.0) \\ \\ 0.1 \\ 1.9 \\ \\ \left({ }^{*}\right) \\ \\ 4.2 \\ (48.9) \end{gathered}$ | per cent per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> per cent per cent <br> per cent <br> per cent per cent |
| Orphaned children | $\begin{aligned} & 9.17 \\ & 9.18 \end{aligned}$ |  | Children's living arrangements Prevalence of children with one or both parents dead | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \\ & 7.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.6 \\ & 7.3 \end{aligned}$ | $6.6$ $5.5$ | per cent per cent |
| Male circumcision | 9.21 |  | Male circumcision | 41.4 | 47.3 | 68.4 | per cent |
| ACOHOL USE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alcohol use | TA. 3 <br> TA. 4 |  | Alcohol use: <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age 15-49 years <br> Use of alcohol: before age 15 <br> - women age 15-49 years <br> - men age 15-49 years | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \\ 21.5 \\ \\ 0.5 \\ 5.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \\ 19.4 \\ \\ 1.9 \\ 9.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \\ 10.1 \\ \\ 0.0 \\ 3.8 \end{array}$ | per cent per cent <br> per cent per cent |
| (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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# SIX SELECTED MICS DISTRICTS <br> IN PAPUA AND WEST PAPUA PROVINCES 



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Selected Districts of West Papua Province Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) is a sample survey of households, women, men and children covering the districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong. The survey of 3,000 selected households was conducted in 2011 and was part of the fourth round of the Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys Programme of UNICEF.

## CHILD MORTALITY

The infant mortality rates are estimated at 50, 60 and 42 per thousand in the districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong respectively. The probabilities of dying under age 5 (U5MR) are 65, 81 and 54 per thousand in the districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong respectively.

## NUTRITION

Breastfeeding. Women in Kaimana were the least likely to start breastfeeding within one day (45 per cent) compared with women in Manokwari (69 per cent) and Sorong (51 per cent) (Figure NU.1). Breastfeeding within one hour was higher in Sorong District (27 per cent) than in Kaimana and Manokwari (23 per cent for each).

Exclusive and predominant breast feeding is higher in Sorong District (44 and 51 per cent respectively) compared with the other two districts (Kaimana: 41 and 46 respectively; Manokwari: 19 and 31 respectively).

Appropriate feeding among children aged 6-23 months is highest in Sorong District (47 per cent) compared with Manokwari (39 per cent) and Kaimana (41 per cent) districts.

There are more children age 6-23 months in Sorong (52 per cent) and Manokwari (45 per cent) districts were receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods the minimum number of times compared with those in Kaimana (46 per cent).

About 44 per cent of children under 6 months are fed using a bottle with a nipple each in Kaimana and Manokwari districts compared with 42 per cent in Sorong District.

Vitamin A supplements. Vitamin A supplementation coverage, within the six months prior to the survey, was considerable lower in Manokwari District ( 47 per cent) and Kaimana District (51 per cent) compared with Sorong District (71 per cent).

Low birth weight. The lowest estimated percentage of infants weighing less than 2,500 grams at birth was in Kaimana ( 12 per cent) compared with 14 per cent in Sorong and 15 per cent in Manokwari districts.

## CHILD HEALTH

Immunization. Manokwari District tended to have low coverage for most of the vaccinations with full vaccination coverage at any time up to the date of the survey being 20 per cent. Levels of full vaccination coverage in Kaimana and Sorong districts were 22 and 46 per cent respectively.

Tetanus toxoid. Tetanus toxoid coverage among women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years is lowest in Manokwari District (Kaimana, 62 per cent; Manokwari, 57 per cent; Sorong, 76 per cent).

Solid fuel use. Use of solid fuels generally does not vary much among districts (Kaimana, 53 per cent; Manokwari, 46 per cent; Sorong, 52 per cent). Almost all solid fuel use in each district is from wood.

Malaria. Differentials exist in the households availability of ITNs among districts where the availability is lowest in Manokwari District ( 32 per cent) and highest in Sorong District (44 per cent). The percentage of this indicator is 37 per cent in Kaimana District.

Compared with other districts the percentages of children under the age of five who slept under any mosquito net or an insecticide-treated net are lower in Manokwari District (41 and 25 per cent respectively). These percentages are 47 per cent and 36 per cent for Kaimana and 64 per cent and 46 per cent for Sorong District.

Compared with Manokwari District, Kaimana and Sorong districts were lacking antimalarial treatment. The percentages of children receiving any anti-malarial drug on the same or next day in Kaimana and Sorong ( 20 and 13 per cent respectively) were about half that observed in Manokwari District (47 per cent).

The proportion of children age 0-59 months who had a fever in the last two weeks and who had a finger or heel stick for malaria testing was lowest in Sorong District ( 15 per cent) compared with 40 per cent in Kaimana and 48 per cent in Manokwari District.

## WATER AND SANITATION

Water. The situation in Sorong District is better than in other districts; 80 per cent of the population in this district gets its drinking water from an improved source, mostly from rainwater collection (48 per cent) and bottled water (18 per cent). The percentage of population getting its drinking water from improved sources in Manokwari and Kaimana districts are 69 and 66 per cent respectively. Although Kaimana District shows the lowest percentage of people using an improved source of drinking water, the district has the highest percentage of households where people drink water that is piped into their dwelling or into their yard or plot ( 20 per cent). These percentages are nine and two per cent for Manokwari and Sorong respectively. In Manokwari District, the most common improved sources of drinking water are bottled water (19 per cent), tube well or borehole (14 per cent) and protected well (13 per cent).

Household members in Kaimana District show 76 per cent use of appropriate water treatment methods while this percentage is 88 and 92 per cent in Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively.

Time and person to obtain water. Most of the households in Sorong have an improved drinking water source on the premises ( 75 per cent). This is higher than households in Kaimana and Manokwari ( 57 per cent each). For household users of unimproved drinking water sources it takes more than 30 minutes to get to the water source and bring water for six per cent of households in Kaimana District. Lower percentages in this indicator were observed in Manokwari (1 per cent) and Sorong (3 per cent) districts.

More adult women in Manokwari (60 per cent) and Sorong (56 per cent) districts collect water than adult men and children. In Kaimana District slightly more men ( 52 per cent) than adult women (44 per cent) collect water. Collection of water by children is not common.

Sanitation. About one-fourth of the population Kaimana District has no facility or uses bushes or fields ( 25 per cent). No facility or use of bushes or fields is much less common in Manokwari ( 13 per cent) and Sorong ( 5 per cent). About 68, 73 and 69 per cent of the population in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively use facilities that flush to a septic tank or pit (latrines).

About 56 per cent of the household population in Manokwari District is using an improved sanitation facility which is not shared; higher than in Sorong District (49 per cent) and higher than in Kaimana (44 per cent).

## REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

Fertility. TFR is highest in Kaimana District ( 3.2 children per woman) and lowest in Sorong District ( 2.8 children per woman). TFR in Manokwari District is 3.1 children per woman.

The adolescent birth rate is higher in Kaimana District ( 66 births per 1,000 women) than in Sorong (53 births per 1,000 women) and Manokwari (44 births per 1,000 women).

Early childbearing. The percentage of women aged 20-24 years who gave birth before age 18 did not vary much among districts (Kaimana, 16 per cent; Manokwari, 15 per cent; Sorong, 17 per cent).

Contraception. The lowest current usage was seen in Kaimana District ( 30 per cent), (mostly modern methods) compared with 54 per cent each in Manokwari and Sorong districts, where women are also mostly using modern methods.

The most popular methods in Kaimana District are IUD (18 per cent) and implants ( 9 per cent). The most popular methods in Manokwari are IUD ( 29 per cent) and implants (11 per cent). The most popular methods in Sorong are IUD (31 per cent) and implants (14 per cent).

Antenatal care. Coverage of antenatal care (by a doctor, nurse or midwife) is higher in Sorong District ( 91 per cent) than Manokwari District ( 86 per cent) and Kaimana District ( 84 per cent). Within Kaimana and Sorong districts, antenatal care is provided mostly by midwives, while in Manokwari antenatal care is provided mostly by doctors.

The percentage of mothers who received antenatal care at least four times was 54, 67 and 73 per cent in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively.

Women living in Manokwari (19 per cent) and Sorong (17 per cent) districts were less likely to have all three tests made than those living in Kaimana District ( 30 per cent). These tests are: taking blood sample, checking blood pressure and taking urine specimen.

Assistance at delivery. The percentages of babies who were delivered by skilled personnel were 58, 76 and 75 per cent in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively. These deliveries were mostly assisted by midwives.

Delivery in a health facility. The percentages of babies delivered in a health facility were 33, 54 and 22 per cent in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively.

## LITERACY AND EDUCATION

Literacy among young women and men. The lowest literacy rate among women was found in Kaimana District ( 74 per cent), compared with 88 per cent in Manokwari and 95 per cent in Sorong districts. For men, literacy rates among the three were similar to those among women, except that in Sorong District ( 89 per cent) slightly fewer men are literate than women ( 95 per cent).

School readiness. About 42 per cent of children in Manokwari who are currently attending the first grade of primary school were attending pre-school the previous year. This compares with 40 per cent in Sorong and 28 per cent in Kaimana.

Net intake rate in primary education. Of children who are of primary school entry age (age 7), 77 per cent are attending the first grade of primary school in Kaimana and Manokwari districts. This indicator is 70 per cent in Sorong District.

Net primary school attendance rate. The majority of children of primary school age in Kaimana (94 per cent), Manokwari (94 per cent) and Sorong (96 per cent) are attending primary school or secondary school.

Net secondary school attendance rate. The survey ranks the secondary school net attendance ratio in Kaimana as the lowest and shows a striking 48 per cent of children of secondary school age who are out of school. 24 per cent are still in primary school, while 28 per cent are out of school. Net secondary school attendance rates are 77 and 78 per cent in Sorong and Manokwari districts respectively.

Survival rate to grade five. Of all children starting grade one, the majority of them in each of the three districts will eventually reach grade five.

Primary completion rate. Primary completion rate was lowest in Kaimana (88 per cent) with the highest rates in Manokwari (114 per cent). The primary completion rate in Sorong is 113 per cent.

Transition rate to secondary school. High percentages of children that completed successfully the last grade of primary school were found at the moment the survey to be attending the first grade of secondary school (Kaimana, 81 per cent; Manokwari, 95 per cent; Sorong, 100 per cent).

Gender parity index. The gender parity for primary school is $1.02,1.01$ and 1.01 in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively, i.e. girls and boys similarly attend primary school. The gender parity for secondary school is $1.34,0.99$ and 1.05 in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively. This shows that far more girls in Kaimana attend secondary school.

## CHILD PROTECTION

Birth registration. Birth registration is generally slightly lower in Kaimana District (46 per cent) compared with Manokwari (51 per cent) and Sorong (50 per cent) districts.

Child labour. Child labour is 24, 22 and 22 per cent in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively.

Child discipline. High percentages of children age 2-14 years were subjected to at least one form of psychological or physical punishment by their mothers/caretakers or other household members in each of the three districts (Kaimana, 86 per cent; Manokwari, 84 per cent; Sorong, 90 per cent). More importantly, 23 per cent of children were subjected to severe physical punishment (Kaimana, 31 per cent; Manokwari, 23 per cent; Sorong, 18 per cent).

Early marriage. The percentage of women age 15-19 years who are currently married or in union is higher in Manokwari ( 22 per cent) and lower in Kaimana District (13 per cent). In Sorong District, 15 per cent of women 15-19 are currently married or in union.

The percentage of women aged 20-49 years married before age 18 was higher in Sorong District (35 per cent) than Kaimana District (22 per cent) and Manokwari District (30 per cent).

Among men, marriage/union before age 18 is not common, but it is higher in Manokwari District (8 per cent) than in Kaimana and Sorong districts (5 per cent each).

About 19 per cent of women age 20-24 in Sorong District are currently married to a man who is older by ten years or more. This compares with much lower percentages in Manokwari (10 per cent) and Kaimana districts (5 per cent).

Domestic Violence. Differences in the percentage of women who believe that a husband is justified to beat his wife were clear among districts. 41 per cent of women in Manokwari District accept this type of violence. This percentage is reduced in Sorong and Kaimana districts to 33 and 36 per cent respectively. Domestic violence is lower among men in Manokwari ( 28 per cent) than among women ( 41 per cent) and higher among men in Kaimana ( 48 per cent) than among women ( 36 per cent). In Sorong, more women justify domestic violence ( 33 per cent) than men ( 20 per cent).

## HIV/AIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR AND ORPHANS

Knowledge of HIV transmission. Lower percentages of the interviewed women have heard of AIDS in Kaimana District than in the other two districts (Kaimana, 64 per cent; Manokwari, 84 per cent; Sorong, 72 per cent).

Comprehensive knowledge (knowing 2 ways of preventing HIV transmission and rejecting three common misconceptions) of HIV prevention methods and transmission among women age 15-49 is much lower in Kaimana District ( 18 per cent) than in Manokwari (25 per cent) and Sorong ( 23 per cent).

Comprehensive knowledge among men age 15-49 is lower in Kaimana District ( 12 per cent) compared than in Manokwari (26 per cent) and Sorong (25 per cent) districts.

Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV. Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission among women was highest in Manokwari (62 per cent) and lowest in Kaimana (51 per cent). This indicator was 60 per cent in Sorong District. Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission from mother to child was generally higher among men than women.

Attitudes toward people living with HIV. The percentage of women agreeing to all accepting attitudes is highest in Sorong District ( 21 per cent) compared with Manokwari District (13 per cent) and Kaimana District ( 6 per cent). Accepting attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS were generally similar among men.

Knowledge of where to be tested for HIV. Very small numbers of women age 15-49 have been tested and told their result in Kaimana ( 0.6 per cent), Manokwari and Sorong ( 0.8 per cent each). The percentages of men who have been tested and told their result were slightly higher (Kaimana, 2 per cent; Manokwari, 3 per cent; Sorong, 1 per cent).

Very small numbers of young women, were tested in the last 12 months and have been told their result. Among young men, a higher percentage of men have been tested in the last 12 months and told their result in Manokwari District ( 6 per cent) than in the other two districts.

Sexual Behaviour Related to HIV Transmission. About seven per cent of never-married women age 15-24 years in Manokwari District had sex before age 15. This compares with to lower percentages in Kaimana ( 4 per cent) and Sorong districts ( 3 per cent). About five per cent of men in Manokwari District had sex before age 15. This compares to with lower percentages in Kaimana ( 4 per cent) and Sorong districts ( 1 per cent).

Sex with multiple partners. Sex with multiple partners is higher among men than among women in the same age category. A Negligible number of women 15-49 in each of the three districts reported having sex with more than one partner in last 12 months. Six, five and two per cent of men 15-49 in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong respectively reported having sex with more than one partner in last 12 months. Results among men age 15-24 years men were higher than those among men 15-49 years.

Sex with non-regular partners. Sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months among women 15-24 is similar among the three districts (4 per cent each). This indicator is considerably higher among men than among women where 59 per cent of young men age 15-24 years in Kaimana had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months, compared with 38 per cent in Manokwari District and 49 per cent in Sorong District.

Orphaned Children. ${ }^{2}$ Higher percentages of orphans were found in Kaimana (8 per cent) and Manokwari ( 7 per cent) districts than in Sorong District ( 6 per cent).

Male circumcision. Circumcision is more prevalent in Sorong District (68 per cent) than in Manokwari (47 per cent) and Kaimana districts (41 per cent). In each district, most circumcision was performed at home by a health worker/professional.

Alcohol use. About two per cent of women age 15-49 years in the district of Manokwari had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month. This is compared with less than one per cent each in the districts of Kaimana ( 0.5 per cent) and Sorong ( 0.2 per cent). Alcohol use is considerably higher among men in the same age group with about one fifth of men age 15-49 years in Kaimana District ( 22 per cent) reporting having at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month. This compares with percentages of 19 and 10 in Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively.
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### 1.1. BACKGROUND

This report is based on the Selected Districts of West Papua Province Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey, conducted in 2011 by the BPS. The survey provides valuable information on the situation of children and women in three selected districts of West Papua Province: Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, and was based, in large part, on the need to furnish up-to-date information on the situation of children and women in the selected districts of West Papua province to inform planning. Indonesia as a whole shows good performance on most social indicators, however there are disparities within provinces.

This survey forms part of the fourth round of the global MICS surveys initiated in 1995 to monitor the progress towards goals and targets emanating from recent international agreements: the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all 191 United Nations Member States in September 2000, and the Plan of Action of A World Fit For Children, adopted by 189 Member States at the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002. Both of these commitments build upon promises made by the international community at the 1990 World Summit for Children.

In signing these international agreements, governments committed themselves to improving conditions for their children and to monitoring progress towards that end. UNICEF was assigned a supporting role in this task (see table below).

## A Commitment to Action: National and International Reporting Responsibilities

The governments that signed the Millennium Declaration and the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action also committed themselves to monitoring progress towards the goals and objectives they contained:
"We will monitor regularly at the national level and, where appropriate, at the regional level and assess progress towards the goals and targets of the present Plan of Action at the national, regional and global levels. Accordingly, we will strengthen our national statistical capacity to collect, analyse and disaggregate data, including by sex, age and other relevant factors that may lead to disparities, and support a wide range of child-focused research. We will enhance international cooperation to support statistical capacity-building efforts and build community capacity for monitoring, assessment and planning." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 60)
"...We will conduct periodic reviews at the national and subnational levels of progress in order to address obstacles more effectively and accelerate actions...."
(A World Fit for Children, paragraph 61)

The Plan of Action (paragraph 61) also calls for the specific involvement of UNICEF in the preparation of periodic progress reports:
"... As the world's lead agency for children, the United Nations Children's Fund is requested to continue to prepare and disseminate, in close collaboration with Governments, relevant funds, programmes and the specialized agencies of the United Nations system, and all other relevant actors, as appropriate, information on the progress made in the implementation of the Declaration and the Plan of Action."

Similarly, the Millennium Declaration (paragraph 31) calls for periodic reporting on progress:
"...We request the General Assembly to review on a regular basis the progress made in implementing the provisions of this Declaration, and ask the SecretaryGeneral to issue periodic reports for consideration by the General Assembly and as a basis for further action."

This final report presents the results of the indicators and topics covered in the survey.

### 1.2. SURVEY OBJECTIVES

The 2011 Selected Districts of West Papua Province Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey has as its primary objectives:

- To provide up-to-date information for assessing the situation of children and women in three selected districts of West Papua Province;
- To furnish data needed for monitoring progress toward district and provincial development plan targets, as a basis for future action;
- To contribute to the improvement of data and monitoring systems in Indonesia and to strengthen technical expertise in the design, implementation, and analysis of such systems;
- To generate data on the situation of children and women, including the identification of vulnerable groups and of disparities, to inform policies and interventions.


### 1.3 LIMITATIONS OF THE SURVEY

Papua and West Papua are Indonesia's two eastern most provinces. Together the two pronvinces have an estimated population of about 3.6 million (Census 2010).
Much of the provinces' land is covered by forest. As such, travel to and around Papua and West Papua is a challenge. The main cities are not connected by road. Expensive boat or plane charter is the only option in many instances. As a result all survey costs are extremely high and data collection requires special effort. For this reason, the MICS in
selected districts of Papua and West Papua was not representative of the two provinces as that would have required much higher budget availability.

The coastal areas of these provinces have been influenced by outside culture, but the tribal groups in the interior have by and large preserved traditional cultures and have limited contact with the outside world. Therefore, accurate concepts regarding age and other such information can be challenging to collect. During supervision visits by UNICEF and Statistics Indonesia (BPS), it was observed that elders and mothers could not provide accurate information about their ages and their children's ages despite probing by the interviewers and use of local calendars.

## SAMPLE AND SURVEY METHODOLOGY

### 2.1. SAMPLE DESIGN

The sample for the 2011 Selected Districts of West Papua Province Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) was designed to provide estimates for a large number of indicators on the situation of children and women at the district level. The three districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong were included in this survey. The sample was selected in two stages. Within each district, a specified number of census enumeration areas were selected systematically with probability proportional to size. After a household listing was carried out within the selected enumeration areas, a systematic sample of 25 households was drawn in each sample enumeration area. The sample is not self-weighting and sample weights are used. A more detailed description of the sample design can be found in Appendix A.

### 2.2. QUESTIONNAIRES

Four sets of questionnaires were used in the survey: 1) a household questionnaire which was used to collect information on all de jure household members (usual residents), the household, and the dwelling; 2) a women's questionnaire administered in each household to all women aged 15-49 years; 3) a men's questionnaire administered in each household to all men aged 15-49 years; and 4) an under-5 questionnaire, administered to mothers or caretakers for all children under 5 living in the household. The questionnaires included the following modules:

The Household Questionnaire included the following modules:

- Household Listing Form
- Education
- Water and Sanitation
- Household Characteristics
- Insecticide-treated Nets
- Child Labour
- Child Discipline

The Questionnaire for Individual Women was administered to all women aged 15-49 years living in the households, and included the following modules:

- Women's Background
- Child Mortality
- Desire for Last Birth
- Maternal and Newborn Health
- Contraception
- Unmet Need
- Attitudes Towards Domestic Violence
- Marriage/Union
- Sexual Behaviour
- HIV/AIDS
- Alcohol Use

The Questionnaire for Individual Men was administered to all men aged 15-49 years living in the households, and included the following modules:

- Men's Background
- Attitudes Towards Domestic Violence
- Marriage/Union
- Sexual Behaviour
- HIV/AIDS
- Circumcision
- Alcohol Use

The Questionnaire for Children Under-Five was administered to mothers or caretakers of children under 5 years of age ${ }^{3}$ living in the households. Normally, the questionnaire was administered to mothers of under- 5 children; in cases when the mother was not listed in the household roster, a primary caretaker for the child was identified and interviewed. The questionnaire included the following modules:

- Age
- Birth Registration
- Breastfeeding
- Malaria
- Immunization

The questionnaires are based on the MICS4 model questionnaire. ${ }^{4}$ From the MICS4 model English version, the questionnaires were translated into Bahasa Indonesia and were pre-tested in Kemtuk Village in Jayapura District and Bagai Village in Keerom District in Papua Province during 18-22 July 2011. Based on the results of the pre-test, modifications were made to the wording and translation of the questionnaires. A copy of the Selected Districts of West Papua Province MICS questionnaires is provided in Appendix F.

### 2.3. TRAINING AND FIELDWORK

Training of trainers for the field was conduct for 12 days during 4-15 July 2011 in Bogor. Enumerators training was conducted in Jayapura for 15 days during 19 September - 3 October 2011. Training included lectures on interviewing techniques and the contents of the questionnaires, and mock interviews between trainees to gain practice in asking questions. Towards the end of the training period, trainees spent one and a half days in practice interviewing in Manokwari District.

The data were collected by four teams; each was comprised of four interviewers, one editor, and a supervisor. Fieldwork began in 5 October 2011 and concluded in 5 December 2011.
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### 2.4. DATA PROCESSING

Data was entered using the CSPro software. The data was entered on 12 microcomputers and carried out by 12 data entry operators, under the supervision of one secondary editor and one data entry supervisor. In order to ensure quality control, all questionnaires were double-entered and internal consistency checks were performed. Procedures and standard programs developed under the global MICS4 programme and adapted to the Selected Districts of West Papua Province MICS questionnaire were used throughout. Data processing began simultaneously with data collection in 8 October 2011 and was completed in 31 December 2011. Data was analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software programme, Version 18, and the model syntax and tabulation plans developed by UNICEF were used for this purpose. <br> \title{
SAMPLE COVERAGE AND THE CHARACTERISTICS <br> \title{
SAMPLE COVERAGE AND THE CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS
} OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS
}

### 3.1. SAMPLE COVERAGE

Of the 2,913 households selected for the three districts sample, 2,843 were found to be occupied. Of these, 2,816 were successfully interviewed for a household response rate of 99.1 per cent. In the interviewed households, 2,853 women (age 15-49 years) were identified. Of these, 2,715 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 95.2 per cent within interviewed households. In addition, 2,917 men (age 15-49 years) were listed in the household questionnaire. Questionnaires were completed for 2,736 of eligible men, a response rate of 93.8 per cent within interviewed households. There were 1,394 children under age five listed in the household questionnaire. Questionnaires were completed for 1,354 of these children, a response rate of 97.1 per cent within interviewed households. Overall response rates of 94.3, 92.9 and 96.2 are calculated for the women's, men's and under-5's interviews respectively (Table HH.1).

The household response rate was similar among the three districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, whereas the women, men and children response rates were generally lower in the district of Manokwari.

Table HH.1: Results of household, women's, men's and under-5 interviews
Number of households, women, men and children under 5 by results of the household, women's and under5's interviews, and household, women's and under-5's response rates, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Area |  | District |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Kaimana | Manokwari | Sorong | Total |
| Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampled | 873 | 2,040 | 990 | 923 | 1,000 | 2,913 |
| Occupied | 851 | 1,992 | 961 | 896 | 986 | 2,843 |
| Interviewed | 840 | 1,976 | 943 | 889 | 984 | 2,816 |
| Household response rate | 98.7 | 99.2 | 98.1 | 99.2 | 99.8 | 99.1 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 936 | 1,917 | 959 | 946 | 948 | 2,853 |
| Interviewed | 891 | 1,824 | 922 | 868 | 925 | 2,715 |
| Women's response rate | 95.2 | 95.1 | 96.1 | 91.8 | 97.6 | 95.2 |
| Women's overall response rate | 94.0 | 94.4 | 94.3 | 91.0 | 97.4 | 94.3 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men Eligible | 952 | 1,965 | 999 | 961 | 957 | 2,917 |
| Men Interviewed | 877 | 1,859 | 955 | 876 | 905 | 2,736 |
| Men's response rate | 92.1 | 94.6 | 95.6 | 91.2 | 94.6 | 93.8 |
| Men's overall response rate | 90.9 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 90.4 | 94.4 | 92.9 |
| Children under 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 394 | 1,000 | 533 | 418 | 443 | 1,394 |
| Mothers/caretakers interviewed | 383 | 971 | 527 | 391 | 436 | 1,354 |
| Under-5's response rate | 97.2 | 97.1 | 98.9 | 93.5 | 98.4 | 97.1 |
| Under-5's overall response rate | 96.0 | 96.3 | 97.0 | 92.8 | 98.2 | 96.2 |

### 3.2. CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS

The age and sex distribution of the three districts survey population is provided in Table HH.2. In the 2,816 households successfully interviewed in the survey, 11,667 household members were listed. Of these, 5,990 were males and 5,659 were females.

The age structure of the selected three districts of West Papua is experiencing substantial growth, with a larger proportion of its population in the younger age groups than in the older age groups. About 37 per cent of the population is under the age of 15 years. About 43 per cent of the population in the three districts is comprised of children $0-17$ years.

Table HH.2: Household age distribution by sex
Per cent and frequency distribution of the household population by five-year age groups, dependency age groups, and by child (age 0-17 years) and adult populations (age 18 or more), by sex, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 676 | 11.3 | 658 | 11.6 | 8 | 44.6 | 1,342 | 11.5 |
| 5-9 | 779 | 13.0 | 758 | 13.4 | 5 | 27.0 | 1,542 | 13.2 |
| 10-14 | 777 | 13.0 | 676 | 11.9 | 3 | 17.5 | 1,456 | 12.5 |
| 15-19 | 516 | 8.6 | 491 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,007 | 8.6 |
| 20-24 | 352 | 5.9 | 418 | 7.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 769 | 6.6 |
| 25-29 | 436 | 7.3 | 490 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 926 | 7.9 |
| 30-34 | 508 | 8.5 | 473 | 8.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 982 | 8.4 |
| 35-39 | 448 | 7.5 | 427 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 875 | 7.5 |
| 40-44 | 413 | 6.9 | 334 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 747 | 6.4 |
| 45-49 | 316 | 5.3 | 279 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 595 | 5.1 |
| 50-54 | 275 | 4.6 | 245 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 521 | 4.5 |
| 55-59 | 190 | 3.2 | 156 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 346 | 3.0 |
| 60-64 | 124 | 2.1 | 110 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 233 | 2.0 |
| 65-69 | 75 | 1.3 | 63 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 138 | 1.2 |
| 70-74 | 48 | 0.8 | 46 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 93 | 0.8 |
| 75-79 | 29 | 0.5 | 23 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 52 | 0.4 |
| 80-84 | 24 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.1 | 2 | 10.9 | 33 | 0.3 |
| 85+ | 6 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.1 |
| Dependency age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 2,231 | 37.3 | 2,092 | 37.0 | 17 | 89.1 | 4,340 | 37.2 |
| 15-64 | 3,577 | 59.7 | 3,424 | 60.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 7,001 | 60.0 |
| 65+ | 182 | 3.0 | 142 | 2.5 | 2 | 10.9 | 326 | 2.8 |
| Child and adult populations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children age 0-17 years | 2,559 | 42.7 | 2,386 | 42.2 | 17 | 89.1 | 4,962 | 42.5 |
| Adults age 18+ years | 3,431 | 57.3 | 3,273 | 57.8 | 2 | 10.9 | 6,706 | 57.5 |
| Total for 3 districts | 5,990 | 100.0 | 5,659 | 100.0 | 19 | 100.0 | 11,667 | 100.0 |

Tables HH. 3 - HH. 5 provide basic information on the households, female respondents age $15-49$, male respondents $15-49$ and children under- 5 by presenting the unweighted as well as the weighted numbers. Information on the basic characteristics of households, women, men and children under-5 interviewed in the survey is essential for the interpretation of findings presented later in the report and can also provide an indication

Table HH.3: Household composition

Per cent and frequency distribution of households by selected characteristics, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Weighted per cent | Number of households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| District |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 15.9 | 448 | 943 |
| Manokwari | 58.2 | 1,638 | 889 |
| Sorong | 25.9 | 730 | 984 |
| Sex of household head |  |  |  |
| Male | 88.2 | 2,485 | 2,478 |
| Female | 11.8 | 331 | 338 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.7 | 697 | 840 |
| Rural | 75.3 | 2,119 | 1,976 |
| Number of household members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8.5 | 238 | 240 |
| 2 | 13.7 | 387 | 377 |
| 3 | 19.2 | 540 | 556 |
| 4 | 21.2 | 597 | 622 |
| 5 | 15.9 | 449 | 427 |
| 6 | 9.6 | 271 | 281 |
| 7 | 5.8 | 163 | 158 |
| 8 | 2.2 | 63 | 57 |
| 9 | 1.7 | 47 | 45 |
| 10+ | 2.2 | 61 | 53 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |
| None | 7.4 | 208 | 220 |
| Primary | 33.7 | 950 | 982 |
| SMP/SM | 44.2 | 1,245 | 1,253 |
| Higher | 14.6 | 412 | 359 |
| Missing/DK | 0.0 | 1 | 2 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |
| Papua | 43.7 | 1,231 | 1,265 |
| Jawa | 33.3 | 937 | 828 |
| Sulawesi | 12.1 | 342 | 352 |
| Maluku | 6.2 | 174 | 253 |
| Others | 4.4 | 124 | 111 |
| Missing/DK | 0.3 | 9 | 7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 2,816 | 2,816 |
| Households with at least |  |  |  |
| One child age 0-4 years | 36.8 | 2,816 | 2,816 |
| One child age 0-17 years | 74.3 | 2,816 | 2,816 |
| One woman age 15-49 years | 81.1 | 2,816 | 2,816 |
| One man age 15-49 years | 79.0 | 2,816 | 2,816 |
| Mean household size | 4.1 | 2,816 | 2,816 |

of the representativeness of the survey. The remaining tables in this report are presented only with weighted numbers. See Appendix A for more details about the weighting.

Table HH. 3 provides basic background information on the households. Within households, the sex of the household head, region, area, number of household members, education of household head and ethnicity of the household head are shown in the table. In addition to districts, these background characteristics are used in subsequent tables in this report; the figures in the table are also intended to show the numbers of observations by major categories of analysis in the report.

The weighted and unweighted numbers of households are equal, since sample weights were normalized (See Appendix A). The table also shows the proportions of households with at least one child under 18, at least one child under 5, at least one eligible woman age 15-49 and at least one man age 15-49. The table also shows the weighted average household size estimated by the survey.

About 16 per cent of the three districts West Papua sample resides in Kaimana, 58 per cent reside in Manokwari and 26 per cent reside in Sorong. Most of the households consisted of 4 members ( 21 per cent) and the mean household size is four members. About 44 per cent of the survey sample consisted of households with Papuan heads, followed by Javanese heads of households, who accounted for about one third of the survey sample ( 33 per cent). The remaining 23 per cent of the survey sample was headed by other ethnic groups from: Sulawesi, Maluku and others. Thirty-seven per cent of the households contained at least one child under-five years of age, 81 per cent contained at least one woman aged 15-49 years and 79 per cent contained at least one man aged 15-49 years. Weighted and unweighted number of cases were generally similar except for districts. There seems to be oversampling in Kaimana and Sorong districts and some undersampling in Manokwari District.

### 3.3. CHARACTERISTICS OF FEMALE AND MALE RESPONDENTS 15-49 YEARS OF AGE AND CHILDREN UNDER-5

Tables HH.4, HH.4M and HH. 5 provide information on the background characteristics of female and male respondents 15-49 years of age and of children under age 5 . In all three tables, the total numbers of weighted and un-weighted observations are equal, since sample weights have been normalized (standardized). In addition to providing useful information on the background characteristics of women and children, the tables are also intended to show the numbers of observations in each background category. These categories are used in the subsequent tabulations of this report.

Table HH. 4 provides background characteristics of female respondents 15-49 years of age. The table includes information on the distribution of women according to district, area of residence, age, marital status, motherhood status, births in last two years, education, ${ }^{5}$ wealth index quintiles ${ }^{6}$ and ethnicity of the household head.

[^3]Table HH.4: Women's background characteristics

Per cent and frequency distribution of women age 15-49 years by selected background characteristics, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Weighted per cent | Number of women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| District |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 15.6 | 423 | 922 |
| Manokwari | 60.3 | 1,638 | 868 |
| Sorong | 24.1 | 654 | 925 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 28.1 | 763 | 891 |
| Rural | 71.9 | 1,952 | 1,824 |
| Age of woman ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 17.1 | 465 | 437 |
| 20-24 | 14.6 | 395 | 377 |
| 25-29 | 17.0 | 462 | 495 |
| 30-34 | 16.3 | 443 | 435 |
| 35-39 | 14.2 | 387 | 392 |
| 40-44 | 11.2 | 305 | 326 |
| 45-49 | 9.5 | 259 | 253 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 73.2 | 1,987 | 1,998 |
| Widowed | 1.8 | 50 | 61 |
| Divorced | 1.3 | 35 | 43 |
| Separated | 1.8 | 49 | 41 |
| Never married/in union | 21.9 | 594 | 572 |
| Motherhood status |  |  |  |
| Ever gave birth | 72.2 | 1,959 | 1,988 |
| Never gave birth | 27.8 | 756 | 727 |
| Births in last two years |  |  |  |
| Had a birth in last two years | 18.0 | 489 | 519 |
| Had no birth in last two years | 82.0 | 2,226 | 2,196 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None | 4.9 | 134 | 150 |
| Primary | 28.1 | 764 | 836 |
| SMP/SM | 51.6 | 1,402 | 1,364 |
| Higher | 15.3 | 415 | 365 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 17.2 | 467 | 580 |
| Second | 18.5 | 502 | 540 |
| Middle | 18.2 | 493 | 484 |
| Fourth | 23.6 | 640 | 559 |
| Richest | 22.6 | 614 | 552 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |
| Papua | 44.6 | 1,212 | 1,216 |
| Jawa | 31.7 | 860 | 760 |
| Sulawesi | 12.3 | 333 | 340 |
| Maluku | 7.2 | 197 | 289 |
| Others | 4.0 | 107 | 106 |
| Missing | 0.2 | 7 | 4 |
| Total for 3 districts | 100.0 | 2,715 | 2,715 |

Table HH. 4 provides background characteristics of female respondents 15-49 years of age. The table includes information on the distribution of women according to district, area of residence, age, marital status, motherhood status, births in last two years, education, wealth index quintiles and ethnicity of the household head.

About 15 per cent of female respondents 15-49 years of age live in Kaimana, 60 per cent in Manokwari and 24 per cent in Sorong. About 28 per cent of these women live in urban areas while the remaining 72 per cent live in rural areas. Of the 2,715 successfully interviewed women, 1,987 women ( 73 per cent) were currently married or in union, 594 women ( 22 per cent) were never married or in union and fewer women (5 per cent) were widowed, divorced or separated. Seventy-two per cent of women had give birth while 28 per cent never had. To assess their education, women were asked about the highest level of school they had reached. About five per cent of all women had never attended any form of education. The majority ( 42 per cent) of all women have junior or senior secondary (SMP/SM) education, 28 per cent have primary education and only 15 per cent have higher than secondary education. Weighted and un-weighted number of cases were generally similar except for districts.

Similarly, Table HH.4M provides background characteristics of male respondents 15-49 years of age. The table shows information on the distribution of men according to district, area of residence, age, marital status, education, wealth index quintiles and ethnicity.

Men's characteristics are generally similar to those of women, except that more men than women are not married or in union ( 33 per cent) whereas almost all of the remaining survey sample were currently married or in union (65 per cent).

Some background characteristics of children under-five are presented in Table HH.5. These include distribution of children by several attributes: sex, district, area of residence, age, mother's or caretaker's education, wealth and ethnicity.

The percentage of male children under-five is similar to that of female children ( 50 per cent and 49 per cent respectively). About one per cent of responses did not list the child's sex. About one-fifth of children were under one year of age ( 20 per cent), 19 per cent were 12-23 months, 22 per cent were 24-35 months, 21 per cent were $36-47$ months and 19 per cent were 48-59 months. The majority ( 76 per cent) of these children reside in rural areas whereas 24 per cent reside in urban areas. Six per cent of children's mothers or care takers were uneducated, 30 per cent had primary education, 53 per cent had secondary education (SMP/SM) while 11 per cent had higher education. Weighted and unweighted number of cases were generally similar except for districts.

Table HH.4M: Men's background characteristics

Per cent and frequency distribution of men age 15-49 years by selected background characteristics, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Weighted per cent | Number of men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| District |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 16.0 | 437 | 955 |
| Manokwari | 60.2 | 1,647 | 876 |
| Sorong | 23.8 | 652 | 905 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 26.7 | 732 | 877 |
| Rural | 73.3 | 2,004 | 1,859 |
| Age of Man |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 17.4 | 477 | 453 |
| 20-24 | 11.6 | 317 | 322 |
| 25-29 | 14.2 | 388 | 409 |
| 30-34 | 17.5 | 479 | 467 |
| 35-39 | 15.0 | 410 | 404 |
| 40-44 | 13.7 | 374 | 383 |
| 45-49 | 10.6 | 291 | 298 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 64.6 | 1,767 | 1,776 |
| Widowed | 0.6 | 17 | 26 |
| Divorced | 0.7 | 20 | 22 |
| Separated | 1.1 | 31 | 29 |
| Never married/in union | 33.0 | 902 | 883 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None | 2.7 | 74 | 85 |
| Primary | 22.9 | 625 | 702 |
| SMP/SM | 57.6 | 1,576 | 1,560 |
| Higher | 16.8 | 460 | 389 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 18.2 | 498 | 623 |
| Second | 18.3 | 499 | 528 |
| Middle | 21.6 | 591 | 533 |
| Fourth | 21.1 | 576 | 530 |
| Richest | 20.9 | 571 | 522 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |
| Papua | 43.4 | 1,189 | 1,207 |
| Jawa | 33.1 | 906 | 787 |
| Sulawesi | 12.2 | 333 | 365 |
| Maluku | 6.2 | 171 | 263 |
| Others | 4.7 | 129 | 109 |
| Missing/DK | 0.3 | 9 | 5 |
| Total for 3 districts | 100.0 | 2,736 | 2,736 |

Table HH.5: Under-5's background characteristics
Per cent and frequency distribution of children under five years of age by selected characteristics, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  |  | Number of under-5 children |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## CHILD MORTALITY

One of the overarching goals of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) is the reduction of infant and under-five mortality. Specifically, the MDGs call for the reduction in underfive mortality by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015. Monitoring progress towards this goal is an important but difficult objective. Measuring childhood mortality may seem easy, but attempts using direct questions, such as "Has anyone in this household died in the last year?" give inaccurate results. Using direct measures of child mortality from birth histories is time consuming, more expensive, and requires greater attention to training and supervision. Alternatively, indirect methods developed to measure child mortality produce robust estimates that are comparable with the ones obtained from other sources. Indirect methods minimize the pitfalls of memory lapses, inexact or misinterpreted definitions, and poor interviewing technique.

The infant mortality rate is the probability of dying before the first birthday. The under-five mortality rate is the probability of dying before the fifth birthday. In MICS surveys, infant and under five mortality rates are calculated based on an indirect estimation technique known as the Brass method. ${ }^{7}$ The data used in the estimation are: the mean number of children ever born for five year age groups of women from age 15 to 49, and the proportion of these children who are dead, also for five-year age groups of women (Table CM.1). The technique converts the proportions of dead among children of women in each age group into probabilities of dying by taking into account the approximate length of exposure of children to the risk of dying, assuming a particular model age pattern of mortality. Based on previous information on mortality in Indonesia, the West model life table was selected as most appropriate. It should be noted that the infant and child mortality estimates presented in the report are based on relatively small numbers of cases which can lead to unstable estimates. Therefore interpretation of these estimateds should be undertaken with caution.

Table CM. 2 provides estimates of child mortality. The infant mortality rates are estimated at 50,60 and 42 per thousand in the districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong respectively. The probabilities of dying under age 5 (U5MR) are 65, 81 and 45 per thousand in the districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong respectively. These estimates have been calculated by averaging mortality estimates obtained from women age 25-29 and 30-34, and refer roughly to 2006. These mortality estimates show clear a disadvantage in Manokwari District compared with Kaimana and Sorong. The overall combined three districts infant and underfive mortality rates were 54 and 72 per thousand respectively. The Indonesian Demographic and Health survey conducted in 2007 gave a figure of 41 and 64 per thousand for infant and under-five mortality rates for Papua Province for the 10 -year period preceding the survey using the direct method of mortality estimation.

[^4]
## Table CM.1: Children ever born, children surviving and proportion dead

Mean and total numbers of children ever born, children surviving and proportion dead by age of women, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, Papua, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Children ever born |  | Children surviving |  | Proportion dead | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Total | Mean | Total |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.136 | 63 | 0.133 | 62 | 0.023 | 465 |
| 20-24 | 0.868 | 343 | 0.786 | 311 | 0.094 | 395 |
| 25-29 | 1.863 | 860 | 1.754 | 810 | 0.058 | 462 |
| 30-34 | 2.602 | 1,152 | 2.394 | 1,060 | 0.080 | 443 |
| 35-39 | 3.231 | 1,249 | 2.980 | 1,152 | 0.077 | 387 |
| 40-44 | 3.830 | 1,168 | 3.524 | 1,075 | 0.080 | 305 |
| 45-49 | 3.988 | 1,032 | 3.588 | 929 | 0.100 | 259 |
| Total for 3 districts | 2.161 | 5,867 | 1.988 | 5,398 | 0.080 | 2,715 |

Table CM.2: Child mortality
Infant and under-five mortality rates, West Model, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Infant mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Under-five mortality rate ${ }^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| District |  |  |
| Kaimana | 50 | 65 |
| Manokwari | 60 | 81 |
| Sorong | 42 | 54 |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 65 | 86 |
| Female | 43 | 57 |
| Area | 46 | 59 |
| Urban | 57 | 76 |
| Rural | 55 | 73 |
| Mother's education | 70 | 96 |
| None | 47 | 61 |
| Primary | 29 | 36 |
| SMP/SM |  | 103 |
| Higher | 74 | 76 |
| Wealth index quintile | 57 | 28 |
| Poorest | 22 | 82 |
| Second | 61 | 57 |
| Middle | 44 | 96 |
| Fourth | 70 | 43 |
| Richest | 35 | 72 |
| Ethnicity of household head | 54 |  |
| Papuan |  |  |
| Others |  |  |
| Total for 3 districts |  |  |

[^5]Rates refer to 2006, West Model was assumed to approximate the age pattern of mortality in Indonesia

For the combined three districts, there is some difference between the probabilities of dying among males and females. There are also significant differences in mortality in terms of area of residence, educational levels, wealth and ethnicity. Mortality rates are higher in rural areas compared with urban. As expected, a sharp negative association between mortality and education is observed; for example the under-five mortality rate decreased from 73 per thousand for children with uneducated mothers to 36 per thousand for children with mothers with higher education. Similarly under-five mortality rate decreased sharply from 103 per thousand among children living in the poorest households to 57 per thousand among those living in the richest households. Mortality was considerably greater among children whose household heads are Papuan compared with others. Differentials in under-5 mortality rates by selected background characteristics are shown in Figure CM. 1

Figure CM. 1: Under-5 mortality rates by background characteristics, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


### 5.1. BREASTFEEDING

Breastfeeding for the first few years of life protects children from infection, provides an ideal source of nutrients, and is economical and safe. However, many mothers stop breastfeeding too soon and there are often pressures to switch to infant formula, which can contribute to growth faltering and micronutrient malnutrition and is unsafe if clean water is not readily available.

WHO/UNICEF provide the folowing feeding recommendations:

- Exclusive breastfeeding for first six months
- Continued breastfeeding for two years or more
- Safe and age-appropriate complementary foods beginning at 6 months
- Frequency of complementary feeding: 2 times per day for 6-8 month olds; 3 times per day for 9-11 month olds

It is also recommended that breastfeeding be initiated within one hour of birth.
The indicators related to recommended child feeding practices are as follows:

- Early initiation of breastfeeding (within 1 hour of birth)
- Exclusive breastfeeding rate (< 6 months)
- Predominant breastfeeding (<6 months)
- Continued breastfeeding rate (at 1 year and at 2 years)
- Duration of breastfeeding
- Age-appropriate breastfeeding (0-23 months)
- Introduction of solid, semi-solid and soft foods (6-8 months)
- Minimum meal frequency ( $6-23$ months)
- Milk feeding frequency for non-breastfeeding children (6-23 months)
- Bottle feeding (0-23 months)

Table NU. 1 charts the proportion of children born in the last two years who were ever breastfed and those who were first breastfed within one hour and one day of birth. Although a very important step in management of lactation and establishment of a physical and emotional relationship between the baby and the mother, only 23 per cent of babies in the three selected districts of West Papua were breastfed for the first time within one hour of birth, while 61 per cent of newborns start breastfeeding within one day of birth.

Women differed in the timing of initial breastfeeding according to districts, particularly when considering initiation of breastfeeding within one day of birth. Women in Kaimana were the least likely to start breastfeeding within one day ( 45 per cent), compared with women in Manokwari ( 69 per cent) and Sorong ( 51 per cent) (Figure NU.1).

Breastfeeding within one hour was highest in Sorong District ( 27 per cent) than in Kaimana and Manokwari (23 per cent for each). Children born in private hospitals (29 per cent) were more likely to be initially breastfed appropriately within one hour compared with those born in public sector hospitals ( 20 per cent) and those born at home (26 per cent). Children born to mothers with no education were more likely to be initially breastfed within one hour compared with children born to mothers with higher education.

Figure NU.1: Percentage of mothers who started breastfeeding within one hour and within one day of birth, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


In Table NU.2, breastfeeding status is based on the reports of mothers/caretakers of children's consumption of food and fluids during the previous day or night prior to the interview. Exclusively breastfed refers to infants who received only breast milk (and vitamins, mineral supplements, or medicine). The table shows exclusive breastfeeding of infants during the first six months of life, as well as continued breastfeeding of children at 12-15 and 20-23 months of age.

For the three districts of West Papua, only 28 per cent of children aged less than six months are exclusively breastfed and 37 per cent are predominantly breastfed. Exclusive and predominant breast feeding are higher in Sorong District ( 44 and 51 per cent respectively) compared with the other two districts (Kaimana: 41 and 46 respectively; Manokwari: 19 and 31 respectively). At age 12-15 months, 62 per cent of the children are breastfed, while this percentage is 55 per cent for children aged 20-23 months. It should be noted that these figures should be treated with caution due to the small number of cases observed. Continued breastfeeding indicators by background characteristics are not reported due to the small number of cases.

Table NU. 3 shows the median duration of breastfeeding by selected background characteristics. Among children under age 3, the median duration is 22 months for any breastfeeding, about half a month for exclusive breastfeeding and about half a month for predominant breastfeeding.

Results show that the median duration of breastfeeding was lowest in Kaimana District (25 months) compared with Manokwari (22 months) and Sorong (23 months) districts. The median duration of breastfeeding showed a negative correlation with mothers' education, being considerably lower among mothers with higher education (10 months),

## Table NU.1: Initial breastfeeding

Percentage of last-born children in the 2 years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, percentage who were breastfed within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, and percentage who received a prelacteal feed, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Percentage who were ever breastfed ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who were first breastfed: |  | Number of last-born children in the two years preceding the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within one hour of birth ${ }^{2}$ | Within one day of birth |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 91.3 | 22.7 | 44.5 | 99 |
| Manokwari | 90.9 | 23.2 | 69.4 | 287 |
| Sorong | 92.8 | 27.2 | 51.0 | 102 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 83.5 | 27.0 | 59.2 | 124 |
| Rural | 94.1 | 22.9 | 60.9 | 365 |
| Months since birth |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 94.5 | 21.3 | 60.1 | 255 |
| 12-23 months | 87.5 | 25.0 | 59.9 | 223 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant | 93.0 | 24.2 | 60.3 | 351 |
| Traditional birth attendant | 96.0 | 15.1 | 54.3 | 49 |
| Other | 98.4 | 31.5 | 75.3 | 68 |
| Missing | (*) | (*) | (*) | 21 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector health facility | 92.1 | 19.8 | 61.9 | 173 |
| Private sector health facility | (100.0) | (29.4) | (55.7) | 38 |
| Home | 94.8 | 25.9 | 62.6 | 254 |
| Other/Missing | (*) | (*) | (*) | 23 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| None | 96.4 | 46.5 | 78.2 | 23 |
| Primary | 88.7 | 24.4 | 49.3 | 128 |
| SMP/SM | 91.8 | 22.7 | 64.8 | 272 |
| Higher | 93.4 | 20.5 | 58.4 | 67 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 95.3 | 24.1 | 58.6 | 109 |
| Second | 90.1 | 24.3 | 63.5 | 96 |
| Middle | 91.8 | 26.0 | 54.9 | 103 |
| Fourth | 92.5 | 22.0 | 64.2 | 93 |
| Richest | 86.3 | 22.9 | 62.2 | 87 |
| Ethnicity of household head* |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 94.3 | 24.0 | 67.6 | 251 |
| Jawa | 90.5 | 25.8 | 55.0 | 128 |
| Sulawesi | 85.1 | 19.3 | 51.5 | 59 |
| Maluku | 83.8 | 17.7 | 44.5 | 34 |
| Others | (*) | (*) | (*) | 15 |
| Total for 3 districts | 91.4 | 23.9 | 60.5 | 489 |
| * 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown |  |  |  |  |
| () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |
| (*) Figures that are based on | than 25 unweig | ed cases |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.4
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.5
while that median was 25 months among women with no education. A similar negative correlation was also observed between duration of breastfeeding and wealth.

The adequacy of infant feeding in children under 24 months is provided in Table NU.4. Different criteria of feeding are used depending on the age of the child. For infants aged 0-5 months, exclusive breastfeeding is considered as age-appropriate feeding, while infants aged 6-23 months are considered to be appropriately fed if they are receiving breastmilk and solid, semi-solid or soft food.

As a result of these feeding patterns, fewer than half of the children aged 6-23 months are being appropriately fed ( 45 per cent). The level of age-appropriate feeding is highest in Sorong District (47 per cent), compared with Manokwari (46 per cent) and Kaimana (41 per cent) districts. Appropriate feeding was higher among children residing in rural areas (46 per cent) compared with urban areas (42 per cent). Appropriate feeding varied unsystematically according to mother education and wealth (Figure NU.2). Similar patterns were observed for children age 0-23 months.

Table NU.2: Breastfeeding
Percentage of living children according to breastfeeding status at selected age groups, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Children age 0-5 months |  |  | Children age 12-15 months |  | Children age 20-23 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent exclusively breastfed ${ }^{1}$ | Per cent predominantly breastfed ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children | Per cent breastfed (Continued breastfeeding at 1 year) ${ }^{3}$ | Number of children | Per cent breastfed (Continued breastfeeding at 2 years) ${ }^{4}$ | Number of children |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | (41.3) | (46.1) | 25 | (75.0) | 19 | (65.5) | 16 |
| Manokwari | (18.6) | (30.6) | 76 | (*) | 36 | (54.7) | 56 |
| Sorong | (43.9) | (51.2) | 21 | (74.2) | 18 | (*) | 18 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 35.9 | 48.0 | 60 | (59.2) | 47 | (65.4) | 42 |
| Female | 18.4 | 25.9 | 60 | (68.7) | 26 | (45.8) | 48 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (21.1) | (21.1) | 21 | (53.3) | 23 | (20.1) | 23 |
| Rural | 28.9 | 40.7 | 100 | 66.2 | 50 | 66.9 | 67 |
| Total for 3 districts | 27.5 | 37.2 | 121 | 62.2 | 73 | 54.9 | 90 |
| * 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 2.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ MICS indicator 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appropriate complementary feeding of children from 6 months to two years of age is particularly important for growth and development and the prevention of undernutrition. Continued breastfeeding beyond six months should be accompanied by consumption of nutritionally adequate, safe and appropriate complementary foods that help meet nutritional requirements when breastmilk is no longer sufficient. This requires that for breastfed children, two or more meals of solid, semi-solid or soft foods are needed if they

Table NU.3: Duration of breastfeeding
Median duration of any breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding, and predominant breastfeeding among children age 0-35 months, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.10
are six to eight months old, and three or more meals if they are 9-23 months of age. For children 6-23 months and older who are not breastfed, four or more meals of solid, semisolid or soft foods or milk feeds are needed.

Overall, 51 per cent of infants age 6-8 received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods (Table NU.5). Among currently breastfeeding infants this percentage is 41 , while it is 87 among infants currently not breastfeeding.

Table NU. 6 presents the proportion of children age 6-23 months who received semi-solid or soft foods the minimum number of times or more during the previous day according to breastfeeding status (see the note in Table NU. 6 for a definition of minimum number of times for different age groups).

Overall, more than half of the children age 6-23 months ( 57 per cent) were receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods the minimum number of times (Kaimana, 44 per cent; Manokwari, 44 per cent; Sorong, 42 per cent).

## Table NU.4: Age-appropriate breastfeeding

Percentage of children age 0-23 months who were appropriately breastfed during the previous day, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Children age 0-5 months |  | Children age 6-23 months |  | Children age 0-23 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent exclusively breastfed ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children | Per cent currently breastfeeding and receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods | Number of children | Per cent appropriately breastfed ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | (41.3) | 25 | 41.3 | 83 | 41.3 | 108 |
| Manokwari | (18.6) | 76 | 45.6 | 230 | 38.9 | 306 |
| Sorong | (43.9) | 21 | 47.0 | 87 | 46.4 | 107 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 35.9 | 60 | 49.4 | 191 | 46.1 | 252 |
| Female | 18.4 | 60 | 41.5 | 206 | 36.3 | 266 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (21.1) | 21 | 41.5 | 105 | 38.0 | 126 |
| Rural | 28.9 | 100 | 46.2 | 296 | 41.9 | 395 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | (*) | 11 | (*) | 26 | (54.1) | 37 |
| Primary | (39.2) | 27 | 40.0 | 114 | 39.9 | 141 |
| SMP/SM | 20.2 | 68 | 50.3 | 215 | 43.1 | 283 |
| Higher | (*) | 16 | (24.5) | 45 | 25.2 | 61 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (50.5) | 28 | 48.2 | 103 | 48.7 | 131 |
| Second | (*) | 22 | 39.1 | 85 | 36.6 | 107 |
| Middle | (*) | 22 | 52.3 | 85 | 46.6 | 107 |
| Fourth | (*) | 23 | 42.7 | 63 | 34.7 | 86 |
| Richest | (*) | 25 | 40.2 | 65 | 34.1 | 91 |
| Ethnicity of household head* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 28.0 | 77 | 48.7 | 213 | 43.2 | 290 |
| Jawa | (*) | 20 | 43.2 | 111 | 40.8 | 131 |
| Sulawesi | (*) | 18 | (33.2) | 37 | 28.4 | 55 |
| Maluku | (*) | 6 | (50.2) | 27 | 49.6 | 34 |
| Others |  |  | (*) | 10 | (*) | 10 |
| Total for 3 districts | 27.5 | 121 | 45.0 | 401 | 40.9 | 522 |

* 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
${ }^{*}$ ) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.6
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.14

The continued practice of bottle-feeding is a concern due to possible contamination due to by unsafe water and lack of hygiene in preparation. Table NU. 7 shows that 43 per cent of children under 6 months are fed using a bottle with a nipple (Kaimana, 44 per cent; Manokwari, 44 per cent; Sorong, 42 per cent). Bottle feeding is higher among female children, in urban areas, among children of the more educated, and of the richest women.

Figure NU.2: Percentage of children age 6-23 months who were appropriately breastfed during the previous day by mother's education and wealth index, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


Table NU.5: Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods

Percentage of infants age 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods during the previous day, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Currently breastfeeding |  | Currently not breastfeeding |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods | Number of children age 6-8 months | Per cent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods | Number of children age 6-8 months | Per cent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children age 6-8 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | (46.2) | 33 | (*) | 13 | (56.6) | 46 |
| Female | (35.9) | 42 | (*) | 9 | (45.9) | 51 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (*) | 15 | (*) | 11 | (75.2) | 26 |
| Rural | 34.6 | 61 | (*) | 11 | 42.3 | 72 |
| Total for 3 districts | 40.5 | 75 | (*) | 22 | 50.9 | 97 |
| () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.12

Table NU.6: Minimum meal frequency
Percentage of children age 6-23 months who received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods (and milk feeds for non-breastfeeding children) the minimum number of times or more during the previous day, according to breastfeeding status, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Breastfeeding |  | Currently not breastfeeding |  |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Per cent receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods the minimum number of times | Number of children age 6-23 months | Per cent receiving at least 2 milk feeds ${ }^{1}$ | Per cent receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods or milk feeds 4 times or more | Number of children age 6-23 months | Per cent with minimum meal frequency ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children age 6-23 months |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 37.4 | 59 | 71.2 | 66.7 | 24 | 45.9 | 83 |
| Manokwari | 44.7 | 149 | (86.2) | (86.1) | 81 | 59.3 | 230 |
| Sorong | 51.8 | 62 | (93.5) | (90.4) | 25 | 62.7 | 87 |
| Sex* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 53.9 | 127 | 83.5 | 82.6 | 64 | 63.5 | 191 |
| Female | 37.0 | 141 | 85.9 | 84.0 | 65 | 51.9 | 206 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 months | 36.4 | 75 | (*) | (*) | 22 | 50.7 | 97 |
| 9-11 months | (27.8) | 35 | (*) | (*) | 10 | (38.6) | 46 |
| 12-17 months | 51.0 | 87 | 83.3 | 80.7 | 45 | 61.2 | 132 |
| 18-23 months | 54.0 | 73 | 76.6 | 80.3 | 52 | 65.0 | 125 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 54.1 | 56 | 89.9 | 91.2 | 49 | 71.5 | 105 |
| Rural | 42.3 | 215 | 81.6 | 78.6 | 81 | 52.2 | 296 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | (*) | 18 | (*) | (*) | 8 | (*) | 26 |
| Primary | 39.0 | 81 | (73.0) | (71.4) | 33 | 48.4 | 114 |
| SMP/SM | 44.9 | 152 | $91.1$ | 91.2 | 63 | 58.6 | 215 |
| Higher | (*) | 20 | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | 25 | (68.6) | 45 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 38.9 | 82 | (*) | (*) | 21 | 41.9 | 103 |
| Second | 34.4 | 59 | (76.2) | (84.7) | 26 | 49.6 | 85 |
| Middle | 49.2 | 60 | (82.9) | (84.6) | 25 | 59.7 | 85 |
| Fourth | (55.1) | 37 | (*) | (*) | 25 | 71.7 | 63 |
| Richest | (57.8) | 33 | (93.2) | (90.8) | 33 | 74.3 | 65 |
| Ethnicity of household head* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 39.5 | 164 | (80.1) | (77.8) | 48 | 48.2 | 213 |
| Jawa | 50.1 | 70 | (94.3) | (94.3) | 41 | 66.5 | 111 |
| Sulawesi | (*) | 14 | (*) | (*) | 23 | (80.2) | 37 |
| Maluku | (42.9) | 19 | (*) | (*) | 8 | (56.2) | 27 |
| Others | (*) | 3 | (*) | (*) | 7 | (*) | 10 |
| Total for 3 districts | 44.7 | 271 | 84.8 | 83.3 | 130 | 57.2 | 401 |
| * 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" and 3 cases with missing "Sex" not shown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) Figures that are based | fewer than 2 | 5 unweigh | ted cases |  |  |  |  |

[^6]
## Table NU.7: Bottle feeding

Percentage of children age 0-23 months who were fed with a bottle with a nipple during the previous day, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Percentage of children age 0-23 months fed with a bottle with a nipple ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children age 0-23 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District |  |  |
| Kaimana | 43.9 | 108 |
| Manokwari | 43.6 | 306 |
| Sorong | 41.9 | 107 |
| Sex* |  |  |
| Male | 39.1 | 252 |
| Female | 46.8 | 266 |
| Age |  |  |
| 0-5 months | 38.6 | 121 |
| 6-11 months | 44.3 | 143 |
| 12-13 months | 45.0 | 257 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 63.4 | 126 |
| Rural | 36.9 | 395 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| None | 18.7 | 37 |
| Primary | 31.2 | 141 |
| SMP/SM | 46.5 | 283 |
| Higher | 71.5 | 61 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 25.4 | 131 |
| Second | 32.1 | 107 |
| Middle | 37.1 | 107 |
| Fourth | 72.4 | 86 |
| Richest | 62.2 | 91 |
| Ethnicity of household head* |  |  |
| Papua | 36.2 | 290 |
| Jawa | 53.7 | 131 |
| Sulawesi | 56.0 | 55 |
| Maluku | 37.7 | 34 |
| Others | (*) | 10 |
| Total for 3 districts | 43.3 | 522 |
| * 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" and 4 cases with missing "Sex"not shown <br> () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases <br> (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.11 |  |  |

### 5.2. VITAMIN A SUPPLEMENTS

Vitamin A is essential for eye health and proper functioning of the immune system. It is found in foods such as milk, liver, eggs, red and orange fruits, red palm oil and green leafy vegetables, although the amount of vitamin A readily available to the body from these sources varies widely. In developing areas of the world, where vitamin $A$ is largely consumed in the form of fruits and vegetables, daily per capita intake is often insufficient to meet dietary requirements. Inadequate intakes are further compromised by increased requirements for the vitamin as children grow or during periods of illness, as well as increased losses during common childhood infections. As a result, vitamin A deficiency is quite prevalent in the developing world and particularly in countries with the highest burden of under-five deaths.

The 1990 World Summit for Children set the goal of virtual elimination of vitamin A deficiency and its consequences, including blindness, by the year 2000. This goal was also endorsed at the Policy Conference on Ending Hidden Hunger in 1991, the 1992 International Conference on Nutrition, and the UN General Assembly's Special Session on Children in 2002. The critical role of vitamin A for child health and immune function also makes control of deficiency a primary component of child survival efforts, and therefore critical to the achievement of the fourth Millennium Development Goal: a twothirds reduction in under-five mortality by the year 2015.

For countries with vitamin A deficiency problems, current international recommendations call for high-dose vitamin A supplementation every four to six months, targeted to all children between the ages of six to 59 months living in affected areas. Providing young children with two high-dose vitamin A capsules a year is a safe, cost-effective, efficient strategy for eliminating vitamin A deficiency and improving child survival. Giving vitamin A to new mothers who are breastfeeding helps protect their children during the first months of life and helps to replenish the mother's stores of vitamin A, which are depleted during pregnancy and lactation. For countries with vitamin A supplementation programs, the definition of the indicator is the per cent of children 6-59 months of age receiving at least one high-dose vitamin A supplement in the last six months.

As per the 2011 WHO recommendations, the Indonesian Ministry of Health recommends that children aged 6-59 months be given two high-dose Vitamin A capsules every 6 months. In Indonesia, Vitamin A capsules are generally distributed throughout two National Campaigns held in February and August. Indonesian post-partum women also receive one Vitamin A capsule within eight weeks of delivery, though 2011 WHO Guidelines no longer recommend this.

Within the six months prior to the Selected Districts of West Papua Province MICS, 54 per cent of children aged 6-59 months received a high-dose Vitamin A supplement (Table NU.8). About 53 per cent of children received a high dose vitamin A supplement according to mothers' reports. Vitamin A supplementation coverage is considerable lower in Manokwari District (47 per cent) and Kaimana District (51 per cent) than in Sorong (71 per cent). The age pattern of Vitamin A supplementation shows that supplementation in the last six months rises from 35 per cent among children aged 6-11 months to 61 per cent among children aged 12-23 months, then declines to 60,54 and 49 per cent among children 24-35, 36-47 and 48-59 months respectively.

Table NU.8: Children's vitamin A supplementation

Per cent distribution of children age 6-59 months by receipt of a high dose vitamin A supplement in the last 6 months, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.17

The mother's level of education is also related to the likelihood of Vitamin A supplementation. The percentage receiving a supplement in the last six months increases from 31 per cent among children whose mothers have no education, to 48 per cent of those whose mothers have primary education, to 58 per cent of those whose mothers have secondary education and to 59 per cent among children of mothers with higher education.

### 5.3. LOW BIRTH WEIGHT

Weight at birth is a good indicator not only of a mother's health and nutritional status but also the newborn's chances for survival, growth, long-term health and psychosocial development. Low birth weight (less than 2,500 grams) carries a range of grave health risks for children. Babies who were undernourished in the womb face a greatly increased risk of dying during their early months and years. Those who survive have impaired immune function and increased risk of disease; they are likely to remain undernourished, with reduced muscle strength, throughout their lives, and suffer a higher incidence of diabetes and heart disease in later life. Children born underweight also tend to have a lower IQ and cognitive disabilities, affecting their performance in school and their job opportunities as adults.

In the developing world, low birth weight stems primarily from the mother's poor health and nutrition. Three factors have most impact: the mother's poor nutritional status before conception, short stature (due mostly to under-nutrition and infections during her childhood), and poor nutrition during pregnancy. Inadequate weight gain during pregnancy is particularly important since it accounts for a large proportion of foetal growth retardation. Moreover, diseases such as diarrhoea and malaria, which are common in many developing countries, can significantly impair foetal growth if the mother becomes infected while pregnant.

In the industrialized world, cigarette smoking during pregnancy is the leading cause of low birth weight. In developed and developing countries alike, teenagers who give birth when their own bodies have yet to finish growing run the risk of bearing underweight babies.

One of the major challenges in measuring the incidence of low birth weight is the fact that more than half of infants in the developing world are not weighed. In the past, most estimates of low birth weight for developing countries were based on data compiled from health facilities. However, these estimates are biased for most developing countries because the majority of newborns are not delivered in facilities, and those who are represent only a selected sample of all births.

Because many infants are not weighed at birth and those who are weighed may be a biased sample of all births, the reported birth weights usually cannot be used to estimate the prevalence of low birth weight among all children. Therefore, in standard MICS methodology, the percentage of babies weighing below 2,500 grams at birth is estimated from two items in the questionnaire: the mother's assessment of the child's size at birth (i.e. very small, smaller than average, average, larger than average, very large) and the mother's recall of the child's weight or the weight as recorded on a health card if the child was weighed at birth. ${ }^{8}$

For the Selected Districts of West Papua Province 2011 MICS, information on mother's assessment of the child's size at birth was not collected. Therefore reporting of percentage of births weighing below 2,500 grams is based only on the mother's recall of the child's weight, or on the weight as recorded on a health card if the child was weighed at birth. ${ }^{8}$
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## Table NU.9: Low birth weight infants

Percentage of last-born children in the 2 years preceding the survey that are estimated to have weighed below 2,500 grams at birth and percentage of live births weighed at birth, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


## District

| Kaimana | 12.0 | 47.3 | 99 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Manokwari | 15.3 | 70.0 | 287 |
| Sorong | 14.4 | 63.6 | 102 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.3 | 73.7 | 124 |


| Rural <br> Mother's education <br> None | 16.8 | 60.8 | 365 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |


| Primary | 31.6 | 45.9 | 128 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SMP/SM | 129 | 73.7 |  |


| SMP/SM | 12.9 | 73.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Higher
Wealth index quintil
Poorest

| 41.2 | 34.5 | 109 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Second
Fourth
Richest
Ethnicity of household head
Papua
Jawa
Sulawesi
Maluku

Others

Total for 3 district
14.6
64.0 489

* 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.18 (only based on the mother's recall of the child's weight or the weight as recorded on a health card if the child was weighed at birth)
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.19

Overall, 64 per cent of babies were weighed at birth, with approximately 15 per cent of infants estimated to weigh less than 2,500 grams at birth (Table NU.9). There are great variations by districts. The lowest estimated percentage of infants weighing less than 2500 grams at birth was found in Kaimana ( 12 per cent), compared with 14 per cent in Sorong and 15 per cent in Manokwari District.

### 6.1. IMMMUNIZATION

The Millennium Development Goal (MDG) 4 is to reduce child mortality by two thirds between 1990 and 2015. Immunization plays a key part in this goal. Immunizations have saved the lives of millions of children in the three decades since the launch of the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) in 1974. Worldwide there are still 27 million children overlooked by routine immunization and, as a result, vaccinepreventable diseases cause more than 2 million deaths every year.

A World Fit for Children goal is to ensure full immunization of children under one year of age at 90 per cent nationally, with at least 80 per cent coverage in every district or equivalent administrative unit.

According to UNICEF and WHO guidelines, a child should receive a BCG vaccination to protect against tuberculosis, three doses of DPT to protect against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus, three doses of polio vaccine, and a measles vaccination by the age of 12 months.

According to the national immunization schedule, by a first birthday each child in Indonesia should receive, through routine immunization, a BCG vaccination to protect against tuberculosis, three doses of DPT to protect against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus, four doses of polio vaccine, four doses of Hepatitis B vaccine and a measles or MMR vaccination at the age of 9 months or older. Taking into consideration this vaccination schedule, the estimates for full immunization coverage from the Selected Districts of West Papua Province MICS are based on children age 12-23 months.

Information on vaccination coverage was collected for all children under five years of age. All mothers or caretakers were asked to provide vaccination cards. If the vaccination card for a child was available, interviewers copied vaccination information from the cards onto the MICS questionnaire. If no vaccination card was available for the child, the interviewer proceeded to ask the mother to recall whether or not the child had received each of the vaccinations, and for Polio, DPT and Hepatitis B, how many doses were received. The final vaccination coverage estimates are based on both information obtained from the vaccination card and the mother's report of vaccinations received by the child.

The percentage of children age 12 to 23 months who have received each of the specific vaccinations by source of information (vaccination card and mother's recall) is shown in Table CH.1. The denominator for the table is comprised of children age 12-23 months so that only children who are old enough to be fully vaccinated are counted. In the first three columns of the table, the numerator includes all children who were vaccinated at

## Table CH.1: Vaccinations in first year of life

Percentage of children age 12-23 months immunized against childhood diseases at any time before the survey and before the first birthday, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

any time before the survey according to the vaccination card or the mother's report. In the last column, only those children who were vaccinated before their first birthday, as recommended, are included. For children without vaccination cards, the proportion of vaccinations given before the first birthday is assumed to be the same as for children with vaccination cards.

Considering the three selected districts of West Papua, approximately 76 per cent of children age 12-23 months received a BCG vaccination by the age of 12 months and the first dose of DPT was given to 76 per cent. The percentage declines for subsequent doses of DPT, to 68 per cent for the second dose and 44 per cent for the third dose (Figure CH.1). Similarly, 48 per cent of children received Polio 1 by age 12 months. And this increased to 71 per cent for the second dose and then declineds to 55 per cent by the fourth dose. The coverage for measles/ MMR vaccine by 12 months is 61 per cent. There is also a decline in the Hepatitis B vaccination, from 74 per cent for the first dose to 65 per cent for the second dose and 38 per cent for the third dose. As a result, the percentage of children who had all the recommended vaccinations by their first birthday is low, only 21 per cent.

Figure CH.1: Percentage of children aged 12-23 months who received the recommended vaccinations by 12 months, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


Table CH. 2 presents vaccination coverage estimates among children 12-23 months by background characteristics. The figures indicate children receiving the vaccinations at any time up to the date of the survey, and are based on information from both the vaccination cards and mothers'/caretakers' reports. Vaccination cards have been seen by the interviewer for only 36 per cent of children (Kaimana, 28 per cent; Manokwari, 32 per cent; Sorong, 54 per cent).

Out of all selected districts, the survey results show that Kaimana District tended to have low coverage for most of the vaccinations with full vaccination coverage of only 22 per cent of children. The full vaccination coverage in Manokwari and Sorong districts was 20 and 46 per cent respectively.

Often given to infants at the time of birth, BCG vaccine and DPT 1 (77 and 76 per cent respectively) have the highest coverage. In general, percentages of currently vaccinated children aged 12-23 months by the different vaccines fluctuated across districts, but it is worth noting that Polio 3 and DPT 3 are considerably lagging behind in Kaimana. HepB at birth has low coverage both overall and among the districts (Kaimana, 15 per cent; Manokwari, 22 per cent; Sorong, 20 per cent).
Table CH.2: Vaccinations by background characteristics

 Percentage of children age 12-23 months currently vaccinated against childhood diseases, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
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 District
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Urban Rural
None
Primary
SMP/SM Higher Wealth index quintile
Poorest Total for 3 districts

### 6.2. NEONATAL TETANUS PROTECTION

One of the MDGs is to reduce by three quarters the maternal mortality ratio, with one strategy to eliminate maternal tetanus. In addition, another goal is to reduce the incidence of neonatal tetanus to less than 1 case of neonatal tetanus per 1,000 live births in every district.

The strategy for preventing maternal and neonatal tetanus is to assure all pregnant women receive at least two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccine. If a woman has not received two doses of tetanus toxoid during a particular pregnancy, she (and her newborn) are also considered to be protected against tetanus if the women:

- Received at least two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccine, the last within the previous 3 years;
- Received at least 3 doses, the last within the previous 5 years;
- Received at least 4 doses, the last within the previous 10 years;
- Received at least 5 doses anytime during her life.

Figure CH.2: Percentage of women with a live birth in the last 12 months who are protected against neonatal tetanus, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


To assess the status of tetanus vaccination coverage, women who gave birth during the two years before the survey were asked if they had received tetanus toxoid injections during the pregnancy for their most recent birth, and if so, how many. Women who did not receive two or more tetanus toxoid vaccinations during this pregnancy were then asked about tetanus toxoid vaccinations they may have received prior to this pregnancy. Interviewers also asked women to present their vaccination card, on which dates of tetanus toxoid are recorded and referred to information from the cards when available.

Table CH.3: Neonatal tetanus protection

Percentage of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years protected against neonatal tetanus, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Percentage of women who received at least 2 doses during last pregnancy | Percentage of women who did not receive two or more doses during last pregnancy but received: |  |  |  | Protected against tetanus | Number of women with a live birth in the last 2 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 doses, the last within prior 3 years | 3 doses, the last within prior 5 years | 4 doses, the last within prior 10 years | 5 or more doses during lifetime |  |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 57.9 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.4 | 99 |
| Manokwari | 50.2 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 287 |
| Sorong | 68.6 | 7.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.4 | 102 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 47.7 | 8.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 124 |
| Rural | 58.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 63.8 | 365 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | (31.4) | (2.3) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (33.7) | 23 |
| Primary | 48.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 128 |
| SMP/SM | 62.6 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67.4 | 272 |
| Higher | 50.1 | 8.4 | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 62.0 | 67 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 49.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.2 | 109 |
| Second | 47.6 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.3 | 96 |
| Middle | 60.8 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 103 |
| Fourth | 54.3 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.4 | 93 |
| Richest | 67.4 | 10.3 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 80.4 | 87 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 52.1 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 251 |
| Jawa | 60.7 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.1 | 128 |
| Sulawesi | 57.8 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 65.2 | 59 |
| Maluku | 50.3 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.9 | 34 |
| Others | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 15 |
| Total for 3 districts | 55.6 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 61.9 | 489 |
| () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) Figures th | at are based on | fewer than 25 | unweighted | cases |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.7

Table CH. 3 shows the protection status from tetanus of women who have had a live birth within the last 2 years. Figure CH .2 shows the protection of women against neonatal tetanus by major background characteristics.

The results of the survey indicate that tetanus toxoid coverage in the three selected districts of West Papua is at 62 per cent and lowest in Manokwari District (Kaimana, 62 per cent; Manokwari, 57 per cent; Sorong, 76 per cent). It is worth noting that tetanus toxoid protection is much lower among the poorest households ( 52 per cent) than the richest households ( 80 per cent). Similarly, tetanus toxoid protection increases from 34 per cent among women with no education to 62 per cent among women with higher education.

### 6.3. SOLID FUEL USE

More than 3 billion people around the world rely on solid fuels for their basic energy needs, including cooking and heating. Solid fuels include biomass fuels, such as wood, charcoal, crops or other agricultural waste, dung, shrubs and straw, and coal. Cooking and heating with solid fuels leads to high levels of indoor smoke which contains a complex mix of health-damaging pollutants. The main problem with the use of solid fuels is their incomplete combustion, which produces toxic elements such as carbon monoxide, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, and sulphur dioxide, among others. Use of solid fuels increases the risks of incurring acute respiratory illness, pneumonia, chronic obstructive lung disease, cancer, and possibly tuberculosis, asthma, or cataracts, and may contribute to low birth weight of babies born to pregnant women exposed to smoke. The primary indicator for monitoring use of solid fuels is the proportion of the population using solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy for cooking.

Table CH. 4 shows that solid fuel use is common in the three selected districts of West Papua Province, where about half of households are using solid fuel for cooking (49 per cent). Almost all of the remaining half is using kerosene (49 per cent). The findings show that use of solid fuels generally does not vary much between districts (Kaimana, 53 per cent; Manokwari, 46 per cent; Sorong, 52 per cent). Almost all solid fuel use in each district is from wood. Use of solid fuels is considerably lower in urban areas (13 per cent) than in rural areas (61 per cent). Differentials with respect to household wealth and the educational level of the household head are also greatly significant. The findings show that use of solid fuels is more common among households whose household heads are Papuan than in households from other ethnic groups.

Solid fuel by place of cooking is shown in Table CH.5. The presence and extent of indoor pollution are dependent on cooking practices, places used for cooking, and types of fuel used. Most people sampled cooks in a separate room used as a kitchen ( 77 per cent), eight per cent cook elsewhere in the house, 12 per cent cook in a separate building and about two per cent cook outdoors. The percentages of households that cook in a separate building are generally similar between districts (Kaimana, 77 per cent; Manokwari, 76 per cent; Sorong, 80 per cent).
Table CH.4: Solid fuel use
Per cent distribution of household members according to type of cooking fuel used by the household, and percentage of household members living in households using solid fuels for cooking, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  |  |  |  |  | ercentage of | house | old memb | ers in ho | useholds usin |  |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electricity | Liquefied | Natural | Biogas | Kerosene |  | Sol | d fuels |  | No food | Missing | Total | Solid fuels | of house- |
|  |  | Petroleum Gas (LPG) |  |  |  | Coal, lignite | Charcoal | Wood | Straw, shrubs, grass | cooked in the household |  |  | for cooking ${ }^{1}$ | members |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 52.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 53.0 | 1,858 |
| Manokwari | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 51.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 45.9 | 6,912 |
| Sorong | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 52.0 | 2,898 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 83.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12.5 | 3,036 |
| Rural | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 37.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 61.2 | 8,631 |
| Education of household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 86.9 | 765 |
| Primary | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 31.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 67.6 | 3,968 |
| SMP/SM | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 37.8 | 5,369 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 76.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 18.1 | 1,561 |
| Missing/DK | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 4 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 98.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 2,333 |
| Second | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 86.3 | 2,337 |
| Middle | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 44.0 | 2,326 |
| Fourth | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 85.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 2,337 |
| Richest | 0.3 | 5.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 92.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 2,334 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66.3 | 5,790 |
| Jawa | 0.2 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 58.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.9 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 38.2 | 3,378 |
| Sulawesi | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 81.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 14.1 | 1,280 |
| Maluku | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 28.1 | 720 |
| Others | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 462 |
| Missing/DK | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (34.2) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (65.8) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (65.8) | 37 |
| Total for 3 districts | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 49.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 48.5 | 11,667 |
| () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) Figures that are based on | er than 25 | unweighte | d cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CH.5: Solid fuel use by place of cooking

Per cent distribution of household members in households using solid fuels by place of cooking, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Place of cooking: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household members in households using solid fuels for cooking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In a separate room used as kitchen | Elsewhere in the house | In a separate bullding | Outdoors | At another place | Missing | Total for 3 districts |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 76.9 | 6.5 | 12.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 984 |
| Manokwari | 75.8 | 11.6 | 10.1 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 3,171 |
| Sorong | 79.8 | 0.7 | 15.4 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,507 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 55.7 | 8.2 | 27.7 | 6.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 381 |
| Rural | 78.6 | 7.8 | 10.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 5,281 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 74.5 | 11.2 | 11.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 665 |
| Primary | 78.9 | 5.1 | 13.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 2,684 |
| SMP/SM | 76.6 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2,030 |
| Higher | 69.3 | 13.2 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 283 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 71.8 | 11.2 | 13.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 2,303 |
| Second | 79.5 | 5.3 | 12.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 2,017 |
| Middle | 82.0 | 4.9 | 10.7 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1,023 |
| Fourth | $83.0$ | $9.0$ | $8.0$ | $0.0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 295 |
| Richest | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 25 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 72.0 | 9.9 | 14.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 3,840 |
| Jawa | 93.2 | 1.5 | 4.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1,290 |
| Sulawesi | 78.8 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 181 |
| Maluku | 70.2 | 5.7 | 13.2 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Others | 68.8 | 13.5 | 14.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 125 |
| Missing/DK | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 24 |
| Total for 3 districts | 77.1 | 7.8 | 11.9 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 5,662 |

### 6.4. MALARIA

Malaria is a leading cause of death of children under age five in West Papua. It also contributes to anaemia in children and is a common cause of school absenteeism. Preventive measures can dramatically reduce malaria mortality rates among children.

In areas where malaria is common, the WHO recommends Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS), use of insecticide-treated bednets (ITNs) and prompt treatment of confirmed cases with recommended anti-malarial drugs. International recommendations also suggest treating any fever in children as if it were malaria and immediately giving the child a full course of recommended anti-malarial tablets. Children with severe malaria symptoms, such as fever or convulsions, should be taken to a health facility. Also, children recovering from malaria should be given extra liquids and food and, for younger children, should continue breastfeeding.

Insecticide-treated mosquito nets, or ITNs, if used properly, are very effective in offering protection against mosquitoes and other insects. The use of ITNs is one of the main health interventions applied to reduce malaria transmission in West Papua. The questionnaire incorporates questions on the availability and use of bed nets, both at household level and among children under five years of age and pregnant women.

In the 2011 Selected Districts of West Papua Province MICS results indicate that 36 per cent of households have at least one insecticide-treated net (Table CH.6). The percentage of households with at least one mosquito net was 58 per cent and the percentage of households with at least one long-lasting treated net is 36 per cent. Differentials exist in the availability of ITNs among districts where the availability is the lowest in Manokwari District ( 32 per cent) and highest in Sorong District (44 per cent). The percentage of this indicator is 37 per cent in Kaimana. Availability of at least one ITN was positively associated with education and wealth. This percentage is higher among households with Papuan heads (43 per cent) than among households with Javanese heads (28 per cent).

Results indicate that 48 per cent of children under the age of five slept under any mosquito net the night prior to the survey and 32 per cent slept under an insecticidetreated net (Table CH.7). Compared with other districts the percentages of children under the age of five who slept under any mosquito net or an insecticide-treated net are lower in Manokwari District ( 41 and 25 per cent respectively). These percentages are 47 per cent and 36 per cent for Kaimana and 64 per cent and 46 per cent for Sorong District. Slightly more male children slept under any net or an insecticide-treated net ( 50 and 34 respectively) than females ( 45 and 30 per cent respectively).

Results on the proportion of pregnant women who slept under a mosquito net during the previous night have been suppressed due to inadequate sample sizes.

Questions on the prevalence and treatment of fever were asked for all children under age five. Slightly fewer than one in three ( 29 per cent) of children under five were ill with fever in the two weeks prior to the survey (Table CH.8) (Kaimana, 22 per cent; Manokwari, 35 per cent; Sorong, 18 per cent). Fever prevalence slightly declined with age. There was no clear trend linking this indicator with mother's education or wealth.

Table CH.6: Household availability of insecticide-treated nets and protection by a vector control method

Percentage of households with at least one mosquito net, percentage of households with at least one longlasting treated net, percentage of households with at least one insecticide-treated net (ITN) and percentage of households which either have at least one ITN or have received indoor residual spraying (IRS) in the last 12 months, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.12

## Table CH.7: Children sleeping under mosquito nets

Percentage of children age 0-59 months who slept under a mosquito net during the previous night, by type of net, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Percentage of children age 0-59 who stayed in the household the previous night | Number of children age 0-59 months | Percentage of children who: |  | Number of children age 0-59 months who slept in the household the previous night | Percentage of children who slept under an ITN living in households with at least one ITN | Number of children age $0-59$ living in households with at least one ITN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Slept under any mosquito net ${ }^{1}$ | Slept under an insecticidetreated net ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 98.7 | 262 | 46.6 | 36.1 | 259 | 70.0 | 133 |
| Manokwari | 98.7 | 760 | 40.9 | 25.2 | 751 | 54.0 | 351 |
| Sorong | 98.1 | 332 | 64.3 | 45.6 | 325 | 79.8 | 186 |
| Sex* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 98.2 | 679 | 50.0 | 34.1 | 667 | 64.3 | 354 |
| Female | 98.9 | 666 | 44.7 | 30.3 | 659 | 64.4 | 310 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.6 | 329 | 29.6 | 21.5 | 324 | 51.1 | 136 |
| Rural | 98.6 | 1,025 | 53.5 | 35.8 | 1,011 | 67.8 | 534 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 99.8 | 264 | 48.6 | 34.3 | 264 | 70.7 | 128 |
| 12-23 months | 99.5 | 257 | 50.6 | 37.1 | 256 | 67.9 | 140 |
| 24-35 months | 99.0 | 292 | 45.9 | 32.0 | 289 | 61.0 | 151 |
| 36-47 months | 97.5 | 280 | 49.2 | 31.4 | 273 | 64.4 | 133 |
| 48-59 months | 97.0 | 261 | 44.3 | 26.8 | 254 | 57.6 | 118 |
| Mother's Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 97.5 | 85 | 44.5 | 35.2 | 83 | 78.9 | 37 |
| Primary | 99.2 | 407 | 53.7 | 37.5 | 403 | 65.8 | 230 |
| SMP/SM | 98.9 | 713 | 47.3 | 29.6 | 704 | 62.9 | 332 |
| Higher | 96.1 | 150 | 34.9 | 29.2 | 144 | 59.2 | 71 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.9 | 319 | 54.2 | 44.7 | 315 | 80.8 | 175 |
| Second | 99.1 | 272 | 71.1 | 44.0 | 270 | 75.0 | 158 |
| Middle | 98.7 | 277 | 49.7 | 30.4 | 273 | 59.1 | 141 |
| Fourth | 97.5 | 234 | 39.5 | 21.7 | 228 | 49.0 | 101 |
| Richest | 98.4 | 253 | 19.4 | 15.7 | 249 | 40.8 | 96 |
| Ethnicity of household head* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 99.4 | 727 | 47.2 | 37.1 | 722 | 71.0 | 377 |
| Jawa | 97.5 | 333 | 57.1 | 29.1 | 324 | 61.1 | 154 |
| Sulawesi | 99.6 | 142 | 32.8 | 20.2 | 142 | 42.3 | 68 |
| Maluku | 96.0 | 98 | 40.9 | 25.9 | 94 | 51.7 | 47 |
| Others | (100.0) | 50 | (50.4) | 25.4 | 48 | (*) | 20 |
| Total for 3 districts | 98.6 | 1,354 | 47.7 | 32.3 | 1,335 | 64.4 | 670 |

* 4 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" and 7 cases with missing "Sex" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted case
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 3.15; MDG indicator 6.7


## Table CH.8: Anti-malarial treatment of children with anti-malarial drugs

Percentage of children age 0-59 months who had fever in the last two weeks who received anti-malarial drugs, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


* 4 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" and 9 cases with missing "Sex" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted case
${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.18; MDG indicator 6.8

Table CH.8: Anti-malarial treatment of children with anti-malarial drugs (continued)
Percentage of children age 0-59 months who had fever in the last two weeks who received anti-malarial drugs, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Children with a fever in the last two weeks who were treated with: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage who took an antimalarial drug same or next day ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children with fever in last two weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other medications: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other medications: Antibiotic injection | Other <br> medications: <br> Paracetamol/ <br> Panadol/ <br> Acetamino- <br> phan | Other medications: Aspirin | Other medications: Ibuprofen | Other medications: Other | Other | DK |  |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 30.9 | 0.8 | 47.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 59 |
| Manokwari | 15.9 | 1.5 | 53.5 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 43.6 | 4.6 | 47.2 | 268 |
| Sorong | 19.1 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 46.0 | 0.9 | 12.9 | 60 |
| Sex* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 21.6 | 2.1 | 54.4 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 38.9 | 2.5 | 34.7 | 183 |
| Female | 15.4 | 0.2 | 55.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 39.3 | 4.2 | 38.6 | 198 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 23.5 | 1.9 | 78.4 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 38.7 | 1.9 | 40.7 | 96 |
| Rural | 17.1 | 0.9 | 48.0 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 39.8 | 3.8 | 36.8 | 291 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 18.0 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 36.5 | 3.2 | 49.2 | 82 |
| $12-23$ <br> months | 21.2 | 0.0 | 57.6 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 39.5 | 5.4 | 38.0 | 82 |
| $24-35$ <br> months | 17.0 | 4.5 | 54.8 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 45.9 | 4.5 | 32.5 | 88 |
| 36-47 <br> months | 25.9 | 0.6 | 48.9 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 36.3 | 72 |
| 48-59 months | 10.4 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 42.3 | 3.0 | 31.7 | 64 |
| Mother's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 2.2 | 0.0 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.7 | 8.4 | 21.5 | 25 |
| Primary | 13.2 | 2.3 | 52.9 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 36.8 | 3.9 | 36.2 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.9 | 3.1 | 41.6 | 208 |
| Higher | (15.6) | (0.0) | (65.5) | $(0.0)$ | $(0.0)$ | (49.8) | (0.0) | (32.8) | 42 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 11.6 | 0.0 | 30.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 24.9 | 9.7 | 17.2 | 67 |
| Second | 25.6 | 0.0 | 59.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 39.9 | 5.6 | 27.9 | 82 |
| Middle | 16.5 | 2.8 | 57.6 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 91 |
| Fourth | 12.6 | 2.6 | 58.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 42.0 | 70 |
| Richest | 25.4 | 0.0 | 67.4 | 2.5 | 5.1 | 33.9 | 2.4 | 47.1 | 77 |
| Ethnicity of household head* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 21.6 | 2.0 | 48.2 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 34.4 | 5.3 | 37.5 | 196 |
| Jawa | $8.1$ | 0.0 | $69.6$ | 0.0 | 2.0 | 50.2 | 2.4 | 42.3 | 107 |
| Sulawesi | (17.6) | $(0.0)$ | (57.7) | (4.4) | (0.0) | (43.7) | (0.0) | (39.8) | 43 |
| Maluku | (30.3) | (2.0) | (61.4) | (7.2) | (0.0) | (30.5) | (0.0) | (23.1) | 23 |
| Others | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Total for 3 districts | 18.7 | 1.1 | 55.5 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 39.5 | 3.3 | 37.8 | 387 |

* 4 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" and 9 cases with missing "Sex" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted case
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 3.18; MDG indicator 3.17

Mothers were asked to report all of the medicines given to a child to treat the fever, including both medicines given at home and medicines given or prescribed at a health facility. Overall, only 36 per cent of children with fever in the last two weeks were treated with an "appropriate" anti-malarial drug and 28 per cent received anti-malarial drugs either on the same day or day after the onset of symptoms. "Appropriate" anti-malarial drugs include chloroquine, SP (sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine), artimisine combination drugs, etc.

Compared with Manokwari District, Kaimana and Sorong districts were lacking antimalarial treatment. The percentage of children receiving any anti-malarial drug on the same or next day in Kaimana and Sorong (15 and 13 per cent respectively) was about half that observed in Manokwari District (34 per cent).

Overall across the three districts, six per cent of children with fever were given chloroquine, and none were given SP/ Fansidar. None received quinine/kina, artesdiaquine or arsuamon and most of the children who were given an anti-malarial drug were given another anti-malarial drug (29 per cent). A large number of children were given other types of medicines that are not anti-malarial, including paracetamol, panadol, acetaminophen ( 56 per cent) and antibiotic pills or syrups (19 per cent).

Urban children (64 per cent) are more often treated appropriately than rural children (36 per cent). Children 24-35 and 36-47 months and children from the poorest households were disadvantaged in receiving appropriate ant-malarial drugs. Girls (29 per cent) were more likely to receive appropriate anti-malarial drugs than boys ( 26 per cent).

Table CH. 9 provides the proportion of children age 0-59 months who had a fever in the last two weeks and who had a finger or heel stick for malaria testing. Overall, 42 per cent of children with a fever in the last two weeks had a finger or heel stick. Sorong District revealed the lowest value for this indicator ( 15 per cent), compared with 40 per cent in Kaimana and 48 per cent in Manokwari District. Having a finger or heel stick for malaria testing is more common in urban areas ( 60 per cent) than in rural areas ( 36 per cent).

Figure CH.3: Percentage of children age 0-59 months who had a fever in the last two weeks and who had a finger or heel stick for malaria testing, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


## Table CH.9: Malaria diagnostics usage

Percentage of children age 0-59 months who had a fever in the last two weeks and who had a finger or heel stick for malaria testing, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


* 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" and 6 cases with missing "Sex" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.16

This indicator increases according to mother's education; from 22 per cent among children of uneducated women to 56 per cent among children with higher education. A similar pattern is seen according to wealth, where the percentage of children age 0-59 months who had a fever in the last two weeks and who had a finger or heel stick for malaria testing increased from 21 per cent for children living in the poorest households to 50 per cent for those living in the richest (Figure CH.3).

## WATER AND SANITATION

Safe drinking water is a basic necessity for good health. Unsafe drinking water can be a significant carrier of diseases such as trachoma, cholera, typhoid, and schistosomiasis. Drinking water can also be tainted with chemical, physical and radiological contaminants with harmful effects on human health. In addition to its association with disease, access to drinking water may be particularly important for women and children, especially in rural areas, who bear the primary responsibility for carrying water, often for long distances.

The MDG goal (7, C) is to reduce by half, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation. The World Fit for Children goal calls for a reduction in the proportion of households without access to hygienic sanitation facilities and affordable and safe drinking water by at least one-third.

The list of indicators used in MICS is as follows:
Water

- Use of improved drinking water sources
- Use of adequate water treatment method
- Time to source of drinking water
- Person collecting drinking water


## Sanitation

- Use of improved sanitation facilities
- Sanitary disposal of child's faeces

For more details on water and sanitation and to access some reference documents, please visit the UNICEF childinfo website. ${ }^{9}$

### 7.1. USE OF IMPROVED WATER SOURCES

The distribution of the population by main source of drinking water is shown in Table WS. 1 and Figure WS.1. The population using improved sources of drinking water are those using any of the following types of supply: piped water (into dwelling, compound, yard or plot, to neighbour, public tap/standpipe), tube well/borehole, protected well, protected spring and rainwater collection. Bottled water is considered as an improved water source only if the household is using an improved water source for handwashing and cooking.

[^8]Overall, 71 per cent of the population in the three districts is using an improved source of drinking water-86 per cent in urban areas and 66 per cent in rural areas. The situation in Sorong District is better than in other districts; 80 per cent of the population in this district gets its drinking water from an improved source, mostly from rainwater collection (48 per cent) and bottled water (18 per cent). The percentage of the population getting their drinking water from an improved source in Manokwari and Kaimana districts is 69 and 66 per cent respectively.

The source of drinking water for the population varies strongly by district (Table WS.1). Although Kaimana District had the lowest percentage of people using an improved source of drinking water, the district had the highest percentage of households that drink water that is piped into their dwelling or into their yard or plot ( 20 per cent). These percentages are nine and two per cent for Manokwari and Sorong respectively. In Manokwari District, the most common improved sources of drinking water are bottled water (19 per cent), tube well or borehole (14 per cent) and protected well (13 per cent).

Figure WS.1: Per cent distribution of household members by source of drinking water, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


## Table WS.1: Use of improved water sources

Per cent distribution of household population according to main source of drinking water and percentage of household population using improved drinking water sources, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Main source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Piped water |  |  |  | Tube- <br> well/ <br> bore- <br> hole | Protected well | Protected spring | Rainwater collection | Bottled water* |
|  | Into dwelling | Into yard/ plot | To neighbour | Public tap/ standpipe |  |  |  |  |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 11.8 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 5.0 | 15.6 | 11.6 |
| Manokwari | 7.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 7.6 | 14.3 | 12.8 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 19.3 |
| Sorong | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 48.4 | 17.7 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 14.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 15.5 | 8.6 | 2.1 | 6.6 | 29.6 |
| Rural | 4.2 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 3.3 | 18.4 | 13.5 |
| Education of household head** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 0.6 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 14.5 | 3.3 | 14.5 | 4.2 | 17.9 | 2.2 |
| Primary | 2.8 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 5.6 | 9.2 | 11.6 | 3.2 | 18.6 | 9.7 |
| SMP/SM | 8.6 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 11.4 | 9.4 | 2.9 | 15.0 | 20.9 |
| Higher | 14.2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 12.3 | 6.0 | 2.3 | 7.2 | 34.4 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.3 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 8.3 | 3.5 | 16.6 | 0.1 |
| Second | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 3.4 | $14.1$ | 4.0 | 25.0 | 2.1 |
| Middle | 6.0 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 4.7 | 11.3 | 14.9 | 3.3 | 17.8 | 11.0 |
| Fourth | 10.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 17.3 | 8.9 | 2.7 | 12.3 | 29.5 |
| Richest | 15.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 18.7 | 3.8 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 45.7 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 7.1 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 4.3 | 11.1 | 6.3 |
| Jawa | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 22.8 | 25.3 |
| Sulawesi | 7.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 14.0 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 10.8 | $42.5$ |
| Maluku | 10.0 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 10.0 | 7.3 | 1.3 | 20.5 | $22.5$ |
| Others | $2.1$ | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | $9.8$ | $7.7$ | $3.8$ | $20.4$ | $29.4$ |
| Missing/DK | $(0.0)$ | (0.0) | (0.0) | (3.4) | $31.5$ | $(0.0)$ | (0.0) | $(0.0)$ | (15.2) |
| Total for 3 districts | 6.9 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 4.9 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 15.4 | 17.7 |

* Households using bottled water as the main source of drinking water are classified into improved or unimproved drinking water users according to the water source used for other purposes such as cooking and handwashing.
** 4 cases with missing "Education of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 4.1; MDG indicator 7.8

Table WS.1: Use of improved water sources (continued)

Per cent distribution of household population according to main source of drinking water and percentage of household population using improved drinking water sources, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Main source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total for 3 districts | Percentage using improved sources of drinking water ${ }^{1}$ | Num- <br> ber of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unimproved sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unprotected well | Unprotected spring | Tanker truck | Cart <br> with <br> tank/ <br> drum | Surface water | Bottied water* | Other |  |  |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 9.0 | 10.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66.4 | 1,858 |
| Manokwari | 17.9 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 69.0 | 6,912 |
| Sorong | 13.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79.9 | 2,898 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.2 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85.5 | 3,036 |
| Rural | 18.6 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66.3 | 8,631 |
| Education of household head** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 14.8 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 62.9 | 765 |
| Primary | 18.5 | 9.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 65.3 | 3,968 |
| SMP/SM | 15.4 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 73.9 | 5,369 |
| Higher | 7.8 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.5 | 1,561 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 24.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 14.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 42.8 | 2,333 |
| Second | 28.7 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 60.8 | 2,337 |
| Middle | 18.6 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 73.2 | 2,326 |
| Fourth | 5.1 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 86.7 | 2,337 |
| Richest | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 2,334 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 20.0 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 62.7 | 5,790 |
| Jawa | 12.9 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79.0 | 3,378 |
| Sulawesi | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 84.6 | 1,280 |
| Maluku | 12.5 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 77.5 | 720 |
| Others | 14.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 77.4 | 462 |
| Missing/DK | (2.8) | (47.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (50.1) | 37 |
| Total for 3 districts | 15.4 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 71.3 | 11,667 |

* Households using bottled water as the main source of drinking water are classified into improved or unimproved drinking water users according to the water source used for other purposes such as cooking and handwashing.
** 4 cases with missing "Education of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 4.1; MDG indicator 7.8

Use of household water treatment is presented in Table WS.2. Households were asked of ways they may be treating water at home to make it safer to drink. Boiling water, adding bleach or chlorine, using a water filter, and using solar disinfection are considered as proper treatment of drinking water. The table shows water treatment by all households and the percentage of household members living in households using unimproved water sources but using appropriate water treatment methods.

About 87 per cent of households in the selected districts of West Papua use appropriate water treatment for unimproved drinking water sources and 17 per cent do not use any method for water treatment. The most common method of water treatment is boiling (82 per cent). There exist some differentials in the use of appropriate water treatment between districts. Household members in Kaimana District show 17 per cent use of appropriate water treatment methods, while this percentage is 88 and 92 per cent in Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively. It was also observed that appropriate water treatment use is greater in rural areas and among less educated women.

The amount of time it takes to obtain water is presented in Table WS. 3 and the person who usually collects the water in Table WS.4. Note that these results refer to one roundtrip from home to drinking water source. Information on the number of trips made in one day was not collected.

Table WS. 3 shows that for about one third of household members who use an improved source of drinking water, the source is on the premises ( 61 per cent). For eight per cent, it takes less than 30 minutes to get to the water source and bring water, while two per cent of household members spend 30 minutes or more for this purpose. For users of unimproved drinking water sources, water is on the premises for 16 per cent of household members. One tenth of household members take less than 30 minutes to get to the water source and bring water (10 per cent), and for two per cent it takes more than 30 minutes. In rural areas, considerably more household members spend time in collecting water compared with those in urban areas.
Table WS.2: Household water treatment
Percentage of household population by drinking water treatment method used in the household, and for household members living in households where an unimproved drinking water source is used, the percentage who are using an appropriate treatment method, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

| Water treatment method used in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household members | Percentage of household members in households using unimproved drinking water sources and using an appropriate water treatment method ${ }^{1}$ | Number of household members in household using unimproved drinking water sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | Boil | Add bleach/ chlorine | Strain through a cloth | Use water filter | Solar disinfection | Let it stand and settle | Other |  |  |  |

| 1 |
| :--- |
| $\infty$ |
| $\infty$ |

 ..... 



$\stackrel{+}{0}$

| $0+0$ | $\bigcirc \infty$ | ก | 「 0 | ONN No. | $\bigcirc$ ¢ $\infty$ ¢ 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \dot{+}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | ¢ं) |  |  |  |

$N$
mi
$\stackrel{m}{-}$

81.6


## Table WS.3: Time to source of drinking water

Per cent distribution of household population according to time to go to source of drinking water, get water and return, for users of improved and unimproved drinking water sources, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Time to source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Users of improved drinking water sources |  |  |  | Users of unimproved drinking water sources |  |  |  | Total | Number of household members |
|  | Water on premises | Less than 30 minutes | $30 \mathrm{~min}-$ utes or more | Missing/ DK | Water on premises | Less than 30 minutes | 30 minutes or more | Missing/ DK |  |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 56.8 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1,858 |
| Manokwari | 56.8 | 9.5 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 19.7 | 9.7 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 6,912 |
| Sorong | 74.5 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 6.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,898 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 72.0 | 8.5 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 3,036 |
| Rural | 57.4 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 19.4 | 11.1 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 8,631 |
| Education of household head* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 43.4 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 11.1 | 20.6 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 765 |
| Primary | 53.7 | 8.5 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 17.7 | 14.4 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 3,968 |
| SMP/SM | 64.8 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5,369 |
| Higher | 76.2 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 1,561 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 32.8 | 7.4 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 22.0 | 26.7 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2,333 |
| Second | (47.0) | 11.3 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 22.0 | 15.0 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,337 |
| Middle | 57.8 | 12.1 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 21.5 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 2,326 |
| Fourth | 78.9 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,337 |
| Richest | 89.5 | 2.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2,334 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 48.6 | 10.6 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 17.8 | 15.4 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5,790 |
| Jawa | 72.6 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 16.5 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 3,378 |
| Sulawesi | 79.2 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 12.1 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1,280 |
| Maluku | 70.5 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 12.8 | 7.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 720 |
| Others | 71.6 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 462 |
| Missing/DK | (50.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (2.8) | (47.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 37 |
| Total for 3 districts | 61.2 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 16.3 | 9.7 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 11,667 |
| * 4 cases with m <br> () Figures that ar | ssing "Ed based on | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lucation o } \\ & \text { 25-49 ur } \end{aligned}$ | f househ weighted | old head" cases | not shown |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table WS.4: Person collecting water

Percentage of households without drinking water on premises, and per cent distribution of households without drinking water on premises according to the person usually collecting drinking water used in the household, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

|  | Percentage of households without drinking water on premises | Number of households | Person usually collecting drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of house- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Adult woman | Adult man | Female child under age 15 | Male child under age 15 | Missing | DK | Total | without drinking water on premises |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 30.0 | 448 | 43.6 | 52.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 134 |
| Manokwari | 22.8 | 1638 | 59.7 | 36.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 374 |
| Sorong | 14.1 | 730 | 55.9 | 38.4 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 103 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.2 | 697 | 50.4 | 44.6 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Rural | 22.9 | 2119 | 56.9 | 39.1 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 485 |
| Education of household head* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 44.8 | 208 | 57.0 | 35.6 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 93 |
| Primary | 26.7 | 950 | 56.9 | 38.5 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 254 |
| SMP/SM | 17.3 | 1245 | 53.0 | 43.8 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 215 |
| Higher | 12.0 | 412 | (57.1) | (42.3) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.6) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 50 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 44.5 | 568 | 63.6 | 32.6 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 253 |
| Second | 29.0 | 550 | 54.6 | 40.9 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Middle | 20.0 | 565 | 44.6 | 50.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 113 |
| Fourth | 10.7 | 602 | 48.5 | 49.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | $100.0$ | 64 |
| Richest | 4.2 | 532 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 22 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 35.5 | 1231 | 60.5 | 35.4 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 437 |
| Jawa | 10.4 | 937 | 42.7 | 55.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 98 |
| Sulawesi | 9.2 | 342 | (30.3) | (62.7) | (0.0) | (5.4) | (0.0) | (1.6) | 100.0 | 32 |
| Maluku | 14.2 | 174 | (37.0) | (46.3) | (3.3) | (6.1) | (1.2) | (6.2) | 100.0 | 25 |
| Others | 15.2 | 124 | (*) | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 19 |
| Total for 3 districts | 21.7 | 2816 | 55.5 | 40.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 611 |
| * 2 cases with m head" not sho | sing "Ethn <br> n | city of h | ousehold | head" | and 1 case | e with m | issing "E | ducation | of hou | sehold |
| () Figures that ar | based on 2 | 5-49 unw | eighted c | ases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) Figures that ar | based on f | wer tha | 25 unwe | ighted | ases |  |  |  |  |  |

Table WS. 4 shows that for 56 per cent of households, an adult female is usually the person collecting the water, when the source of drinking water is not on the premises. Adult men collect water in 40 per cent of cases, while for the rest of the households, female ( 2 per cent) or male children ( 2 per cent) under age 15 collect water.

### 7.2. USE OF IMPROVED SANITATION FACILITIES

Inadequate disposal of human excreta and personal hygiene is associated with a range of diseases including diarrhoeal diseases and polio. An improved sanitation facility is defined as one that hygienically separates human excreta from human contact. Improved sanitation can reduce diarrhoeal disease by more than a third, and can significantly lessen the adverse health impacts of other disorders responsible for death and disease among millions of children in developing countries. Improved sanitation facilities for excreta disposal include flush or pour flush to a piped sewer system, septic tank, or pit latrine; ventilated improved pit latrine, pit latrine with slab, and use of a composting toilet.

Seventy-one per cent of the population of the three selected districts of West Papua Province is living in households that use improved sanitation facilities that flush to septic tank ( 59 per cent) or use pit latrine with slab ( 13 per cent) (Table WS.5). About 13 per cent of the population have no facility or use bushes or fields.
Table WS.5: Types of sanitation facilities
Per cent distribution of household population according to type of toilet facility used by the household, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, ndonesia, 2011

|  | Type of toilet facility used by household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Open defecation (no facility, bush, field) | Total | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unmproved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flush/pour flush to: |  |  |  | Ventilated Improved Pit latrine (VIP) | Pit latrine with slab | Composting toilet | Flush/ pour flush to somewhere else | Pit latrine without slab/ open pit | Bucket | Hanging toilet/ hanging latrine | Other | Missing |  |  |  |
|  | Flush to piped sewer system | Flush to septic tank | Flush to pit (latrine) | Flush to unknown place/Not sure/DK where |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaimana | 0.0 | 36.0 | 31.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 25.2 | 100.0 | 1,858 |
| Manokwari | 0.0 | 62.7 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 0.1 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 12.5 | 100.0 | 6,912 |
| Sorong | 0.1 | 62.8 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 19.6 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 2,898 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.0 | 65.1 | 21.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 3,036 |
| Rural | 0.0 | 56.1 | 9.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 11.8 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 16.5 | 100.0 | 8,631 |
| Education of household* <br> None | 0.0 | 33.1 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 100.0 | 765 |
| Primary | 0.1 | 45.5 | 8.9 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 13.0 | 0.6 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 18.3 | 100.0 | 3,968 |
| SMP/SM | 0.0 | 65.0 | 13.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 5,369 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 81.2 | 8.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 1,561 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.1 | 16.2 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0.5 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 43.7 | 100.0 | 2,333 |
| Second | 0.0 | 36.0 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 2,337 |
| Middle | 0.0 | 66.9 | 14.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 2,326 |
| Fourth | 0.0 | 81.5 | 12.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2,337 |
| Richest | 0.0 | 91.6 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2,334 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papua | 0.1 | 48.0 | 13.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 21.3 | 100.0 | 5,790 |
| Jawa | 0.0 | 68.0 | 8.3 | (0.1) | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 16.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 3,378 |
| Sulawesi | 0.0 | 74.5 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1,280 |
| Maluku | 0.0 | 64.2 | 19.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 100.0 | 720 |
| Others | 0.0 | 67.3 | 13.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 462 |
| Missing/DK | (0.0) | (49.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (3.4) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (47.1) | 100.0 | 37 |
| Total for 3 districts | 0.0 | 58.5 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 9.4 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 11,667 |

Table WS.6: Use and sharing of sanitation facilities
 Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
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About one-fourth of the population Kaimana District has no facility or use bushes or fields (25 per cent). No facility or use of bushes or fields is much less common in Manokwari (13 per cent) and Sorong ( 5 per cent). About 69, 73 and 69 per cent of the population in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively use facilities that flush to a septic tank or pit (latrines). As expected, the percentage of population that have no facility or use bushes or fields is higher in rural areas (17 per cent) than urban areas (1 per cent). The table indicates that no facility or use of bushes or fields is strongly correlated with both education level of household head and wealth.

The MDGs and the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP) for Water Supply and Sanitation classify households as using an unimproved sanitation facility if they are using otherwise acceptable sanitation facilities but sharing a facility between two or more households or using a public toilet facility.

As shown in Table WS.6, 52 per cent of the household population is using an improved sanitation facility (Kaimana, 44 per cent; Manokwari, 56 per cent; Sorong, 49 per cent). About 19 per cent of the household population are using an improved sanitation facility shared with others. As expected, use of improved sanitation facilitiesy correlates strongly with area, education of head of household and wealth (Figure WS.2). For example, the percentage of households using an improved sanitation facility increases dramatically from 11 per cent among the poorest household population to 89 per cent for the richest.

Figure WS.2: Percentage of household population using improved (not shared) sanitation facilities, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


In its 2008 report, the JMP developed a new way of presenting the access figures, by disaggregating and refining the data on drinking-water and sanitation and reflecting them in "ladder" format. This ladder allows a disaggregated analysis of trends in a three rung ladder for drinking-water and a four-rung ladder for sanitation. For sanitation, this
gives an understanding of the proportion of population with no sanitation facilities at all, of those reliant on technologies defined by JMP as "unimproved," of those sharing sanitation facilities of otherwise acceptable technology, and those using "improved" sanitation facilities. Table WS. 7 presents the percentages of household population by drinking water and sanitation ladders. The table also shows the percentage of household members using improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal.

About 43 per cent of household population use both improved drinking sources and sanitation with clear correlation with background characteristics (Figure WS.3). Wide disparities exist among districts: Higher percentages of household populations using both improved drinking sources and sanitation were seen in Manokwari and Sorong ( 45 per cent each) than in Kaimana ( 32 per cent). Urban areas exhibit a higher use of both improved drinking sources and sanitation ( 56 per cent) than rural ( 38 per cent). Strong positive associations exist for this indicator by education of head of household and wealth.

Figure WS.3: Percentage of household population using improved drinking water sources and improved sanitation, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
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\subsection*{7.3. DISTANCE BETWEEN WATER SOURCE AND CLOSEST EXCRETA DISPOSAL}

In cities, toilets are connected to septic tanks with absorption fields. In order to avoid the contamination of drinking water by sewage, the Indonesian Ministry of Public Works recommends that the distance between the septic tank absorption field and the water source be at least 10 metres.

About 46 per cent of households in all three districts reported that their water source is 10 or more metres away from the closest excreta place while about 28 per cent did not know how great the distance was. By district the proportion of households reporting water sources 10 or more metres away from the closest excreta place was 52, 40 and 35 per cent in Manokwari, Kaimana and Sorong respectively. In Sorong District 58 per cent of households did not know the distance between their water source and the closest excreta disposal place (Table WS.8) compared with 20 and 17 per cent in Kaimana and Manokwari.

Table WS.8: Distance between water source and closest excreta disposal

Percentage of household population by distance between water source and closest excreta disposal, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & less than 10 meters & 10 meters or more & DK & Missing & Total & Number of household members \\
\hline District & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 28.4 & 39.9 & 20.2 & 11.6 & 100.0 & 1,858 \\
\hline Manokwari & 20.1 & 52.1 & 16.8 & 10.9 & 100.0 & 6,912 \\
\hline Sorong & 6.0 & 35.0 & 57.7 & 1.3 & 100.0 & 2,898 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 26.2 & 40.4 & 25.1 & 8.3 & 100.0 & 3,036 \\
\hline Rural & 15.0 & 47.9 & 28.4 & 8.8 & 100.0 & 8,631 \\
\hline Education of household head* & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 9.2 & 48.6 & 27.8 & 14.4 & 100.0 & 765 \\
\hline Primary & 16.0 & 51.3 & 25.7 & 7.1 & 100.0 & 3,968 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 19.6 & 42.4 & 29.4 & 8.5 & 100.0 & 5,369 \\
\hline Higher & 21.3 & 43.0 & 25.5 & 10.2 & 100.0 & 1,561 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 6.5 & 53.1 & 25.6 & 14.7 & 100.0 & 2,333 \\
\hline Second & 15.8 & 51.6 & 27.6 & 5.0 & 100.0 & 2,337 \\
\hline Middle & 21.5 & 44.0 & 28.1 & 6.4 & 100.0 & 2,326 \\
\hline Fourth & 28.4 & 39.3 & 25.5 & 6.8 & 100.0 & 2,337 \\
\hline Richest & 17.4 & 41.6 & 30.8 & 10.3 & 100.0 & 2,334 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 14.6 & 51.1 & 23.0 & 11.4 & 100.0 & 5,790 \\
\hline Jawa & 19.0 & 40.6 & 35.5 & 4.9 & 100.0 & 3,378 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 25.1 & 42.7 & 23.5 & 8.8 & 100.0 & 1,280 \\
\hline Maluku & 25.2 & 36.3 & 31.6 & 6.9 & 100.0 & 720 \\
\hline Others & 33.2 & 89.2 & 58.4 & 9.1 & 100.0 & 462 \\
\hline Missing/DK & 15.3 & 53.8 & 27.6 & 3.4 & 100.0 & 37 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 17.9 & 45.9 & 27.5 & 8.6 & 100.0 & 11,667 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{* 4 case with missing "Education of household head" not shown} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH}

\subsection*{8.1. FERTILITY}

In MICS4, adolescent birth rates and total fertility rates are calculated by using information on the date of last birth of each woman and are based on the one-year period (1-12 months) preceding the survey. Rates are underestimated by a very small margin due to absence of information on multiple births (twins, triplets etc) and on women having multiple deliveries during the one-year period preceding the survey.

Table RH. 1 shows adolescent birth rates and total fertility rate. The adolescent birth rate (age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19) is defined as the number of births to women age 15-19 years during the one-year period preceding the survey, divided by the average number of women age 15-19 (number of women-years lived between ages 15 through 19, inclusive) during the same period, expressed per 1,000 women. The total fertility rate (TFR) is calculated by summing the age-specific fertility rates calculated for each of the 5 -year age groups of women, from age 15 through to age 49. The TFR denotes the average number of children to which a woman will have given birth by the end of her reproductive years if current fertility rates prevailed.

Figure RH.1: Percentage of women age \(\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}\) who have had a live birth or who are pregnant with the first child, or who have begun childbearing before age 15 by district, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


Table RH.1: Adolescent birth rate and total fertility rate

Adolescent birth rates and total fertility rates, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Adolescent birth rate \({ }^{1}\) (Age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19) & Total fertility rate \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 66 & 3.2 \\
\hline Manokwari & 44 & 3.1 \\
\hline Sorong & 53 & 2.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 18 & 2.7 \\
\hline Rural & 63 & 3.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Women's Education} \\
\hline None & 0 & 4.2 \\
\hline Primary & 164 & 3.1 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 32 & 3.2 \\
\hline Higher & 25 & 3.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 101 & 3.6 \\
\hline Second & 45 & 3.1 \\
\hline Middle & 55 & 3.5 \\
\hline Fourth & 36 & 2.6 \\
\hline Richest & 19 & 2.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head} \\
\hline Papua & 53 & 3.7 \\
\hline Others & 45 & 2.5 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 45 & 3.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 5.1; MDG indicator 5.4} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The TFR in the three selected districts of West Papua for the one-year period preceding the survey is 3 children per woman. TFR is highest in Kaimana District ( 3.2 children per woman) and lowest in Sorong District ( 2.8 children per woman). TFR in Manokwari District is 3.1 children per woman.

Table RH. 1 also shows differentials in fertility by area of residence, education, wealth quintile and ethnicity. TFR deceases as mother's education increases and also with increasing wealth. TFR is higher among households headed by Papuans compared with others.

The adolescent birth rate (Age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19) is 49 births per 1,000 women. The adolescent birth rate is higher in Kaimana District ( 66 births per 1,000 women) than in Sorong ( 53 births per 1,000 women) and Manokwari ( 44 births per 1,000 women). The birth rate is highest among rural adolescents, the poorest adolescents, those with no education, and those whose heads of household are Papuan.

Sexual activity and childbearing early in life carry significant risks for young people all around the world. Table RH. 2 presents some early childbearing indicators for women age 15-19 and 20-24, while Table RH. 3 presents the trends for early childbearing. As shown in Table RH.2, 11 per cent of women age 15-19 have already given birth, four per cent are

\section*{Table RH.2: Early childbearing}

Percentage of women age 15-19 years who have had a live birth or who are pregnant with the first child and percentage of women age 15-19 years who have begun childbearing, percentage of women who have had a live birth before age 15, and percentage of women age 20-24 who have had a live birth before age 18, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & ercentage 15-19 & of women ag who: & & Number of women & Percentage of women age & Number of women \\
\hline & Have had a live birth & Are pregnant with first child & Have begun childbearing & Have had a live birth before age 15 & age 15-19 & 20-24 who have had a live birth before age \(18{ }^{1}\) & age 20-24 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 12.2 & 0.4 & 12.6 & 0.0 & 63 & 15.5 & 58 \\
\hline Manokwari & 10.3 & 5.1 & 15.4 & 1.6 & 301 & 15.2 & 265 \\
\hline Sorong & 10.8 & 2.1 & 13.0 & 1.1 & 101 & 16.8 & 72 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 10.0 & 4.4 & 14.4 & 1.5 & 138 & 5.2 & 116 \\
\hline Rural & 10.9 & 3.6 & 14.5 & 1.2 & 327 & 19.8 & 279 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 6 & (*) & 7 \\
\hline Primary & 28.7 & 8.0 & 36.8 & 1.8 & 62 & 30.3 & 79 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 7.3 & 3.8 & 11.1 & 1.4 & 342 & 15.8 & 201 \\
\hline Higher & 11.1 & 0.0 & 11.1 & 0.0 & 56 & 1.3 & 108 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 20.1 & 2.5 & 22.5 & 2.3 & 86 & 38.7 & 74 \\
\hline Second & 9.2 & 6.7 & 15.9 & 0.0 & 96 & 21.4 & 68 \\
\hline Middle & 8.2 & 6.5 & 14.6 & 0.0 & 70 & 13.8 & 74 \\
\hline Fourth & 9.4 & 1.7 & 11.1 & 1.6 & 124 & 9.0 & 89 \\
\hline Richest & 6.8 & 3.1 & 9.9 & 2.0 & 88 & 0.0 & 90 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 14.8 & 3.2 & 18.0 & 1.6 & 248 & 19.0 & 193 \\
\hline Jawa & 5.9 & 3.9 & 9.9 & 0.0 & 130 & 18.1 & 117 \\
\hline Sulawesi & (8.8) & (9.3) & (18.1) & (4.4) & 41 & 2.1 & 51 \\
\hline Maluku & (4.8) & (0.9) & (5.7) & (0.0) & 33 & (5.3) & 27 \\
\hline Others & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 13 & (*) & 7 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 10.7 & 3.8 & 14.5 & 1.3 & 465 & 15.5 & 395 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{* 1 case with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 5.2
pregnant with their first child, 15 per cent have begun childbearing and one per cent has gave birth before age 15. More women have begun childbearing in Manokwari District than in other districts (Figure RH.1).

Sixteen per cent of women aged 20-24 years gave birth before reaching 18 years of age. The percentage of women giving birth before age 18 in this age group did not vary much among districts (Kaimana, 16 per cent; Manokwari, 15 per cent; Sorong, 17 per cent).


\subsection*{8.2. CONTRACEPTION}

Appropriate family planning is important to the health of women and children by: 1) preventing pregnancies that are too early or too late; 2 ) extending the period between births; and 3 ) limiting the number of children. Access by all couples to information and services to prevent pregnancies that are too early, too closely spaced, too late or too many is critical.

Current use of contraception in the three selected districts of West Papua was reported by half of women currently married ( 50 per cent) (Table RH.4). The lowest current use was seen in Kaimana District ( 30 per cent), mostly modern methods, compared with 54 per cent each in Manokwari and Sorong districts with women also mostly using modern methods (Figure RH.2).

Figure RH.2: Percentage of currently married women aged \(15-49\) years using contraceptive methods, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


The most popular methods in Kaimana District are injectables (18 per cent) and the pill (9 per cent). The most popular methods in Manokwari are injectables (29 per cent) and the pill (11 per cent). The most popular methods in Sorong are injectables (31 per cent) and the pill (14 per cent).

Only about 21 per cent of women aged 15-19 currently use a method of contraception compared 58 per cent for women aged 35-39.

Women's education levels are associated with contraceptive prevalence. The percentage of women using any method of contraception rises from 23 per cent among those with no education to 47 per cent among women with primary education, to 57 per cent among women with secondary education and drops to 44 per cent among women with higher education. The method mix did not vary by education. Contraceptive users among the different education categories were mostly using injectables and the pill.

Use of any contraceptive method did not show clearly the expected positive association between contraceptive use and number of living children a woman had.
Table RH.4: Use of contraception
 West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 5.3; MDG indicator 5.3
Table RH.4: Use of contraception (continued)
 West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Not using any method} & \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{Per cent of women (currently married or in union) who are using:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women currently married or in union with need for contraception} \\
\hline & & Female sterilization & Male sterilization & IUD & Injectables & Implants & Pill & Male condom & Female condom & Diaphragm/ foam/ jelly & Lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM) & Periodic abstinence/ Rhythm & Withdrawal & Any modern method & Any traditional method & Any method \({ }^{1}\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 76.7 & 1.2 & 1.0 & 15.8 & 1.4 & 3.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 23.3 & 0.0 & 23.3 & 111 \\
\hline Primary & 53.1 & 4.4 & 0.7 & 24.5 & 4.3 & 11.1 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.6 & 45.6 & 1.3 & 46.9 & 661 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 43.1 & 3.6 & 0.8 & 31.9 & 3.4 & 12.8 & 2.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.5 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 54.6 & 2.3 & 56.9 & 995 \\
\hline Higher & 56.2 & 2.3 & 2.0 & 23.5 & 3.6 & 8.7 & 2.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 42.6 & 1.2 & 43.8 & 220 \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 67.4 & 1.8 & 0.3 & 22.1 & 1.7 & 5.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 31.6 & 1.0 & 32.6 & 350 \\
\hline Second & 50.0 & 4.4 & 0.6 & 31.2 & 3.2 & 9.3 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 48.9 & 1.1 & 50.0 & 378 \\
\hline Middle & 48.6 & 0.2 & 1.8 & 28.4 & 5.8 & 12.6 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.4 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 49.4 & 2.0 & 51.4 & 389 \\
\hline Fourth & 40.3 & 5.3 & 0.1 & 30.4 & 4.0 & 13.7 & 4.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 58.2 & 1.6 & 59.7 & 437 \\
\hline Richest & 45.9 & 5.9 & 1.6 & 25.3 & 3.1 & 14.0 & 1.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 1.9 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 51.2 & 2.9 & 54.1 & 434 \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 63.8 & 3.7 & 0.7 & 23.5 & 2.1 & 3.9 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 34.9 & 1.3 & 36.2 & 826 \\
\hline Jawa & 35.0 & 3.1 & 1.2 & 34.0 & 6.2 & 17.3 & 1.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 63.4 & 1.6 & 65.0 & 685 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 43.2 & 5.7 & 1.3 & 29.1 & 2.6 & 12.5 & 3.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.2 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 54.7 & 2.1 & 56.8 & 247 \\
\hline Maluku & 56.8 & 2.3 & 0.4 & 22.6 & 2.0 & 11.4 & 2.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.4 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 40.9 & 2.3 & 43.2 & 134 \\
\hline Others & 36.8 & 3.3 & 0.0 & 21.0 & 2.9 & 30.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.0 & 2.0 & 0.5 & 57.6 & 5.5 & 63.2 & 89 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 49.8 & 3.6 & 0.9 & 27.6 & 3.6 & 11.3 & 1.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 48.5 & 1.7 & 50.2 & 1,987 \\
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{*6 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.3. UNMET NEED}

Unmet need for contraception refers to fecund women who are not using any method of contraception, but who wish to postpone the next birth (spacing) or who wish to stop childbearing altogether (limiting). Unmet need is identified in MICS by using a set of questions eliciting current behaviours and preferences pertaining to contraceptive use, fecundity, and fertility preferences.

Table RH. 5 shows the levels of met need for contraception, unmet need, and the demand for contraception satisfied.

Unmet need for spacing is defined as the percentage of women who are not using a method of contraception AND
- are not pregnant and not postpartum amenorrheic \({ }^{10}\) and are fecund \({ }^{11}\) and say they want to wait two or more years for their next birth OR
- are not pregnant and not postpartum amenorrheic and are fecund and unsure whether they want another child OR
- are pregnant and say that pregnancy was mistimed: would have wanted to wait OR
- are postpartum amenorrheic and say that the birth was mistimed: would have wanted to wait

Unmet need for limiting is defined as percentage of women who are not using a method of contraception AND
- are not pregnant and not postpartum amenorrheic and are fecund and say they do not want any more children OR
- are pregnant and say they do not want to have a child OR
- are postpartum amenorrheic and say that they did not want the birth

Total unmet need for contraception is the sum of unmet need for spacing and unmet need for limiting.

In this survey data was not completely collected to allow for the proper calculation of the unmet need indicators. More specifically, data was not collected on return of the menstrual period of a woman. Nonetheless results for these indicators are presented so as to give some indication of the levels of unmet need for contraception.

Total unmet need for contraception in the three districts of Papua is about 11 per cent, i.e. one in 10 women are not using contraceptives but wish to stop having children (limit) or postpone the next pregnancy for at least two years (space) (Table RH.4A). Slightly less women are in unmet need for limiting for contraception ( 5 per cent) than in unmet need in for spacing for contraception ( 6 per cent).

\footnotetext{
10 A women is postpartum amenorrheic if she had a birth in last two years and is not currently pregnant, and her menstrual period has not returned since the birth of the last child
11 A women is considered infecund if she is neither pregnant nor postpartum amenorrheic, and
(1a) has not had menstruation for at least six months, or (1b) never menstruated, or (1c) her last menstruation occurred before her last birth, or (1d) in menopause/has had hysterectomy OR
(2) She declares that she has had a hysterectomy, or that she has never menstruated or that she is menopausal, or that she has been trying to get pregnant for 2 or more years without result in response to questions on why she thinks she is not physically able to get pregnant at the time of survey OR
(3) She declares she cannot get pregnant when asked about desire for future birth OR
(4) She has not had a birth in the preceding 5 years, is currently not using contraception and is currently married and was continuously married during the last 5 years preceding the survey
}

Table RH.4A: Unmet need for contraception
Percentage of women age 15-49 years currently married or in union with an unmet need for family planning and percentage of demand for contraception satisfied, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.4. ANTENATAL CARE}

The antenatal period presents important opportunities for reaching pregnant women with a number of interventions that may be vital to their health and well-being and that of their infants. Better understanding of foetal growth and development and its relationship to the mother's health has resulted in increased attention to the potential of antenatal care as an intervention to improve both maternal and newborn health. For example, if the antenatal period is used to inform women and families about the danger signs and symptoms and about the risks of labour and delivery, it may provide the route for ensuring that pregnant women do, in practice, deliver with the assistance of a skilled health care provider. The antenatal period also provides an opportunity to supply information on birth spacing, which is recognized as an important factor in improving infant survival. Tetanus immunization during pregnancy can be life-saving for both the mother and infant. The prevention and treatment of malaria among pregnant women, management of anaemia during pregnancy and treatment of STIs can significantly improve foetal outcomes and improve maternal health. Adverse outcomes such as low birth weight can be reduced through a combination of interventions to improve women's nutritional status and prevent infections (e.g., malaria and STIs) during pregnancy. More recently, the potential of the antenatal period as an entry point for HIV prevention and care, in particular for the prevention of HIV transmission from mother to child, has led to renewed interest in access to and use of antenatal services.

WHO recommends a minimum of four antenatal visits based on a review of the effectiveness of different models of antenatal care. WHO guidelines are specific on the content on antenatal care visits, which include:
- Blood pressure measurement
- Urine testing for bateriuria and proteinuria
- Blood testing to detect syphilis and severe anemia
- Weight/height measurement (optional)

The type of personnel providing antenatal care to women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey is presented in Table RH.5. Coverage of antenatal care (by a doctor, nurse or midwife) is higher in Sorong District ( 91 per cent) than in Manokwari District (86 per cent) or Kaimana District (84 per cent). Within Kaimana and Sorong districts, antenatal care is provided mostly by midwifves, while in Manokwari antenatal care is provided mostly by doctors. Antenatal care coverage is higher in urban areas ( 88 per cent) than in rural ( 82 per cent). Most women who sought antenatal care were age 20-34 years, had higher education and lived in households among the richest fifth wealth quintile (Figure RH.3).

UNICEF and WHO recommend a minimum of at least four antenatal care visits during pregnancy. Table RH. 6 shows number of antenatal care visits during the last pregnancy during the two years preceding the survey, regardless of provider, by selected characteristics. About 87 per cent of mothers received antenatal care more than once and 65 per cent of mothers received antenatal care at least four times. The percentage of mothers who received antenatal care at least four times was 54, 67 and 73 per cent in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively. Mothers from the poorest households, those with primary education and those whose head of household is Papuan are less likely than more advantaged mothers to receive antenatal care four or more times. For example, 22 per cent of the women living in the poorest households reported four or more antenatal care visits compared with 83 per cent among those living in richest households.

Figure RH.3: Percentage of women age 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey who recieved antenatal care at least once by skilled personnel, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


The types of services pregnant women received are shown in table RH.7. Among those women who have given birth to a child during the two years preceding the survey, 40 per cent reported that a blood sample was taken during antenatal care visits, 79 per cent reported that their blood pressure was checked, 32 per cent that a urine specimen was taken and 21 per cent that all three tests were made. Women living in Manokwari (19 per cent) and Sorong (17 per cent) districts were less likely to have all three tests made than those living in Kaimana District ( 30 per cent). Similarly, women with no education, the poorest women, younger women (age less than 20 years) and older women ( \(35-49\) years) were also less likely to receive all tests.
Table RH.5: Antenatal care coverage
Per cent distribution of women age 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey by type of personnel providing antenatal care during the pregnancy for the last birth, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Person providing antenatal care} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Any skilled personnel \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women who gave birth in the preceding two years} \\
\hline & Doctor & Midwife & Nurse & Traditional birth attendant & Community health worker & No antenatal care received & & & \\
\hline District & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 15.3 & 55.7 & 12.7 & 1.4 & 0.5 & 14.5 & 100.0 & 83.7 & 99 \\
\hline Manokwari & 50.9 & 31.8 & 3.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 14.2 & 100.0 & 85.8 & 287 \\
\hline Sorong & 25.5 & 61.0 & 4.7 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 8.2 & 100.0 & 91.2 & 102 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 42.3 & 39.2 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 17.3 & 100.0 & 82.0 & 124 \\
\hline Rural & 37.0 & 44.0 & 7.1 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 11.5 & 100.0 & 88.1 & 365 \\
\hline Mother's age at birth & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Less than 20 & 20.1 & 46.3 & 7.5 & 1.8 & 0.0 & 24.2 & 100.0 & 73.9 & 54 \\
\hline 20-34 & 42.0 & 41.5 & 4.9 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 11.3 & 100.0 & 88.5 & 367 \\
\hline 35-49 & 33.2 & 46.6 & 6.1 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 13.2 & 100.0 & 86.0 & 68 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & (9.9) & (26.2) & (20.7) & (0.0) & (2.6) & (40.6) & 100.0 & (56.8) & 23 \\
\hline Primary & 20.4 & 45.5 & 9.9 & 1.1 & 0.4 & 22.7 & 100.0 & 75.8 & 128 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 44.1 & 45.4 & 2.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 7.7 & 100.0 & 92.3 & 272 \\
\hline Higher & 58.8 & 32.2 & 2.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 6.7 & 100.0 & 93.3 & 67 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 16.0 & 47.3 & 11.2 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 24.2 & 100.0 & 74.5 & 109 \\
\hline Second & 29.7 & 48.1 & 6.7 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 14.9 & 100.0 & 84.6 & 96 \\
\hline Middle & 39.1 & 45.4 & 5.5 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 9.3 & 100.0 & 90.1 & 103 \\
\hline Fourth & 44.7 & 45.3 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 8.0 & 100.0 & 92.0 & 93 \\
\hline Richest & 68.2 & 25.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 6.4 & 100.0 & 93.6 & 87 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 32.1 & 40.2 & 7.3 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 19.4 & 100.0 & 79.6 & 251 \\
\hline Jawa & 40.3 & 51.8 & 2.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 5.0 & 100.0 & 95.0 & 128 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 63.5 & 28.9 & 0.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 6.7 & 100.0 & 93.3 & 59 \\
\hline Maluku & 23.8 & 55.9 & 9.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 11.0 & 100.0 & 89.0 & 34 \\
\hline Others & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 100.0 & (*) & 15 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 38.4 & 42.8 & 5.4 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 13.0 & 100.0 & 86.5 & 489 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
Table RH.6: Number of antenatal care visits
Per cent distribution of women who had a live birth during the two years preceding the survey by number of antenatal care visits by any provider, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Per cent distribution of women who had:} & Total & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women who had a live birth in the preceding two years} \\
\hline & No antenetal care visits & \(\stackrel{1}{\text { Visit }}\) & Visits & \[
\begin{gathered}
3 \\
\text { Visits }
\end{gathered}
\] & 4 or more visits \({ }^{1}\) & Missing/DK & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}







* 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
Total for 3 districts

() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighte
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 5.5a; MDG indicator 5.5
Table RH.7: Content of antenatal care
Percentage of women age 15-49 years who had their blood pressure measured, urine sample taken, and blood sample taken as part of antenatal care, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011





* 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

\title{

}
Total for 3 districts
District
Kaimana
Manokwari
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Urb
Mother's age at birth
Less than 20
20-34
\(35-49\)
ducation
None
Primary
SMP/SM
Higher
Wealth index quintile
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Fourth
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Papua
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\subsection*{8.5. MALARIA TESTING AND PROTECTION DURING ANTENATAL CARE VISIT}

Pregnant women are particularly vulnerable to malaria as pregnancy reduces a woman's immunity to malaria, making her more susceptible to malaria infection and increasing the risk of illness, severe anaemia and death. For the unborn child, maternal malaria increases the risk of spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, premature delivery and low birth weight-a leading cause of child mortality. \({ }^{12}\)

Table RH.8: Malaria testing and protection during antenatal care visit
Percentage of women age 15-49 years who had a live birth during the two years preceding the survey who received a test for malaria during pregnancy at any antenatal care visit by malaria test result Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of women who received antenatal care (ANC) and had malaria blood test} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of women who received an ITN during antenatal visit} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women who had a live birth in the two years preceeding the survey} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Percentage of pregnant women whose malaria test was:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women who had a live birth in the last two years and who received antenatal care and recieved malaria test} \\
\hline & & & & Positive (malaria present) & Negative (no malaria) & DK & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{District}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline str & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 45.4 & 30.8 & 99 & 13.5 & 86.5 & 0.0 & 45 \\
\hline Manokwari & 46.0 & 56.8 & 287 & 48.0 & 52.0 & 0.0 & 132 \\
\hline Sorong & 53.9 & 61.3 & 102 & 17.1 & 65.7 & 17.2 & 55 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 43.4 & 40.4 & 124 & 24.3 & 74.2 & 1.5 & 54 \\
\hline Rural & 48.9 & 56.5 & 365 & 36.9 & 58.2 & 4.9 & 178 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & (13.6) & (45.4) & 23 & (*) & (*) & (*) & 3 \\
\hline Primary & 43.7 & 51.6 & 128 & 29.0 & 65.6 & 5.4 & 56 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 51.6 & 52.6 & 272 & 36.2 & 60.4 & 3.5 & 140 \\
\hline Higher & 49.4 & 56.1 & 67 & (33.8) & (63.8) & (2.4) & 33 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 44.0 & 53.9 & 109 & 27.1 & 71.3 & 1.6 & 48 \\
\hline Second & 54.3 & 52.5 & 96 & 26.7 & 60.9 & 12.4 & 52 \\
\hline Middle & 51.3 & 68.0 & 103 & 48.1 & 49.1 & 2.8 & 53 \\
\hline Fourth & 54.2 & 43.8 & 93 & (33.3) & (66.7) & (0.0) & 51 \\
\hline Richest & 32.6 & 43.6 & 87 & (34.1) & (63.1) & (2.8) & 28 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 46.0 & 56.2 & 251 & 35.4 & 63.3 & 1.4 & 115 \\
\hline Jawa & 51.6 & 53.0 & 128 & 40.0 & 50.4 & 9.6 & 66 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 33.8 & 46.9 & 59 & (*) & (*) & (*) & 20 \\
\hline Maluku & 62.4 & 36.9 & 34 & 18.8 & 81.2 & 0.0 & 21 \\
\hline Others & (*) & (*) & 15 & (*) & (*) & (*) & 7 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 47.5 & 52.7 & 489 & 34.0 & 61.9 & 4.1 & 232 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

\footnotetext{
12 http://www.who.int/features/2003/04b/en/
}

Based on available evidence, WHO recommends a three-pronged approach to the prevention and management of malaria during pregnancy:
- Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs)
- Intermittent preventive treatment
- Effective case management of malarial illness.

In Indonesia, especially in malaria endemic areas, there is a policy to conduct a rapid diagnostic test (RDT) for malaria during antenatal visits. Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) are also distributed to all pregnant women during the first antenatal visit. Furthermore, anti-malarial drugs are given to those pregnant mothers with positive malaria blood test results. At the request of the Ministry of Health, MICS in Selected Districts of West Papua incorporated additional questions designed to assess the implementation of this policy.

Table RH. 8 shows that 48 per cent of women in the three selected districts of West Papua Province who received antenatal care had a malaria blood test. By district, the percentages are 54, 46 and 45 per cent in Sorong, Manokwari and Kaimana respectively. About half of the women ( 48 per cent) who received the malaria test in Manokwari District had malaria, compared with 17 and 14 per cent in Sorong and Kaimana districts.

Sleeping under ITNs is an important measure for protecting pregnant women and their newborns from malaria-carrying mosquitoes. The percentage of women who received an ITN during an antenatal visit in the three selected districts of West Papua was reported as 53 per cent. The percentage was the highest in Sorong District (61 per cent), followed by Manokwari (57 per cent) and Kaimana (31 per cent).

Table RH. 9 shows that of those women whose blood tested positive for malaria, 15 per cent were given any anti-malarial drug in the three selected districts. By district the percentages are: 21, 9 and 5 per cent in Manokwari, Sorong and Kaimana respectively.
Table RH．9：Treatment for malaria
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & ס\％\({ }_{\text {No }}^{\text {N }}\) & \[
\stackrel{\star}{\sim} \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}
\] & \[
\stackrel{N}{N} \underset{\sim}{N} \stackrel{\uparrow}{N}
\] &  & 두N ㅇNㄷ & \(\stackrel{8}{8}\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 市 } \\
& \hline \mathbf{~}
\end{aligned}
\] & － & ＇\(\underset{+}{+}\) & \[
\text { ' } \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\infty}} \underset{\sim}{\infty}
\] & －\(\quad\) ホ &  & \(\stackrel{\sim}{m}\) \\
\hline  & \[
0
\] & \[
\stackrel{\oplus}{\sim} \stackrel{9}{+}
\] & ' Mo &  ヘ ๓～\(\infty\)－ & \[
\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \stackrel{-}{0}
\] & \[
\stackrel{O}{+}
\] \\
\hline  & مٌ ọ & \[
1 \underset{0}{+}
\] & ' ' ®ٌo ' & \[
\text { ' } \underset{0}{\circ}{ }^{\infty}{ }^{\infty} \text { ' ' }
\] & \[
\underset{\sim}{m} \cdot \quad \underset{\sim}{\bullet}
\] & \[
\stackrel{m}{0}
\] \\
\hline  & \[
\stackrel{\infty}{0} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{+}}
\] & \[
\bigcirc \underset{O}{\circ} \underset{=}{\square}
\] & ＇＇\(\underbrace{\infty}\) & \[
' \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{ } \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}
\] & \[
\underset{\sim}{\sigma} \text { ' } 1
\] & \[
\bigcirc
\] \\
\hline  & \[
\stackrel{M}{0} \underset{0}{\circ}{ }_{0}^{\infty}
\] & N & \[
\underset{\sim}{N} \stackrel{m}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\sim}
\] & \[
\underset{\sim}{\ulcorner }
\] & No웃웅 & \(\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}\) \\
\hline  & \[
{ }^{\circ}
\] & & ' ' Ṇ ' & \[
\underset{0}{\circ} \text { ' } 1
\] & \[
\text { ' ' ' } \underset{\sim}{\operatorname{O}} \text { ' }
\] & \[
\overline{0}
\] \\
\hline Quinine／Kina & \[
\stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{+}{\dot{\circ}}
\] & \[
\stackrel{\text { ON }}{-}
\] & \[
\text { ' } \stackrel{\infty}{\square} \text { مٌ }
\] &  &  & \(\stackrel{9}{1}\) \\
\hline  & \[
\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim} \stackrel{\infty}{\dot{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{n}
\] & \[
\stackrel{m}{\mathrm{i}} \stackrel{+}{+}
\] &  & \[
\stackrel{+}{-} \stackrel{m}{\sim} \stackrel{m}{\sim} \stackrel{m}{\sim}
\] & L & \(\bar{\square}\) \\
\hline  & \[
\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\circ}} \stackrel{\hat{N}}{\infty}
\] &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\oplus} \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{N}} \stackrel{+}{\mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  & \(\stackrel{9}{+}\) \\
\hline  & \[
\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\sigma}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{lc}
0 \\
\underset{\infty}{\circ} & - \\
\infty \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] &  &  & ৎo Mo o & ¢ \\
\hline &  &  &  &  &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.6. ASSISTANCE AT DELIVERY}

Three quarters of all maternal deaths occur during delivery and the immediate postpartum period. The single most critical intervention for safe motherhood is to ensure a competent health worker with midwifery skills is present at every birth, and transport is available to a referral facility for obstetric care in case of emergency. A World Fit for Children goal is to ensure that women have ready and affordable access to skilled attendance at delivery. The indicators are the proportion of births with a skilled attendant and proportion of institutional deliveries. The skilled attendant at delivery indicator is also used to track progress toward the Millennium Development target of reducing the maternal mortality ratio by three quarters between 1990 and 2015.

The MICS included a number of questions to assess the proportion of births attended by a skilled attendant. A skilled attendant includes a doctor, nurse or midwife.

About 72 per cent of births occurring in the two years preceding the MICS survey were delivered by skilled personnel (Doctor, 22 per cent; Midwife, 45 per cent; Nurse, 5 per cent) (Table RH.10). The percentages of babies who were delivered by skilled personnel were 58, 76 and 75 per cent in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively. These deliveries were mostly assisted by midwives. Delivery by skilled personnel is higher among women who delivered in public and private sector health facilities (100 per cent each) than among women who delivered at home ( 54 per cent). Rural women, uneducated women, the poorest women and women from households with Papuan heads are less likely to be assisted by skilled personnel.

\subsection*{8.7. PLACE OF DELIVERY}

Increasing the proportion of births that are delivered in health facilities is an important factor in reducing the health risks to both the mother and the baby. Proper medical attention and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risks of complications and infection that can cause morbidity and mortality to either the mother or the baby. Table RH. 9 presents the per cent distribution of women age 15-49 that had a live birth in the two years preceding the survey by place of delivery and the percentage of births delivered in a health facility, according to background characteristics.

About 45 per cent of births in the three selected districts of West Papua are delivered in a health facility. The percentages of babies who were delivered in a health facility were 33, 54 and 22 per cent in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively. Delivery in a health facility is highest among women who had four or more visits ( 50 per cent) compared with only 14 per cent among women with no education. Rural women, uneducated women, the poorest women and women from households with Papuan heads are less likely to delivered in a health facility.
Table RH.10: Assistance during delivery
Per cent distribution of women age 15-49 who had a live birth in the two years preceding the survey by person assisting at delivery, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011





 * 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" and 16 cases with missing "Place of delivery" not shown
() Figures that are based on \(25-49\) unweighted cases; ( \(\left(^{*}\right.\) ) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases (*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

\section*{Table RH.11: Place of delivery}

Per cent distribution of women age 15-49 who had a live birth in two years preceding the survey by place of delivery, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Place of delivery} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Delivered in health facility \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women who had a live birth in preceding two years} \\
\hline & Public sector health facility & Private sector health facility & Home & Other & Missing/ DK & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 30.8 & 2.6 & 65.5 & 0.0 & 1.1 & 100.0 & 33.4 & 99 \\
\hline Manokwari & 43.4 & 10.9 & 38.4 & 2.0 & 5.2 & 100.0 & 54.4 & 287 \\
\hline Sorong & 17.8 & 4.0 & 77.4 & 0.8 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 21.8 & 102 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 60.7 & 8.1 & 23.8 & 0.0 & 7.4 & 100.0 & 68.8 & 124 \\
\hline Rural & 26.9 & 7.7 & 61.7 & 1.8 & 1.9 & 100.0 & 34.6 & 365 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Mother's age at birth} \\
\hline Less than 20
\(20-34\) & 26.3
35.7 & 5.2
7.7 & 53.5 & 3.0
1.4 & 7.0
2.3 & 100.0 & 31.5
43.4 & 54
367 \\
\hline 35-49 & 41.9 & 10.3 & 42.2 & 0.0 & 5.6 & 100.0 & 52.2 & 68 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Number of antenatal care visits*} \\
\hline None & 14.1 & 0.0 & 61.3 & 2.3 & 22.4 & 100.0 & 14.1 & 63 \\
\hline 1-3 visits & 35.5 & 4.1 & 55.5 & 3.3 & 1.7 & 100.0 & 39.6 & 106 \\
\hline 4+ visits & 39.7 & 10.6 & 49.1 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 50.3 & 318 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & (9.1) & (0.0) & (87.3) & (3.6) & (0.0) & 100.0 & (9.1) & 23 \\
\hline Primary & 26.3 & 3.2 & 67.0 & 1.4 & 2.1 & 100.0 & 29.5 & 128 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 39.1 & 9.2 & 48.1 & (0.9) & 2.8 & 100.0 & 48.3 & 272 \\
\hline Higher & 47.4 & 13.5 & 27.9 & 2.7 & 8.5 & 100.0 & 60.9 & 67 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 14.1 & 0.0 & 79.6 & 3.7 & 2.7 & 100.0 & 14.1 & 109 \\
\hline Second & 28.1 & 0.6 & 70.4 & 0.8 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 28.7 & 96 \\
\hline Middle & 38.9 & 5.4 & 52.5 & 0.0 & 3.2 & 100.0 & 44.3 & 103 \\
\hline Fourth & 45.4 & 12.6 & 37.5 & 0.0 & 4.5 & 100.0 & 58.0 & 93 \\
\hline Richest & 55.9 & 23.2 & 12.4 & 2.0 & 6.4 & 100.0 & 79.1 & 87 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 33.8 & 1.6 & 60.0 & 1.9 & 2.7 & 100.0 & 35.4 & 251 \\
\hline Jawa & 31.7 & 11.3 & 52.4 & 1.4 & 3.3 & 100.0 & 43.0 & 128 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 38.9 & 24.8 & 30.4 & 0.0 & 6.0 & 100.0 & 63.7 & 59 \\
\hline Maluku & 47.1 & 6.9 & 41.5 & 0.0 & 4.5 & 100.0 & 54.0 & 34 \\
\hline Others & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 100.0 & (*) & 15 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 35.5 & 7.8 & 52.1 & 1.4 & 3.3 & 100.0 & 43.3 & 489 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 2 case with missing "Ethnicity of household head" and 1 case with "Number of antenatal care visits" not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 5.8

\subsection*{9.1. LITERACY AMONG YOUNG WOMEN AND MEN}

One of the World Fit for Children goals is to assure adult literacy. Adult literacy is also an MDG indicator, relating to both men and women. In MICS, since only a women's questionnaire was administered, the results are based only on females age 15-24. Literacy was assessed on the ability of women to read a short simple statement or on school attendance. The percentages of people who are literate are presented in Table ED.1.

Table ED.1: Literacy among young women
Percentage of women age 15-24 years who are literate, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Percentage literate \({ }^{1}\) & Number of women age 15-24 years \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 73.7 & 121 \\
\hline Manokwari & 87.5 & 566 \\
\hline Sorong & 95.2 & 173 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 96.3 & 254 \\
\hline Rural & 83.2 & 606 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & (*) & 13 \\
\hline Primary & 50.4 & 141 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 94.8 & 543 \\
\hline Higher & 100.0 & 163 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Age} \\
\hline 15-19 & 88.6 & 465 \\
\hline 20-24 & 85.3 & 395 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 60.0 & 160 \\
\hline Second & 82.2 & 164 \\
\hline Middle & 93.7 & 144 \\
\hline Fourth & 97.5 & 213 \\
\hline Richest & 98.1 & 179 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 76.6 & 441 \\
\hline Jawa & 98.5 & 247 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 98.6 & 92 \\
\hline Maluku & 96.1 & 60 \\
\hline Others & (*) & 19 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 87.1 & 860 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
* 1 case with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown \\
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 7.1; MDG indicator 2.3

Table ED. 1 indicates that 87 per cent of women age 15-24 in the three districts of West Papua are literate with the lowest percentage in Kaimana District ( 74 per cent), compared with 88 per cent in Manokwari and 95 per cent in Sorong districts. Literacy status varies greatly by place of residence (Urban, 96 per cent; Rural, 83 per cent). Of women who stated that primary school was their highest level of education, only 50 per cent were actually able to read the statement shown to them. Fifteen per cent of women who stated that junior secondary school was their highest level of education were not able to read the statement shown. Literacy among young women is positively associated with the wealth index. with Only 60 per cent of women living in the poorest households are literate, compared with 98 per cent of women living in the richest ones. Literacy rate was lower among women who live in households with Papuan heads of households.

Table ED. 1 M shows that literacy among men 15-24 (84 per cent) is slightly lower than literacy among women ( 87 per cent). Literacy rates among the three districts are similar to those among women, except that in Sorong District ( 89 per cent) slightly fewer men are literate than women ( 95 per cent). More men ( 87 per cent) than women ( 50 per cent) who stated that primary school was their highest levels of education were actually able to read the statement shown to them.

Table ED.1M: Literacy among young men

Percentage of men age 15-24 years who are literate, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Percentage literate \({ }^{1}\) & Number of men age 15-24 years \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 73.1 & 121 \\
\hline Manokwari & 89.2 & 499 \\
\hline Sorong & 89.7 & 174 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 94.2 & 240 \\
\hline Rural & 83.7 & 554 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & (*) & 10 \\
\hline Primary & 55.3 & 144 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 94.3 & 522 \\
\hline Higher & 100.0 & 118 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Age} \\
\hline 15-19 & 87.9 & 477 \\
\hline 20-24 & 85.2 & 317 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 55.8 & 150 \\
\hline Second & 86.2 & 153 \\
\hline Middle & 92.6 & 178 \\
\hline Fourth & 99.3 & 173 \\
\hline Richest & 98.1 & 140 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 76.6 & 395 \\
\hline Jawa & 96.9 & 235 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 97.3 & 77 \\
\hline Maluku & 95.1 & 44 \\
\hline Others & (*) & 37 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 86.8 & 794 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
* 7 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown \\
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{9.2. SCHOOL READINESS}

Attendance of pre-school education in an organised learning or child education programme is important for the readiness of children to attend school. Table ED. 2 shows the proportion of children in the first grade of primary school who attended pre-school the previous year.

Overall, 39 per cent of children who are currently attending the first grade of primary school were attending pre-school the previous year (Kaimana, 28 per cent; Manokwari, 42 per cent; Sorong, 40 per cent). School readiness was higher in urban areas ( 64 per cent) than in rural areas ( 33 per cent). Socioeconomic status appears to have a positive correlation with school readiness-among the richest households, the percentage of children who are currently attending the first grade of primary school and were attending pre-school the previous year is 75 per cent while the indicator is only 15 per cent among the poorest households. There was also a clear trend linking pre-school attendance with mother's education (No education, 14 per cent; Primary, 34 per cent; Secondary, 48 per cent, Higher, 63 per cent).

Table ED.2: School readiness
Percentage of children attending first grade of primary school who attended pre-school the previous year, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Percentage of children attending first grade who attended preschool in previous year \({ }^{1}\) & Number of children attending first grade of primary school \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 27.8 & 83 \\
\hline Manokwari & 42.4 & 232 \\
\hline Sorong & 40.1 & 91 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sex} \\
\hline Male & 40.0 & 198 \\
\hline Female & 37.9 & 208 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 63.9 & 80 \\
\hline Rural & 32.8 & 326 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Mother's Education} \\
\hline None & 13.9 & 54 \\
\hline Primary & 34.4 & 160 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 47.8 & 159 \\
\hline Higher & 62.8 & 31 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 14.5 & 125 \\
\hline Second & 31.2 & 94 \\
\hline Middle & 48.0 & 77 \\
\hline Fourth & 62.2 & 70 \\
\hline Richest & 75.2 & 40 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head} \\
\hline Papua & 33.3 & 266 \\
\hline Jawa & 55.6 & 65 \\
\hline Sulawesi & (60.0) & 31 \\
\hline Maluku & (33.2) & 33 \\
\hline Others & (*) & 11 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 38.9 & 406 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases} \\
\hline & \({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 7.2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{9.3. PRIMARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL PARTICIPATION}

Universal access to basic education and the achievement of primary education by the world's children is one of the most important goals of the Millennium Development Goals and A World Fit for Children. Education is a vital prerequisite for combating poverty, empowering women, protecting children from hazardous and exploitative labour and sexual exploitation, promoting human rights and democracy, protecting the environment, and influencing population growth.

The indicators for primary and secondary school attendance include:
- Net intake rate in primary education
- Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted)
- Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted)
- Female to male education ratio (or gender parity index - GPI) in primary and secondary school

The indicators of school progression include:
- Children reaching last grade of primary
- Primary completion rate
- Transition rate to secondary school

Of children who are of primary school entry age (age 7) in the three selected districts of West Papua, 75 per cent are attending the first grade of primary school (Table ED.3) with more females attending ( 79 per cent) compared to males ( 71 per cent). Significant differentials are present by districts and area of residence. Attendance is highest in Kaimana and Manokwari districts ( 77 per cent each) compared to a lower percentage in Sorong (69 per cent). Children's participation to primary school is higher in rural areas (77 per cent) than in urban areas ( 68 per cent). A positive correlation with mother's education and socioeconomic status is observed; for children age 7 whose mothers have higher education, 67 per cent were attending the first grade, were attending the first grade, compared to 60 for children whose mothers have no education. However, there is no significant difference in this percentage between the richest households ( 71 per cent) and the poorest households ( 72 per cent).

\section*{Table ED.3: Primary school entry}

Percentage of children of primary school entry age entering grade 1 (net intake rate), Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Percentage of children of primary school entry age entering grade \(1^{11}\) & Number of children of primary school entry age \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 77.0 & 60 \\
\hline Manokwari & 76.6 & 204 \\
\hline Sorong & 69.6 & 81 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sex} \\
\hline Male & 71.1 & 183 \\
\hline Female & 79.2 & 160 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 67.7 & 74 \\
\hline Rural & 77.0 & 272 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Mother's education} \\
\hline None & 59.8 & 28 \\
\hline Primary & 80.4 & 138 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 74.8 & 144 \\
\hline Higher & 67.2 & 36 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 71.7 & 82 \\
\hline Second & 80.1 & 64 \\
\hline Middle & 74.2 & 69 \\
\hline Fourth & 78.1 & 76 \\
\hline Richest & 71.0 & 55 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 73.7 & 183 \\
\hline Jawa & 73.1 & 90 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 79.4 & 33 \\
\hline Maluku & 83.3 & 24 \\
\hline Others & 79.3 & 15 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 75.0 & 346 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{* 2 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown} \\
\hline & \({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 7.3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table ED. 4 provides the percentage of children of primary school age ( 7 to 12 years) who are attending primary or secondary school. The majority of children of primary school age in the three selected districts are attending school (94 per cent) (Kaimana, 94 per cent; Manokwari, 94 per cent; Sorong, 96 per cent). However, six per cent of the children are out of school when they are expected to be participating in school. Mothers' education is associated with primary or secondary school attendance of children of primary school age, increasing from 86 per cent for mothers with no education to 93 per cent for mothers with primary education, to 96 per cent for those who have secondary education and to 100 per cent for those with higher education. Urban and rural primary school net attendance ratios are similar ( 94 per cent each). The secondary school net attendance ratio is presented in Table ED.5. Only 74 per cent of children of secondary school age (13 to 18 years) are attending secondary school.

\section*{Table ED.4: Primary school attendance}

Percentage of children of primary school age attending primary or secondary school (adjusted net attendance ratio), Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Male} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Female} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total*} \\
\hline & Net attendance ratio (adjusted) & Number of children & Net attendance ratio (adjusted) & Number of children & Net attendance ratio (adjusted) \({ }^{1}\) & Number of children \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 92.8 & 157 & 94.4 & 142 & 93.6 & 301 \\
\hline Manokwari & 93.4 & 533 & 94.5 & 493 & 94.0 & 1,030 \\
\hline Sorong & 95.2 & 228 & 96.4 & 216 & 95.8 & 445 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 93.5 & 216 & 94.7 & 220 & 94.1 & 437 \\
\hline Rural & 93.8 & 703 & 95.1 & 631 & 94.4 & 1,339 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Age at beginning of school year} \\
\hline 7 & 88.1 & 183 & 94.3 & 160 & 91.0 & 346 \\
\hline 8 & 96.2 & 150 & 92.8 & 147 & 94.6 & 299 \\
\hline 9 & 92.1 & 142 & 94.1 & 126 & 93.1 & 269 \\
\hline 10 & 97.0 & 154 & 94.9 & 163 & 96.0 & 318 \\
\hline 11 & 96.3 & 169 & 98.2 & 131 & 97.1 & 301 \\
\hline 12 & 93.5 & 119 & 95.8 & 123 & 94.7 & 242 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Mother's Education} \\
\hline None & 82.7 & 90 & 88.9 & 98 & 85.9 & 189 \\
\hline Primary & 91.7 & 358 & 95.2 & 299 & 93.3 & 659 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 96.9 & 386 & 95.3 & 376 & 96.1 & 764 \\
\hline Higher & 100.0 & 83 & 100.0 & 78 & 100.0 & 163 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 83.3 & 206 & 87.4 & 202 & 85.3 & 408 \\
\hline Second & 94.1 & 186 & 95.6 & 170 & 94.8 & 356 \\
\hline Middle & 97.7 & 193 & 96.2 & 143 & 97.1 & 336 \\
\hline Fourth & 97.5 & 167 & 97.7 & 153 & 97.6 & 325 \\
\hline Richest & 98.0 & 166 & 99.6 & 183 & 98.8 & 350 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 90.8 & 518 & 91.2 & 471 & 91.0 & 989 \\
\hline Jawa & 99.6 & 205 & 99.8 & 206 & 99.7 & 413 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 93.2 & 87 & 99.8 & 91 & 96.6 & 182 \\
\hline Maluku & 98.3 & 71 & 99.0 & 49 & 98.6 & 120 \\
\hline Others & 95.5 & 38 & (*) & 30 & 97.5 & 67 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 93.8 & 918 & 95.0 & 851 & 94.4 & 1,775 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 7.4; MDG indicator 2.1
Table ED.5: Secondary school attendance
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending secondary school or higher (adjusted net attendance ratio) and percentage of children attending primary school, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


\(\underset{~}{\text { I }}\)






 Total for 3 districts
* 4 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not show () Figures that are based on \(25-49\) unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighte

Table ED.6: Children reaching last grade of primary school
Percentage of children entering first grade of primary school who eventually reach the last grade of primary school (Survival rate to last grade of primary school), Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Per cent attending grade 1 last year who are in grade 2 this year & Per cent attending grade 2 last year who are attending grade 3 this year & Per cent attending grade 3 last year who are attending grade 4 this year & Per cent attending grade 4 last year who are attending grade 5 this year & Per cent a ttending grade 5 last year who are attending grade 6 this year & Per cent who reach grade 6 of those who enter grade \({ }^{1}\) \\
\hline District & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 99.7 & 100.0 & 98.9 & 98.7 & 98.9 & 96.3 \\
\hline Manokwari & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 98.8 & 98.8 \\
\hline Sorong & 100.0 & 99.1 & 98.3 & 100.0 & 98.9 & 96.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Sex} \\
\hline Male & 100.0 & 99.5 & 99.6 & 100.0 & 99.0 & 98.1 \\
\hline Female & 99.9 & 100.0 & 98.9 & 99.5 & 98.7 & 97.1 \\
\hline & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 99.8 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 97.8 & 97.6 \\
\hline Rural & 100.0 & 99.7 & 99.1 & 99.7 & 99.3 & 97.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{} \\
\hline None & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 97.5 & 97.8 & 95.4 \\
\hline Primary & 99.9 & 99.4 & 99.4 & 100.0 & 98.2 & 96.9 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 100.0 & 100.0 & 99.4 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 99.4 \\
\hline Higher & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 100.0 & 100.0 & 98.1 & 99.0 & 93.7 & 91.0 \\
\hline Second & 100.0 & 98.9 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 98.9 \\
\hline Middle & 99.7 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 99.7 \\
\hline Fourth & 100.0 & 100.0 & 98.9 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 98.9 \\
\hline & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head} \\
\hline Papua & 100.0 & 100.0 & 99.2 & 99.6 & 98.3 & 97.1 \\
\hline Jawa & 100.0 & 98.8 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 98.7 & 97.6 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 \\
\hline Maluku & 99.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 99.0 \\
\hline Others & 100.0 & 100.0 & 92.3 & 100.0 & 100.0 & 92.3 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 99.9 & 99.7 & 99.3 & 99.8 & 98.9 & 97.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{\({ }^{1}\) MICS ndicator 7.6; MDG indicator 2.2} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The results show a clear association between mothers' education and wealth and secondary school net attendance ratio. For the three selected districts, this ratio is 55 per cent for children with uneducated mothers and increases to 86 per cent for children whose mothers' education is higher than secondary. Moreover, secondary school net attendance ratio increased from 34 per cent in the poorest households to 89 per cent in the richest households.

The percentage of children entering first grade who eventually reach the last grade of primary school is presented in Table ED.6. Of all children starting grade one, the majority of them (99 per cent) will eventually reach the last grade. Notice that this number includes children that repeat grades and that eventually move up to reach last grade. Children of mothers with no education and those from the poorest households are less likely to eventually reach the last grade of primary school.

Table ED.7: Primary school completion and transition to secondary school

Primary school completion rates and transition rate to secondary school, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{l|c|c|c|c}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Primary school \\
completion \\
rate \({ }^{1}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Number of \\
children of \\
primary school \\
completion age
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Transition rate \\
to secondary \\
school
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education are presented in Table ED.7. The primary completion rate is the ratio of the total number of students, regardless of age, entering the last grade of primary school for the first time, to the number of children of primary graduation age at the beginning of the current (or most recent) school year. At the moment of the survey, the primary school completion rate was 109 per cent. Large differences were observed in the primary completion rates of districts, with the lowest rates found in Kaimana ( 88 per cent) and the highest rates in Manokwari (114 per cent). The primary completion rate was lower in rural areas (101 per cent) compared with urban ( 135 per cent).

About 95 per cent of the children who successfully completed the last grade of primary school were found at the moment of the survey to be attending the first grade of secondary school (Kaimana, 81 per cent; Manokwari, 95 per cent; Sorong, 100). The transition rate in rural areas ( 94 per cent) was lower than in urban areas ( 98 per cent).

Table ED.8: Education gender parity

Ratio of adjusted net attendance ratios of girls to boys, in primary and secondary school, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Primary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), girls & Primary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), boys & Gender parity index (GPI) for primary school adjusted NAR \({ }^{1}\) & Secondary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), girls & Secondary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), boys & Gender parity index (GPI) for secondary school adjusted NAR² \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 94.4 & 92.8 & 1.02 & 55.2 & 41.8 & 1.32 \\
\hline Manokwari & 94.3 & 93.4 & 1.01 & 77.1 & 78.5 & 0.98 \\
\hline Sorong & 96.2 & 95.2 & 1.01 & 79.9 & 74.9 & 1.07 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 94.7 & 93.5 & 1.01 & 82.6 & 81.5 & 1.01 \\
\hline Rural & 94.9 & 93.8 & 1.01 & 72.0 & 69.3 & 1.04 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Education of mother/caretaker} \\
\hline None & 88.9 & 82.7 & 1.08 & 53.6 & 56.5 & 0.95 \\
\hline Primary & 95.0 & 91.7 & 1.04 & 69.3 & 63.2 & 1.10 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 95.2 & 96.9 & 0.98 & 92.0 & 85.8 & 1.07 \\
\hline Higher & 100.0 & 100.0 & 1.00 & 84.3 & 87.5 & 0.96 \\
\hline Cannot be determined & na & na & na & 61.4 & 67.9 & 0.90 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 87.4 & 83.3 & 1.05 & 38.7 & 29.7 & 1.30 \\
\hline Second & 95.3 & 94.1 & 1.01 & 72.2 & 73.0 & 0.99 \\
\hline Middle & 96.2 & 97.7 & . 98 & 79.7 & 75.9 & 1.05 \\
\hline Fourth & 97.2 & 97.5 & 1.00 & 91.7 & 90.1 & \[
1.02
\] \\
\hline Richest & 99.6 & 98.0 & 1.02 & 88.7 & 90.0 & 0.99 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 91.1 & 90.8 & 1.00 & 64.3 & 61.8 & 1.04 \\
\hline Jawa & 99.4 & 99.6 & 1.00 & 92.2 & 79.0 & 1.17 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 99.8 & 93.2 & 1.07 & 83.3 & 94.7 & 0.88 \\
\hline Maluku & 99.0 & 98.3 & 1.01 & 87.2 & 80.1 & 1.09 \\
\hline Others & 100.0 & 95.5 & 1.05 & 78.9 & 94.4 & 0.84 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 94.8 & 93.8 & 1.01 & 74.8 & 72.5 & 1.03 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 7.9; MDG indicator 3.1
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator 7.10; MDG indicator 3.1

The ratio of girls to boys attending primary and secondary education is provided in Table ED.8. These ratios are better known as the Gender Parity Index (GPI). Notice that the ratios included here are obtained from net attendance ratios rather than gross attendance ratios. The last ratios provide an erroneous description of the GPI mainly because the majority of over-aged children attending primary education tend to be boys. The table shows that gender parity for primary school is 1.01 , indicating that almost equal numbers of girls and boys attend primary school (Kaimana, 1.02 per cent; Manokwari, 1.01 per cent; Sorong, 1.01). The indicator did not vary greatly by background characteristics. The gender parity for secondary school is \(1.34,0.99\) and 1.05 in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively. GPI for secondary school was markedly higher for children whose mothers have no or primary education.

\section*{CHILD PROTECTION}

\subsection*{10.1. BIRTH REGISTRATION}

The International Convention on the Rights of the Child states that every child has the right to a name and a nationality and the right to protection from being deprived of his or her identity. Birth registration is a fundamental means of securing these rights for children. The World Fit for Children states the goal of developing systems to ensure the registration of every child at or shortly after birth, and fulfil his or her right to acquire a name and a nationality, in accordance with national laws and relevant international instruments. The indicator is the percentage of children under-5 years of age whose birth is registered.

Only half the children under-five years in the three selected districts have been registered (50 per cent) (Table CP.1). Birth registration is generally similar among districts and area of residence but it is positively associated with education and wealth (Figure CP.1). Among children whose birth was not registered, only 42 per cent know how to register birth. Knowledge of how to register birth is lowest in Kaimana District, where only 35 per cent of mothers/caretakers know how to register birth. These percentages are 42 and 47 per cent respectively in Manokwari and Sorong districts.

Figure CP.1: Percentage of children under age 5 whose birth is registered, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


\section*{Table CP.1: Birth registration}

Percentage of children under age 5 by whether birth is registered and percentage of children not registered whose mothers/caretakers know how to register birth, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


\section*{District}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Kaimana & 19.2 & 14.6 & 12.4 & 46.2 & 262 & 35.0 & 141 \\
\hline Manokwari & 16.3 & 29.0 & 4.8 & 50.1 & 760 & 42.0 & 379 \\
\hline Sorong & 30.4 & 15.2 & 5.6 & 51.2 & 332 & 47.1 & 162 \\
\hline Sex* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Male & 18.3 & 22.6 & 7.7 & 48.6 & 679 & 42.5 & 349 \\
\hline Female & 22.7 & 22.3 & 5.0 & 50.0 & 666 & 41.0 & 333 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 24.5 & 21.7 & 5.1 & 51.4 & 329 & 42.1 & 160 \\
\hline Rural & 19.0 & 23.2 & 6.9 & 49.1 & 1025 & 41.6 & 522 \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0-11 months & 6.8 & 18.8 & 7.5 & 33.1 & 264 & 39.1 & 177 \\
\hline 12-23 months & 17.9 & 17.9 & 6.2 & 42.0 & 257 & 45.9 & 149 \\
\hline 24-35 months & 17.9 & 20.2 & 7.7 & 45.8 & 292 & 41.6 & 158 \\
\hline 36-47 months & 27.7 & 26.1 & 6.8 & 60.6 & 280 & 43.5 & 110 \\
\hline 48-59 months & 31.1 & 31.3 & 4.0 & 66.4 & 261 & 38.0 & 88 \\
\hline Mother's education & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 4.8 & 7.1 & 4.3 & 16.3 & 85 & 16.6 & 71 \\
\hline Primary & 14.1 & 16.0 & 8.2 & 38.2 & 407 & 37.3 & 251 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 23.4 & 26.0 & 6.3 & 55.6 & 713 & 50.6 & 316 \\
\hline Higher & 31.5 & 35.5 & 4.0 & 71.0 & 150 & (44.1) & 43 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 8.2 & 13.8 & 6.1 & 28.1 & 319 & 24.0 & 229 \\
\hline Second & 14.3 & 18.9 & 8.1 & 41.2 & 272 & 41.6 & 160 \\
\hline Middle & 21.0 & 20.4 & 7.7 & 49.0 & 277 & 46.9 & 141 \\
\hline Fourth & 24.1 & 32.1 & 7.3 & 63.5 & 234 & 63.2 & 85 \\
\hline Richest & 37.9 & 32.6 & 3.1 & 73.6 & 253 & (64.9) & 67 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 8.1 & 18.1 & 6.8 & 33.0 & 727 & 34.5 & 487 \\
\hline Jawa & 38.5 & 28.7 & 6.1 & 73.3 & 333 & 72.7 & 89 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 33.0 & 32.0 & 3.6 & 68.6 & 142 & (56.4) & 45 \\
\hline Maluku & 25.9 & 18.5 & 11.4 & 55.8 & 98 & 33.7 & 43 \\
\hline Others & 31.6 & 37.1 & 3.3 & 72.0 & 50 & (*) & 14 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 20.3 & 22.8 & 6.5 & 49.6 & 1,354 & 41.8 & 682 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 9 cases with missing "sex" and 4 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 8.1

\subsection*{10.2. CHILD LABOUR}

Article 32 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child states: "States Parties recognize the right of the child to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that is likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child's education, or to be harmful to the child's health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development ...". The World Fit for Children mentions nine strategies to combat child labour and the MDGs call for the protection of children against exploitation. In the Selected Districts of West Papua 2011 MICS questionnaire, a number of questions addressed the issue of child labour, that is, children \(5-17{ }^{13}\) years of age involved in labour activities. A child is considered to be involved in child labour activities at the moment of the survey if during the week preceding the survey:
- Ages 5-11: at least one hour of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work per week.
- Ages 12-14: at least 14 hours of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work per week.
- Ages 15-17: at least 43 hours of economic work or 43 hours of domestic work per week.

This definition allows differentiation between child labour and child work to identify the type of work that should be eliminated. As such, the estimate provided here is a minimum of the prevalence of child labour since some children may be involved in hazardous labour activities for a number of hours that could be lower than the numbers specified in the criteria explained above. Table CP. 2 presents the results of child labour by the type of work. Percentages do not add up to the total child labour as children may be involved in more than one type of work.


\footnotetext{
13 The standard MICS module has an age range of 5-14 years, this has been amended in the Papua MICS as per national definition. Results of the standard indicators are included in the summary table of findings.
}

Table CP. 2 presents the results of child labour by the type of work. The Selected Districts of West Papua Province 2011 MICS survey estimates that about one in four children aged \(5-17\) years are involved in child labour (23 per cent). Child labour is 24, 22 and 22 per cent in Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts respectively. Results for children 5-14 years as per the definition of the MICS indicator are presented in the summary table of findings.

Children who do not participate in school reveal slightly higher percentages of child labour (24 per cent) compared with those who go to school (22 per cent). Variations in child labour also exist by other background characteristics, with a clear sharp negative association with mothers' education and wealth (Figure CP.2).

Child labour rates are lower among the age group 12-17 years (13 per cent) than among the younger age group 5-11 years ( 30 per cent).
Table CP.2: Child labour
Percentage of children by involvement in economic activity and household chores during the past week, according to age groups, and percentage of children age 5-17 involved in child labour, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

* 8 cases with missing "sex", 1 case with missing "Mother's education", 9 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
Table CP.2: Child labour (continued)
Percentage of children by involvement in economic activity and household chores during the past week, according to age groups, and percentage of children age 5-17 involved in child labour, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

* 8 cases with missing "sex", 1 case with missing "Mother's education", 9 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
Table CP.2: Child labour (continued)
Percentage of children by involvement in economic activity and household chores during the past week, according to age groups, and percentage of children age 5-17 involved in child labour, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

* 8 cases with missing "sex", 1 case with missing "Mother's education", 9 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

\section*{Table CP.3: Child labour and school attendance}

Percentage of children age 5-17 years involved in child labour who are attending school, and percentage of children age 5-17 years attending school who are involved in child labour, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Percentage of children involved in child labour & Percentage of children attending school & Number of children age 5-17 years & Percentage of child labourers who are attending school & Number of children age 5-17 years involved in child labour & Percentage of children attending school who are involved in child labour & Number of children age 5-17 years attending school \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 24.0 & 81.4 & 596 & 88.5 & 143 & 26.1 & 485 \\
\hline Manokwari & 22.2 & 87.5 & 2,126 & 84.2 & 472 & 21.4 & 1,860 \\
\hline Sorong & 22.2 & 90.1 & 897 & 89.2 & 199 & 22.0 & 808 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Sex*} \\
\hline Male & 22.4 & 86.5 & 1,884 & 85.5 & 422 & 22.1 & 1,630 \\
\hline Female & 22.6 & 87.7 & 1,728 & 86.9 & 391 & 22.4 & 1,515 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 19.3 & 90.5 & 883 & 89.5 & 170 & 19.1 & 799 \\
\hline Rural & 23.6 & 86.0 & 2,737 & 85.3 & 645 & 23.4 & 2,354 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Age} \\
\hline 5-11 & 29.5 & 85.6 & 2,137 & 89.1 & 630 & 30.7 & 1,828 \\
\hline 12-14 & 12.5 & 89.4 & 1,483 & 76.4 & 185 & 10.7 & 1,325 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Mother's education*} \\
\hline None & 36.6 & 76.1 & 394 & 78.2 & 144 & 37.6 & 300 \\
\hline Primary & 26.3 & 84.3 & 1,355 & 84.5 & 356 & 26.3 & 1,142 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 18.2 & 91.1 & 1,567 & 93.2 & 285 & 18.6 & 1,428 \\
\hline Higher & 9.7 & 93.6 & 302 & 78.1 & 29 & 8.1 & 283 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 35.8 & 72.2 & 784 & 78.9 & 281 & 39.1 & 566 \\
\hline Second & 29.0 & 86.3 & 767 & 88.9 & 222 & 29.8 & 662 \\
\hline Middle & 21.5 & 90.2 & 703 & 89.6 & 151 & 21.3 & 635 \\
\hline Fourth & 13.2 & 93.8 & 688 & 93.3 & 91 & 13.2 & 645 \\
\hline Richest & 10.4 & 95.2 & 677 & 90.5 & 70 & 9.9 & 645 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 27.7 & 81.8 & 1,993 & 84.8 & 552 & 28.7 & 1,631 \\
\hline Jawa & 13.7 & 93.8 & 864 & 84.8 & 118 & 12.4 & 810 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 20.4 & 93.2 & 365 & 94.2 & 74 & 20.6 & 340 \\
\hline Maluku & 18.9 & 94.1 & 235 & 93.1 & 44 & 18.7 & 221 \\
\hline Others & 14.7 & 92.0 & 155 & (*) & 23 & 13.6 & 142 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 22.5 & 87.1 & 3,620 & 86.2 & 815 & 22.3 & 3,153 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 8 cases with missing "sex", 1 case with missing "Mother's education", 9 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table CP. 3 presents the percentage of children age 5-17 years involved in child labour who are attending school and the percentage of children age 5-17 years attending school who are involved in child labour. Of the 87 per cent of children 5-17 years of age attending school, 22 per cent are also involved in child labour activities. On the other hand, of the 23 per cent of the children who are involved in child labour, 86 per cent were attending school. The percentage of child labourers who are attending school
in Manokwari is lower than the other in the two districts. The percentage of children attending school who are involved in child labour in Kaimana is higher than in the two other districts.

Results for children 5-14 years as per the definition of the MICS indicator are presented in the summary table of findings.

\subsection*{10.3. CHILD DISCIPLINE}

As stated in A World Fit for Children, "children must be protected against any acts of violence ..." and the Millennium Declaration calls for the protection of children against abuse, exploitation and violence. In the Selected Districts of West Papua Province MICS survey, mothers/caretakers of children age 2-14 years were asked a series of questions on the ways parents tend to discipline their children when they misbehave. Note that for the child discipline module, one child aged 2-14 per household was selected randomly during fieldwork. Out of these questions, the two indicators used to describe aspects of child discipline are: 1) the number of children 2-14 years that experience psychological aggression as punishment, or minor physical punishment, or severe physical punishment; and 2) the number of parents/caretakers of children 2-14 years of age that believe that in order to raise their children properly, they need to physically punish them.

In the three selected districts of West Papua, 86 per cent of children age 2-14 years were subjected to at least one form of psychological or physical punishment by their mothers/ caretakers or other household members (Kaimana, 89 per cent; Manokwari, 84 per cent; Sorong, 90 per cent) (Table CP.4). More importantly, 23 per cent of children were subjected to severe physical punishment (Kaimana, 31 per cent; Manokwari, 23 per cent; Sorong, 18 per cent). Children age 2-4 (84 per cent) and age 10-14 (84 per cent) were subjected to at least one psychological or physical punishment less than children age 5-9 (89 per cent). Generally, education was not clearly associated with child discipline. It is of importance also to indicate that only one fifth of parents/caretakers believe that in order to raise their children properly, they need to physically punish them (20 per cent), when in practice 91 per cent indicated the opposite, implying an interesting contrast with the actual prevalence of physical discipline.

\subsection*{10.4. EARLY MARRIAGE}

Marriage before the age of 18 is a reality for many young girls. According to UNICEF's worldwide estimates, over 64 million women age 20-24 were married/in union before the age of 18 . Factors that influence child marriage rates include: the state of the country's civil registration system, which provides proof of age for children; the existence of an adequate legislative framework with an accompanying enforcement mechanism to address cases of child marriage; and the existence of customary or religious laws that condone the practice.

In many parts of the world, parents encourage the marriage of their daughters while they are still children in hopes that the marriage will benefit them both financially and socially, while also relieving financial burdens on the family. In actual fact, child marriage is a violation of human rights, compromising the development of girls and often resulting in early pregnancy and social isolation, with little education and poor vocational training reinforcing the gendered nature of poverty. The right to 'free and full' consent to a

\section*{Table CP.4: Child discipline}

Percentage of children age 2-14 years according to method of disciplining the child, Districts of Kaimana,
Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Percentage of children age 2-14 years who experienced:} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Number of children age 2-14 years} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Respondent believes that the child needs to be physically punished} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Respondents to the child discipline module} \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Only nonviolent discipline} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Psychological aggression} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Physical punishment} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Any violent discipline method \({ }^{1}\)} & & & \\
\hline & & & Any & Severe & & & & \\
\hline District & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 9.3 & 80.4 & 74.1 & 30.9 & 86.4 & 661 & 14.4 & 306 \\
\hline Manokwari & 12.9 & 78.8 & 60.9 & 22.6 & 83.7 & 2,190 & 21.6 & 1058 \\
\hline Sorong & 8.2 & 83.7 & 69.5 & 18.4 & 89.9 & 965 & 21.6 & 490 \\
\hline Sex* & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Male & 12.2 & 80.7 & 65.9 & 24.8 & 84.4 & 2,006 & 21.4 & 950 \\
\hline Female & 9.8 & 80.1 & 65.0 & 21.2 & 87.3 & 1,793 & 19.7 & 895 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 12.5 & 76.1 & 67.9 & 19.5 & 85.2 & 900 & 13.1 & 431 \\
\hline Rural & 10.7 & 81.6 & 64.6 & 24.1 & 85.9 & 2,915 & 22.7 & 1423 \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2-4 years & 10.2 & 77.4 & 65.9 & 14.9 & 83.9 & 872 & 19.4 & 441 \\
\hline 5-9 years & 8.9 & 84.9 & 67.3 & 26.2 & 88.6 & 1,459 & 20.1 & 711 \\
\hline 10-14 years & 13.8 & 77.5 & 63.0 & 24.6 & 83.9 & 1,484 & 21.4 & 702 \\
\hline Education of household head & & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 10.5 & 84.5 & 67.5 & 36.3 & 85.3 & 225 & na & na \\
\hline Primary & 6.0 & 86.3 & 71.6 & 26.1 & 90.2 & 1,241 & na & na \\
\hline SMP/SM & 12.3 & 79.5 & 62.9 & 21.7 & 85.0 & 1,855 & na & na \\
\hline Higher & 19.7 & 66.4 & 57.8 & 14.0 & 77.2 & 493 & na & na \\
\hline Respondent's education & & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & na & na & na & na & na & 231 & 34.0 & 110 \\
\hline Primary & na & na & na & na & na & 1,230 & 26.4 & 590 \\
\hline SMP/SM & na & na & na & na & na & 1,904 & 16.8 & 919 \\
\hline Higher & na & na & na & na & na & 451 & 13.3 & 235 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 3.9 & 88.5 & 74.7 & 34.5 & 90.8 & 864 & 36.6 & 383 \\
\hline Second & 7.6 & 86.4 & 75.7 & 29.9 & 90.9 & 813 & 28.5 & 371 \\
\hline Middle & 10.5 & 79.8 & 60.3 & 18.2 & 86.2 & 747 & 17.6 & 362 \\
\hline Fourth & 14.0 & 76.5 & 57.1 & 18.0 & 82.3 & 688 & 11.3 & 369 \\
\hline Richest & 22.0 & 67.5 & 55.3 & 10.9 & 76.2 & 703 & 7.6 & 369 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 6.3 & 87.6 & 74.8 & 33.1 & 91.6 & 2,129 & 30.8 & 866 \\
\hline Jawa & 18.8 & 66.7 & 47.0 & 5.1 & 74.7 & 874 & 9.5 & 578 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 15.5 & 73.9 & 57.8 & 10.6 & 82.3 & 393 & 14.0 & 201 \\
\hline Maluku & 8.5 & 85.4 & 73.6 & 26.9 & 88.4 & 251 & 16.7 & 121 \\
\hline Others & 22.7 & 64.5 & 43.5 & 12.3 & 70.6 & 158 & 10.3 & 84 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 11.1 & 80.3 & 65.3 & 23.0 & 85.7 & 3,815 & 20.4 & 1,854 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 15 cases with missing "sex" and 5 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 8.5
marriage is recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights-with the recognition that consent cannot be 'free and full' when one of the parties involved is not sufficiently mature to make an informed decision about a life partner.

The Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women mentions the right to protection from child marriage in article 16, which states: "The betrothal and the marriage of a child shall have no legal effect, and all necessary action, including legislation, shall be taken to specify a minimum age for marriage..." While marriage is not considered directly in the Convention on the Rights of the Child, child marriage is linked to other rights-such as the right to express views freely, the right to protection from all forms of abuse, and the right to be protected from harmful traditional practices-and is frequently addressed by the Committee on the Rights of the Child. Other international agreements related to child marriage are the Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages and the African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child and the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People's Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa. Child marriage was also identified by the Pan-African Forum against the Sexual Exploitation of Children as a type of commercial sexual exploitation of children.

Young married girls are a unique, though often invisible, group. Required to perform heavy amounts of domestic work, under pressure to demonstrate fertility, and responsible for raising children while still children themselves, married girls and child mothers face constrained decision-making and reduced life choices. Boys are also affected by child marriage but the issue impacts girls in far larger numbers and with more intensity. Cohabitation-when a couple lives together as if married-raises the same human rights concerns as marriage. Where a girl lives with a man and takes on the role of caregiver for him, the assumption is often that she has become an adult woman, even if she has not yet reached the age of 18. Additional concerns due to the informality of the relationship-for example, inheritance, citizenship and social recognition-might make girls in informal unions vulnerable in different ways than those who are in formally recognized marriages.

Research suggests that many factors interact to place a child at risk of marriage. Poverty, protection of girls, family honour and the provision of stability during unstable social periods are considered as significant factors in determining a girl's risk of becoming married while still a child. Women who married at younger ages were more likely to believe that it is sometimes acceptable for a husband to beat his wife and were more likely to experience domestic violence themselves. The age gap between partners is thought to contribute to these abusive power dynamics and to increase the risk of untimely widowhood.

Closely related to the issue of child marriage is the age at which girls become sexually active. Women who are married before the age of 18 tend to have more children than those who marry later in life. Pregnancy-related deaths are known to be a leading cause of mortality for both married and unmarried girls between the ages of 15 and 19 , particularly among the youngest of this cohort. There is evidence to suggest that girls who marry at young ages are more likely to marry older men which puts them at increased risk of HIV infection. Parents seek to marry off their girls to protect their honour, and men often seek younger women as wives as a means to avoid choosing a wife who might already be infected. The demand for young wives to reproduce and the power imbalance resulting from the age differential lead to very low condom use among such couples.

Three of the indicators are to estimate the percentage of women currently married/ in union, percentage married before 15 years of age and percentage married before 18 years of age. About one in five young women age 15-19 years is currently married or in union (19 per cent) (Table CP.5). This percentage is higher in Manokwari ( 22 per cent) and lower in Kaimana District ( 13 per cent). In Sorong District, 15 percentage of women 15-19 are currently married or in union. This indicator is strongly related to wealth. It decreases from 30 per cent among the poorest women to only 12 per cent among the richest women.

The percentage of men married at various ages is provided in Table CP.5M. Nine per cent of women aged \(15-49\) years were married before age 15 while 30 per cent of women aged \(20-49\) years were married before age 18 . The percentage of women married before age 18 was higher in Sorong District ( 35 per cent) compared with Kaimana District ( 22 per cent) and Manokwari District (30 per cent).

\section*{Table CP.5: Early marriage among women}

Percentage of women age \(15-49\) years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15 th birthday, percentages of women age \(20-49\) years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15th and 18th birthdays, and percentage of women age 15-19 years currently married or in union, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Percentage married before age \(15^{1}\) & Number of women age 15-49 years & Percentage married before age 15 & Percentage married before age \(18^{2}\) & Number of women age 20-49 years & Percentage of women 15-19 years currently married/in union \({ }^{3}\) & Number of women age 15-19 years \\
\hline District & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 5.0 & 423 & 5.4 & 21.5 & 360 & 13.0 & 63 \\
\hline Manokwari & 9.6 & 1,638 & 10.9 & 30.1 & 1,337 & 21.7 & 301 \\
\hline Sorong & 8.1 & 654 & 8.8 & 34.7 & 553 & 15.4 & 101 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 5.2 & 763 & 5.8 & 22.6 & 626 & 13.3 & 138 \\
\hline Rural & 9.8 & 1,952 & 10.9 & 32.7 & 1,624 & 21.6 & 327 \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-19 & 3.6 & 465 & na & na & na & 19.1 & 465 \\
\hline 20-24 & 7.1 & 395 & 7.1 & 27.0 & 395 & na & na \\
\hline 25-29 & 8.2 & 462 & 8.2 & 29.1 & 462 & na & na \\
\hline 30-34 & 8.3 & 443 & 8.3 & 28.3 & 443 & na & na \\
\hline 35-39 & 8.0 & 387 & 8.0 & 26.9 & 387 & na & na \\
\hline 40-44 & 14.1 & 305 & 14.1 & 34.3 & 305 & na & na \\
\hline 45-49 & 14.4 & 259 & 14.4 & 37.4 & 259 & na & na \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 16.4 & 134 & 17.1 & 43.8 & 128 & 58.5 & 6 \\
\hline Primary & 18.2 & 764 & 18.4 & 47.0 & 702 & 48.3 & 62 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 4.8 & 1,402 & 5.7 & 25.9 & 1,060 & 14.1 & 342 \\
\hline Higher & 0.5 & 415 & 0.6 & 3.1 & 359 & (13.6) & 56 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 13.9 & 467 & 15.5 & 39.2 & 381 & 29.7 & 86 \\
\hline Second & 9.6 & 502 & 10.4 & 36.1 & 406 & 24.9 & 96 \\
\hline Middle & 10.6 & 493 & 11.9 & 37.6 & 423 & 19.2 & 70 \\
\hline Fourth & 6.2 & 640 & 7.7 & 26.3 & 515 & 12.3 & 124 \\
\hline Richest & 4.1 & 614 & 4.3 & 15.6 & 525 & 12.2 & 88 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 9.5 & 1,212 & 10.5 & 29.7 & 964 & 22.8 & 248 \\
\hline Jawa & 10.7 & 860 & 12.6 & 38.8 & 730 & 13.6 & 130 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 4.2 & 333 & 3.9 & 20.0 & 292 & (24.4) & 41 \\
\hline Maluku & 2.7 & 197 & 3.2 & 13.6 & 164 & (5.7) & 33 \\
\hline Others & 4.4 & 107 & 5.0 & 19.2 & 95 & (*) & 13 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 8.5 & 2,715 & 9.5 & 29.9 & 2,250 & 19.1 & 465 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
* 9 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown \\
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases \\
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 8.6
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator 8.7
\({ }^{3}\) MICS indicator 8.8
}

Table CP.5M: Early marriage among men
Percentage of men age \(15-49\) years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15th birthday, percentages of men age 20-49 years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15th and 18th birthdays, and percentage of men age 15-19 years currently married or in union, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{l|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c} 
\\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 8.6
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator 8.7
\({ }^{3}\) MICS indicator 8.8
}

Table CP. 6 present the proportion of women who were first married or entered into a marital union before age 15 and 18 by residence and age groups. Examining the percentages married before age 15 and 18 by different age groups allow us to see the trends in early marriage over time. For example, examining the age pattern for women aged 20-49 years (Figure CP.3), it is clear that the prevalence of early marriage has declined over time; 37 per cent of women aged 45-49 years were married before their 18th birthday compared with 27 per cent of women aged \(20-24\) years. The percentage of women who were first married before age 15 and 18 is higher in rural areas than urban. Table CP.6M presents similar results for men and shows that early marriage is uncommon among men 20-49 with no clear trend over time.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Table CP.6: Trends in early marriage among women \\
Percentage of women who were first married or entered into a marital union before age 15 and 18 , by residence and age groups, Districts of Kaimana, Mano Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Urban} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Rural} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{All} \\
\hline & Percentage of women married before age 15 & Number of women age 15-49 & Percentage of women married before age 18 & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \begin{array}{c}
\text { Number of } \\
\text { women age }
\end{array} \\
20-49
\end{array}
\] & Percentage of women married before age 15 & Number of women age 15-49 & Percentage of women married before age 18 & Number of women age 20-49 & Percentage of women married before age 15 & Number of women age 15-49 & Percentage of women married before age 18 & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Number of } \\
\text { women age } \\
20-49
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-19 & 2.0 & 138 & na & na & 4.3 & 327 & na & na & 3.6 & 465 & na & na \\
\hline 20-24 & 3.5 & 116 & 12.6 & 116 & 8.6 & 279 & 33.0 & 279 & 7.1 & 395 & 27.0 & 395 \\
\hline 25-29 & 7.8 & 153 & 22.9 & 153 & 8.4 & 308 & 32.2 & 308 & 8.2 & 462 & 29.1 & 462 \\
\hline 30-34 & 4.2 & 114 & 25.1 & 114 & 9.8 & 329 & 29.4 & 329 & 8.3 & 443 & 28.3 & 443 \\
\hline 35-39 & 2.5 & 97 & 14.9 & 97 & 9.8 & 290 & 30.9 & 290 & 8.0 & 387 & 26.9 & 387 \\
\hline 40-44 & 10.5 & 76 & 28.7 & 76 & 15.3 & 229 & 36.2 & 229 & 14.1 & 305 & 34.3 & 305 \\
\hline 45-49 & 7.6 & 69 & 38.4 & 69 & 16.8 & 190 & 37.0 & 190 & 14.4 & 259 & 37.4 & 259 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 5.2 & 763 & 22.6 & 626 & 9.8 & 1,952 & 32.7 & 1,624 & 8.5 & 2,715 & 29.9 & 2,250 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Table CP.6M: Trends in early marriage among men \\
Percentage of men who were first married or entered into a marital union before age 15 and 18, by residence and age groups, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Urban} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Rural} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{All} \\
\hline & Percentage of men married before age 15 & Number of men age 15-49 & Percentage of men married before age 18 & Number of men age 20-49 & Percentage of men married before age 15 & Number of men age 15-49 & Percentage of men married before age 18 & Number of men age 20-49 & Percentage of men married before age 15 & Number of men age 15-49 & Percentage of men married before age 18 & Number of men age 20-49 \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-19 & 2.5 & 136 & na & na & 0.3 & 341 & na & na & 0.9 & 477 & na & na \\
\hline 20-24 & 0.0 & 103 & 3.0 & 103 & 4.9 & 214 & 10.4 & 214 & 3.3 & 317 & 8.0 & 317 \\
\hline 25-29 & 0.7 & 106 & 1.7 & 106 & 4.5 & 283 & 8.7 & 283 & 3.5 & 388 & 6.8 & 388 \\
\hline 30-34 & 0.0 & 127 & 4.0 & 127 & 4.9 & 351 & 11.0 & 351 & 3.6 & 479 & 9.1 & 479 \\
\hline 35-39 & 0.0 & 113 & 3.8 & 113 & 1.7 & 298 & 5.0 & 298 & 1.3 & 410 & 4.7 & 410 \\
\hline 40-44 & 0.4 & 88 & 1.5 & 88 & 0.9 & 285 & 6.0 & 285 & 0.8 & 374 & 4.9 & 374 \\
\hline 45-49 & 0.0 & 58 & 3.6 & 58 & 2.0 & 233 & 7.5 & 233 & 1.6 & 291 & 6.7 & 291 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 0.6 & 732 & 3.0 & 595 & 2.7 & 2,004 & 8.1 & 1,664 & 2.1 & 2,736 & 6.7 & 2,259 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table CP.7: Spousal age difference}

Per cent distribution of women currently married/in union age 20-24 years according to the age difference with their husband or partner, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Percentage of currently married/in union women age 20-24 years whose husband or partner is:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women age 20-24 years currently married/ in union} \\
\hline & Younger & 0-4 years older & 5-9 years older & 10+ years older \({ }^{1}\) & Husband/ partner's age unknown & Total for 3 districts & \\
\hline District & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 9.6 & 45.8 & 36.5 & 5.4 & 2.7 & 100.0 & 35 \\
\hline Manokwari & 7.1 & 37.5 & 43.4 & 9.9 & 2.0 & 100.0 & 158 \\
\hline Sorong & 3.2 & 39.3 & 38.4 & 19.2 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 47 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 19.2 & 45.6 & 27.6 & 7.7 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 55 \\
\hline Rural & 3.0 & 37.2 & 45.5 & 12.1 & 2.3 & 100.0 & 185 \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-19 & na & na & na & na & na & na & na \\
\hline \[
20-24
\] & \[
6.7
\] & \[
39.1
\] & \[
41.4
\] & \[
11.1
\] & 1.7 & \[
100.0
\] & \[
240
\] \\
\hline Education &  &  &  &  &  &  & \\
\hline None & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 100.0 & 6 \\
\hline Primary & 2.8 & 58.3 & 25.6 & 12.9 & 0.4 & 100.0 & 62 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 7.2 & 31.9 & 48.2 & 10.1 & 2.6 & 100.0 & 148 \\
\hline Higher & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 100.0 & 24 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 3.0 & 51.7 & 30.0 & 8.5 & 6.9 & 100.0 & 54 \\
\hline Second & 9.5 & \[
32.3
\] & 46.2 & \[
11.1
\] & 1.0 & \[
100.0
\] & 50 \\
\hline Middle & (1.5) & (35.9) & (43.9) & (18.7) & \[
(0.0)
\] & \[
100.0
\] & 52 \\
\hline Fourth & (12.3) & (28.9) & (47.2) & (11.6) & (0.0) & \[
100.0
\] & 39 \\
\hline Richest & (9.1) & (44.1) & (42.1) & (4.8) & (0.0) & 100.0 & 44 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 10.4 & 46.4 & 35.3 & 4.1 & 3.7 & 100.0 & 112 \\
\hline Jawa & 3.6 & 29.3 & 48.6 & 18.5 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 91 \\
\hline Sulawesi & (0.0) & (38.3) & (53.4) & (8.4) & (0.0) & 100.0 & 22 \\
\hline Maluku & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 100.0 & 11 \\
\hline Others & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 100.0 & 3 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 6.7 & 39.1 & 41.4 & 11.1 & 1.7 & 100.0 & 240 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 8.10b

Another component is the spousal age difference, with an indicator being the percentage of married/in union women who are 10 or more years younger than their current spouse. Table CP. 7 presents the results of the age difference between husbands and wives. The results show that there are some important spousal age differences in the selected districts of West Papua.

Figure CP. 3 Percentage of women aged 15-49 years married before their 15th birthday, percentage of women aged 20-49 years married before their 18th birthday, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


About 11 per cent of women age 20-24 are currently married to a man who is older by ten years or more and 19 percent \({ }^{14}\) of women age 15-19 are currently married to men who are older by ten years or more (data not shown).

\subsection*{10.5. TYPE OF MARRIAGE REGISTRATION}

Marriage is considered official if it is registered through civil registration and both parties have the marriage certificate as important legal evidence that can protect the right of both parties and their future children. Although official or legal marriage registration has been regulated by government, in Indonesia marriages are sometimes registered through other means. Women and children can be denied their rights, such as inheritance and birth certificates, as a result of unofficial marriages. They can also face violence and discrimination.

Table CP. 8 shows the types of marriage registration occurring in the three selected districts of West Papua Province. The table indicates that among women currently married or in union in the three selected districts, the proportion whose marriage is officially registered (civil registration) was 74 per cent ( 78,77 and 55 per cent in Sorong, Manokwari and Kaimana districts respectively).

\footnotetext{
14 MICS Indicator 8.10a
}

\section*{Table CP.8: Type of marriage registration}

Per cent distribution of women currently married/in union age 15-49 according to type of marriage registration, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


\subsection*{10.6. ATTITUDES TOWARD DOMESTIC VIOLENCE}

A number of questions were asked of women and men age 15-49 years to assess their attitudes towards whether husbands are justified to hit or beat their wives for a variety of scenarios. These questions were asked to gain an indication of cultural beliefs that tend to be associated with the prevalence of violence against women by their husbands. The main assumption here is that women that agree with the statements indicating that husbands are justified to beat their wives under the situations described in reality tend to be abused by their own husbands and similarly, men who agree with the statements in reality tend to exercise violence towards their wives. The responses to these questions can be found in Tables CP. 9 and CP.9M.

Overall, 40 per cent of women feel that their husband has a right to hit or beat them for at least one of a variety of reasons. In most cases, women who approve their husband's violence agree with and justify violence in instances when they neglect the children (25 per cent), or if they demonstrate their autonomy, e.g. go out without telling their husbands (20 per cent) or argue with them ( 23 per cent). Around 14 per cent of women believe that their partner has a right to hit or beat them if they refuse to have sex with him, if they burn the food (10 per cent) or if they argue with the in-laws ( 21 per cent).

Differences in this indicator were clear among districts, where 41 per cent of women in Manokwari District accept this type of violence. This percentage is reduced in Sorong and Kaimana districts to 33 and 36 per cent respectively. Association of domestic violence with education and wealth did not show a clear trend, but acceptance is more present among those living in the poorest households (40 per cent) than in the richest households (31 per cent).

Results on domestic violence for men are presented in Table CP. 8 M . Overall, 32 per cent of men feel that a husband has a right to hit or beat his wife for at least one of a variety of reasons, a percentage lower than that expressed by women ( 40 per cent). In most cases, men who approve of husband violence agree with and justify violence in instances when wives argue with their husbands (17 per cent) or she neglects the children (16 per cent). Differences in this indicator were clear among districts where 51 per cent of women in Kaimana District accept this type of violence. This percentage is reduced in Manokwari and Sorong districts to 31 and 23 per cent respectively.

\section*{Table CP.9: Attitudes toward domestic violence among women}

Percentage of women age 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife/partner in various circumstances, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Percentage of women age 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife/partner:} & \\
\hline & If she goes out without telling him & If she neglects the children & If she argues with him & If she refuses sex with him & If she burns the food & For any of these reasons \({ }^{1}\) & If she argues with parent-in-law & For any of the 6 reasons & of women age 15-49 years \\
\hline District & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 22.4 & 19.1 & 18.9 & 9.9 & 9.7 & 36.0 & 18.6 & 38.3 & 423 \\
\hline Manokwari & 19.5 & 26.9 & 25.7 & 15.1 & 10.9 & 40.7 & 23.2 & 43.7 & 1,638 \\
\hline Sorong & 17.9 & 23.9 & 19.9 & 11.9 & 7.9 & 32.6 & 14.8 & 33.4 & 654 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 20.0 & 23.5 & 22.9 & 8.3 & 8.7 & 38.4 & 18.3 & 41.3 & 763 \\
\hline Rural & 19.4 & 25.5 & 23.4 & 15.6 & 10.5 & 37.9 & 21.3 & 40.0 & 1,952 \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-19 & 22.6 & 31.6 & 30.6 & 14.6 & 11.6 & 45.3 & 28.3 & 48.2 & 465 \\
\hline 20-24 & 19.6 & 25.5 & 21.7 & 13.0 & 10.7 & 35.6 & 19.0 & 38.1 & 395 \\
\hline 25-29 & 21.2 & 27.0 & 24.2 & 15.8 & 12.5 & 40.3 & 21.0 & 42.5 & 462 \\
\hline 30-34 & 19.9 & 22.6 & 23.0 & 13.8 & 11.2 & 36.9 & 19.8 & 37.6 & 443 \\
\hline 35-39 & 15.7 & 21.4 & 20.4 & 10.8 & 4.8 & 34.1 & 14.5 & 36.0 & 387 \\
\hline 40-44 & 20.8 & 21.5 & 18.4 & 12.7 & 7.7 & 35.9 & 21.2 & 41.0 & 305 \\
\hline 45-49 & 14.7 & 22.1 & 21.0 & 12.6 & 10.1 & 34.7 & 17.2 & 36.5 & 259 \\
\hline Marital/Union status & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Currently married/ in union & 19.3 & 24.2 & 22.6 & 13.9 & 9.6 & 37.6 & 19.5 & 39.8 & 1,987 \\
\hline Formerly married/ in union & 22.9 & 25.5 & 24.2 & 11.0 & 15.9 & 42.0 & 23.7 & 45.1 & 134 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 19.7 & 27.3 & 25.2 & 12.8 & 10.0 & 38.7 & 23.3 & 41.4 & 594 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 20.8 & 19.2 & 23.9 & 17.3 & 15.9 & 30.4 & 24.4 & 33.7 & 134 \\
\hline Primary & 22.8 & 25.9 & 25.8 & 18.3 & 13.4 & 41.1 & 23.2 & 43.8 & 764 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 19.2 & 26.7 & 24.1 & 12.8 & 9.4 & 39.1 & 21.2 & 41.4 & 1,402 \\
\hline Higher & 14.3 & 19.1 & 15.4 & 6.0 & 3.8 & 31.0 & 11.7 & 32.9 & 415 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 25.2 & 27.4 & 25.5 & 17.0 & 17.2 & 40.2 & 26.6 & 42.3 & 467 \\
\hline Second & 25.3 & 28.5 & 27.0 & 16.7 & 16.2 & 40.9 & 24.3 & 44.0 & 502 \\
\hline Middle & 18.1 & 25.3 & 26.4 & 14.1 & 9.9 & 40.2 & 20.0 & 41.6 & 493 \\
\hline Fourth & 15.8 & 24.2 & 21.5 & 11.8 & 5.8 & 38.9 & 19.7 & 42.0 & 640 \\
\hline Richest & 15.6 & 20.8 & 17.7 & 9.5 & 3.9 & 31.4 & 13.9 & 33.3 & 614 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 26.4 & 31.0 & 29.4 & 16.2 & 17.4 & 44.4 & 27.2 & 46.8 & 1,212 \\
\hline Jawa & 13.1 & 23.1 & 19.5 & 12.6 & 3.1 & 35.2 & 16.7 & 37.6 & 860 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 14.5 & 15.1 & 17.7 & 9.9 & 4.8 & 29.9 & 13.9 & 32.1 & 333 \\
\hline Maluku & 18.1 & 19.1 & 18.5 & 10.5 & 5.6 & 34.8 & 10.7 & 35.4 & 197 \\
\hline Others & 13.0 & 15.2 & 11.1 & 8.1 & 5.9 & 22.5 & 15.2 & 26.3 & 107 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 19.5 & 25.0 & 23.2 & 13.5 & 10.0 & 38.0 & 20.5 & 40.4 & 2,715 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 7 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 8.14

\section*{Table CP.9M: Attitudes toward domestic violence among men}

Percentage of men age 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife/partner in various circumstances, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Percentage of men age 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife/partner:} & \\
\hline & If she goes out without telling him & If she neglects the children & If she argues with him & If she refuses sex with him & If she burns the food & For any of these reasons \({ }^{1}\) & If she argues with parent-in-law & For any of the 6 reasons & of men age 15-49 years \\
\hline District & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 30.2 & 26.8 & 33.0 & 15.0 & 12.3 & 48.1 & 36.0 & 51.4 & 437 \\
\hline Manokwari & 12.5 & 14.3 & 14.8 & 7.9 & 2.9 & 28.2 & 13.3 & 30.5 & 1,647 \\
\hline Sorong & 8.2 & 10.9 & 10.3 & 5.4 & 4.4 & 20.1 & 11.8 & 22.8 & 652 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 9.8 & 10.1 & 13.9 & 7.2 & 3.4 & 24.4 & 11.5 & 27.0 & 732 \\
\hline Rural & 15.9 & 17.5 & 17.6 & 8.9 & 5.2 & 31.3 & 18.4 & 33.8 & 2,004 \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-19 & 13.9 & 20.5 & 20.7 & 11.4 & 4.6 & 36.3 & 22.0 & 40.6 & 477 \\
\hline 20-24 & 17.2 & 19.8 & 19.2 & 9.9 & 7.6 & 32.1 & 23.6 & 37.6 & 317 \\
\hline 25-29 & 15.8 & 17.1 & 17.4 & 7.8 & 5.8 & 30.0 & 19.5 & 32.8 & 388 \\
\hline 30-34 & 14.5 & 13.2 & 16.5 & 9.4 & 3.7 & 29.9 & 12.4 & 30.6 & 479 \\
\hline 35-39 & 16.1 & 13.5 & 16.6 & 7.7 & 4.5 & 28.2 & 14.5 & 30.0 & 410 \\
\hline 40-44 & 12.7 & 12.8 & 14.2 & 6.7 & 4.0 & 27.8 & 14.1 & 29.7 & 374 \\
\hline 45-49 & 8.8 & 10.6 & 9.6 & 4.2 & 3.5 & 17.5 & 8.9 & 18.7 & 291 \\
\hline Marital/Union status & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Currently married/ in union & 14.2 & 13.4 & 14.8 & 7.3 & 4.2 & 26.6 & 13.6 & 28.3 & 1,767 \\
\hline Formerly married/ in union & 18.9 & 18.9 & 21.3 & 11.4 & 9.3 & 41.7 & 19.9 & 44.2 & 68 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 14.0 & 19.3 & 19.9 & 10.4 & 5.4 & 34.0 & 22.1 & 38.3 & 902 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 36.7 & 27.0 & 30.2 & 7.4 & 7.0 & 51.8 & 32.7 & 55.5 & 74 \\
\hline Primary & 17.4 & 16.8 & 21.4 & 9.3 & 7.7 & 34.1 & 21.9 & 37.0 & 625 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 12.9 & 15.2 & 14.9 & 8.9 & 4.2 & 28.4 & 14.7 & 30.6 & \[
1,576
\] \\
\hline Higher & 11.1 & 12.8 & 13.8 & 5.9 & 2.2 & 23.1 & 13.3 & 26.4 & 460 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 27.7 & 26.1 & 32.6 & 14.6 & 12.7 & 49.0 & 33.4 & 53.2 & 498 \\
\hline Second & 21.9 & 22.0 & 21.9 & 12.8 & 6.3 & 37.4 & 21.0 & 39.4 & 499 \\
\hline Middle & 12.5 & 12.3 & 14.2 & 7.1 & 2.7 & 27.1 & 14.7 & 30.1 & 591 \\
\hline Fourth & 6.8 & 9.6 & 9.4 & 4.6 & 2.7 & 19.7 & 9.1 & 21.6 & 576 \\
\hline Richest & 5.4 & 9.7 & 7.9 & 4.4 & 0.6 & 17.7 & 7.6 & 19.6 & 571 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 24.1 & 23.1 & 28.7 & 13.3 & 8.7 & 43.8 & 27.6 & 47.3 & 1,189 \\
\hline Jawa & 5.1 & 8.8 & 5.7 & 3.5 & 1.6 & 17.0 & 6.8 & 18.4 & 906 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 6.0 & 9.2 & 6.3 & 4.1 & 1.4 & 15.4 & 7.5 & 17.6 & 333 \\
\hline Maluku & 15.9 & 12.2 & 13.1 & 8.0 & 3.4 & 27.5 & 13.6 & 31.9 & 171 \\
\hline Others & 20.0 & 20.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 20.0 & 39.9 & 39.9 & 129 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 14.3 & 15.5 & 16.6 & 8.4 & 4.7 & 29.4 & 16.6 & 32.0 & 2,736 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 9 cases with missing "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 8.14

\section*{HIV/AIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR, AND ORPHANS}

\subsection*{11.1. KNOWLEDGE ABOUT HIV TRANSMISSION AND MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT HIV/AIDS}

One of the most important prerequisites for reducing the rate of HIV infection is accurate knowledge of how HIV is transmitted and strategies for preventing transmission. Correct information is the first step towards raising awareness and giving young people the tools to protect themselves from infection. Misconceptions about HIV are common and can confuse young people and hinder prevention efforts. Different regions are likely to have variations in misconceptions, although some appear to be universal (for example that sharing food can transmit HIV or mosquito bites can transmit HIV). The UN General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS (UNGASS) called on governments to improve the knowledge and skills of young people to protect themselves from HIV. The indicators to measure this goal, as well as the MDG of reducing HIV infections by half, include improving the level of knowledge of HIV and its prevention, and changing behaviours to prevent further spread of the disease. HIV modules were administered to women and men 15-49 years of age.

One indicator which is both an MDG and UNGASS indicator is the percentage of young women who have comprehensive and correct knowledge of HIV prevention and transmission. In MICS conducted in three districts in West Papua all women who had heard of AIDS were asked whether they knew of the two main ways of preventing HIV transmission-having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time.

The results are presented in Table HA. 1 for women age 15-49. In the three selected districts of West Papua, about 78 per cent of the interviewed women have heard of AIDS with clear differentials among districts (Kaimana, 64 per cent; Manokwari, 84 per cent; Sorong, 72 per cent).

The percentage of women who know of both main ways of preventing HIV transmission is only 43 per cent. Sixty per cent of women know of having one faithful uninfected sex partner and 50 per cent know of using a condom every time as main ways of preventing HIV transmission. Differentials were observed in the percentage of women who know of both main ways of preventing HIV transmission by districts, with the lowest level of knowledge in Kaimana District ( 34 per cent) compared with 39 and 47 per cent in Sorong and Manokwari districts respectively. Lower knowledge was observed among women with lower education, those ever married or in union, the poorest women and women with Papuan heads of household.

The results for women age 15-24 are separately presented in Table HA.2. The percentage of women who have heard of AIDS is 83 per cent and the percentage of women who
know of both main ways of preventing HIV transmission is almost the same for this age group (49 per cent) as for the age group 15-49 (50 per cent). Differentials of these indicators are generally similar to those for age group 15-49.

Table HA. 1 and HA. 2 also present the percentage of women who can correctly identify misconceptions concerning HIV. The indicator is based on the two most common and relevant misconceptions in the three districts of West Papua, that HIV can be transmitted by supernatural means and sharing food with someone with AIDS. The table also provides information on whether women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites. Of the interviewed women, 34 per cent reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy-looking person can be infected. Sixty-three per cent of women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means, and 45 per cent of women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by sharing food with someone with AIDS, while 64 per cent of women know that a healthy-looking person can be infected. Results for women age 1424 were generally similar to those of women age 15-49.

Women who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention include women who know of the two main ways of HIV prevention (having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time, who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, and who reject the two most common misconceptions. Tables HA. 1 and HA. 2 also present the percentage of women with comprehensive knowledge.

Overall, only 23 per cent of women age 15-49 were found to have comprehensive knowledge, which was higher in urban areas (29 per cent) compared with rural (11 per cent). Comprehensive knowledge is much lower in Kaimana District (18 per cent) than in Manokwari ( 25 per cent) and Sorong ( 23 per cent). As expected, the percentage of women with comprehensive knowledge increases with the woman's education level (Figure HA.1). Comprehensive knowledge was lowest among women with no education (less than one per cent) and increased to 46 per cent among women with higher education. Women residing in the poorest households show only 15 per cent comprehensive knowledge compared with 41 per cent in the richest households. Women living in households with Javanese heads show higher comprehensive knowledge compared with others. Similar results were observed for women age 15-24.

Figure HA.1: Percentage of women who have comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS transmission, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


\footnotetext{
Knows 2 ways to prevent HIV
Indentify 2 most common misconceptions and know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS
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}
Table HA.1: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission among women
Percentage of women age 15-49 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus,
percentage who reject common misconceptions, and percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage who have heard of AIDS} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage who know transmission can be prevented by:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of women who know both ways} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Percentage who know that HIV cannot be transmitted by:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage who reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage with comprehensive knowledge \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women} \\
\hline & Having only one faithful uninfected sex partner & Using a condom every time & & & Mosquito bites & Supernatural means & Sharing food with someone with AIDS & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
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Table HA.1M: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission among men
Percentage of men age 15-49 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus,
percentage who reject common misconceptions, and percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West percentage who reject common misconceptions, and percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
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* 9 cases with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown


Table HA.2: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission among young women
Percentage of young women age 15-24 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, percentage who reject common misconceptions, and percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
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* 1 case with missing/ DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
Table HA.2M: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission among young men
Percentage of young men age 15-24 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, percentage who reject common misconceptions, and percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West










* 7 case with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
District
Kaimana


Total for 3 districts

Tables HA. 1 M and HA. 2 M present the HIV/AIDS indicators for men age 15-49 and 15-24 respectively. The percentage of comprehensive knowledge is similar among men age 15-49 (24 per cent) compared with women (23 per cent). Similar trends were observed in variations by background characteristic in comprehensive knowledge for men as were observed among women. Unlike women, comprehensive knowledge was lower among men in the younger age group 15-24 (19 per cent) than among men age 15-49 (24 per cent).

Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is also an important first step in encouraging women to seek HIV testing when they are pregnant to avoid infection in the baby. Women should know that HIV can be transmitted during pregnancy, during delivery and through breastfeeding. The level of knowledge among women age 15-49 years concerning mother-to-child transmission is presented in Table HA.3. About 72 per cent of women know that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child, 70 per cent during pregnancy, 63 per cent during delivery and 67 per cent by breastfeeding. The percentage of women who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission is 60 per cent, while eight per cent of women did not know of any specific way.

District differentials exist, with the highest percentage for this indicator in the district of Manokwari ( 62 per cent) and the lowest in Kaimana ( 51 per cent) The impact of education on the knowledge is also clear. Knowledge among women with no education stands at 15 per cent, rising to 37 per cent among women who have primary education, to 69 per cent among women with secondary education and rising to reach the maximum of 82 per cent among women who higher education. Wealth index is positively correlated with knowledge of the transmission from mother to child, amounting to 29 per cent of women in the poorest 20 per cent of households and rising gradually to reach 78 per cent for women in the richest 20 per cent of households.

Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission is higher among men (83 per cent) than women ( 72 per cent). About 78 per cent of men know that HIV can be transmitted during pregnancy, 70 per cent during delivery and 74 per cent by breastfeeding. The percentage of men who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission is 10 per cent, while six per cent of men did not know of any specific way.

Table HA.3: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission among women

Percentage of women age 15-49 years who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage who know HIV can be transmitted from mother to child} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Per cent who know HIV can be transmitted:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Does not know any of the specific means} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women} \\
\hline & & During pregnancy & During delivery & By
breastfeeding & All three means \({ }^{1}\) & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 57.6 & 55.4 & 54.3 & 54.2 & 50.5 & 6.1 & 423 \\
\hline Manokwari & 78.3 & 75.8 & 66.5 & 71.7 & 61.7 & 5.5 & 1,638 \\
\hline Sorong & 65.9 & 65.1 & 61.5 & 62.7 & 59.5 & 6.0 & 654 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 84.5 & 81.6 & 75.7 & 78.6 & 71.0 & 3.3 & 763 \\
\hline Rural & 67.2 & 65.5 & 58.6 & 62.1 & 54.9 & 6.6 & 1,952 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-24 & 77.8 & 75.5 & 68.6 & 73.4 & 65.9 & 5.1 & 860 \\
\hline 15-19 & 78.7 & 76.1 & 70.0 & 74.9 & 68.0 & 5.6 & 465 \\
\hline 20-24 & 76.7 & 74.8 & 67.0 & 71.5 & 63.4 & 4.6 & 395 \\
\hline 25-29 & 74.7 & 72.5 & 63.6 & 68.5 & 59.7 & 5.3 & 462 \\
\hline 30-39 & 73.0 & 71.1 & 64.1 & 66.7 & 59.0 & 6.3 & 829 \\
\hline 40-49 & 59.9 & 58.1 & 54.1 & 55.4 & 50.1 & 6.1 & 564 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Marital status} \\
\hline Ever married/in union & 69.0 & 66.8 & 60.6 & 63.6 & 56.4 & 6.3 & 2,121 \\
\hline Never married/in union & 83.1 & 81.5 & 73.3 & 78.1 & 70.5 & 3.5 & 594 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 23.9 & 22.4 & 15.9 & 20.3 & 15.2 & 2.4 & 134 \\
\hline Primary & 48.0 & 44.8 & 40.0 & 45.2 & 37.2 & 8.7 & 764 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 82.3 & 80.5 & 73.1 & 76.2 & 69.2 & 5.5 & 1,402 \\
\hline Higher & 97.4 & 96.5 & 88.8 & 89.6 & 81.8 & 1.8 & 415 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 36.7 & 34.5 & 30.4 & 34.0 & 29.1 & 8.7 & 467 \\
\hline Second & 58.7 & 57.1 & 52.6 & 55.1 & 49.7 & 6.3 & 502 \\
\hline Middle & 76.8 & 74.7 & 65.5 & 72.3 & 62.9 & 7.1 & 493 \\
\hline Fourth & 86.4 & 83.2 & 74.9 & 79.0 & 69.3 & 4.4 & 640 \\
\hline Richest & 91.1 & 90.2 & 83.5 & 84.0 & 77.5 & 3.3 & 614 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 62.9 & 60.9 & 54.3 & 58.6 & 51.8 & 5.0 & 1,212 \\
\hline Jawa & 76.8 & 74.9 & 67.1 & 70.7 & 62.7 & 7.6 & 860 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 86.2 & 82.7 & 76.9 & 78.9 & 69.6 & 3.4 & 333 \\
\hline Maluku & 79.4 & 78.4 & 74.9 & 75.7 & 71.8 & 5.0 & 197 \\
\hline Others & 82.2 & 82.2 & 74.7 & 75.1 & 67.6 & 8.0 & 107 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 72.1 & 70.0 & 63.4 & 66.8 & 59.5 & 5.7 & 2,715 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.3

Table HA.3M: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission among men

Percentage of men age 15-49 years who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage who know HIV can be transmitted from mother to child} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Per cent who know HIV can be transmitted:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Does not know any of the specific means} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of men} \\
\hline & & During pregnancy & During delivery & By breastfeeding & All three means \({ }^{1}\) & & \\
\hline District & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 78.1 & 73.0 & 69.9 & 74.5 & 65.8 & 7.2 & 437 \\
\hline Manokwari & 86.5 & 80.1 & 68.7 & 73.5 & 57.8 & 6.4 & 1,647 \\
\hline Sorong & 78.3 & 74.6 & 72.0 & 73.5 & 67.2 & 10.8 & 652 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 87.7 & 83.1 & 75.4 & 77.1 & 67.0 & 5.8 & 732 \\
\hline Rural & 81.6 & 75.6 & 67.6 & 72.5 & 59.2 & 8.2 & 2,004 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-24 & 83.7 & 77.8 & 69.2 & 72.9 & 60.1 & 6.0 & 794 \\
\hline 15-19 & 82.8 & 75.2 & 68.1 & 71.0 & 57.3 & 5.9 & 477 \\
\hline 20-24 & 85.1 & 81.7 & 70.8 & 75.8 & 64.4 & 6.1 & 317 \\
\hline 25-29 & 86.8 & 79.9 & 70.0 & 80.3 & 65.1 & 7.1 & 388 \\
\hline 30-39 & 84.4 & 79.8 & 72.0 & 74.6 & 62.6 & 7.4 & 889 \\
\hline 40-49 & 78.8 & 73.1 & 66.9 & 69.6 & 58.7 & 10.1 & 665 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Marital status} \\
\hline Ever married/in union & 82.8 & 77.8 & 69.8 & 74.1 & 62.3 & 8.6 & 1,834 \\
\hline Never married/in union & 84.0 & 77.2 & 69.5 & 72.9 & 59.3 & 5.5 & 902 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 52.7 & 47.9 & 33.7 & 48.1 & 28.9 & 9.5 & 74 \\
\hline Primary & 65.9 & 60.8 & 55.7 & 60.7 & 50.3 & 13.7 & 625 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 87.6 & 81.2 & 74.1 & 77.0 & 64.8 & 6.8 & 1,576 \\
\hline Higher & 96.5 & 92.9 & 79.3 & 84.0 & 69.4 & 1.8 & 460 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 64.5 & 59.7 & 53.1 & 59.5 & 48.4 & 10.7 & 498 \\
\hline Second & 79.7 & 73.7 & 65.0 & 72.2 & 57.8 & 10.2 & 499 \\
\hline Middle & 85.3 & 78.6 & 72.1 & 76.0 & 63.8 & 8.1 & 591 \\
\hline Fourth & 89.1 & 84.9 & 74.8 & 77.9 & 65.7 & 7.2 & 576 \\
\hline Richest & 94.4 & 88.4 & 80.5 & 80.8 & 68.6 & 2.5 & 571 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 83.2 & 77.6 & 69.7 & 73.7 & 61.3 & 7.6 & 2,736 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.3} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{11.2. ACCEPTING ATTITUDES TOWARD PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV/AIDS}

The indicators on attitudes toward people living with HIV measure stigma and discrimination in the community. Stigma and discrimination are low if respondents report an accepting attitude on the following four questions: 1) Would care for family member sick with AIDS; 2) would buy fresh vegetables from a vendor who is HIV positive; 3) thinks that a female teacher who is HIV positive should be allowed to teach in school; and 4) would not want to keep HIV status of a family member a secret. Table HA. 4 presents the attitudes of women towards people living with HIV/AIDS. In the three districts of West Papua, 14 per cent of women who have heard of AIDS agree with all four accepting attitudes. The most common accepting attitude is willingness to care for a family member with the AIDS virus in own home ( 65 per cent), followed by belief that a female teacher with the AIDS virus and is not sick should be allowed to continue ( 57 per cent), followed by not wanting to keep secret that a family member got infected with the AIDS virus (51 per cent) and lastly buying fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who has the AIDS virus (43 per cent).

Table HA.4: Accepting attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS among women

Percentage of women age 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS who express an accepting attitude towards people living with HIV/AIDS, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Percentage of women who:} & \\
\hline & Are willing to care for a family member with the AIDS virus in own home & Would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who has the AIDS virus & Believe that a female teacher with the AIDS virus and is not sick should be allowed to continue teaching & Would not want to keep secret that a family member got infected with the AIDS virus & Agree with at least one accepting attitude & Express accepting attitudes on all four indicators \({ }^{1}\) & Number of women who have heard of AIDS \\
\hline District & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 31.7 & 34.1 & 45.9 & 74.7 & 93.0 & 6.2 & 270 \\
\hline Manokwari & 68.7 & 42.4 & 56.8 & 45.4 & 90.0 & 13.4 & 1,372 \\
\hline Sorong & 71.9 & 48.4 & 64.5 & 52.5 & 91.3 & 21.1 & 470 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 62.8 & 44.7 & 60.3 & 48.6 & 90.9 & 13.7 & 671 \\
\hline Rural & 65.5 & 41.7 & 55.7 & 51.7 & 90.5 & 14.4 & 1,441 \\
\hline Age group & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-24 & 69.1 & 43.6 & 60.2 & 42.0 & 90.2 & 13.9 & 713 \\
\hline 15-19 & 69.0 & 42.5 & 57.2 & 43.0 & 90.0 & 15.6 & 392 \\
\hline 20-24 & 69.3 & 44.9 & 63.7 & 40.7 & 90.5 & 11.8 & 321 \\
\hline 25-29 & 61.5 & 43.1 & 57.8 & 54.4 & 93.3 & 12.1 & 369 \\
\hline 30-39 & 66.0 & 45.1 & 60.3 & 54.0 & 91.8 & 16.8 & 657 \\
\hline \[
40-49
\] & 56.8 & 35.9 & 45.2 & 57.9 & 86.8 & 12.3 & 372 \\
\hline Marital status & & & & & & & \\
\hline Ever married/ in union & 63.3 & 41.9 & 55.1 & 53.5 & 90.3 & 14.4 & 1,598 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 68.9 & 44.8 & 63.4 & 42.0 & 91.7 & 13.6 & 514 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & (36.2) & (10.4) & (12.5) & (67.5) & (78.9) & (1.5) & 35 \\
\hline Primary & 50.1 & 27.5 & 35.7 & 51.2 & 84.1 & 6.9 & 433 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 66.7 & 43.9 & 59.4 & 53.9 & 91.5 & 16.5 & 1,231 \\
\hline & 76.3 & 57.4 & 76.8 & 39.3 & 95.9 & 16.1 & 412 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 40.7 & 24.6 & 33.3 & 55.5 & 82.0 & 6.0 & 212 \\
\hline Second & 58.7 & 32.4 & 46.7 & 55.2 & 90.0 & 9.5 & 326 \\
\hline Middle & 67.2 & 39.9 & 56.7 & (54.7) & 91.6 & 15.4 & 414 \\
\hline Fourth & 67.5 & 47.8 & 59.5 & 48.8 & 91.7 & 14.8 & 581 \\
\hline Richest & 72.1 & 51.7 & 69.7 & 45.4 & 92.4 & 18.4 & 579 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 51.7 & 34.0 & 49.5 & 49.4 & 86.2 & 9.7 & 823 \\
\hline Jawa & 78.1 & 50.4 & 63.9 & 48.1 & 92.7 & 17.7 & 725 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 72.6 & 45.0 & 59.1 & 56.7 & 95.1 & 19.2 & 298 \\
\hline Maluku & 62.8 & 44.8 & 59.4 & 57.9 & 95.4 & 12.3 & 166 \\
\hline Others & 51.7 & 45.6 & 60.3 & 49.3 & 91.8 & 11.7 & 97 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 64.7 & 42.6 & 57.1 & 50.7 & 90.7 & 14.2 & 2,112 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 3 cases with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.4

\section*{Table HA.4M: Accepting attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS among men}

Percentage of men age 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS who express an accepting attitude towards people living with HIV/AIDS, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Percentage of men who:} & \\
\hline & Are willing to care for a family member with the AIDS virus in own home & Would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who has the AlDS virus & Believe that
a female
teacher with
the AlDS vi-
rus and is not
sick should
be allowed
to continue
teaching & Would not want to keep secret that a family member got infected with the AIDS virus & Agree with at least one accepting attitude & Express accepting attitudes on all four indicators \({ }^{1}\) & Number of men who have heard of AIDS \\
\hline District & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 36.6 & 24.6 & 30.9 & 69.2 & 88.0 & 6.8 & 373 \\
\hline Manokwari & 78.6 & 45.1 & 60.1 & 48.3 & 94.8 & 14.6 & 1,531 \\
\hline Sorong & 77.1 & 51.0 & 49.1 & 56.4 & 96.5 & 17.0 & 580 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 68.7 & 47.6 & 57.8 & 45.9 & 91.8 & 13.0 & 684 \\
\hline Rural & 73.2 & 41.8 & 51.4 & 56.2 & 95.1 & 14.4 & 1,800 \\
\hline Age group & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-24 & 74.8 & 42.4 & 56.9 & 41.9 & 92.4 & 10.5 & 712 \\
\hline 15-19 & 76.5 & 44.7 & 59.6 & 40.7 & 91.7 & 10.6 & 423 \\
\hline 20-24 & 72.2 & 39.0 & 53.0 & 43.7 & 93.4 & 10.3 & 289 \\
\hline 25-29 & 72.0 & 45.7 & 55.6 & 52.4 & 95.9 & 14.8 & 365 \\
\hline 30-39 & 71.6 & 46.1 & 52.4 & 56.6 & 94.8 & 16.9 & 817 \\
\hline 40-49 & 69.1 & 39.6 & 48.1 & 63.2 & 94.4 & 13.7 & 591 \\
\hline Marital status & & & & & & & \\
\hline Ever married/ in union & 69.7 & 41.9 & 51.4 & 58.2 & 94.4 & 15.1 & 1,677 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 76.7 & 46.7 & 56.7 & 43.3 & 93.7 & 11.8 & 807 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & (58.1) & (17.4) & (33.5) & (66.1) & (89.4) & (3.5) & 46 \\
\hline Primary & 60.1 & 25.5 & 35.0 & 58.5 & 89.1 & 8.2 & 497 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 74.4 & 46.4 & 54.7 & 54.3 & 95.4 & 14.5 & 1,487 \\
\hline Higher & 78.3 & 55.8 & 70.1 & 43.2 & 96.2 & 19.9 & 453 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 52.9 & 21.1 & 30.7 & 58.1 & 88.5 & 5.5 & 374 \\
\hline Second & 65.2 & 35.1 & 43.0 & 57.0 & 94.1 & 10.8 & 449 \\
\hline Middle & 74.9 & 44.5 & 51.4 & 55.1 & 94.4 & 13.3 & 552 \\
\hline Fourth & 76.8 & 47.0 & 58.0 & 50.3 & 94.8 & 15.4 & 555 \\
\hline Richest & 82.5 & 60.6 & 73.5 & 48.4 & 97.2 & 21.7 & 554 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 61.2 & 32.0 & 44.6 & 51.0 & 91.0 & 9.5 & 1,032 \\
\hline Jawa & 86.0 & 56.7 & 65.6 & 55.7 & 97.8 & 20.8 & 844 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 73.0 & 49.6 & 57.1 & 50.6 & 94.4 & 13.2 & 319 \\
\hline Maluku & 58.3 & 33.8 & 30.5 & 57.7 & 92.8 & 6.8 & 159 \\
\hline Others & 78.4 & 45.6 & 58.4 & 57.2 & 97.4 & 16.2 & 123 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 72.0 & 43.4 & 53.2 & 53.3 & 94.2 & 14.0 & 2,484 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 7 cases with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.4

The percentage of women agreeing to all accepting attitudes is highest in Sorong District (21 per cent) compared with Manokwari District ( 13 per cent) and Kaimana District ( 6 per cent). And as expected; accepting attitudes increase with women' education.

Accepting Attitudes toward People Living with HIV/AIDS is similar among men (14 per cent) and women ( 14 per cent) (Table HA4.M) with similar trends by background characteristics.

\subsection*{11.3. KNOWLEDGE OF A PLACE FOR HIV TESTING AND COUNSELLING}

Another important indicator is the knowledge of where to be tested for HIV and use of such services. In order to protect themselves and to prevent infecting others, it is important for individuals to know their HIV status. Knowledge of one's own status is also a critical factor in the decision to seek treatment. Questions related to knowledge among men of a facility for HIV testing and whether they have ever been tested is presented in Table HA.5. Twenty-seven per cent of women knew where to be tested, while five per cent have actually been tested. Of these, one per cent have been tested within the last 12 months, and less than one per cent have been told the result within the last 12 months. Kaimana District generally lagged behind in these indicators. It should be noted that these results do not include women with birth delivered by health professional.

Thirty per cent of men knew where to be tested, while nine per cent have actually been tested (Table HA.5M). Of these, three per cent have been tested within the last 12 months, and two per cent have been tested and told the result within the last 12 months. Knowledge of a place to get tested and the percentage of those who have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told the result are lower in Sorong District than in Kaimana and Manokwari districts.

\section*{Table HA.5: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing among women}

Percentage of women age 15-49 years who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of women who have ever been tested, percentage of women who have been tested in the last 12 months, and percentage of women who have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told the result, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percentage of women who:} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of women} \\
\hline & Know a place to get tested & Have ever been tested & Have been tested in the last 12 months & Have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told result \({ }^{2}\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 22.6 & 2.7 & 0.9 & 0.6 & 423 \\
\hline Manokwari & 31.1 & 5.7 & 1.1 & 0.8 & 1,638 \\
\hline Sorong & 19.5 & 5.6 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 654 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 42.6 & 9.7 & 2.4 & 1.6 & 763 \\
\hline Rural & 20.9 & 3.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 1,952 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-24 & 26.1 & 5.3 & 1.2 & 0.6 & 860 \\
\hline 15-19 & 22.6 & 3.7 & 1.0 & 0.3 & 465 \\
\hline 20-24 & 30.2 & 7.2 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 395 \\
\hline 25-29 & 26.8 & 5.9 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 462 \\
\hline 30-39 & 31.5 & 6.8 & 1.3 & 1.3 & 829 \\
\hline 40-49 & 22.0 & 2.1 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 564 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Marital status} \\
\hline Ever married/in union & 25.2 & 5.0 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 2,121 \\
\hline Never married/in union & 33.4 & 6.2 & 1.7 & 0.8 & 594 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 1.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 134 \\
\hline Primary & 9.7 & 2.1 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 764 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 27.7 & 5.3 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 1,402 \\
\hline Higher & 64.6 & 12.4 & 2.9 & 2.4 & 415 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 6.4 & 1.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 467 \\
\hline Second & 12.3 & 2.4 & 1.2 & 0.9 & 502 \\
\hline Middle & 24.9 & 6.3 & 1.6 & 1.2 & 493 \\
\hline Fourth & 34.9 & 7.1 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 640 \\
\hline Richest & 48.2 & 7.7 & 1.7 & 1.3 & 614 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 25.0 & 5.5 & 1.2 & 0.7 & 1,212 \\
\hline Jawa & 23.2 & 4.4 & 0.8 & 0.7 & 860 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 36.7 & 6.5 & 1.5 & 1.4 & 333 \\
\hline Maluku & 33.4 & 5.4 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 197 \\
\hline Others & 37.9 & 4.2 & 1.1 & 1.1 & 107 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 27.0 & 5.2 & 1.0 & 0.8 & 2,715 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 7 cases with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.5
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator 9.6
}

Table HA.5M: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing among men

Percentage of men age 15-49 years who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of men who have ever been tested, percentage of men who have been tested in the last 12 months, and percentage of men who have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told the result, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percentage of men who:} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of men} \\
\hline & Know a place to get tested & Have ever been tested & Have been tested in the last 12 months & Have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told result \({ }^{2}\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 21.2 & 8.7 & 2.4 & 2.0 & 437 \\
\hline Manokwari & 36.4 & 9.3 & 3.7 & 2.8 & 1,647 \\
\hline Sorong & 20.1 & 7.0 & 1.5 & 0.8 & 652 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 46.0 & 14.5 & 5.5 & 4.3 & 732 \\
\hline Rural & 24.3 & 6.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 2,004 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-24 & 27.3 & 5.9 & 2.5 & 1.9 & 794 \\
\hline 15-19 & 24.6 & 4.9 & 1.9 & 1.8 & 477 \\
\hline 20-24 & 31.2 & 7.5 & 3.2 & 2.1 & 317 \\
\hline 25-29 & 33.2 & 12.0 & 3.6 & 3.4 & 388 \\
\hline 30-39 & 32.5 & 8.9 & 3.1 & 2.2 & 889 \\
\hline 40-49 & 28.3 & 9.7 & 3.0 & 1.8 & 665 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Marital status} \\
\hline Ever married/in union & 30.1 & 9.4 & 3.1 & 2.4 & 1,834 \\
\hline Never married/in union & 30.1 & 7.2 & 2.7 & 1.9 & 902 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 1.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 74 \\
\hline Primary & 10.7 & 3.4 & 1.9 & 1.2 & 625 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 31.1 & 8.9 & 2.6 & 1.9 & 1,576 \\
\hline Higher & 57.6 & 16.5 & 6.2 & 5.0 & 460 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 8.9 & 4.4 & 2.0 & 1.3 & 498 \\
\hline Second & 20.7 & 5.2 & 1.4 & 0.9 & 499 \\
\hline Middle & 30.7 & 7.1 & 3.3 & 2.3 & 591 \\
\hline Fourth & 36.5 & 9.6 & 3.4 & 2.9 & 576 \\
\hline Richest & 49.7 & 16.2 & 4.4 & 3.4 & 571 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 29.9 & 8.8 & 2.9 & 2.3 & 1,189 \\
\hline Jawa & 27.4 & 6.9 & 2.5 & 1.8 & 906 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 36.3 & 12.4 & 3.9 & 2.2 & 333 \\
\hline Maluku & 31.4 & 10.4 & 4.1 & 3.7 & 171 \\
\hline Others & 30.4 & 8.1 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 129 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 30.1 & 8.7 & 3.0 & 2.2 & 2,736 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.5
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator 9.6

Table HA. 6 and HA. 6 M present the same results for sexually active young women and young men. The proportion of young women who have been tested and have been told the result within the last 12 months provides a measure of the effectiveness of interventions that promote HIV counselling and testing among young people. This is important to know, because young people may feel that there are barriers to accessing services related to sensitive issues, such as sexual health.

Table HA.6: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing among sexually active young women
Percentage of women age 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months, and among women who have had sex in the last 12 months, the percentage who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of women who have ever been tested, percentage of women who have been tested in the last 12 months, and percentage of women who have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told the result, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage who have had sex in the last 12 months} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women age 15-24 years} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percentage of women who:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women age 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months} \\
\hline & & & Know a place to get tested & \begin{tabular}{l}
Have \\
ever been tested
\end{tabular} & Have been tested in the last 12 months & Have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told result \({ }^{1}\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 37.2 & 121 & 13.8 & 2.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 45 \\
\hline Manokwari & 40.1 & 566 & 23.7 & 5.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 227 \\
\hline Sorong & 36.4 & 173 & 14.2 & 4.5 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 63 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 32.0 & 254 & 34.8 & 4.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 81 \\
\hline Rural & 41.9 & 606 & 16.0 & 5.2 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 254 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline \[
15-19
\] & 19.9 & 465 & 18.8 & 3.4 & 0.9 & 0.9 & 93 \\
\hline 20-24 & 61.4 & 395 & 21.2 & 5.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 243 \\
\hline Marital status Ever married/ in union & 93.6 & 346 & 19.8 & 4.7 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 324 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 2.1 & 514 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 11 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & (*) & 13 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 8 \\
\hline Primary & 62.6 & 141 & 9.8 & 1.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 88 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 36.8 & 543 & 22.1 & 6.1 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 200 \\
\hline Higher & 23.8 & 163 & (41.4) & (9.2) & (0.0) & (0.0) & 39 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 46.0 & 160 & 10.0 & 1.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 74 \\
\hline Second & 46.1 & 164 & 9.6 & 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 76 \\
\hline Middle & 47.5 & 144 & 28.4 & 8.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 69 \\
\hline Fourth & 26.7 & 213 & (28.0) & (10.5) & (0.0) & (0.0) & 57 \\
\hline Richest & 33.9 & 179 & (31.2) & (5.8) & (1.3) & (1.3) & 61 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 39.6 & 441 & 22.2 & 5.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 175 \\
\hline Jawa & 44.2 & 247 & 17.4 & 3.1 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 109 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 35.1 & 92 & (16.3) & (7.1) & (0.0) & (0.0) & 32 \\
\hline Maluku & 22.0 & 60 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 13 \\
\hline Others & (*) & 19 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 6 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 39.0 & 860 & 20.6 & 5.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 335 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases} \\
\hline (*) Figures that are ba & on fewer & an 25 unw & ghted c & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.7

About 39 per cent of women had sex in the last 12 months. Among these, 21 per cent know a place to get tested, five per cent have been tested, less than one per cent have been tested in the last 12 months, and less than one per cent have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told the result.

About one in four men have had sex in the last 12 months ( 25 per cent). Among these, 27 per cent know a place to get tested, 10 per cent have been tested, five per cent have been tested in the last 12 months and none have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told result.

Table HA.6M: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing among sexually active young men
Percentage of men age 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months, and among men who have had sex in the last 12 months, the percentage who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of men who have ever been tested, percentage of men who have been tested in the last 12 months, and percentage of men who have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told the result, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage who have had sex in the last 12 months} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of men age 15-24 years} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percentage of men who:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of men age 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months} \\
\hline & & & Know a place to get tested & \begin{tabular}{l}
Have \\
ever \\
been tested
\end{tabular} & Have been tested in the last 12 months & Have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told result \({ }^{1}\) & \\
\hline District & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 34.7 & 121 & 17.4 & 4.8 & 1.1 & 1.1 & 42 \\
\hline Manokwari & 26.6 & 499 & 29.4 & 11.2 & 7.1 & 5.6 & 133 \\
\hline Sorong & 13.2 & 174 & (26.6) & (11.0) & (2.2) & (2.2) & 23 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 25.6 & 240 & 35.4 & 18.1 & 12.7 & 9.4 & 61 \\
\hline Rural & 24.6 & 554 & 22.5 & 6.1 & 1.9 & 1.9 & 136 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Age group } \\
& 15-19
\end{aligned}
\] & 10.8 & 477 & (19.9) & (9.4) & (8.1) & (8.1) & 51 \\
\hline 20-24 & 46.2 & 317 & 28.8 & 10.0 & 4.3 & 2.9 & 146 \\
\hline Marital status Ever married/ in union & 92.5 & 133 & 22.5 & 7.2 & 4.3 & 4.3 & 123 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 11.3 & 661 & 33.1 & 14.1 & 6.8 & 4.1 & 74 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 14.7 & 10 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2 \\
\hline Primary & 33.2 & 144 & 17.5 & 7.7 & 7.7 & 7.7 & 48 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 22.5 & 522 & 21.6 & 6.9 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 117 \\
\hline Higher & 26.5 & 118 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 31 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 34.5 & 150 & 7.2 & 2.3 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 52 \\
\hline Second & 26.4 & 153 & (31.5) & (9.0) & (4.2) & (4.2) & 40 \\
\hline Middle & 19.5 & 178 & (18.9) & (14.3) & (7.4) & (7.4) & 35 \\
\hline Fourth & 24.5 & 173 & (34.8) & (16.3) & (13.3) & (8.5) & 42 \\
\hline Richest & 20.3 & 140 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 29 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 32.5 & 395 & 22.3 & 8.9 & 4.5 & 4.5 & 128 \\
\hline Jawa & 14.8 & 235 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 35 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 27.8 & 77 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 21 \\
\hline Maluku & 24.3 & 44 & (*) & (*) & \[
\left(^{*}\right)
\] & (*) & 11 \\
\hline Others & (*) & 37 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 3 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 24.9 & 794 & 26.5 & 9.8 & 5.3 & 4.2 & 198 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
* 7 cases with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown \\
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases \\
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.7

\subsection*{11.4. SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR RELATED TO HIV TRANSMISSION}

Promoting safer sexual behaviour is critical for reducing HIV prevalence. The use of condoms during sex, especially with non-regular partners, is especially important for reducing the spread of HIV. In most countries, over half of new HIV infections are among young people age \(15-24\) years, thus a change in behaviour among this age group will be especially important to reduce new infections. A set of questions was administered to all women 15-49 years of age to assess their risk of HIV infection. Risk factors for HIV include sex at an early age, sex with older men, sex with a non-marital non-cohabitating partner, and failure to use a condom.

The frequency of sexual behaviours that increase the risk of HIV infection among women is presented in Table HA. 7 and Figure HA.2. About 94 per cent of never-married women age 15-24 have never had sex, five per cent had sex before age 15 and 16 per cent had sex in the last 12 months with a man 10 or more years older. The percentage of women age 15-24 years who had sex before age 15 varied by district with about seven per cent of men in Manokwari District having sex before age 15. This compares with lower percentages in Kaimana (4 per cent) and Sorong districts (3 per cent). This indicator shows strong association with area of residence, wealth and ethnicity, with the highest percentages of women who had sex before age 15 occurring in rural areas, among the poorest women and among women whose head of household are Papuan.

The frequency of sexual behaviours that increase the risk of HIV infection among men is presented in Table HA.7M. The percentage of never-married men age 15-24 years who have never had sex (82 per cent) was lower than for women ( 94 per cent). Slightly fewer men than women had sex before age 15 (Men, 4 per cent, Women 5 per cent) and considerably fewer men had sex in the last 12 months with a woman 10 or more years older ( 2 per cent) than women had sex in the last 12 months with a man 10 or more years older (16 per cent).

The percentage of men age 15-24 years who had sex before age 15 varied by district with about five per cent of women in Manokwari District having sex before age 15. This compares to with lower percentages in Kaimana ( 4 per cent) and Sorong districts ( 1 per cent). Contrary to results of women where this indicator is higher in rural areas, the results show that the indicator is higher among men living in urban area.

Table HA.7: Sexual behaviour that increases the risk of HIV infection among young women

Percentage of never-married young women age 15-24 years who have never had sex, percentage of young women age 15-24 years who have had sex before age 15, and percentage of young women age 15-24 years who had sex with a man 10 or more years older during the last 12 months, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Percentage of never-married women age 15-24 years who have never had sex \({ }^{1}\) & Number of never-married women age 15-24 years & Percentage of women age 15-24 years who had sex before age \(15^{2}\) & Number of women age 15-24 years & Percentage of women age 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months with a man 10 or more years older \({ }^{3}\) & Number of women age 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey \\
\hline District & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 91.3 & 75 & 3.6 & 121 & 6.3 & 45 \\
\hline Manokwari & 94.3 & 331 & 6.6 & 566 & 15.0 & 227 \\
\hline Sorong & 96.2 & 108 & 2.8 & 173 & 26.4 & 63 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 90.6 & 174 & 2.9 & 254 & 6.1 & 81 \\
\hline Rural & 96.1 & 339 & 6.5 & 606 & 19.1 & 254 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-19 & 97.6 & 370 & 3.7 & 465 & 20.0 & 93 \\
\hline 20-24 & 85.7 & 144 & 7.4 & 395 & 14.5 & 243 \\
\hline Marital status Ever married/ in union & na & na & 13.1 & 346 & 16.5 & 324 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 94.3 & 514 & 0.2 & 514 & (*) & 11 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 72.3 & 4 & (*) & 13 & (*) & 8 \\
\hline Primary & 93.7 & 44 & 16.5 & 141 & 16.6 & 88 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 96.7 & 336 & 3.8 & 543 & 17.7 & 200 \\
\hline Higher & 88.7 & 130 & 0.0 & 163 & (7.3) & 39 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 97.7 & 71 & 16.7 & 160 & 10.7 & 74 \\
\hline Second & 98.1 & 88 & 5.4 & 164 & 19.9 & 76 \\
\hline Middle & 94.3 & 76 & 1.4 & 144 & 24.4 & 69 \\
\hline Fourth & 92.7 & 157 & 2.3 & 213 & (13.6) & 57 \\
\hline Richest & 91.4 & 122 & 2.3 & 179 & (10.3) & 61 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 90.2 & 259 & 8.6 & 441 & 9.1 & 175 \\
\hline Jawa & 98.0 & 135 & 1.1 & 247 & 26.9 & 109 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 99.3 & 60 & 3.1 & 92 & (11.4) & 32 \\
\hline Maluku & 97.6 & 47 & 1.1 & 60 & (*) & 13 \\
\hline Others & 100.0 & 12 & (*) & 19 & (*) & 6 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 94.3 & 514 & 5.4 & 860 & 16.0 & 335 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
* 1 case with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown \\
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases \\
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.10 \\
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator 9.11 \\
\({ }^{3}\) MICS indicator 9.12
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table HA.7M: Sexual behaviour that increases the risk of HIV infection among young men}

Percentage of never-married young men age 15-24 years who have never had sex, percentage of young men age 15-24 years who have had sex before age 15, and percentage of young men age 15-24 years who had sex with a man 10 or more years older during the last 12 months, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Percentage of nevermarried men age 15-24 years who have never had sex \({ }^{1}\) & Number of never-married men age 15-24 years & Percentage of men age 15-24 years who had sex before age \(15^{2}\) & Number of men age 15-24 years & Percentage of men age 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months with a woman 10 or more years older \({ }^{3}\) & Number of men age 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 73.2 & 101 & 3.7 & 121 & 5.5 & 42 \\
\hline Manokwari & 79.7 & 400 & 4.6 & 499 & 1.5 & 133 \\
\hline Sorong & 92.3 & 160 & 1.1 & 174 & (0.0) & 23 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 73.4 & 205 & 5.7 & 240 & 5.4 & 61 \\
\hline Rural & 85.5 & 455 & 2.8 & 554 & 0.7 & 136 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-19 & 89.4 & 451 & 3.7 & 477 & (1.0) & 51 \\
\hline 20-24 & 65.1 & 209 & 3.7 & 317 & 2.6 & 146 \\
\hline Marital status Ever married/ in union & na & na & 13.2 & 133 & 2.7 & 123 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 81.7 & 661 & 1.8 & 661 & 1.3 & 74 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 93.1 & 9 & (*) & 10 & (*) & 2 \\
\hline Primary & 79.5 & 110 & 3.8 & 144 & 6.2 & 48 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 84.9 & 443 & 4.3 & 522 & 1.1 & 117 \\
\hline Higher & 68.9 & 98 & 1.5 & 118 & (*) & 31 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 83.0 & 110 & 4.6 & 150 & 1.9 & 52 \\
\hline Second & 83.1 & 123 & 8.0 & 153 & (1.2) & 40 \\
\hline Middle & 80.8 & 157 & 3.9 & 178 & (1.0) & 35 \\
\hline Fourth & 78.6 & 150 & 0.3 & 173 & (4.7) & 42 \\
\hline Richest & 84.3 & 121 & 1.9 & 140 & (*) & 29 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 73.3 & 310 & 5.8 & 395 & 1.4 & 128 \\
\hline Jawa & 91.8 & 211 & 1.0 & 235 & (*) & 35 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 77.3 & 60 & 2.2 & 77 & (*) & 21 \\
\hline Maluku & 81.6 & 39 & 5.4 & 44 & (*) & 11 \\
\hline Others & (*) & 34 & (*) & 37 & (*) & 3 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 81.7 & 661 & 3.7 & 794 & 2.2 & 198 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{* 7 case with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.10} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator 9.11} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{\({ }^{3}\) MICS indicator 9.12} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table HA.8: Sex with multiple partners among women
Percentage of women age 15-49 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with multiple partners, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Percentage of women who:} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of women age 15-49 years} \\
\hline & Ever had sex & Had sex in the last 12 months & Had sex with more than one partner in last 12 months \({ }^{1}\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 80.4 & 70.7 & 0.4 & 423 \\
\hline Manokwari & 78.0 & 71.2 & 0.2 & 1,638 \\
\hline Sorong & 83.2 & 76.0 & 0.1 & 654 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 74.9 & 64.9 & 0.4 & 763 \\
\hline Rural & 81.5 & 75.1 & 0.1 & 1,952 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-24 & 43.5 & 39.0 & 0.3 & 860 \\
\hline 15-19 & 22.3 & 19.9 & 0.1 & 465 \\
\hline 20-24 & 68.4 & 61.4 & 0.5 & 395 \\
\hline 25-29 & 89.3 & 83.0 & 0.0 & 462 \\
\hline 30-39 & 98.0 & 92.4 & 0.0 & 829 \\
\hline 40-49 & 99.8 & 84.6 & 0.5 & 564 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Marital status} \\
\hline Ever married/in union & 99.9 & 91.8 & 0.3 & 2,121 \\
\hline Never married/in union & 7.2 & 2.5 & 0.0 & 594 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 96.5 & 78.5 & 0.0 & 134 \\
\hline Primary & 93.1 & 83.2 & 0.4 & 764 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 75.8 & 70.8 & 0.2 & 1,402 \\
\hline Higher & 62.6 & 55.0 & 0.0 & 415 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 82.8 & 71.9 & 0.4 & 467 \\
\hline Second & 81.8 & 73.6 & 0.2 & 502 \\
\hline Middle & 84.7 & 78.0 & 0.6 & 493 \\
\hline Fourth & 74.1 & 68.2 & 0.0 & 640 \\
\hline Richest & 77.2 & 71.0 & 0.0 & 614 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 77.9 & 67.6 & 0.3 & 1,212 \\
\hline Jawa & 84.0 & 78.9 & 0.1 & 860 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 77.6 & 72.1 & 0.0 & 333 \\
\hline Maluku & 71.8 & 65.7 & 0.0 & 197 \\
\hline Others & 84.3 & 83.1 & 0.0 & 107 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 79.6 & 72.3 & 0.2 & 2,715 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.13

Sexual behaviour was assessed in all women and separately for women age 15-24 years of age who had sex with multiple partners in the previous year (Tables HA. 8 and HA.9). A negligible number of women 15-49 ( 0.2 per cent) and 15-24 ( 0.3 per cent) years of age report having sex with more than one partner. Results of sexual behaviour among all men and men age 15-24 years are presented in Tables HA.8M and HA.9M. Sex with multiple partners is higher among men than among women where about four per cent of men 1549 years of age report having sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months.

\section*{Table HA.8M: Sex with multiple partners among men}

Percentage of men age 15-49 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with multiple partners, the percentage who used a condom at last sex, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Percentage of men who:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of men age 15-49 years} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Per cent of men age 15-49 years who had more than one sexual partner in the last 12 months, who also reported that a condom was used the last time they had sex \({ }^{2}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of men age 15-49 years who had more than one sexual partner in the last 12 months} \\
\hline & Ever had sex & Had sex in the last 12 months & Had sex with more than one partner in last 12 months \({ }^{1}\) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{District}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Kaimana & 81.1 & 73.9 & 6.4 & 437 & 20.1 & 28 \\
\hline Manokwari & 76.2 & 69.1 & 4.5 & 1,647 & (27.9) & 74 \\
\hline Sorong & 71.9 & 66.7 & 1.9 & 652 & (*) & 12 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 75.5 & 66.7 & 7.3 & 732 & 31.6 & 53 \\
\hline Rural & 76.1 & 70.2 & 3.1 & 2,004 & 18.6 & 61 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-24 & 32.0 & 24.9 & 5.0 & 794 & (24.3) & 39 \\
\hline 15-19 & 15.5 & 10.8 & 3.0 & 477 & (*) & 14 \\
\hline 20-24 & 56.9 & 46.2 & 8.0 & 317 & (23.9) & 25 \\
\hline 25-29 & 82.3 & 70.8 & 5.7 & 388 & (*) & 22 \\
\hline 30-39 & 95.0 & 89.5 & 3.8 & 889 & (25.6) & 33 \\
\hline 40-49 & 99.2 & 94.4 & 3.0 & 665 & (*) & 20 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Marital status} \\
\hline Ever married/ in union & 100.0 & 95.9 & 3.3 & 1,834 & 11.9 & 60 \\
\hline Never married/ in union & 27.0 & 15.0 & 6.1 & 902 & 38.6 & 55 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 82.0 & 73.5 & 0.5 & 74 & (*) & 22 \\
\hline Primary & 82.7 & 76.9 & 3.5 & 625 & (9.6) & 68 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 71.8 & 66.3 & 4.3 & 1,576 & 28.4 & 25 \\
\hline Higher & 80.0 & 68.4 & 5.4 & 460 & (*) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Wealth index
quintile
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Poorest & 76.8 & 69.2 & 3.4 & 498 & (*) & 17 \\
\hline Second & 76.2 & 70.0 & 4.7 & 499 & 16.3 & 24 \\
\hline Middle & 74.3 & 69.0 & 3.4 & 591 & (*) & 20 \\
\hline Fourth & 76.6 & 70.3 & 4.6 & 576 & (*) & 26 \\
\hline Richest & 76.0 & 67.9 & 4.9 & 571 & (30.0) & 28 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 78.2 & 70.1 & 5.3 & 1,189 & 20.6 & 63 \\
\hline Jawa & 71.5 & 66.5 & 2.3 & 906 & (*) & 20 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 80.7 & 72.8 & 3.5 & 333 & (*) & 12 \\
\hline Maluku & 80.8 & 76.7 & 6.4 & 171 & (*) & 11 \\
\hline Others & 71.1 & 64.6 & 6.4 & 129 & (*) & 8 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 75.9 & 69.3 & 4.2 & 2,736 & 24.7 & 115 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* 9 cases with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown
(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
1 MICS indicator 9.13
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator 9.14

Of those men, only 25 per cent report using a condom when they had sex the last time. The percentage of men who report having sex with more than one partner are slightly higher among younger men age 15-24 ( 5 per cent) and of those men age 15-24 only 24 per cent report using a condom when they had sex the last time (data not shown).

\section*{Table HA.9: Sex with multiple partners among young women}

Percentage of women age 15-24 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with multiple partners, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


Table HA.9M: Sex with multiple partners among young men
Percentage of men age 15-24 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with multiple partners, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Percentage of men age 15-24 years who:} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of men age 1524 years} \\
\hline & Ever had sex & Had sex in the last 12 months & Had sex with more than one partner in last 12 months & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 39.3 & 34.7 & 8.2 & 121 \\
\hline Manokwari & 36.1 & 26.6 & 5.0 & 499 \\
\hline Sorong & 15.3 & 13.2 & 2.6 & 174 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 37.1 & 25.6 & 8.9 & 240 \\
\hline Rural & 29.8 & 24.6 & 3.2 & 554 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-19 & 15.5 & 10.8 & 3.0 & 477 \\
\hline 20-24 & 56.9 & 46.2 & 8.0 & 317 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Marital status} \\
\hline Ever married/in union & 100.0 & 92.5 & 7.5 & 133 \\
\hline Never married/in union & 18.3 & 11.3 & 4.5 & 661 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & (*) & (*) & (*) & 10 \\
\hline Primary & 39.2 & 33.2 & 3.1 & 144 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 27.9 & 22.5 & 5.2 & 522 \\
\hline Higher & 42.5 & 26.5 & 6.6 & 118 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 39.3 & 34.5 & 4.5 & 150 \\
\hline Second & 33.3 & 26.4 & 5.4 & 153 \\
\hline Middle & 28.6 & 19.5 & 4.1 & 178 \\
\hline Fourth & 31.6 & 24.5 & 6.3 & 173 \\
\hline Richest & 27.6 & 20.3 & 4.4 & 140 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 42.5 & 32.5 & 6.9 & 395 \\
\hline Jawa & 17.5 & 14.8 & 3.3 & 235 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 39.7 & 27.8 & 0.4 & 77 \\
\hline Maluku & 27.7 & 24.3 & 9.4 & 44 \\
\hline Others & (7.1) & (7.1) & (0.0) & 31 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 32.0 & 24.9 & 5.0 & 794 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{* 7 case with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Tables HA. 10 presents the percentages of women age 15-24 years who have ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, and percentage who have had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months and, among those, who had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner.

About 44 per cent of women age 15-24 years have ever had sex and 39 per cent of women had sex in the last 12 months. About four per cent of women had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with a nonmarital, non-cohabiting partner. This percentage was generally similar among districts.

Sex with non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months is considerably higher among men (44 per cent) (Table HA.10M) than among women (4 per cent) (Table HA.10).

Table HA.10: Sex with non-regular partners among young women
Percentage of women age 15-24 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percentage of women age 15-24 years who:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women age 15-24 years} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage who had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women age 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months} \\
\hline & Ever had sex & Had sex in the last 12 months & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 43.2 & 37.2 & 121 & 3.6 & 45 \\
\hline Manokwari & 44.5 & 40.1 & 566 & 4.0 & 227 \\
\hline Sorong & 40.3 & 36.4 & 173 & 4.2 & 63 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 37.8 & 32.0 & 254 & 8.8 & 81 \\
\hline Rural & 45.8 & 41.9 & 606 & 2.4 & 254 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Age group} \\
\hline 15-19 & 22.3 & 19.9 & 465 & 5.2 & 93 \\
\hline 20-24 & 68.4 & 61.4 & 395 & 3.5 & 243 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Marital status} \\
\hline Ever married/in union & 99.4 & 93.6 & 346 & 0.7 & 324 \\
\hline Never married/in union & 5.7 & 2.1 & 514 & (*) & 11 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & (*) & (*) & 13 & (*) & 8 \\
\hline Primary & 69.6 & 62.6 & 141 & 1.4 & 88 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 40.1 & 36.8 & 543 & 1.7 & 200 \\
\hline Higher & 29.4 & 23.8 & 163 & (22.2) & 39 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 55.7 & 46.0 & 160 & 2.3 & 74 \\
\hline Second & 47.6 & 46.1 & 164 & 1.6 & 76 \\
\hline Middle & 50.0 & 47.5 & 144 & 5.0 & 69 \\
\hline Fourth & 31.6 & 26.7 & 213 & (4.9) & 57 \\
\hline Richest & 37.7 & 33.9 & 179 & (6.9) & 61 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 46.6 & 39.6 & 441 & 6.9 & 175 \\
\hline Jawa & 46.5 & 44.2 & 247 & 0.6 & 109 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 35.5 & 35.1 & 92 & (0.0) & 32 \\
\hline Maluku & 23.6 & \[
22.0
\] & 60 & (*) & 13 \\
\hline Others & (*) & (*) & 19 & (*) & 6 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 43.5 & 39.0 & 860 & 4.0 & 335 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{* 1 case with missing/DK "Ethnicity of household head" not shown} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{(*) Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.15

Table HA.10M: Sex with non-regular partners among young men

Percentage of men age 15-24 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011

\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.15

\subsection*{11.5. ORPHANS}

Children who are orphaned may be at increased risk of neglect or exploitation if the parents are not available to assist them. Monitoring the variations in different outcomes for orphans and comparing them to their peers gives us a measure of how well communities and governments are responding to their needs.

The frequency of children living with neither parent, mother only, or father only is presented in Table HA.11. In the three selected districts of West Papua, about seven per
Table HA．11：Children＇s living arrangements and orphanhood
Per cent distribution of children age 0－17 years according to living arrangements，percentage of children age 0－17 years in households not living with a biological parent and percentage of children who have one or both parents dead，Districts of Kaimana，Manokwari and Sorong，West Papua Province，Indonesia， 2011
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\] &  & \(\stackrel{\infty}{1}\) \\
\hline  & \[
\underset{0}{\circ} \stackrel{\square}{\square}
\] & \[
{ }_{0}^{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\tau}
\] & \[
\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}
\] & \[
0 \stackrel{\circ}{0} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{r}
\] & \[
0
\] &  & 9 \\
\hline  & No No & 송 & \[
{ }_{0}^{\infty} \stackrel{0}{0}
\] & \[
\bar{\circ} \dot{\circ}
\] & \[
\underset{0}{+}{ }_{0}^{\infty} \underset{0}{0} 0
\] & \[
\bigcirc
\] & \(\stackrel{0}{0}\) \\
\hline  & \[
\text { 웅 } \underset{\sim}{\circ} \stackrel{m}{\dot{\infty}}
\] & \[
\stackrel{0}{\infty} \stackrel{\sim}{\infty}
\] & \[
\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{+}}
\] & \[
\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\dot{\infty}} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{+}
\] & \[
\underset{\infty}{m} \underset{\infty}{\underset{\infty}{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}} \underset{\infty}{\sim}
\] &  & No \\
\hline &  &  &  & + &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l} 
n \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
4 \\
\hline 0 \\
0
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
＊ 14 cases with missing／DK＂Ethnicity of household head＂and 17 cases with＂Sex＂not shown
cent of children aged 0-17 years have lost one or both parents and about eight per cent are not living with a biological parent and 81 per cent of children live with both parents. Higher percentages of orphans were found in the older age group 15-17, in Kaimana and Manokwari districts, in urban areas, in the poorest quintile and living in households whose heads are Papuan.

\subsection*{11.6. MALE CIRCUMCISION}

Evidence has shown that male circumcision (the complete removal of the foreskin of the penis) reduces the risk of heterosexually-acquired HIV infection in men by approximately 60 per cent \({ }^{15}\) and is safe when performed by well-trained health professionals in properly equipped settings. In countries and regions with heterosexual epidemics and high HIV and low male circumcision prevalence, male circumcision is being included in comprehensive HIV prevention packages. Alone, male circumcision is only partially protective. When combined with HIV testing and counselling services, condoms, safer sexual practices and treatment of Sexually Transmitted Infections, however, it is highly effective.

It may already be performed for religious, medical, or cultural reasons and can be carried out at birth, during adolescence, or at other times during a man's life.

In Indonesia, circumcision among males is traditionally done among Moslems but it is also often performed as part of local traditional practice, although this is mostly influenced by Islamic religion. Many Moslem males are circumcised when they are still a child or before puberty as an important step to be done before they become an adult. Thus, in predominantly Christian or non Moslem areas, like Papua land, the prevalence of male circumcision is largely influenced by Moslem population.

The prevalence of male circumcision is presented in table HA.12, which also shows the age of circumcision. About 51 per cent of men aged 15-49 are circumcised. The prevalence is highest in the older age groups and does not show differences according to area of residence ( 51 urban, 52 rural). Circumcision is more prevalent in Sorong District (68 per cent) than in Manokwari (47 per cent) and Kaimana districts (41 per cent). The majority of circumcised men went through the procedure at the age groups 5-11 years (59 per cent) and 12-17 years ( 36 per cent).

Table HA. 13 shows the provider and location of circumcision. Most circumcision was performed by health worker/professional ( 73 per cent) while traditional practitioners/ family/friends performed 23 per cent of the circumcisions. About 6 per cent were performed by others. Most of the circumcisions were performed at home ( 62 per cent) while 17 per cent were performed in a health facility.

\footnotetext{
15 See for example: Bailey RC, Moses S, Parker CB, et al. Male circumcision for HIV prevention in young men in Kisumu, Kenya: a randomised controlled trial [see comment]. Lancet 2007; 369:643-56.
}

\section*{Table HA.12: Male Circumcision}

Percentage of men age \(15-49\) years who report having been circumcised, and per cent distribution of men by age of circumcision, by background characteristics, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Per cent circumcised \({ }^{1}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of men age 1549 years} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Age at circumcision:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of men circumcised} \\
\hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
1-4
\] \\
years
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
5-11
\] \\
years
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
12-17 \\
years
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 18+ } \\
\text { years }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Don't \\
Know/ \\
Missing
\end{tabular} & Total & \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 41.4 & 437 & 7.6 & 71.9 & 18.1 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 100.0 & 181 \\
\hline Manokwari & 47.3 & 1,647 & 3.8 & 57.3 & 37.5 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 100.0 & 780 \\
\hline Sorong & 68.4 & 652 & 2.6 & 55.4 & 39.3 & 2.4 & 0.3 & 100.0 & 446 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 51.0 & 732 & 5.9 & 62.7 & 30.0 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 100.0 & 373 \\
\hline Rural & 51.6 & 2,004 & 3.2 & 57.1 & 37.6 & 1.7 & 0.5 & 100.0 & 1,034 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Age} \\
\hline 15-24 & 45.8 & 794 & 3.4 & 65.1 & 30.9 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 100.0 & 364 \\
\hline 15-19 & 45.6 & 477 & 3.3 & 66.0 & 30.6 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 100.0 & 218 \\
\hline 20-24 & 46.1 & 317 & 3.6 & 63.9 & 31.2 & 1.0 & 0.4 & 100.0 & 146 \\
\hline 25-29 & 55.2 & 388 & 4.5 & 59.1 & 34.1 & 2.1 & 0.2 & 100.0 & 214 \\
\hline 30-39 & 52.0 & 889 & 3.4 & 58.9 & 35.2 & 1.9 & 0.6 & 100.0 & 462 \\
\hline 40-49 & 55.1 & 665 & 4.8 & 51.3 & 41.5 & 1.6 & 0.7 & 100.0 & 367 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 18.5 & 74 & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & 100.0 & 14 \\
\hline Primary & 46.9 & 625 & 3.3 & 59.3 & 34.8 & 2.0 & 0.7 & 100.0 & 293 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 57.2 & 1,576 & 3.9 & 60.1 & 34.2 & 1.3 & 0.5 & 100.0 & 902 \\
\hline Higher & 42.8 & 460 & 4.6 & 52.4 & 41.2 & 1.8 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 197 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 18.1 & 498 & 4.7 & 51.1 & 38.2 & 6.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 90 \\
\hline Second & 41.5 & 499 & 4.9 & 58.1 & 33.9 & 2.1 & 1.0 & 100.0 & 207 \\
\hline Middle & 57.6 & 591 & 3.1 & 63.6 & 32.2 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 100.0 & 341 \\
\hline Fourth & 65.5 & 576 & 4.0 & 61.2 & 34.0 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 378 \\
\hline Richest & 68.4 & 571 & 3.8 & 53.6 & 40.4 & 1.5 & 0.7 & 100.0 & 391 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head* & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 8.8 & 1,189 & 7.9 & 57.3 & 28.3 & 5.7 & 0.8 & 100.0 & 105 \\
\hline Jawa & 98.7 & 906 & 2.8 & 56.2 & 40.5 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 100.0 & 894 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 83.2 & 333 & 4.7 & 65.5 & 28.6 & 0.0 & 1.2 & 100.0 & 277 \\
\hline Maluku & 40.8 & 171 & 6.4 & 67.9 & 19.0 & 6.8 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 70 \\
\hline Others & 47.2 & 129 & 7.6 & 53.6 & 27.3 & 10.3 & 1.2 & 100.0 & 61 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 51.4 & 2,736 & 3.9 & 58.6 & 35.6 & 1.5 & 0.5 & 100.0 & 1,406 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator 9.21
Table HA．13：Provider and location of circumcision
Per cent distribution of circumcised men by person performing circumcision and the location where circumcision was performed，by background characteristics，Districts of
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} & \[
\underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}
\] & \[
\stackrel{m}{n} \stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}}
\] &  &  &  & 응ㅊN수 & \％ \\
\hline \multirow{6}{*}{} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\#} \\
& \stackrel{0}{0}
\end{aligned}
\] &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0.0 \\
& 80 \\
& \hline-i \\
& -1
\end{aligned}
\] & 000000 응 응 ㅇ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0.000 \\
& \dot{8} \dot{8} \dot{8} \dot{8} \dot{8}
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  & 응 \\
\hline &  & No & \[
\underset{\sim}{~ N}
\] &  &  &  &  & \(\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}\) \\
\hline & \[
\frac{9}{\omega}
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\hline &  & \[
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\] & \[
\stackrel{M}{\dot{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{c}
\] &  & \[
\stackrel{\star}{*} \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{~N}}
\] & \[
\stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{\circ} \stackrel{M}{\sim} \stackrel{N}{\sim} \stackrel{0}{\sim}
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\section*{ALCOHOL USE}

Excessive alcohol use also increases the risk of many harmful health conditions. In the long-term, excessive drinking can lead to cardiovascular problems, neurological impairments, liver disease and social problems. Alcohol abuse is also associated with injuries and violence, including intimate partner violence and child maltreatment. \({ }^{16}\)

Information was collected on alcohol use among women and men 15-49 years old. This information will help to understand lifetime and current use of alcohol and intensity of use.

In table TA. 1 women's use of alcohol is shown. About two per cent of women 15-49 years old had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month. About one per cent of women of the same age group first drank alcohol before the age of 15 while 85 per cent of women had never had one drink of alcohol. In urban areas, the proportion of women who had at least one drink of alcohol before age 15 and the proportion of women who had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month is higher than in rural areas.

The proportion of men who consume alcohol is much higher than the proportion of women that consume alcohol (Table TA.1M). Eighteen per cent of men 15-49 years old had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month. Use of alcohol before the age of 15 is also more common among men than among women (Eight per cent of men age 15-49 years drank alcohol before age 15, compared with one per cent of women).

The highest proportion of alcohol use by men is found in Kaimana District ( 22 per cent). The use of alcohol by men varies according to education where alcohol use is more common among more educated men compared with those who are less educated.

\footnotetext{
16 US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, http://www.cdc.gov/
}

\section*{Table TA.1: Use of alcohol among women}

Percentage of women age 15-49 who have never had one drink of alcohol, percentage who first had one drink of alcohol before age 15, and percentage of women who have had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator TA. 3
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator TA. 4
}

\section*{Table TA.1M: Use of alcohol among men}

Percentage of men age 15-49 who have never had one drink of alcohol, percentage who first had one drink of alcohol before age 15, and percentage of men who have had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Percentage of men who:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of men age 15-49 years} \\
\hline & Never had one drink of alcohol & Had at least one drink of alcohol before age 15 & Had at least one drink of alcohol drink on one or more days during the last one month \({ }^{2}\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{District} \\
\hline Kaimana & 28.5 & 5.9 & 21.5 & 437 \\
\hline Manokwari & 31.5 & 9.4 & 19.4 & 1,647 \\
\hline Sorong & 40.3 & 3.8 & 10.1 & 652 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Age} \\
\hline 15-19 & 68.0 & 9.9 & 7.6 & 477 \\
\hline 20-24 & 28.3 & 11.3 & 22.5 & 317 \\
\hline 25-29 & 17.6 & 7.9 & 28.4 & 388 \\
\hline 30-34 & 22.4 & 8.6 & 19.9 & 479 \\
\hline 35-39 & 24.9 & 6.5 & 21.5 & 410 \\
\hline 40-44 & 31.1 & 4.0 & 12.1 & 374 \\
\hline 45-49 & 33.7 & 3.1 & 11.1 & 291 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 28.3 & 7.7 & 25.3 & 732 \\
\hline Rural & 34.9 & 7.4 & 14.6 & 2,004 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None & 30.0 & 4.5 & 11.0 & 74 \\
\hline Primary & 33.3 & 9.6 & 17.1 & 625 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 33.7 & 7.2 & 16.2 & 1,576 \\
\hline Higher & 31.5 & 6.1 & 23.5 & 460 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Wealth index quintiles} \\
\hline Poorest & 32.1 & 8.3 & 16.6 & 498 \\
\hline Second & 34.1 & 10.0 & 16.5 & 499 \\
\hline Middle & 33.8 & 6.3 & 16.5 & 591 \\
\hline Fourth & 31.8 & 6.8 & 18.8 & 576 \\
\hline Richest & 33.8 & 6.7 & 18.8 & 571 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head*} \\
\hline Papua & 28.8 & 8.9 & 24.3 & 1,189 \\
\hline Jawa & 43.6 & 4.8 & 8.5 & 906 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 24.1 & 10.8 & 16.2 & 333 \\
\hline Maluku & 26.0 & 7.8 & 20.6 & 171 \\
\hline Others & 28.8 & 4.9 & 18.7 & 129 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 33.1 & 7.5 & 17.5 & 2,736 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) MICS indicator TA. 3
\({ }^{2}\) MICS indicator TA. 4
}

Table MI. 1 shows that heads of households of about two-third of households in Sorong were not born in West Papua ( 63 per cent) with a mean number of 24 years since they moved to West Papua. The main reason for migration was transmigration. About 39 per cent of heads of households in Kaimana were not born in West Papua. The main reason for migration to West Papua was looking for a job. The percentage of heads of households in Manokwari who were not born in West Papua was 47 per cent with a mean number of 21 years since they moved to West Papua. The main reason for migration to West Papua in Manokwari was looking for a job.
Table MI.1: Migration
Per cent distribution of households according to birth, mean number of years moved to West Papua and main reason why head of household moved to West Papua, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Head of household not born in West Papua} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mean number of year head moved to West Papua} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of household} & \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Main reason why head of household moved to West Papua:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of households with head not born in West Papua} \\
\hline & & & & Transmigration & Transfer in government job & Transfer in private job & Looking for a job & Family reason & Medical reason & Others & \\
\hline District & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 38.6 & 13.6 & 448 & 0.8 & 5.3 & 3.6 & 74.5 & 13.5 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 173 \\
\hline Manokwari & 46.9 & 20.6 & 1,638 & 23.1 & 5.8 & 4.0 & 40.5 & 25.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 769 \\
\hline Sorong & 62.9 & 23.7 & 730 & 54.7 & 1.5 & 1.2 & 36.0 & 6.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 460 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 52.9 & 17.4 & 697 & 9.9 & 5.6 & 2.3 & 65.3 & 16.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 368 \\
\hline Rural & 48.7 & 22.0 & 2,119 & 38.2 & 3.9 & 3.3 & 35.3 & 18.4 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 1,033 \\
\hline Education & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline None & 26.6 & 27.1 & 208 & 63.2 & 1.5 & 6.4 & 20.5 & 8.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 55 \\
\hline Primary & 49.1 & 22.7 & 950 & 51.9 & 0.1 & 1.9 & 35.7 & 10.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 466 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 56.7 & 19.6 & 1,245 & 18.6 & 3.8 & 3.1 & 52.0 & 21.6 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 706 \\
\hline Higher & 41.9 & 18.2 & 412 & 13.0 & 19.1 & 5.1 & 34.7 & 25.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 173 \\
\hline Missing/DK & 45.5 & 16.0 & 1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline Wealth index quintiles & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 15.4 & 21.7 & 568 & 57.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 30.1 & 11.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 87 \\
\hline Second & 40.7 & 22.3 & 550 & 45.0 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 40.0 & 13.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 224 \\
\hline Middle & 57.1 & 20.3 & 565 & 36.6 & 1.0 & 2.7 & 43.0 & 15.6 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 323 \\
\hline Fourth & 66.0 & 18.5 & 602 & 24.7 & 4.2 & 4.4 & 48.3 & 17.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 397 \\
\hline Richest & 69.6 & 22.5 & 532 & 17.2 & 10.6 & 4.1 & 43.1 & 24.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 370 \\
\hline Ethnicity of household head & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Papua & 0.8 & 18.6 & 1,231 & 8.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 76.5 & 15.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 10 \\
\hline Jawa & 91.6 & 22.2 & 937 & 48.2 & 4.9 & 3.3 & 29.3 & 13.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 858 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 86.2 & 18.5 & 342 & 0.4 & 2.6 & 2.1 & 64.7 & 29.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 295 \\
\hline Maluku & 79.2 & 18.2 & 174 & 0.0 & 3.8 & 2.8 & 66.1 & 23.7 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 138 \\
\hline Others & 80.9 & 18.8 & 124 & 15.0 & 5.8 & 4.4 & 65.2 & 9.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100 \\
\hline Missing/DK & 8.8 & 24.0 & 9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 49.8 & 20.8 & 2,816 & 30.7 & 4.4 & 3.0 & 43.2 & 17.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1,401 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{14 FLOOR AREA PER PERSON}

In the Selected Districts of West Papua Province MICS survey, head of households were asked a question on the floor area in square meters to determine the indicator of floor area per person, which is defined as the median floor area of housing unit devided by the average household size. This indicator measures the adequacy of living space per person in a dwelling. Reduced space per person can be associated with certain health risks. \({ }^{17}\)

In the three selected districts of West Papua, the floor area per person was 13 square meters (Kaimana, 12 square meters; Manokwari, 13 square meters; Sorong, 14 square meters) (Table FA.1). Female-headed households averaged a higher floor area per person than male-headed households, but there is was no difference between urban and rural households.
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l}
\hline Table FA.1: Floor area per person \\
Median floor area per person by selected characteristics, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West \\
Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011 & & \\
& & \\
& Median floor area per person & Number of household \\
& & \\
\hline District & 12.0 & 448 \\
Kaimana & 12.6 & 1,638 \\
Manokwari & 13.5 & 730 \\
Sorong & & \\
Sex & 12.0 & 2,485 \\
Male & 15.0 & 331 \\
Female & 12.6 & 697 \\
Area & 12.5 & 2,119 \\
Urban & 15.0 & 208 \\
Rural & 12.5 & 950 \\
Education & 12.0 & 1,245 \\
None & 16.0 & 412 \\
Primary & 7.0 & 1 \\
SMP/SM & & 11.4 \\
Higher & 14.4 & 1,231 \\
Missing/DK & 12.6 & 937 \\
Ethnicity of household head & 12.0 & 342 \\
Papua & 14.4 & 174 \\
Jawa & 28.0 & 124 \\
Sulawesi & 12.6 & 9 \\
Maluku & & 2,816 \\
Others & & \\
Total for 3 districts & & \\
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
17 United Nations (1996). Indicators of Sustainable Development: Framework and Methodologies. Sales No. E.96.II.A. 16
}

\section*{Appendix A. SAMPLE DESIGN}

The major features of the sample design are described in this appendix. Sample design features include target sample size, sample allocation, sampling frame and listing, choice of domains, sampling stages, stratification, and the calculation of sample weights.

The primary objective of the sample design for the West Papua Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey was to produce statistically reliable district level estimates of most indicators, in three selected districts (Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong districts) of West Papua Province, for urban and rural areas. The districts were selected purposively by considering topographic areas in West Papua Province.

A two-stage, stratified cluster sampling approach was used for the selection of the survey sample.

\section*{SAMPLE SIZE AND SAMPLE ALLOCATION}

The target sample size for the West Papua MICS was calculated as 1,000 households for each selected district. For the calculation of the sample size, the following formula was used to estimate the required sample size for this indicator:
\[
n=\frac{[4(r)(1-r)(f)(1.1)]}{\left[(0.12 r)^{2}(p)(\bar{n})\right]}
\]
where
- \(n\) is the required sample size, expressed as number of households
- 4 is a factor to achieve the 95 per cent level of confidence
- \(r\) is the predicted or anticipated value of the indicator, expressed in the form of a proportion
- 1.1 is the factor necessary to raise the sample size by 10 per cent for the expected non-response [the actual factor was based on the non-response level experienced in previous surveys in the country]
- \(f\) is the shortened symbol for deff (design effect)
- \(0.12 r\) is the margin of error to be tolerated at the 95 per cent level of confidence, defined as 12 per cent of \(r\) (relative margin of error of \(r\) )
- \(\quad p\) is the proportion of the total population upon which the indicator, \(r\), is based
- \(\bar{n}\) is the average household size (number of persons per household).

For the calculation, \(r\) was assumed to be 0.5 per cent. The value of deff (design effect) was taken as 2 based on estimates from previous surveys, \(p\) (percentage of children aged \(0-4\) years in the total population) was taken as 18 per cent, \(\bar{n}\) (average household size) was taken as 4.5 households, and the response rate is assumed to be \(90 \%\).

The resulting number of households from this exercise was 1,000 households per selected district which is the sample size needed in each districts-thus yielding about 3,000 in total in the three districts of West Papua Province. The average number of households selected per cluster for each selected district was determined as 25 households, based on a number of considerations, including the design effect, the budget available, and the time that would be needed per team to complete one cluster. Dividing the total number of households by the number of sample households per cluster, it was calculated that 40 sample clusters would need to be selected in each selected district.

The table below shows the sample size in each selected district of West Papua Province.
\(\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Topographic area } \\ \text { in West Papua }\end{array} & & \text { District } & \text { No of selected } \\ \text { households }\end{array}\right)\)

\section*{SAMPLING FRAME, SELECTION OF CLUSTERS AND HOUSEHOLDS}

Since a two-stage, stratified cluster sampling approach was used for the selection of the survey sample, two sampling frames were used for each stage.
- For the first stage, the recent master list of Census Blocks, dated 5 May 2010. The list is complemented with the information on the number of household resulted from listing of 2010 population census, number of HH in each block census, information on the difficulty of the area, and urban/rural classification. Forty census blocks were selected according to probability proportional to size (pps), while the size is number of households in each districts based on the listing of 2010 Population Census.
- For the second stage, a list of households from an updated listing of 2010 Population Census. Twenty-five households in each block census were selected using systematic random sampling.

\section*{LISTING ACTIVITIES}

Since the sampling frame (the 2010 Population Census) was not up-to-date, a listing of households was conducted in each cluster by all enumeration teams to up-date the existing information based on Population Census in all the sample enumeration areas prior to the selection of households.

\section*{SELECTION OF HOUSEHOLDS}

Lists of households were prepared by the enumeration team in the field for each enumeration area. The households were then sequentially numbered from 1 to n (the total number of households in each enumeration area) at the Central Statistical Office, where the selection of 25 households in each enumeration area was carried out by the supervisor using random systematic selection procedures.

\section*{CALCULATION OF SAMPLE WEIGHTS}

The West Papua Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey sample is not self-weighting. Essentially, by allocating equal numbers of households to each of the regions, different sampling fractions were used in each region since the size of the regions varied. For this reason, sample weights were calculated and these were used in the subsequent analyses of the survey data.

The major component of the weight is the reciprocal of the sampling fraction employed in selecting the number of sample households in that particular sampling stratum (h) and PSU (i):
\[
W_{h i}=\frac{1}{f_{h i}}
\]

The term \(f_{h i^{\prime}}\) the sampling fraction for the \(i\)-th sample PSU in the \(h\)-th stratum, is the product of probabilities of selection at every stage in each sampling stratum:
\[
f_{h i}=p_{1 h i} \times p_{2 h i} \times p_{3 h i}
\]
where \(p_{s h i}\) is the probability of selection of the sampling unit at stage s for the \(i\)-th sample PSU in the \(h\)-th sampling stratum.

Since the estimated number of households in each enumeration area (PSU) in the sampling frame used for the first stage selection and the updated number of households in the enumeration area from the updated listing were different, individual sampling fractions for households in each sample enumeration area (cluster) were calculated. The sampling fractions for households in each enumeration area (cluster) therefore included the first stage probability of selection of the enumeration area in that particular sampling stratum and the second stage probability of selection of a household in the sample enumeration area (cluster).

A second component in the calculation of sample weights takes into account the level of non-response for the household and individual interviews. The adjustment for household non-response is equal to the inverse value of:
\(R R_{h}=\) Number of interviewed households in stratum \(h /\) Number of occupied households listed in stratum \(h\)

After the completion of fieldwork, response rates were calculated for each sampling stratum. These were used to adjust the sample weights calculated for each cluster. Response rates in the West Papua Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey are shown in Table HH. 1 in this report.

Similarly, the adjustment for non-response at the individual level (women and under-5 children) for each stratum is equal to the inverse value of:
\(R R_{h}=\) Completed women's (or under-5's) questionnaires in stratum \(h\) / Eligible women (or under-5s) in stratum \(h\)

The non-response adjustment factors for women's and under-5's questionnaires are applied to the adjusted household weights. Numbers of eligible women and under-5 children were obtained from the roster of household members in the Household Questionnaire for households where interviews were completed.

The design weights for the households were calculated by multiplying the above factors for each enumeration area. These weights were then standardized (or normalized), one purpose of which is to make the weighted sum of the interviewed sample units equal the total sample size at the national level. Normalization is performed by dividing the aforementioned design weights by the average design weight at the national level. The average design weight is calculated as the sum of the design weights divided by the unweighted total). A similar standardization procedure was followed in obtaining standardized weights for the women's and under-5's questionnaires. Adjusted (normalized) weights varied between 0.188711 and 3.175026 in the 120 sample enumeration areas (clusters).

Sample weights were appended to all data sets and analyses were performed by weighting each household, woman, men or under-5 with these sample weights.

\section*{Appendix B.} LIST OF PERSONNEL INVOLVED IN THE SURVEY
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\hline
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\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
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\hline Administrator Support & \begin{tabular}{l}
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Manokwari \\
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\section*{Appendix C. DATA QUALITY TABLES}

\section*{Table DQ.1: Age distribution of household population}

Single-year age distribution of household population by sex, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong
West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Age} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Males} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Females} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Missing} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Age} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Males} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Females} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Missing} \\
\hline & Number & Per cent & Number & Per cent & Number & Per cent & & Number & Per cent & Number & Per cent & Number & Percent \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 0 & 133 & 2.2 & 127 & 2.2 & 3 & 17 & 42 & 88 & 1.5 & 78 & 1.4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 120 & 2 & 142 & 2.5 & & 1.9 & 43 & 68 & 1.1 & 63 & 1.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2 & 139 & 2.3 & 142 & 2.5 & 2 & 11.7 & 44 & 69 & 1.2 & 71 & 1.2 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 3 & 153 & 2.6 & 131 & 2.3 & 1 & 5.3 & 45 & 74 & 1.2 & 59 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 4 & 130 & 2.2 & 117 & 2.1 & 2 & 8.7 & 46 & 76 & 1.3 & 79 & 1.4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 5 & 167 & 2.8 & 139 & 2.5 & 0 & 0 & 47 & 60 & 1 & 40 & 0.7 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 6 & 138 & 2.3 & 155 & 2.7 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 63 & 1.1 & 56 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 7 & 168 & 2.8 & 163 & 2.9 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 43 & 0.7 & 46 & 0.8 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 8 & 157 & 2.6 & 175 & 3.1 & 4 & 22.6 & 50 & 47 & 0.8 & 69 & 1.2 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 9 & 149 & 2.5 & 125 & 2.2 & 1 & 4.4 & 51 & 73 & 1.2 & 54 & 0.9 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 10 & 151 & 2.5 & 136 & 2.4 & 1 & 4.7 & 52 & 48 & 0.8 & 40 & 0.7 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 11 & 161 & 2.7 & 145 & 2.6 & & 1.9 & 53 & 57 & 0.9 & 38 & 0.7 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 12 & 139 & 2.3 & 129 & 2.3 & 0 & 0 & 54 & 51 & 0.8 & 44 & 0.8 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 13 & 157 & 2.6 & 117 & 2.1 & 0 & 0 & 55 & 42 & 0.7 & 45 & 0.8 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 14 & 169 & 2.8 & 149 & 2.6 & 2 & 10.9 & 56 & 45 & 0.7 & 34 & 0.6 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 15 & 106 & 1.8 & 94 & 1.7 & 0 & 0 & 57 & 33 & 0.5 & 34 & 0.6 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 16 & 126 & 2.1 & 109 & 1.9 & 0 & 0 & 58 & 38 & 0.6 & 17 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 17 & 96 & 1.6 & 91 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 59 & 33 & 0.5 & 27 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 18 & 100 & 1.7 & 99 & 1.8 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 33 & 0.5 & 31 & 0.6 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 19 & 88 & 1.5 & 99 & 1.7 & 0 & 0 & 61 & 20 & 0.3 & 22 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 20 & 83 & 1.4 & 85 & 1.5 & 0 & 0 & 62 & 34 & 0.6 & 24 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 21 & 69 & 1.1 & 85 & 1.5 & 0 & 0 & 63 & 24 & 0.4 & 15 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 22 & 68 & 1.1 & 65 & 1.2 & 0 & 0 & 64 & 13 & 0.2 & 17 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 23 & 68 & 1.1 & 79 & 1.4 & 0 & 0 & 65 & 17 & 0.3 & 25 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 24 & 64 & 1.1 & 103 & 1.8 & 0 & 0 & 66 & 19 & 0.3 & 18 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 25 & 99 & 1.7 & 99 & 1.8 & 0 & 0 & 67 & 5 & 0.1 & 6 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 26 & 86 & 1.4 & 92 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 68 & 17 & 0.3 & 9 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 27 & 85 & 1.4 & 103 & 1.8 & 0 & 0 & 69 & 17 & 0.3 & 5 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 28 & 81 & 1.4 & 104 & 1.8 & 0 & 0 & 70 & 10 & 0.2 & 21 & 0.4 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 29 & 85 & 1.4 & 92 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 71 & 12 & 0.2 & 6 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 30 & 113 & 1.9 & 88 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 72 & 13 & 0.2 & 7 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 31 & 100 & 1.7 & 99 & 1.7 & 0 & 0 & 73 & 9 & 0.2 & 5 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 32 & 117 & 2 & 105 & 1.9 & 0 & 0 & 74 & 4 & 0.1 & 7 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 33 & 77 & 1.3 & 91 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 75 & 10 & 0.2 & 9 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 34 & 100 & 1.7 & 90 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 76 & 3 & 0.1 & 5 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 35 & 130 & 2.2 & 104 & 1.8 & 0 & 0 & 77 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 36 & 81 & 1.4 & 82 & 1.4 & 0 & 0 & 78 & 9 & 0.1 & 9 & 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 37 & 80 & 1.3 & 76 & 1.3 & 0 & 0 & 79 & 6 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 38 & 73 & 1.2 & 93 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 80+ & 30 & 0.5 & 10 & 0.2 & 2 & 10.9 \\
\hline 39 & 84 & 1.4 & 71 & 1.3 & 0 & 0 & Miss- & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 40 & 87 & 1.5 & 63 & 1.1 & 0 & 0 & ing & & & & & & \\
\hline 41 & 100 & 1.7 & 59 & 1 & 0 & 0 & Total & 5,990 & 100.0 & 5,659 & 100.0 & 19 & 100.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women}

Household population of women age 10-54, interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed, by five-year age groups, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Household population of women age 10-54 years & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Interviewed women age 15-49 years} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of eligible women interviewed (Completion rate)} \\
\hline & Number & Number & Per cent & \\
\hline Age & & & & \\
\hline 10-14 & 676 & na & na & na \\
\hline 15-19 & 491 & 467 & 17.1 & 95.1 \\
\hline 20-24 & 418 & 397 & 14.5 & 95 \\
\hline 25-29 & 490 & 466 & 17 & 95.1 \\
\hline 30-34 & 473 & 446 & 16.3 & 94.3 \\
\hline 35-39 & 427 & 390 & 14.2 & 91.3 \\
\hline 40-44 & 334 & 308 & 11.3 & 92.4 \\
\hline 45-49 & 279 & 261 & 9.6 & 93.6 \\
\hline 50-54 & 245 & na & na & na \\
\hline Total (15-49) & 2,913 & 2,736 & 100.0 & 93.9 \\
\hline Ratio of 50-54 to 45-49 & & & & 0.88 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table DO.2M: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed men}

Household population of men age 10-54, interviewed men age 15-49, and percentage of eligible men who were interviewed, by five-year age groups, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Household population of men age 10-54 years & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Interviewed men age 15-49 years} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of eligible men interviewed (Completion rate)} \\
\hline & Number & Number & Per cent & \\
\hline Age & & & & \\
\hline 10-14 & 777 & na & na & na \\
\hline 15-19 & 516 & 481 & 17.4 & 93.3 \\
\hline 20-24 & 352 & 320 & 11.6 & 90.9 \\
\hline 25-29 & 436 & 393 & 14.2 & 90.2 \\
\hline 30-34 & 508 & 483 & 17.5 & 95 \\
\hline 35-39 & 448 & 414 & 15 & 92.5 \\
\hline 40-44 & 413 & 378 & 13.7 & 91.6 \\
\hline 45-49 & 316 & 294 & 10.6 & 93.1 \\
\hline 50-54 & 275 & na & na & na \\
\hline Total (15-49) & 2,988 & 2,763 & 100.0 & 92.5 \\
\hline Ratio of 50-54 to 45-49 & & & & 0.87 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table DQ.3: Age distribution of under-5s in household and under-5 questionnaires
Household population of children age 0-7, children age 0-4 whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, and percentage of under- 5 children whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, by single ages, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(\begin{array}{c}\text { Household population of } \\
\text { children age 0-7 years }\end{array}\) & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\(\begin{array}{c}\text { Interviewed } \\
\text { under-5 children }\end{array}\)} & \(\begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of } \\
\text { eligible under-5s } \\
\text { interviewed }\end{array}\) \\
(Completion rate)
\end{tabular}\()\)

Table DQ.4: Women's completion rates by socio-economic characteristics of households

Household population of women age 15-49, interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed, by selected social and economic characteristics of the household, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Household population of women age 15-49 years} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Interviewed women age 15-49 years} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of eligible women interviewed (Completion rate)} \\
\hline & Number & Per cent & Number & Per cent & \\
\hline District & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 455 & 15.6 & 437 & 16.0 & 96.0 \\
\hline Manokwari & 1,756 & 60.3 & 1,614 & 59.0 & 91.9 \\
\hline Sorong & 702 & 24.1 & 686 & 25.1 & 97.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 819 & 28.1 & 768 & 28.1 & 93.8 \\
\hline Rural & 2,094 & 71.9 & 1,969 & 71.9 & 94.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Household size} \\
\hline 1-3 & 754 & 25.9 & 720 & 26.3 & 95.4 \\
\hline 4-6 & 1,559 & 53.5 & 1,472 & 53.8 & 94.4 \\
\hline 7+ & 599 & 20.6 & 545 & 19.9 & 90.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Education of household head} \\
\hline None & 173 & 5.9 & 161 & 5.9 & 93.0 \\
\hline Primary & 910 & 31.2 & 844 & 30.8 & 92.8 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 1,373 & 47.1 & 1,302 & 47.6 & 94.8 \\
\hline Higher & 456 & 15.7 & 429 & 15.7 & 94.0 \\
\hline Missing/DK & 1 & 0.0 & & 0.0 & 45.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 519 & 17.8 & 473 & 17.3 & 91.3 \\
\hline Second & 549 & 18.8 & 509 & 18.6 & 92.7 \\
\hline Middle & 527 & 18.1 & 497 & 18.2 & 94.4 \\
\hline Fourth & 665 & 22.8 & 642 & 23.4 & 96.5 \\
\hline Richest & 653 & 22.4 & 615 & 22.5 & 94.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head} \\
\hline Papua & 1,352 & 46.4 & 1,218 & 44.5 & 90.1 \\
\hline Jawa & 885 & 30.4 & 869 & 31.8 & 98.2 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 353 & 12.1 & 334 & 12.2 & 94.8 \\
\hline Maluku & 204 & 7.0 & 201 & 7.3 & 98.4 \\
\hline Others & 112 & 3.9 & 108 & 4.0 & 96.2 \\
\hline Missing/DK & 7 & 0.3 & 7 & 0.2 & 89.3 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 2,913 & 100.0 & 2,736 & 100.0 & 93.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table DQ.4M: Men's completion rates by socio-economic characteristics of households

Household population of men age 15-49, interviewed men age 15-49, and percentage of eligible men who were interviewed, by selected social and economic characteristics of the household, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Household population of men age 15-49 years} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Interviewed men age 15-49 years} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percentage of eligible men interviewed (Completion rate)} \\
\hline & Number & Per cent & Number & Per cent & \\
\hline District & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 477 & 16.0 & 455 & 16.5 & 95.5 \\
\hline Manokwari & 1,800 & 60.3 & 1,636 & 59.2 & 90.9 \\
\hline Sorong & 711 & 23.8 & 671 & 24.3 & 94.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 837 & 28.0 & 740 & 26.8 & 88.4 \\
\hline Rural & 2,151 & 72.0 & 2,023 & 73.2 & 94.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Household size} \\
\hline 1-3 & 787 & 26.3 & 751 & 27.2 & 95.4 \\
\hline 4-6 & 1,555 & 52.0 & 1,452 & 52.6 & 93.4 \\
\hline 7+ & 647 & 21.6 & 560 & 20.3 & 86.6 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Education of household head} \\
\hline None & 164 & 5.5 & 155 & 5.6 & 94.5 \\
\hline Primary & 957 & 32.0 & 878 & 31.8 & 91.8 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 1,433 & 48.0 & 1,333 & 48.2 & 93.0 \\
\hline Higher & 432 & 14.4 & 396 & 14.3 & 91.8 \\
\hline Missing/DK & 3 & 0.1 & 1 & 0.0 & 40.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 531 & 17.8 & 507 & 18.3 & 95.5 \\
\hline Second & 545 & 18.2 & 506 & 18.3 & 92.8 \\
\hline Middle & 647 & 21.7 & 595 & 21.5 & 92.0 \\
\hline Fourth & 638 & 21.3 & 580 & 21.0 & 91.0 \\
\hline Richest & 627 & 21.0 & 575 & 20.8 & 91.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head} \\
\hline Papua & 1,346 & 45.0 & 1,200 & 43.4 & 89.1 \\
\hline Jawa & 961 & 32.2 & 914 & 33.1 & 95.1 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 357 & 11.9 & 336 & 12.2 & 94.3 \\
\hline Maluku & 183 & 6.1 & 175 & 6.3 & 95.5 \\
\hline Others & 132 & 4.4 & 129 & 4.7 & 98.0 \\
\hline Missing/DK & 10 & 0.3 & 9 & 0.3 & 91.7 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 2,988 & 100.0 & 2,763 & 100.0 & 92.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table DQ.5: Completion rates for under-5 questionnaires by socio-economic characteristics of households
Household population of under-5 children, under-5 questionnaires completed, and percentage of under-5 children for whom interviews were completed, by selected socio-economic characteristics of the household, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Household population of under-5 children} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Interviewed under-5 children} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Per cent of eligible under-5s with completed under-5 questionnaires (Completion rate)} \\
\hline & Number & Per cent & Number & Per cent & \\
\hline District & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 259 & 19.3 & 256 & 20.0 & 98.8 \\
\hline Manokwari & 756 & 56.3 & 702 & 54.8 & 92.9 \\
\hline Sorong & 327 & 24.4 & 322 & 25.2 & 98.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Area} \\
\hline Urban & 330 & 24.6 & 311 & 24.3 & 94.3 \\
\hline Rural & 1,012 & 75.4 & 970 & 75.7 & 95.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Household size} \\
\hline 1-3 & 182 & 13.5 & 176 & 13.7 & 96.7 \\
\hline 4-6 & 771 & 57.5 & 745 & 58.2 & 96.6 \\
\hline 7+ & 389 & 29.0 & 360 & 28.1 & 92.5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Education of household head} \\
\hline None & 69 & 5.1 & 69 & 5.4 & 100.0 \\
\hline Primary & 402 & 30.0 & 379 & 29.6 & 94.2 \\
\hline SMP/SM & 684 & 51.0 & 650 & 50.7 & 94.9 \\
\hline Higher & 187 & 13.9 & 184 & 14.3 & 98.3 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Wealth index quintile} \\
\hline Poorest & 313 & 23.3 & 304 & 23.8 & 97.3 \\
\hline Second & 275 & 20.5 & 259 & 20.2 & 94.2 \\
\hline Middle & 274 & 20.4 & 261 & 20.4 & 95.1 \\
\hline Fourth & 228 & 17.0 & 220 & 17.2 & 96.2 \\
\hline Richest & 252 & 18.7 & 237 & 18.5 & 94.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Ethnicity of household head} \\
\hline Papua & 735 & 54.7 & 687 & 53.6 & 93.5 \\
\hline Jawa & 320 & 23.8 & 315 & 24.6 & 98.3 \\
\hline Sulawesi & 140 & 10.5 & 134 & 10.5 & 95.5 \\
\hline Maluku & 95 & 7.0 & 94 & 7.4 & 99.5 \\
\hline Others & 48 & 3.5 & 48 & 3.7 & 100.0 \\
\hline Missing/DK & 5 & 0.4 & 4 & 0.3 & 68.9 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 1,342 & 100.0 & 1,281 & 100.0 & 95.4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table DQ.6: Completeness of reporting}

Percentage of observations that are missing information for selected questions and indicators, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Questionnaire and type of \\
missing information
\end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Per cent with \\
missing/
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Number of \\
incomplete \\
information*
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table DQ.7: Observation of bednets}

Percentage of bednets in all households interviewed observed by the interviewer, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Percentage of bednets \\
observed by interviewer
\end{tabular} & Total number of bednets \\
\hline District & & \\
Kaimana & 52.3 & 826 \\
Manokwari & 38.1 & 890 \\
Sorong & 54.4 & 1,211 \\
Area & 47.3 & 666 \\
Urban & 49.3 & 2,261 \\
Rural & 50.2 & 656 \\
Wealth index quintile & 58.0 & 798 \\
Poorest & 52.3 & 664 \\
Second & 34.1 & 501 \\
Middle & 39.0 & 308 \\
Fourth & 48.9 & \(\mathbf{2 , 9 2 7}\) \\
Richest & & \\
Total for 3 districts & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table DQ.8: Observation of women's health cards}

Per cent distribution of women with a live birth in the last 2 years by presence of a health card, and the percentage of health cards seen by the interviewers, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Woman does not have health card} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Woman has health card} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Missing/ DK} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Per cent of health cards seen by the interviewer (1)/(1+2)*100} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of women with a live birth in the last two years} \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Seen by the interviewer \\
(1)
\end{tabular} & Not seen by the interviewer (2) & & & & \\
\hline District & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 56.3 & 7.4 & 35.3 & 0.9 & 100.0 & 17.4 & 215 \\
\hline Manokwari & 28.9 & 20.8 & 45.3 & 5.0 & 100.0 & 31.4 & 159 \\
\hline Sorong & 22.1 & 38.6 & 37.9 & 1.4 & 100.0 & 50.5 & 145 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 26.5 & 16.1 & 54.2 & 3.2 & 100.0 & 22.9 & 155 \\
\hline Rural & 43.4 & 22.0 & 32.7 & 1.9 & 100.0 & 40.2 & 364 \\
\hline Wealth index quintile & & & & & & & \\
\hline Poorest & 61.7 & 8.1 & 28.2 & 2.0 & 100.0 & 22.2 & 149 \\
\hline Second & 43.6 & 20.0 & 34.5 & 1.8 & 100.0 & 36.7 & 110 \\
\hline Middle & 27.5 & 33.3 & 37.3 & 2.0 & 100.0 & 47.2 & 102 \\
\hline Fourth & 25.9 & 19.8 & 51.9 & 2.5 & 100.0 & 27.6 & 81 \\
\hline Richest & 13.0 & 27.3 & 55.8 & 3.9 & 100.0 & 32.8 & 77 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 38.3 & 20.2 & 39.1 & 2.3 & 100.0 & 34.1 & 519 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table DQ.9: Observation of under-5s birth certificates}

Per cent distribution of children under 5 by presence of birth certificates, and percentage of birth calendar seen, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011


Table DQ.10: Observation of vaccination cards

Per cent distribution of children under 5 by presence of a vaccination card, and the percentage of vaccination cards seen by the interviewers, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Child does not have vaccination card} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Child has vaccination card} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Missing/ DK} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Total} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Per cent of vaccination card seen by the interviewer (1)/(1+2)*100} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of children under age 5} \\
\hline & Had vaccination card previously & Never had vaccination card & Seen by the interviewer (1) & Not seen by the interviewer (2) & & & & \\
\hline District & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Kaimana & 10.4 & 29.6 & 22.4 & 36.8 & 0.8 & 100.0 & 37.8 & 527 \\
\hline Manokwari & 13.0 & 24.3 & 27.6 & 35.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 44.1 & 391 \\
\hline Sorong & 1.6 & 10.8 & 32.6 & 54.8 & 0.2 & 100.0 & 37.3 & 436 \\
\hline Area & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Urban & 18.3 & 9.7 & 40.2 & 31.9 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 55.8 & 383 \\
\hline Rural & 4.4 & 26.9 & 22.0 & 46.1 & 0.5 & 100.0 & 32.3 & 971 \\
\hline Child's age & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & 3.1 & 30.6 & 42.7 & 23.1 & 0.4 & 100.0 & 64.9 & 255 \\
\hline 1 & 4.7 & 22.6 & 35.8 & 36.2 & 0.7 & 100.0 & 49.8 & 279 \\
\hline 2 & 7.3 & 21.0 & 23.8 & 47.6 & 0.3 & 100.0 & 33.3 & 286 \\
\hline 3 & 11.7 & 18.2 & 21.6 & 48.1 & 0.3 & 100.0 & 31.0 & 291 \\
\hline 4 & 15.2 & 18.1 & 11.5 & 55.1 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 17.3 & 243 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 8.3 & 22.0 & 27.2 & 42.1 & 0.4 & 100.0 & 39.2 & 1,354 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table DQ.11: Presence of mother in the household and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire

Distribution of children under five by whether the mother lives in the same household, and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Mother in the household & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Mother not in the household} & Total & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of children under-5} \\
\hline & Mother interviewed & Father interviewed & Other adult female interviewed & Other adult male interviewed & Other person interviewed & & \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0 & 95.2 & 0.0 & 4.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 263 \\
\hline 1 & 94.7 & 0.2 & 5.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 262 \\
\hline 2 & 95.8 & 0.0 & 3.9 & 0.0 & 0.3 & 100.0 & 283 \\
\hline 3 & 95.5 & 0.2 & 4.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 285 \\
\hline 4 & 93.7 & 1.1 & 5.0 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 249 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 95.0 & 0.3 & 4.6 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 100.0 & 1,342 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table DO.12: Selection of children age 2-14 years for the child discipline module
Per cent of households with at least two children age 2-14 years where correct selection of one child for the child discipline module was performed, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Per cent of households where \\
correct selection was performed
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Number of households with 2 or \\
more children age 2-14 years
\end{tabular} \\
\hline District & & \\
Kaimana & 85.3 & 400 \\
Manokwari & 87.9 & 338 \\
Sorong & 95.2 & 377 \\
Area & 87.4 & 309 \\
\(\quad\) Urban & 90.2 & 806 \\
\(\quad\) Rural & & \\
Number of children & 93.0 & 585 \\
age 12-14 years & 86.6 & 298 \\
2 & 83.9 & 149 \\
3 & 84.3 & 83 \\
4 & 89.4 & 1,115 \\
\hline 5+ & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{18}{|l|}{Distribution of household population age 5-24 by educational level and educational level and grade attended in the current (or most recent) school year, Distriser Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Age at the beginning of school year} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Not attending school} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Preschool} & \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Currently attending} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Total} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Number of household members} \\
\hline & & & \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{SD} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{SMP} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SM} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{University} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{DK} & & \\
\hline & & & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & DK & 1 & 2 & 3 & & & & & \\
\hline 5 & 42.9 & 33.2 & 21.1 & 2.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 100.0 & 307 \\
\hline 6 & 17.1 & 4.1 & 58.3 & 18.5 & 1.1 & 0.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 307 \\
\hline 7 & 6.5 & 1.9 & 22.3 & 52.8 & 13.8 & 2.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 100.0 & 346 \\
\hline 8 & 4.9 & 0.4 & 16.7 & 25.0 & 40.1 & 12.8 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 299 \\
\hline 9 & 5.5 & 0.2 & 3.7 & 9.7 & 22.5 & 43.6 & 12.4 & 1.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.3 & 100.0 & 269 \\
\hline 10 & 4.0 & 0.0 & 3.5 & 4.6 & 11.0 & 19.9 & 33.7 & 23.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 318 \\
\hline 11 & 2.9 & 0.0 & 2.7 & 3.0 & 7.7 & 13.0 & 21.1 & 30.1 & 0.0 & 17.5 & 2.0 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 301 \\
\hline 12 & 4.5 & 0.0 & 1.4 & 3.1 & 6.5 & 5.3 & 6.5 & 15.0 & 0.0 & 36.7 & 19.2 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.8 & 100.0 & 242 \\
\hline 13 & 4.3 & 0.0 & 0.9 & 1.1 & 4.1 & 2.5 & 5.0 & 10.3 & 0.0 & 24.7 & 26.4 & 16.8 & 3.8 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 289 \\
\hline 14 & 9.6 & 0.0 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.0 & 1.7 & 5.0 & 6.5 & 0.0 & 7.1 & 22.1 & 30.0 & 16.3 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 100.0 & 291 \\
\hline 15 & 11.7 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 1.1 & 2.8 & 0.0 & 5.3 & 9.0 & 21.2 & 47.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 219 \\
\hline 16 & 21.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 2.0 & 2.9 & 0.0 & 1.2 & 4.5 & 11.4 & 56.1 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 220 \\
\hline 17 & 30.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.3 & 1.2 & 2.5 & 57.3 & 6.9 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 183 \\
\hline 18 & 45.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.7 & 2.5 & 26.8 & 23.6 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 212 \\
\hline 19 & 57.6 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.3 & 4.5 & 8.7 & 28.3 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 167 \\
\hline 20 & 68.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.4 & 1.2 & 0.8 & 6.1 & 23.0 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 168 \\
\hline 21 & 74.2 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.2 & 1.2 & 2.3 & 19.7 & 0.4 & 100.0 & 158 \\
\hline 22 & 76.9 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 22.1 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 135 \\
\hline 23 & 84.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 2.2 & 13.5 & 0.0 & 100.0 & 144 \\
\hline 24 & 88.2 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.4 & 7.1 & 1.8 & 100.0 & 192 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Table DQ.14: Sex ratio at birth among children ever born and living}

Sex ratio (number of males per 100 females) among children ever born (at birth), children living, and deceased children, by age of women, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Children Ever Born} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Children Living} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Children Deceased} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of Women} \\
\hline & Number of sons ever born & Number of daughters ever born & Sex ratio at birth & Number of sons living & ```
Number
        of
daughters
    living
``` & Sex ratio & Number of deceased sons & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of } \\
\text { deceased } \\
\text { daughters }
\end{array}
\] & Sex ratio & \\
\hline Age & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 15-19 & 40 & 26 & 1.54 & 38 & 26 & 1.46 & 2 & 0 & & 437 \\
\hline 20-24 & 158 & 179 & 0.88 & 143 & 166 & 0.86 & 15 & 13 & 1.15 & 377 \\
\hline 25-29 & 478 & 447 & 1.07 & 445 & 429 & 1.04 & 33 & 18 & 1.83 & 495 \\
\hline 30-34 & 600 & 534 & 1.12 & 550 & 499 & 1.10 & 50 & 35 & 1.43 & 435 \\
\hline 35-39 & 688 & 601 & 1.14 & 628 & 554 & 1.13 & 60 & 47 & 1.28 & 392 \\
\hline 40-44 & 654 & 614 & 1.07 & 597 & 568 & 1.05 & 57 & 46 & 1.24 & 326 \\
\hline 45-49 & 526 & 483 & 1.09 & 478 & 435 & 1.10 & 48 & 48 & 1.00 & 253 \\
\hline Total for 3 districts & 3,144 & 2,884 & 1.13 & 2,879 & 2,677 & 1.11 & 265 & 207 & 1.32 & 2,715 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix D. ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS}

The sample of respondents selected in Selected Districts of West Papua Province Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) is only one of the samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between the estimates from all possible samples. The extent of variability is not known exactly, but can be estimated statistically from the survey data.

The following sampling error measures are presented in this appendix for each of the selected indicators:
- Standard error (se): Sampling errors are usually measured in terms of standard errors for particular indicators (means, proportions etc). Standard error is the square root of the variance of the estimate. The Taylor linearization method is used for the estimation of standard errors.
- Coefficient of variation ( \(s e / r\) ) is the ratio of the standard error to the value of the indicator, and is a measure of the relative sampling error.
- Design effect (deff) is the ratio of the actual variance of an indicator, under the sampling method used in the survey, to the variance calculated under the assumption of simple random sampling. The square root of the design effect (deft) is used to show the efficiency of the sample design in relation to the precision. A deft value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a deft value above 1.0 indicates an increase in the standard error due to the use of a more complex sample design.
- Confidence limits are calculated to show the interval within which the true value for the population can be reasonably assumed to fall, with a specified level of confidence. For any given statistic calculated from the survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error ( \(r+2\).se or \(r-2 . s e\) ) of the statistic in 95 per cent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

For the calculation of sampling errors from MICS data, SPSS Version 18 Complex Samples module has been used. The results are shown in the tables that follow. In addition to the sampling error measures described above, the tables also include weighted and unweighted counts of denominators for each indicator.

Sampling errors are calculated for indicators of primary interest for each district: Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong. Seven are based on household members, 11 are based on women, 7 are based on men and 10 are based on children under 5 . All indicators presented here are in the form of proportions. Table SE. 1 shows the list of indicators for which sampling errors are calculated, including the base population (denominator) for each indicator. Tables SE. 2 to SE. 4 show the calculated sampling errors for selected domains.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{List of indicators selected for sampling error calculations, and base populations (denominators) for each indicator, Selected Districts of West Papua Province M Cluster Survey (MICS), 2011} \\
\hline MICS & dicator & Base Population \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4.1 \\
& 4.3 \\
& 7.4 \\
& 7.5 \\
& 8.2 \\
& 9.18 \\
& 8.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Use of improved drinking water sources \\
Use of improved sanitation \\
Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) \\
Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) \\
Child labour \\
Prevalence of children with one or both parents dead Violent discipline
\end{tabular} & All household members All household members Children of secondary school age Children of secondary school age Children age 5-14 years Children age \(0-17\) years Children age 2-14 years \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{WOMEN} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5.2 \\
& 5.3 \\
& 5.5 a \\
& 5.5 \mathrm{~b} \\
& 5.7 \\
& 5.8 \\
& 7.1 \\
& 8.7 \\
& 9.2 \\
& 9.3 \\
& 9.4
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Early childbearing \\
Contraceptive prevalence \\
Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel \\
Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider \\
Skilled attendant at delivery \\
Institutional deliveries \\
Literacy rate among young women \\
Marriage before age 18 \\
Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people \\
Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV \\
Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Women age 20-24 years \\
Women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union \\
Women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey \\
Women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey \\
Women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey \\
Women age \(15-49\) years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey \\
Women age 15-24 years \\
Women age 20-49 years \\
Women age 15-24 years \\
Women age 15-49 years \\
Women age 15-49 years who have heard of HIV
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{MEN} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7.1 \\
& 8.7 \\
& 9.2 \\
& 9.3 \\
& 9.4 \\
& 9.11 \\
& 9.21
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Literacy rate among young men \\
Marriage before age 18 \\
Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people \\
Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV \\
Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV \\
Sex before age 15 among young men \\
Male circumcision
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Men age 15-24 years \\
Men age 20-49 years \\
Men age 15-24 years \\
Men age 15-49 years \\
Men age 15-49 years who have heard of HIV \\
Men age 15-24 years \\
Men age 15-49 years
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{UNDER 5s} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2.6 \\
& 2.14 \\
& 3.1 \\
& 3.2 \\
& 3.3 \\
& 3.4 \\
& 3.5 \\
& 3.15 \\
& 3.18 \\
& 8.1
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months \\
Age-appropriate breastfeeding \\
Tuberculosis immunization coverage \\
Received polio immunization \\
Received DPT immunization \\
Received measles immunization \\
Received Hepatitis B immunization \\
Sleeping under insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) \\
Anti-malarial treatment \\
Birth registration
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Total number of infants under 6 months of age \\
Children age 0-23 months \\
Children age 12-23 months \\
Children age \(12-23\) months \\
Children age 12-23 months \\
Children age 12-23 months \\
Children age 12-23 months \\
Children under age 5 \\
Children under age 5 reported to have had fever in the previous 2 weeks \\
Children under age 5
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
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HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS
Use of improved drinking water sources
Use of improved sanitation
Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted)
Child labour
Violent discipline
WOMEN
Early childbearing
Contraceptive prevalence
Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel
Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider
Skilled attendant at delivery Institutional deliveries
Literacy rate among young women
Marriage before age 18
Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV
Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV MEN
Literacy rate among young men
Marriage before age 18
Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV
Sex before age 15 among young men Male circumcision
UNDER 5s
Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months
Age-appropriate breastfeeding
Tuberculosis immunization coverage
Immunization coverage for DPT Measles immunization coverage Hepatitis B immunization Anti-malarial treatment Birth registration
Table SE.3: Sampling errors: Manokwari District
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Districts of Kaimana, Manokwari and Sorong, West Papua Province, Indonesia, 2011
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline MICS & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Value \\
(r)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Standard error (se)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Coefficient of variation (se/r)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Design effect (deff)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Square root of design effect (deff)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Wieghted count} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Unwieghted count} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Confidence limit} \\
\hline number & & & & & & & & \(r-2 s e\) & \(r+2 s e\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(0.593 \quad 0.787\) \(0.481-0.646\) \(0.913-0.966\) \(\begin{array}{ll}0.734 & 0.824 \\ 0.124 & 0.207\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{ll}0.124 & 0.091\end{array}\) 0.877 \begin{tabular}{l|l|l}
\hline 147 & 0.076 & 0.227 \\
619 & 0.492 & 0.588 \\
159 & 0.798 & 0.919 \\
159 & 0.584 & 0.746 \\
159 & 0.667 & 0.842 \\
159 & 0.458 & 0.629 \\
307 & 0.833 & 0.916 \\
708 & 0.254 & 0.348 \\
307 & 0.189 & 0.354 \\
868 & 0.560 & 0.675 \\
707 & 0.093 & 0.176
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
 \(\bigcirc 000000000\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular} \\
\hline  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS
Use of improved drinking
Use of improved sanitation
Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted)

Child labour
Violent discipline
NOMEN
Early childbearing Contraceptive prevalence Antenatal care coverage Antenatal care coverage Skilled attendant at deliv

Literacy rate among young women
Marriage before age 18
Comprehensive knowledge about HIV
Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people
Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV
MEN
Literacy rate among young men
Marriage before age 18
Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV Sex before age 15 among young men Male circumcision

UNDER 5s Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months
Age-appropriate breastfeeding Age-appropriate breastfeeding Polio immunization coverage mmunization coverage for DPT Measles immunization coverage Hepatitis B immunization

Sleeping under insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) Anti-malarial treatment Birth registration
Table SE．4：Sampling errors：Sorong District
Standard errors，coefficients of variation，design effects（deff），square root of design effects（deft）and confidence intervals for selected indicators，Districts of Kaimana，Manokwari and Sorong，West Papua Province，Indonesia， 2011

\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|}
\hline 984 & 0.717 & 0.880 \\
984 & 0.418 & 0.555 \\
606 & 0.941 & 0.976 \\
458 & 0.697 & 0.846 \\
1,219 & 0.159 & 0.213 \\
1,662 & 0.036 & 0.074 \\
661 & 0.865 & 0.932 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS Use of improved drinking water sources
Use of improved sanitation
Primary school net attendance ratio（adjusted）
Secondary school net attendance ratio（adjusted）
Child labour
Prevalence of children with one or both parents dead
Violent discipline WOMEN
Early childbearing
Contraceptive prevalence
Antenatal care coverage－at least once by skilled personnel Antenatal care coverage－at least four times by any provider Skilled attendant at delivery
Institutional deliveries
Marriage before age 18
Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young peopl Knowledge of mother－to－child transmission of HIV MEN

\section*{MEN}
5s
Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months
Age－appropriate breastfeeding
Tuberculosis immunization coverage
Polio immunization coverage
mmunization coverage for DPT Measles immunization coverage Hepatitis B immunization Anti－malarial treatment Birth registration

\section*{Appendix E. MIICS INDICATORS: NUMERATORS AND DENOMINATOR}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MICS4 Indicator \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\)} & Module \({ }^{18}\) & Numerator & Denominator & MDG \({ }^{19}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{01. CHILD MORTALITY} \\
\hline 1.1 & Under-five mortality rate & CM & Probability of dying by exact & ge 5 years & MDG 4.1 \\
\hline 1.2 & Infant mortality rate & CM & Probability of dying by exact & ge 1 year & MDG 4.2 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{02. NUTRITION} \\
\hline 2.4 & Children ever breastfed & MN & Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who breastfed the child at any time & Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey & \\
\hline 2.5 & Early initiation of breastfeeding & MN & Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who put the newborn infant to the breast within 1 hour of birth & Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey & \\
\hline 2.6 & Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months & BF & Number of infants under 6 months of age who are exclusively breastfed \({ }^{20}\) & Total number of infants under 6 months of age & \\
\hline 2.7 & Continued breastfeeding at 1 year & BF & Number of children age 12-15 months who are currently breastfeeding & Total number of children age 12-15 months & \\
\hline 2.8 & Continued breastfeeding at 2 years & BF & Number of children age 20-23 months who are currently breastfeeding & Total number of children age 20-23 months & \\
\hline 2.9 & Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months & BF & Number of infants under 6 months of age who received breast milk as the predominant source of nourishment \({ }^{21}\) during the previous day & Total number of infants under 6 months of age & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
( \(\mathrm{M}_{\text {Indicates that the indicator is also calculated for men, for the same age group, in surveys where the Questionnaire for Individual Men has been }}\) included. Calculations are carried out by using modules in the Men's Questionnaire
18 Some indicators are constructed by using questions in several modules. In such cases, only the module(s) which contains most of the necessary information is indicated.
19 MDG indicators as of February 2010
20 Infants receiving breast milk, and not receiving any other fluids or foods, with the exception of oral rehydration solution, vitamins, mineral supplements and medicines
21 Infants who receive breast milk and certain fluids (water and water-based drinks, fruit juice, ritual fluids, oral rehydration solution, drops, vitamins, minerals, and medicines), but do not receive anything else (in particular, non-human milk and food-based fluids)
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MICS4 Indicator \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\)} & Module \({ }^{18}\) & Numerator & Denominator & MDG \({ }^{19}\) \\
\hline 2.10 & Duration of breastfeeding & BF & The age in months when 50 pe months did not receive breast & cent of children age 0-35 milk during the previous day & \\
\hline 2.11 & Bottle feeding & BF & Number of children age 0-23 months who were fed with a bottle during the previous day & Total number of children age 0-23 months & \\
\hline 2.12 & Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods & BF & Number of infants age 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods during the previous day & Total number of infants age 6-8 months & \\
\hline 2.13 & Minimum meal frequency & BF & Number of children age 6-23 months receiving solid, semisolid and soft foods (plus milk feeds for non-breastfed children) the minimum times \({ }^{22}\) or more, according to breastfeeding status, during the previous day & Total number of children age 6-23 months & \\
\hline 2.14 & Age-appropriate breastfeeding & BF & Number of children age 0-23 months appropriately fed \({ }^{23}\) during the previous day & Total number of children age 0-23 months & \\
\hline 2.15 & Milk feeding frequency for nonbreastfed children & BF & Number of non-breastfed children age 6-23 months who received at least 2 milk feedings during the previous day & Total number of nonbreastfed children age 6-23 months & \\
\hline 2.17 & Vitamin A supplementation (children under age 5) & IM & Number of children age 6-59 months who received at least one high-dose vitamin A supplement in the 6 months preceding the survey & Total number of children age 6-59 months & \\
\hline 2.18 & Low-birthweight infants & MN & Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey weighing below 2,500 grams at birth & Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey & \\
\hline 2.19 & Infants weighed at birth & MN & Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey who were weighed at birth & Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey & \\
\hline 03. & HILD HEALTH \({ }^{4}\) & & & & \\
\hline 3.1 & Tuberculosis immunization coverage & IM & Number of children age 12-23 months who received BCG vaccine before their first birthday & Total number of children age 12-23 months & \\
\hline 3.2 & Polio immunization coverage & IM & Number of children age 12-23 months who received OPV3 vaccine before their first birthday & Total number of children age 12-23 months & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
22 Breastfeeding children: Solid, semi-solid, or soft foods, two times for infants age 6-8 months, 3 times for children 9-23 months; Non-breastfeeding children: Solid, semi-solid, or soft foods, or milk feeds, four times for children age 6-23 months
23 Infants age 0-5 who are exclusively breastfed, and children age 6-23 months who are breastfed and ate solid, semi-solid or soft foods
24 Indicators 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 may be calculated for an older age group, such as 15-26 months or 18-29 months, depending on the immunization schedule
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MICS4 Indicator \({ }^{[\mathrm{MI}]}\)} & Module \({ }^{18}\) & Numerator & Denominator & MDG \({ }^{19}\) \\
\hline 3.3 & Immunization coverage for diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) & IM & Number of children age 12-23 months who received DPT3 vaccine before their first birthday & Total number of children age 12-23 months & \\
\hline 3.4 & Measles immunization coverage & IM & Number of children age 12-23 months who received measles vaccine before their first birthday & Total number of children age 12-23 months & \\
\hline 3.5 & Hepatitis B immunization coverage & IM & Number of children age 12-23 months who received the third dose of Hepatitis \(B\) vaccine before their first birthday & Total number of children age 12-23 months & MDG 4.3 \\
\hline 3.7 & Neonatal tetanus protection & MN & Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who were given at least two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccine within the appropriate interval \({ }^{25}\) prior to giving birth & Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey & \\
\hline 3.11 & Solid fuels & HC & Number of household members in households that use solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy to cook & Total number of household members & \\
\hline 3.12 & Household availability of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) \({ }^{26}\) & TN & Number of households with at least one insecticidetreated net (ITN) & Total number of households & \\
\hline 3.14 & Children under age 5 sleeping under any type of mosquito net & TN & Number of children under age 5 who slept under any type of mosquito net the previous night & Total number of children under age 5 & \\
\hline 3.15 & Children under age 5 sleeping under insecticidetreated nets (ITNs) & TN & Number of children under age 5 who slept under an insecticide-treated mosquito net (ITN) the previous night & Total number of children under age 5 & \\
\hline 3.16 & Malaria diagnostics usage & ML & Number of children under age 5 reported to have had fever in the previous 2 weeks who had a finger or heel stick for malaria testing & Total number of children under age 5 reported to have had fever in the previous 2 weeks & MDG 6.7 \\
\hline 3.17 & Anti-malarial treatment of children under age 5 the same or next day & ML & Number of children under age 5 reported to have had fever in the previous 2 weeks who were treated with any anti-malarial drug within the same or next day of onset of symptoms & Total number of children under age 5 reported to have had fever in the previous 2 weeks & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
25 See MICS4 manual for a detailed description
26 An ITN is (a) a factory treated net which does not require any treatment, (b) a pretreated net obtained within the past 12 months, or (c) a net that has been soaked with or dipped in insecticide within the past 12 months
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MICS4 Indicator \({ }^{(\mathrm{MN]}}\)} & Module \({ }^{18}\) & Numerator & Denominator & MDG \({ }^{19}\) \\
\hline 3.18 & Anti-malarial treatment of children under age 5 & ML & Number of children under age 5 reported to have had fever in the previous 2 weeks who received any antimalarial treatment & Total number of children under age 5 reported to have had fever in the previous 2 weeks & MDG 6.8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{04. WATER AND SANITATION} \\
\hline 4.1 & Use of improved drinking water sources & ws & Number of household members using improved sources of drinking water & Total number of household members & MDG 7.8 \\
\hline 4.2 & Water treatment & ws & Number of household members using unimproved drinking water who use an appropriate treatment method & Total number of household members in households using unimproved drinking water sources & \\
\hline 4.3 & Use of improved sanitation & ws & Number of household members using improved sanitation facilities which are not shared & Total number of household members & MDG 7.9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{05. REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH} \\
\hline 5.1 & Adolescent birth rate \({ }^{27}\) & CM - BH & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19 years for the one year period preceding the survey} & MDG 5.4 \\
\hline 5.2 & Early childbearing & CM - BH & Number of women age 20-24 years who had at least one live birth before age 18 & Total number of women age 20-24 years & \\
\hline 5.3 & Contraceptive prevalence rate & CP & Number of women age 1549 years currently married or in union who are using (or whose partner is using) a (modern or traditional) contraceptive method & Total number of women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union & MDG 5.3 \\
\hline 5.4 & Unmet need \({ }^{28}\) (Indonesia specific) & UN & Number of women age 1549 years who are currently married or in union who want to space their births or limit the number of children they have and who are not currently using contraception & Total number of women age 15-49 years who are currently married or in union & MDG 5.6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5.5 a \\
& 5.5 b
\end{aligned}
\] & Antenatal care coverage & MN & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of women age 15-49 years who were attended during pregnancy in the 2 years preceding the survey \\
(a) at least once by skilled personnel \\
(b) at least four times by any provider
\end{tabular} & Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey & MDG 5.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
27 Indicator is defined as "Age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19 years, for the 3-year period preceding the survey" when estimated from the birth history
28 See MICS4 manual for a detailed description
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MICS4 Indicator \({ }^{[\mathrm{MI}]}\)} & Module \({ }^{18}\) & Numerator & Denominator & MDG \({ }^{19}\) \\
\hline 5.6a & Content of antenatal care & MN & Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who had their blood pressure measured and gave urine and blood samples during the last pregnancy & Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey & \\
\hline 5.7 & Skilled attendant at delivery & MN & Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who were attended during childbirth by skilled health personnel & Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey & MDG 5.2 \\
\hline 5.8 & Institutional deliveries & MN & Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who delivered in a health facility & Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{07. LITERACY AND EDUCATION} \\
\hline 7.1 & Literacy rate among young women \({ }^{[M]}\) & WB & Number of women age 15-24 years who are able to read a short simple statement about everyday life or who attended senior secondary or higher education & Total number of women age 15-24 years & MDG 2.3 \\
\hline 7.2 & School readiness & ED & Number of children in first grade of primary school who attended pre-school during the previous school year & Total number of children attending the first grade of primary school & \\
\hline 7.3 & Net intake rate in primary education & ED & Number of children of schoolentry age who enter the first grade of primary school & Total number of children of school-entry age & \\
\hline 7.4 & Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) & ED & Number of children of primary school age (7-12 years) currently attending primary or secondary school & Total number of children of primary school age & MDG 2.1 \\
\hline 7.5 & Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) & ED & Number of children of secondary school age currently attending secondary school or higher & Total number of children of secondary school age & \\
\hline 7.6 & Children reaching last grade of primary & ED & Proportion of children entering school who eventually reach & the first grade of primary st grade & MDG 2.2 \\
\hline 7.7 & Primary completion rate & ED & Number of children attending the last grade of primary school (excluding repeaters) & Total number of children of primary school completion age (age appropriate to final grade of primary school) & \\
\hline 7.8 & Transition rate to secondary school & ED & Number of children attending the last grade of primary school during the previous school year who are in the first grade of secondary school during the current school year & Total number of children attending the last grade of primary school during the previous school year & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MICS4 Indicator \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\)} & Module \({ }^{18}\) & Numerator & Denominator & MDG \({ }^{19}\) \\
\hline 7.9 & Gender parity index (primary school) & ED & Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for girls & Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for boys & MDG 3.1 \\
\hline 7.10 & Gender parity index (secondary school) & ED & Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for girls & Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for boys & MDG 3.1 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{08. CHILD PROTECTION} \\
\hline 8.1 & Birth registration & BR & Number of children under age 5 whose births are reported registered & Total number of children under age 5 & \\
\hline 8.2 & Child labour & CL & Number of children age 5-14 years who are involved in child labour & Total number of children age 5-14 years & \\
\hline 8.3 & School attendance among child labourers & ED - CL & Number of children age 5-14 years who are involved in child labour and are currently attending school & Total number of children age 5-14 years involved in child labour & \\
\hline 8.4 & Child labour among students & ED - CL & Number of children age 5-14 years who are involved in child labour and are currently attending school & Total number of children age 5-14 years attending school & \\
\hline 8.5 & Violent discipline & CD & Number of children age 2-14 years who experienced psychological aggression or physical punishment during the past month & Total number of children age 2-14 years & \\
\hline 8.6 & Marriage before age \(15^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & MA & Number of women age 15-49 years who were first married or in union by the exact age of 15 & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline 8.7 & Marriage before age \(18^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & MA & Number of women age 20-49 years who were first married or in union by the exact age of 18 & Total number of women age 20-49 years & \\
\hline 8.8 & Young women age 15-19 years currently married or in union \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & MA & Number of women age 1519 years who are currently married or in union & Total number of women age 15-19 years & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8.10 \\
& b
\end{aligned}
\] & Spousal age difference & MA & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of women currently married or in union whose spouse is 10 or more years older, \\
(b) for women age 20-24 years
\end{tabular} & Total number of women currently married or in union (b) age 20-24 years & \\
\hline 8.14 & Attitudes towards domestic violence \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & DV & Number of women who state that a husband/partner is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the following circumstances: (1) she goes out without telling him, (2) she neglects the children, (3) she argues with him, (4) she refuses sex with him, (5) she burns the food & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MICS4 Indicator \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\)} & Module \({ }^{18}\) & Numerator & Denominator & MDG \({ }^{19}\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{09. HIV/AIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR AND ORPHANS} \\
\hline 9.1 & Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention \({ }^{[\mathrm{M]}}\) & HA & Number of women age 15-49 years who correctly identify two ways of preventing HIV infection, \({ }^{29}\) know that a healthy looking person can have HIV, and reject the two most common misconceptions about HIV transmission & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline 9.2 & Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people \({ }^{[M]}\) & HA & Number of women age 15-24 years who correctly identify two ways of preventing HIV infection, know that a healthy looking person can have HIV, and reject the two most common misconceptions about HIV transmission & Total number of women age 15-24 years & MDG 6.3 \\
\hline 9.3 & Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV \({ }^{[M]}\) & HA & Number of women age 15-49 years who correctly identify all three means \({ }^{30}\) of mother-to-child transmission of HIV & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline 9.4 & Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV \({ }^{(M)}\) & HA & Number of women age 15-49 years expressing accepting attitudes on all four questions \({ }^{31}\) toward people living with HIV & Total number of women age 15-49 years who have heard of HIV & \\
\hline 9.5 & Women who know where to be tested for HIV \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & HA & Number of women age 15-49 years who state knowledge of a place to be tested for HIV health profession was deleted & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline 9.6 & Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results \({ }^{[M]}\) & HA & Number of women age 15-49 years who have been tested for HIV in the 12 months preceding the survey and who know their results & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline 9.7 & Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & HA & Number of women age 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey, who have been tested for HIV in the 12 months preceding the survey and who know their results & Total number of women age 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey & \\
\hline 9.10 & Young women who have never had sex \({ }^{[(M)}\) & SB & Number of never married women age 15-24 years who have never had sex & Total number of never married women age 15-24 years & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
29 Using condoms and limiting sex to one faithful, uninfected partner
30 Transmission during pregnancy, during delivery, and by breastfeeding
31 Women (1) who think that a female teacher with the AIDS virus should be allowed to teach in school, (2) who would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who has the AIDS virus, (3) who would not want to keep it as a secret if a family member became infected with the AIDS virus, and (4) who would be willing to care for a family member who became sick with the AIDS virus
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MICS4 Indicator \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\)} & Module \({ }^{17}\) & Numerator & Denominator & MDG \({ }^{19}\) \\
\hline 9.11 & Sex before age 15 among young women \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & SB & Number of women age 15-24 years who have had sexual intercourse before age 15 & Total number of women age 15-24 years & \\
\hline 9.12 & Age-mixing among sexual partners \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & SB & Number of women age 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey with a partner who was 10 or more years older & Total number of women age 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey & \\
\hline 9.13 & Sex with multiple partners \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & SB & Number of women age 15-49 years who have had sexual intercourse with more than one partner in the 12 months preceding the survey & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline 9.14 & Condom use during sex with multiple \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) partnersonly for men & SB & Number of men age 15-49 years who report having had more than one sexual partner in the 12 months preceding the survey who also reported that a condom was used the last time they had sex & Total number of men age 1549 years who reported having had more than one sexual partner in the 12 months preceding the survey & \\
\hline 9.15 & Sex with nonregular partners \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & SB & Number of sexually active women age 15-24 years who have had sex with a nonmarital, non-cohabitating partner in the 12 months preceding the survey & Total number of women age 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey & \\
\hline 9.17 & Children's living arrangements & HL & Number of children age \(0-17\) years not living with a biological parent & Total number of children age 0-17 years & \\
\hline 9.18 & Prevalence of children with one or both parents dead & HL & Number of children age \(0-17\) years with one or both parents dead & Total number of children age 0-17 years & \\
\hline 9.21 & Male circumcision & MMC & Number of men age 15-49 years who report having been circumcised & Total number of men age 15-49 years & \\
\hline 12. & LCOHOL USE & & & & \\
\hline TA. 3 & Alcohol use \({ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}\) & TA & Number of women age 1549 years who had at least one alcoholic drink on one or more days during the last one month & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline TA. 4 & Use of alcohol before age \(15^{[\mathrm{Mx}]}\) & TA & Number of women age 1549 years who had at least one alcoholic drink before age 15 & Total number of women age 15-49 years & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{WEST PAPUA SURVEY-SPECIFIC INDICATORS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Indicator & Numerator & Denominator \\
\hline 1 & Safe distance between water source and closest excreta disposal place & Number of household members whose water source for drinking or other use is 10 or more meters distance away from closest excreta disposal place & Total number of household members \\
\hline 2a & Malaria screening during antenatal care & Number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who had blood screening test for malaria & Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey \\
\hline 2b & ITN given to women during antenatal care & Number of women age 15-49 years who had antenatal visit during pregnancy in the 2 years preceding the survey and who received an ITN to prevent from malaria & Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who had antenatal care visit during pregnancy \\
\hline 2c & Anti-malarial treatment of women during antenatal care & Number of women age 15-49 years who had antenatal visit during pregnancy in the 2 years preceding the survey and who had blood screening test and were treated with any anti-malarial drug if test was positive & Total number of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey and who received ANC and received malaria test that tested positive \\
\hline 3 & Percentage of women age 15-49 who were officially married (registered in civil registration) & Number of women age 15-19 years who are married through civil registration & Total number of women age 15-49 years \\
\hline 4 a & Percentage of head of households born in West Papua & Number of heads of household who were born in West Papua & Total number of household heads \\
\hline 4b & Mean number of years head of household has been residing in Papua & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Mean number of years head of household has been residing in Papua} \\
\hline 5 & Median floor area per person (Indonesia specific) & Median floor area of housing unit & Average household size \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix F. QUESTIONNAIRES}

\section*{- IMICS}

INDONESIA 2011
INDONESIA MULTIPLE INDICATOR CLUSTER SURVEY PAPUA AND WEST PAPUA PROVINCE HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE

\section*{CONFIDENTIAL}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION PANEL & HH \\
\hline HH1. Cluster number: \(\quad\) & HH2. Household number: ___ \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HH3. Interviewer name and number: \\
Name
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HH4. Supervisor name and number: \\
Name
\end{tabular} \\
\hline HH5. Day / Month / Year of interview:
\(\qquad\) I \(\qquad\) 1 \(\qquad\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
HH7 Province: \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) \\
HH7A District: \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) \\
Copy from Sample List of Block Census provided.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

We are from Local Government/BPS \& would like to interview you about health and education. The interview will take about 40 minutes. All the information we obtain will remain strictly CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR PROJECT TEAM.

MAY I START NOW?
\(\square\) Yes, permission is given \(\Rightarrow\) Go to HH18 to record the time and then begin the interview.
\(\square\) No, permission is not given \(\Rightarrow\) Complete HH9. Discuss this result with your supervisor.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{After all questionnaires for the household have been completed, fill in the following information:} \\
\hline HH8. Name of head of household: & \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
HH10. Respondent to household questionnaire: \\
Name: \(\qquad\) \\
Line Number: \\
HH11. Total number of household members:
\end{tabular} \\
\hline HH12. Number of women age 15-49 years: & HH13. Number of woman's questionnaires completed: \\
\hline HH13A. Number of men age 15-49 years: & HH13B. Number of man's questionnaires completed: \\
\hline HH14. Number of children under age 5: & HH15. Number of under-5 questionnaires completed: \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HH16. Field edited by (Name and number): \\
Name
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HH17. Data entry clerk (Name and number): \\
Name
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
HH18. \\
Record the time. \\
Hour \\
Minutes
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\end{tabular}}} & & \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{HOUSEHOLD LISTING FORM} \\
\hline & & & \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
FIRST, PLEASE TELL ME THE NAME OF EACH PERSON WHO USUALLY LIVES HERE, STARTING WITH THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD. \\
List the head of the household in line 01. List all household members (HL2), their relationship to the household head (HL3), and their sex (HL4) Then ask: ARE there any others who live here, even if they are not at home now? \\
If yes, complete listing for questions HL2-HL4. Then, ask questions starting with HL5 for each person at a time. \\
Use an additional questionnaire if all rows in the household listing form have been used.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & For
women
age 15-49 & For
men
age 15-49 & For children age 5-17 & For children under age 5 & For all household members & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{For children age 0-17 years} \\
\hline HL1. Line No & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL2. \\
Name
\end{tabular} & HL3. What is THE RELATIONSHIP OF (name) то THE HEAD of houseHOLD? & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL4. Is (name) MALE OR FEMALE? \\
1 Male \\
2 Female
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
WHAT \\
DATE \\
98 DK
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL5. is (name)'s OF BIRTH? \\
9998 DK
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL6. \\
How old is (name)? \\
Record in completed years. If age is 95 or above, record '95'
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL7. \\
Circle \\
line no. \\
if woman is age \\
15-49
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL7A. \\
Circle \\
line no. \\
if man is age \\
15-49
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL8. Who is THE MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKE R OF THIS CHILD? \\
Record line no. of mother/ caretaker
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL9. WHO IS THE MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKE R OF THIS CHILD? \\
Record line no. of mother/ caretaker
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL10. \\
DID (name) STAY HERE LAST NIGHT? \\
1 Yes \\
2 No
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Is \\
HL11. (name)'s NATURAL MOTHER ALIVE? \\
1 Yes \\
2 Nos HL13 8 DK』 HL13
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL12. Does (name)'s NATURAL MOTHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? \\
Record line no. of mother or 00 for "No"
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Is \\
HL13. (name)'s NATURAL FATHER ALIVE? \\
1 Yes \\
2 Nos Next Line 8 DK』 Next Line
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
HL14. Does (name)'s NATURAL FATHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? \\
Record line no. of father or 00 for "No"
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Line & Name & Relation* & M F & Month & Year & Age & 15-49 & 15-49 & Mother & Mother & Y N & Y N DK & Mother & Y N DK & Father \\
\hline 01 & & 01 & 12 & & - - - & - - & 01 & 01 & -_ - & - - & 12 & 128 & -_ _- & 128 & - \\
\hline 02 & & - & 12 & & ---- & - - & 02 & 02 & - & - - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - \\
\hline 03 & & - & 12 & - - & - - - - & - - & 03 & 03 & -_ - & - - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - - \\
\hline 04 & &  & 12 & & - - - - & - & 04 & 04 & - & - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - \\
\hline 05 & & - & 12 & & - - - & - - & 05 & 05 &  & - - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - \\
\hline 06 & & - & 12 & - - & - - - - & - - & 06 & 06 & - & - - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - - \\
\hline 07 & & - & 12 & & ---- & - - & 07 & 07 & - - & -_ - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - - \\
\hline 08 & & - & 12 & - - & ---- & - - & 08 & 08 & - & - - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - \\
\hline 09 & & - & 12 & - - & ---- & - - & 09 & 09 & - & - - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - \\
\hline 10 & & - - & 12 & & ---- & - - & 10 & 10 & - - & - - & 12 & 128 & - & 128 & - \\
\hline 11 & & - - & 12 & -- & - - - - & - - & 11 & 11 & - - & - - & 12 & 128 & - - & 128 & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|l||}
\hline Probe for additional household members. \\
Probe especially for any infants or small children not listed, and others who may not be members of the family (such as servants, friends) but who usually live in the household. \\
Insert names of additional members in the household list and complete form accordingly. \\
\hline \hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Now for each woman age 15-49 years, write her name and line number and other identifying information in the information panel of a separate Individual Women's Questionnaire. \\
For each man age 15-49 years, write his name and line number and other identifying information in the information panel of a separate Individual Man's Questionnaire. \\
For each child under age 5, write his/her name and line number AND the line number of his/her mother or caretaker in the information panel of a separate Under- 5 Questionnaire. \\
You should now have a separate questionnaire for each eligible woman, each eligible man, and each child under five in the household.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
01 Head 06 Parent 11 Niece / Nephew
11 Niece / Nephew
12 Other relative
13 Adopted / Foster / Stepchild
14 Not related
14 Not related

08 Brother / Sister
09 Brother-In-Law / Sister-In-Law
10 Uncle / Aunt

03 Son / Daughter
04 Son-In-Law / Daughter-In-Law
05 Grandchild
}
* Codes for HL3: Relationship to head of household:

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline WS1. WHAT IS THE MAIN SOURCE OF DRINKING WATER FOR MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 11 \Leftrightarrow W S 6 \\
& 12 \Rightarrow W S 6 \\
& 13 \Leftrightarrow W S 6 \\
& 14 \Leftrightarrow W S 3 \\
& 14 \Leftrightarrow W S 3 \\
& \\
& 31 \Rightarrow W S 3 \\
& 32 \Rightarrow W S 3 \\
& \\
& 41 \Leftrightarrow W S 3 \\
& 42 \Leftrightarrow W S 3 \\
& 51 \Rightarrow W S 3 \\
& 61 \Leftrightarrow W S 3 \\
& 71 \Leftrightarrow W S 3 \\
& \\
& 81 \Leftrightarrow W S 3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline WS2. WHAT IS THE MAIN SOURCE OF WATER USED BY YOUR HOUSEHOLD FOR OTHER PURPOSES SUCH AS COOKING AND HANDWASHING? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 11 \Rightarrow W S 6 \\
& 12 \Rightarrow W S 6 \\
& 13 \Leftrightarrow W S 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline WS3. WHERE IS THAT WATER SOURCE LOCATED? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1 } \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \\
& \text { 2 } \Rightarrow \text { WS6 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline WS4. How LONG DOES IT TAKE TO GO THERE, GET WATER, AND COME BACK? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of minutes \\
DK \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
WS5. WHO USUALLY GOES TO THIS SOURCE TO COLLECT THE WATER FOR YOUR HOUSEHOLD? \\
Probe: \\
IS THIS PERSON UNDER AGE 15 ? What sex?
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline WS6. DO You do anything to the water to MAKE IT SAFER TO DRINK? & Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................ 8
No................... & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow W S 8 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow W S 8
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
WS7. WHAT DO YOU USUALLY DO TO MAKE THE WATER SAFER TO DRINK? \\
Probe: \\
ANYTHING ELSE? \\
Record all items mentioned.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Boil \(\qquad\) A \\
Add bleach / chlorine. \(\qquad\) B \\
Strain it through a cloth \(\qquad\) \\
Use water filter (ceramic, sand, composite, etc.) \(\qquad\) D \\
Solar disinfection \(\qquad\) \\
Let it stand and settle \(\qquad\) \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\) \\
DK X
\(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
WS8. WHAT KIND OF TOILET FACILITY DO MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD USUALLY USE? \\
If "flush" or "pour flush", probe: \\
Where does it flush to? \\
If necessary, ask permission to observe the facility.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Flush / Pour flush
Flush to piped sewer system................. 11
Flush to septic tank ............................ 12
Flush to pit (latrine)...................... 13
Flush to somewhere else ............... 14
Flush to unknown place / Not sure /
DK where......................................... 15
Pit latrine
Ventilated Improved Pit latrine (VIP) ............. 22
Pit latrine with slab ....................
Pit latrine without slab / Open pit....... 23
 \\
No facility, Bush, Field.............................. 95 \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
95 \(\Rightarrow\) Next \\
Module
\end{tabular} \\
\hline WS9. DO YOU SHARE THIS FACILITY WITH OTHERS WHO ARE NOT MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD? & Yes .......................................................................................................................
No..... & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \leftrightharpoons \text { Next } \\
& \text { Module }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline WS10. DO YOU SHARE THIS FACILITY ONLY WITH MEMBERS OF OTHER HOUSEHOLDS THAT YOU KNOW, OR IS THE FACILITY OPEN TO THE USE OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC? & Other households only (not public) ............................................................
Public facility ....... & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\
& \text { Module }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline WS11. How MANY HOUSEHOLDS IN TOTAL USE THIS TOILET FACILITY, INCLUDING YOUR OWN household? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of households (if less than 10) 0 \(\qquad\) \\
Ten or more households \(\qquad\) 10 \\
DK \(\qquad\) 98
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
WS11A. The distance between the water source and the closest excreta disposal place? \\
Record Observation
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Less than 10 meters \(\qquad\) \\
10 meters or more \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS & & HC \\
\hline HC1A. WHAT IS THE RELIGION OF THE HEAD OF this household? & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\) 6 \\
No religion.. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline HC1c. TO WHAT ETHNIC GROUP DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD BELONG? &  & \\
\hline HC1D. WAS THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD BORN in Papua or West Papua ? & Yes..................................................................................................................... & \(1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 2\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC1E. How MANY YEARS AGO DID THE HEAD OF this household move to Papua/West Papua? \\
If less than 1 year, record "00". If unknown, record "98". \\
Do not count short visit away from Papua/West Papua.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of years \\
DK... \(\qquad\) 98
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC1F. What was the main reason why the head of this household moved to Papua/West Papua? \\
If a person says Helshe moved to Papua for a job, probe to find out if it is government or private job
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline HC2. How MANY ROOMS IN THIS HOUSEHOLD ARE used for sleeping? & Number of rooms .............................. - - & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC3. Main material of the dwelling floor. \\
Record observation.
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC3A. What is the floor area of this DWELLING? \\
If less than 1, record "000". If unknown, record '998'.
\end{tabular} & Square meters \(\qquad\) DK. \(\qquad\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC4. Main material of the roof. \\
Record observation.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC5. Main material of the exterior walls. \\
Record observation.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\) 96
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline HC6. WHAT TYPE OF FUEL DOES YOUR HOUSEHOLD MAINLY USE FOR COOKING? & \begin{tabular}{l}

 \\
No food cooked in household
\(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 01 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \\
& 02 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} \\
& 03 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} \\
& 04 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \\
& 05 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
95 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 8
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC7. IS THE COOKING USUALLY DONE IN THE house, in A SEPARATE BUILDING, OR OUTDOORS? \\
If 'In the house', probe: IS IT DONE IN A SEPARATE ROOM USED AS A KITCHEN?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
In the house \\
In a separate room used as kitchen ........ 1 \\
Elsewhere in the house............................ 2 \\
In a separate building.................................... 3 \\
Outdoors \(\qquad\) \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\) 6
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC8. DOES YOUR HOUSEHOLD HAVE: \\
[A] ELECTRICITY? \\
[B] A RADIO? \\
[C] A television? \\
[D] A NON-MOBILE TELEPHONE? \\
[E] A refrigerator?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{lll} 
& Yes & No \\
Electricity......................................... 1 & 2 \\
Radio................................................. 1 & 2 \\
Television.......................................... 1 & 2 \\
Non-mobile telephone....................... 1 & 2 \\
Refrigerator...................................... 1 & 2
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC9. DOES ANY MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD OWN: \\
[A] A watch? \\
[B] A mobile telephone? \\
[C] A bicycle? \\
[D] A MOTORCYCLE OR SCOOTER? \\
[E] AN ANIMAL-DRAWN CART? \\
[F] A CAR OR TRUCK? \\
[G] A BOAT WITH A MOTOR?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{lrr} 
& \begin{tabular}{r} 
Yes \\
No
\end{tabular} \\
Watch ........................................... 1 & 2 \\
Mobile telephone........................... 1 & 2 \\
Bicycle............................................ 1 & 2 \\
Motorcycle / Scooter ....................... 1 & 2 \\
Animal drawn-cart............................ 1 & 2 \\
Car / Truck ...................................... 1 & 2 \\
Boat with motor ................................ 1 & 2
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC10. Do You or someone Living in this HOUSEHOLD OWN THIS DWELLING? \\
If "No", then ask: Do YOU RENT THIS DWELLING FROM SOMEONE NOT LIVING IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? \\
If "Rented from someone else", circle " 2 ". For other responses, circle " 6 ".
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline HC11. Does Any member of this household OWN ANY LAND THAT CAN BE USED FOR AGRICULTURE? & Yes .........................................................................................................................
No & \(2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 13\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC12. How MANY HECTARES OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DO MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSEHOLD own? \\
If less than 1, record "00". If 95 or more, record '95'. If unknown, record '98'.
\end{tabular} & Hectares......................................___ & \\
\hline HC13. Does this household own any LIVESTOCK, HERDS, OTHER FARM ANIMALS, OR POULTRY? & Yes ......................................................................................................................
No & \(2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 15\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HC14. How many of the following animals DOES THIS HOUSEHOLD HAVE? \\
[A] CATtLE, MILK COWS, OR BULLS? \\
[B] Horses, donkeys, or mules? \\
[C] Goats? \\
[D] SHEEP? \\
[E] Chickens? \\
[F] Pigs? \\
[G] Crocodiles? \\
[H] Deer? \\
[I] KASUARI (BIRDS)? \\
If none, record '00'. \\
If 95 or more, record ' 95 '. \\
If unknown, record '98'.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Cattle, milk cows, or bulls Horses, donkeys, or mules \\
Goats \\
Sheep \\
Chickens \\
Pigs \\
Crocodiles \\
Deer \\
Kasuari (birds)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline HC15. Does any member of this household HAVE A BANK ACCOUNT? & Yes.........................................................................................................................
No \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
INSECTICIDE TREATED NETS



\section*{Table 1: Children Aged 2-14 Years Eligible for Child Discipline Questions}
- List each of the children aged 2-14 years below in the order they appear in the Household Listing Form. Do not include other household members outside of the age range 2-14 years.
- Record the line number, name, sex, and age for each child.
- Then record the total number of children aged 2-14 in the box provided (CD6).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
CD2. \\
Line number from HL1
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
CD3. \\
Name from HL2
\end{tabular} & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
CD5. \\
Age from HL6
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Rank & Line & Name & M & F & Age \\
\hline 1 & - & & 1 & 2 & - - \\
\hline 2 & - - & & 1 & 2 & - \\
\hline 3 & - & & 1 & 2 & - - \\
\hline 4 & - - & & 1 & 2 & - - \\
\hline 5 & - & & 1 & 2 & \\
\hline 6 & - & & 1 & 2 & - \\
\hline 7 & - & & 1 & 2 & - - \\
\hline 8 & - & & 1 & 2 & - - \\
\hline CD6. & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Total children age 2-14 years} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- If there is only one child age 2-14 years in the household, then skip table 2 and go to CD8; write down'1 'and continue with CD9

Table 2: Selection of Random Child for Child Discipline Questions
- Use Table 2 to select one child between the ages of 2 and 2-14 years, if there is more than one child in that age range in the household.
- Check the last digit of the household number (HH2) from the cover page. This is the number of the row you should go to in the table below.
- Check the total number of eligible children (2-14) in CD6 above. This is the number of the column you should go to.

Find the box where the row and the column meet and circle the number that appears in the box. This is the rank number of the child (CD1) about whom the questions will be asked.
\begin{tabular}{||c||c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c||}
\hline \hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ CD7. } & \multicolumn{6}{c|}{ Total Number of Eligible Children in the Household (CD6) } \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Last digit of household \\
number (HH2)
\end{tabular} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & \(8+\) \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 5 & 4 \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 6 & 5 \\
\hline 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 2 & 7 & 6 \\
\hline 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 7 \\
\hline 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline 6 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\
\hline 7 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\
\hline 8 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\
\hline 9 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CD8. Record the rank number of the selected child.
...
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline CD9. Write the name and line number of the child selected for the module from CD3 and CD2, based on the rank number in CD8. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Name \\
Line number
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CD10. Adults use certain ways to teach CHILDREN THE RIGHT BEHAVIOUR OR TO address a behaviour problem. I will READ VARIous methods that are used AND I WANT YOU TO TELL ME IF YOU OR ANYONE ELSE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD HAS USED THIS METHOD WITH (name) IN THE PAST MONTH. \\
CD11. Took away privileges, forbade SOMETHING (name) LIKED OR DID NOT ALLOW HIM/HER TO LEAVE HOUSE.
\end{tabular} & Yes .............................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD12. EXPLAINED WHY (name)'s BEHAVIOR WAS WRONG. & Yes ......................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD13. SHOOK HIM/HER. & Yes .....................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD14. Shouted, yelled at or screamed AT HIM/HER. & Yes .....................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD15. GAVE HIM/HER SOMETHING ELSE TO DO. & Yes .................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD16. SPANKED, HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON the bottom with bare hand. & Yes .....................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD17. HIT HIM/HER ON THE BOTTOM OR ELSEWHERE ON THE BODY WITH SOMETHING LIKE A BELT, HAIRBRUSH, STICK OR OTHER HARD OBJECT. & Yes ..........................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD18. CALLED HIM/HER DUMB, LAZY, OR another name like that. & Yes ..........................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD19. HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE FACE, HEAD OR EARS. & Yes .....................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD20. HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE HAND, ARM, OR LEG. & Yes ...................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD21. BEAT HIM/HER UP, THAT IS HIT HIM/HER OVER AND OVER AS HARD AS ONE COULD. & Yes ...................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline CD22. Do you believe that in order to BRING UP, RAISE, OR EDUCATE A CHILD PROPERLY, THE CHILD NEEDS TO BE PHYSICALLY PUNISHED? & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\qquad\) \\
Don't know / No opinion \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\) : - HH20. Thank the respondent for his/her cooperation and check the Household Listing Form:
\(\square\) A separate Questionnaire for Individual Women has been issued for each woman age 15-49 years in the household list (HL7)
\(\square\) A separate Questionnaire for Individual Men has been issued for each man age 15-49 years in the household list (HL7A)
\(\square\) A separate Questionnaire for Children Under Five has been issued for each child under age 5 years in the household list (HL9)

Return to the cover page and make sure that all information is entered, including the number of eligible women (HH12), men (HH12A) and under-5s (HH14)

Make arrangements for the administration of the remaining questionnaire(s) in this household.

INDONESIA 2011

\section*{INDONESIA MULTIPLE INDICATOR CLUSTER SURVEY PAPUA AND WEST PAPUA PROVINCE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN}

Confidential

WOMAN'S INFORMATION PANEL
\begin{tabular}{l} 
This questionnaire is to be administered to all women age 15 through 49 (see Household Listing Form, column HL7). \\
A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible woman. \\
\hline WM1. Cluster number: \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|}
\hline WM3. Woman's name: & WM2. Household number: \\
Name__ WM4. Woman's line number: \\
\hline WM5. Interviewer name and number: & WM6. Day / Month / Year of interview: \\
Name__
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

Repeat greeting and introduce yourself if you never met with this respondent (woman), and read the following:

We are from Local Government/BPS would like TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT HEALTH AND EDUCATION. The interview will take about 35 minutes. All THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR PROJECT TEAM.

If greeting at the beginning of the household questionnaire has already been read to this woman, then read the following:

Now I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU MORE ABOUT YOUR HEALTH AND OTHER TOPICS. THIS INTERVIEW WILL take about 35 minutes. Again, all the INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR PROJECT TEAM.

MAY I START NOW?
\(\square\) Yes, permission is given \(\Rightarrow\) Go to WM10 to record the time and then begin the interview.
\(\square\) No, permission is not given \(\Rightarrow\) Complete WM7. Discuss this result with your supervisor.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline WM7. Result of woman's interview &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l||}
\hline WM8. Field edited by (Name and number): & WM9. Data entry clerk (Name and number): \\
Name___ & Name \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l}
- \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline WM10. Record the time. & Hour and minutes.....................__ \(: \ldots-\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline WOMAN'S BACKGROUND & & WB \\
\hline WB1. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WERE YOU BORN? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Date of birth \\
Month \(\qquad\) \\
DK month \(\qquad\) \\
Year \(\qquad\) \(\ldots-\ldots . . . . . . . . . .9998\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
WB2. How old Are you? \\
Probe: HOW OLD WERE YOU AT YOUR LAST BIRTHDAY? \\
Compare and correct WB1 and/or WB2 if inconsistent
\end{tabular} & Age (in completed years) ....................- - & \\
\hline WB3. HAVE YOU EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL? & Yes .............................................................................................................
No...... & \(2 \Rightarrow\) WB7 \\
\hline WB4. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOL You ATTENDED? &  & \(0 \Rightarrow\) WB7 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
WB5. What is the highest grade you COMPLETED AT THAT LEVEL? \\
If less than 1 grade, enter " 00 "
\end{tabular} & Grade .................................................... - & \\
\hline WB6. Check WB4:
Senior High or University \(\Rightarrow\) Go to \(C\)
Primary or Junior Secondary \(\Rightarrow\) Conti & \begin{tabular}{l}
dule \\
with WB7
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
WB7. NOW I WOULD LIKE YOU TO READ THIS sentence to me. \\
Show sentence on the card to the respondent. If respondent cannot read whole sentence, probe: \\
Can you read part of the sentence to ME?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Cannot read at all \(\qquad\) 1 \\
Able to read only parts of sentence............. 2 \\
Able to read whole sentence. \(\qquad\) \\
No sentence in required language \(\qquad\) 4
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline This module is to be administered to all women ag All questions refer only to LIVE births. & & \\
\hline CM1. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK ABOUT ALL THE BIRTHS YOU HAVE HAD DURING YOUR LIFE. HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH? & Yes ............................................................................................................................
No...... & \(2 \Rightarrow C M 8\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CM2. WHAT WAS THE DATE OF YOUR FIRST BIRTH? \\
I MEAN THE VERY FIRST TIME YOU GAVE BIRTH, EVEN IF THE CHILD IS NO LONGER LIVING, OR WHOSE FATHER IS NOT YOUR CURRENT PARTNER. \\
SKIP TO CM4 ONLY IF YEAR OF FIRST BIRTH IS given. Otherwise, continue with CM3.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Date of first birth \\
Day. \\
DK day \(\qquad\) \\
Month \(\qquad\) \\
DK month \(\qquad\) \\
Year \(\qquad\) .-......... 9998
\end{tabular} & \(\Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 4\) \\
\hline CM3. HOW MANY YEARS AGO DID YOU HAVE YOUR FIRST BIRTH? & Completed years since first birth ..........-- & \\
\hline CM4. DO YOU HAVE ANY SONS OR DAUGHTERS TO WHOM YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH WHO ARE NOW LIVING WITH YOU? & Yes ........................................................................................................................
No...... & 2 \(\Rightarrow\) CM6 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CM5. HOW MANY SONS LIVE WITH YOU? \\
HOW MANY DAUGHTERS LIVE WITH YOU?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sons at home \\
Daughters at home
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline CM6. DO YOU HAVE ANY SONS OR DAUGHTERS TO WHOM YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH WHO ARE ALIVE BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU? & Yes ......................................................................................................................
No...... & \(2 \Rightarrow C M 8\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CM7. HOW MANY SONS ARE ALIVE BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU? \\
How many daughters are alive but do NOT LIVE WITH YOU? \\
if none, record ' 00 '
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sons elsewhere \(\qquad\) \\
Daughters elsewhere \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CM8. HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH TO A BOY OR GIRL WHO WAS BORN ALIVE BUT LATER DIED? \\
If "No" probe by asking: \\
I MEAN, TO A CHILD WHO EVER BREATHED OR CRIED OR SHOWED OTHER SIGNS OF LIFE EVEN IF HE OR SHE LIVED ONLY A FEW MINUTES OR HOURS?
\end{tabular} & Yes ............................................................................................................................
No...... & \(2 \Rightarrow C M 10\) \\
\hline CM9. How many boys have died? How many girls have died? if none, record ' 00 ' & \begin{tabular}{l}
Boys dead \(\qquad\) \\
Girls dead \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline CM10. Sum answers to CM5, CM7, and CM9. & Sum ...............................................- & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CM11. JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE THIS RIGHT, YOU HAVE HAD IN TOTAL (total number) BIRTHS DURING YOUR LIFE. IS THIS CORRECT?
\(\square\) Yes. Check below:
\(\square\) No live births \(\Rightarrow\) Go to Contraception module
\(\square\) One or more live births \(\Rightarrow\) continue with CM12
\(\square\) No. \(\Rightarrow\) Check responses to CM1-CM10 and make corrections as necessary before proceeding to CM12
CM12. OF THESE (total number in CM10) BIRTHS You have had, when did you deliver the LAST ONE (EVEN IF HE OR SHE HAS DIED)?

Month and year must be recorded
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Date of last birth} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Day.} \\
\hline DK day.... & . 98 \\
\hline Month .. & \\
\hline Year. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

CM13. Check CM12: Last birth occurred within the last 2 years, that is, since (day and month of interview) in 2009
\(\square\) No live birth in last 2 years. \(\Rightarrow\) Go to Attitudes toward domestic violence module.
\(\square\) One or more live births in last 2 years \(\Rightarrow\) Ask for the name of the child

> Name of child_

If child has died, take special care when referring to this child by name in the following modules.
Continue with the next module (DB).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline DB1. WHEN YOU GOT PREGNANT WITH (name), DID YOU WANT TO GET PREGNANT AT THAT TIME? & Yes ........................................................... 1
No................................................................. 2 & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\
\text { Module }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline DB2. Did you want to have a baby Later on, OR DID YOU NOT WANT ANY (MORE) CHILDREN? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Later \(\qquad\) \\
No more. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(2 \Rightarrow\) Next \\
Module
\end{tabular} \\
\hline DB3. HOW MUCH LONGER DID YOU WANT TO WAIT? & Months............................................. 1 — —
Years .................................................. 2 _ —
DK .............................................................. 998 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding date of interview. Check child mortality module CM13 and record name of last-born child here \(\qquad\) . Use this child's name in the following questions, where indicated.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline MN1. DID You SEE ANYONE FOR ANTENATAL CARE DURING YOUR PREGNANCY WITH (name)? & Yes ................................................................................................................... 1
No...... & \(2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MN} 5\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN2. WHOM DID YOU SEE? \\
Probe: \\
Anyone else? \\
Probe for the type of person seen and circle all answers given.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Health professional: \\
Doctor. \(\qquad\) A \\
Midwife \(\qquad\) B \\
Nurse \(\qquad\) D \\
Other person \\
Traditional birth attendant. \(\qquad\) F \\
Community health worker (cadre) \(\qquad\) \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\) X
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MN3. HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU RECEIVE ANTENATAL CARE DURING THIS PREGNANCY? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of times \\
Don't know (DK)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN4. As PART OF YOUR ANTENATAL CARE DURING THIS PREGNANCY, WERE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING DONE AT LEAST ONCE: \\
[A] WAS YOUR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASURED? \\
[B] DID YOU GIVE A URINE SAMPLE? \\
[C] DID YOU GIVE A BLOOD SAMPLE?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{lrr} 
& Yes & No \\
Blood pressure ................................ 1 & 2 \\
Urine sample ..................................... 1 & 2 \\
Blood sample .................................... 1 & 2
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN5. DO YOU HAVE A CARD OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH YOUR OWN IMMUNIZATIONS LISTED? \\
MAY I SEE IT PLEASE? \\
If a card is presented, use it to assist with answers to the following questions.
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline MN6. WHEN YOU WERE PREGNANT WITH (name), DID YOU RECEIVE ANY INJECTION IN THE ARM OR SHOULDER TO PREVENT THE BABY FROM GETTING TETANUS, THAT IS CONVULSIONS AFTER BIRTH? & Yes ............................................................. 1
No.................................................................. 2
DK ................................................................... 8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \text { MN9 } \\
& 8 \Rightarrow \text { MN9 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN7. HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU RECEIVE THIS TETANUS INJECTION DURING YOUR PREGNANCY WITH (name)? \\
If 7 or more times, record '7'.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of times \\
DK
\end{tabular} & \(8 \Rightarrow\) MN9 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{MN8. How many tetanus injections during last pregnancy were reported in MN7?
At least two tetanus injections during last pregnancy. \(\Rightarrow\) Go to MN12
Only one tetanus injection during last pregnancy. \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with MN9} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline MN9. DID You RECEIVE ANY TETANUS INJECTION AT ANY TIME BEFORE YOUR PREGNANCY WITH (name), EITHER TO PROTECT YOURSELF OR ANOTHER BABY? & Yes ............................................................ 1
No................................................................... 2
DK .................................................................. 8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Leftrightarrow M^{2} 12 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow M_{12}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN10. How MANY TIMES DID YOU RECEIVE A tetanus injection before your PREGNANCY WITH (name)? \\
If 7 or more times, record ' 7 '.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of times \(\qquad\) \\
DK \(\qquad\) .8
\end{tabular} & \(8 \Rightarrow\) MN12 \\
\hline MN11. How MANY YEARS AGO DID YOU RECEIVE the LASt tetanus injection before your PREGNANCY WITH (name)? & Years ago............................................ & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{MN12. Check MN1 for presence of antenatal care during this pregnancy:
Yes, antenatal care received. \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with MN13A
No antenatal care received \(\Rightarrow\) Go to MN17} \\
\hline MN13A. DURING ANY OF THESE ANTENATAL VISITS FOR THE PREGNANCY, DID YOU GET THE bLOOD SCREENING TEST FOR MALARIA? & Yes .............................................................. 1
No.............................................. 2
DK ................................................................. 8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow M N 13 E \\
& 8 \Rightarrow M N 13 E
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline MN13B. WHAT WAS THE RESULT OF THE BLOOD SCREENING TEST? & Positive (malaria present) ................................. 1
Negative (no malaria)..................... 2
DK ................................................................ 8 & \(2 \leftrightharpoons\) MN13E \\
\hline MN13C. WERE YOU GIVEN ANY MEDICINE FOR MALARIA DURING ANY OF THESE ANTENATAL VISITS FOR THE PREGNANCY? & Yes ...........................................................................................................................................................................................
No & \begin{tabular}{l}
2 \(\Rightarrow\) MN13E \\
\(8 \Rightarrow\) MN13E
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN13D. WHAT MEDICINE WERE YOU GIVEN? \\
Probe: \\
ANY OTHER MEDICINE? \\
Circle all medicines mentioned. Write brand name(s) of all medicines, if given. \\
(Name)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Anti-malarials: \\
SP / Fansidar. \(\qquad\) \\
Chloroquine \(\qquad\) B \\
Quinine / Kina \(\qquad\) C \\
Artesdiaquine \(\qquad\) D \\
Arsuamon. \(\qquad\) \\
Arterakin/Artekin \(\qquad\) E \\
Other anti-malarial \\
(specify) \(\qquad\) G \\
Antibiotic drugs \\
Pill / Syrup . \(\qquad\) \\
Injection. \(\qquad\) \\
Other medications: \\
Paracetamol/ Panadol /Acetaminophen.P Aspirin \(\qquad\) Q \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\) \\
DK X Z
\(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MN13E. DURING ANY OF THESE ANTENATAL VISITS FOR THE PREGNANCY, WERE YOU GIVEN A INSECTICIDE TREATED NET? & Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................ 8
No & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN17. WHO ASSISTED WITH THE DELIVERY OF (name)? \\
Probe: \\
Anyone else? \\
Probe for the type of person assisting and circle all answers given. \\
If respondent says no one assisted, probe to determine whether any adults were present at the delivery.
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN18. WHERE DID YOU GIVE BIRTH TO (name)? \\
Probe to identify the type of source. \\
If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. \\
(Name of place)
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline MN21. WAS (name) WEIGHED AT BIRTH? & Yes ...................................................................................................................................................................................
No.......
DK & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \text { MN24 } \\
& 8 \Rightarrow \text { MN24 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MN22. How MUCH DID (name) WEIGH? \\
Record weight from health card, if available.
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline MN24. DID You EVER BREASTFEED (name)? & Yes ...................................................................................................................
No...... & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow C P \\
& \quad \text { Module }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline MN25. HOW LONG AFTER BIRTH DID YOU FIRST PUT (name) TO THE BREAST? If less than 1 hour, record ' 00 ' hours. If less than 24 hours, record hours. Otherwise, record days. &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline CONTRACEPTION & & CP \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CP1. I wOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT ANOTHER SUBJECT - FAMILY PLANNING. \\
ARE YOU PREGNANT NOW?
\end{tabular} &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \Rightarrow \text { UN } \\
& \quad \text { Module }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CP1A. COUPLES USE VARIOUS WAYS OR METHODS TO DELAY OR AVOID A PREGNANCY. \\
DID YOU EVER DO SOMETHING OR USED ANY METHOD TO DELAY OR AVOID GETTING PREGNANT?
\end{tabular} & Yes............................................................. 1
No ................................................................ 2 & \\
\hline CP2. ARE YOU CURRENTLY DOING SOMETHING OR USING ANY METHOD TO DELAY OR AVOID GETTING PREGNANT? & Yes......................................................................................................................
No & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \text { UN } \\
& \text { Module }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CP3. What are you doing to delay or avoid a PREGNANCY? \\
Do not prompt. \\
If more than one method is mentioned, circle each one.
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

UN1. Check CP1. Currently pregnant?Yes, currently pregnant \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with UN2No, unsure or \(D K \Rightarrow\) Go to UN5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline UN2. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT YOUR CURRENT PREGNANCY. WHEN YOU GOT PREGNANT, DID YOU WANT TO GET PREGNANT AT THAT TIME? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \(\qquad\) \\
No \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \(1 \Rightarrow\) UN4 \\
\hline UN3. DID YOU WANT TO HAVE A BABY LATER ON OR DID YOU NOT WANT ANY (MORE) CHILDREN? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Later \(\qquad\) \\
No more \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline UN4. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK SOME questions about the future. After the CHILD YOU ARE NOW EXPECTING, WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE ANOTHER CHILD, OR WOULD YOU PREFER NOT TO HAVE ANY MORE CHILDREN? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Have another child \(\qquad\) .1 \\
No more / None \(\qquad\) \\
Undecided / Don't know. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 1 \Rightarrow \text { UN7 } \\
& 2 \Rightarrow \text { UN } 13 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow \text { UN } 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{UN5. Check CP3. Currently using "Female sterilization"?
Yes \(\Rightarrow\) Go to UN13
No \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with UN6} \\
\hline UN6. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FUTURE. WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE (A/ANOTHER) CHILD, OR WOULD YOU PREFER NOT TO HAVE ANY (MORE) CHILDREN? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Have (a/another) child \(\qquad\) \\
No more / None \(\qquad\) \\
Says she cannot get pregnant \(\qquad\) \\
Undecided / Don't know.. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \text { UN9 } \\
& 3 \Rightarrow \text { UN11 } \\
& 8 \Rightarrow \text { UN9 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline UN7. How Long would you like to wait beFore the birth of (A/ANOTHER) CHILD? &  & 994 \(\Rightarrow\) UN11 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{UN8. Check CP1. Currently pregnant?
Yes, currently pregnant \(\Rightarrow\) Go to UN13
No, unsure or \(D K \Rightarrow\) Continue with UN9} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline UN9. Check CP2. Currently using a method?
Yes \(\Rightarrow\) Go to UN13
No \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with UN10 & \\
\hline UN10. Do You think you are physically able TO GET PREGNANT AT THIS TIME? & YES ................................................ 1 1 \(\Rightarrow\) UN13
No ............................................................ 2
DK ............................................................. \(8 \Rightarrow\) UN13 \\
\hline UN11. WHY DO YOU THINK YOU ARE NOT PHYSICALLY ABLE TO GET PREGNANT? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Infrequent sex / No sex...............................A \\
Menopausal ................................................B \\
Never menstruated \(\qquad\) \\
Hysterectomy (surgical removal \\
of uterus) \(\qquad\) \\
Has been trying to get pregnant for 2 years or more without result \(\qquad\) \\
Postpartum amenorrheic \(\qquad\) \\
Breastfeeding. \(\qquad\) G \\
Too old \(\qquad\) \\
Fatalistic. \(\qquad\) \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\) X \\
Don't know \(\qquad\) Z
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{UN12. Check UN11. "Never menstruated" mentioned?
Mentioned \(\Rightarrow\) Go to Next Module
Not mentioned \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with UN13} \\
\hline UN13. WHEN DID YOUR LAST MENSTRUAL PERIOD START? &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ATTITUDES TOWARD DOMESTIC VIOLENCE & & DV \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
DV1. SOMETIMES A HUSBAND IS ANNOYED OR angered by things that his wife does. In your opinion, is a husband justified in hitting or beating his wife in the FOLLOWING SITUATIONS: \\
[A] If SHE GOES OUT WITHOUT TELLING HIM? \\
[B] IF SHE NEGLECTS THE CHILDREN? \\
[C] If SHE ARGUES WITH HIM? \\
[D] If SHE REFUSES TO HAVE SEX WITH HIM? \\
[E] If SHE BURNS THE FOOD? \\
[F] IF SHE ARGUES WITH THE PARENTS-INLAW?
\end{tabular} &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Marriage/UNION & & MA \\
\hline MA1. ARE YOU CURRENTLY MARRIED OR LIVING TOGETHER WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? & Yes, currently married........................................ 1
Yes, living with a man 2
No, not in union................................................ 3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \text { MA2 } \\
& 3 \Rightarrow \text { MA5 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MA1A. ARE YOU MARRIED THROUGH: \\
[A] Civil registration? \\
[B] Religious ceremony? \\
[C] Traditional ceremony? \\
[D] Community acceptance?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
& Yes & No & DK \\
Civil registration ........................ 1 & 2 & 8 \\
Religious ceremony ................. 1 & 2 & 8 \\
Traditional ceremony ................ 1 & 2 & 8 \\
Community acceptance ............ 1 & 2 & 8
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MA2. HOW OLD IS YOUR HUSBAND/PARTNER? \\
Probe: How old was your HUSBAND/PARTNER ON HIS LAST BIRTHDAY?
\end{tabular} & Age in years........................................-_
DK............................................................. 98 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \text { MA7 } \\
& 98 \leftrightharpoons \text { MA7 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline MA5. HAVE YOU EVER bEEN MARRIED OR LIVED TOGETHER WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? & Yes, formerly married................................... 1
Yes, formerly lived with a man................................................................................... & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(3 \Rightarrow\) Next \\
Module
\end{tabular} \\
\hline MA6. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS NOW: ARE YOU WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED? & Widowed .................................................................................................................................................................................... & \\
\hline MA7. HAVE You been married or lived with a MAN ONLY ONCE OR MORE THAN ONCE? & Only once...........................................................................................
More than once...... & \\
\hline MA8. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU FIRST MARRY OR START LIVING WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? &  & \(\Rightarrow \mathrm{Next}\) Module \\
\hline MA9. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU STARTED LIVING WITH YOUR FIRST HUSBAND/PARTNER? & Age in years ..................................... - - & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SB1. Now I WOULD LIIE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT SEXUAL ACTIVITY IN ORDER TO GAIN A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF SOME IMPORTANT LIFE ISSUES. \\
The information you supply will remain STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL. \\
How old were you when you had sexual INTERCOURSE FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Never had intercourse \(\qquad\) .00 \\
Age in years \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) \\
First time when started living with (first) husband/partner. \(\qquad\) 95
\end{tabular} & \(00 \Rightarrow\) Next Module \\
\hline SB2. THE FIRST TIME YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE, WAS A CONDOM USED? & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\qquad\) \\
DK / Don't remember \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SB3. WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE? \\
Record 'years ago' only if last intercourse was one or more years ago. If 12 months or more the answer must be recorded in years.
\end{tabular} & Days ago ........................................ 1 _ —
Weeks ago........................................ 2 _ —
Months ago..................................... 3 _ —
Years ago ......................................... 4 _ _ & \(4 \Rightarrow\) SB15 \\
\hline SB4. The LASt time you had sexual INTERCOURSE, WAS A CONDOM USED? & Yes ..................................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SB5. WHAT WAS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THIS PERSON WITH WHOM YOU LAST HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE? \\
Probe to ensure that the response refers to the relationship at the time of sexual intercourse \\
If 'boyfriend', then ask: \\
WERE YOU LIVING TOGETHER AS IF MARRIED? \\
If 'yes', circle ' 2 '. If 'no', circle '3'.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \Leftrightarrow S B 7 \\
& 4 \Leftrightarrow S B 7 \\
& \\
& 6 \Leftrightarrow S B 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

> SB6. Check MA1:
> \(\square\) Currently married or living with a man \((M A 1=1\) or 2\() \Rightarrow\) Go to SB8
> \(\square\) Not married / Not in union \((M A 1=3) \Rightarrow\) Continue with \(S B 7\)
\begin{tabular}{||l|l|l||}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
SB7. HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON? \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
If response is DK, probe: \\
ABOUT HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON?
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular} & Age of sexual partner ...........................- \\
DK.............................................................................................................................................................................................................. 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SB10. WHAT WAS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THIS PERSON? \\
Probe to ensure that the response refers to the relationship at the time of sexual intercourse \\
If 'boyfriend' then ask: \\
WERE YOU LIVING TOGETHER AS IF MARRIED? \\
If 'yes', circle '2'. If 'no', circle' 3 '.
\end{tabular} &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \Rightarrow S B 12 \\
& 4 \Rightarrow S B 12 \\
& 6 \Rightarrow S B 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SB11. Check MA1 and MA7:
Currently married or living with a man \\
AND \\
Married only once or lived with a man only
Else \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with SB12
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(A 1=1\) or 2 ) \\
once \((M A 7=1) \Rightarrow\) Go to SB13
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SB12. HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON? \\
If response is \(D K\), probe: \\
AbOUT HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Age of sexual partner \(\qquad\) \\
DK. \(\qquad\) 98
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline SB13. OTHER THAN THESE TWO PERSONS, HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE WITH ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? & Yes .....................................................................................................................
No & \(2 \Rightarrow\) SB15 \\
\hline SB14. IN TOTAL, WITH HOW MANY DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? & Number of partners........................... - - & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
SB15. IN TOTAL, WITH HOW MANY DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE IN YOUR LIFETIME? \\
If a non-numeric answer is given, probe to get an estimate. \\
If number of partners is 95 or more, write ' 95 '.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of lifetime partners \(\qquad\) \\
DK \(\qquad\) 98
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HA1. Now I would like to talk with you ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. \\
Have you ever heard of an illness called AIDS?
\end{tabular} & Yes ............................................................. 1
No................................................................... 2 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
2 \Rightarrow \text { Next }
\] \\
Module
\end{tabular} \\
\hline HA2. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF getting the AIDS VIrus by having Just ONE UNINFECTED SEX PARTNER WHO HAS NO OTHER SEX PARTNERS? &  & \\
\hline HA3. Can people get the AIDS virus because OF WITCHCRAFT OR OTHER SUPERNATURAL MEANS? &  & \\
\hline HA4. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF GETTING THE AIDS VIRUS BY USING A CONDOM EVERY TIME THEY HAVE SEX? &  & \\
\hline HA5. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS FROM MOSQUITO BITES? & Yes ....................................................................................................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline HA6. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS by SHARING FOOD WITH A PERSON WHO HAS THE AIDS VIRUS? & Yes ....................................................................................................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline HA7. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A HEALTHY-LOOKING PERSON TO HAVE THE AIDS VIRUS? &  & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
HA8. CAN the virus that causes AIDS be TRANSMITTED FROM A MOTHER TO HER BABY: \\
[A] DURIng PREGNANCY? \\
[B] During delivery? \\
[C] By breastfeeding?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{lccc} 
& Yes & No & DK \\
During pregnancy........................ 1 & 2 & 8 \\
During delivery ...................... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
By breastfeeding ................. 1 & 2 & 8
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline HA9. IN YOUR OPINION, IF A FEMALE TEACHER HAS the AIDS VIRUS BUT IS NOT SICK, SHOULD SHE BE ALLOWED TO CONTINUE TEACHING IN SCHOOL? & Yes ................................................................................................................................................................. & \\
\hline HA10. WOULD You buy FRESH VEGETABLES FROM A SHOPKEEPER OR VENDOR IF YOU KNEW THAT THIS PERSON HAD THE AIDS VIRUS? & Yes ................................................................................................................................................................. & \\
\hline HA11. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY GOT infected with the Aids virus, would you WANT IT TO REMAIN A SECRET? & Yes .................................................................................................................... 2
No.......
DK / Not sure / Depends .............................. 8 & \\
\hline HA12. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK WITH AIDS, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO CARE FOR HER OR HIM IN YOUR OWN HOUSEHOLD? & Yes ................................................................................................................... 2
No........................... 8 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l||}\hline \text { HA13. Check CM13: Any live birth in last } 2 \text { years? } \\ \square \text { No live birth in last } 2 \text { years } \Rightarrow \text { Go to HA24 }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\square \text { One or more live births in last } 2 \text { years } \Rightarrow \text { Continue with HA14 }\end{array}\right]\)

ALCOHOL USE
TA
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline TA14. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT DRINKING ALCOHOL. HAVE YOU EVER DRUNK ALCOHOL? & Yes ........................................................................................................................
No & 2 \(\Rightarrow\) WM11 \\
\hline TA15. WHICH IS CONSIDERED ONE DRINK OF ALCOHOL IS ONE CAN OR BOTTLE OF BEER, ONE GLASS OF WINE, OR ONE SHOT OF COGNAC, VODKA, WHISKEY, RUM, SAGUER, PERMIPAN, BOBO, CAP TIKUS, SOFI, OR SBY. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU HAD YOUR FIRST DRINK OF ALCOHOL, OTHER THAN A FEW SIPS? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Never had one drink of alcohol \(\qquad\) \\
Age \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & 00 \(\Rightarrow\) WM11 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
TA16. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU HAVE AT LEAST ONE DRINK OF ALCOHOL? \\
If respondent did not drink, circle " 00 ". \\
If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". \\
If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30"
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Did not have one drink in last one month . 00 \\
Number of days \(\qquad\) 0 \(\qquad\) \\
10 days or more but less than a month .... 10 \\
Everyday / Almost every day \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & 00 \(\Rightarrow\) WM11 \\
\hline TA17. In THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON THE DAYS THAT YOU DRANK ALCOHOL, HOW MANY CAN/BOTTLE/GLASS/SHOT OF DRINKS DID YOU USUALLY HAVE? & Number of can/bottle/glass/shot .....___ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

WM11. Record the time.
Hour and minutes \(\qquad\) :__

\section*{WM12. Check Household Listing Form, column HL9.}

Is the respondent the mother or caretaker of any child age 0-4 living in this household?
\(\square\) Yes \(\Rightarrow\) Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE for that child and start the interview with this respondent.No \(\Rightarrow\) End the interview with this respondent by thanking her for her cooperation. Check for the presence of any other eligible woman, man or child under-5 in the household.

INDONESIA 2011
INDONESIA MULTIPLE INDICATOR CLUSTER SURVEY PAPUA AND WEST PAPUA PROVINCE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL MEN
Confidential
MAN'S INFORMATION PANEL
MWM
This questionnaire is to be administered to all men age 15 through 49 (see Household Listing Form, column HL7A). A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible man.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline MWM1. Cluster number: & MWM2. Household number: \\
\hline MWM3. Man's name: & MWM4. Man's line number: \\
\hline Name.. & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MWM5. Interviewer name and number: \\
Name
\end{tabular} & MWM6. Day / Month / Year of interview:
\(\qquad\) I___I \(\qquad\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Repeat greeting and introduce yourself if you never met with this respondent (man), and read the following.

We are from Local Government/BPS would like TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT HEALTH AND EDUCATION. The interview will take about 20 minutes. All THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR PROJECT TEAM.

If greeting at the beginning of the household questionnaire has already been read to this man, then read the following:

Now I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU MORE ABOUT YOUR HEALTH AND OTHER TOPICS. THIS INTERVIEW WILL take about 20 minutes. Again, all the INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR PROJECT TEAM.

MAY I START NOW?
\(\square\) Yes, permission is given \(\Rightarrow\) Go to MWM10 to record the time and then begin the interview.
\(\square\) No, permission is not given \(\Rightarrow\) Complete MWM7. Discuss this result with your supervisor
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline MWM7. Result of man's interview &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline MWM8. Field edited by (Name and number): & MWM9. Data entry clerk (Name and number): \\
Name & \(\ldots\) & Name \(\quad . \quad\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline MWM10. Record the time. & Hour and minutes .................. _ _ : _ & \\
\hline MAN'S BACKGROUND & & MWB \\
\hline MWB1. In WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WERE YOU BORN? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Date of birth \\
Month \\
DK month \(\qquad\) 98 \\
Year \\
DK year
\(\qquad\) \(\overline{99} \overline{98}\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MWB2. How old Are you? \\
Probe: HOW OLD WERE YOU AT YOUR LAST BIRTHDAY? \\
Compare and correct MWB1 and/or MWB2 if inconsistent
\end{tabular} & Age (in completed years)................... _ - & \\
\hline MWB3. HAVE YOU EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL? & Yes ....................................................................................................................
No & 2 \(\Rightarrow\) MWB7 \\
\hline MWB4. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOL YOU ATTENDED? &  & \(0 \Rightarrow\) MWB7 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MWB5. What is the highest grade you COMPLETED AT THAT LEVEL? \\
If less than 1 grade, enter " 0 "
\end{tabular} & Grade................................................-_ & \\
\hline MWB6. Check MWB4:
Senior High or University \(\Rightarrow\) Go to \(M D\)
Primary or Junior secondary \(\Rightarrow\) Contin & with MWB7 & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MWB7. NOW I WOULD LIKE YOU TO READ THIS SENTENCE TO ME. \\
Show sentence on the card to the respondent. If respondent cannot read whole sentence, probe: \\
CAN YOU READ PART OF THE SENTENCE TO ME?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Cannot read at all \(\qquad\) 1 \\
Able to read only parts of sentence ............ 2 \\
Able to read whole sentence . \(\qquad\) \\
No sentence in required language \(\qquad\) 4 (specify language) \\
Blind / mute, visually / speech impaired ..... 5
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MDV1. SOMETIMES A HUSBAND IS ANNOYED OR ANGERED BY THINGS THAT HIS WIFE DOES. IN YOUR OPINION, IS A HUSBAND JUSTIFIED IN HITTING OR BEATING HIS WIFE IN THE FOLLOWING SITUATIONS:
[A] If She goes out without telling him?
[B] IF SHE NEGLECTS THE CHILDREN?
[C] IF SHE ARGUES WITH HIM?
[D] IF SHE REFUSES TO HAVE SEX WITH HIM?
[E] IF SHE BURNS THE FOOD?
[F] IF SHE ARGUES WITH PARENTS-IN-LAW?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Yes & No & DK \\
\hline Goes out without telling ........... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline Neglects children.................... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline Argues with him...................... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline Refuses sex........................... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline Burns food ............................. 1 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline Argues with parents-in-law ...... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline MARRIAGE/UNION & & MMA \\
\hline MMA1. ARE YOU CURRENTLY MARRIED OR LIVING TOGETHER WITH A WOMAN AS IF MARRIED? & Yes, currently married...................................... 1
Yes, living with a woman...................................................................
No, not in union....... & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \text { MMA2 } \\
& 3 \Leftrightarrow \text { MMA5 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MMA1A. ARE YOU MARRIED THROUGH: \\
[A] Civil registration? \\
[B] Religious ceremony? \\
[C] Traditional ceremony? \\
[D] Community acceptance?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{lcrr} 
& Yes & No & DK \\
Civil registration ....................... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
Religious ceremony ................ 1 & 2 & 8 \\
Traditional ceremony ................ 1 & 2 & 8 \\
Community acceptance ............ 1 & 2 & 8
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MMA2. HOW OLD IS YOUR WIFE/PARTNER? \\
Probe: How old was your wife/partner ON HIS LAST BIRTHDAY?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Age in years \(\qquad\) \\
DK \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \(\Rightarrow M M A 7\)
\[
98 \Rightarrow \text { MMA7 }
\] \\
\hline MMA5. HAVE You ever been married or lived TOGETHER WITH A WOMAN AS IF MARRIED? & Yes, formerly married................................... 1
Yes, formerly lived with a man ............................................................................. & \(3 \Rightarrow\) Next Module \\
\hline MMA6. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS NOW: ARE YOU WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED? &  & \\
\hline MMA7. HAVE YOU bEEN MARRIED OR LIVED WITH A WOMAN ONLY ONCE OR MORE THAN ONCE? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Only once \(\qquad\) .. 1 \\
More than once \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MMA8. In WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU FIRST MARRY OR START LIVING WITH A WOMAN AS IF MARRIED? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Date of first marriage \\
Month \(\qquad\) \\
DK month \(\qquad\) \\
Year \(\qquad\) \\
.\(\overline{99} \overline{98}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\Rightarrow\) Next \\
Module
\end{tabular} \\
\hline MMA9. How old were you when you started LIVING WITH YOUR FIRST WIFE/PARTNER? & Age in years ...................................- - - & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MSB1. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT SEXUAL ACTIVITY IN ORDER to gain a better understanding of some IMPORTANT LIFE ISSUES. \\
THE INFORMATION YOU SUPPLY WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL. \\
How old were you when you had sexual INTERCOURSE FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Never had intercourse \(\qquad\) .00 \\
Age in years \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) \\
First time when started living with (first) wife/partner \(\qquad\) 95
\end{tabular} & \(00 \Rightarrow\) Next Module \\
\hline MSB2. THE FIRST TIME YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE, WAS A CONDOM USED? & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\qquad\) \\
DK / Don't remember \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MSB3. WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE? \\
Record 'years ago' only if last intercourse was one or more years ago. If 12 months or more the answer must be recorded in years.
\end{tabular} & Days ago ........................................ 1 - -
Weeks ago ........................................ \({ }^{2}\) - -
Months ago ......................................... 3 - - & 4弓MSB15 \\
\hline MSB4. THE LAST TIME YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE, WAS A CONDOM USED? & Yes......................................................................................................................................................... & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MSB5. WHAT WAS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THIS PERSON WITH WHOM YOU LAST HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE? \\
Probe to ensure that the response refers to the relationship at the time of sexual intercourse \\
If 'girlfriend', then ask: \\
Were you living together as if married? If 'yes', circle '2'. If 'no', circle '3'.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \Leftrightarrow M S B 7 \\
& 4 \Leftrightarrow M S B 7 \\
& 4 \Leftrightarrow M S B 7 \\
& \\
& 6 \Leftrightarrow M S B 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline MSB6. Check MMA1:
Currently married or living with a wom
Not married / Not in union (MMA1 \(=3\) ) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& n(M M A 1=1 \text { or } 2) \Rightarrow \text { Go to } M S B 8 \\
& \Rightarrow \text { Continue with } M S B 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MSB7. HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON? \\
If response is \(D K\), probe: \\
ABOUT HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Age of sexual partner \\
DK \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MSB8. HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE WITH ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? & Yes.....................................................................................................................
No & \(2 \leftrightharpoons\) MSB15 \\
\hline MSB9. THE LAST TIME YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE WITH THIS OTHER PERSON, WAS A CONDOM USED? & Yes........................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MSB10. WHAT WAS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THIS PERSON? \\
Probe to ensure that the response refers to the relationship at the time of sexual intercourse \\
If 'girlfriend' then ask: \\
WERE YOU LIVING TOGETHER AS IF MARRIED? If 'yes', circle '2'. If 'no', circle' 3 '.
\end{tabular} & Wife............................................................ 1
Cohabiting partner .............................. 2
Girlfriend ............................................................................................................................................................
Casual acquaintance
Prostitute.......
Other (specify) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \Rightarrow \text { MSB12 } \\
& 4 \Rightarrow \text { MSB12 } \\
& 4 \Rightarrow \text { MSB12 } \\
& 6 \Rightarrow \text { MSB12 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MSB11. Check MMA1:
Currently married or living with a wom AND \\
Married only once or lived with a woma
Else \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with MSB12
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\((M M A 1=1\) or 2\()\) \\
only once \((M M A 7=1) \Rightarrow\) Go to MSB13
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MSB12. HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON? \\
If response is \(D K\), probe: \\
AbOUT HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Age of sexual partner \\
DK. \\
98
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MSB13. OTHER THAN THESE TWO PERSONS, have you had sexual intercourse with ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? & Yes........................................................................................................................
No & \(2 \Rightarrow\) MSB15 \\
\hline MSB14. In TOTAL, WITH HOW MANY DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? & Number of partners ...........................-_ - & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MSB15. IN TOTAL, WITH HOW MANY DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE IN YOUR LIFETIME? \\
If a non-numeric answer is given, probe to get an estimate. \\
If number of partners is 95 or more, write '95'.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Number of lifetime partners \\
DK \\
98
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline HIV/AIDS & & MHA \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MHA1. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU AbOUT SOMETHING ELSE. \\
Have you ever heard of an illness called AIDS?
\end{tabular} & Yes............................................................ 1
No ................................................................ 2 & \(2 \Rightarrow\) Next Module \\
\hline MHA2. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF GETTING THE AIDS VIRUS BY HAVING JUST ONE UNINFECTED SEX PARTNER WHO HAS NO OTHER SEX PARTNERS? & Yes..................................................................................................................................................................... 8
No & \\
\hline MHA3. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS because of witchcraft or other SUPERNATURAL MEANS? & Yes........................................................................................................................................................................ 8
No & \\
\hline MHA4. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF GETTING THE AIDS VIRUS BY USING A CONDOM EVERY TIME THEY HAVE SEX? & Yes...................................................................................................................................................................... 8
No & \\
\hline MHA5. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS FROM MOSQUITO BITES? & Yes........................................................................................................................................................................ 8
No ..................................................... & \\
\hline MHA6. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS BY SHARING FOOD WITH A PERSON WHO HAS THE AIDS VIRUS? &  & \\
\hline MHA7. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A HEALTHY-LOOKING PERSON TO HAVE THE AIDS VIRUS? & Yes....................................................................................................................................................................... 8
No & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MHA8. CAN THE VIRUS THAT CAUSES AIDS BE TRANSMITTED FROM A MOTHER TO HER BABY: \\
[A] During pregnancy? \\
[B] During delivery? \\
[C] By breastreeding?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{lccc} 
& Yes & No & DK \\
During pregnancy .................... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
During delivery....................... 1 & 2 & 8 \\
By breastfeeding...................... & 2 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MHA9. IN YOUR OPINION, IF A FEMALE TEACHER has the AIDS VIRUS but IS NOT SICK, Should she be allowed to continue TEACHING IN SCHOOL? & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\qquad\) \\
DK / Not sure / Depends \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MHA10. WOULD YOU BUY FRESH VEGETABLES FROM A SHOPKEEPER OR VENDOR IF YOU KNEW THAT THIS PERSON HAD THE AIDS VIRUS? & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\qquad\) \\
DK / Not sure / Depends \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MHA11. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY GOT infected with the Aids virus, would you WANT IT TO REMAIN A SECRET? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes. \(\qquad\) .1 \\
No \(\qquad\) .2 \\
DK / Not sure / Depends \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MHA12. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK WITH AIDS, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO CARE FOR HER OR HIM IN YOUR OWN HOUSEHOLD? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes. \(\qquad\) .1 \\
No \(\qquad\) \\
DK / Not sure / Depends. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline MHA24. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, but have you ever been tested to see if YOU HAVE THE AIDS VIRUS? & Yes........................................................................................................................
No & \(2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MHA} 27\) \\
\hline MHA25. WHEN WAS THE MOST RECENT TIME YOU WERE TESTED? & Less than 12 months ago ............................................................................................................ & \\
\hline MHA26. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT DID YOU GET THE RESULTS OF THE TEST? & Yes............................................................ 1
No ............................................................... 2
DK.............................................................. 8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 \(\Rightarrow\) Next \\
Module \(2 \Rightarrow N e x t\) Module \(8 \Rightarrow\) Next Module
\end{tabular} \\
\hline MHA27. DO YOU KNOW OF A PLACE WHERE PEOPLE CAN GO TO GET TESTED FOR THE AIDS VIRUS? & Yes........................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline CIRCUMCISION & & MNC \\
\hline MNC1. SOME MEN ARE CIRCUMCISED, THAT IS, THE FORESKIN IS COMPLETELY REMOVED FROM the penis. Are you circumcised? & Yes..........................................................................................................................
No & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 2 } \leftrightharpoons \text { Next } \\
\text { Module }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline MNC2. How old were you got circumcised? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Age in completed years \\
DK \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline MNC3. WHO DID THE CIRCUMCISION? & Traditional practitioner/family/friend.............. 1
Health worker/Professional................. 2
Other (specify)
DK............................................................... 8 & \\
\hline MNC4. Where was it done? &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ALCOHOL USE & & MTA \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MTA14. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT DRINKING ALCOHOL. \\
HAVE YOU EVER DRUNK ALCOHoL?
\end{tabular} & Yes .......................................................................................................................
No & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
2 \Rightarrow
\] \\
MWM11
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MTA15. WE COUNT ONE DRINK OF ALCOHOL AS one can or bottle of beer, one glass of WINE, OR ONE SHOT OF COGNAC, VODKA, WHISKEY, SAGUER, PERMIPAN, BOBO, CAP TIKUS, SOFI, OR SBY. \\
How old were you when you had your FIRST DRINK OF ALCOHOL, OTHER THAN A FEW SIPS?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Never had one drink of alcohol \(\qquad\) \\
Age. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \(00 \Rightarrow\) MWM11 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MTA16. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW many days did you have at least one drink OF ALCOHOL? \\
If respondent did not drink, circle " 00 ". \\
If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". \\
If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30"
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Did not have one drink in last one month.. 00 \\
Number of days. \(\qquad\) 0 \(\qquad\) \\
10 days or more but less than a month..... 10 \\
Everyday / Almost every day \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \(00 \Rightarrow\) MWM11 \\
\hline MTA17. IN THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON THE DAYS THAT YOU DRANK ALCOHOL, HOW MANY CAN/BOTTLE/GLASS/SHOT OF DRINKS DID YOU usually have? & Number of can/bottle/glass/shot ......___ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline MWM11. Record the time. & Hour and minutes ...................._-_ \(\quad \ldots\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{MWM12. Check Household Listing Form, column HL9.}

Is the respondent the caretaker of any child age 0-4 living in this household?
\(\square\) Yes \(\Rightarrow\) Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE for that child and start the interview with this respondent.
\(\square N o \Rightarrow\) End the interview with this respondent by thanking him for his cooperation. Check for the presence of any other eligible man in the household.

\section*{INDONESIA 2011 \\ INDONESIA MULTIPLE INDICATOR CLUSTER SURVEY PAPUA AND WEST PAPUA PROVINCE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE}

\section*{CONFIDENTIAL}

\section*{UNDER-FIVE CHILD INFORMATION PANEL}

This questionnaire is to be administered to all mothers or caretakers (see Household Listing Form, column HL9) who care for a child that lives with them and is under the age of 5 years (see Household Listing Form, column HL6).
A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible child.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline UF1. Cluster number: & & - - \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
UF3. Child's name: \\
Name \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & UF4. Child's line number: _ & ----- ------- \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
UF5. Mother's / Caretaker's name: \\
Name \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & UF6. Mother's / Caretaker's line number: & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
UF7. Interviewer name and number: \\
Name \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & UF8. Day / Month / Year of interview:
\(\qquad\) 1 \(\qquad\) 1 \(\qquad\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Repeat greeting if not already read to this respondent.
If greeting at the beginning of the household questionnaire has already been read to this woman, then read the following:

We are from Local Government/BPS would like TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT (name)'S HEALTH AND WELL-BEING. THE INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 20 minutes. All the information we obtain will REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR PROJECT TEAM.

Now I would like to talk to you more about (child's name from UF3)'S HEALTH AND OTHER TOPICS. THIS INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 20 minutes. Again, all the information we obtain WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR PROJECT TEAM.

MAY I start now?
\(\square\) Yes, permission is given \(\Rightarrow\) Go to UF12 to record the time and then begin the interview.
\(\square\) No, permission is not given \(\Rightarrow\) Complete UF9. Discuss this result with your supervisor
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline UF9. Result of interview for children under 5 & Completed ..................................................... 01 \\
\hline & Not at home .................................................... 02 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Codes refer to mother/caretaker.} & Refused ......................................................... 03 \\
\hline & Partly completed............................................. 04 \\
\hline & Incapacitated ................................................. 05 \\
\hline & Other (specify) _ 96 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline UF10. Field edited by (Name and number): & \begin{tabular}{l} 
UF11. Data entry clerk (Name and number): \\
Name
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline UF12. Record the time. & Hour and minutes...................-_ : & \\
\hline AGE & & AG \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
AG1. Now I would LIIE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE HEALTH OF (name). \\
IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WAS (name) BORN? \\
Probe: \\
WHAT IS HIS / HER BIRTHDAY? \\
If the mother/caretaker knows the exact birth date, also enter the day; otherwise, circle 98 for day \\
Month and year must be recorded.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Date of birth \\
Day \(\qquad\) \\
DK day \(\qquad\) \\
Month \(\qquad\) \\
Year. \(\qquad\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
AG2. How old is (name)? \\
Probe: \\
How old was (name) AT HIS / HER LAST BIRTHDAY? \\
Record age in completed years. \\
Record '0' if less than 1 year. \\
Compare and correct AG1 and/or AG2 if inconsistent.
\end{tabular} & Age (in completed years) ..........................- & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline BIRTH REGISTRATION & & BR \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
BR1. DoEs (name) HAVE A BIRTH CERTIFICATE? \\
If yes, ask: \\
MAY I SEE It?
\end{tabular} & Yes, seen ................................................... 1
Yes, not seen ................................................ 2
No .................................................................. 3
DK ....................................................................... 8 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline 1 \Leftrightarrow & \text { Next } \\
\text { Module } \\
2 \Rightarrow & \text { Next } \\
\text { Module }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline BR2. HAS (name)'s BIRTH BEEN REGISTERED WITH THE CIVIL AUTHORITIES? & Yes ........................................................... 1
No .................................................................. 2
DK ................................................................... 8 & \(1 \Rightarrow\) Next Module \\
\hline BR3. DO YOU KNOW HOW TO REGISTER YOUR CHILD'S BIRTH? & Yes .................................................................................................................... & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline BREASTFEEDING & & BF \\
\hline BF1. HAS (name) EVER been breastred? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow B F 3 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow B F 3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BF2. IS HE/SHE STILL BEING BREASTFED? &  & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
BF3. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT LIQUIDS THAT (name) MAY HAVE HAD YESTERDAY dURING THE DAY OR THE NIGHT. I AM INTERESTED IN WHETHER (name) HAD THE ITEM EVEN IF IT WAS COMBINED WITH OTHER foods. \\
DID (name) DRINK PLAIN WATER YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT?
\end{tabular} & Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline BF4. DID (name) DRINK INFANT FORMULA YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BF} 6 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BF} 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BF5. How many times did (name) DRINK INFANT FORMULA? & Number of times ..................................-_ - & \\
\hline BF6. DID (name) DRINK MILK, SUCH AS TINNED, POWDERED OR FRESH ANIMAL MILK YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow B F 8 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow B F 8
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BF7. How many times did (name) drink tinned, POWDERED OR FRESH ANIMAL MILK? & Number of times .................................- - & \\
\hline BF8. DID (name) DRINK JUICE OR JUICE DRINKS YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? & Yes ......................................................................................................................................................................... 8
No & \\
\hline BF9. DID (name) DRINK CLEAR BROTH/CLEAR SOUP YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? &  & \\
\hline BF10. DID (name) DRINK OR EAT VITAMIN OR MINERAL SUPPLEMENTS OR ANY MEDICINES YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? &  & \\
\hline BF11. DID (name) DRINK ORALIT (SUGAR SALT SOLUTION) YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? & Yes ....................................................................................................................................................................... 8
No & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline BF12. DID (name) DRINK ANY OTHER LIQUIDS YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? &  & \\
\hline BF13. DID (name) DRINK OR EAT YOGURT YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? & Yes ..........................................................................................................................................................................................
No........ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BF} 15 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BF} 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BF14. HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) DRINK OR EAT YOGURT YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? & Number of times ................................- - & \\
\hline BF15. DID (name) EAT THIN PORRIDGE YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? & Yes .......................................................................................................................................................................... 8
No & \\
\hline BF16. DID (name) EAT SOLID OR SEMI-SOLID (SOFT, MUSHY) FOOD YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BF} 18 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BF} 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline BF17. How MANY TIMES DID (name) EAT SOLID OR SEMI-SOLID (SOFT, MUSHY) FOOD YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? & Number of times ................................-_ & \\
\hline BF18. Yesterday, during the day or night, DID (name) DRINK ANYTHING FROM A BOTTLE WITH A NIPPLE? & Yes ...............................................................................................................................................................................................
No & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\left.\begin{array}{||l|l|l|l||}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { ML1. IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS, HAS (name) BEEN } \\ \text { ILL WITH A FEVER AT ANY TIME? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Yes ............................................................................................................. } 2 \\ \text { No....................................................................... } 8\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2 } \\ \text { DK Next } \\ \text { Module }\end{array} \\ 8 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ \text { Module }\end{array}\right]\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
ML9. WhAT MEDICINE WAS (name) GIVEN? \\
Probe: \\
ANY OTHER MEDICINE? \\
Circle all medicines mentioned. Write brand name(s) of all medicines, if given.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Anti-malarials:
\(\qquad\) \\
Chloroquine \(\qquad\) B \\
Quinine / Kina \(\qquad\) D \\
Artesdiaquine \(\qquad\) \\
Arsuamon \(\qquad\) . \\
Arterakin/Artekin \(\qquad\) G \\
Other anti-malarial \\
(specify) \(\qquad\) H \\
Antibiotic drugs \\
Pill / Syrup \(\qquad\) . .1 \\
Other medications: \\
Paracetamol/ Panadol/Acetaminophen. P \\
Aspirin \(\qquad\) \\
Ibuprofen \(\qquad\) Q R \\
Other (specify) \(\qquad\) \\
DK \(\qquad\) X Z
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ML10. Check ML6 and ML9: Anti-malarial mentioned (codes \(A-H\) )?
Yes \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with ML11
No \(\Rightarrow\) Go to Next Module} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
ML11. How Long AFTER THE FEVER STARTED DID (name) FIRST TAKE (name of anti-malarial from ML6 or ML9)? \\
If multiple anti-malarials mentioned in ML6 or ML9, name all anti-malarial medicines mentioned.
\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{IMMUNIZATION}

If an immunization card is available, copy the dates in IM3 for each type of immunization recorded on the card. IM6IM17 are for registering vaccinations that are not recorded on the card. IM6-IM17 will only be asked when a card is not available.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
IM1. DO You have A CARD WHERE (name)'s VACCINATIONS ARE WRITTEN DOWN? \\
(If yes) MAY I SEe it PLEASE?
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Yes, seen ............................................................ 1
Yes, not seen 2
No card ............................................................... 3} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1 } \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 3 \\
& 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{I} 66
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline IM2. DID YOU EVER HAVE A VACCINATION CARD FOR (name)? & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Yes ................................................................................................................
No} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 6 \\
& 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
IM3. \\
(a) Copy dates for each vaccination from the card. \\
(b) Write ' 44 ' in day column if card shows that vaccination was given but no date recorded.
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Date of Immunization} & \\
\hline & Day & Month & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Year} & \\
\hline BCG BCG & & & & & & \\
\hline Polio 1 OPV1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Polio 2 OPV2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Polio 3 OPV3 & & & & & & \\
\hline PoLio 4 OPV4 & & & & & & \\
\hline DPT/HB \(1 \quad\) DPT/HB 1 & & & & & & \\
\hline DPT/HB 2 DPT/HB 2 & & & & & & \\
\hline DPT/HB 3 DPT/HB 3 & & & & & & \\
\hline DPT1 DPT1 & & & & & & \\
\hline DPT2 DPT2 & & & & & & \\
\hline DPT3 DPT3 & & & & & & \\
\hline HepB at birth H0 & & & & & & \\
\hline HepB1 H1 & & & & & & \\
\hline HEpB2 H2 & & & & & & \\
\hline HEPB3 H3 & & & & & & \\
\hline MEASLES (OR MMR) MEASLES & & & & & & \\
\hline VItamin A (most recent) Vita & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

IM4. Check IM3. Are all vaccines (BCG to Measles) recorded?

No \(\Rightarrow\) Continue with IM5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
IM5. IN ADDITION TO WHAT IS RECORDED ON THIS CARD, DID (name) RECEIVE ANY OTHER VACCINATIONS - INCLUDING VACCINATIONS RECEIVED IN CAMPAIGNS OR IMMUNIZATION DAYS? \\
Record 'Yes' only if respondent mentions vaccines shown in the table above.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \(\qquad\) .1 \\
(Probe for vaccinations and write ' 66 ' in the corresponding day column for each vaccine mentioned. Then skip to IM18)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow I M 18 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow I M 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline IM6. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED ANY VACCINATIONS TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING DISEASES, INCLUDING VACCINATIONS RECEIVED IN A CAMPAIGN OR IMMUNIZATION DAY? & Yes ............................................................ 1
No ............................................................. 2
DK ............................................................. 8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow I M 18 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow I M 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline IM7. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A BCG VACCINATION AGAINST TUBERCULOSIS - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE ARM OR SHOULDER THAT USUALLY CAUSES A SCAR? &  & \\
\hline IM8. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED ANY "VACCINATION DROPS IN THE MOUTH" TO PROTECT HIM/HER FROM GETTING DISEASES THAT IS, POLIO? &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow I M 11 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow I M 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline IM9. WAS THE FIRST POLIO VACCINE RECEIVED IN THE FIRST MONTH AFTER BIRTH OR LATER? & First two weeks ..................................................................................................................... & \\
\hline IM10. HOW MANY TIMES WAS THE POLIO VACCINE RECEIVED? & Number of times.. & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
IM10A. HAs (name) EVER RECEIVED A COMBO VACCINATION (COMBINATION OF DPT AND Hepatitis B vaccines) - that is, an INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING TETANUS, WHOOPING COUGH, DIPHTHERIA AND HEPATITIS B? \\
Probe by indicating that the Combo vaccine is sometimes given at the same time as Polio vaccines
\end{tabular} & Yes............................................................. 1
No .................................................................................................................................. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow I M 11 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow I M 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline IM10B. How many times was a Combo vaccine (combination of DPT and Hepatitis B VACCINES) RECEIVED? & Number of times................................... & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
IM11. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A DPT VACCINATION - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING TETANUS, WHOOPING COUGH, OR DIPHTHERIA? \\
Probe by indicating that DPT vaccination is sometimes given at the same time as Polio
\end{tabular} & Yes............................................................. 1
No ........................................................................................................... 8
DK ................ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \Rightarrow I M 13 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow I M 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline IM12. How many times was a dPT vaccine RECEIVED? & Number of times.................................... & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
IM13. Has (name) EVER been given a Hepatitis B VACCINATION - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS - TO PREVENT HIM/HER from getting Hepatitis B? \\
Probe by indicating that the Hepatitis \(B\) vaccine is sometimes given at the same time as Polio and DPT vaccines
\end{tabular} & Yes............................................................. 1
No ...................................................................................................................................
DK ....... & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2 } \Rightarrow I M 16 \\
& 8 \Rightarrow I M 16
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline IM14. WAS THE FIRST HEPATITIS B VACCINE RECEIVED WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER BIRTH, OR LATER? & Within 24 hours ....................................................................................................
Later..... \\
\hline IM15. How MANY TIMES WAS A HEPATITIS B VACCINE RECEIVED? & Number of times.................................. \\
\hline IM16. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A MEASLES INJECTION OR AN MMR INJECTION - THAT IS, A Shot in the arm at the age of 9 MONTHS OR OLDER - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING MEASLES? & Yes ........................................................... 1
No ........................................................................................... 2
DK.......................... 8 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
IM18. HAs (name) RECEIVED A VITAMIN A DOSE LIKE (THIS/ANY OF THESE) WITHIN THE LAST 6 MONTHS? \\
Show common types of ampules / capsules / syrups
\end{tabular} & Yes .......................................................... 1
No .............................................................................................................................. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
IM19. PLease tell me if (name) has participated in any of the following campaigns, NATIONAL IMMUNIZATION DAYS AND/OR VITAMIN A OR CHILD HEALTH DAYS: \\
[A] Polio and measles campaign, during JuLY-August 2011
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Y N DK \\
Polio and Measles campaign \(\qquad\) 128
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline UF13. Record the time. & Hour and minutes....................___:_- & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

UF14. Is the respondent the mother or caretaker of another child age 0-4 living in this household?
\(\square\) Yes \(\Rightarrow\) Indicate to the respondent that you will need to measure the weight and height of the child later. Go to the next QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE to be administered to the same respondent
\(\square\) No \(\Rightarrow\) End the interview with this respondent by thanking him/her for his/her cooperation and tell her/him that you will need to measure the weight and height of the child

Check to see if there are other woman's, man's or under-5 questionnaires to be administered in this household.

Move to another woman's, man's or under-5 questionnaire, or start making arrangements for anthropometric measurements of all eligible children in the household.

AN6. Is there another child in the household who is eligible for measurement?
\(\square\) Yes \(\Rightarrow\) Record measurements for next child.
\(\square N o \Rightarrow\) Check if there are any other individual questionnaires to be completed in the household.
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[^0]:    1 Results for child labour for age group 5-17 can be found in the report in Table CP. 2

[^1]:    2 Orphanhood in this report refers to children orphaned by any cause, not only HIV/AIDS.

[^2]:    3 The terms "children under 5", "children age 0-4 years", and "children aged 0-59 months" are used interchangeably in this report.
    4 The model MICS4 questionnaires can be found at www.childinfo.org

[^3]:    5 Unless otherwise stated, "education" refers to educational level attended by the respondent throughout this report when it is used as a background variable.
    6 Principal components analysis was performed by using information on the ownership of consumer goods, dwelling characteristics, water and sanitation, and other characteristics that are related to the household's wealth to assign weights (factor scores) to each of the household assets. Each household was then assigned a wealth score based on these weights and the assets owned by that household. The survey household population was then ranked according to the wealth score of the household they are living in, and was finally divided into 5 equal parts (quintiles) from lowest (poorest) to highest (richest). The assets used in these calculations were as follows: source of drinking water, type of sanitation facility, persons per sleeping room, type of floor, type of roof, type of wall, type of cooking fuel, household assets, household members assets, ownership of dwelling, ownership of agricultural land, ownership of livestock, and ownership of bank account.. The wealth index is assumed to capture the underlying long-term wealth through information on the household assets, and is intended to produce a ranking of households by wealth, from poorest to richest. The wealth index does not provide information on absolute poverty, current income or expenditure levels. The wealth scores calculated are applicable for only the particular data set they are based on. Further information on the construction of the wealth index can be found in Filmer, D. and Pritchett, L., 2001. "Estimating wealth effects without expenditure data - or tears: An application to educational enrolments in states of India". Demography 38(1): 115-132. Gwatkin, D.R., Rutstein, S., Johnson, K. , Pande, R. and Wagstaff. A., 2000. Socio-Economic Differences in Health, Nutrition, and Population. HNP/Poverty Thematic Group, Washington, DC: World Bank. Rutstein, S.O. and Johnson, K., 2004. The DHS Wealth Index. DHS Comparative Reports No. 6. Calverton, Maryland: ORC Macro.

[^4]:    7 United Nations, 1983. Manual X: Indirect Techniques for Demographic Estimation (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.83.XIII.2). United Nations, 1990a. QFIVE, United Nations Programme for Child Mortality Estimation. New York, UN Pop Division. United Nations, 1990b. Step-bystep Guide to the Estimation of Child Mortality. New York, UN.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 1.2; MDG indicator 4.2
    ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 1.1; MDG indicator 4.1

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.15
    ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.13

[^7]:    8 For a detailed description of the methodology, see Boerma, J. T., Weinstein, K. I., Rutstein, S.O., and Sommerfelt, A. E. , 1996. Data on Birth Weight in Developing Countries: Can Surveys Help? Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 74(2), 209-16.

[^8]:    9 http://www.childinfo.org/wes.htm|

