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## SUMMARY TABLE OF FINDINGS

Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) and Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Indicators, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

| Topic | MICS <br> Indicator Number | MDG <br> Indicator Number | Indicator | Value | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHILD MORTALITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child mortality | 1 | 13 | Under-five mortality rate | 44 | per thousand |
|  | 2 | 14 | Infant mortality rate | 38 | per thousand |
| NUTRITION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nutritional status | 6 | 4 | Underweight prevalence (below -2 SD) | 3.4 | percent |
|  | 7 |  | Stunting prevalence (below -2 SD) | 13.7 | percent |
|  | 8 |  | Wasting prevalence (below -2 SD) | 3.5 | percent |
| Breastfeeding | 45 |  | Timely initiation of breastfeeding | 64.9 | percent |
|  | 15 |  | Exclusive breastfeeding rate | 31.5 | percent |
|  | 16 |  | Continued breastfeeding rate at 12-15 months at 20-23 months | $\begin{aligned} & 67.8 \\ & 26.2 \end{aligned}$ | percent |
|  | 17 |  | Timely complementary feeding rate | 49.3 | percent |
|  | 18 |  | Frequency of complementary feeding | 44.5 | percent |
|  | 19 |  | Adequately fed infants | 37.5 | percent |
| Salt iodization | 41 |  | Iodized salt consumption | 76.1 | percent |
| Vitamin A | 42 |  | Vitamin A supplementation (under-fives) | 47.0 | percent |
|  | 43 |  | Vitamin A supplementation (post-partum mothers) | 50.6 | percent |
| Low birth weight | 9 |  | Low birth weight infants | 5.3 | percent |
|  | 10 |  | Infants weighed at birth | 96.9 | percent |
| CHILD HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| Care of illness | 33 |  | Use of oral rehydration therapy (ORT) | 20.4 | percent |
|  | 34 |  | Home management of diarrhoea | 15.4 | percent |
|  | 35 |  | Received ORT or increased fluids, and continued feeding | 22.3 | percent |
|  | 23 |  | Care seeking for suspected pneumonia | 62.1 | percent |
|  | 22 |  | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 44.5 | percent |
| Solid fuel use | 24 | 29 | Solid fuels | 37.3 | percent |
| Source and cost of supplies | 96 |  | Source of supplies (from public sources) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Antibiotics | 16.8 | percent |
|  | 97 |  | Cost of supplies (median costs) |  |  |
|  |  |  | Antibiotics |  |  |
|  |  |  | Public sources | 244.1 | Som |
|  |  |  | Private sources | 100 | Som |
| ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and sanitation | 11 | 30 | Use of improved drinking water sources | 88.2 | percent |
|  | 13 |  | Water treatment | 34.6 | percent |
|  | 12 | 31 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | 96.3 | percent |
|  | 14 |  | Disposal of child's faeces | 42.7 | percent |
| REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contraception and unmet need | 21 | 19c | Contraceptive prevalence | 47.8 | percent |
|  | 98 |  | Unmet need for family planning | 1.1 | percent |
|  | 99 |  | Demand satisfied for family planning | 97.7 | percent |
| Maternal and newborn health | 20 |  | Antenatal care | 96.9 | percent |
|  | 44 |  | Content of antenatal care |  | percent |
|  |  |  | Blood test taken | 96.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Blood pressure measured | 96.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Urine specimen taken | 96.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Weight measured | 96.6 |  |
|  | 4 | 17 | Skilled attendant at delivery | 97.6 | percent |
|  | 5 |  | Institutional deliveries | 96.9 | percent |
| Maternal mortality | 3 | 16 | Maternal mortality ratio | 104 | per 100,000 |


| Topic | MICS <br> Indicator <br> Number | MDG <br> Indicator Number | Indicator | Value | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child development | 46 |  | Support for learning | 71.0 | percent |
|  | 47 |  | Father's support for learning | 52.8 | percent |
|  | 48 |  | Support for learning: more than 3 children's books | 76.2 | percent |
|  | 49 |  | Support for learning: more than 3 non-children's books | 38.2 | percent |
|  | 50 |  | Support for learning: materials for play (3 or more toys) | 24.9 | percent |
|  | 51 |  | Non-adult care | 10.6 | percent |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 52 |  | Pre-school attendance | 19.0 | percent |
|  | 53 |  | School readiness | 20.2 | percent |
|  | 54 |  | Net intake rate in primary education | 70.4 | percent |
|  | 55 | 6 | Net primary school attendance rate | 92.1 | percent |
|  | 56 |  | Net secondary school attendance rate | 89.2 | percent |
|  | 57 | 7 | Children reaching grade five | 98.6 | percent |
|  | 58 |  | Transition rate to secondary school | 99.1 | percent |
|  | 59 | 7 b | Primary completion rate | 79.2 | percent |
|  | 61 | 9 | Gender parity index |  |  |
|  |  |  | Primary school | 1.03 | ratio |
|  |  |  | Secondary school | 1.04 | ratio |
| Literacy | 60 | 8 | Adult literacy rate | 99.9 | percent |
| CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth registration | 62 |  | Birth registration | 94.2 | percent |
| Child labour | 71 |  | Child labour | 3.6 | percent |
|  | 72 |  | Labourer students | 75.9 | percent |
|  | 73 |  | Student labourers | 3.3 | percent |
| Child discipline | 74 |  | Any psychological/physical punishment | 51.4 | percent |
| Early marriage and polygyny | 67 |  | Early marriage <br> Marriage before age 15 <br> Marriage before age 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 12.2 \end{aligned}$ | percent |
|  | 68 |  | Young women aged 15-19 currently married/in union | 7.7 | percent |
|  | 70 |  | Polygyny | 1.7 | percent |
|  | 69 |  | Spousal age difference (>10 years) <br> Women of age 15-19 <br> Women of age 20-24 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \\ & 6.0 \end{aligned}$ | percent |
| Domestic violence | 100 |  | Attitudes towards domestic violence | 37.7 | percent |
| HIV/AIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR, AND ORPHANED AND VULNERABLE CHILDREN |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV/AIDS knowledge and attitudes | 82 | 19b | Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 20.3 | percent |
|  | 89 |  | Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 58.0 | percent |
|  | 86 |  | Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | 5.2 | percent |
|  | 87 |  | Women who know where to be tested for HIV | 59.0 | percent |
|  | 88 |  | Women who have been tested for HIV | 37.0 | percent |
|  | 90 |  | Counselling coverage for the prevention of mother-tochild transmission of HIV | 62.6 | percent |
|  | 91 |  | Testing coverage for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | 54.6 | percent |
|  | 92 |  | Age-mixing among sexual partners | 6.6 | percent |
| Sexual behaviour | 83 | 19a | Condom use with non-regular partners | 56.0 | percent |
|  | 85 |  | Higher risk sex in the last year | 7.4 | percent |
| Orphanhood | 78 |  | Children's living arrangements | 5.4 | percent |
|  | 75 |  | Prevalence of orphans | 5.5 | percent |

## LIST OF TABLES

Table HH.1: Results of household and individual interviews ..... 73
Table HH.2: Household age distribution by sex .....  73
Table HH.3: Household composition ..... 74
Table HH.4: Women's background characteristics ..... 75
Table HH.5: Children's background characteristics ..... 76
Table CM.1: Child mortality .....  .76
Table NU.1: Child malnourishment ..... 77
Table NU.2: Initial breastfeeding ..... 78
Table NU.3: Breastfeeding ..... 79
Table NU.4: Adequately fed infants ..... 80
Table NU.5: Iodized salt consumption ..... 81
Table NU.6: Children's vitamin A supplementation ..... 82
Table NU.7: Post-partum mothers' vitamin A supplementation ..... 83
Table NU.8: Low birth weight infants ..... 84
Table CH.4: Oral rehydration treatment ..... 85
Table CH.5: Home management of diarrhoea ..... 86
Table CH.6: Care seeking for suspected pneumonia ..... 87
Table CH.7: Antibiotic treatment of pneumonia ..... 88
Table CH.7A: Knowledge of the two danger signs of pneumonia ..... 89
Table CH.8: Solid fuel use ..... 90
Table CH.9: Solid fuel use by type of stove or fire .....  .91
Table CH.16: Source and cost of supplies for antibiotics .....  .92
Table EN.1: Use of improved water sources .....  .93
Table EN.2: Household water treatment .....  .94
Table EN.3: Time to source of water ..... 95
Table EN.4: Person collecting water ..... 96
Table EN.5: Use of sanitary means of excreta disposal ..... 97
Table EN.6: Disposal of child's faeces .....  .98
Table EN.7: Use of improved water sources and improved sanitation ..... 99
Table RH.1: Use of contraception ..... 100
Table RH.2: Unmet need for contraception ..... 102
Table RH.3: Antenatal care provider ..... 103
Table RH.4: Antenatal care ..... 104
Table RH.5: Assistance during delivery ..... 105
Table RH.6: Maternal mortality ratio ..... 107
Table CD.1: Family support for learning ..... 108
Table CD.2: Learning materials ..... 109
Table CD.3: Children left alone or w.ith other children ..... 111
Table ED.1: Early childhood education ..... 112
Table ED.2: Primary school entry ..... 113
Table ED.3: Primary school net attendance ratio ..... 114
Table ED.4: Secondary school net attendance ratio ..... 115
Table ED.5: Children reaching grade 5 ..... 116
Table ED.6: Primary school completion and transition to secondary education ..... 117
Table ED.7: Education gender parity ..... 118
Table ED.8: Adult literacy ..... 119
Table CP.1: Birth registration ..... 120
Table CP.2: Child labour ..... 122
Table CP.3: Labourer students and student labourers ..... 123
Table CP.4: Child discipline ..... 124
Table CP.5: Early marriage and polygyny ..... 126
Table CP.6: Spousal age difference ..... 128
Table CP.9: Attitudes toward domestic violence ..... 129
Table HA.1: Knowledge of preventing HIV transmission ..... 131
Table HA.2: Identifying misconceptions about HIV/ AIDS ..... 132
Table HA.3: Comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS transmission ..... 133
Table HA.4: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission ..... 134
Table HA.5: Attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS ..... 135
Table HA.6: Knowledge of a facility for HIV testing ..... 137
Table HA.7: HIV testing and counseling coverage during antenatal care ..... 138
Table HA.8: Sexual behaviour that increases risk of HIV infection ..... 139
Table HA.9: Condom use at last high-risk sex ..... 140
Table HA.10: Children's living arrangements and orphanhood ..... 142
Table SD.1: Allocation of Sample Clusters (Primary Sampling Units) to Sampling Domains ..... 144
Table SE.2: Sampling errors: Total sample ..... 150
Table SE.3: Sampling errors: Urban areas ..... 151
Table SE.4: Sampling errors: Rural areas ..... 152
Table SE.5: Sampling errors: Batken ..... 153
Table SE.6: Sampling errors: Jalalabad ..... 154
Table SE.7: Sampling errors: Issykkul ..... 155
Table SE.8: Sampling errors: Naryn ..... 156
Table SE.9: Sampling errors: Osh ..... 157
Table SE.10: Sampling errors: Talas ..... 158
Table SE.11: Sampling errors: Chui ..... 159
Table SE.12: Sampling errors: Bishkek t ..... 160
Table DQ.1: Age distribution of household population ..... 161
Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women ..... 162
Table DQ.3: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed under-5s ..... 162
Table DQ.4: Age distribution of under-5 children ..... 163
Table DQ.5: Heaping on ages and periods ..... 164
Table DQ.6: Completeness of reporting ..... 165
Table DQ.7: Presence of mother in the household and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire ..... 165
Table DQ.8: School attendance by single age ..... 166
Table DQ.9: Sex ratio at birth among children ever born and living ..... 167
Table DQ.10: Distribution of women by time since last birth ..... 168

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure SD: Spatial distribution of MICS3 clusters ..... 21
Figure HH.1: Population of households surveyed, by age and sex, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 (\%). ..... 25
Figure HH.2: Distribution of childbearing age women by five-year age groups, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 26
Figure CM.1: Under-5 mortality rates by background characteristics, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 30
Figure CM.2: Trend in under-5 mortality rates, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 30
Figure NU.1: Percentage of children under-5 who are undernourished, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 34
Figure NU.2: Regional distribution of malnutrition in 0-59 month old children, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 .....  34
Figure NU.3: Percentage of mothers who started breastfeeding within one hour and within one day of birth, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 35
Figure NU.4: Infant feeding patterns by age: Percent distribution of children aged under 3 years by feeding pattern by age group, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 36
Figure NU.5: Percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 .....  37
Figure NU.6: Percentage of Infants Weighing Less Than 2500 Grams at Birth, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 38
Figure CH.1: Percentage of children with episodes of diarrhea by age, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 .....  41
Figure CH.2: Percentage of households that use solid fuels for cooking by region. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 43
Figure EN.1: Access to improved source of drinking water. Percent of households. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006 ..... 45
Figure EN.2: Percentage distribution of household members by source of drinking water. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 46
Figure EN.3: Distribution of time spent by household members retrieving drinking water from the source. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 46
Figure EN.4: Percentage of population with access to improved drinking water sources and improved sanitary-hygienic facilities. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 47
Figure RH.1: Preferred means of contraception for women and educational level attainment. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 49
Figure RH.2: Percentage of contraception methods chosen by female respondent's household wealth index. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006. ..... 50
Figure RH.3: Coverage by antenatal care, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006. ..... 51
Figure RH.4: Percentage of deliveries assisted by skilled attendant. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006. ..... 52
Figure RH.5: Percentage of deliveries assisted by doctor or nurse/midwife according to household wealth index. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006 ..... 53
Figure ED.1: Percentage of children aged 36-59 months currently attending early childhood education by household wealth index. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006. ..... 56
Figure ED.2: Percentage of children of 6 years age attending grade 1, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 58
Figure CP.1: Birth registration by age group. Kyrgyz republic, 2006 ..... 61
Figure CP.2: Percentage of types of punishment used with regard to children 3-14 years of age, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 .....  .64
Figure CP.3: Percentage of women who married before 18 years of age by region. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006 ..... 65
Figure CP.4: Percentage of women who supported domestic violence for selected reasons by residence, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 .....  .66
Figure CP.5: Percentage of women who supported domestic violence for selected reasons by ethnicity, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 66
Figure HA.1: Percentage of women aware of two methods of preventing the spread of HIV/AIDS, by region. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006 .....  .69
Figure HA.2: Percent of women who have comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS transmission, Kyrgyzstan, 2006 ..... 70
Figure HA.3: Sexual behaviour that increases risk of HIV infection, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 ..... 71

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AIDS | Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome |
| :--- | :--- |
| BCG | Bacillis-Cereus-Geuerin (Tuberculosis) |
| CDC | Center for Disease Control and Prevention, USA |
| CEA | Census Enumeration Areas |
| DPT | Diphteria Pertussis Tetanus |
| EPI | Expanded Programme on Immunization |
| GAVI | Global Alliance of Vaccines and Immunization |
| GPI | Gender Parity Index |
| HIV | Human Immunodeficiency Virus |
| ICPD | International Conference on Population and Development |
| ILBD | International Live Birth Definition |
| IDD | Iodine Deficiency Disorders |
| IMCI | Integrated Management of Childhood Illnesses |
| IQ | Intelligence Quotient |
| ITN | Insecticide Treated Net |
| IUD | Intrauterine Device |
| LAM | Lactational Amenorrhea Method |
| MDG | Millennium Development Goals |
| MICS | Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey |
| MoH | Ministry of Health |
| NAR | Net Attendance Rate |
| NCHS | National Center for Health Statistics |
| ORT | Oral Rehydration Therapy |
| ORS | Oral Rehydration Situation |
| PPM | Parts Per Million |
| PSU | Primary Sampling Unit |
| SPSS | Statistical Package for Social Sciences |
| STI | Sexually Transmitted Infection |
| UNAIDS | United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS |
| UNDP | United Nations Development Programme |
| UNFPA United | Nations Population Fund |
| UNGASS | United Nations General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS |
| UNICEF | United Nations Children's Fund |
| VAS | Vitamin A Supplement |
| WFFC | World Fit for Children |
| WHO | World Health Organization |
|  |  |

## TABLE REFERENCES

CD - Child Development<br>CH - Child Health<br>CM - Child Mortality<br>CP - Child Protection<br>ED - Education

HA - HIV/ AIDS<br>HH - Household<br>EN - Environment<br>NU - Nutrition<br>RH - Reproductive Health

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Based on international methodologies, the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS3) provides an excellent chance to reveal a comprehensive picture of the lives of children and women in Kyrgyzstan, and to compare it with the situation in more than 70 countries also implementing MICS3. The survey supplements government statistical data on some issues, thus drawing the attention of the Government and the public to new aspects. The survey results will provide one of the most important sources of alternative information to help monitor the progress of achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

The survey could not have been carried out without the dedication and professionalism of hundreds of people. However, we would like to express special acknowledgement to the interviewers, field editors, and drivers who completed fieldwork assignments in a timely and effective manner despite difficult winter conditions. The professionalism of the data entry specialists and statistics analysts greatly contributed to the strong foundation of high quality survey data upon which this report was built. We wish to especially extend our gratitude to the management and staff of the National Statistical Committee of the Kyrgyz Republic: Prof. Zarylbek Kudabaev and team, who initiated the preparation and launch of the survey, as well as to Mr. Orozmat Abdykalykov and team, who supported the execution of the survey. We also greatly appreciate the contributions of the specialists and experts from the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Labour and Social Protection, the Ministry of Education, the National Statistical Committee, the representative office of the World Health Organization (WHO), the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), non-governmental organizations such as ZDRAV-Plus and Project Hope. All participated in the adaptation of questionnaires, the monitoring of fieldwork and the analysis of survey data. Special thanks also goes to Mr. Fritz van der Haar from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, Atlanta), who has generously written several sections of the report related to the nutrition and malnutrition of children, salt iodization and Vitamin A supplementation.

Finally, we acknowledge the UNICEF staff all over the world, who diligently executed the overall management of this global survey, developed questionnaire, data entry and indications estimation software, conducted training seminars, and supplied vital equipment and materials.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

## Household Population

■ The total sample volume of the survey consisted of 5,200 households where nearly 25,000 persons reside. Because the sample size by strata is approximately equal, sample weights were used for reporting national level results. Females accounted for $52.9 \%$ of this population, where a majority of females (more than $61 \%$ ) was less than 30 years old. Out of the surveyed women, $55 \%$ fell into the reproductive age range of 15-49 years.
$\square$ The number of children under 15 years of age accounted for $32.7 \%$ of the population, while $12 \%$ of the population was $0-4$ years. Moreover, $81.5 \%$ of the households surveyed have children less than 18 years of age.

- The percentage of households with 4-5 members accounted for $40.3 \%$ of the population, while the next largest segment of households (2-3 members) measured $23.6 \%$. Households located in rural areas accounted for $56.8 \%$ of all households interviewed.

■ Among women interviewed, $61.5 \%$ identified themselves ethnically as Kyrgyz, with 18.8\% as Uzbek and $13.5 \%$ as Russian.

- In terms of the educational attainment of women in the reproductive age range (15-49), nearly $62.8 \%$ of these women completed the compulsory level ( 8 years), while $23.9 \%$ attained a high (university) level and $13.3 \%$ failed to complete the compulsory level of education
- In terms of household wealth, near parity existed among the number of children in each quintile group, where the $22.7 \%$ of children who lived in households in the richest quintile represented was the largest percentage among all quintiles. And while $17.4 \%$ of women of childbearing age lived in households in the poorest quintile, $25 \%$ lived in households in the richest quintile.


## Infant, Child and Maternal Mortality

- Infant, child and maternal mortality rates remain relatively high in Kyrgyzstan. The mortality rate for children under five year of age was 44 per 1000 live births while the infant mortality rate was 38 per 1000 live births. It can be noticed that infant and child mortality rates are steadily decreasing with time. The maternal mortality rate was estimated to be 104 deaths per 100,000 live births, showing no sign of improvement over the previous 10-15 years.


## Nutrition

$\square$ The prevalence of stunting as a result of malnourishment stands at $13.7 \%$, where $3.7 \%$ of children are severely stunted. Not surprisingly, children from the two poorest quintile groups are more stunted (first $-18.8 \%$; second $-14.9 \%$ ) than the richest $(10.2 \%)$. Stunting is most prevalent in the Talas, Batken and Issyk-kul regions.

- While nearly $90 \%$ of mothers start breast-feeding their newborn within one day of birth, less than one third of children are exclusively breastfed at six months of age, which is considered far less than optimal. Only $37.5 \%$ of infants are being appropriately fed throughout the first year of life.
- Three out of four households consume adequately iodised salt, however salt was more likely to be adequately iodised in urban ( $84.5 \%$ ) than rural households ( $69.8 \%$ ).
■ Twice yearly the Kyrgyz Ministry of Health carries out mass distribution of high-dose vitamin A capsules for children aged 6-59 months, in addition to vitamin A supplements (VAS) that are distributed to new mothers to boost their levels during breastfeeding. Two out of three eligible children under five years old benefited form the national VAS campaign.


## Child Health

■ Diarrhea is one of the leading causes of illness for children under five. Children in rural areas had episodes of diarrhea 1.5 times more often than children in urban areas. The highest frequency of cases occurred in children between 6-23 months of age. Oral Rehydration Therapy (ORT) was not given to $79.6 \%$ of children with diarrhea.

- Mothers who had children with pneumonia within two weeks prior to the survey brought their children to receive antibiotic treatment at relevant medical clinics in $44.5 \%$ of cases. Mothers of children aged 0-59 months living in urban areas ( $50.3 \%$ ) were more informed about pneumonia than mothers in rural areas ( $35.4 \%$ ). Nearly $29 \%$ of mothers in the poorest quintiles knew of at least two symptoms of pneumonia, while almost $56 \%$ of mothers in the richest quintile knew of these.


## Water and Sanitation

■ Overall, $88.2 \%$ of the population has access to improved drinking water sources, where $98.7 \%$ have access in urban areas and $81.8 \%$ in rural areas. Most water is accessed by water pipelines ( $52.8 \%$ ), which run into the dwelling or onto the property, while $27.1 \%$ use public water taps. The largest level of surface water consumption takes place in Batken (28.5\%), where risk of exposure to infectious intestinal diseases is greatly increased.
$\square$ Nearly $96 \%$ of the population lives in households that use sanitary-hygienic facilities, where little difference exists between urban and rural populations. Only $73.2 \%$ of households in Batken have access to such facilities. In Bishkek, about $64 \%$ of households connected to the sewer system.

## Reproductive Health

■ Of the 4,195 women interviewed for this survey, only $47.8 \%$ of married women used contraception, where the IUD is the most popular with about half of the women and condom use, the second most popular method, was favored by just $5.8 \%$ of married women. The use of contraception in northern regions is significantly higher than in the south. Condoms and oral contraception use is most prevalent in the two richest quintile groups.
■ Of those who gave birth within the last two years (1,209 women), $97.5 \%$ received antenatal care and $96.9 \%$ of overall births took place in hospitals. The percentage of women who were assisted during delivery by doctor is nearly $76.3 \%$, while in the poorest and richest quintile groups, respectively, $60.1 \%$ and $96.3 \%$ of women had received such care.

## Early Childhood Development

- Parental participation in early childhood development is crucial. In terms of wealth and parental participation, while $64 \%$ of preschool age children in the poorest quintile participated in four or more types of child development activities with their parents, about $84 \%$ of children in the richest quintile were exposed to the same. Along ethnic lines, Russian children participated the most ( $84 \%$ ), while Kyrgyz ( $73.5 \%$ ) and Uzbek parents ( $53.6 \%$ ) ranked below them.
- Approximately $81 \%$ urban households have three or more children's books, while in rural areas just $72.9 \%$ of households have.


## Education

- In terms of preschool education, nearly 19\% of children aged 36-59 months attend preschool. Significant urban/rural and regional differences persist in this regard. One third of urban children ( $41.9 \%$ in Bishkek) attend preschool, while about $10 \%$ of children in rural areas ( $6.6 \%$ in Batken) do so. Mothers with less than a compulsory education do not generally
send their children to preschool, while $42.5 \%$ of mothers with a high education level send their kids to preschool.
■ Out of the total number of children of primary school entrance age (6-7 years), only 70.4\% of such children are attending first grade. Just $66.4 \%$ of such males attended the first form, while $75.5 \%$ of females do. The primary school net attendance ratio throughout the country is $92.1 \%$, comprising $92.9 \%$ in urban areas and $91.7 \%$ in rural areas.
Adult literacy rates in Kyrgyzstan among groups divided by urban/rural, region and ethnicity are all at or very close to $100 \%$.


## Child Protection

■ The vast majority of children ( $94.2 \%$ ) under five years of age have been registered, yet only $89.8 \%$ of children are registered before their first birthday. Reasons for failed registration of these children include unregistered marriage of the birth parents (32.4\%), as well as the distance to the registry office $(7.8 \%)$ and the costs of registering (3.8\%).
■ Of all children aged 5-14 years, 3.6 \% of children were in involved in either economic or domestic work, while $1.5 \%$ worked outside their households and just $0.1 \%$ was paid for the labor performed.
■ While $51.4 \%$ of children aged 3-14 were subjected to one form of psychological or physical punishment by mother/ caretaker or other household members, $2.6 \%$ were subjected to severe physical punishment. However, just $7.7 \%$ of mothers/caretakers believed imposing physical punishment was a correct way to raise a child.
$\square$ The minimal age of marriage in the Republic is 18 , yet $12.2 \%$ of women got married before they reached 18. Daughters are given away in early marriage more often in poorest households $(16.5 \%)$ than in the richest $(9.1 \%)$.

- Though polygyny had been effectively eliminated from the Republic by the 1930s, today $1.7 \%$ of women of reproductive age claim to be in a polygamous marriage. The largest percentage of polygamous marriages was registered among the richest quintile (nearly $2 \%$ ).
■ Roughly $38 \%$ of women of reproductive age surveyed said they supported violence towards women if they either left home without husband's permission ( $20.5 \%$ ), providing inadequate care for the children ( $22.4 \%$ ), and disagreeing with the husband ( $25.6 \%$ ).


## HIV/AIDS, Sexual Behaviour

Further focus is needed on HIV/AIDS awareness, especially among young women in the southern regions, where awareness about prevention methods is dangerously low. Among 7,043 women of reproductive age interviewed, awareness of the disease is highest among those from Bishkek ( $99 \%$ ) and the northern regions (approximately $96 \%$ ), and lowest among women in the south (Batken - 81.8\%; Osh - 86.4\%; Jalalabad - 88.5\%). Awareness of HIV/ AIDS prevention is lowest also in the south, where $41.0 \%$ of women from Batken are not aware of any methods of prevention (Osh - $29.5 \%$; Jalalabad - 19.1\%).

- Awareness of at least two methods of prevention ranged regionally from 71.4\% in Bishkek and $81.6 \%$ in Talas to just about $35 \%$ in Batken (and $38 \%$ in Osh). While $63.6 \%$ of women between $30-34$ years of age know of these methods, just $50 \%$ of $15-19$ year olds are aware. Just $59.0 \%$ of women knew where they could get tested for HIV infection.
■ The overall percentage of interviewed women aged 20-24 who had sexual intercourse before age 18 was just $10.2 \%$ ( $7.2 \%$ - urban; $12.9 \%$ - rural). The percentage of women aged 15-24 who had sexual contacts with more than one partner in the previous 12 months, considered the highest risk group, was $0.7 \%$.
■ Nearly $30 \%$ of women aged $15-24$ had sexual contact during the preceding 12 months. Of these, $7.4 \%$ had contact with an irregular partner, and condom use was reported in $56 \%$ of these cases.


## I. INTRODUCTION



## Background

The Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) was conducted in the Kyrgyz Republic in 2006 by the National Statistical Committee of the Kyrgyz Republic with the financial and methodological support of the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). The survey was undertaken to monitor progress in reaching the goals and targets stipulated in the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all 191 United Nations Member States in September 2000, and the Plan of Action for a World Fit for Children, adopted by 189 Member States at the United Nations General Assembly Special Session on Children in May 2002.
In signing these international documents, governments committed themselves to improving conditions for their children and to monitoring progress towards that end. UNICEF was assigned a supporting role in this task (see Table 1).

Table 1.

## A Commitment to Action: National and International Reporting Responsibilities

The governments that signed the Millennium Declaration and the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action also committed themselves to monitoring progress towards the goals and objectives contained therein:
"We will monitor regularly at the national level and, where appropriate, at the regional level and assess progress towards the goals and targets of the present Plan of Action at the national, regional and global levels. Accordingly, we will strengthen our national statistical capacity to collect, analyse and disaggregate data, including by sex, age and other relevant factors that may lead to disparities, and support a wide range of child-focused research. We will enhance international cooperation to support statistical capacity-building efforts and build community capacity for monitoring, assessment and planning." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 60).
"...We will conduct periodic reviews at the national and subnational levels of progress in order to address obstacles more effectively and accelerate actions...." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 61)

The Plan of Action (paragraph 61) also calls for the specific involvement of UNICEF in the preparation of periodic progress reports:
"... As the world's lead agency for children, the United Nations Children's Fund is requested to continue to prepare and disseminate, in close collaboration with Governments, relevant funds, programmes and the specialized agencies of the United Nations system, and all other relevant actors, as appropriate, information on the progress made in the implementation of the Declaration and the Plan of Action."

Similarly, the Millennium Declaration (paragraph 31) calls for periodic reporting on progress:
"...We request the General Assembly to review on a regular basis the progress made in implementing the provisions of this Declaration, and ask the Secretary-General to issue periodic reports for consideration by the General Assembly and as a basis for further action."

Since beginning its work in the Kyrgyz Republic in 1994, UNICEF has paid special attention to children's health and nutrition, children living in poverty, children deprived from parental care and other related issues.

UNICEF, in cooperation with other international donor organizations, provides technical assistance to the Government for development of the state program of public health reforms, known as "MANAS TAALIMI 2006-2010." UNICEF also supports the program of Integrated Management of Childhood Illnesses (IMCI), including Care for Development Programme. Half of all maternity hospitals, maternity departments of regional hospitals, and polyclinics in the republic were certified as "babyfriendly." In contrast to previous practices a newborn is now kept with the mother from the first hours of its life so the mother can breastfeed and provide her tenderness and love.

With support from UNICEF, the Kyrgyz Parliament has developed and approved the Code for Children of the Kyrgyz Republic. As a result, there is a legal mandate for the establishment of minimum standards in protecting children's rights. UNICEF supports removing children from institutions and returning them to family or foster environments suited to the best interests of the children. For this purpose, UNICEF supported the government in creating social services designed to provide assistance to families in danger of losing custody of their children.
With the participation of UNICEF and other partners under the Global Alliance of Vaccines and Immunization (GAVI), poliomyelitis was eliminated in Kyrgyzstan, and the number of measles and rubella cases was reduced. UNICEF and GAVI, along with other donor agencies also helped to distribute significant amounts of vaccines and syringes, establish the necessary technical conditions for vaccine storage, and conduct trainings for medical personnel. It's also planned to reduce vitamin A and iron deficiencies among children by one third by 2015 from 2000 levels, and to completely eliminate iodine deficiencies by the end of 2007.
Improvement of primary school education quality is carried out jointly with governmental and non-governmental organizations and donors. These efforts include upgrading school programs and projects designed to increase local community involvement in the educational process.
MICS3 survey was designed to evaluate the current status of various development indicators and to define priorities for future action. This survey report reflects the final results on all considered topics and indicators.

## Survey Objectives

The main objectives of the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey consist of the following:
$\square$ to provide updated information for assessing the situation of children and women in the Kyrgyz Republic;
■ to collect data necessary for monitoring progress towards the Millennium Development Goals and the goals of Plan of Action for a World Fit for Children (WFFC), as a basis for future actions;
$\square$ to contribute to the improvement of data and monitoring systems in the Kyrgyz Republic and to strengthen technical expertise in the design, implementation and analysis of such systems.

## II. SAMPLE AND SURVEY METHODOLOGY



## Sample Design

TThe sample for the Kyrgyz Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey was designed to provide representative estimates of MICS indicators at the national level, in urban and rural areas, as well as for eight regions: Batken, Jalalabad, Issyk Kul, Naryn, Osh, Talas, Chui regions, and Bishkek. The urban and rural areas of each region were used as strata, where the sample design was made in two stages.

Four hundred clusters, or Census-1999 Enumeration Areas (CEA), were selected with a probability proportional to the population size in the first stage. For rural areas, populated settlements were used as Primary Sampling Units (PSUs). For urban areas, internal territorial-administrative units were used as PSUs. For each enumeration area, a household listing was updated and used as a sample framework for the second selection stage. Later, households with an equal probability were selected, according to the up-dated lists of addresses.

Figure SD: Spatial distribution of MICS3 clusters


In defining the cluster size, a high rate of intra-cluster correlation of different indicators was taken into account. This required clusters of small size, as well as consideration of the effective use of interviewers' time, requiring a minimization of movement from one settlement to another. As a compromise between data accuracy and the efficient use of limited time and funding, a cluster size was determined to consist of 13 households.

Thus, a total sample volume consisted of 5,200 households. Given that a sample is self-weighting, and that sample size by strata is approximately equal, sample weights were used for reporting national level results.

A more detailed description of the sample design can be found in Appendix A.

## Questionnaires

Three sets of questionnaires were used in the survey: 1) a household questionnaire which was used to collect information on all de jure household members, the household, and the dwelling; 2) a women's questionnaire administered in each household to all women aged 15-49 years; and 3) an under-5 questionnaire, administered to mothers or caretakers of all children under 5 living in the household.

The Household Questionnaire included the following modules:

```
■ Household listing
Education
\square Water and sanitation
| Household characteristics
Child labour
    Child discipline
    Maternal mortality
\square Consumption of iodized salt
```

The Questionnaire for Individual Women was administered to all women aged 15-49 years living in the households, and included the following modules:

```
\square Child mortality
Maternal and newborn health
\square Marriage/union
■ Contraception
```

- Attitude toward domestic violence
- Sexual behavior

■ HIV / AIDS knowledge
The Questionnaire for Children Under Five was administered to mothers or caretakers of children under 5 years of age ${ }^{1}$ living in the households. Normally, the questionnaire was administered to mothers of under- 5 children; in cases when the mother was not listed in the household roster, a primary caretaker for the child was identified and interviewed. The questionnaire included the following modules:

- Birth registration and early learning
- Child development

Vitamin A

- Breastfeeding
- Treatment of illness and care
- Anthropometric data

The questionnaires are based on the MICS3 model questionnaire. The English version of questionnaires was translated into Kyrgyz and Russian languages and was pre-tested in August 2005. Based on the results of the pre-test, modifications were made to the wording and translation of the questionnaires. A copy of the Kyrgyz MICS questionnaires is provided in Appendix F.
In addition to the administration of questionnaires, fieldwork teams tested the salt used for cooking in the households for iodine content, and measured the weights and heights of children age under 5 years. Details and findings of these measurements are provided in the respective sections of the report.

[^0]
## Training and Fieldwork

The interviewers have been adequately trained to collect data and apply questions. Training included lectures on interviewing techniques and the contents of the questionnaires, and mock interviews between trainees to gain practice in asking questions. Training was conducted in two rounds: for northern regions from November 23-27, 2005; for southern regions from December 8-11, 2005.
The data were collected by 25 teams, each comprised of three interviewers, one driver and one editor. The editor was responsible for ensuring data quality and use of proper interview techniques, establishing initial contact with households and remaining in constant connection with a regional supervisor.
The fieldwork started in the northern regions on November 30, 2005, and was completed on December 30, 2005. The data collection in the southern regions was conducted from December 18, 2005 to February 3, 2006.

## Data Processing

The data processing was centralized. The field editors checked, cleared and packed the questionnaires by clusters, then questionnaires were delivered to the central office of the National Statistical Committee for further processing. Each incoming pack was registered and simultaneously the database was created.
Data were entered on twenty computers using CSPro software. In order to ensure quality control, all questionnaires were double entered and internal consistency checks were performed. Procedures and standard programs developed under the global MICS3 project and adapted to the Kyrgyz questionnaire were used throughout. Data processing began simultaneously with data collection in December 2005, and was finished in spring of 2006. Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software program, version 14, and the model syntax and tabulation plans developed by UNICEF for this purpose.

# III. SAMPLE COVERAGE AND THE CHARACTERISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS AND RESPONDENTS 



## Sample Coverage

During the course of the survey, all 400 PSUs selected at the first sampling stage were visited. A list of household addresses was made for those PSUs. Out of 5,200 sample households, 5,199 were found to be occupied (Table HH.1). Out of these populated households, 5,179 were successfully interviewed, yielding a household response rate of $99.6 \%$. In all regions except for Naryn, the interviewers managed to carry out interviews in all selected households.
In the interviewed households 7,043 women (aged 15-49) were identified. Of these women, 6,973 were successfully interviewed, which corresponds to a response rate of $99.0 \%$. Additionally, the household sample accounted for 3,000children under five years of age, and 2,987 questionnaires were completed on these, for a response rate of 99.6.

## Characteristics of Households

There were approximately 25,000 persons residing in the households included in the sample. Of these, $52.9 \%$ were females. The number of respondents under 15 years old was $32.7 \%$, those in the age group of $15-64$ years was $62.3 \%$, while $5 \%$ of respondents were 65 years and older.
The age structure of the population who was interviewed is described in Table HH.2. Thus, almost $40 \%$ represent the age group $0-17$, while $12 \%$ were children from $0-4$ years of age. The Figure HH. 1 shows the pyramid of the population of the country by age and sex.

Figure HH.1: Population of households surveyed, by age and sex, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006 (\%).


Households in the Kyrgyz Republic differ by size and geographical location. The size of the households surveyed ranged between 1 and 21 persons. The households with $4-5$ members accounted for $40.3 \%, 2-3$ and 6-7 person households accounted for $23.6 \%$ each, and $9.5 \%$ of households had 8 or more members. The households located in rural areas accounted for $56.8 \%$ of all those interviewed.
Almost $75 \%$ households are headed by males. The state language Kyrgyz is the mother tongue for $58.7 \%$ of the households heads (Table HH.3).
The population of the Kyrgyz Republic is young, and its considerable proportion is represented by people of working age, as well as children. This is confirmed by the survey results. Thus, $81.5 \%$ of interviewed households have children under 18, $43.9 \%$ have children under five, and women aged 15-49 reside in $89.4 \%$ of the households.

## Characteristics of Respondents

Tables HH. 4 and HH. 5 provide information on the background characteristics of female respondents 15-49 years of age and of children under age 5 . In addition to providing useful information on the background characteristics of women and children, the tables are also intended to show the numbers of observations in each background category. These categories are used in the subsequent tabulations of this report.
Table HH. 4 provides background characteristics of female respondents 15-49 years of age. The table includes information on the distribution of women according to region, urban-rural areas, age, marital status, motherhood status, education ${ }^{1}$, wealth index quintiles ${ }^{2}$, and ethnicity.
When the overall number of households included in the sample is taken into account, the women numbered 7,043 . Of these, 6,973 were successfully interviewed; more than half of them ( $56.6 \%$ ) live in rural areas.

As for the distribution by ethnic groups, the majority of interviewed women were Kyrgyz (61.5\%), followed by Uzbek ( $18.8 \%$ ) and Russian ( $13.5 \%$ ). Among interviewed women, $59.6 \%$ of respondents were married, with $64.3 \%$ of them being mothers. A majority of female respondents (more than $55 \%$ ) fell into the under-30 age group (Figure HH.2).
One of the important development indicators is the level of education. The survey results show that the educational level of women in the age group 15-49 years is considerably high. Thus, $62.8 \%$ of interviewed women had attained a compulsory level of education (eight years), while $23.9 \%$ attained a high (university) level of education and only $13.3 \%$ of female respondents had an educational level below the compulsory level.

Figure HH.2: Distribution of childbearing age women by five-year age groups, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006.


As analysis has shown, with the increase of household prosperity, the proportion of women residing in them is increasing too. Thus, $17.4 \%$ of childbearing age women lived in the poorest quintile of households, while $25 \%$ of childbearing age women lived in the richest quintile.

[^1]Some background characteristics of children under 5 are presented in Table HH.5. These include distribution of children by several attributes: sex, region and area of residence, age in months, mother's or caretaker's education, wealth, and ethnicity.
The three thousand children in the 0-4 years group living in the interviewed households were almost equally represented by sex. The proportion of children living in rural areas was higher than those living in the urban areas by $19.2 \%$.
Among all children surveyed, the proportion of children in the age groups 12-23 months, 24-35 months, $36-47$ months and $48-59$ months ranged between $18 \%$ and $22 \%$. Children younger than 6 months old accounted for $10.9 \%$.
The educational level of mothers (caretakers) as a whole correlates with the educational level of childbearing age women. A majority ( $69.1 \%$ ) of mothers (caretakers) surveyed attained compulsory education, while $23.8 \%$ of mothers attained a high (university) level of education. Only $7.1 \%$ of mothers had an educational level below compulsory.
An almost even distribution of children in the households (from 19\% to 20\%) was observed in each quintile group in accordance with the wealth index, except $22.7 \%$ of children resided in households in the richest quintile group.

## IV. INFANT, CHILD AND MATERNAL MORTALITY



## Infant, Child and Maternal Mortality

The International Convention on the Rights of the Child states that member states must take adequate measures to reduce infant and child mortality levels. The reduction of infant, child and maternal mortality is one of the key goals of the Millennium Development Goals and the Plan of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD, Cairo, 1994). Their levels are one of the basic indicators that characterize the health of a country's population.
Monitoring progress towards this goal is an important but difficult objective. Measuring childhood mortality may seem easy, but attempts using direct questions, such as "Has anyone in this household died in the last year?" give inaccurate results. Using direct measures of child mortality from birth histories is time consuming, more expensive, and requires greater attention to training and supervision. Alternatively, indirect methods developed to measure child mortality produce robust estimates that are comparable with the ones obtained from other sources. Indirect methods minimize the pitfalls of memory lapses, inexact or misinterpreted definitions, and poor interviewing technique.
Identification of the infant/child mortality level in the Kyrgyz Republic is complicated by the fact that until 2004, the live/stillbirth criteria established during the Soviet era was in use. This led to the minimization of real infant/child mortality rates. From 2004, the Kyrgyz Republic began to employ the live birth definition recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). A comparison of classifications and pregnancy terminations in the Kyrgyz Republic prior to and after the introduction of International Live Birth Definition (ILBD) is shown below:

|  |  |  |  |  | Infant, born after the $28^{\text {th }}$ week of pregnancy with body weight $>1000 \mathrm{~g}$ and height $>35 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No signs of life | No breathing, but there are other signs of life (palpitation, traction, pulsation of umbilical cord) | Died within the first 7 days of life | Survived within the first 7 days of life | No signs of life | No breathing, but there are other signs of life (palpitation, traction, pulsation of umbilical cord) | Died within first 7 days of life | Survived <br> within <br> first 7 <br> days of <br> life |
| Prior transition to WHO criteria |  | Miscarriage |  | Live birth | Intra-uterine death |  | Live birth |  |
| After transition to WHO criteria | Intra-uterine death |  | Live birth |  | Intra-uterine death | Live birth |  |  |

Thus, according to the Soviet methods, newborns without breathing were qualified as "stillbirths." Infants born before 28 weeks of intra-uterine gestation with a weight of less than 1,000 gr. and a height less than 35 cm , who died within the first seven days, were qualified as "miscarriages." When women are interviewed during the household survey about a child's death, they most likely use the new definition of child mortality. It is worth noting that women who have been interviewed were using an empirical definition of "live birth" which was similar to the ILBD.
Infant mortality: the probability of dying before the first birthday. The infant mortality rate is the number of infants who die before their first birthday per 1,000 live births.
Child mortality: the probability of dying during the period between the birth and the fifth birthday. The child mortality rate is the number of deaths among children under five per 1,000 live births.

In MICS surveys, infant and under five mortality rates are calculated based on an indirect estimation technique known as the Brass method (United Nations, 1983; 1990a; 1990b). The data used in the
estimation are: the mean number of children ever born for five year age groups of women from age 15 to 49, and the proportion of these children who are dead, also for five-year age groups of women. The technique converts these data into probabilities of dying by taking into account both the mortality risks to which children are exposed and their length of exposure to the risk of dying, assuming a particular model age pattern of mortality.
According to the survey data (see Table CM.1), infant mortality in the Kyrgyz Republic is 38, while child mortality is 44 (Figure CM.1). Male mortality both before the first birthday and under five years of age is 1.8 times higher than the female mortality, which is significantly determined by biological factors. In rural areas where the living standard is lower, the child mortality rate is 1.4 times more than in urban areas.

Figure CM.1: Under-5 mortality rates by background characteristics, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


A comparison with estimates of the infant and child mortality, obtained in the course of the Demographic and Health Survey of 1997 (Table CM.A; Figure CM.2), shows that there was a steady reduction of these indicators since the 1980s. For example, infant mortality rate has appeared 1.9 times less than DHS-97 figure while under five mortality has decreased by 1.8 times. (Figure CM.2).

Figure CM.2: Trend in under-5 mortality rates, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


Maternal mortality: According to the ILBD definition, maternal mortality is defined as a woman's death caused by pregnancy complications (irrespective of its duration and site), which occurs during the pregnancy period or during the 42 days after its termination. Thus, the rate of maternal mortality is defined by the number of women who die due to complications during the pregnancy, delivery or postpartum period per 100,000 live births.

The most common fatal complication is post-partum haemorrhage. Sepsis, complications of unsafe abortion, prolonged or obstructed labour and the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, especially eclampsia, claim further lives. These complications, which can occur at any time during pregnancy and childbirth without forewarning, require prompt access to quality obstetric services equipped to provide lifesaving drugs, antibiotics and transfusions and to perform the caesarean sections and other surgical interventions that prevent deaths from obstructed labour, eclampsia and intractable haemorrhage.
The measurement of maternal mortality rate is a difficult task. Even countries with a developed statistical system often underestimate this rate because of incorrect use of the WHO/UNICEF/UNFPA classification of the causes of death. That is why indirect estimation techniques are often used for the indicator measurement.
The "sisterhood method" was applied in the survey for the measurement of the maternal mortality, as recommended by the UN and WHO. The method lies in recording the deaths of respondents' sisters during the pregnancy and deliveries. In contrast, using direct techniques in the application of the "sisterhood method" help estimate the probabilities of fertile age women deaths as a result of pregnancy and delivery. The method should be used with caution because of a high probability of estimation error.
According to the survey results (Table RH.6), the maternal mortality rate in the Kyrgyz Republic was 104 female deaths per 100,000 live births, which approximately corresponds to the estimations of international organizations (UNICEF, UNFPA, WHO) throughout the last 10-15 years. In contrast with infant and child mortality rates, the maternal mortality remains constant.
Thus, the infant, child and maternal mortality rates are relatively high in the Kyrgyz Republic. The infant and maternal mortalities are determined by a multitude of causes: economic, social, cultural, the situation of the public health system, the demographic structure and behavior and so on. If with regard to infant and child mortality there is a tendency to decrease, the maternal mortality rate remains constant.
It is well known that infant mortality is considered as one of the most sensitive indicators of the level of poverty in a given country or in a broader sense of the level of socio-economic and human development. Addressing the infant, child and maternal mortalities represent an urgent public affair matter and should be a priority on the agenda of public authorities.

## V. NUTRITION



## Nutritional Status

The nutritional status of children is a reflection of their overall health. When children consume an adequate diet, are not exposed to repeated illnesses, and are well cared for, they reach their growth potential and are considered well-nourished. Malnourished children are at higher risk of morbidity and mortality. Malnutrition during early childhood impacts on the impairment of mental development and learning ability later in life.

In a well-nourished population, there is a standard distribution of height and weight for children under the age of five. The heights and weights of malnourished children are lower than what the expected average of well-nourished children should be at the same age. Therefore, malnourishment in a population can be gauged by comparing the average heights and weights of these children to a reference distribution of children of the same age from a well-nourished, healthy population.

The reference population used in this report is the WHO/CDC/NCHS growth reference, which is recommended for use by UNICEF and the WHO. Each of the three nutritional status indicators can be expressed in Z-scores, or standard deviation units (SD), which show how the children surveyed differ from the mean of this reference. In the chosen reference population, less than 2.3 percent of children have nutritional status indicator scoring one SD unit below the mean score. Only 0.14 percent of children have nutritional status indicators scoring two SD units below the mean.
Weight for age is a measure of both acute and chronic hypotrophy. Children whose weight is more than 2SD units below the average weight of children of the same age in the reference population are considered moderately or severely underweight, while those whose weight for their age is more than 2SD units below the mean are classified as severely underweight. Measurement for the weight of infants and young children is a time-tested method in strategies to prevent child hypotrophy.
Height for age is a measure of linear growth, or stature. Children whose height is more than 2SD units below the mean height are considered short and are classified as stunted, while those whose height for age is more than 3SD units below the mean are classified as severely stunted. Stunting in children usually reflects chronic hypotrophy as a result of inadequate food consumption over a long period or a result of chronic illness.
Finally, children whose weight for height is more then 2SD units below the mean weight for height of children in the reference population are classified as wasted (hypotrophic), while those whose weight for height is more than 3SD units below the average are considered severely wasted. Wasting or thinness, is usually the result of a recent illness or acute nutritional deficiency.
Overfeeding of children on the other hand mostly underlies over-nutrition or fatness, which can be measured also by their weight for height. Children whose weight for height is more than 2SD units above the mean weight of children of the same height in the reference population are considered obese. Especially at the low end of the weight for height distribution of $0-59$ month old children, significant seasonal shifts may be observed in this indicator in association with fluctuations in food availability or disease prevalence.

The distribution of children classified into each of these categories, based on the anthropometric measurements that were taken during the survey, is presented in Table NU.1. Children who were not weighed and measured (approximately $2 \%$ ) and those whose measurements are outside a plausible range (another 1.4\%) are excluded from the consideration. Overall, the information in Table NU. 1 reports data from 96.5 percent of the surveyed children.
Of the children aged $0-59$ months, only $3.4 \%$ are underweight and $0.3 \%$ are severely underweight. Almost one in seven children ( $13.7 \%$ ), however, is stunted and $3.7 \%$ are severely stunted. Wasting occurs in $3.5 \%$ of children and severe wasting in $0.4 \%$. Obesity occurs in $5.8 \%$ of children. Therefore, the most extensive nutritional problem in the Kyrgyz Republic among the under five year-old children is stunting, or retarded growth, which reflects chronic poor nutrition.
The nutritional indicators do not differ significantly by sex. In rural areas, however, more children are stunted ( $15.7 \%$ ) and wasted ( $4.1 \%$ ), which exceeds similar indicators in urban areas where $10.8 \%$ are stunted and $2.7 \%$ are wasted. Moreover, stunting and wasting is lower for children whose moth-
ers had completed higher education. At the same time, obesity occurred nearly twice as often in these children $(8.9 \%)$ than in children of mothers who only completed a secondary education $(5.2 \%)$.
These anthropometric indicators are correlated with the wealth index of the households, with children in the poorer households (first and second quintiles) being notably more stunted ( $18.8 \%$ and $14,9 \%$ respectively) than children in the remainder of households ( $10-12 \%$ ). Also, more Kyrgyz children ( $15.6 \%$ ) are stunted than children of Russian or Uzbek ethnicity.
The age pattern of malnutrition (Figure NU.1) shows that childhood stunting increases to above 15 percent by 24 months of age. This is associated with a small but steady increase in wasting that extends into the third year of age. Although wasting is not of a critical level in the Kyrgyz Republic, it is higher for children below two years of age and significant in infants less than six months old $(8.3 \%)$. As for children below six months, almost one percent is severely wasted, putting them at a sizably higher risk of suffering from malnutrition-related death.

Figure NU.1: Percentage of children under-5 who are undernourished, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


As shown in Figure NU.2, substantial differences in nutritional indicators exist between regions. Stunting in children under 5 is the highest in the Talas, Issyk-kul and Batken regions (approximately $22-27 \%$ ), the lowest (approximately 8-10\%) in the regions of Jalalabad, Chui and Bishkek City, with the Naryn and Osh regions showing stunting levels between (14-15\%). Wasting was the highest (8$9 \%$ ) in Jalalabad and Issyk-kul regions. Interestingly, obesity in children is most notable in Issyk-kul and Talas regions - regions with the highest occurrence of stunting.

Figure NU.2: Regional distribution of malnutrition in 0-59 month old children, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


## Breastfeeding and Complementary Feeding

Breastfeeding for the first few years of a child's life is an economical and safe way to protect children from infection and provide an ideal source of nutrients. Lack of breastfeeding denies the infant an opportunity for early bonding and socialization. Mothers may stop breastfeeding too soon and turn to the use of infant formula, which can contribute to growth stunting and micronutrient malnutrition. Bottle feeding is unsafe in households where clean water is not readily available. At the age of six months, the nutritional needs of infants can no longer be satisfied by breastfeeding alone, that is why complementary feeding needs to start from this age onward to make sure that young children continue to grow properly and stay healthy. The World Fit for Children goal states that children should be exclusively breastfed for the first six months and that breastfeeding should continue along with safe, appropriate and adequate complementary feeding up to the second year and beyond.
In Table NU. 3 breastfeeding status results are based on the reports from mothers/caretakers on children's consumption of food and fluids in the 24 hours prior to the interview. Exclusively breastfed refers to infants who received only breast milk and vitamins, mineral supplements, or medicine. The table shows exclusive breastfeeding of infants during the first six months of life (separately for 0-3 months and 0-5 months), as well as complementary feeding of children 6-9 months and continued breastfeeding of children at 12-15 and 20-23 months of age.
After giving birth, about $64.9 \%$ of mothers start breastfeeding their newborn within one hour and nearly $90 \%$ within one day (Table NU.2, Figure NU.3). However, only $31.5 \%$ of children up to six months of age are exclusively breastfed, a level much lower than considered optimal.
Mothers/caretaker responses indicate that exclusive breastfeeding during the first three months of life among male infants is less prevalent than among female infants ( $32.9 \%$ vs. $50.8 \%$ respectively). Moreover, exclusive breastfeeding for 0-5 month children is about $16 \%$ less prevalent in urban areas than in rural areas, and about $11 \%$ among infants of higher educated mothers if compared with mothers having secondary education. More than two out of three young children are still breastfed by age 12-15 months, and $26 \%$ continue breastfeeding until their second birthday.

Figure NU.3: Percentage of mothers who started breastfeeding within one hour and within one day of birth, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


After six months of age, half of the infants have started receiving solid or semi-solid foods in addition to continued breastfeeding, and after nine months, $38.8 \%$ of infants are breastfed along with complementary feeding at least three times daily (Table NU.4). The percentage of infants aged 6-11 months being breastfed and given complementary feeding in the recommended frequency is about $10 \%$ higher than infants aged 9-11 months.

Although $90 \%$ of newborns are being breastfed within one day after birth, exclusive breastfeeding into the 6th month of life is not practiced in two-thirds of infants. The occurrence of initial exclusive breastfeeding is lowest in male infants and in urban areas. The practice of complementary feeding during the second six months of life is closer to international recommendations in male infants and by mothers of higher education. Nevertheless, only $37.5 \%$ of infants are being appropriately fed throughout their first year of life, which leaves substantial room for improvements in infant and young child feeding practices. Continued breastfeeding up to two years and beyond is established among a significant proportion of young children in the Kyrgyz Republic (Figure NU.4), however, an encouraging finding of good child feeding practices.

Figure NU.4: Infant feeding patterns by age: Percent distribution of children aged under 3 years by feeding pattern by age group, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


## Consumption of Iodised Salt

Illnesses, caused by iodine deficiency (iodine deficiency disorders or IDD) are a global concern. A diet low in iodine leads to diminished mental function and intellectual performance, thereby reducing the education performance of the future generation. Iodine deficiency during pregnancy can lead to increased miscarriages and stillbirths, and in extreme cases it causes endemic cretinism. Iodine deficiency can be prevented by the low-cost strategy of iodising all the salt for human consumption, including the salt used by food industry, and for feeding animals.
Table NU. 5 shows the results of the household salt samples that were tested with a solution that detects iodine. The legislation of the Kyrgyz Republic mandates that all edible salt should be iodised with potassium iodate at $40 \pm 15 \mathrm{mg}$ iodine per kg , or parts per million (PPM) at the point of production and have at least 15 ppm at the point of consumption.
Household salt was tested for iodine during the interviews of 5,160 households. The results of on-the-spot tests showed that three out of four households consume adequately iodised salt ( $15+$ PPM). The salt was more likely to be adequately iodised in urban than in rural areas ( 84.5 and $69.8 \%$, respectively) and in the richest households ( $89.9 \%$ in the fifth quintile and approximately $68.5 \%$ in the first three quintiles). In $23.6 \%$ of households, the tests showed inadequate iodine levels (<15 PPM). It might be worthy to notice that in $2.7 \%$ of households the test result showed zero level of iodization. Thus, the survey results show that practically all the salt supplied in the Kyrgyz Republic is being iodised to some extent, although the salt is iodised at a minimum level according to the national standard.
As Figure NU. 5 shows, use of adequately iodized salt was lowest in the Osh ( $56.8 \%$ ) and highest in the neighboring Batken region ( $96 \%$ ). The notably higher occurrence of non-iodised and insufficiently iodised salt in the households in Osh region was related to an ownership dispute about the salt iodization plant in Osh town.

Figure NU.5: Percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


## Vitamin A supplements

Vitamin A shortage or deficiency impairs the immune system of infants and young children, increasing their chances of dying from common childhood illness. It can cause eye damage and blindness in children with severe or recurrent diarrhea, or in those with an inexpensive high fever from viral diseases such as measles. In a population with vitamin A deficiency, pregnant and lactating women are at a higher health risk. Yet, this deficiency can easily be prevented with an inexpensive highdose supplements, food fortification, or otherwise improved dietary habits. Based on international guidelines endorsed by UNICEF and the WHO, twice yearly the Kyrgyz Ministry of Health carries out mass distribution of high-dose vitamin A capsules for children aged 6-59 months. In addition, vitamin A supplements (VAS) are supplied to mothers after giving birth to boost their vitamin A status during breastfeeding, which benefits the infants during their first six months of life.
In Tables NU. 6 and NU. 7 the status of vitamin A supplementation of children and post-partum mothers is based on the recollection by mothers/caretakers of the six-month period prior to interview. Responses about VAS receipts were obtained for $95.6 \%$ of the $6-59$ month old children. Of the 6,973 women aged 15-49 years interviewed in the survey, 1,209 women who gave birth in the two years before the interview provided information about whether they received a high-dose VAS within eight weeks after giving birth.

Within the six months prior to the MICS, $47 \%$ of the $6-59$ months children received VAS within 6 last months, and $18 \%$ of children never received it. In general, three out of four eligible children under five years old had benefited from the national vitamin A campaign.
The proportion of children who received a confirmed VAS within last six months were higher in urban areas ( $52 \%$ vs. $44 \%$ ) than in rural areas. Children whose mothers had higher education received a confirmed VAS $(58 \%)$ more often than those whose mothers had just a secondary education $(43 \%)$.
The age pattern of confirmed vitamin A supplement receipts shows a modest decline after the age of two years. For children aged 6-11 months at the time of the survey, nearly $40 \%$ of their mothers reported that the infant had not received a supplement, but the responses for this age group are likely influenced by the timing of the last round of the national supplementation scheme.
Confirmed receipts of a VAS were highest for Russian children (58.7\%), and lowest for Uzbek children ( $33.1 \%$ ), while $49 \%$ of Kyrgyz children received a VAS. The differences in the number of nonresponse responses by ethnic group are insignificant.
Half of all women who gave birth in the two years prior to the survey confirmed that they received a VAS within eight weeks after giving birth. The differences between urban and rural areas, and between the respondent's educational levels are not significant.

## Low Birth Weight

Weight at birth is a good indicator not only of a mother's health and nutritional status but also the newborn's chances for survival, growth, long-term health and psychosocial development. Low birth weight (less than 2,500 grams) carries a range of grave health risks for children. Babies who were undernourished in the womb face a greatly increased risk of dying during their early months and years. Those who survive have impaired immune function and increased risk of disease; they are likely to remain undernourished, with reduced muscle strength, throughout their lives, and suffer a higher incidence of diabetes and heart disease in later life. Children born underweight also tend to have a lower IQ and cognitive disabilities, affecting their performance in school and their job opportunities as adults.
One of the major challenges in measuring the incidence of low birth weight is the fact that more than half of infants in the developing world are not weighed. In the past, most estimates of low birth weight for developing countries were based on data compiled from health facilities. However, these estimates are biased for most developing countries because the majority of newborns are not delivered in facilities, and those who are represent only a selected sample of all births.

Because many infants are not weighed at birth and those who are weighed may be a biased sample of all births, the reported birth weights usually cannot be used to estimate the prevalence of low birth weight among all children. Therefore, the percentage of births weighing below 2500 grams is estimated from two items in the questionnaire: the mother's assessment of the child's size at birth (i.e., very small, smaller than average, average, larger than average, very large) and the mother's recall of the child's weight or the weight as recorded on a health card if the child was weighed at birth ${ }^{1}$.

Overall, $96.9 \%$ of births were weighed at birth and approximately 5.3 percent of infants are estimated to weigh less than 2500 grams at birth (Table NU.8). There was significant variation by region with the highest rate in Naryn region (Figure NU.6). The percentage of low birth weight does not vary much by urban and rural areas or by mother's education.

Figure NU.6: Percentage of Infants Weighing Less Than 2500 Grams at Birth, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


[^2]
## VI. CHILD HEALTH



## Oral Rehydration Treatment of Children with Diarrhea

Diarrhoea is the second leading cause of death among children under five worldwide. Most diarrhoea-related deaths in children are due to dehydration from loss of large quantities of water and electrolytes from the body in liquid stools. Management of diarrhoea - either through oral rehydration salts (ORS) or a recommended home fluid (RHF) - can prevent many of these deaths. Preventing dehydration and malnutrition by increasing fluid intake and continuing to feed the child are also important strategies for managing diarrhoea.

The goals are to: 1) reduce by one half death due to diarrhoea among children under five by 2010 compared to 2000 (A World Fit for Children); and 2) reduce by two thirds the mortality rate among children under five by 2015 compared to 1990 (Millennium Development Goals). In addition, the World Fit for Children calls for a reduction in the incidence of diarrhoea by 25 percent.
The indicators are:

```
Prevalence of diarrhoea
■ Oral rehydration therapy (ORT)
\square Home management of diarrhoea
\square (ORT or increased fluids) AND continued feeding
```

In the MICS questionnaire, mothers (or caretakers) were asked to report whether their child had had diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to the survey. If so, the mother was asked a series of questions about what the child had to drink and eat during the episode and whether this was more or less than the child usually ate and drank.
During the course of the survey, 2,883 children aged $0-59$ months had been covered. Of these, 103 children (3.6\%) had episodes of diarrhea in the two weeks preceding the survey (Table CH.4). As analysis shows, male children suffer from diarrhea more frequently than females children by 1.4 times.
Children from rural area had episodes of diarrhea 1.5 times more often than children in urban areas had. Children of 6-23 months have shown the highest frequency of diarrhea cases, occurring 1.6 times more frequently in comparison with children aged 0-6 months, and 3.5 times more than children aged 24-47 months (Figure CH.1).

Figure CH.1: Percentage of children with episodes of diarrhea by age, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


Of those children who had diarrhea nearly $79.6 \%$ did not receive oral rehydration therapy (ORT). Home treatment was carried out only in $15.4 \%$ of cases. Home treatment was more likely for girls than for boys ( $29.3 \%$ vs. $17.4 \%$ respectively).

Children with diarrhea received increased volume of fluids in $25 \%$ of cases and, correspondingly, in $75 \%$ of cases they received just adequate or lower volume of fluids (Table CH.5). During the diarrhea episodes, $48.1 \%$ of children have received reduced quantities of food or did not eat at all.

## Antibiotic Treatment of Children with Suspected Pneumonia

Globally pneumonia is the leading cause of death in children and the prescription of antibiotics for children under five with suspected pneumonia is one of the most effective ways of fight with it. Children with suspected pneumonia, besides having fever or cough, often suffer from rapid or difficult breathing and other symptoms linked to disorders of the respiratory system.
Children with suspected pneumonia are those who had an illness with a cough accompanied by rapid or difficult breathing and whose symptoms were NOT due to a problem in the chest and a blocked nose. The indicators are:
$\square$ Prevalence of suspected pneumonia
$\square$ Care seeking for suspected pneumonia
$\square$ Antibiotic treatment for suspected pneumonia
$\square$ Knowledge of the danger signs of pneumonia

Survey respondents were asked if they had children who suffered from pneumonia within the past two weeks prior to the survey, and whether they received antibiotics during the same period or not.
Table CH. 6 presents the prevalence of suspected pneumonia and, if care was sought outside the home, the site of care. Children whose mothers had higher education were at lower risk of pneumonia (4.9\%) than those whose mothers had just a secondary education (5.6\%) or lower level of education (8.9\%).
Nearly $5.6 \%$ of children aged 0-59 months were reported to have symptoms of pneumonia during the two weeks preceding the survey. Of these children, $62.1 \%$ were taken to an appropriate provider. Children with suspected pneumonia were taken to public hospital or health centre in $43 \%$ of cases, and to village health worker in $19.7 \%$ of cases.
Table CH. 7 presents the use of antibiotics for the treatment of suspected pneumonia in under- 5 s during the two weeks prior to the survey. In the country, $44.5 \%$ of under- 5 children with suspected pneumonia had received an antibiotic- $69.8 \%$ in urban areas and $26.8 \%$ in rural areas. The table also that antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia is likely to grow up with mother's education, but does not vary significantly with respect to the age of child.
Issues related to knowledge of danger signs of pneumonia are presented in Table CH.7A. Obviously, mothers' knowledge of the danger signs is an important determinant of care-seeking behaviour. Overall, $41.8 \%$ of women know of the two danger signs of pneumonia - fast and difficult breathing. The most commonly identified symptom for taking a child to a health facility is a developing fever ( $88.3 \%$ ). About $51.5 \%$ of mothers identified fast breathing and $66.5 \%$ of mothers identified difficult breathing as symptoms for taking children immediately to a health care provider.
Mothers of children aged 0-59 months living in urban areas ( $50.3 \%$ ) were more informed about two danger signs of pneumonia than mothers living in rural areas ( $35.4 \%$ ). Furthermore, the higher the level of education mothers had, the higher the level of their awareness.

The level of awareness about two dangerous pneumonia symptoms is also positively related to the household wealth index. Only $28.5 \%$ of mothers in the poorest household group were informed about pneumonia symptoms, while in the middle group $43.4 \%$ of mothers and in the richest group $55.9 \%$ of mothers were informed about it.

## Solid Fuel Use

Cooking with solid fuels (biomass and coal) leads to high levels of indoor pollution and is a major cause of health problems that can take the form of acute respiratory illnesses, particularly among
children, as well as chronic obstructive illness of the lungs, cancer and other diseases. Use of a closed stove with a chimney decreases indoor pollution significantly.
According to the survey data, more than a third (37.3\%) of all households in the Kyrgyz Republic use solid fuels for cooking (Table CH.8). Large regional differences in solid fuel use exist, as well as differences between urban and rural areas. The highest rate is recorded in the southern regions of the country: from $64.1 \%$ in the Jalalabad region, to $67.1 \%$ in the Osh region, up to $78.3 \%$ in Batken region (Figure CH.2).

Figure CH.2: Percentage of households that use solid fuels for cooking by region. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


Solid fuel use for cooking in urban areas is not significant ( $12.4 \%$ of households), but widely prevails in rural areas, where more than half ( $56.2 \%$ ) of households use solid fuel. The most common form of solid fuel used for cooking is either coal or firewood.
Depending on the level of household wealth, differences are rather significant, with $76.6 \%$ of the poorest group using solid fuel for cooking, while just $0.3 \%$ of the richest group. The same trend is observed with regard to the education level of the head of household, where $62.5 \%$ of those with education lower than secondary use solid fuels and just $15.1 \%$ of those with a high education level do so.
Differences in solid fuel use for cooking by ethnicity of the head of household are also significant. The largest use of solid fuel is made by Uzbek ( $67.2 \%$ ) and Kyrgyz ( $39.8 \%$ ) households, while just $4 \%$ of Russian households use solid fuels. These trends are largely due to the high number of Asian ethnic groups (Kyrgyz and Uzbek) living in rural areas, and also because of persisting cooking traditions of Asian ethnic groups, who bake bread, as a rule, in ovens heated with firewood or coal.
Solid fuel use alone is a poor proxy for indoor air pollution, since the concentration of the pollutants is different when the same fuel is burnt in different stoves or fires. Use of closed stoves with chimneys minimizes indoor pollution, while open stove or fire with no chimney or hood means that there is no protection from the harmful effects of solid fuels. The type of stove used with a solid fuel is depicted in Table CH.9.

Most households have closed stove with chimney (78.4\%), while open stove or fire with chimney or hood was observed in $14.6 \%$ households. The proportion of households with open stove or fire with no chimney or hood is about $2.9 \%$.

## VII. WATER AND SANITATION



## Access to Pure Drinking Water

Access to drinking water of high quality is a necessity for good health. Globally water from open sources is one of basic reasons for the spread of such diseases as trachoma, cholera, typhoid, hepatitis A and schistosomiasis. Organic, non-organic and radiological contaminants with harmful effects on human health may also be found in drinking water.

Piped water, public tap water, borehole/tube well water, protected well water and protected spring water are considered improved drinking water sources. Overall, $88.2 \%$ of the population $(98.7 \%$ in urban areas and $81.8 \%$ in rural areas) have an access to improved drinking water sources (Table EN.1). The situation in southern regions is considerably worse than in northern regions; access to the pure drinking water is available to $68.3 \%$ of the population in the Batken region, and to $84.2 \%$ of the population in the Jalalabad region (Figure EN.1). In households in the poorest group, only 73.8\% have access to improved drinking water sources, while $100 \%$ of the richest households have access to them.

Figure EN.1: Access to improved source of drinking water. Percent of households. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006


The source of drinking water for the population varies strongly by region (Table EN.1). The most common drinking water sources in the Kyrgyz Republic are water pipelines (used by $52.8 \%$ of the population), which run into the dwelling or onto the property, and public taps (used by $27.1 \%$ of the population). Drinking water delivered into dwellings or onto property via pipelines was quite common for Bishkek City ( $80.3 \%$ ) and the Chui region ( $52.7 \%$ ). In the Talas region $41.3 \%$ of the population use piped wells (boreholes), and 21.8\% use public taps (Figure EN.2). The people of the remaining regions mostly use public taps and water pipeline running onto the property.

According to the survey results, $11.8 \%$ of population do not have any access to clean drinking water, including just $9 \%$ who use surface water. The largest level of the surface water consumption is observed in the Batken ( $28.5 \%$ ), Osh ( $14.0 \%$ ) and Naryn ( $10.6 \%$ ) regions. The people of these regions are therefore greatly exposed to the risk of infectious intestinal diseases.

Figure EN.2: Percentage distribution of household members by source of drinking water. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


Use of in-house water treatment is presented in Table EN.2. Households were asked of ways they may be treating water at home to make it safer to drink - boiling, adding bleach or chlorine, using a water filter, and using solar disinfection were considered as proper treatment of drinking water. The table shows the percentages of household members using appropriate water treatment methods, separately for all households, for households using improved and unimproved drinking water sources.
Only $46.7 \%$ of population using unimproved water sources conduct appropriate water treatment $-53 \%$ in urban areas and $46.4 \%$ in rural areas. This percentage is the least in Jalalabad ( $27.1 \%$ ) and Batken (31.6\%) regions. In general, $34.6 \%$ of population use appropriately treated water, including $34.2 \%$ who boil water, $14 \%$ who allow it to precipitate, the remaining either chlorinate water, subject it to solar disinfection and/or use various forms of filtration.

The amount of time it takes to obtain water is presented in Table EN. 3 and the person who usually collected the water in Table EN.4. Note that these results refer to one roundtrip from home to drinking water source. Information on the number of trips made in one day was not collected.
More than half of households ( $58.0 \%$ ) use water from sources piped into their dwelling. The remaining households retrieve water from sources located outside at varying distances from their dwelling. The time spent on water retrieval among these households can vary from five minutes to more than one hour per trip. For populations living in rural areas, one of main problems is water must be retrieved from sources located far from their dwellings. For $34.8 \%$ of households, retrieval takes on average up to 30 minutes (Figure EN.3).

Figure EN.3: Distribution of time spent by household members retrieving drinking water from the source. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


In rural areas such time is spent for water retrieval by $52.1 \%$ of households, while for $12.1 \%$ of households in urban areas it takes up to 30 minutes to retrieve water. Regional differences are also significant. More than $70 \%$ of households in Naryn and Talas spend up to 30 minutes for retrieving water from the source. Water retrieval trips of more than 30 minutes are experienced by $6.5 \%$ of households in the Kyrgyz Republic, including 1.2\% of urban households and $10.6 \%$ of rural. In addition, $49.3 \%$ of women retrieve water for their household, and $10.2 \%$ of girls under 15 undertake this task.

## Use of Sanitary - Hygienic Facilities for Excreta Disposal

In many countries, outbreaks of several diseases, including diarrhea and poliomyelitis, are often connected with improper removal of human excreta and lack of maintenance of proper personal hygiene. Improved sanitary-hygienic facilities include toilets with water flushing, toilets connected with a sewer system or a septic tank, other types of toilets with water flushing, and improved pitlatrines with cesspools or common cesspools.
Nearly $96.3 \%$ of the population lives in households that use sanitary-hygienic facilities, including $99.1 \%$ of the urban population and $94.6 \%$ of the rural population (Table EN.5). Only $73.2 \%$ of the population of the Batken region has access to improved sanitary-hygienic facilities, and $26.3 \%$ of the population use an open pit without a slab. Some $64 \%$ of the inhabitants of Bishkek live in households with access to the sewer system (Figure EN.4). The population of the country usually use pit latrines with slabs $(68.5 \%)$, whereas proportion of water-flashing toilets is equal to $27.2 \%$. About $3.7 \%$ of population have no access to improved facilities.
The Table EN. 5 indicates that use toilets with water flushing is strongly correlated with wealth and is profoundly different between urban and rural areas.

Figure EN.4: Percentage of population with access to improved drinking water sources and improved sanitary-hygienic facilities. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


Safe disposal of a child's faeces means that the last stool by the child was disposed of by use of a toilet or rinsed into toilet or latrine. Disposal of faeces of children 0-2 years of age is presented in Table EN.6. In general, proportion of children whose stools are disposed of safely is equal to $42.7 \%-56.7 \%$ in urban areas and $32.7 \%$ in rural areas.

An overview of the percentage of households with improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal is presented in Table EN.7. According to the survey data, percentage of household population using improved sources of drinking water is equal to $88.2 \%$. Nearly $96.3 \%$ of population use sanitary means of excreta disposal. In general, $84.9 \%$ of population has access to improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal. Again, the situation in the Batken region is considerably worse than in other regions; only $45.8 \%$ percent of the population in this region gets its drinking water from an improved source and uses sanitary means.

## VIII. REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH



## Contraception

Family planning is one of the most important aspects of reproductive behaviour. The fertility control in contemporary society depends on the social position of a woman, her age, place of residence and dwelling conditions, as well as on the social and cultural climate in which she lives.

For this survey, 4,195 women currently married or in union were interviewed with regard to reproductive health. The women were asked whether they use contraception, and which methods they preferred.

The analysis showed that only $47.8 \%$ of married women use contraception (Table RH.1). Use of an Intra Uterine Device (IUD) is the most popular method chosen by the women who admitted using contraception ( $32 \%$ ). The second most popular method is the use of condoms $(5.8 \%)$. The use of oral contraceptives is preferred by 5.1 \% of women.
The survey revealed a significant regional differentiation among women who admitted using contraception. The level of contraception use in northern areas is significantly higher in comparison with the national average ( $52.6 \%$ in the Issyk-kul region; $55.6 \%$ in the Talas region), while it is significantly lower in the Jalalabad (36.2\%) and Batken (45.3\%) regions.
A woman's decision to use contraceptives can be connected to a great extent with a her educational level. Female respondents who have obtained secondary and higher education use contraceptives more frequently than respondents with just a primary level education. The education level also impacts a woman's choice of contraception methods (Figure RH.1). The data show that women with higher education use oral contraception and condoms more often (by a factor of 1.5-2.0).

Figure RH.1: Preferred means of contraception for women and educational level attainment. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


The survey also revealed that a woman's wealth influenced her choice of contraception method. The poorer the woman's household, the less she will spend on contraception. The highest level of IUD use is recorded in the poorest quintile group. Condoms and oral contraception use is most prevalent in the fourth and the richest quintile groups (Figure RH.2).
The preferred choice of contraception methods is slightly different in urban and rural areas. Women of reproductive age in rural areas use IUD 1.2 times more often than their urban counterparts. Correspondingly, in rural areas oral contraception is used rarely by almost 1.5 times and condoms - more rarely by 3 times.
In terms of ethnic groups, IUDs are more frequently used by Kyrgyz (33.4\%) and Uzbek women ( $31.9 \%$ ), Russian women were three times more likely to use condoms and twice as likely to use oral contraceptives than Kyrgyz or Uzbek women did.

Figure RH.2: Percentage of contraception methods chosen by female respondent's household wealth index. Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


## Unmet Need

Unmet need ${ }^{1}$ for contraception refers to fecund women who are not using any method of contraception, but who wish to postpone the next birth or who wish to stop childbearing altogether. Unmet need is identified in MICS by using a set of questions eliciting current behaviours and preferences pertaining to contraceptive use, fecundity, and fertility preferences.
Women in unmet need for spacing includes women who are currently married (or in union), fecund (are currently pregnant or think that they are physically able to become pregnant), currently not using contraception, and want to space their births. Pregnant women are considered to want to space their births when they did not want the child at the time they got pregnant. Women who are not pregnant are classified in this category if they want to have a(nother) child, but want to have the child at least two years later, or after marriage.
Women in unmet need for limiting are those women who are currently married (or in union), fecund ((are currently pregnant or think that they are physically able to become pregnant), currently not using contraception, and want to limit their births. The latter group includes women who are currently pregnant but had not wanted the pregnancy at all, and women who are not currently pregnant but do not want to have a(nother) child.
Total unmet need for contraception is simply the sum of unmet need for spacing and unmet need for limiting.
Using information on contraception and unmet need, the percentage of demand for contraception satisfied is also estimated from the MICS data. Percentage of demand for contraception satisfied is defined as the proportion of women currently married or in union who are currently using contraception, of the total demand for contraception. The total demand for contraception includes women who currently have an unmet need (for spacing or limiting), plus those who are currently using contraception.

Table RH. 2 shows the results of the survey on unmet need and the demand for contraception satisfied. Answers of nearly 4,200 surveyed women showed that unmet need for contraception is about $1.1 \%$. Very slight fluctuations (in terms of region, age group, education, etc.) are actually observed.

## Antenatal Care

The antenatal period is a time of intrauterine development of the fetus from the time the zygote is formed until the labour process takes place. The antenatal period presents important opportunities

[^3]for reaching pregnant women with a number of interventions that may be vital to their health and well-being and that of their infants. It is very important to adequately organize a system of antenatal care (antenatal monitoring) which includes care provided to pregnant woman to protect her health as well as the health of her unborn child, and to ensure necessary assistance for her partner or her family to ease the transition to motherhood and fatherhood.
Antenatal care envisages prophylaxis, early screening and treatment of diseases, for a mother and a fetus. Training that helps woman correctly prepare for labour and enhances her trust towards health personnel (birth attendants) plays an important role. Adverse outcomes such as low birth weight can be reduced through a combination of interventions to improve women's nutritional status and prevent infections (e.g., malaria and STIs) during pregnancy. Quality health care and testing during the antenatal period allows early stage prevention and detection of the signs and symptoms of diseases or deviations and allow the mother to seek appropriate treatment. This, in its own turn, assists in reducing newborn morbidity and infant mortality.
WHO recommends a minimum of four antenatal visits based on a review of the effectiveness of different models of antenatal care. WHO guidelines are specific on the content on antenatal care visits, which include:

- Blood pressure measurement
- Urine testing for bateriuria and proteinuria

■ Blood testing to detect syphilis and severe anemia
$\square$ Weight/height measurement (optional)
In order to determine the quality of antenatal care, 1,209 women who had given birth to children during the two preceding years were interviewed. The proportion of pregnant women who received skilled antenatal care/monitoring once or several times during the pregnancy is $96.9 \%$. The analysis shows, there was no significant difference observed by regions. The lowest percent of those who received antenatal care services once or several times during pregnancy was in Jalalabad region ( $92.7 \%$ ). However, there was some difference between urban and rural areas ( $95.4 \%$ and $99.0 \%$ respectively). In the poorest quintile group, these women accounted for $93.6 \%$, and in the richest quintile they accounted for $99.0 \%$.
According to the survey results, in $85.3 \%$ of these cases, doctors provided antenatal care services and in $11.3 \%$ of cases, a nurse or midwife provided these services. Of the surveyed women, $2.5 \%$ did not obtain any antenatal care services during pregnancy. It is noted that there is a difference between rural and urban areas in terms of antenatal care services provided by a physician ( $79.0 \%$ vs. $94.6 \%$ respectively).
The highest proportion of women who received antenatal care from medical doctor are in Bishkek ( $98.2 \%$ ) and the Naryn region ( $94.6 \%$ ), while in the Issyk-kul and Batken regions the percent women who received antenatal care was $78 \%$ and $75.5 \%$ respectively (Figure RH.3). Correspondingly, the highest proportion of pregnant women who received antenatal care from a nurse or midwife was observed in these regions ( $18.5 \%$ in the Issyk-kul region and $21.8 \%$ in the Batken region).

Figure RH.3: Coverage by antenatal care, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


Also, there was a correlation between the household wealth index and antenatal care coverage by doctors. The lowest coverage rate is observed in the poorest and the second quintile groups (71.7\% and $82.4 \%$ respectively), and the highest coverage rate is observed in the richest and the fourth quintiles ( $93.3 \%$ and $88.6 \%$ respectively). Additionally, blood testing and blood pressure measurements were carried out in $96.8 \%$ of cases, while in $96.6 \%$ of cases urine testing and weight measurements were performed.

## Assistance at Delivery

Three quarters of all maternal deaths occur during delivery and the immediate post-partum period. The single most critical intervention for safe motherhood is to ensure a competent health worker with midwifery skills is present at every birth, and transport is available to a referral facility for obstetric care in case of emergency. A World Fit for Children goal is to ensure that women have ready and affordable access to skilled attendance at delivery. The indicators are the proportion of births with a skilled attendant and proportion of institutional deliveries.
The basic goals of assistance to women during the birthing process include safe (non-traumatic) deliveries, early diagnosis and treatment of delivery complications (such as excessive bleeding, eclampsy, obstructed labor, etc.), early diagnosis and treatment of post-partum complications and effective post-partum care. No less important is the attention given to the newborn in the early neonatal period.
The MICS included a number of questions to assess the proportion of births attended by a skilled attendant. A skilled attendant includes a doctor, nurse, midwife or auxiliary midwife.
At present, delivery at the hospital is free of charge in the Kyrgyz Republic. However, in spite of this, delivery assistance in hospitals is not accessible for all women, especially those who live in remote, mountainous areas, for example, in the Naryn, Osh and Jalalabad regions.
Over the course of this survey, 1,209 women between the ages of $15-49$ who gave birth within the past two years were asked where their deliveries took place (at medical institutions or otherwise), and who provided assistance at delivery.
The analysis revealed that deliveries in the overwhelming majority of cases ( $96.9 \%$ throughout the entire Republic) took place in medical institutions (Table RH.5). For the most part, large regional differences were not observed, except for the Batken and Jalalabad regions. In these regions, the percentage of deliveries that took place in medical institutions was $88.3 \%$, and $92.6 \%$, respectively.

Figure RH.4: Percentage of deliveries assisted by skilled attendant. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006


All the deliveries in the Chui and Issyk-kul regions and Bishkek city were assisted by skilled health personnel. In a majority of cases ( $76.3 \%$ ), doctors provided delivery assistance, while in $20.9 \%$ of
cases a nurse or midwife handled the task. In just $1.8 \%$ of cases, skilled birth attendants did not attend in the delivery (Figure RH.4).
It was revealed that the percentage of deliveries assisted by doctors or nurse/midwife depended on the woman's place of residence. In urban areas, $94.2 \%$ of deliveries were assisted by doctors and only $5.7 \%$ were assisted by a nurse or midwife. In rural areas doctors administered $64.0 \%$ of births with $31.2 \%$ of births assisted by nurse/midwife.

Figure RH.5: Percentage of deliveries assisted by doctor or nurse/midwife according to household wealth index. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006


The survey results by ethnic group showed that the percentage of deliveries assisted by doctors is a little higher for Russian respondents ( $86.4 \%$ ) compared to other groups, and they have $100 \%$ of their deliveries in medical institutions. The percentage of deliveries assisted by doctors for Kyrgyz women reached $74.0 \%$, while $22.7 \%$ of births were assisted by nurse or midwife. For Uzbek women the percentages were $72.6 \%$ and $23.6 \%$, respectively.

According to the survey results, the higher the educational level of woman, the higher is the likelihood her delivery was assisted by doctors, while a higher percentage of women with just a primary education received delivery assistance from a nurse or midwife.
The wealth index level of a household also has an impact on the type of medical assistance at delivery. As Figure RH. 5 shows, nearly $60 \%$ of women from the poorest quintile group of households had their deliveries assisted by doctors, while $33.2 \%$ of women from these households received assistance from a nurse or midwife. For the richest quintile groups these indicators are equal to $96.3 \%$ and $3.7 \%$, respectively.

## IX. EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT AND EDUCATION



One of the most important periods of a child's development is the first five years of life. Care provided by adults in this critical period establishes the basis and conditions for more successful child development in the future. Parents and adults involve the child in the various activities such as reading books with text or pictures available at home, and playing games that help develop mental and physical capacities. Especially within the preschool period a child's physical health, character, attitude towards other people, and drive to learn and study are formed.
Information on a number of activities that support early learning was collected in the survey. These included the involvement of adults with children in the following activities: reading books or looking at picture books, telling stories, singing songs, taking children outside the home, compound or yard, playing with children, and spending time with children naming, counting, or drawing things.
During the three days preceding the survey, around $70 \%$ of children under the age of five, participated in four or more types of activities that promoted training and preparation for school (Table CD.1).
It was discovered that the level of the parents' education influences their children's development and predicts a high level of interaction with their children. For example, the higher the educational level of the mother and father, the more frequently and more qualitatively they interact with their child.
The survey revealed gender parity, where parents paid equal attention to females and males in activities such as reading books, going for walks, developing games, etc.
At the same time, the social status of a family and its wealth index score makes a noticeable influence on the level of parental participation in their child's development. While $64 \%$ of children under five in the poorest quintile participated in four or more types of child development activities, more than $83.6 \%$ of children in the richest quintile were involved in such activities. Also, a number of child development activities positively correlate with well-being index.
Differences were also observed among surveyed ethnic groups. The highest degree of parental participation in the early childhood development was observed among Russians, where $84 \%$ of children were involved in such activities. In Kyrgyz families, the rate is equal to $73.5 \%$ and it is equal to $53.6 \%$ in Uzbek families. Difference of the percentage of families where the father participated in early childhood education was insignificant among each ethnic group (5.1\% of Russian families, $4.5 \%$ of Kyrgyz families, and $3.8 \%$ of Uzbek families).
Differences in the attitudes of parents toward early childhood development among urban and rural families were recorded, where parents in rural areas participated slightly less than urban parents $(65.0 \%$ vs. $79.7 \%)$. This is connected partially with the lower level of education of rural populations, where according to the results of the 1999 Census, the proportion of young people who attain higher education has steadily decreased.
Exposure to books in early years not only provides the child with greater understanding of the nature of print, but may also give the child opportunities to see others reading, such as older siblings doing school work. Presence of books is important for later school performance and IQ scores.
In general, throughout the Republic more than $76 \%$ of children live in households that have three or more children's books (Table CD.2). In addition, the number of available books for children exceeds the number for adults. Both boys and girls are equally provided with books.
As the survey testifies, children in urban areas have greater access to books than in rural areas. Nearly $81 \%$ of urban children under five years of age have three or more books, while $72.9 \%$ of children under five in rural areas have three or more books. Apparently, the resulting difference was influenced by the lower level of rural household incomes, as well as by the difficulties of purchasing of books in rural areas. At the regional level, the difference in the availability of books for children is insignificant (from $73 \%$ to $86 \%$ ), except for the Jalalabad region ( $58 \%$ ).
Also, the availability of three or more books for children and adults with regard to the household wealth index reveals little difference between the richest and poorest households.
Along with books, toys provide a defining influence on early childhood development. The survey showed that approximately $25 \%$ of children between the ages of $0-59$ months had three or more types of objects designed for games at home. These include objects found around the house, self-made toys, toys
bought at a shop, and objects and toys found outside the house. It was noted that $95.1 \%$ of children in urban households and $86.4 \%$ of children in rural households use toys purchased from a shop. As for selfmade toys, they are more frequently used in the Talas region ( $46.7 \%$ ) and the Issyk-kul region ( $40.5 \%$ ). Rural children play more often with self-made toys than urban children ( $33.1 \%$ vs. $15.5 \%$, respectively).
Leaving children alone or in the presence of other young children is known to increase the risk of accidents. In MICS, two questions were asked to find out whether children aged 0-59 months were left alone during the week preceding the interview, and whether children were left in the care of other children under 10 years of age.
Table CD. 3 shows that $10 \%$ of children aged 0-59 months were left in the care of other children, while $1.6 \%$ were left alone during the week preceding the interview. Generally, it was estimated that $10.6 \%$ of children were left with inadequate care during the week preceding the survey. This happened more often for rural inhabitants than for urban ones ( $12.5 \%$ vs. $7.8 \%$, respectively), and more common for children at age $24-59$ months ( $13.9 \%$ ) than for children under 2 years old $(5.7 \%)$. Also, the higher income parents have, the lower is the risk for their children to be left alone.

## Preschool Attendance and School Readiness

Attendance to preschool education in an organized learning or child education program is important for the readiness of children to school. One of the World Fit for Children goals is the promotion of early childhood education.
Participation in preschool and primary school plays a vital role both in a child's subsequent development and the identification of his/her role in society. It is generally known that participation in preschool and similar school preparation programs at an early age greatly enhance to a child's success in school. The development of the child at this stage also directly depends on the parents' influence and on the amount of attention they pay to the child's education.
Preschool institutions were always significant within the framework of children preparation for school, taking into account professional skills of the staff and appropriateness of educational methods. But as the survey results show, preschool institutions are attended by $19 \%$ of children aged 36-59 months (Table ED.1). Rather significant differences are available in respect of this indicator between urban and rural areas, as well as between regions. One third of children attend preschool institutions in towns, while this indicator is around $10 \%$ for rural areas. The highest attendance percentage ( $41.9 \%$ ) falls in Bishkek city, the lowest - in Batken region at $6.6 \%$.
The analysis of the ethnic composition of children shows that Russian children have the highest rate of preschool education during preschool age (42.6\%.) For Kyrgyz children this indicator is $17.3 \%$, for Uzbek children the percentage is $14.9 \%$. Wealthy people ( $47.4 \%$ in the richest quintile) more often than others ( $7.1 \%$ in the poorest quintile) expose their children to preschool education (Figure ED.1).

Figure ED.1: Percentage of children aged 36-59 months currently attending early childhood education by household wealth index. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006


Within the coverage of children with educational programs again mothers' educational level is a decisive factor. Thus, $13.5 \%$ of children aged 36-59 months, whose mothers have secondary education, attend educational programs at the early age, while $42.5 \%$ of children whose mothers have higher education received preschool education. Most of children ( $91.1 \%$ ) whose mothers have gained only primary education don't attend preschool educational programs.
The Table ED. 1 also shows the proportion of children in the first grade of primary school who attended pre-school the previous year, an important indicator of school readiness. Overall, $20.2 \%$ of children who are currently age 7 and attending the first grade of primary school were attending pre-school the previous year. The proportion among males is slightly higher ( $20.7 \%$ ) than females ( $19.6 \%$ ), while almost two out of five urban children ( $39.5 \%$ ) had attended pre-school the previous year compared to $8.8 \%$ among children living in rural areas.

## Primary and Secondary School Participation

Universal access to basic education and the achievement of primary education by the world's children is one of the most important goals of the Millennium Development Goals and A World Fit for Children. Education is a vital prerequisite for combating poverty, empowering women, protecting children from hazardous and exploitative labour and sexual exploitation, promoting human rights and democracy, protecting the environment, and influencing population growth.
The indicators for primary and secondary school attendance include:
■ Net intake rate in primary education

- Net primary school attendance rate
- Net secondary school attendance rate

Net primary school attendance rate of children of secondary school age
Female to male education ratio (GPI)
The indicators of school progression include:
■ Survival rate to grade five

- Transition rate to secondary school

Net primary completion rate
As the survey results showed, throughout the Kyrgyz Republic only $72.6 \%$ of children attend primary school out of the total number of children at the primary school entrance age of 7 years (Table ED.2). Moreover, the percentage of males who entered primary school made up $68.5 \%$, while the percentage of females entering totalled $77.9 \%$. At the regional level, the highest percentage of children entering the first form came from the Osh region (94.5\%).

The influence of the parents' educational level on their children's attendance at primary school was notable. Nearly $71 \%$ of seven year olds, whose mothers have a secondary education, attended primary school, and nearly $76.1 \%$ of children whose mothers had higher
 education attended primary school.

The primary school net attendance ratio ${ }^{1}$ throughout the country is $92.1 \%$, comprising $92.9 \%$ in urban areas and $91.7 \%$ in rural areas (Table ED.3). Differences according to gender are insignificant, except for the Chui region, where a gap between males and females exceeds $10 \%$, with females' rate being higher. The first grade net attendance ratio is the highest among Uzbeks ( $95.4 \%$ ) and Kyrgyz ( $91.9 \%$ ) who timely send their children to primary school.
Interestingly, one third of children of age six already attend the 1-st grade (Figure ED.2). As for children at the primary school entrance age of 7 years, about $50.5 \%$ of them attend primary first grade, while $20.0 \%$ of them attend second grade already and nearly $2.2 \%$ of children of age seven attend primary third grade.

Figure ED.2: Percentage of children of 6 years age attending grade 1, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


The primary school net attendance ratio of children of secondary school age is presented in Table ED.4W. Nearly $17.1 \%$ of the 11 years old children are attending primary school when they should be attending secondary school. The percentage doesn't vary much with regard to region, residence or mother's education.
Throughout the Republic $98.6 \%$ of schoolchildren complete their primary school education and pass to the fifth form (Table ED 5). The primary school completion net ratio on average throughout the country is $79.2 \%$, and the ratio of transfer to the secondary school level is $99.1 \%$ (Table ED 6). The primary school completion net ratio for males is $78.1 \%$, and for females $-80.3 \%$. The primary school completion net ratio in urban areas is nearly $81 \%$, and $78.4 \%$ in rural areas.
As for the wealth index, transition rate to secondary education is the highest in the richest quintile, which measure $100 \%$. Meanwhile, if the ratio of transfer to the secondary school level for all nationalities is rather high, then the primary school completion net ratio is, on the contrary, rather low (for Kyrgyz - $78.8 \%$, for Russians - $64.2 \%$, for Uzbeks - $85.3 \%$ ). Such indicators are often explained by internal and external migration processes.
Some $89.2 \%$ of children at the relevant age attend the middle or senior stages of secondary school in the country (Table ED.4). In urban areas this includes $90.9 \%$ of children of relevant age, at $88.4 \%$ in rural areas.

As the survey results showed, the ratio of school completion decreases with the increase of age. And, it is observed both among males and among females. It is explained, first, by the increasing burden of education related expenses on the family, when the poorest strata are not able to cover education expenses at the middle and especially at the senior stage. Expenses include: textbooks and writing materials, books, clothes, footwear and food. Not having sufficient income, poorer families are forced to decrease their demand for their children's education. In addition, same families do not see

[^4]
the importance for their children to continue education at the senior stage because of the impossibility of their children continuing their education at the institutions of higher learning.
As the analysis reveals, according to the secondary school net attendance ratio, the Kyrgyz Republic has nearly closed the gender gap in basic education (Table ED.7). Females are not only unimpeded in obtaining educational services, but according to several indicators, they surpass indicators related to males (Table ED.3, Table ED.4).

## Adult Literacy

One of the World Fit for Children goals is to assure adult literacy. Adult literacy is also an MDG indicator, relating to both men and women. In MICS, since only a women's questionnaire was administered, the results are based only on females age 15-24. . Literacy was assessed on the ability of women to read a short simple statement or on school attendance.
It is generally known that the literacy rate in Kyrgyzstan is sufficiently high, and approaching to the total literacy coverage. Results of the survey show that the percentage of female literacy in the age group 15-24 years is practically equal to $100 \%$ (Table ED.8) with a few very low regional and ethnical variations.

## X. CHILD PROTECTION



## Birth Registration

The International Convention on the Rights of the Child states that every child has the right from birth to have a name, a nationality and to have the right to protection of his or her identity. Birth registration is a fundamental means of securing these rights for children.

According to the survey data, the births of the vast majority of children under five years of age in the Kyrgyz Republic ( $94.2 \%$ ) have been registered (Table CP.1). Birth registration in urban areas ( $95.9 \%$ ) is a little higher than in rural areas ( $93.1 \%$ ). The highest registration rate exists among the children of the Batken region, where $97.9 \%$ of newborns have a birth certificate. Gender disparities are rather insignificant; the percentage of registered males ( $94.8 \%$ ) is only slightly higher than that of females ( $93.6 \%$ ).

According to Kyrgyz Republic legislation, an application for the birth registration of a child should be submitted to the registry office not later than one month after the birth. However, as the survey results showed, the number of registered newborns during the first year of their lives made up only 89.8\% (Figure CP.1).

Figure CP.1: Birth registration by age group. Kyrgyz republic, 2006


An unregistered marriage ( $32.4 \%$ of respondents) is one of main reasons for the missing registrations of newborns. The second reason for no-registration of their child is the distance to the registry office. Kyrgyzstan is a mountainous country, and it is often necessary for parents of a newborn to cross high, mountainous passes during their travels to the local registry office.
It was revealed that for $3.8 \%$ of parents, the cost of the child birth registration is too high. A small portion of respondents $(1.3 \%$ ) did not know where they can obtain the child birth certificate. Half of one percent of respondents did not wish to pay a fine because of their delay in registering, though according to the legislation of the country, there is no system of fines in this case. It is most likely that parents did not know about this fact.
It should be pointed out that nevertheless, the majority of respondents ( $53.1 \%$ ) did not register their children's birth due to so-called "other reasons" (an open question of the Questionnaire, where a reply was written by the interviewer) which included the parents lacking passports. As is well known, passport issuance was practically stopped in the country for a while, and the situation has only improved somewhat beginning in 2006.
A mother's educational level does not play a significant role in the receipt of the child's birth certificate. While $96.3 \%$ of newborns were registered with mother's who attained higher education, some $93.4 \%$ of newborns were registered with mothers with education less than secondary. Thus, it is possible to state that the improvement of a newborn registration system at registry offices directly depends on population awareness.

The frequency of children living with neither parent, mother only, and father only is presented in Table HA.10. Nearly $82 \%$ out of 9,923 surveyed children live in both parents. This percentage doesn't much vary by ethnicity except Russian population where this figure is equal to $66 \%$ only. Children living with neither biological parent comprised $5.4 \%$ of cases while there were only $0.5 \%$ of children having both parents dead. Nearly $12 \%$ of children are living with one parent; mostly with mothers (10.8\%).

## Child Labour

Article 32 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child states: "States Parties recognize the right of the child to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child's education, or to be harmful to the child's health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development..." The World Fit for Children mentions nine strategies to combat child labour and the MDGs call for the protection of children against exploitation.
In the MICS questionnaire, a number of questions addressed the issue of child labour, that is, children 5-14 years of age involved in labour activities. A child is considered to be involved in child labour activities at the moment of the survey if during the week preceding the survey:

Ages 5-11: at least one hour of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work per week.
Ages 12-14: at least 14 hours of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work per week.
This definition allows differentiating child labour from child work to identify the type of work that should be eliminated.

In the Kyrgyz Republic, child labour is determined by social and cultural structure of the Kyrgyz society. Some parents raise their children from an early age without taking into account wishes of the child as well as perspectives of child development in order to serve family interests. Over time these children fail to learn how to make decisions on their own, let alone know what kind of rights they have as a child. The process of suppressing a child's personality when its own identity is being formed occurs painlessly and, perhaps, unnoticed by the children themselves. The fact that often heavy child labour is contraindicated to children from the viewpoint of its safety and health protection is not taken seriously.

In accordance with the survey data, out of all children of 5-14 years of age $3.6 \%$ were child laborers. The gender breakdown shows that $4.3 \%$ of male children and $2.9 \%$ of female children are working children. Among them $1.5 \%$ were children working outside their households and only $0.1 \%$ of them were paid for the work they performed. Domestic work ( 28 hours per week) was done by $1.2 \%$ of children ( $1.4 \%$ of male children and $0.9 \%$ of female children). About $1.3 \%$ of children were engaged in the family business.

It was revealed that the majority of working children reside in rural households ( $4.5 \%$ ) as they perform the role of bread-winners with consent from their parents, relatives or participants in the family business. Only $1.9 \%$ of children in urban areas are working.
At the time of the survey, $84 \%$ of children aged 5-14 years attended school. More specifically, $75.9 \%$ of them were also involved in child labour activities (Table CP.3).
Parents influence their children in terms of their life values and beliefs, character formation, especially at an early age. Children prefer to be engaged in the similar types of activities and life style as their parents. This fact confirms that early engagement in the labour activities is perceived as normal way of living. Even when families stop experiencing a shortage of resources, these children may continue working.


## Child Discipline

As stated in A World Fit for Children, "children must be protected against any acts of violence..." and The Millennium Declaration calls for the protection of children against abuse, exploitation and violence.

In the MICS survey, mother/caretakers of children 3-14 years were asked a series of questions on the ways parents tend to discipline their children when they misbehave. Note that for the child discipline module, one child aged 3-14 years per household was selected randomly during fieldwork. Out of these questions, three indicators used to describe aspects of child discipline are:
$\square$ Psychological aggression as punishment.
■ Minor physical punishment.
Severe physical punishment.
One of the important facts was to know the number of parents/caretakers of children 3-14 years of age that believe that in order to raise their children properly, they need to physically punish them.
In the Kyrgyz Republic, about half of children (51.4\%) in the age group 3-14 years were subjected to at least one form of psychological or physical punishment by their mothers/caretakers or other household members. More importantly, $2.6 \%$ of children were subjected to severe physical punishment. The survey found that $7.7 \%$ of mothers and caretakers think that imposing physical punishment on a child is a correct way of raising a child.
It was revealed that male children were subjected to both minor and severe physical discipline (37.4\% and $2.9 \%$ respectively) more than female children ( $33.7 \%$ and $2.3 \%$ respectively) (Figure CP.2). It is interesting that differences with respect to many of the background variables (rural/urban, child age, mother's education, etc.) were not observed. Only a small percentage of parents/caretakers stated they believe that in order to raise their children properly, they need to physically punish them (7.7\%), when $38.3 \%$ of parents indicated the opposite.

Figure CP.2: Percentage of types of punishment used with regard to children 3-14 years of age, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


## Early Marriage and Polygyny

In many parts of the world parents encourage the marriage of their daughters while they are still children in hopes that the marriage will benefit them both financially and socially, while also relieving financial burdens on the family. The right to 'free and full' consent to a marriage is recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights - with the recognition that consent cannot be 'free and full' when one of the parties involved is not sufficiently mature to make an informed decision about a life partner. The Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women mentions the right to protection from child marriage in article 16, which states: "The betrothal and the marriage of a child shall have no legal effect, and all necessary action, including legislation, shall be taken to specify a minimum age for marriage...."
Child and juvenile marriage is a violation of human rights, as it impedes the development of girls, and often results in early pregnancy and social isolation reduces the changes of the girl receiving a proper education or vocational training. They reinforce the gendered nature of poverty. Women married at younger ages are more likely to dropout of school, experience higher levels of fertility, have larger probability of mortality related to maternity, and are more likely to become victims of domestic violence. Young married girls are a unique, though often invisible, group. Required to perform heavy amounts of domestic work, under pressure to demonstrate fertility, and responsible for raising children while still children themselves, married girls and child mothers face constrained decision-making and reduced life choices.

The minimal age for marriage in the Kyrgyz Republic for both men and women is 18, as established by the Family Code. In certain circumstances, (more often, it is the bride's pregnancy), local state bodies are authorized to give permits to persons upon reaching 16 years, who wish to get married.

Approximately $12.2 \%$ of women in the Kyrgyz Republic get married before their 18th birthday (Table CP. 5 and Figure CP.3). Marriages before age 18 are more often in rural areas than in urban areas ( $14.2 \%$ vs. $9.7 \%$ for women aged $20-49$ years). In addition, percentage of married (or in union) women aged 15-19 years is also higher in rural areas than in urban areas ( $10.1 \%$ vs. $4.1 \%$ respectively).
Among women having less than secondary education a proportion of those who married prior reaching their 18th birthday is equal to $28.4 \%$. The rate is more than 2 times less for women with secondary education ( $13.1 \%$ ) and more than 4.5 lower for women with higher education.
According to the survey results, daughters are given away in marriage at an early nuptial age more often in the poorest ( $16.5 \%$ ), than in the richest ( $9.1 \%$ ) households. Thus, it is possible to mention poverty as on of the main reasons of early marriages.

Figure CP.3: Percentage of women who married before 18 years of age by region. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006


The largest percentage of women, who indicated their early marriage, falls into the 30-34 age group ( $17.5 \%$ ), followed by the 25-29 age group ( $13.8 \%$ ), and the $45-49$ age group ( $12.0 \%$ ). Thus, a tendency for women to marry early was more likely to happen in the last decade and than 30 years ago. Here it is appropriate to remind that a distinctive feature of the Kyrgyz population at the end of the 19th century was an early marriage age for women. According to the census data of $1897,35 \%$ of $15-16$ year old women were married, and in the 20-24 age group, practically all women were married. The struggle against early marriages gained momentum after the establishment of the Soviet Union, when most young girls entered the public educational system.

In the 1920s and 1930s, a movement against polygyny also gained acceptance. By the 1930s a polygyny among the Kyrgyz population had virtually been eliminated. However, according to the survey data, around $1.7 \%$ of respondents of fertile age (15-49) stated that they were in a polygamous marriage or union. Such a social position depends on the location and on the wealth level. Polygamous marriages were registered more often in the Batken (3.6\%) and Chui (3.1\%) regions. The reason for this could be attributed to a high level of young unmarried male labourers in Batken region who migrated, to a relatively low level of poverty in the Chui region. The largest percentage of polygamous marriages was observed among representatives of the richer strata of population ( $1.9 \%$ and 2.0 ) and the least number recorded among the poorest population strata ( $1.2 \%$ ).
With regard to the average age difference of the married couple, it is quite common for 20-24 year old women to have husbands (partners) who are 0-4 years older ( $57.0 \%$ ) or 5-9 years older ( $35.6 \%$ ). Rarely these women have husbands who are younger (1.4\%) or considerably older (more than 10 years $-6.0 \%$ ) (Table CP.6).
In conclusion, the early marriage of women is characteristic for the Kyrgyz Republic, just as it had been previously. The occurrence of polygamous marriages (unions) has also failed to disappear. On the one hand, it is caused by a significant poverty level of population, and on the other hand, it is due to a preservation of folk traditions.

## Domestic Violence

The UN Declaration on the Elimination of Violence against Women of 1993 defined a violence against women as "... any act of gender-based violence that result in, or is likely to result in, physical, sexual of psychological harm or suffering to women, including threats of such acts, coercion or arbitrary deprivation of liberty, whether occurring in public or in private life" and called for eradication of violence with regard to women.
Violence towards women includes the following forms: violence committed by a partner and rape, including spousal rape. Domestic violence, or violence committed by a close partner, is a type of aggres-
sive behaviour including the use of forceful actions both physical and sexual. The forms of physical violence include slapping, shaking, beating by the hand or by an object, suffocating, hitting with the legs, etc. Forms of sexual violence include forced sexual relations through threats or use of physical force.
A number of questions were asked of women aged 15-49 years to assess their attitudes towards whether husbands or partners are justified when hitting or beating their wives in a variety of scenarios.
On average, nearly one of the five women who participated in the interview expressed support for the possibility of violence towards women for such reasons as leaving the house without husband's permission (20.5\%), inappropriate or inadequate care provided to the children ( $22.4 \%$ ), disagreeing with and/or objecting to the husband $(25,6 \%)$ (Figure CP.4). However, the percentage of such support decreases if the cause of violence is refusal to have unwanted sexual intercourse $(9.5 \%$ ) or burned food (11.3\%). In general, about $38 \%$ of women accepted domestic violence due to any of above reasons, at that rural women accepted domestic violence as justified twice as often as urban women.

Figure CP.4: Percentage of women who supported domestic violence for selected reasons by residence, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


The respondents' answers vary by ethnic group and this variation may be connected with the degree of domestic violence episodes committed by the husbands or partners against the women. The main assumption here is that women that agree with the statements indicating that husbands/partners are justified to beat their wives/ partners in reality tend to be abused by their own husbands/partners. Uzbek women tended to agree with the justification for punishment in the situations described above considerably more than Kyrgyz and Russian women (Figure CP.5).

Figure CP.5: Percentage of women who supported domestic violence for selected reasons by ethnicity, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


## XI. HIVIAIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR, AND ORPHANED CHILDREN



## Knowledge of HIV Transmission and Condom Use

TThe availability of correct information about HIV / AIDS transmission and prevention, especially among young people, is a major factor in controlling infection rates. Incorrect information, on the contrary, reduces the effectiveness of preventive activities and leads to higher infection rates.

In order to identify their level of awareness of HIV/AIDS and its prevention, the subjects interviewed were asked whether they knew how HIV is transmitted and how it is possible to protect themselves.
There were 7,043 women interviewed on the subject. The survey results showed that the level of HIV / AIDS awareness among women aged 15-49 varied regionally (Table HA.1). While 99\% of female respondents in Bishkek had heard about HIV/AIDS, around $96 \%$ of women in the northern regions knew of the disease, just $81.8 \%$ in Batken, $86.4 \%$ in Osh, and $88.5 \%$ in Jalalabad had knowledge of HIV/ AIDS.

The lowest levels of awareness among the respondents regarding preventing HIV/AIDS transmission was recorded in the Batken (41.0\%), Osh (29.5\%) and Jalalabad (19.1\%) regions; where the respondents did not know even one method of HIV / AIDS prevention.

Table HA. 2 presents the percent of women who can correctly identify misconceptions concerning HIV. The indicator is based on the two most common and relevant misconceptions in Kyrgyzstan, that HIV can be transmitted by sharing food and by mosquito bites. The table also provides information on whether women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means, and that HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles. Of the interviewed women, only $27.3 \%$ reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy-looking person can be infected. Nearly $56 \%$ of women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by sharing food, and $47.8 \%$ of women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites, while $64.8 \%$ of women know that a healthy-looking person can be infected.

Again, the lowest levels of awareness regarding HIV / AIDS transmission was recorded in the Batken and Osh regions (respectively, $10.8 \%$ and $7.8 \%$ as for rejecting two most common misconceptions and knowing that healthy-looking people can be infected). As expected, the percent of women with comprehensive knowledge increases with the woman's education level. Awareness level is positively correlated with household well-being index.
The survey showed that percentage of women informed about at least two methods of preventing HIV / AIDS transmission differed by regions (Table HA.3). In Bishkek, $71.4 \%$ of respondents knew two methods while in the Naryn and Chui regions was $69.5 \%$ and $67.8 \%$ respectively. The percentage of respondents knowing two methods of prevention in Talas is $81,6 \%$, in Jalalabad is 51,5 \% and in Batken and Osh is less than $40 \%$ (Figure HA.1).

Figure HA.1: Percentage of women aware of two methods of preventing the spread of HIV/AIDS, by region. Kyrgyz Republic. 2006


Significant differences are revealed in the level of respondents' knowledge about two ways of HIV/ AIDS transmission prevention between urban ( $61.9 \%$ ) and rural areas ( $53.6 \%$ ). The largest awareness was manifested with respondents $30-34$ years old respondents ( $63.6 \%$ ) and $40-44$ year old respondents ( $61.7 \%$ ), while just $50 \%$ of 15-19 aged respondents were aware of two methods of prevention.
The survey revealed a correlation between education level and awareness among 15-49 year old women (Figure HA.2). Among women with primary education, just $50.9 \%$ were aware of two prevention methods, while almost $55.6 \%$ who were aware had a secondary education level, and some $65 \%$ of those with higher education backgrounds were aware.

Figure HA.2: Percent of women who have comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS transmission, Kyrgyzstan, 2006


The higher is the quintile by the household wealth index the higher is a level of women's awareness. Kyrgyz (21.7\%) and Russian (32.9\%) women more likely have comprehensive knowledge then Uzbek women (6.4\%) (Table HA.3).
Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is also an important first step for women to seek HIV testing when they are pregnant to avoid infection in the baby. Women should know that HIV can be transmitted during pregnancy, delivery, and through breastfeeding. The level of knowledge among women age 15-49 years concerning mother-to-child transmission is presented in Table HA.4. Overall, $86 \%$ of women know that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child. The percentage of women who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission is $58 \%$, while $6.1 \%$ of women did not know of any specific way.

The indicators on attitudes toward people living with HIV measure stigma and discrimination in the community. Stigma and discrimination are low if respondents report an accepting attitude on the following four questions: 1) would care for family member sick with AIDS; 2) would buy fresh vegetables from a vendor who was HIV positive; 3) thinks that a female teacher who is HIV positive should be allowed to teach in school; and 4) would not want to keep HIV status of a family member a secret. Table HA. 5 presents the attitudes of women towards people living with HIV/AIDS. According to the survey $94.8 \%$ of respondents agree with at least one discriminatory statement. This percentage does not vary much with regard to age group and education of respondents.
Another important indicator is the knowledge of where to be tested for HIV and use of such services. Questions related to knowledge among women of a facility for HIV testing and whether they have ever been tested is presented in Table HA.6. Only $59 \%$ of women know where to be tested, while $37 \%$ have actually been tested. Of these, a large proportion has been told the result ( $79.2 \%$ ). Women in Bishkek and Chui region are most informed on where to be tested for HIV ( $80.6 \%$ and $79.1 \%$ respectively).
Among 1,209 women who had given birth within the two years preceding the survey, the percent who received counselling and HIV testing during antenatal care is presented in Table HA.7. About $97 \%$ of the above women were covered by antenatal care, but only $62.6 \%$ of them were informed of HIV / AIDS prevention methods by any medical staff.

Less than $50 \%$ of pregnant women received information on HIV/AIDS prevention methods from any medical staff in Jalalabad region, Naryn region and Chui region. In rural areas, health staff showed information on HIV/ AIDS with $53.9 \%$ of women, while in urban area the percentage was as high as $75.4 \%$.

## Sexual Behaviour Related to HIV Transmission

Promoting safer sexual behaviour is critical for reducing HIV prevalence. The use of condoms during sex, especially with non-regular partners, is especially important for reducing the spread of HIV. In most countries over half of new HIV infections are among young people 15-24 years thus a change in behaviour among this age group will be especially important to reduce new infections.
The survey assessed the use of condoms as one of the main methods to prevent HIV-infection. A module of questions was administered to women 15-24 years of age to assess their risk of HIV infection (Table HA.8). Risk factors for HIV include sex at an early age, sex with older men, sex with a non-marital non-cohabitating partner, and failure to use a condom.
In accordance with the survey results, almost no sex at early age (before 15 years of age) was reported among interviewed rural and urban women aged 15-19 years. The percentage of the women who had sex before age 15 was about $0.2 \%$. The proportion of women aged 20-24 years, who had sexual intercourse before 18 years of age, was $10.2 \%$. It was equal to $12.9 \%$ in rural areas and $7.2 \%$ in urban areas. This corresponds roughly to the percentage of women aged 20-24 who were married before the age of 18 (10.4\%).

Figure HA.3: Sexual behaviour that increases risk of HIV infection, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006


The frequency of sexual behaviour that increase the risk of HIV infection among women who had a sexual intercourse within the last 12 months with older men ( 10 years), does not considerably differ both in urban and in rural areas ( $6.3 \%$ and $6.8 \%$ respectively) (Figure HA.3).
The proportion of young women 15-24 years of age who had sexual contacts with more than one partner within the previous 12 months was $0.7 \%$ (Table HA.9). These women are considered to be high risk group population.

It is noted that out of $29.6 \%$ of $15-24$ year old women who had sexual contact with non-cohabiting partners during the 12 months preceding the survey, only $7.4 \%$ had contacts with an irregular partner, therefore, exposing themselves to higher risk of HIV infection. Only $56 \%$ of them used condoms.
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Table HH.1: Results of household and individual interviews
Numbers of households, women and children under 5 by results of the household, women's and under-five's interviews, and household, women's and under-five's response rates, Kyrgyzstan, 2006


Table HH.2: Household age distribution by sex
Percent distribution of the household population by five-year age groups and dependency age groups and number of children aged 0-17 years, by sex, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-4$ | 1511 | 12.8 | 1494 | 11.3 | 3005 | 12.0 |
| $5-9$ | 1228 | 10.4 | 1210 | 9.1 | 2438 | 9.7 |
| $10-14$ | 1391 | 11.8 | 1359 | 10.3 | 2750 | 11.0 |
| $15-19$ | 955 | 10.6 | 1593 | 12.0 | 2848 | 11.4 |
| $20-24$ | 990 | 8.4 | 1322 | 10.0 | 2312 | 9.2 |
| $25-29$ | 940 | 8.0 | 1106 | 8.3 | 2046 | 8.2 |
| $30-34$ | 855 | 7.3 | 925 | 7.0 | 1781 | 7.1 |
| $35-39$ | 778 | 6.6 | 813 | 6.1 | 1591 | 6.4 |
| $40-44$ | 692 | 5.9 | 811 | 6.1 | 1503 | 6.0 |
| $45-49$ | 641 | 5.4 | 689 | 5.2 | 1330 | 5.3 |
| $50-54$ | 515 | 4.4 | 614 | 4.6 | 1129 | 4.5 |
| $55-59$ | 323 | 2.7 | 355 | 2.7 | 677 | 2.7 |
| $60-64$ | 164 | 1.4 | 210 | 1.6 | 374 | 1.5 |
| $65-69$ | 240 | 2.0 | 263 | 2.0 | 503 | 2.0 |
| $70+$ | 272 | 2.3 | 481 | 3.6 | 753 | 3.0 |
| Dependency age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<15$ | 4129 | 35.0 | 4063 | 30.7 | 8192 | 32.7 |
| $15-64$ | 7153 | 60.6 | 8438 | 63.7 | 15591 | 62.3 |
| $65+$ | 512 | 4.3 | 744 | 5.6 | 1256 | 5.0 |
| Children aged 0-17 | 4962 | 42.1 | 4960 | 37.4 | 9923 | 39.6 |
| Adults 18+ | 6832 | 57.9 | 8285 | 62.6 | 15117 | 60.4 |
| Total | 11794 | 100.0 | 13246 | 100.0 | 25040 | 100.0 |

Table HH.3: Household composition
Percent distribution of households by selected characteristics, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Weighted percent | Number of households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Sex of household head |  |  |  |
| Male | 74.7 | 3884 | 3931 |
| Female | 25.3 | 1316 | 1248 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Batken | 7.5 | 388 | 624 |
| Jalalabad | 16.0 | 832 | 624 |
| Issyk-Kul | 8.6 | 447 | 624 |
| Naryn | 4.9 | 254 | 603 |
| Osh | 21.7 | 1131 | 832 |
| Talas | 3.7 | 191 | 624 |
| Chui | 17.4 | 902 | 624 |
| Bishkek c. | 20.3 | 1055 | 624 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 43.2 | 2247 | 2985 |
| Rural | 56.8 | 2953 | 2194 |
| Number of household members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3.0 | 158 | 164 |
| 2-3 | 23.6 | 1228 | 1185 |
| 4-5 | 40.3 | 2093 | 2162 |
| 6-7 | 23.6 | 1228 | 1254 |
| 8-9 | 6.4 | 333 | 307 |
| 10+ | 3.1 | 159 | 107 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 58.7 | 3052 | 3507 |
| Russian | 18.3 | 953 | 712 |
| Uzbek | 16.9 | 879 | 675 |
| Other | 6.1 | 316 | 285 |
| Total | 100.0 | 5200 | 5179 |
| At least one child aged < 18 years | 81.5 | 5200 | 5179 |
| At least one child aged < 5 years | 43.9 | 5200 | 5179 |
| At least one woman aged 15-49 years | 89.4 | 5200 | 5179 |

Table HH.4: Women's background characteristics
Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 years by background characteristics, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Weighted percent | Number of women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Batken | 6.9 | 489 | 797 |
| Jalalabad | 17.7 | 1245 | 908 |
| Issyk-Kul | 7.4 | 523 | 769 |
| Naryn | 4.0 | 281 | 671 |
| Osh | 21.8 | 1536 | 1139 |
| Talas | 4.0 | 282 | 893 |
| Chui | 16.0 | 1130 | 838 |
| Bishkek c. | 22.1 | 1556 | 958 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 43.4 | 3055 | 4040 |
| Rural | 56.6 | 3988 | 2933 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 21.9 | 1542 | 1554 |
| 20-24 | 18.1 | 1276 | 1218 |
| 25-29 | 15.3 | 1077 | 1014 |
| 30-34 | 12.6 | 887 | 873 |
| 35-39 | 11.3 | 799 | 799 |
| 40-44 | 11.2 | 791 | 812 |
| 45-49 | 9.5 | 671 | 703 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 59.6 | 4195 | 4156 |
| Formerly married/in union | 9.6 | 674 | 698 |
| Never married/in union | 30.9 | 2174 | 2119 |
| Motherhood status |  |  |  |
| Ever gave birth | 64.3 | 4529 | 4478 |
| Never gave birth | 35.7 | 2514 | 2495 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 13.3 | 939 | 960 |
| Secondary | 62.8 | 4422 | 4449 |
| Higher | 23.9 | 1682 | 1564 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 17.4 | 1228 | 1464 |
| Second | 19.0 | 1337 | 1405 |
| Middle | 18.2 | 1279 | 1316 |
| Fourth | 20.4 | 1436 | 1367 |
| Richest | 25.0 | 1763 | 1421 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 61.5 | 4333 | 4865 |
| Russian | 13.5 | 950 | 716 |
| Uzbek | 18.8 | 1324 | 999 |
| Other | 5.9 | 417 | 377 |
| Total | 100.0 | 7043 | 6973 |

Table HH.5: Children's background characteristics
Percent distribution of children under five years of age by background characteristics, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Weighted percent | Number of under-5 children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 50.3 | 1509 | 1540 |
| Female | 49.7 | 1491 | 1447 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Batken | 8.0 | 239 | 340 |
| Jalalabad | 14.7 | 440 | 324 |
| Isyk-Kul | 8.0 | 239 | 348 |
| Naryn | 4.2 | 127 | 316 |
| Osh | 24.3 | 728 | 539 |
| Talas | 5.1 | 154 | 463 |
| Chui | 14.2 | 425 | 272 |
| Bishkek c. | 21.6 | 648 | 385 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 40.4 | 1211 | 1556 |
| Rural | 59.6 | 1789 | 1431 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 10.9 | 327 | 286 |
| 6-11 months | 8.9 | 266 | 285 |
| 12-23 months | 21.1 | 633 | 589 |
| 24-35 months | 19.6 | 587 | 598 |
| 36-47 months | 17.9 | 537 | 551 |
| 48-59 months | 21.7 | 650 | 678 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 7.1 | 214 | 177 |
| Secondary | 69.1 | 2074 | 2132 |
| High | 23.8 | 713 | 678 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 20.4 | 613 | 742 |
| Second | 19.1 | 573 | 631 |
| Middle | 18.9 | 567 | 572 |
| Fourth | 18.8 | 566 | 516 |
| Richest | 22.7 | 682 | 526 |
| Ethnicity/Language* |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 66.6 | 1998 | 2269 |
| Russian | 9.8 | 295 | 204 |
| Uzbek | 17.8 | 533 | 375 |
| Other | 5.7 | 171 | 133 |
| Total | 100.0 | 3000 | 2987 |

Note: * - 6 unweighted cases with missing ethnicity not shown.
Table CM.1: Child mortality
Infant and under-five mortality rates, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Infant mortality rate** | Under-five mortality rate** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 48 | 56 |
| Female | 27 | 31 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 31 | 35 |
| Rural | 43 | 50 |
| Total | 38 | 44 |

* MICS indicator 2; MDG indicator 14
** MICS indicator 1; MDG indicator 13

Table NU.1: Child malnourishment
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months who are severely or moderately malnourished, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Weigh | for age | Heigh | for age |  | ight for he |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% below | \% below | \% below | \% below | \% below | \% below | \% above | of |
|  | - 2 SD* | - 3 SD | - 2 SD** | -3 SD | -2SD*** | - 3 SD | + 2 SD | aged 0-59 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3.9 | 0.2 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 1450 |
| Female | 2.9 | 0.3 | 13.3 | 3.8 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 1434 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 5.5 | 0.2 | 21.5 | 6.9 | 3.3 | $\ldots$ | 5.3 | 233 |
| Jalalabad | 2.5 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 3.3 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 422 |
| Isyk-Kul | 6.9 | 0.9 | 22.6 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 3.4 | 9.2 | 229 |
| Naryn | 9.0 | 0.5 | 13.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 6.3 | 110 |
| Osh | 2.7 | 0.4 | 15.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 6.2 | 699 |
| Talas | 4.8 | 0.6 | 27.3 | 10.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 12.4 | 144 |
| Chui | 2.3 | ... | 10.5 | 3.3 | 2.9 | ... | 3.1 | 415 |
| Bishkek c. | 2.1 | $\ldots$ | 8.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | $\ldots$ | 6.1 | 632 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.4 | 0.4 | 10.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 5.9 | 1172 |
| Rural | 3.4 | 0.1 | 15.7 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 5.8 | 1711 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 0.3 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 8.3 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 302 |
| 6-11 months | 1.8 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 8.4 | 261 |
| 12-23 months | 3.3 | 0.2 | 15.7 | 4.9 | 6.2 | 0.2 | 8.8 | 597 |
| 24-35 months | 5.3 | ... | 14.7 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 562 |
| 36-47 months | 3.3 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 527 |
| 48-59 months | 4.0 | 0.4 | 16.9 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 633 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 6.4 | 0.1 | 21.7 | 6.7 | 11.8 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 210 |
| Secondary | 3.6 | 0.3 | 14.2 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 1990 |
| High | 1.9 | 0.2 | 9.7 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 8.9 | 684 |
| Wealth index q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 3.0 | 0.2 | 18.8 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 584 |
| Second | 3.4 | 0.1 | 14.9 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 556 |
| Middle | 4.2 | 0.5 | 12.5 | 4.8 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 535 |
| Fourth | 4.1 | 0.4 | 12.4 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 6.9 | 544 |
| Richest | 2.6 | 0.2 | 10.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 6.8 | 664 |
| Ethnicity/Lang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 3.5 | 0.3 | 15.6 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 1906 |
| Russian | 0.6 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 1.3 | ... | 5.1 | 288 |
| Uzbek | 3.7 | 0.3 | 9.3 | 1.4 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 518 |
| Other | 6.3 | 0.5 | 20.7 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 169 |
| Missing | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 4 |
| Total | 3.4 | 0.3 | 13.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 2883 |

* MICS indicator 6; MDG indicator 4
** MICS indicator 7
*** MICS indicator 8
(*) - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
... - No reported cases

Table NU.2: Initial breastfeeding
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the two years preceding the survey who breastfed their baby within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth* | Percentage who started breastfeeding within one day of birth | Number of women with a live birth in the two years preceding the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Batken | 48.5 | 81.3 | 91 |
| Jalalabad | 74.9 | 82.3 | 189 |
| Isyk-Kul | 65.5 | 92.5 | 81 |
| Naryn | 83.6 | 91.5 | 51 |
| Osh | 70.4 | 91.6 | 298 |
| Talas | 55.0 | 93.9 | 45 |
| Chui | 48.1 | 87.3 | 182 |
| Bishkek c. | 66.7 | 94.0 | 273 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 65.2 | 91.2 | 490 |
| Rural | 64.7 | 88.2 | 719 |
| Months since birth |  |  |  |
| $<6$ months | 63.9 | 89.2 | 322 |
| 6-11 months | 67.6 | 84.4 | 261 |
| 12-23 months | 64.3 | 91.6 | 626 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 57.7 | 81.4 | 115 |
| Secondary | 67.3 | 90.5 | 777 |
| High | 61.7 | 89.5 | 318 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 63.3 | 89.1 | 228 |
| Second | 67.4 | 89.4 | 219 |
| Middle | 66.8 | 88.0 | 252 |
| Fourth | 63.1 | 87.7 | 220 |
| Richest | 64.0 | 92.3 | 290 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 69.4 | 90.1 | 793 |
| Russian | 45.4 | 86.5 | 121 |
| Uzbek | 66.4 | 88.5 | 226 |
| Other | 41.6 | 89.5 | 68 |
| Total | 64.9 | 89.4 | 1209 |

* MICS indicator 45
Table NU.3: Breastfeeding
Percentage of living children according to breastfeeding status at each age group. Kyrgyzstan, 2006

| Children 0-3 months |  | Children 0-5 months |  | Children 6-9 months |  | Children 12-15 months |  | Children 20-23 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent exclusively breastfed | Number of children | Percent exclusively breastfed* | Number of children | \% receiving breast milk \& solid/ mushy food** | Number of children | Percent breastfed*** | Number of children | Percent breastfed*** | Number of children |
| 32.9 | 96 | 30.1 | 145 | 58.3 | 90 | 63.4 | 97 | 46.3 | 85 |
| 50.8 | 73 | 32.8 | 157 | 39.0 | 79 | 70.7 | 143 | 8.1 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34.5 | 67 | 22.3 | 124 | 47.9 | 87 | 60.9 | 82 | 19.6 | 65 |
| 44.7 | 102 | 38.0 | 178 | 50.8 | 82 | 71.4 | 158 | 30.1 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (*) | 7 | (21.4) | 30 | (*) | 9 | (*) | 17 | (*) | 14 |
| 42.3 | 127 | 35.9 | 195 | 43.5 | 96 | 69.0 | 163 | 29.3 | 107 |
| (34.3) | 35 | 24.5 | 77 | 56.9 | 65 | 59.4 | 61 | 9.9 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (30.5) | 27 | (30.3) | 49 | 43.1 | 36 | (68.7) | 47 | (41.2) | 34 |
| (70.0) | 37 | 47.9 | 59 | (*) | 15 | 85.3 | 53 | (61.5) | 28 |
| (43.5) | 28 | 38.2 | 64 | 48.1 | 40 | 64.9 | 60 | (20.1) | 40 |
| (35.8) | 34 | 29.1 | 57 | (60.2) | 26 | (52.2) | 37 | (12.4) | 29 |
| (23.4) | 43 | 15.4 | 74 | 51.2 | 52 | (62.7) | 43 | (8.4) | 49 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40.6 | 116 | 32.0 | 193 | 50.5 | 129 | 63.8 | 161 | 18.5 | 95 |
| (*) | 16 | (8.2) | 26 | (*) | 16 | (*) | 16 | (*) | 15 |
| (69.9) | 27 | 47.4 | 63 | (*) | 17 | (93.4) | 50 | (57.9) | 43 |
| (*) | 10 | (*) | 20 | (*) | 7 | (*) | 13 | 4.1 | 26 |
| 40.7 | 169 | 31.5 | 302 | 49.3 | 169 | 67.8 | 241 | 26.2 | 180 |

[^5]$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases

Table NU.4: Adequately fed infants
Percentage of infants under 6 months of age exclusively breastfed, percentage of infants 6-11 months who are breastfed and who ate solid/semi-solid food at least the minimum recommended number of times yesterday and percentage of infants adequately fed, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percent of infants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-5 months exclusively breastfed | 6-8 months who received breastmilk and complementary food at least 2 times in prior 24 hours | 9-11 months who received breastmilk and complementary food at least 3 times in prior 24 hours | 6-11 months who received breastmilk and complementary food at least the minimum recommended number of times per day* | 0-11 months who were appropriately fed** | Number of infants aged 0-11 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 30.1 | 54.7 | 53.5 | 54.2 | 41.7 | 278 |
| Female | 32.8 | 41.9 | 26.2 | 34.3 | 33.5 | 285 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 46.0 | 67.5 | 72.3 | 69.9 | 54.9 | 51 |
| Jalalabad | 41.5 | ... | 33.0 | 11.1 | 34.2 | 73 |
| Isyk-Kul | (31.1) | (18.6) | (18.7) | (18.6) | (24.4) | 40 |
| Naryn | (27.9) | (28.9) | (33.3) | (32.2) | (30.0) | 27 |
| Osh | 51.6 | 50.4 | 18.5 | 37.3 | 44.8 | 128 |
| Talas | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 22 |
| Chui | 2.5 | 70.3 | 49.8 | 57.6 | 34.1 | 75 |
| Bishkek c. | 15.9 | 58.1 | 38.5 | 52.3 | 34.1 | 148 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 22.3 | 49.9 | 37.3 | 45.2 | 33.5 | 244 |
| Rural | 38.0 | 48.0 | 39.7 | 43.9 | 40.6 | 320 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | (21.4) | (48.3) | (58.6) | (51.7) | (29.4) | 41 |
| Secondary | 35.9 | 42.6 | 41.5 | 42.1 | 38.7 | 360 |
| High | 24.5 | 58.5 | 29.1 | 48.1 | 36.9 | 163 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 30.3 | 42.4 | 26.2 | 35.4 | 33.1 | 108 |
| Second | 47.9 | 19.4 | 44.1 | 29.9 | 42.6 | 84 |
| Middle | 38.2 | 52.7 | 54.1 | 53.4 | 45.6 | 125 |
| Fourth | 29.1 | 64.2 | 42.8 | 53.7 | 40.1 | 102 |
| Richest | 15.4 | 52.5 | 29.0 | 43.4 | 29.2 | 145 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 32.0 | 47.9 | 44.7 | 46.5 | 39.4 | 393 |
| Russian | 8.2 | 35.5 | 16.0 | 23.5 | 16.3 | 56 |
| Uzbek | 47.4 | 50.5 | 29.4 | 42.9 | 46.2 | 86 |
| Other | (9.2) | (82.7) | (15.2) | (74.6) | (28.4) | 28 |
| Total | 31.5 | 48.9 | 38.8 | 44.5 | 37.5 | 564 |

* MICS indicator 18
** MICS indicator 19
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
... - No reported cases

Table NU.5: lodized salt consumption
Percentage of households consuming adeuqately iodized salt, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percent of households in which salt was tested |  | Percent of households with salt test result |  |  |  | Number of households in which salt was tested or with no salt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of households interviewed | Percent of households with no salt | $<15$ PPM | $15+\mathrm{PPM}^{*}$ | Total |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 99.3 | 388 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 96.0 | 100.0 | 387 |
| Jalalabad | 99.2 | 832 | 0.2 | 27.8 | 72.0 | 100.0 | 827 |
| Issyk-Kul | 99.7 | 447 | 0.2 | 30.0 | 69.8 | 100.0 | 446 |
| Naryn | 98.7 | 254 | $\ldots$ | 27.6 | 72.4 | 100.0 | 251 |
| Osh | 99.6 | 1131 | 0.4 | 42.8 | 56.8 | 100.0 | 1130 |
| Talas | 99.9 | 191 | ... | 19.7 | 80.3 | 100.0 | 190 |
| Chui | 99.8 | 902 | 0.2 | 14.5 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 902 |
| Bishkek c. | 97.0 | 1055 | 0.3 | 11.7 | 88.0 | 100.0 | 1026 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.1 | 2247 | 0.5 | 15.0 | 84.5 | 100.0 | 2216 |
| Rural | 99.6 | 2953 | 0.1 | 30.1 | 69.8 | 100.0 | 2944 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.1 | 917 | 0.2 | 31.4 | 68.5 | 100.0 | 911 |
| Second | 99.4 | 918 | 0.3 | 30.9 | 68.8 | 100.0 | 915 |
| Middle | 99.7 | 960 | 0.3 | 32.1 | 67.6 | 100.0 | 960 |
| Fourth | 99.5 | 1106 | 0.1 | 20.0 | 79.9 | 100.0 | 1102 |
| Richest | 97.6 | 1299 | 0.4 | 9.7 | 89.9 | 100.0 | 1272 |
| Total | 99.0 | 5200 | 0.3 | 23.6 | 76.1 | 100.0 | 5160 |

*MICS indicator 41
... - No reported cases

Table NU.6: Children's vitamin A supplementation
Percent distribution of children aged 6-59 months by whether they have received a high dose vitamin $A$ supplement in the last 6 months, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percent of children who received vitamin A: |  |  | Not sure if received vitamin A | Never received vitamin A | Total | Number of children aged 6-59 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Within last 6 months* | Prior to last 6 months | Not sure when |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 45.2 | 20.0 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 19.6 | 100.0 | 1305 |
| Female | 48.7 | 17.8 | 12.1 | 4.9 | 16.4 | 100.0 | 1276 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 38.4 | 34.6 | 14.9 | 3.9 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 201 |
| Jalalabad | 26.8 | 11.2 | 35.7 | 20.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 367 |
| Isyk-Kul | 54.1 | 22.6 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 211 |
| Naryn | 70.4 | 16.4 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 96 |
| Osh | 43.8 | 12.9 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 30.7 | 100.0 | 632 |
| Talas | 46.8 | 35.4 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 10.9 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Chui | 54.5 | 25.6 | 8.1 | 3.0 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 383 |
| Bishkek c. | 55.0 | 15.7 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 25.1 | 100.0 | 558 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 51.7 | 16.6 | 7.8 | 4.3 | 19.5 | 100.0 | 1084 |
| Rural | 43.7 | 20.5 | 12.7 | 6.0 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 1589 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 months | 51.2 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 39.4 | 100.0 | 261 |
| 12-23 months | 52.4 | 17.3 | 10.4 | 3.8 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 597 |
| 24-35 months | 49.5 | 27.2 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 562 |
| 36-47 months | 43.4 | 21.3 | 14.9 | 7.7 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 527 |
| 48-59 months | 40.8 | 18.5 | 12.6 | 8.4 | 19.7 | 100.0 | 633 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 49.3 | 23.8 | 12.4 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 100.0 | 180 |
| Secondary | 42.9 | 19.6 | 11.1 | 6.3 | 20.1 | 100.0 | 1795 |
| High | 58.4 | 15.4 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 15.3 | 100.0 | 607 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 35.9 | 21.2 | 12.6 | 8.3 | 22.0 | 100.0 | 535 |
| Second | 42.1 | 18.8 | 17.5 | 2.8 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Middle | 49.7 | 17.4 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 471 |
| Fourth | 46.5 | 23.0 | 10.0 | 5.1 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 488 |
| Richest | 59.2 | 14.9 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 590 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 48.9 | 19.6 | 8.9 | 3.8 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 1712 |
| Russian | 58.7 | 16.9 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 14.6 | 100.0 | 262 |
| Uzbek | 33.1 | 14.3 | 19.5 | 13.4 | 19.7 | 100.0 | 455 |
| Other | 46.6 | 30.0 | 13.4 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Missing | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 3 |
| Total | 47.0 | 18.9 | 10.7 | 5.3 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 2581 |

* MICS indicator 42
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases

Table NU.7: Post-partum mothers' vitamin A supplementation
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey by whether they received a high dose vitamin A supplement before the infant was 8 weeks old, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Received vitamin A <br> supplement* | Not sure if received <br> vitamin A | Number of women aged <br> 15-49 years |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region | 70.9 | 4.4 | 91 |
| Batken | 42.4 | 10.7 | 189 |
| Jalalabad | 58.6 | 0.6 | 81 |
| ssyk-Kul | 19.6 | $\ldots$ | 51 |
| Naryn | 57.4 | 2.8 | 298 |
| Osh | 64.4 | 5.1 | 45 |
| Talas | 48.4 | 0.6 | 182 |
| Chui | 44.6 | $\ldots$ | 273 |
| Bishkek c. |  |  |  |
| Residence | 50.4 | 0.8 | 490 |
| Urban | 50.7 | 4.5 | 719 |
| Rural |  |  | 115 |
| Education | 38.6 | 1.5 | 777 |
| Not secondary | 52.6 | 2.6 | 318 |
| Secondary | 49.9 | 4.6 | 228 |
| High |  |  | 219 |
| Wealth index quintiles | 61.9 | 5.2 | 252 |
| Poorest | 49.7 | 3.8 | 220 |
| Second | 45.1 | 3.8 | 290 |
| Middle | 48.8 | 2.4 | 793 |
| Fourth | 48.5 | 0.6 | 121 |
| Richest |  |  | 226 |
| Ethnicity/Language | 53.5 | 3.3 | 68 |
| Kyrgyz | 52.3 | $\ldots$ | 1209 |
| Russian | 36.3 | 4.7 | $\ldots$ |
| Uzbek | 61.8 | 3.0 |  |
| Other | 50.6 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |
| MICS indicator 43 |  |  |  |
| - No reported cases |  |  |  |

Table NU.8: Low birth weight infants
Percentage of live births in the 2 years preceding the survey that weighed below 2500 grams at birth, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percent of live births: |  | Number of live births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below 2500 grams* | Weighed at birth ${ }^{* *}$ |  |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Batken | 4.1 | 97.2 | 91 |
| Jalalabad | 3.5 | 89.9 | 189 |
| Isyk-Kul | 4.5 | 99.5 | 81 |
| Naryn | 10.3 | 98.3 | 51 |
| Osh | 5.0 | 96.8 | 298 |
| Talas | 3.5 | 95.0 | 45 |
| Chui | 6.1 | 99.5 | 182 |
| Bishkek c. | 6.2 | 99.4 | 273 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.8 | 98.9 | 490 |
| Rural | 4.9 | 95.5 | 719 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 5.5 | 95.4 | 115 |
| Secondary | 5.0 | 97.5 | 777 |
| High | 5.8 | 96.1 | 318 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 4.7 | 94.9 | 228 |
| Second | 4.2 | 95.7 | 219 |
| Middle | 4.8 | 96.6 | 252 |
| Fourth | 5.3 | 97.0 | 220 |
| Richest | 6.8 | 99.6 | 290 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 5.4 | 97.1 | 793 |
| Russian | 7.5 | 99.4 | 121 |
| Uzbek | 2.9 | 94.1 | 226 |
| Other | 7.6 | 100.0 | 68 |
| Total | 5.3 | 96.9 | 1209 |
| * MICS indicator 9 <br> ** MICS indicator 10 |  |  |  |

Table CH.4: Oral rehydration treatment
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks and treatment with oral rehydration solution (ORS) or other oral rehydration treatment (ORT), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Children with diarrhoea who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had diarrhoea in last two weeks | Number of children aged 0-59 months | Fluid from ORS packet | Recommended homemade fluid | Prepackaged ORS fluid | No treatment | ORT Use Rate * |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4.2 | 1450 | 19.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 79.9 | 20.1 | 60 |
| Female | 3.1 | 1434 | (20.3) | (10.4) | (9.8) | (79.1) | (20.9) | 43 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 4.2 | 233 | (*) | $\ldots$ | ... | (*) | (*) | 10 |
| Jalalabad | 2.3 | 422 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 10 |
| Isyk-Kul | 3.5 | 229 | (*) | ... | ... | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| Naryn | 1.7 | 110 | ... | ... | ... | (*) | ... | 2 |
| Osh | 2.1 | 699 | (*) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | 14 |
| Talas | 6.7 | 144 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | ... | 10 |
| Chui | 6.8 | 415 | (15.8) | $\ldots$ | (1.4) | (82.8) | (17.2) | 28 |
| Bishkek c. | 3.5 | 632 | (*) | $\ldots$ | ... | (*) | (*) | 22 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.8 | 1172 | (16.8) | (2.7) | (2.7) | (83.2) | (16.8) | 32 |
| Rural | 4.2 | 1711 | 21.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 78.0 | 22.0 | 71 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <6 months | 3.9 | 302 | (*) | $\ldots$ | ... | (*) | (*) | 12 |
| 6-11 months | 6.6 | 261 | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | 17 |
| 12-23 months | 7.2 | 597 | (16.4) | ... | ... | (83.6) | (16.4) | 43 |
| 24-35 months | 2.2 | 562 | (*) | ... | (*) | (*) | (*) | 12 |
| 36-47 months | 2.6 | 527 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 14 |
| 48-59 months | 0.9 | 633 | (*) | $\ldots$ | ... | (*) | (*) | 6 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 4.1 | 210 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | .. | (*) | ... | 9 |
| Secondary | 2.9 | 1990 | 21.8 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 77.1 | 22.9 | 57 |
| High | 5.5 | 684 | (21.2) | ... | ... | (78.8) | (21.2) | 38 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 2.7 | 584 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Second | 3.2 | 556 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 18 |
| Middle | 3.9 | 535 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 21 |
| Fourth | 4.0 | 544 | (*) | ... | ... | (*) | (*) | 22 |
| Richest | 4.0 | 664 | (12.5) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (87.5) | 12.5 | 27 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 3.4 | 1906 | 24.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 74.3 | 25.7 | 66 |
| Russian | 4.0 | 288 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | $\ldots$ | 11 |
| Uzbek | 2.0 | 518 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 10 |
| Other | 9.3 | 169 | (*) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | .. | 16 |
| Total | 3.6 | 2883 | 19.8 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 79.6 | 20.4 | 103 |

## * MICS indicator33

(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases

Table CH.5: Home management of diarrhoea
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks who took increased fluids and continued to feed during the episode,Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  |  |  | Children with diarrhoea who: |  |  |  | Home management of diarrhoea* | Received ORT or increased fluids AND continued feeding** | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had diarrhoea in last two weeks | Number of children aged 0-59 months | Drank more | Drank the same or less | Ate somewhat less. same or more | Ate much less or none |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4.2 | 1450 | 23.9 | 76.1 | 49.5 | 50.5 | 13.4 | 17.2 | 60 |
| Female | 3.0 | 1434 | 26.5 | 73.5 | 55.3 | 44.7 | 19.2 | 29.3 | 43 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.8 | 1172 | 25.2 | (74.8) | (66.1) | (33.9) | (24.8) | (26.4) | 32 |
| Rural | 4.2 | 1711 | 24.9 | 75.1 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 11.2 | 20.5 | 71 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 3.4 | 1906 | 33.8 | 66.2 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 19.1 | 23.5 | 66 |
| Russian | 4.0 | 288 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 11 |
| Uzbek | 2.0 | 518 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 10 |
| Other | 9.3 | 169 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Total | 3.6 | 2883 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 51.9 | 48.1 | 15.4 | 22.3 | 103 |

* MICS indicator34
** MICS Indicator 35
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the last two weeks taken to a health provider, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

MiCS indicator 23 based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left.{ }_{(*)}^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases

[^6]Table CH.7: Antibiotic treatment of pneumonia
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia who received antibiotic treatment, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of under fives with <br> suspected pneumonia who received <br> antibiotics in the last two weeks* | Number of children with suspected <br> pneumonia in the two weeks prior to <br> the survey |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 40.4 | 86 |
| Female | 49.0 | 77 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 69.8 | 67 |
| Rural | 26.8 | 96 |
| Age | $(45.1)$ |  |
| $0-11$ months | $(52.7)$ | 30 |
| $12-23$ months | $(41.7)$ | 33 |
| $24-35$ months | $(41.7)$ | 34 |
| $36-47$ months | $(41.0)$ | 40 |
| $48-59$ months |  | 26 |
| Mother's education | $\left(^{*}\right)$ | 19 |
| Not secobdary | 39.0 | 110 |
| Secondary | $(74.5)$ | 34 |
| High |  |  |
| Ethnicity/Language | 49.7 | 104 |
| Kyrgyz | $(*)$ | 11 |
| Russian | $(16.7)$ | 34 |
| Uzbek | $(*)$ | 12 |
| Other | 44.5 | 163 |
| Total |  |  |
| * MICS indicator 22 |  |  |

* MICS indicator 22
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
Table CH.7A: Knowledge of the two danger signs of pneumonia
Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children aged 0-59 months by knowledge of types of symptoms for taking a child immediately to a health facility. and percentage of mothers/caretakers who recognize fast and difficult breathing as signs for seeking care immediately, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children aged 0-59 months who think that a child should be taken immediately to a health facility if the child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mothers/caretakers who recognize the two danger signs of pneumonia | Number of mothers/caretakers of children aged 0-59 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is not able to drink or breastfeed | Becomes sicker | Develops a fever | Has fast breathing | Has difficult breathing | Has blood in stool | Is drinking poorly | Has other symptoms |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 49.7 | 55.4 | 90.4 | 61.5 | 63.8 | 77.4 | 23.7 | 23.6 | 46.8 | 233 |
| Jalalabad | 29.5 | 54.3 | 81.1 | 44.9 | 46.2 | 38.4 | 28.2 | 1.5 | 36.6 | 422 |
| Isyk-Kul | 41.3 | 65.7 | 91.0 | 63.5 | 69.5 | 40.7 | 38.8 | 1.0 | 46.5 | 229 |
| Naryn | 65.6 | 91.5 | 78.6 | 62.9 | 89.6 | 92.4 | 13.0 | 3.8 | 60.1 | 110 |
| Osh | 47.9 | 22.5 | 96.3 | 21.3 | 53.3 | 56.9 | 8.2 | 7.0 | 9.8 | 699 |
| Talas | 22.8 | 62.7 | 76.2 | 43.2 | 75.1 | 63.8 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 31.2 | 144 |
| Chui | 29.6 | 62.7 | 82.0 | 63.9 | 81.2 | 78.4 | 21.1 | 17.5 | 58.8 | 415 |
| Bishkek c. | 42.9 | 65.3 | 91.7 | 71.2 | 78.9 | 53.1 | 19.7 | 1.9 | 63.7 | 632 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 41.9 | 58.8 | 90.0 | 58.8 | 69.1 | 55.8 | 22.2 | 6.9 | 50.3 | 1172 |
| Rural | 39.6 | 49.1 | 87.3 | 45.9 | 64.7 | 60.4 | 17.3 | 7.1 | 35.4 | 1711 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 35.0 | 49.2 | 89.6 | 43.4 | 66.8 | 63.8 | 13.8 | 7.0 | 34.7 | 210 |
| Secondary | 40.8 | 51.2 | 89.2 | 50.2 | 65.9 | 57.2 | 19.5 | 6.9 | 40.4 | 1990 |
| High | 41.3 | 59.7 | 85.9 | 56.2 | 68.0 | 60.6 | 20.5 | 7.4 | 46.6 | 684 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 35.7 | 40.1 | 85.3 | 45.3 | 60.8 | 56.9 | 18.1 | 3.9 | 28.5 | 584 |
| Second | 42.1 | 41.6 | 88.4 | 37.8 | 61.9 | 58.8 | 15.8 | 8.5 | 27.0 | 556 |
| Middle | 46.8 | 58.9 | 91.1 | 50.2 | 65.6 | 59.6 | 17.7 | 5.4 | 43.4 | 535 |
| Fourth | 39.7 | 63.5 | 87.6 | 56.2 | 68.1 | 61.9 | 25.4 | 9.5 | 50.6 | 544 |
| Richest | 39.1 | 60.6 | 89.7 | 64.0 | 74.7 | 56.0 | 19.5 | 7.9 | 55.9 | 664 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 42.0 | 54.2 | 87.5 | 52.5 | 65.4 | 57.5 | 18.8 | 5.4 | 41.4 | 1906 |
| Russian | 41.4 | 68.8 | 86.3 | 66.0 | 81.2 | 64.2 | 18.7 | 7.4 | 61.6 | 288 |
| Uzbek | 42.7 | 42.0 | 93.2 | 36.9 | 62.9 | 56.1 | 23.6 | 5.1 | 29.1 | 518 |
| Other | 15.4 | 47.4 | 89.5 | 54.3 | 64.4 | 67.4 | 11.5 | 30.7 | 46.2 | 169 |
| Total | 40.9 | 53.1 | 88.3 | 51.5 | 66.5 | 58.9 | 19.2 | 6.9 | 41.8 | 2883 |

Table CH.8: Solid fuel use
Percent distribution of households according to type of cooking fuel. and percentage of households using solid fuels for cooking, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of households using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electricity | Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG) | Natural Gas | Coal. lignite | Charcoal | Wood | Straw. shrubs. grass | Animal dung | Agri-cultural crop residue | Other source | Total | Solid fuels for cooking* | Number of households |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 10.2 | 9.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 13.9 | 31.7 | $\ldots$ | 12.1 | 18.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 78.3 | 388 |
| Jalalabad | 26.2 | 0.9 | 8.2 | 22.8 | 12.7 | 26.3 | ... | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 64.1 | 832 |
| Issyk-Kul | 71.5 | 7.2 | ... | 9.7 | 1.0 | 7.3 | ... | 3.3 | $\ldots$ | ... | 100.0 | 21.3 | 447 |
| Naryn | 62.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 7.0 | 0.8 | 26.9 | ... | ... | 100.0 | 36.1 | 254 |
| Osh | 9.8 | 4.8 | 17.7 | 40.3 | 2.6 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 67.1 | 1131 |
| Talas | 85.9 | 3.5 | ... | 0.2 | 1.5 | 8.6 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | ... | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 10.5 | 191 |
| Chui | 42.4 | 26.4 | 17.4 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 8.5 | $\ldots$ | 0.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 13.8 | 902 |
| Bishkek c. | 18.4 | 8.3 | 72.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1055 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 28.7 | 9.3 | 49.2 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 2247 |
| Rural | 32.0 | 8.7 | 2.9 | 20.2 | 5.0 | 21.7 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 56.2 | 2953 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 15.9 | 11.4 | 9.2 | 19.3 | 10.4 | 20.3 | ... | 7.5 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 238 |
| Secondary | 32.2 | 8.5 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 4.2 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 3804 |
| High | 27.9 | 9.8 | 46.9 | 6.9 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 15.1 | 1157 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 22.7 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | 21.7 | 4.1 | 33.8 | 0.1 | 16.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 76.6 | 917 |
| Second | 35.4 | 4.6 | $\ldots$ | 19.3 | 8.4 | 25.5 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 59.8 | 918 |
| Middle | 38.8 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 25.7 | 7.0 | 12.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 51.5 | 960 |
| Fourth | 40.5 | 21.9 | 20.4 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 3.5 | $\ldots$ | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 17.0 | 1106 |
| Richest | 18.1 | 7.7 | 73.8 | 0.2 | ... | 0.0 | ... | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 0.3 | 1299 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 35.4 | 5.4 | 19.1 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 13.8 | 0.1 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 39.8 | 3052 |
| Russian | 34.6 | 19.5 | 41.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 1.7 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 | ... | 0.4 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 953 |
| Uzbek | 14.3 | 5.5 | 12.8 | 29.9 | 9.6 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.8 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 67.2 | 879 |
| Other | 16.1 | 21.3 | 31.8 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 16.4 | ... | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 29.7 | 316 |
| Total | 30.5 | 9.0 | 22.9 | 14.1 | 3.9 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 37.3 | 5200 |

Table CH.9: Solid fuel use by type of stove or fire Percentage of households using solid fuels for cooking by type of stove or fire, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percen | age of house | olds using so | id fuels for | ing: | Number of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Closed stove with chimney | Open stove or fire with chimney or hood | Open stove or fire with no chimney or hood | Other stove | Total | households using solid fuels for cooking |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 31.9 | 40.2 | 8.1 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 304 |
| Jalalabad | 83.5 | 10.1 | 3.2 | ... | 100.0 | 533 |
| Issyk-Kul | 81.6 | 13.3 | 4.3 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 95 |
| Naryn | 68.1 | 27.4 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Osh | 93.2 | 6.3 | 0.5 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 759 |
| Talas | (*) | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 20 |
| Chui | 83.4 | 14.9 | 1.6 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 124 |
| Bishkek c. | (*) | (*) | ... | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 10 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 78.6 | 15.6 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 278 |
| Rural | 78.4 | 14.5 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1660 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 78.8 | 14.1 | 4.8 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 148 |
| Secondary | 77.6 | 15.1 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 1614 |
| High | 85.8 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 174 |
| Wealth index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 77.6 | 14.2 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 702 |
| Second | 73.7 | 17.6 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 549 |
| Middle | 83.3 | 12.8 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 495 |
| Fourth | 81.8 | 12.5 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 188 |
| Richest | (*) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 3 |
| Ethnicity/Lang |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 75.3 | 16.1 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 1215 |
| Russian | (97.2) | ... | (2.8) | ... | 100.0 | 38 |
| Uzbek | 87.8 | 10.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 591 |
| Other | 51.4 | 30.4 | 0.5 | 17.7 | 100.0 | 94 |
| Total | 78.4 | 14.6 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 1938 |

(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
... - No reported cases

Table CH.16: Source and cost of supplies for antibiotics
Percent distribution of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia during the two weeks preceding the survey by source of antibiotics for treatment of pneumonia percentage of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia during the two weeks preceding the survey for whom antibiotics were obtained for free and median cost of antibiotics for those paying for the antibiotics by type of source of antibiotics, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  |  | Source of | ntibiotic |  | Number of children with | Percen | ge free | Median those | cost for ot free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public* | Private | Other | Total | antibiotics | Public | Private | Public** | Private** |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | (19.6) | (75.6) | (4.8) | 100.0 | 35 | (15.2) | $\ldots$ | (123.0) | (100.0) |
| Female | (14.2) | (85.0) | (0.8) | 100.0 | 38 | (43.5) | ... | (290.9) | (100.0) |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| Urban | (23.3) | (72.4) | (4.3) | 100.0 | 47 | (27.9) | $\ldots$ | (246.1) | (108.2) |
| Rural | (5.0) | (95.0) | ... | 100.0 | 26 | (25.6) | $\ldots$ | ... | (100.0) |
| Mother's ed | tion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) |
| Secondary | (16.6) | (78.8) | (4.6) | 100.0 | 44 | (23.4) | $\ldots$ | (250.0) | (250.0) |
| High | (18.2) | (81.8) | ... | 100.0 | 25 | (37.2) | $\ldots$ | (137.4) | (137.4) |
| Total | 16.8 | 80.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 73 | 27.7 | $\ldots$ | 244.1 | 100.0 |

* MICS indicator 96
** MICS indicator 97
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
... - No reported cases
Table EN.1: Use of improved water sources
Percent distribution of household population according to main source of drinking water and percentage of household members using improved drinking water sources,
 ... - No reported cases
Table EN.2: Household water treatment
Percentage distribution of household population according to drinking water treatment method used in the household and percentage of household members that applied an appropriate water treatment method, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Water treatment method used in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All drinking water sources |  | Improved drinking water sources: |  | Unimproved drinking water sources: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Boil | Add bleach / chlorine | Strain through a cloth | Use water filter | Solar disin-fecttion | Let it stand and settle | Other | Appropriate water treatment method * | Number of household members | Appropriate water treatment method | Number of household members | Appropriate water treatment method | Number of household members |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 50.2 | 27.4 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 30.7 | 0.2 | 27.4 | 2.021 | 25.4 | 1380 | 31.6 | 641 |
| Jalalabad | 78.5 | 16.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 20.0 | $\ldots$ | 16.6 | 4649 | 14.6 | 3913 | 27.1 | 736 |
| Issyk-Kul | 46.8 | 47.3 | $\ldots$ | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 23.5 | $\ldots$ | 47.4 | 1954 | 44.7 | 1772 | 74.4 | 181 |
| Naryn | 44.9 | 51.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | 25.6 | $\ldots$ | 51.4 | 1186 | 48.5 | 1006 | 69.8 | 164 |
| Osh | 48.9 | 49.6 | 0.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 9.1 | $\ldots$ | 49.6 | 6095 | 47.9 | 5023 | 57.5 | 1072 |
| Talas | 70.2 | 26.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | $\ldots$ | ... | 10.8 | $\ldots$ | 28.1 | 1018 | 22.3 | 892 | 68.6 | 126 |
| Chui | 73.8 | 25.3 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.4 | $\ldots$ | 25.5 | 3840 | 25.1 | 3803 | (70.0) | 37 |
| Bishkek c. | 62.8 | 33.8 | 0.2 | $\ldots$ | 1.2 | 2.2 | 10.4 | 0.1 | 35.2 | 4295 | 35.2 | 4295 | ... | 0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 58.9 | 37.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 13.3 | 0.1 | 37.7 | 9469 | 37.4 | 9341 | 53.0 | 128 |
| Rural | 62.6 | 32.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 14.5 | ... | 32.7 | 15571 | 29.6 | 12742 | 46.4 | 2829 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 58.8 | 31.2 | ... | 0.2 | ... | ... | 21.3 | ... | 31.2 | 1268 | 31.4 | 1019 | (30.3) | 249 |
| Secondary | 61.7 | 33.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 33.9 | 18828 | 32.0 | 16367 | 46.5 | 2461 |
| High | 59.9 | 36.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 12.1 | $\ldots$ | 37.9 | 4936 | 36.5 | 4689 | 64.9 | 247 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 54.1 | 38.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | $\ldots$ | 0.0 | 22.5 | $\ldots$ | 38.8 | 5010 | 34.9 | 3696 | 49.9 | 1314 |
| Second | 56.6 | 34.9 | 1.2 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | ... | 18.3 | 0.1 | 35.0 | 5026 | 31.8 | 3902 | 46.2 | 1124 |
| Middle | 67.6 | 30.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 7.6 | $\ldots$ | 31.2 | 4989 | 30.3 | 4593 | 41.4 | 396 |
| Fourth | 67.3 | 30.7 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 9.6 | 0.1 | 31.0 | 5008 | 31.0 | 4885 | (33.6) | 124 |
| Richest | 60.6 | 35.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 12.2 | $\ldots$ | 36.7 | 5007 | 36.7 | 5007 | ... | 0 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 58.7 | 37.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 15359 | 35.4 | 13145 | 47.9 | 2213 |
| Russian | 70.3 | 26.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 9.2 | $\ldots$ | 27.9 | 3146 | 27.7 | 3128 | (*) | 19 |
| Uzbek | 63.1 | 31.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 17.2 | $\ldots$ | 31.4 | 5085 | 30.4 | 4543 | 40.2 | 542 |
| Other | 61.9 | 31.2 | 3.1 | $\ldots$ | 0.5 | $\ldots$ | 14.0 | 0.2 | 31.6 | 1450 | 29.0 | 1267 | (*) | 183 |
| Total | 61.2 | 34.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 34.6 | 25040 | 32.9 | 22084 | 46.7 | 2957 |

* MICS indicator 13
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases ... - No reported cases

Table EN.3: Time to source of water
Percent distribution of households according to time to go to source of drinking water get water and return and mean time to source of drinking water, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Time to source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean time to source of drinking water* | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water on premises | Less than 15 minutes | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 15 \\ \hline \text { minutes } \\ \text { to less } \\ \text { than } 30 \\ \text { minutes } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30 \\ \text { minutes } \\ \text { to less } \\ \text { than } 1 \\ \text { hour } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1 hour or more | Don't know or missing | Total |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 22.0 | 40.9 | 21.2 | 11.1 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 17.2 | 388 |
| Jalalabad | 44.5 | 35.0 | 13.7 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 12.4 | 832 |
| Issyk-Kul | 60.2 | 18.9 | 14.9 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 16.0 | 447 |
| Naryn | 14.6 | 52.6 | 18.4 | 11.8 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 14.5 | 254 |
| Osh | 47.5 | 20.2 | 18.8 | 9.7 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 22.1 | 1.131 |
| Talas | 16.8 | 62.9 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 191 |
| Chui | 74.1 | 21.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 902 |
| Bishkek c. | 96.5 | 3.2 | 0.2 | ... | 0.2 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 10.5 | 1.055 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 86.3 | 8.7 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 12.9 | 2.247 |
| Rural | 36.5 | 35.4 | 16.7 | 7.7 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 16.5 | 2.953 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 38.5 | 35.1 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 16.6 | 238 |
| Secondary | 52.7 | 26.8 | 12.5 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 15.9 | 3.804 |
| High | 79.4 | 12.0 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 16.2 | 1.157 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 10.3 | 48.6 | 23.7 | 13.0 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 917 |
| Second | 32.5 | 36.2 | 19.7 | 7.9 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 16.6 | 918 |
| Middle | 47.0 | 31.3 | 12.9 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 14.1 | 960 |
| Fourth | 80.2 | 13.8 | 4.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 12.7 | 1.106 |
| Richest | 99.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 16.7 | 1.299 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 50.9 | 26.9 | 12.5 | 6.7 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 3.052 |
| Russian | 85.0 | 12.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 953 |
| Uzbek | 51.0 | 24.7 | 17.5 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 879 |
| Other | 65.0 | 26.4 | 5.8 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 316 |
| Total | 58.0 | 23.9 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 16.0 | 5.200 |

* The mean time to source of drinking water is calculated based on those households that do not have water on the premises.
... - No reported cases

Table EN.4: Person collecting water
Percent distribution of households according to the person collecting water used in the household, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Person collecting drinking water |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult woman | Adult man | Girls under age 15 | Boys under age 15 | Don't know | Missing |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 61.7 | 17.1 | 11.1 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 303 |
| Jalalabad | 61.5 | 10.6 | 16.7 | 6.0 | ... | 5.2 | 100.0 | 462 |
| Issyk-Kul | 28.2 | 47.4 | 6.8 | 13.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 178 |
| Naryn | 27.5 | 46.2 | 8.5 | 13.2 | $\ldots$ | 4.7 | 100.0 | 217 |
| Osh | 49.1 | 29.3 | 11.5 | 9.5 | $\ldots$ | 0.7 | 100.0 | 593 |
| Talas | 37.9 | 47.0 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 159 |
| Chui | 52.4 | 35.7 | 1.5 | 9.5 | ... | 0.9 | 100.0 | 234 |
| Bishkek c. | (59.1) | (27.3) | (4.5) | (9.1) | .. | ... | 100.0 | 37 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.7 | 25.7 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 307 |
| Rural | 48.8 | 29.2 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 1875 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 66.2 | 16.2 | 7.0 | 8.0 | $\ldots$ | 2.6 | 100.0 | 146 |
| Secondary | 47.9 | 29.4 | 10.7 | 9.2 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 1798 |
| High | 49.5 | 31.2 | 8.6 | 7.9 | $\ldots$ | 2.8 | 100.0 | 237 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 50.5 | 28.3 | 9.6 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 823 |
| Second | 46.3 | 27.7 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 620 |
| Middle | 47.3 | 33.2 | 7.3 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 509 |
| Fourth | 59.9 | 21.2 | 11.1 | 5.7 | $\ldots$ | 2.2 | 100.0 | 219 |
| Richest | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | .. | .. | 100.0 | 12 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 43.0 | 31.7 | 11.9 | 10.6 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 1499 |
| Russian | 62.4 | 28.0 | 0.2 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 142 |
| Uzbek | 64.4 | 18.2 | 9.0 | 5.9 | $\ldots$ | 2.6 | 100.0 | 431 |
| Other | 59.2 | 30.6 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 110 |
| Total | 49.3 | 28.7 | 10.2 | 9.0 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 2182 |

(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
... - No reported cases
Table EN.5: Use of sanitary means of excreta disposal
Percent distribution of household population according to type of toilet used by the household and the percentage of household members using sanitary means of excreta
 ... - No reported cases
Table EN．6：Disposal of child＇s faeces
Percent distribution of children aged 0－2 years according to place of disposal of child＇s faeces．and the percentage of children aged 0－2 years whose stools are disposed
耑
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| Fourth | 12.5 | 15.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Richest | 2.7 | 83.5 |
| Ethnicity／Language |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 8.0 | 34.7 |
| Russian | 19.2 | 56.4 |
| Uzbek | 8.1 | 20.2 |
| Other | 5.0 | 23.1 |
| Total | 9.0 | 33.7 |

＊MICS indicator 14
．．．－No reported cases

Table EN.7: Use of improved water sources and improved sanitation
Percentage of household population using both improved drinking water sources and sanitary means of excreta disposal, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of household population: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Using improved sources of drinking water* | Using sanitary means of excreta disposal** | Using improved sources of drinking water and using sanitary means of excreta disposal | Number of household members |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 68.3 | 73.3 | 45.8 | 2021 |
| Jalalabad | 84.2 | 99.4 | 83.6 | 4649 |
| Issyk-Kul | 90.7 | 98.5 | 89.4 | 1954 |
| Naryn | 86.0 | 98.8 | 84.9 | 1170 |
| Osh | 82.4 | 96.4 | 79.0 | 6095 |
| Talas | 87.6 | 99.9 | 87.5 | 1018 |
| Chui | 99.0 | 97.6 | 96.7 | 3840 |
| Bishkek c. | 100 | 100 | 100 | 4295 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 99.1 | 97.8 | 9469 |
| Rural | 81.8 | 94.6 | 77.1 | 15571 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 80.4 | 93.0 | 73.9 | 1268 |
| Secondary | 86.9 | 95.9 | 83.3 | 18828 |
| High | 95.0 | 98.6 | 93.7 | 4936 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 73.8 | 99.9 | 73.8 | 5010 |
| Second | 77.6 | 96.6 | 74.6 | 5026 |
| Middle | 92.1 | 89.7 | 82.9 | 4989 |
| Fourth | 97.5 | 95.5 | 93.5 | 5008 |
| Richest | 100 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 5007 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 85.6 | 94.2 | 80.4 | 15359 |
| Russian | 99.4 | 100 | 99.4 | 3146 |
| Uzbek | 89.3 | 99.4 | 88.8 | 5085 |
| Other | 87.4 | 100 | 87.4 | 1450 |
| Total | 88.2 | 96.3 | 84.9 | 25040 |

* MICS indicator 11; MDG indicator 30
** MICS indicator 12; MDG indicator 31
Table RH.1: Use of contraception
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years married or in union who are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method, Kyrgyzstan, 2006


|  | Percent of women (currently married or inunion) who are using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 产 | P | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\Xi} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\Xi} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EI } \\ & \text { O } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\sum_{\Delta}^{\sum}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \frac{\pi}{3} \\ & \frac{5}{0} \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 49.8 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 39.9 | 2.5 | ... | 1.3 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 47.3 | 2.9 | 50.2 | 768 |
| Second | 58.1 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 32.0 | 0.9 | ... | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 39.7 | 2.2 | 41.9 | 791 |
| Middle | 54.0 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 33.4 | 1.0 | ... | 3.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 43.3 | 2.7 | 46.0 | 826 |
| Fourth | 50.5 | 1.7 | 7.3 | 29.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 7.8 | $\ldots$ | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 48.0 | 1.5 | 49.5 | 889 |
| Richest | 49.3 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 26.6 | 0.7 | ... | 13.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 48.5 | 2.2 | 50.7 | 920 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 53.7 | 0.6 | 4.4 | 33.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 44.5 | 1.8 | 46.3 | 2519 |
| Russian | 45.9 | 1.8 | 9.6 | 26.7 | 0.4 | ... | 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ | 1.0 | 100.0 | 52.0 | 2.0 | 54.1 | 542 |
| Uzbek | 53.2 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 31.9 | 1.4 | $\ldots$ | 4.6 | ... | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 4.3 | 46.8 | 862 |
| Other | 47.4 | 0.1 | 8.7 | 30.8 | 1.5 | ... | 10.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 51.5 | 1.1 | 52.6 | 263 |
| Total | 52.2 | 0.9 | 5.1 | 32.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 45.5 | 2.3 | 47.8 | 4195 |

Table RH.2: Unmet need for contraception
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years currently married or in union with an unmet need for family planning and percentage of demand for contraception satisfied, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Unmet need for contraception |  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current use of contraception* | For spacing | For limiting | Total** | Number of women currently married or in union | Percentage of demand for contraception satisfied*** | married or in union with need for contraception |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 45.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 314 | 98.2 | 145 |
| Jalalabad | 36.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 739 | 96.7 | 277 |
| Issyk-Kul | 52.6 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 325 | 97.7 | 175 |
| Naryn | 53.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 169 | 99.0 | 91 |
| Osh | 45.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 995 | 98.1 | 464 |
| Talas | 55.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 167 | 98.4 | 94 |
| Chui | 52.2 | 1.1 | $\ldots$ | 1.1 | 706 | 97.9 | 377 |
| Bishkek c. | 53.3 | 1.5 | ... | 1.5 | 780 | 97.3 | 427 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 49.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1666 | 97.8 | 839 |
| Rural | 46.8 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 2529 | 97.7 | 1211 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.7 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 118 | (*) | 17 |
| 20-24 | 31.1 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 667 | 90.2 | 230 |
| 25-29 | 51.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 806 | 97.9 | 426 |
| 30-34 | 57.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 757 | 97.2 | 443 |
| 35-39 | 62.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 653 | 99.7 | 411 |
| 40-44 | 56.8 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 650 | 99.8 | 370 |
| 45-49 | 28.1 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 545 | 99.7 | 153 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 43.6 | 3.1 | ... | 3.1 | 270 | 93.4 | 126 |
| Secondary | 47.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 2988 | 98.1 | 1443 |
| High | 50.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 936 | 97.8 | 480 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 50.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 768 | 95.9 | 402 |
| Second | 41.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 791 | 97.9 | 339 |
| Middle | 46.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 826 | 99.6 | 382 |
| Fourth | 49.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 889 | 98.5 | 447 |
| Richest | 50.7 | 1.5 | .. | 1.5 | 920 | 97.1 | 480 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 46.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 2519 | 97.5 | 1.196 |
| Russian | 54.1 | 0.5 | $\ldots$ | 0.5 | 542 | 99.1 | 296 |
| Uzbek | 46.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 862 | 97.3 | 414 |
| Other | 52.6 | 0.3 | ... | 0.3 | 263 | 99.4 | 139 |
| Total | 47.8 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 4195 | 97.7 | 2050 |

* MICS indicator 21; MDG indicator 19C
** MICS indicator 98
*** MICS indicator 99
... - No reported cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases

Table RH.3: Antenatal care provider
Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey by type of personnel providing antenatal care, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Person providing antenatal care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ midwife |  | Community health worker | No antenatal care received | Total | Any skilled personnel * | Number of women who gave birth in the preceding two years |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 75.5 | 21.8 | ... |  | 2.6 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 91 |
| Jalalabad | 82.1 | 10.4 | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | 7.3 | 100.0 | 92.7 | 189 |
| Issyk-Kul | 78.0 | 18.5 | 2.9 | ... | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 81 |
| Naryn | 94.6 | 1.8 | ... | 0.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 96.4 | 51 |
| Osh | 81.5 | 15.2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3.2 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 298 |
| Talas | (87.5) | (10.4) | ... | ... | (2.1) | 100.0 | (97.9) | 45 |
| Chui | 80.5 | 15.5 | $\ldots$ | 3.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 182 |
| Bishkek c. | 98.2 | 1.2 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 273 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 94.6 | 4.4 | $\ldots$ | ... | 1.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 490 |
| Rural | 79.0 | 16.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 95.4 | 719 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (90.5) | (9.5) | ... | ... | ... | 100.0 | (100.0) | 33 |
| 20-24 | 85.7 | 9.7 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 454 |
| 25-29 | 87.3 | 10.0 | ... | 0.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 376 |
| 30-34 | 79.5 | 17.4 | ... | ... | 3.1 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 213 |
| 35-39 | 84.8 | 13.3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.9 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 98 |
| 40-44 | (93.9) | (6.1) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 100.0 | (100.0) | 33 |
| 45-49 | (*) | $\ldots$ | (*) | $\ldots$ | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 3 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 90.0 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | ... | 100.0 | 96.9 | 115 |
| Secondary | 82.8 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 777 |
| High | 89.8 | 6.8 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 318 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 71.7 | 22.0 | .. | 0.2 | 6.2 | 100.0 | 93.6 | 228 |
| Second | 82.4 | 14.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 96.5 | 219 |
| Middle | 88.0 | 9.8 | ... | $\ldots$ | 2.2 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 252 |
| Fourth | 88.6 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 220 |
| Richest | 93.3 | 5.7 | .. | $\ldots$ | 1.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 290 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 83.9 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 793 |
| Russian | 87.1 | 9.8 | ... | 2.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 96.8 | 121 |
| Uzbek | 86.9 | 9.3 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 96.2 | 226 |
| Other | 94.0 | 6.0 | ... | ... | ... | 100.0 | 100 | 68 |
| Total | 85.3 | 11.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 1209 |

## * MICS indicator 20

(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
... - No reported cases

Table RH.4: Antenatal care
Percentage of pregnant women receiving antenatal care among women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in two years preceding the survey and percentage of pregnant women receiving specific care as part of the antenatal care received, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

| Percent ofpregnantwomen receiv-ing ANC oneor more timesduring preg-nancy |  | Percent of pregnant women who had: |  |  |  | Number of women who gave birth in two years preceding survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Blood test taken* | Blood pressure measured* | specimen taken* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weight } \\ & \text { measured** } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 97.4 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 91 |
| Jalalabad | 92.7 | 92.4 | 92.6 | 92.0 | 90.0 | 189 |
| Issyk-Kul | 99.4 | 99.4 | 97.7 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 81 |
| Naryn | 97.2 | 97.2 | 97.2 | 97.2 | 96.7 | 51 |
| Osh | 96.8 | 94.4 | 96.3 | 94.2 | 95.5 | 298 |
| Talas | (97.9) | (96.8) | (97.9) | (96.8) | (97.9) | 45 |
| Chui | 99.9 | 99.7 | 97.4 | 99.7 | 99.4 | 182 |
| Bishkek c. | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 273 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.0 | 98.9 | 98.6 | 98.9 | 98.3 | 490 |
| Rural | 96.5 | 95.3 | 95.6 | 95.1 | 95.4 | 719 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (98.6) | (98.6) | 33 |
| 20-24 | 97.5 | 95.9 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 96.3 | 454 |
| 25-29 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 97.3 | 96.7 | 376 |
| 30-34 | 96.9 | 96.8 | 96.9 | 96.5 | 96.4 | 213 |
| 35-39 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 97.8 | 98 |
| 40-44 | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | 33 |
| 45-49 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.1 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 115 |
| Secondary | 97.4 | 96.3 | 97.3 | 96.1 | 96.5 | 777 |
| High | 96.8 | 96.7 | 95.9 | 96.7 | 95.8 | 318 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 93.8 | 93.7 | 93.7 | 93.7 | 93.0 | 228 |
| Second | 98.3 | 94.6 | 96.0 | 94.1 | 96.8 | 219 |
| Middle | 97.8 | 97.7 | 97.8 | 97.7 | 96.7 | 252 |
| Fourth | 98.1 | 98.1 | 97.8 | 97.9 | 97.5 | 220 |
| Richest | 99.0 | 99.0 | 98.4 | 99.0 | 98.5 | 290 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 97.3 | 97.1 | 96.8 | 96.9 | 96.1 | 793 |
| Russian | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.2 | 121 |
| Uzbek | 96.2 | 93.1 | 96.2 | 93.1 | 96.1 | 226 |
| Other | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 68 |
| Total | 97.5 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 96.6 | 96.6 | 1209 |

## * MICS indicator 44

(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
Table RH.5: Assistance during delivery
Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 with a birth in two years preceding the survey by type of personnel assisting at delivery, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Person assisting at delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Auxiliary midwife | Traditional birth attendant | Community health worker | Relative/ friend | Other/ missing | No attendant | Total | Any skilled person nel * | Delivered in health facility ** | birth in preceding two years |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 74.5 | 21.9 | ... |  | 0.7 | $\ldots$ | ... | 2.9 | 100.0 | 96.4 | 88.3 | 91 |
| Jalalabad | 78.7 | 14.0 | ... | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 7.2 | 100.0 | 92.7 | 92.6 | 189 |
| Issyk-Kul | 84.8 | 15.2 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 100.0 | 100 | 99.5 | 81 |
| Naryn | 62.7 | 35.6 | ... | 1.1 |  | 0.6 | ... | ... | 100.0 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 51 |
| Osh | 52.0 | 43.2 | 1.4 | ... | 0.8 | ... | 1.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 96.7 | 298 |
| Talas | (74.2) | (21.8) | (1.9) | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (2.1) | 100.0 | (97.9) | (97.9) | 45 |
| Chui | 81.0 | 18.5 | 0.5 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 100.0 | 100 | 99.4 | 182 |
| Bishkek c. | 98.8 | 1.2 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 100.0 | 100 | 100 | 273 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 94.2 | 5.7 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | ... |  | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 490 |
| Rural | 64.0 | 31.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 94.9 | 719 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (68.5) | (22.8) | (8.7) | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 100.0 | 100 | (95.6) | 33 |
| 20-24 | 78.6 | 18.9 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  | 2.1 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 97.5 | 454 |
| 25-29 | 74.8 | 22.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | ... | 0.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 96.3 | 376 |
| 30-34 | 73.2 | 24.1 | ... | ... | 0.1 | 0.2 | ... | 2.4 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 97.5 | 213 |
| 35-39 | 81.9 | 16.4 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.7 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 96.3 | 98 |
| 40-44 | (73.6) | (26.4) | ... |  | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100.0 | (100) | (98.7) | 33 |
| 45-49 | (*) | ... | ... | (*) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | 3 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 74.3 | 23.9 | 1.7 | ... | .. | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Secondary | 71.6 | 25.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 96.4 | 777 |
| High | 88.4 | 8.5 | 0.3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2.8 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 97.1 | 318 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 60.1 | 33.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | ... | 0.1 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 93.4 | 93.3 | 228 |
| Second | 57.8 | 41.1 |  | 0.1 |  | ... | ... | 1.0 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 98.7 | 219 |
| Middle | 77.8 | 17.1 | 2.3 | ... | 1.2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.6 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 94.6 | 252 |
| Fourth | 83.2 | 14.9 | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 1.9 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 97.5 | 220 |
| Richest | 96.3 | 3.7 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 290 |


|  |  |  |  | erson assis | ing at deliver |  |  |  |  |  |  | umber |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Auxiliary midwife | Traditional <br> birth attendant | nity health worker | Relative/ friend | Other / missing | No attendant | Total | Any skilied <br> person nel * | in health facility ** | preceding two years |
| Ethnicit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 74.0 | 22.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 96.3 | 793 |
| Russian | 86.4 | 13.4 | $\ldots$ | ... | 0.2 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100 | 121 |
| Uzbek | 72.6 | 23.6 | 0.1 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3.7 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 96.3 | 226 |
| Other | 97.0 | 3.0 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 68 |
| Total | 76.3 | 20.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 96.9 | 1209 |

[^7]Table RH.6: Maternal mortality ratio
Lifetime risk of maternal death and proportion of dead sisters dying of maternal causes, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Num- <br> ber of <br> adult <br> house- <br> hold <br> respon- <br> dents | Sisters who reached age 15 | Sisters who reached age 15 (adjusted) | Sisters who reached age 15 and who died | Maternal deaths | Adjustment factor | Sister units of risk exposure | Lifetime risk of maternal death | Percent of dead sisters dying of maternal causes | Total fertility rate 1014 years ago | Maternal mortality ratio * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 | 2848 | 4097 | 9480 | 52 | 1 | 0.107 | 1014 | 0.001 | 2.4 |  |  |
| 20-24 | 2312 | 4073 | 9425 | 42 | 2 | 0.206 | 1942 | 0.001 | 5.8 |  |  |
| 25-29 | 2046 | 4345 | 10053 | 44 | 4 | 0.343 | 3448 | 0.001 | 9.7 |  |  |
| 30-34 | 1781 | 4163 | 4163 | 75 | 14 | 0.503 | 2094 | 0.007 | 18.9 |  |  |
| 35-39 | 1591 | 4144 | 4144 | 74 | 11 | 0.664 | 2752 | 0.004 | 14.9 | . |  |
| 40-44 | 1503 | 4075 | 4075 | 123 | 7 | 0.802 | 3268 | 0.002 | 6.1 | . |  |
| 45-49 | 1330 | 3348 | 3348 | 135 | 4 | 0.900 | 3013 | 0.001 | 2.7 | . |  |
| 50-54 | 1129 | 2535 | 2535 | 171 | 5 | 0.958 | 2428 | 0.002 | 3.2 | . |  |
| 55-59 | 677 | 1445 | 1445 | 152 | 4 | 0.986 | 1425 | 0.003 | 2.5 |  |  |
| 60+ | 1631 | 2604 | 2604 | 794 | 17 | 1.000 | 2604 | 0.007 | 2.2 |  |  |
| Total | 16848 | 34828 | 51272 | 1662 | 71 |  | 23988 | 0.003 | 4.3 | 2.85 | 104 |

* MICS Indicator 3; MDG Indicator 16

Table CD.1: Family support for learning
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months for whom household members are engaged in activities that promote learning and school readiness, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children aged 0-59 months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For whom household members engaged in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness* | Mean number of activities household members engage in with the child | For whom the father engaged in one or more activities that promote learning and school readiness** | Mean number of activities the father engaged in with the child | Living in a household without their natural fathe | Number of children aged 0-59 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 72.1 | 4.4 | 52.5 | 1.4 | 14.8 | 1450 |
| Female | 69.8 | 4.4 | 53.0 | 1.3 | 14.3 | 1434 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 73.6 | 4.4 | 33.4 | 0.6 | 12.4 | 233 |
| Jalalabad | 50.8 | 3.7 | 25.5 | 0.6 | 12.7 | 422 |
| Isyk-Kul | 78.3 | 4.6 | 62.6 | 1.3 | 18.3 | 229 |
| Naryn | 51.9 | 3.5 | 36.3 | 0.8 | 17.2 | 110 |
| Osh | 69.6 | 4.2 | 38.6 | 0.8 | 15.4 | 699 |
| Talas | 75.8 | 4.6 | 71.8 | 1.7 | 13.0 | 144 |
| Chui | 68.1 | 4.4 | 66.3 | 1.9 | 15.0 | 415 |
| Bishkek c. | 86.4 | 5.2 | 79.7 | 2.4 | 13.9 | 632 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 79.7 | 4.8 | 65.8 | 1.9 | 15.2 | 1172 |
| Rural | 65.0 | 4.1 | 43.8 | 1.0 | 14.1 | 1711 |
| Age of child |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 48.9 | 3.4 | 47.8 | 1.1 | 10.4 | 1161 |
| 24-59 months | 85.9 | 5.1 | 56.1 | 1.5 | 17.3 | 1722 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secobdary | 55.8 | 4.0 | 44.8 | 1.1 | 20.7 | 210 |
| Secondary | 70.0 | 4.3 | 49.1 | 1.2 | 14.2 | 1990 |
| High | 78.5 | 4.8 | 65.9 | 1.9 | 13.6 | 684 |
| Father's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 55.3 | 3.5 | 51.7 | 1.1 | na | 109 |
| Secondary | 67.2 | 4.3 | 55.4 | 1.3 | na | 1786 |
| High | 80.6 | 4.7 | 77.0 | 2.2 | na | 570 |
| Father not in HH | 78.2 | 4.8 | na | na | na | 419 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 64.0 | 4.1 | 46.0 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 584 |
| Second | 66.1 | 4.2 | 43.6 | 0.9 | 15.5 | 556 |
| Middle | 66.6 | 4.1 | 34.9 | 0.8 | 14.6 | 535 |
| Fourth | 72.3 | 4.4 | 59.5 | 1.5 | 13.6 | 544 |
| Richest | 83.6 | 5.0 | 75.3 | 2.2 | 16.0 | 664 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 73.5 | 4.5 | 55.0 | 1.4 | 14.5 | 1.906 |
| Russian | 84.0 | 5.1 | 70.8 | 2.2 | 20.0 | 288 |
| Uzbek | 53.6 | 3.8 | 31.5 | 0.6 | 12.4 | 518 |
| Other | 73.1 | 4.5 | 63.0 | 1.4 | 12.3 | 169 |
| Total | 71.0 | 4.4 | 52.8 | 1.3 | 14.5 | 2883 |

* MICS indicator 46
** MICS Indicator 47
na - Not applicable
Table CD.2: Learning materials
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months living in households containing
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months living in households containing learning materials, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Children living in households with: |  | Child has: |  | Child plays with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { or more } \\ & \text { non-child- } \\ & \text { ren's books* } \end{aligned}$ | Median number of non-children's books | 3 or more child-ren's books ** | Median number of child-ren's books | House-hold objects | Objects and materials found outside the home | Home-made toys | Toys that came from a store | No playthings mentioned | 3 or more types of play-things *** | Number of children aged 0-59 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 40.3 | 2 | 76.1 | 10 | 23.6 | 43.1 | 29.2 | 90.7 | 6.7 | 27.1 | 1450 |
| Female | 36.1 | 1 | 76.3 | 10 | 31.7 | 32.2 | 22.7 | 89.2 | 7.7 | 22.6 | 1434 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 23.8 | 0 | 85.8 | 10 | 42.1 | 47.1 | 32.3 | 73.7 | 10.1 | 31.9 | 233 |
| Jalalabad | 27.0 | 0 | 58.3 | 5 | 20.1 | 18.9 | 32.1 | 84.9 | 12.1 | 13.2 | 422 |
| Isyk-Kul | 31.2 | 0 | 81.0 | 10 | 30.4 | 50.0 | 40.5 | 89.1 | 6.9 | 37.3 | 229 |
| Naryn | 22.5 | 0 | 75.3 | 10 | 20.6 | 28.2 | 21.5 | 82.1 | 13.6 | 10.5 | 110 |
| Osh | 17.9 | 0 | 73.1 | 10 | 21.4 | 26.7 | 32.9 | 91.5 | 7.1 | 23.3 | 699 |
| Talas | 53.8 | 3 | 83.0 | 10 | 28.7 | 36.5 | 46.7 | 91.1 | 7.4 | 32.5 | 144 |
| Chui | 39.1 | 1 | 74.7 | 10 | 49.9 | 60.7 | 18.8 | 92.9 | 5.9 | 41.4 | 415 |
| Bishkek c. | 74.7 | 6 | 85.9 | 10 | 19.7 | 41.1 | 7.5 | 97.1 | 2.7 | 17.1 | 632 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 59.5 | 4 | 81.0 | 10 | 26.4 | 41.0 | 15.5 | 95.1 | 4.1 | 23.5 | 1172 |
| Rural | 23.7 | 0 | 72.9 | 10 | 28.5 | 35.4 | 33.1 | 86.4 | 9.3 | 25.8 | 1711 |
| Age of child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 29.8 | 0 | 74.9 | 10 | 25.1 | 17.8 | 16.9 | 81.0 | 16.4 | 15.3 | 1161 |
| 24-59 months | 43.9 | 2 | 77.0 | 10 | 29.4 | 51.1 | 32.1 | 96.0 | 1.0 | 31.3 | 1722 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 19.9 | 0 | 53.9 | 4 | 36.8 | 32.6 | 26.5 | 90.8 | 7.1 | 23.6 | 210 |
| Secondary | 32.7 | 1 | 74.7 | 10 | 27.2 | 39.6 | 29.1 | 88.3 | 8.1 | 26.5 | 1990 |
| High | 60.0 | 4 | 87.2 | 10 | 26.2 | 33.5 | 16.9 | 94.4 | 4.5 | 20.5 | 684 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 21.9 | 0 | 68.2 | 10 | 25.9 | 30.3 | 34.1 | 89.8 | 6.0 | 23.3 | 584 |
| Second | 21.1 | 1 | 76.0 | 10 | 25.6 | 36.6 | 38.0 | 85.2 | 8.7 | 26.2 | 556 |
| Middle | 27.9 | 0 | 75.5 | 10 | 30.2 | 37.1 | 33.6 | 86.9 | 9.5 | 29.1 | 535 |
| Fourth | 43.6 | 2 | 75.2 | 10 | 30.4 | 45.2 | 15.5 | 89.7 | 9.3 | 25.7 | 544 |
| Richest | 70.9 | 5 | 84.7 | 10 | 26.6 | 39.3 | 11.2 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 21.0 | 664 |

Children living in
households with:
Objects and
Child plays with:

1906
288
518
169
2883
-

$\cdots \underset{\sim 1}{\sim}$

억 |  | $\begin{array}{c}3 \text { or more } \\ \text { non-child- } \\ \text { ren's books** }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Median } \\ \text { number of } \\ \text { ron'-child- }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}3 \text { or more } \\ \text { rhild-ren's } \\ \text { books } \\ * *\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Median } \\ \text { number of } \\ \text { child-ren's } \\ \text { books }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity/Language | 39.8 | 2 | 79 | 10 |
| Kyrgyz | 72.3 | 6 | 78.5 | 10 |
| Russian | 12.8 | 0 | 60.5 | 6 |
| Uzbek | 39.2 | 1 | 82.8 | 10 |
| Other | 38.2 | 1 | 76.2 | 10 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

$*$ MICS indicator 49
$* *$
*** MICS indicator 50

Table CD.3: Children left alone or w.ith other children
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months left in the care of other children under the age of 10 years or left alone in the past week, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children aged 0-59 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left in the care of children under the age of 10 years | Left alone | Left with inadequate care * | Number of children aged 0-59 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 11.6 | 1.7 | 12.3 | 1450 |
| Female | 8.4 | 1.5 | 8.8 | 1434 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 19.4 | 3.5 | 20.5 | 233 |
| Jalalabad | 6.8 | 3.8 | 7.2 | 422 |
| Isyk-Kul | 18.9 | 2.5 | 19.2 | 229 |
| Naryn | 12.4 | 2.9 | 14.8 | 110 |
| Osh | 8.8 | 0.9 | 9.6 | 699 |
| Talas | 11.6 | 0.3 | 11.6 | 144 |
| Chui | 10.8 | 0.7 | 11.1 | 415 |
| Bishkek c. | 5.6 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 632 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 7.4 | 0.9 | 7.8 | 1172 |
| Rural | 11.8 | 2.1 | 12.5 | 1711 |
| Age of child |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 5.6 | 0.6 | 5.7 | 1161 |
| 24-59 months | 13.0 | 2.3 | 13.9 | 1722 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 12.6 | $\ldots$ | 12.6 | 210 |
| Secondary | 11.2 | 2.1 | 11.9 | 1990 |
| High | 5.8 | 0.7 | 6.1 | 684 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 10.4 | 2.8 | 10.8 | 584 |
| Second | 14.8 | 2.2 | 15.4 | 556 |
| Middle | 12.4 | 2.2 | 13.9 | 535 |
| Fourth | 8.0 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 544 |
| Richest | 5.3 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 664 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 9.8 | 2.0 | 10.6 | 1906 |
| Russian | 6.3 | 0.5 | 6.6 | 288 |
| Uzbek | 12.0 | 0.7 | 12.3 | 518 |
| Other | 11.2 | 0.1 | 11.3 | 169 |
| Total | 10.0 | 1.6 | 10.6 | 2883 |

* MICS indicator 51
... - No reported cases

Table ED.1: Early childhood education
Percentage of children aged 36-59 months who are attending some form of organized early childhood education programme and percentage of first graders who attended pre-school, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children aged 36-59 months currently attending early childhood education* | Number of children aged 36-59 months | Percentage of children attending first grade who attended preschool program in previous year** | Number of children attending first grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 21.1 | 574 | 20.7 | 121 |
| Female | 17.0 | 591 | 19.6 | 113 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 6.6 | 92 | (*) | 15 |
| Jalalabad | 8.8 | 189 | (5.0) | 35 |
| Issyk-Kul | 10.6 | 90 | (*) | 24 |
| Naryn | 6.7 | 50 | (*) | 11 |
| Osh | 16.6 | 298 | 10.0 | 71 |
| Talas | 22.9 | 71 | (*) | 7 |
| Chui | 16.4 | 147 | (27.4) | 38 |
| Bishkek c. | 41.9 | 229 | (73.7) | 32 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 33.2 | 457 | 39.5 | 87 |
| Rural | 9.8 | 708 | 8.8 | 147 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 36-47 months | 16.2 | 530 | na | na |
| 48-59 months | 21.3 | 636 | na | na |
| 7 years | na | na | 20.2 | 233 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 8.9 | 78 | (*) | 22 |
| Secondary | 13.5 | 854 | 15.5 | 170 |
| Higher | 42.5 | 234 | (38.7) | 42 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 7.1 | 258 | 0.0 | 51 |
| Second | 8.8 | 252 | (12.0) | 44 |
| Middle | 13.7 | 188 | (1.7) | 45 |
| Fourth | 17.8 | 223 | 34.6 | 51 |
| Richest | 47.4 | 243 | (55.3) | 42 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 17.3 | 774 | 20.4 | 171 |
| Russian | 42.6 | 111 | (*) | 15 |
| Uzbek | 14.9 | 213 | (9.7) | 38 |
| Other | (13.5) | 65 | (*) | 9 |
| Total | 19.0 | 1165 | 20.2 | 233 |

* MICS indicator 52
** MICS indicator 53
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
na - Not applicable

Table ED.2: Primary school entry Percentage of children of primary school entry age attending grade 1, Kyrgyzstan,2006

|  | Percentage of children of primary school entry age currently attending grade ${ }^{*}$ * | Number of children of primary school entry age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 66.4 | 260 |
| Female | 75.5 | 203 |
| Region |  |  |
| Batken | 58.5 | 42 |
| Jalalabad | 64.6 | 79 |
| Issyk-Kul | 74.9 | 41 |
| Naryn | 48.5 | 26 |
| Osh | 91.7 | 124 |
| Talas | 64.8 | 16 |
| Chui | (58.3) | 73 |
| Bishkek c. | (64.9) | 63 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 71.7 | 159 |
| Rural | 69.6 | 304 |
| Age |  |  |
| 7 | 70.4 | 463 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| Not secondary | (79.8) | 29 |
| Secondary | 68.8 | 357 |
| Higher | 73.9 | 77 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |
| Poorest | 75.6 | 102 |
| Second | 70.7 | 92 |
| Middle | 64.2 | 85 |
| Fourth | 66.8 | 114 |
| Richest | 75.4 | 71 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 71.2 | 320 |
| Russian | (54.5) | 40 |
| Uzbek | 80.8 | 78 |
| Other | (52.6) | 25 |
| Total | 70.4 | 463 |

## * MICS indicator 54

(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table ED.3: Primary school net attendance ratio
Percentage of children of primary school age** attending primary or secondary school (NAR), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio* | Number of children |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 85.2 | 92 | 94.2 | 84 | 89.5 | 175 |
| Jalalabad | 92.4 | 205 | 91.1 | 222 | 91.7 | 427 |
| Issyk-Kul | 95.1 | 83 | 87.0 | 90 | 90.8 | 173 |
| Naryn | 83.4 | 64 | 91.7 | 58 | 87.4 | 122 |
| Osh | 98.7 | 291 | 97.6 | 236 | 98.2 | 527 |
| Talas | 90.5 | 35 | 93.6 | 41 | 92.2 | 76 |
| Chui | 79.6 | 151 | 93.2 | 125 | 85.8 | 276 |
| Bishkek c. | 90.0 | 135 | 93.5 | 130 | 91.7 | 265 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.0 | 341 | 92.7 | 320 | 92.9 | 661 |
| Rural | 90.0 | 714 | 93.5 | 667 | 91.7 | 1381 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 68.5 | 260 | 77.9 | 203 | 72.6 | 463 |
| 8 | 98.5 | 240 | 97.8 | 268 | 98.1 | 508 |
| 9 | 100.0 | 279 | 98.3 | 247 | 99.2 | 526 |
| 10 | 96.5 | 276 | 95.7 | 269 | 96.1 | 544 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 82.1 | 65 | (96.9) | 40 | 87.7 | 104 |
| Secondary | 91.0 | 809 | 93.7 | 768 | 92.3 | 1577 |
| Higher | 93.8 | 181 | 90.5 | 179 | 92.1 | 360 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 92.6 | 239 | 94.6 | 242 | 93.6 | 482 |
| Second | 88.6 | 225 | 95.1 | 233 | 91.9 | 458 |
| Middle | 89.7 | 200 | 92.6 | 161 | 91.0 | 361 |
| Fourth | 90.1 | 225 | 90.1 | 202 | 90.1 | 427 |
| Richest | 94.5 | 165 | 93.3 | 148 | 93.9 | 313 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 90.7 | 657 | 93.0 | 647 | 91.9 | 1304 |
| Russian | 79.1 | 90 | 95.0 | 83 | 86.7 | 172 |
| Uzbek | 97.6 | 244 | 92.8 | 208 | 95.4 | 452 |
| Other | 83.9 | 60 | (95.3) | 49 | 89.0 | 109 |
| Total | 91.0 | 1055 | 93.3 | 986 | 92.1 | 2041 |

## * MICS Indicator 55; MDG Indicator 6

(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table ED.4: Secondary school net attendance ratio
Percentage of children of secondary school age* attending secondary school or higher (NAR), Kyrgyzstan, 2006


* MICS indicator 56

Table ED.5: Children reaching grade 5
Percentage of children entering first grade of primary school who eventually reach grade 5, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percent attending 2 grade who were in 1 grade last year | Percent attending 3 grade who were in 2 grade last year | Percent attending 4 grade who were in 3 grade last year | Percent attending 5 grade who were in 4 grade last year | Percent who reach grade 5 of those who enter 1 grade* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 98.9 | 98.7 |
| Female | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 99.4 | 98.5 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Jalalabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 97.0 |
| Issyk-Kul | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Naryn | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Osh | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 100.0 | 99.1 |
| Talas | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 96.3 |
| Chui | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.5 | 100.0 | 96.5 |
| Bishkek c. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 98.7 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 98.7 | 98.4 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 98.9 | 98.2 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 97.3 | 96.4 |
| Second | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 100.0 | 98.9 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 99.1 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 99.3 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 98.8 | 98.1 |
| Russian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 100.0 | 97.9 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Other | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 98.6 |

[^8]Table ED.6: Primary school completion and transition to secondary education Primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education, Kyrgyzstan, 2006
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr}\hline & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of children } \\ \text { who were in the last } \\ \text { grade of primary } \\ \text { completion rate }\end{array} \\ \text { school the previous } \\ \text { (ear }\end{array}\right)$

* MICS indicator 59; MDG indicator 7b
** MICS indicator 58
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases

Table ED.7: Education gender parity
Ratio of girls to boys attending primary education and ratio of girls to boys attending secondary education,Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR). girls | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR). boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for primary school NAR* | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR). girls | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR). boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for secondary school NAR* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 94.2 | 85.2 | 1.11 | 93.6 | 93.8 | 1.00 |
| Jalalabad | 91.1 | 92.4 | 0.99 | 84.4 | 82.7 | 1.02 |
| Issyk-Kul | 87.0 | 95.1 | 0.92 | 93.8 | 92.8 | 1.01 |
| Naryn | 91.7 | 83.4 | 1.10 | 97.8 | 92.3 | 1.06 |
| Osh | 97.6 | 98.7 | 0.99 | 85.2 | 85.2 | 1.00 |
| Talas | 93.6 | 90.5 | 1.03 | 95.9 | 88.9 | 1.08 |
| Chui | 93.2 | 79.6 | 1.17 | 93.8 | 85.6 | 1.10 |
| Bishkek c. | 93.5 | 90.0 | 1.04 | 97.0 | 94.5 | 1.03 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 92.7 | 93.0 | 1.00 | 91.9 | 89.7 | 1.02 |
| Rural | 93.5 | 90.0 | 1.04 | 90.2 | 86.8 | 1.04 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 96.9 | 82.1 | 1.18 | 81.2 | 78.5 | 1.03 |
| Secondary | 93.7 | 91.0 | 1.03 | 91.0 | 86.7 | 1.05 |
| Higher | 90.5 | 93.8 | 0.96 | 95.0 | 95.7 | 0.99 |
| Mather not in HH | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 81.3 | 85.9 | 0.95 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 94.6 | 92.6 | 1.02 | 90.3 | 86.9 | 1.04 |
| Second | 95.1 | 88.6 | 1.07 | 90.9 | 88.8 | 1.02 |
| Middle | 92.6 | 89.7 | 1.03 | 86.9 | 84.0 | 1.03 |
| Fourth | 90.1 | 90.1 | 1.00 | 90.4 | 87.9 | 1.03 |
| Richest | 93.3 | 94.5 | 0.99 | 95.6 | 93.3 | 1.02 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 93.0 | 90.7 | 1.03 | 93.7 | 91.1 | 1.03 |
| Russian | 95.0 | 79.1 | 1.20 | 91.8 | 88.3 | 1.04 |
| Uzbek | 92.8 | 97.6 | 0.95 | 80.4 | 78.4 | 1.03 |
| Other | 95.3 | 83.9 | 1.14 | 88.2 | 82.0 | 1.08 |
| Total | 93.3 | 91.0 | 1.03 | 90.8 | 87.6 | 1.04 |

* MICS Indicator 61; MDG Indicator 9
... - No reported cases

Table ED.8: Adult literacy
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years that are literate, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage literate* | Number of women aged 15-24 years |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Region | 99.8 | 205 |
| Batken | 99.9 | 551 |
| Jalalabad | 99.7 | 177 |
| Issyk-Kul | 99.6 | 101 |
| Naryn | 100.0 | 636 |
| Osh | 99.9 | 112 |
| Talas | 99.9 | 384 |
| Chui | 100.0 | 653 |
| Bishkek c. |  |  |
| Residence | 99.9 | 1231 |
| Urban | 99.9 | 1588 |
| Rural |  |  |
| Education | 99.7 | 743 |
| Not secondary | 100.0 | 1399 |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 676 |
| Higher |  |  |
| Age | 99.9 | 1542 |
| 15-19 | 99.9 | 1276 |
| 20-24 |  |  |
| Wealth index quintiles | 99.8 | 514 |
| Poorest | 100.0 | 572 |
| Second | 99.8 | 518 |
| Middle | 99.9 | 503 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 711 |
| Richest | 99.9 |  |
| Ethnicity/Language | 100.0 | 1798 |
| Kyrgyz | 100.0 | 306 |
| Russian | 99.9 | 543 |
| Ozbek | 99.9 | 161 |
| Thetal |  | 2819 |
| MICS indicator 60; MDG indicator 8 |  |  |

Table CP.1: Birth registration
Percent distribution of children aged 0-59 months by whether birth is registered and reasons for non-registration, Kyrgyzstan,2006

|  |  |  | No. of |  |  | Birth is n | tregistered be | ause: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Birth is registered* | Don't know if birth is registered | children <br> in the age of 0-59 months | Costs too much | Must travel too far | Late. didn't want to pay fine | Doesn't know where to register | Other | No marriage registration | Don't know | Total | children aged 0-59 months without birth registration |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 94.8 | 1.2 | 1450 | 7.7 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3.0 | 50.9 | 38.4 | ... | 100.0 | 58 |
| Female | 93.6 | 0.9 | 1434 | 1.0 | 13.6 | 0.9 | ... | 54.8 | 28.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 78 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 97.9 | 1.4 | 233 | (*) | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 2 |
| Jalalabad | 88.6 | 1.8 | 422 | (1.5) | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | (93.8) | (2.2) | (2.5) | 100.0 | 40 |
| Isyk-Kul | 95.2 | 3.0 | 229 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 4 |
| Naryn | 90.1 | 0.3 | 110 | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 9.9 | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 10 |
| Osh | 96.4 | 0.1 | 699 | (1.2) | ... | $\ldots$ | (7.0) | (1.2) | (89.2) | (1.2) | 100.0 | 25 |
| Talas | 97.2 | 2.0 | 144 | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 1 |
| Chui | 93.6 | $\ldots$ | 415 | $\ldots$ | (32.0) | (2.6) | ... | (56.4) | (9.0) | ... | 100.0 | 26 |
| Bishkek c. | 94.4 | 1.3 | 632 | (12.5) | (6.3) | ... | $\ldots$ | (50.0) | (31.3) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 27 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 95.9 | 0.9 | 1172 | (11.0) | (7.4) | (1.8) | $\ldots$ | (41.3) | (37.7) | (0.8) | 100.0 | 38 |
| Rural | 93.1 | 1.2 | 1711 | 1.1 | 8.0 | ... | 1.8 | 57.7 | 30.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 98 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 89.8 | 0.5 | 564 | 3.7 | 14.6 | ... | ... | 59.9 | 21.9 | ... | 100.0 | 54 |
| 12-23 months | 92.7 | 1.5 | 597 | (1.8) | (3.0) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (28.4) | (63.0) | (3.9) | 100.0 | 34 |
| 24-35 months | 95.5 | 1.4 | 562 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 100.0 | 18 |
| 36-47 months | 96.0 | 1.2 | 527 | (*) | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 15 |
| 48-59 months | 97.0 | 0.6 | 633 | (*) | $\ldots$ | ... | (*) | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 15 |
| Mother's educ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 93.4 | 0.1 | 210 | (*) | (*) | ... | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 14 |
| Secondary | 93.6 | 1.3 | 1990 | 4.4 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 55.1 | 30.1 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 101 |
| High | 96.3 | 0.5 | 684 | $\ldots$ | (*) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 21 |
| Wealth index | intiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 94.1 | 2.3 | 584 | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 21 |
| Second | 95.1 | 0.5 | 556 | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 24 |
| Middle | 90.3 | 0.7 | 535 | $\ldots$ | (2.2) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (66.6) | (31.3) | ... | 100.0 | 48 |
| Fourth | 96.3 | 0.3 | 544 | $\ldots$ | (*) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 18 |
| Richest | 95.1 | 1.3 | 664 | (*) | $\ldots$ | (*) | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 24 |


|  |  |  | No. |  |  | Birth is | tregistered be | use: |  |  |  | Num |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Birth is registered* | Don't know if birth is registered | children <br> in the age of 0-59 months | Costs too much | Must travel too far | Late. didn't want to pay fine | Doesn't know where to register | Other | No marriage registration | Don't know | Total | children aged 0-59 months without birth registration |
| Ethnicit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 94.3 | 1.4 | 1906 | 4.6 | 11.5 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 30.3 | 49.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 83 |
| Russian | 96.6 | 1.2 | 288 | $\ldots$ | (*) | ... | ... | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 6 |
| Uzbek | 91.8 | 0.1 | 518 | (3.4) | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | (91.1) | (4.8) | (0.7) | 100.0 | 42 |
| Other | 97.3 | ... | 169 | ... | ... | ... | ... | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 5 |
| Total | 94.2 | 1.0 | 2883 | 3.8 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 53.1 | 32.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 136 |

* MICS Indicator 62
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases

Table CP.2: Child labour
Percentage of children aged 5-14 years who are involved in child labour activities by type of work, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Working outside household |  | Household chores for 28+ hours/ week | Working for family business | Total child labour* | Number of children aged 5-14 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid work | Unpaid work |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 2618 |
| Female | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 2569 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 451 |
| Jalalabad | $\ldots$ | 2.6 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 3.8 | 1051 |
| Issyk-Kul | 0.2 | 0.7 | ... | 2.3 | 3.3 | 434 |
| Naryn | ... | ... | 2.9 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 278 |
| Osh | $\ldots$ | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1362 |
| Talas | 0.4 | 1.1 | $\ldots$ | 0.2 | 1.6 | 199 |
| Chui | $\ldots$ | 3.1 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 10.1 | 801 |
| Bishkek c. | $\ldots$ | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 612 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 1648 |
| Rural | 0.1 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 3540 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-11 years | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 3487 |
| 12-14 years | ... | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 1700 |
| School participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 4356 |
| No | $\ldots$ | 2.6 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 832 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | ... | 0.4 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 256 |
| Secondary | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 4082 |
| Higher | $\ldots$ | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 6.1 | 850 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 1170 |
| Second | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 3.7 | 1143 |
| Middle | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 1030 |
| Fourth | $\ldots$ | 2.9 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 5.0 | 1070 |
| Richest | $\ldots$ | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 775 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 3347 |
| Russian | $\ldots$ | 0.3 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 429 |
| Uzbek | $\ldots$ | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 1142 |
| Other | .. | .. | 1.8 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 257 |
| Total | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 5187 |

* MICS indicator 71
... - No reported cases

Table CP.3: Labourer students and student labourers
Percentage of children aged 5-14 years who are labourer students and student labourers, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children in child labour | Percentage of children attending school | Number of children 5-14 years of age | Percentage of child labourers who are also attending school* | Number of child labourers aged 5-14 | Percentage of students who are also involved in child labour** | Number of students aged 5-14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 4.3 | 83.7 | 2618 | 83.6 | 114 | 4.3 | 2192 |
| Female | 2.9 | 84.2 | 2569 | 64.4 | 75 | 2.2 | 2164 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 5.1 | 78.4 | 451 | (94.2) | 23 | 6.2 | 353 |
| Jalalabad | 3.8 | 81.4 | 1051 | (51.7) | 40 | 2.4 | 856 |
| Issyk-Kul | 3.3 | 84.5 | 434 | (*) | 14 | 3.7 | 367 |
| Naryn | 3.1 | 80.8 | 278 | (*) | 9 | 3.8 | 225 |
| Osh | 0.4 | 87.2 | 1362 | (*) | 6 | 0.5 | 1188 |
| Talas | 1.6 | 79.6 | 199 | (*) | 3 | 2.0 | 158 |
| Chui | 10.1 | 82.9 | 801 | 74.7 | 81 | 9.1 | 664 |
| Bishkek c. | 2.2 | 89.2 | 612 | (*) | 14 | 1.9 | 546 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.9 | 86.1 | 1648 | (80.3) | 31 | 1.8 | 1418 |
| Rural | 4.5 | 83.0 | 3540 | 75.1 | 158 | 4.0 | 2937 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-11 years | 4.3 | 77.4 | 3487 | 74.9 | 152 | 4.2 | 2698 |
| 12-14 years | 2.2 | 97.5 | 1700 | (80.2) | 37 | 1.8 | 1658 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 7.3 | 74.5 | 256 | (*) | 19 | 2.1 | 190 |
| Secondary | 2.9 | 83.8 | 4082 | 90.3 | 118 | 3.1 | 3420 |
| Higher | 6.1 | 87.7 | 850 | 63.0 | 52 | 4.4 | 745 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.9 | 84.1 | 1170 | (*) | 23 | 2.1 | 984 |
| Second | 3.7 | 82.0 | 1143 | (60.7) | 43 | 2.8 | 937 |
| Middle | 4.7 | 82.7 | 1030 | (99.5) | 48 | 5.6 | 852 |
| Fourth | 5.0 | 83.2 | 1070 | 57.8 | 54 | 3.5 | 890 |
| Richest | 2.8 | 89.5 | 775 | (*) | 22 | 2.7 | 693 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 3.7 | 83.1 | 3347 | 91.7 | 123 | 4.1 | 2780 |
| Russian | 5.4 | 87.3 | 429 | (*) | 23 | 2.1 | 375 |
| Uzbek | 2.4 | 86.0 | 1142 | (35.5) | 27 | 1.0 | 982 |
| Other | 2.6 | 81.6 | 257 | (*) | 7 | 3.2 | 210 |
| Total | 3.6 | 84.0 | 5187 | 75.9 | 189 | 3.3 | 4356 |

* MICS indicator 72
** MICS indicator 73
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left(^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases

|  | Percentage of children 3-14 years of age who experience: |  |  |  |  |  | Mother/care taker believes that the child needs to be physically punished |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Only non-violent discipline | Psychological punishment | Minor physical punishment | Severe physical punishment | Any psychological or physical punishment* | No discipline or punishment |  | Number of children aged 3-14 years |
| Sex ${ }^{\text {cen }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 34.7 | 44.3 | 37.4 | 2.9 | 55.0 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 1718 |
| Female | 42.1 | 35.7 | 33.7 | 2.3 | 47.5 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 1593 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 27.7 | 50.0 | 47.5 | 5.0 | 67.1 | 3.3 | 7.6 | 265 |
| Jalalabad | 42.9 | 37.4 | 35.3 | 3.3 | 46.4 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 601 |
| Issyk-Kul | 19.3 | 47.2 | 40.2 | 3.3 | 61.6 | 15.5 | 12.0 | 278 |
| Naryn | 22.9 | 29.2 | 57.9 | 1.9 | 62.5 | 12.0 | 3.0 | 172 |
| Osh | 57.3 | 26.8 | 20.0 | 1.6 | 32.5 | 7.2 | 3.7 | 778 |
| Talas | 48.5 | 30.0 | 38.3 | 3.7 | 47.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 144 |
| Chui | 23.1 | 60.0 | 40.5 | 3.2 | 67.2 | 9.6 | 14.2 | 520 |
| Bishkek c. | 37.6 | 41.3 | 37.6 | 1.2 | 53.5 | 7.3 | 12.8 | 553 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.2 | 39.6 | 36.1 | 2.3 | 51.0 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 1250 |
| Rural | 37.8 | 40.5 | 35.3 | 2.8 | 51.7 | 8.0 | 7.2 | 2061 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-4 years | 36.2 | 32.6 | 38.4 | 1.5 | 48.5 | 10.9 | 5.3 | 640 |
| 5-9 years | 39.4 | 40.6 | 36.2 | 2.8 | 51.5 | 7.4 | 10.1 | 1177 |
| 10-14 years | 38.4 | 43.1 | 34.0 | 2.9 | 52.6 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 1494 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 29.7 | 40.0 | 40.2 | 2.0 | 57.7 | 10.3 | 3.4 | 177 |
| Secondary | 38.8 | 39.8 | 35.6 | 2.5 | 50.9 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 2482 |
| Higher | 38.6 | 41.9 | 34.3 | 3.3 | 51.7 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 652 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 38.8 | 38.4 | 35.6 | 1.0 | 50.7 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 681 |
| Second | 40.1 | 38.4 | 34.7 | 4.4 | 49.6 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 652 |
| Middle | 38.7 | 41.8 | 37.1 | 3.8 | 53.0 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 643 |
| Fourth | 37.6 | 37.8 | 34.2 | 2.5 | 49.0 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 672 |
| Richest | 36.3 | 44.7 | 36.4 | 1.4 | 54.8 | 7.4 | 10.6 | 664 |


Table CP.5: Early marriage and polygyny
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years in marriage or union before their 15th birthday, percentage of women aged 20-49 years in marriage or union before their 18 th birthday, percentage of women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union, and the percentage of married or in union women in a polygynous marriage or union,

|  | Percentage married before age $15^{*}$ | Number of women aged 1549 years | Percentage married before age $18^{*}$ | Number of women aged 20 49 years | Percentage of women 15-19 married/in union** | Number of women aged 1519 years | Percentage of women aged 15-49 years in polygynous marriage/ union*** | Number of women aged 1549 years currently married/in union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 0.2 | 489 | 11.5 | 367 | 12.6 | 123 | 3.6 | 314 |
| Jalalabad | 1.3 | 1245 | 9.5 | 942 | 6.4 | 304 | 1.3 | 739 |
| Issyk-Kul | 0.7 | 523 | 14.7 | 422 | 5.9 | 102 | 2.6 | 325 |
| Naryn | 0.2 | 281 | 9.7 | 217 | 1.0 | 64 | $\ldots$ | 169 |
| Osh | 1.2 | 1536 | 13.4 | 1191 | 11.1 | 346 | 1.5 | 995 |
| Talas | 0.9 | 282 | 17.7 | 213 | 8.8 | 69 | 1.9 | 167 |
| Chui | 0.7 | 1130 | 18.2 | 924 | 11.8 | 206 | 3.1 | 706 |
| Bishkek c. | 0.3 | 1556 | 7.7 | 1226 | 2.5 | 330 | 0.4 | 780 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.6 | 3055 | 9.7 | 2423 | 4.1 | 633 | 1.9 | 1666 |
| Rural | 0.9 | 3988 | 14.2 | 3078 | 10.1 | 909 | 1.6 | 2529 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.3 | 1542 | na | na | 7.7 | 1542 | 0.6 | 118 |
| 20-24 | 0.5 | 1276 | 10.4 | 1276 | na | na | 0.8 | 667 |
| 25-29 | 2.2 | 1077 | 13.8 | 1077 | na | na | 2.3 | 806 |
| 30-34 | 0.7 | 887 | 17.5 | 887 | na | na | 1.8 | 757 |
| 35-39 | 0.1 | 799 | 9.6 | 799 | na | na | 1.3 | 653 |
| 40-44 | 0.9 | 791 | 10.3 | 791 | na | na | 1.8 | 650 |
| 45-49 | 0.9 | 671 | 12.0 | 671 | na | na | 2.4 | 545 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 1.9 | 939 | 28.4 | 344 | 5.3 | 595 | 0.2 | 270 |
| Secondary | 0.7 | 4422 | 13.1 | 3715 | 9.9 | 707 | 2.1 | 2988 |
| Higher | 0.4 | 1682 | 6.1 | 1442 | 6.9 | 240 | 1.1 | 936 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.9 | 1228 | 16.5 | 931 | 7.2 | 296 | 1.2 | 768 |
| Second | 1.0 | 1337 | 13.4 | 998 | 6.8 | 339 | 1.6 | 791 |
| Middle | 0.6 | 1279 | 12.9 | 1019 | 12.1 | 261 | 1.8 | 826 |
| Fourth | 1.0 | 1436 | 11.0 | 1162 | 11.1 | 274 | 2.0 | 889 |
| Richest | 0.5 | 1763 | 9.1 | 1391 | 3.3 | 372 | 1.9 | 920 |

* MICS indicator 67
** MICS indicator 68
*** MICS indicator 70
na - Not applicable
... - No reported cases
Percent distribution of currently married/in union women aged 15-19 and 20-24 according to the age difference with their husband or partner, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of currently married/in union women aged 15-19 whose husband or partner is: |  |  |  | Total | Number of women aged 1519 years currently married/in union | Percentage of currently married/in union women aged 20-24 whose husband or partner is: |  |  |  | Total | Number of women aged 20 24 years currently married/in union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Younger | 0-4 years | 5-9 years | 10+ years older* |  |  | Younger | $\begin{gathered} 0-4 \text { years } \\ \text { older } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5-9 years | $\begin{gathered} 10+\text { years } \\ \text { older** } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 15 | 0.3 | 60.7 | 35.3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 51 |
| Jalalabad | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 19 | 0.6 | 44.5 | 52.7 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 133 |
| Issyk-Kul | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 6 | 1.9 | 60.7 | 28.3 | 9.1 | 100.0 | 42 |
| Naryn | $\ldots$ | (*) | $\ldots$ | ... | 100.0 | 1 | 1.5 | 36.8 | 47.1 | 14.6 | 100.0 | 23 |
| Osh | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 38 | 1.8 | 62.6 | 31.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 170 |
| Talas | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 6 | ... | 58.9 | 32.9 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 23 |
| Chui | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 24 | 0.6 | 62.6 | 22.9 | 14.0 | 100.0 | 97 |
| Bishkek c. | ... | (*) | (*) | $\ldots$ | 100.0 | 8 | 2.6 | 59.0 | 33.3 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 127 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | $\ldots$ | (62.4) | (31.1) | (6.5) | 100.0 | 26 | 2.3 | 56.7 | 34.7 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 267 |
| Rural | 3.9 | 40.9 | 44.0 | 11.3 | 100.0 | 92 | 0.7 | 57.2 | 36.3 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 399 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | ... | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 31 | ... | 51.4 | 37.3 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 89 |
| Secondary | $\ldots$ | 44.8 | 47.0 | 8.2 | 100.0 | 70 | 0.6 | 52.8 | 41.0 | 5.6 | 100.0 | 401 |
| Higher | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 17 | 3.7 | 69.5 | 22.5 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 176 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | $\ldots$ | (47.3) | (52.7) | ... | 100.0 | 21 | 1.7 | 59.0 | 35.6 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 125 |
| Second | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 23 | 0.7 | 40.5 | 47.4 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 123 |
| Middle | $\ldots$ | (53.1) | (20.6) | (26.3) | 100.0 | 31 | 0.5 | 66.4 | 30.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 161 |
| Fourth | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 30 | 0.1 | 60.8 | 32.4 | 6.7 | 100.0 | 116 |
| Richest | $\ldots$ | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 12 | 3.6 | 55.8 | 33.7 | 6.9 | 100.0 | 142 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | ... | 46.5 | 39.7 | 13.8 | 100.0 | 79 | 1.8 | 55.9 | 36.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 398 |
| Russian | (*) | (*) | (*) | ... | 100.0 | 12 | (3.5) | (63.4) | (23.6) | (9.5) | 100.0 | 59 |
| Uzbek | ... | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 10 | ... | 55.4 | 43.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 164 |
| Other | ... | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 17 | $\ldots$ | (64.9) | (19.3) | (15.8) | 100.0 | 44 |
| Total | 3.0 | 45.6 | 41.1 | 10.2 | 100.0 | 118 | 1.4 | 57.0 | 35.6 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 667 |

* MICS Indicator 69
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
(*) - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
Table CP.9: Attitudes toward domestic violence
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife/partner in various circumstances, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife/partner: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women aged 15-49 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | When she goes out without telling him | When she neglects the children | When she argues with him | When she refuses sex with him | When she burns the food | For any of these reasons* |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 26.5 | 21.1 | 27.2 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 46.0 | 489 |
| Jalalabad | 34.7 | 35.8 | 40.4 | 10.7 | 27.1 | 54.2 | 1245 |
| Issyk-Kul | 16.2 | 14.0 | 24.0 | 16.6 | 15.7 | 33.0 | 523 |
| Naryn | 26.8 | 32.5 | 19.1 | 15.1 | 12.6 | 46.0 | 281 |
| Osh | 35.1 | 33.2 | 47.6 | 16.1 | 15.0 | 59.0 | 1536 |
| Talas | 10.6 | 17.8 | 15.4 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 25.5 | 282 |
| Chui | 8.3 | 13.9 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 22.6 | 1130 |
| Bishkek c. | 3.9 | 9.4 | 5.6 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 1556 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 13.1 | 17.1 | 14.5 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 25.5 | 3055 |
| Rural | 26.3 | 26.4 | 34.0 | 11.7 | 15.4 | 47.0 | 3988 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 13.3 | 16.1 | 16.3 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 27.5 | 1542 |
| 20-24 | 22.3 | 21.4 | 26.0 | 7.7 | 10.6 | 37.0 | 1276 |
| 25-29 | 23.0 | 26.8 | 30.4 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 41.9 | 1077 |
| 30-34 | 25.3 | 22.4 | 28.1 | 11.5 | 10.3 | 43.1 | 887 |
| 35-39 | 23.2 | 25.9 | 31.0 | 12.8 | 15.5 | 44.6 | 799 |
| 40-44 | 22.5 | 26.9 | 26.5 | 10.4 | 14.6 | 40.7 | 791 |
| 45-49 | 18.0 | 22.3 | 27.5 | 9.5 | 11.6 | 36.3 | 671 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Now I am married | 25.9 | 26.9 | 32.4 | 12.4 | 13.7 | 45.3 | 4195 |
| Was married | 17.4 | 20.2 | 16.1 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 29.4 | 674 |
| Wasn't married | 11.2 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 3.6 | 7.4 | 25.5 | 2174 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 20.0 | 21.1 | 24.8 | 7.8 | 10.7 | 34.7 | 939 |
| Secondary | 24.3 | 26.1 | 30.1 | 11.3 | 13.9 | 43.5 | 4422 |
| Higher | 10.9 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 24.0 | 1682 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 21.5 | 26.2 | 31.4 | 10.5 | 15.7 | 45.0 | 1228 |
| Second | 28.9 | 27.3 | 35.6 | 11.7 | 16.8 | 48.2 | 1337 |
| Middle | 33.6 | 29.8 | 38.9 | 14.1 | 16.2 | 51.7 | 1279 |
| Fourth | 18.8 | 22.0 | 21.1 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 34.6 | 1436 |
| Richest | 5.5 | 11.0 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 16.9 | 1763 |
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Table HA.1: Knowledge of preventing HIV transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Heard of AIDS | Percentage who know transmission can be prevented by: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women aged 15-49 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Having only one faithful uninfected sex partner | Using a condom every time | Abstaining from sex | Knows all three ways | Knows at least one way | Doesn't know any way |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 81.8 | 42.3 | 46.7 | 33.2 | 22.3 | 59.0 | 41.0 | 489 |
| Jalalabad | 88.5 | 63.5 | 63.2 | 58.8 | 39.8 | 80.9 | 19.1 | 1245 |
| Issyk-Kul | 96.7 | 73.4 | 77.2 | 66.8 | 49.6 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 523 |
| Naryn | 93.5 | 79.5 | 79.7 | 62.9 | 52.5 | 90.4 | 9.6 | 281 |
| Osh | 86.4 | 59.4 | 45.9 | 42.1 | 27.9 | 70.5 | 29.5 | 1536 |
| Talas | 97.7 | 89.5 | 87.6 | 65.7 | 56.4 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 282 |
| Chui | 95.4 | 80.9 | 76.3 | 51.6 | 40.3 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 1130 |
| Bishkek c. | 99.0 | 83.0 | 82.1 | 64.3 | 52.7 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 1556 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.7 | 74.1 | 73.9 | 59.0 | 44.9 | 89.5 | 10.5 | 3055 |
| Rural | 88.7 | 68.0 | 62.1 | 51.0 | 37.7 | 79.8 | 20.2 | 3988 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 86.8 | 62.5 | 60.3 | 51.8 | 37.1 | 77.0 | 23.0 | 1542 |
| 20-24 | 94.9 | 71.0 | 67.4 | 56.8 | 42.1 | 85.3 | 14.7 | 1276 |
| 25-29 | 95.0 | 72.5 | 70.8 | 50.3 | 40.8 | 86.1 | 13.9 | 1077 |
| 30-34 | 95.4 | 77.2 | 75.0 | 54.8 | 42.3 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 887 |
| 35-39 | 92.8 | 74.7 | 68.7 | 57.4 | 41.2 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 799 |
| 40-44 | 90.6 | 73.6 | 69.1 | 57.8 | 46.3 | 84.3 | 15.7 | 791 |
| 45-49 | 91.5 | 68.6 | 63.0 | 55.3 | 37.9 | 81.1 | 18.9 | 671 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 87.1 | 65.2 | 58.2 | 49.1 | 36.1 | 76.1 | 23.9 | 939 |
| Secondary | 90.8 | 68.1 | 66.2 | 53.6 | 39.8 | 82.3 | 17.7 | 4422 |
| Higher | 98.6 | 80.3 | 75.0 | 59.7 | 46.2 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 1682 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 87.3 | 63.0 | 60.8 | 49.9 | 37.2 | 76.0 | 24.0 | 1228 |
| Second | 85.7 | 61.9 | 59.1 | 50.8 | 37.3 | 76.3 | 23.7 | 1337 |
| Middle | 90.6 | 67.3 | 60.5 | 52.4 | 37.1 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 1279 |
| Fourth | 95.3 | 75.9 | 73.2 | 55.6 | 41.5 | 89.1 | 10.9 | 1436 |
| Richest | 99.1 | 80.7 | 78.0 | 61.1 | 48.2 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 1763 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 92.3 | 74.3 | 69.9 | 57.3 | 44.3 | 85.5 | 14.5 | 4333 |
| Russian | 99.4 | 84.2 | 81.2 | 59.5 | 49.3 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 950 |
| Uzbek | 86.1 | 50.2 | 47.5 | 43.1 | 24.5 | 70.7 | 29.3 | 1324 |
| Other | 94.0 | 65.8 | 70.0 | 49.3 | 37.4 | 82.9 | 17.1 | 417 |
| Total | 92.2 | 70.6 | 67.2 | 54.5 | 40.8 | 84.0 | 16.0 | 7043 |

Table HA.2: Identifying misconceptions about HIV/AIDS
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who correctly identify misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  |  | ercent who know tha |  | Reject two | Percent wh | know that: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HIV cannot | ransmitted by: |  | most common |  |  |  |
|  | Option 1: HIV cannot be transmitted by sharing food | Option 2: Mosquito bites | A healthy looking person can be infected | misconceptions and know a healthylooking person can be infected | Option 3: HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means | Option 4: HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles | Number of women aged 15-49 years |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 58.0 | 24.4 | 37.4 | 10.8 | 35.6 | 79.7 | 489 |
| Jalalabad | 50.2 | 56.5 | 62.5 | 33.3 | 52.2 | 83.6 | 1245 |
| Issyk-Kul | 61.7 | 50.1 | 71.0 | 18.7 | 82.3 | 94.3 | 523 |
| Naryn | 48.0 | 39.7 | 60.4 | 18.3 | 50.7 | 91.4 | 281 |
| Osh | 36.7 | 29.7 | 39.0 | 7.8 | 54.1 | 77.7 | 1536 |
| Talas | 68.6 | 74.0 | 84.8 | 46.0 | 83.7 | 93.6 | 282 |
| Chui | 68.3 | 50.8 | 80.5 | 36.6 | 80.3 | 94.4 | 1130 |
| Bishkek c. | 67.3 | 59.8 | 84.3 | 41.2 | 69.8 | 97.9 | 1556 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 62.4 | 50.9 | 72.9 | 31.3 | 68.8 | 94.4 | 3055 |
| Rural | 51.1 | 45.5 | 58.6 | 24.2 | 59.1 | 83.9 | 3988 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 51.9 | 46.6 | 58.4 | 27.0 | 58.7 | 81.2 | 1542 |
| 20-24 | 61.1 | 51.2 | 63.7 | 29.1 | 64.7 | 91.7 | 1276 |
| 25-29 | 56.7 | 48.0 | 69.2 | 28.5 | 65.5 | 91.9 | 1077 |
| 30-34 | 56.8 | 50.9 | 72.2 | 30.8 | 64.2 | 91.8 | 887 |
| 35-39 | 55.3 | 46.2 | 65.8 | 26.0 | 65.2 | 89.7 | 799 |
| 40-44 | 55.9 | 47.7 | 61.6 | 24.3 | 62.4 | 88.8 | 791 |
| 45-49 | 54.3 | 41.8 | 67.2 | 22.9 | 65.1 | 87.1 | 671 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 48.1 | 40.5 | 57.5 | 21.2 | 53.4 | 78.7 | 939 |
| Secondary | 52.2 | 45.6 | 61.6 | 24.3 | 61.3 | 87.3 | 4422 |
| Higher | 70.4 | 57.6 | 77.1 | 38.5 | 74.0 | 96.9 | 1682 |
| Wealth index q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 49.3 | 44.2 | 49.0 | 20.9 | 57.0 | 82.5 | 1228 |
| Second | 47.7 | 43.3 | 53.8 | 20.2 | 57.4 | 81.2 | 1337 |
| Middle | 49.2 | 40.1 | 60.2 | 21.0 | 57.6 | 85.0 | 1279 |
| Fourth | 59.2 | 52.7 | 74.3 | 32.3 | 66.8 | 92.1 | 1436 |
| Richest | 69.3 | 55.3 | 79.6 | 37.5 | 73.3 | 97.7 | 1763 |
| Ethnicity/Lang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 55.4 | 48.3 | 64.8 | 27.8 | 65.1 | 88.7 | 4333 |
| Russian | 77.5 | 56.8 | 85.1 | 41.8 | 83.2 | 98.6 | 950 |
| Uzbek | 39.1 | 39.2 | 47.5 | 14.3 | 42.4 | 78.9 | 1324 |
| Other | 66.2 | 47.8 | 72.6 | 27.9 | 64.6 | 92.3 | 417 |
| Total | 56.0 | 47.8 | 64.8 | 27.3 | 63.3 | 88.5 | 7043 |

Table HA.3: Comprehensive knowledge of HIVIAIDS transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who have comprehensive knowledge of HIVIAIDS transmission, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Know 2 ways to prevent HIV transmission | Correctly identify 3 misconceptions about HIV transmission | Have comprehensive knowledge (identify 2 prevention methods and 3 misconceptions)* | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 35.1 | 10.8 | 5.7 | 489 |
| Jalalabad | 51.5 | 33.3 | 23.5 | 1245 |
| Issyk-Kul | 62.0 | 18.7 | 12.8 | 523 |
| Naryn | 69.5 | 18.3 | 13.8 | 281 |
| Osh | 38.3 | 7.8 | 5.1 | 1536 |
| Talas | 81.6 | 46.0 | 39.8 | 282 |
| Chui | 67.8 | 36.6 | 28.7 | 1130 |
| Bishkek c. | 71.4 | 41.2 | 31.9 | 1556 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 61.9 | 31.3 | 23.4 | 3055 |
| Rural | 53.6 | 24.2 | 18.1 | 3988 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 49.9 | 27.0 | 19.1 | 1542 |
| 20-24 | 57.4 | 29.1 | 21.9 | 1276 |
| 15-24 | 53.3 | 27.9 | 20.3 | 2819 |
| 25-29 | 58.9 | 28.5 | 20.5 | 1077 |
| 30-34 | 63.6 | 30.8 | 25.1 | 887 |
| 35-39 | 58.9 | 26.0 | 20.6 | 799 |
| 40-44 | 61.7 | 24.3 | 17.8 | 791 |
| 45-49 | 54.9 | 22.9 | 17.2 | 671 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 50.9 | 21.2 | 16.9 | 939 |
| Secondary | 55.6 | 24.3 | 17.9 | 4422 |
| Higher | 64.9 | 38.5 | 28.9 | 1682 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 51.4 | 20.9 | 17.0 | 1228 |
| Second | 49.3 | 20.2 | 14.7 | 1337 |
| Middle | 50.9 | 21.0 | 12.7 | 1279 |
| Fourth | 62.3 | 32.3 | 25.1 | 1436 |
| Richest | 67.6 | 37.5 | 28.8 | 1763 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 61.3 | 27.8 | 21.7 | 4333 |
| Russian | 71.9 | 41.8 | 32.9 | 950 |
| Uzbek | 33.2 | 14.3 | 6.4 | 1324 |
| Other | 56.4 | 27.9 | 21.1 | 417 |
| Total | 57.2 | 27.3 | 20.4 | 7043 |

* MICS indicator 82; MDG indicator 19b

Table HA.4: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Kyrgyzstan,2006

|  |  | Percent | who know AI | S can be tra | smitted: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | transmitted from mother to child | During pregnancy | At delivery | Through breastmilk | All three ways* | Did not know any specific way | Number of women |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 75.4 | 58.1 | 59.2 | 37.9 | 31.4 | 6.4 | 489 |
| Jalalabad | 78.8 | 78.4 | 76.4 | 76.5 | 74.7 | 9.7 | 1245 |
| Issyk-Kul | 94.8 | 94.5 | 89.9 | 73.8 | 71.9 | 1.9 | 523 |
| Naryn | 89.8 | 84.7 | 71.7 | 63.1 | 50.8 | 3.7 | 281 |
| Osh | 76.5 | 75.6 | 70.7 | 68.7 | 65.9 | 10.0 | 1536 |
| Talas | 93.5 | 75.5 | 71.8 | 56.8 | 44.1 | 4.2 | 282 |
| Chui | 91.8 | 89.6 | 81.0 | 55.2 | 48.9 | 3.6 | 1130 |
| Bishkek c. | 95.4 | 94.5 | 80.9 | 55.2 | 50.8 | 3.5 | 1556 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 92.6 | 90.8 | 81.1 | 62.2 | 57.4 | 4.0 | 3055 |
| Rural | 81.0 | 77.1 | 72.7 | 62.7 | 58.4 | 7.8 | 3988 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 75.9 | 72.9 | 63.6 | 52.7 | 47.1 | 10.9 | 1542 |
| 20-24 | 88.1 | 85.3 | 78.3 | 63.8 | 58.9 | 6.9 | 1276 |
| 25-29 | 88.7 | 85.3 | 79.1 | 63.9 | 60.1 | 6.3 | 1077 |
| 30-34 | 90.9 | 88.9 | 82.8 | 67.1 | 64.1 | 4.5 | 887 |
| 35-39 | 90.9 | 88.5 | 82.7 | 67.4 | 63.1 | 1.9 | 799 |
| 40-44 | 87.4 | 83.1 | 78.2 | 64.9 | 59.4 | 3.2 | 791 |
| 45-49 | 87.4 | 84.3 | 79.1 | 65.4 | 62.0 | 4.2 | 671 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 74.0 | 71.9 | 64.9 | 56.8 | 52.0 | 13.1 | 939 |
| Secondary | 85.3 | 82.1 | 75.9 | 63.3 | 59.0 | 5.5 | 4422 |
| Higher | 94.8 | 91.7 | 83.7 | 63.5 | 58.5 | 3.9 | 1682 |
| Wealth index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 78.7 | 74.7 | 68.9 | 62.6 | 58.4 | 8.6 | 1228 |
| Second | 77.5 | 73.2 | 71.7 | 62.0 | 58.7 | 8.2 | 1337 |
| Middle | 82.9 | 80.2 | 76.6 | 65.1 | 61.9 | 7.7 | 1279 |
| Fourth | 91.2 | 89.3 | 80.4 | 66.0 | 60.1 | 4.1 | 1436 |
| Richest | 95.7 | 93.4 | 81.5 | 58.0 | 52.5 | 3.4 | 1763 |
| Ethnicity/Lang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 87.8 | 85.1 | 76.5 | 63.1 | 58.3 | 4.5 | 4333 |
| Russian | 95.4 | 93.1 | 85.5 | 56.3 | 51.2 | 3.9 | 950 |
| Uzbek | 72.1 | 70.9 | 68.7 | 67.3 | 65.8 | 14.0 | 1324 |
| Other | 89.9 | 77.2 | 76.8 | 52.9 | 43.4 | 4.1 | 417 |
| Total | 86.0 | 83.1 | 76.3 | 62.5 | 58.0 | 6.1 | 7043 |

* MICS indicator 89
Table HA.5: Attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS who express a discriminatory attitude towards people living with HIV/AIDS, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percent of women who: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Would not care for a family member who was sick with AIDS | If a family member had HIV would want to keep it a secret | Believe that a teacher with HIV should not be allowed to work | Would not buy food from a person with HIV/AIDS | Agree with at least one discriminatory statement | Agree with none of the discriminatory statements* | Number of women who have heard of AIDS |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 36.7 | 30.2 | 67.8 | 83.6 | 92.2 | 7.8 | 400 |
| Jalalabad | 37.0 | 38.2 | 68.4 | 75.2 | 85.4 | 14.6 | 1102 |
| Issyk-Kul | 30.8 | 52.8 | 78.8 | 96.1 | 99.1 | 0.9 | 506 |
| Naryn | 50.1 | 33.7 | 68.2 | 92.6 | 98.8 | 1.2 | 263 |
| Osh | 40.4 | 41.9 | 74.0 | 82.9 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 1328 |
| Talas | 21.7 | 79.1 | 85.9 | 95.1 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 275 |
| Chui | 23.1 | 60.0 | 63.1 | 84.3 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 1078 |
| Bishkek c. | 22.8 | 78.3 | 71.5 | 81.2 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 1540 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.0 | 65.8 | 72.2 | 84.7 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 2954 |
| Rural | 37.5 | 44.7 | 69.9 | 82.4 | 93.0 | 7.0 | 3538 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 34.4 | 53.3 | 69.8 | 80.1 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 1339 |
| 20-24 | 33.1 | 52.5 | 67.2 | 81.6 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 1212 |
| 25-29 | 29.7 | 56.7 | 70.5 | 82.0 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 1023 |
| 30-34 | 28.2 | 57.6 | 74.5 | 86.1 | 95.7 | 4.3 | 847 |
| 35-39 | 33.1 | 53.6 | 75.3 | 91.4 | 97.5 | 2.5 | 741 |
| 40-44 | 27.9 | 55.1 | 69.8 | 82.1 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 717 |
| 45-49 | 30.8 | 51.2 | 72.5 | 84.9 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 614 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 33.7 | 53.2 | 72.8 | 82.9 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 818 |
| Secondary | 34.3 | 49.9 | 72.5 | 84.4 | 94.7 | 5.3 | 4015 |
| Higher | 23.3 | 65.3 | 66.2 | 81.3 | 95.6 | 4.4 | 1659 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 37.0 | 39.4 | 68.0 | 77.6 | 89.0 | 11.0 | 1072 |
| Second | 40.1 | 44.2 | 72.1 | 83.7 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 1145 |
| Middle | 41.3 | 44.6 | 73.4 | 86.8 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 1159 |
| Fourth | 24.9 | 60.7 | 72.5 | 86.3 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 1368 |
| Richest | 20.8 | 71.4 | 69.1 | 82.3 | 97.4 | 2.6 | 1748 |

Percent of women who:
흥
E
0
3
0
0
b
b
B
B who have heard of AIDS
3998
944
1140
392
6492

5.4
3.2
5.9
6.0
5.2

| 52.3 | 74.0 | 85.4 | 94.6 | 5.4 | 3998 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 71.8 | 60.2 | 80.4 | 96.8 | 3.2 | 944 |
| 44.4 | 71.8 | 81.8 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 1140 |
| 63.1 | 62.2 | 75.7 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 392 |
| 54.3 | 70.9 | 93.4 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 6492 | |  | If a family member |
| :--- | :---: |
| Would not care for a | had HIV would |
| family member who | want to keep it a |
| was sick with AIDS | secret |

52.3
71.8
44.4
$\begin{array}{ll}23.0 & 63.1 \\ 31.4 & 54.3\end{array}$

| Kyrgyz | 34.1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Russian | 14.6 |
| Uzbek | 38.7 |
| Other | 23.0 |
| Total | 31.4 |
| * MICS indicator 86 |  |

Table HA.6: Knowledge of a facility for HIV testing
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of women who have been tested and, of those tested the percentage who have been told the result, Kyrgyzstan, 2006


Table HA.7: HIV testing and counseling coverage during antenatal care
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey who were offered HIV testing and counseling with their antenatal care, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Received } \\ \text { antenatal care } \\ \text { from a health } \\ \text { care professional } \\ \text { for last } \\ \text { pregnancy }\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Were provided } \\ \text { information } \\ \text { about HIV } \\ \text { prevention } \\ \text { during ANC } \\ \text { visit* }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Were tested for <br>

HIV at ANC <br>
visit\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Received results } \\
\text { of HIV test at } \\
\text { ANC visit** }\end{array}
$$ $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\
\text { women who } \\
\text { gave birth in } \\
\text { the 2 years } \\
\text { preceding the } \\
\text { survey }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

* MICS indicator 90
** MICS indicator 91
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table HA.8: Sexual behaviour that increases risk of HIV infection
Percentage of young women aged 15-19 years who had sex before age 15, percentage of young women aged 2024 who had sex before age 18, and percentage of young women aged $15-24$ who had sex with a man 10 or more years older, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Percentage of women aged 15-19 who had sex before age 15* | Number of women aged 15-19 years | Percentage of women aged 20-24 who had sex before age 18 | Number of women aged 20-24 years | Percentage who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey with a man 10 or more years older** | Number of women who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | $\ldots$ | 123 | 6.2 | 83 | 2.8 | 67 |
| Jalalabad | $\ldots$ | 304 | 3.9 | 248 | 4.9 | 149 |
| Issyk-Kul | $\ldots$ | 102 | 12.2 | 75 | 13.5 | 53 |
| Naryn | $\ldots$ | 64 | 5.6 | 37 | 13.9 | 24 |
| Osh | $\ldots$ | 346 | 11.4 | 290 | 4.9 | 206 |
| Talas | 1.9 | 69 | 20.7 | 43 | 5.5 | 35 |
| Chui | 0.7 | 206 | 26.0 | 178 | 10.4 | 149 |
| Bishkek c. | $\ldots$ | 330 | 5.0 | 323 | 5.4 | 151 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.2 | 633 | 7.2 | 598 | 6.3 | 329 |
| Rural | 0.1 | 909 | 12.9 | 679 | 6.8 | 504 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.2 | 1542 | na | na | 8.9 | 135 |
| 20-24 | na | na | 10.2 | 1276 | 6.2 | 698 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 0.2 | 595 | 17.3 | 148 | 13.4 | 136 |
| Secondary | 0.2 | 707 | 12.3 | 692 | 5.9 | 485 |
| Higher | ... | 240 | 4.6 | 436 | 3.8 | 212 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.1 | 296 | 14.3 | 217 | 3.2 | 147 |
| Second | 0.2 | 339 | 6.3 | 234 | 9.3 | 146 |
| Middle | 0.4 | 261 | 10.5 | 257 | 5.9 | 205 |
| Fourth | ... | 274 | 14.5 | 229 | 8.0 | 160 |
| Richest | 0.2 | 372 | 7.2 | 339 | 6.9 | 176 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 0.1 | 1027 | 10.4 | 771 | 7.9 | 494 |
| Russian | 0.9 | 156 | 21.1 | 150 | 5.4 | 103 |
| Uzbek | $\ldots$ | 262 | 5.0 | 281 | 1.8 | 170 |
| Other | ... | 90 | 6.4 | 71 | 11.6 | 64 |
| Total | 0.2 | 1542 | 10.2 | 1276 | 6.6 | 833 |

* MICS indicator 84
** MICS indicator 92
(*) - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
... - No reported cases
na - not applicable
Table HA.9: Condom use at last high-risk sex
Percentage of young women aged 15-24 years who had high risk sex in the previous year and who used a condom at last high risk sex, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Ever had sex | Had sex in the last 12 months | Had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months | Number of women aged 15-24 | Percent who had sex with non-marital. non-cohabiting partner * | Number of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months | Percent who used a condom at last sex with a non-marital. non-cohabiting partner ** | Number of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 36.1 | 32.9 |  | 205 | 1.5 | 67 | (*) | 1 |
| Jalalabad | 28.6 | 26.9 | 2.3 | 551 | 0.6 | 149 | (*) | 1 |
| Issyk-Kul | 33.5 | 30.1 |  | 177 | 6.3 | 53 | (*) | 3 |
| Naryn | 27.1 | 24.2 | ... | 101 | ... | 24 | ... | 0 |
| Osh | 34.9 | 32.3 |  | 636 | 0.7 | 206 | (*) | 1 |
| Talas | 31.9 | 31.0 | 5.1 | 112 | 13.6 | 35 | (*) | 5 |
| Chui | 41.1 | 38.7 | 0.2 | 384 | 20.7 | 149 | (56.5) | 31 |
| Bishkek c. | 24.6 | 23.1 | 0.2 | 653 | 12.9 | 151 | (*) | 19 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 28.7 | 26.7 | 1.0 | 1231 | 11.8 | 329 | (48.9) | 39 |
| Rural | 34.1 | 31.8 | 0.5 | 1588 | 4.5 | 504 | (*) | 23 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 1542 | 11.0 | 135 | (*) | 15 |
| 20-24 | 59.4 | 54.7 | 1.4 | 1276 | 6.7 | 698 | (59.6) | 47 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not secondary | 20.0 | 18.3 | 0.6 | 743 | 12.1 | 136 | (44.8) | 16 |
| Secondary | 37.6 | 34.7 | 1.0 | 1399 | 4.8 | 485 | (*) | 23 |
| Higher | 32.4 | 31.4 | 0.3 | 676 | 10.2 | 212 | (*) | 22 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 31.7 | 28.6 | 0.6 | 514 | 3.2 | 147 | (*) | 5 |
| Second | 27.7 | 25.5 | 0.1 | 572 | 1.2 | 146 | (*) |  |
| Middle | 41.7 | 39.5 | 0.9 | 518 | 8.2 | 205 | (*) | 17 |
| Fourth | 33.4 | 31.8 | 1.9 | 503 | 7.2 | 160 | (*) | 12 |
| Richest | 26.5 | 24.7 | 0.5 | 711 | 15.2 | 176 | (*) | 27 |


|  | Ever had sex | Had sex in the last 12 months | Had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months | Number of women aged 15-24 | Percent who had sex with non-marital. non-cohabiting partner * | Number of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months | Percent who used a condom at last sex with a non-marital. non-cohabiting partner ** | Number of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 29.6 | 27.5 | 0.7 | 1798 | 4.1 | 494 | (*) | 20 |
| Russian | 37.0 | 33.8 | 0.3 | 306 | 35.3 | 103 | (61.1) | 37 |
| Uzbek | 33.4 | 31.3 | 1.2 | 543 | ... | 170 | ... | 0 |
| Other | 40.9 | 39.6 | 1.1 | 161 | 7.2 | 64 | (*) | 5 |
| Total | 31.7 | 29.6 | 0.7 | 2819 | 7.4 | 833 | 56.0 | 62 |

83. MDG Indicator 19a
(...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
$\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
... - No reported cases
Table HA.10: Children's living arrangements and orphanhood
Percent distribution of children aged 0-17 years according to living arrangements, percentage of children aged 0-17 years in households not living with a biological parent and percentage of children who are orphans, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  |  | Living with neither parent |  |  |  | Living with mother only Living with father only |  |  |  | Impossible to determine | Total | Not living with a biological parent * | One or both parents dead ** | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Living with both parents | Only father alive | Only mother alive | Both are alive | Both are dead | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother dead |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 82.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4962 |
| Female | 81.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 4960 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batken | 83.5 | $\ldots$ | 0.5 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 867 |
| Jalalabad | 85.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 1856 |
| Issyk-Kul | 80.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 800 |
| Naryn | 79.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 503 |
| Osh | 84.5 | ... | 0.2 | 6.0 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 2563 |
| Talas | 84.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 425 |
| Chui | 76.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 12.4 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 1437 |
| Bishkek c. | 79.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 9.4 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 1472 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 77.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 9.6 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 7.2 | 3429 |
| Rural | 84.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 6493 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 years | 85.3 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3005 |
| 5-9 years | 83.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 7.8 | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 2438 |
| 10-14 years | 81.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 7.5 | 2750 |
| 15-17 years | 76.3 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 9.3 | 1730 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 86.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 2160 |
| Second | 84.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 2163 |
| Middle | 82.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 1956 |
| Fourth | 80.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 7.9 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 1914 |
| Richest | 75.5 | $\ldots$ | 0.4 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 12.8 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 6.7 | 1729 |
| Ethnicity/Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kyrgyz | 82.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 6485 |
| Russian | 66.0 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 20.8 | 5.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 865 |
| Uzbek | 89.6 | $\ldots$ | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 2036 |
| Other | 77.0 | 0.0 | $\ldots$ | 3.1 | 1.0 | 11.3 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 4.1 | 7.8 | 517 |
| Total | 82.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 9923 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { * MICS In } \\ & \text { ** MICS In } \\ & \ldots \text { - No re } \end{aligned}$ | dicator 78 dicator 75 orted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

... - No reported cases

## APPENDIX A. SAMPLE DESIGN

The major features of sample design are described in this appendix. Sample design features include target sample size, sample allocation, sample frame and listing, choice of domains, sampling stages, stratification, and the calculation of sample weights.
The primary objective of the sample design for the Kyrgyz Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey was to produce statistically reliable estimates of most indicators at the national level and for urban and rural areas of the country. Some of indicators are estimated at the region level. Urban and rural areas in each of the seven regions (Batken, Chui, Jalalabat, Issykkul, Osh, Naryn, Talas regions) and city Bishkek, comprising 15 territories, were defined as the sampling domains.
A multi-stage, stratified cluster sampling approach was used for the selection of the survey sample.

## Sample Size and Sample Allocation

The target sample size for the Kyrgyz MICS was calculated as 5200 households. For the calculation of the sample size, the key indicator used was the stunting prevalence among children aged 0-4 years. The following formula was used to estimate the required sample size for these indicators:

$$
n=\frac{[4(r)(1-r)(f)(1.1)]}{\left[(0.12 r)^{2}(p) \cdot n_{h}\right]}
$$

where
■ $n$ is the required sample size, expressed as number of households
$\square 4$ is a factor to achieve the 95 per cent level of confidence
■ is the predicted or anticipated prevalence (coverage rate) of the indicator

- 1.1 is the factor necessary to raise the sample size by 10 per cent for non-response

■ $f$ is the shortened symbol for deff (design effect)

- $0.12 r$ is the margin of error to be tolerated at the 95 per cent level of confidence, defined as 12 per cent of $r$ (relative sampling error of $r$ )
$\square p$ is the proportion of the total population upon which the indicator, $r$, is based
$n_{h}$ is the average household size.
For the calculation, $r$ (stunting prevalence) was assumed to be 0.25 ( 25 percent). The value of deff (design effect) was taken as 1.25 based on estimates from previous surveys, $p$ (percentage of children aged 0-4 years in the total population) was taken as 9.74 percent, and $n_{h}$ (average household size) was taken as 4.6 households.
The resulting number of households from this exercise was 2557 households which is the sample size needed in each area (urban/rural) - thus yielding about 5115 in total. Based on a number of considerations including the budget available and the time that would be needed per team to complete one cluster, the sample size was determined as 5200 households with cluster size equal to 13 . Dividing the total number of households (5200) by the number of households per cluster (13), it was calculated that the selection of a total number of 400 clusters would be needed.

Approximately equal allocation of the total sample size to the eight regions (7 oblasts and city of Bishkek) was targeted. Therefore, 48 clusters were allocated to each region, with the additional 16 clusters allocated to the city of Osh, comprising 400 clusters in total. In each region, the clusters (primary sampling units) were distributed to urban and rural domains. The table below shows the allocation of clusters to the sampling domains.

Table SD.1: Allocation of Sample Clusters (Primary Sampling Units) to Sampling Domains

| Region | Population (2005 Estimates) |  |  | Number of Clusters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Batken | 413722 | 105167 | 308555 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Jalalabad | 947608 | 225361 | 722247 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Issyk-Kul | 426406 | 122175 | 304231 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Naryn | 265515 | 47375 | 218140 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Osh | 1283918 | 338755 | 945163 | 40 | 24 | 64 |
| Talas | 212098 | 35493 | 176605 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Chui | 752804 | 155473 | 597331 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
| Bishkek c. | 790731 | 790731 | - | 48 | - | 48 |
| Total | 5092802 | 1820530 | 3272272 | 232 | 168 | 400 |

## Sampling Frame and Selection of Clusters

The 1999 Population Census frame was used for the selection of clusters. Census enumeration areas were defined as primary sampling units (PSUs), and were selected from each of the sampling domains by using systematic pps (probability proportional to size) sampling procedures, based on the estimated sizes of the enumeration areas from the 1999 Census. The first stage of sampling was thus completed by selecting the required number of enumeration areas from each of the 8 regions by urban and rural areas separately.

## Listing Activities

Since the sample frame (the 1999 Census) was not up to date, household lists in all selected enumeration areas were updated prior to the selection of households. For this purpose, listing teams were formed, who visited each enumeration area, and listed the occupied households. Listing exercise had been conducted by implementing agency in August-September of 2005.

## Selection of Households

Lists of households were prepared by the listing teams in the field for each enumeration area. The households were then sequentially numbered from 1 to $n$ (the total number of households in each enumeration area) at the National Statistics Committee, where selection of 13 households in each enumeration area was carried out using systematic selection procedures.

## Calculation of Sample Weights

The Kyrgyz Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey sample is not self-weighted. Essentially, by allocating equal numbers of households to each of the regions, different sampling fractions were used in each region since the size of the regions varied. For this reason, sample weights were calculated and these were used in the subsequent analyses of the survey data.
The major component of the weight is the reciprocal of the sampling fraction employed in selecting the number of sample households in that particular sampling domain:

$$
W_{h}=1 / f_{h}
$$

The term $f_{h^{\prime}}$, the sampling fraction at the $h$-th stratum, is the product of probabilities of selection at every stage in each sampling domain:

$$
f_{h}=P_{1 h} * P_{2 h} * P_{3 h}
$$

where $P_{i h}$ is the probability of selection of the sampling unit in the $i$-th stage for the $h$-th sampling domain.

Since the estimated numbers of households per enumeration area prior to the first stage selection (selection of primary sampling units) and the updated number of households per enumeration area were different, individual sampling fractions for households in each enumeration area (cluster) were calculated. The sampling fractions for households in each enumeration area (cluster) therefore included the probability of selection of the enumeration area in that particular sampling domain and the probability of selection of a household in the sample enumeration area (cluster).
A second component which has to be taken into account in the calculation of sample weights is the level of non-response for the household and individual interviews. The adjustment for household non-response is equal to the inverse value of:

$$
R R=\text { Number of interviewed households } / \text { Number of occupied households listed }
$$

After the completion of fieldwork, response rates were calculated for each sampling domain. These were used to adjust the sample weights calculated for each cluster. Response rates in the Kyrgyz Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey are shown in Table HH. 1 in this report.
Similarly, the adjustment for non-response at the individual level (women and under-5 children) is equal to the inverse value of:

$$
R R=\text { Completed women's (or under-5's) questionnaires / Eligible women (or under-5s) }
$$

Numbers of eligible women and under-5 children were obtained from the household listing in the Household Questionnaire in households where interviews were completed.
The unadjusted weights for the households were calculated by multiplying the above factors for each enumeration area. These weights were then standardized (or normalized), one purpose of which is to make the sum of the interviewed sample units equal the total sample size at the national level. Normalization is performed by multiplying the aforementioned unadjusted weights by the ratio of the number of completed households to the total unadjusted weighted number of households. A similar standardization procedure was followed in obtaining standardized weights for the women's and under-5's questionnaires. Adjusted (normalized) household weights normally varied between 0.037 and 4.167 with the exception of one very small PSU encountering only 15 households (out of which 13 have been selected).
Sample weights were appended to all data sets and analyses were performed by weighting each household, woman or under-5 with these sample weights.

## APPENDIX B. LIST OF PERSONNEL INVOLVED IN THE SURVEY

## MICS3 Field Staff

| № | Naryn region |  | № | Issyk-kul region |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Usupov. T.S. | Coordinator | 1 | Saaliev S.K. | Coordinator |
| 2 | Aralbaeva. J | Supervisor | 2 | Mamadalieva B. | Supervisor |
| 3 | Jumakadirov. N.. | Field editor | 3 | Kadirova A. | Field editor |
| 4 | Zarkunov A. | Field editor | 4 | Sidikbaeva A. | Field editor |
| 5 | Orozobaeva. G. | Field editor | 5 | Ismailova G. | Field editor |
| 6 | Nasirimbekova. G. | interviewer | 6 | Kaptagaeva M. | interviewer |
| 7 | Kojogeldieva. M. | interviewer | 7 | Mambetalieva G. | interviewer |
| 8 | Sharshenaliev. J. | interviewer | 8 | Bagreva A. | interviewer |
| 9 | Moldokabilova. N. | interviewer | 9 | Bekturganova U. | interviewer |
| 10 | Mambetalieva A. | interviewer | 10 | Bayaliva K. | interviewer |
| 11 | Bakasov. I. | interviewer | 11 | Irsaliev M. | interviewer |
| 12 | Karimshakova J. | interviewer | 12 | Kadirova F. | interviewer |
| 13 | Jumadilova Ch. | interviewer | 13 | Ezembaeva K. | interviewer |
| 14 | Jumaev. A | interviewer | 14 | Bakasheva T. | interviewer |
| № | Bishkek |  | № | Talas region |  |
| 1 | Seitbekov S.S. | Coordinator | 1 | Satkanalieva S. J. | Coordinator |
| 2 | Sainidinova A. | Supervisor | 2 | Hitskova T. | Supervisor |
| 3 | Ridirmaeva J. | Field editor | 3 | Aitaliev S. | Field editor |
| 4 | Abdiraeva S. | Field editor | 4 | Jumaliev Ch. | Field editor |
| 5 | Ayupova P. | Field editor | 5 | Egorova A. | Field editor |
| 6 | Abdiraeva S. | interviewer | 6 | Eralieva S. | interviewer |
| 7 | Loshihina I. | interviewer | 7 | Sadimova K | interviewer |
| 8 | Mambetahunova Z. | interviewer | 8 | Kokoev Z. | interviewer |
| 9 | Tabaldieva A. | interviewer | 9 | Kim. T. | interviewer |
| 10 | Jumadekova N | interviewer | 10 | Bokoeva N. | interviewer |
| 11 | Koboeva .K. | interviewer | 11 | Abdibaev M. | interviewer |
| 12 | Kachkinbaeva A. | interviewer | 12 | Sayakbaev J. | interviewer |
| 13 | Temirova S. | interviewer | 13 | Kabilbekova L. | interviewer |
| 14 | Маатова T. | interviewer | 14 | Kerimbaeva M. | interviewer |
| № | Batken region |  | № | Jalalabd region |  |
| 1 | Ergeshov M. | Coordinator | 1 | Aitiev. I. M. | Coordinator |
| 2 | Baibubaeva B. | Supervisor | 2 | Kannazarova A. | Supervisor |
| 3 | Turakulov B. | Field editor | 3 | Uzakov B.. | Field editor |
| 4 | Boronov A. | Field editor | 4 | Arakulova A. | Field editor |
| 5 | Abdisalamova S. | Field editor | 5 | Asanov A. | Field editor |
| 6 | Akmarov R. | interviewer | 6 | Turdumatov.A. | interviewer |
| 7 | Turakulov B. | interviewer | 7 | Arstanbekova G. | interviewer |
| 8 | Jankaraeva Ch. | interviewer | 8 | Turganbaeva G. | interviewer |
| 9 | Kochkorov D. | interviewer | 9 | Mamasaliev. K. | interviewer |
| 10 | Momutova Ch. | interviewer | 10 | Mendigulova B. | interviewer |
| 11 | Gaparova F. | interviewer | 11 | Ergeshova S. | interviewer |
| 12 | Kalbaeva B. | interviewer | 12 | Sarbalaeva Ch. | interviewer |
| 13 | Saitova Sh. | interviewer | 13 | Uraumova U. | interviewer |
| 14 | Rahmanjanova M. | interviewer | 14 | Jeenaliev B. | interviewer |


| № | Osh region |  | No | Chui region |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Amanova Sh. A. | Coordinator | 1 | Ishenov. J.I. | Coordinator |
| 2 | Demidenko L. | Supervisor | 2 | Usanova. L. | Supervisor |
| 3 | Denisenko V. | Field editor | 3 | Mishenko. L. | Field editor |
| 4 | Djaanbaeva. G. | Field editor | 4 | Shevchenko L. | Field editor |
| 5 | Karimova M. | Field editor | 5 | Saltanova T. | Field editor |
| 6 | Karaeva Z. | Field editor | 6 | Shestelyuk L. | interviewer |
| 7 | Ismailova S. | interviewer | 7 | Kirichenko S. | interviewer |
| 8 | Shakirova N | interviewer | 8 | Sherbinina O | interviewer |
| 9 | Momdekova B. | interviewer | 9 | Dusha L. | interviewer |
| 10 | Mamadinova Z. | interviewer | 10 | Arilbekova L. | interviewer |
| 11 | Zakirova K | interviewer | 11 | Ursalov I. | interviewer |
| 12 | Sartmamatova K. | interviewer | 12 | Kidiraliev A. | interviewer |
| 13 | Djumanova G. | interviewer | 13 | Penkina M. | interviewer |
| 14 | Kambarova G. | interviewer | 14 | Hvoina O. | interviewer |
| 15 | Artikova T | interviewer | 15 | Bakarova N. | interviewer |
| 16 | En'keeva A. | interviewer | 16 | Asharapova Z. | interviewer |
| 17 | Abdullaeva K. | interviewer | 17 | Botokanova G. | interviewer |
| 18 | Israilova N. | interviewer |  |  |  |
| 19 | Bakieva G. | interviewer |  |  |  |
| 20 | Mamarasulov M. | interviewer |  |  |  |

## MICS3 Data Processing Personnel

| Supervisor | A.Kolomeets |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Operators |  | L.Sheviakova |
| L.Selezneva | E.Piatkevich | V.Pershina |
| T.Kuznetsova | B.Samudinova | A.Almazbekova |
| G.Beishekeeva | S.Baltabaev | N.Karasheva |
| N.Lipatrova | S.Berjibaeva | L.Samohvalova |
| N.Velikasova | T.Martyniuk | N.Pechereeva |
| B.Mukeeva |  | L.Obuhova |

## MICS3 Administration Staff

| O. Abdykalykov | Chairman of the National Statistics Committee |
| :--- | :--- |
| D.Baijumanov | Deputy Chairman |
| G.Samohleb | Head of Dept., National Statistics Committee, MICS3 Focal Point |
| L. Praslova | National Statistics Committee, Data Entry and Data Analysis Focal Point |
| A. Kim | Head of Computing Center |
| A. Ryskulova | Accountant |

## APPENDIX C. ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

The sample of respondents selected in the Kyrgyz Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey is only one of the samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. The extent of variability is not known exactly, but can be estimated statistically from the survey results.
The following sampling error measures are presented in this appendix for each of the selected indicators:

■ Standard error (se): Sampling errors are usually measured in terms of standard errors for particular indicators (means, proportions etc). Standard error is the square root of the variance. The Taylor linearization method is used for the estimation of standard errors.

- Coefficient of variation ( $s e / r$ ) is the ratio of the standard error to the value of the indicator.

■ Design effect (deff) is the ratio of the actual variance of an indicator, under the sampling method used in the survey, to the variance calculated under the assumption of simple random sampling. The square root of the design effect (deft) is used to show the efficiency of the sample design. A deft value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a deft value above 1.0 indicates the increase in the standard error due to the use of a more complex sample design.
■ Confidence limits are calculated to show the interval within which the true value for the population can be reasonably assumed to fall. For any given statistic calculated from the survey, the value of that statistics will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error $(p+2$.se or $p-2$.se) of the statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.
For the calculation of sampling errors from MICS data, SPSS Version 14 Complex Samples module has been used. The results are shown in the tables that follow. In addition to the sampling error measures described above, the tables also include weighted and unweighted counts of denominators for each indicator.

Sampling errors are calculated for indicators of primary interest, for the national total, for the regions, and for urban and rural areas. Two of the selected indicators are based on households, 7 are based on household members, 12 are based on women, and 12 are based on children under 5. All indicators presented here are in the form of proportions. Table SE. 1 shows the list of indicators for which sampling errors are calculated, including the base population (denominator) for each indicator. Tables SE. 2 to SE. 12 show the calculated sampling errors.

| MICS Indicator | Base Population |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HOUSEHOLDS | All households |  |
| 41 | Iodized salt consumption | Children aged 3-14 years selected |
| 74 | Child discipline | All household members |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | All household members |  |
| 11 | Use of improved drinking water sources | Children of primary school age |
| 12 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | Children of secondary school age |
| 55 | Net primary school attendance rate | Children of primary school completion age |
| 56 | Net secondary school attendance rate | Children aged 5-14 years |
| 59 | Primary completion rate | Children aged under 18 |
| 71 | Child labour |  |
| 75 | Prevalence of orphans | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the last |
| WOMEN | 2 years |  |
| 4 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the last <br> 2 |
| 20 | Antenatal care | Woars |
| 21 | Contraceptive prevalence | Women aged 15-49 currently married/in union |
| 60 | Adult literacy | Women aged 20-49 years |
| 67 | Marriage before age 18 | Women aged 15-49 years currently married or in <br> union |
| 70 | Polygyny | Women aged 15-24 years |
| 82 | Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention | among young people |

Table SE.2: Sampling errors: Total sample
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confic | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | r-2se | r + 2se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,761 | 0,016 | 0,021 | 7,059 | 2,657 | 5160 | 5147 | 0,730 | 0,793 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,514 | 0,017 | 0,033 | 4,013 | 2,003 | 3312 | 3393 | 0,480 | 0,548 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,882 | 0,017 | 0,020 | 15,032 | 3,877 | 25040 | 5179 | 0,847 | 0,917 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,963 | 0,010 | 0,011 | 15,130 | 3,890 | 25040 | 5179 | 0,943 | 0,983 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,921 | 0,010 | 0,011 | 2,883 | 1,698 | 2041 | 2013 | 0,900 | 0,941 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,892 | 0,011 | 0,013 | 5,463 | 2,337 | 3936 | 4021 | 0,869 | 0,915 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,792 | 0,024 | 0,031 | 1,928 | 1,389 | 544 | 544 | 0,744 | 0,840 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,036 | 0,008 | 0,207 | 8,467 | 2,910 | 5187 | 5229 | 0,021 | 0,052 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,055 | 0,005 | 0,097 | 5,499 | 2,345 | 9923 | 10015 | 0,044 | 0,066 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0,976 | 0,011 | 0,012 | 6,418 | 2,533 | 1209 | 1152 | 0,953 | 0,999 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,969 | 0,012 | 0,012 | 5,510 | 2,347 | 1209 | 1152 | 0,944 | 0,993 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,478 | 0,013 | 0,027 | 2,812 | 1,677 | 4195 | 4156 | 0,452 | 0,504 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,999 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,500 | 0,707 | 2819 | 2772 | 0,998 | 1,000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,122 | 0,006 | 0,051 | 1,969 | 1,403 | 5501 | 5419 | 0,110 | 0,135 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,017 | 0,003 | 0,170 | 2,103 | 1,450 | 4195 | 4156 | 0,011 | 0,023 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,204 | 0,013 | 0,063 | 7,044 | 2,654 | 7043 | 6973 | 0,178 | 0,230 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | 0,560 | 0,057 | 0,102 | 0,739 | 0,859 | 62 | 57 | 0,446 | 0,674 |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,002 | 0,001 | 0,528 | 0,743 | 0,862 | 1542 | 1554 | 0,000 | 0,004 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/ AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,052 | 0,006 | 0,117 | 4,839 | 2,200 | 6492 | 6493 | 0,040 | 0,064 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,370 | 0,019 | 0,053 | 11,288 | 3,360 | 7043 | 6973 | 0,331 | 0,409 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,580 | 0,017 | 0,029 | 7,958 | 2,821 | 7043 | 6973 | 0,546 | 0,613 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,034 | 0,005 | 0,150 | 2,265 | 1,505 | 2883 | 2862 | 0,024 | 0,044 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,056 | 0,008 | 0,145 | 3,577 | 1,891 | 2883 | 2862 | 0,040 | 0,073 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0,445 | 0,046 | 0,104 | 1,428 | 1,195 | 163 | 165 | 0,352 | 0,537 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,036 | 0,005 | 0,129 | 1,767 | 1,329 | 2883 | 2862 | 0,027 | 0,045 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0,223 | 0,065 | 0,289 | 2,545 | 1,595 | 103 | 107 | 0,094 | 0,352 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,710 | 0,023 | 0,032 | 7,138 | 2,672 | 2883 | 2862 | 0,664 | 0,755 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,942 | 0,012 | 0,013 | 7,817 | 2,796 | 2883 | 2862 | 0,918 | 0,967 |

Table SE.3: Sampling errors: Urban areas
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2se | $r+2 \mathrm{se}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,845 | 0,008 | 0,009 | 1,429 | 1,195 | 2216 | 2961 | 0,829 | 0,861 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,509 | 0,021 | 0,041 | 3,141 | 1,772 | 1262 | 1790 | 0,467 | 0,551 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,987 | 0,003 | 0,003 | 2,201 | 1,484 | 9469 | 2985 | 0,980 | 0,993 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,991 | 0,002 | 0,002 | 0,820 | 0,905 | 9469 | 2985 | 0,988 | 0,994 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,929 | 0,013 | 0,014 | 2,275 | 1,508 | 661 | 957 | 0,904 | 0,954 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,909 | 0,009 | 0,009 | 1,749 | 1,323 | 1254 | 1975 | 0,892 | 0,926 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,809 | 0,027 | 0,034 | 1,276 | 1,130 | 176 | 267 | 0,755 | 0,864 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,019 | 0,004 | 0,214 | 2,190 | 1,480 | 1648 | 2478 | 0,011 | 0,027 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,072 | 0,007 | 0,099 | 3,781 | 1,944 | 3429 | 4935 | 0,058 | 0,087 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0,999 | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,376 | 0,613 | 490 | 621 | 0,998 | 1,001 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,990 | 0,005 | 0,005 | 1,272 | 1,128 | 490 | 621 | 0,980 | 0,999 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,492 | 0,014 | 0,029 | 1,867 | 1,366 | 1666 | 2291 | 0,464 | 0,521 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,999 | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,745 | 0,863 | 1231 | 1598 | 0,997 | 1,000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,097 | 0,007 | 0,069 | 1,633 | 1,278 | 2423 | 3179 | 0,084 | 0,111 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,019 | 0,003 | 0,178 | 1,433 | 1,197 | 1666 | 2291 | 0,012 | 0,026 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,234 | 0,019 | 0,080 | 7,902 | 2,811 | 3055 | 4040 | 0,196 | 0,271 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 39 | 44 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,002 | 0,002 | 0,710 | 0,922 | 0,960 | 633 | 861 | -0,001 | 0,005 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/ AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,029 | 0,004 | 0,141 | 2,291 | 1,514 | 2954 | 3825 | 0,021 | 0,037 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,476 | 0,016 | 0,034 | 4,213 | 2,052 | 3055 | 4040 | 0,444 | 0,508 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,574 | 0,016 | 0,028 | 4,271 | 2,067 | 3055 | 4040 | 0,542 | 0,606 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,034 | 0,006 | 0,160 | 1,372 | 1,171 | 1172 | 1495 | 0,023 | 0,045 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,057 | 0,008 | 0,141 | 1,811 | 1,346 | 1172 | 1495 | 0,041 | 0,073 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0,698 | 0,009 | 0,013 | 0,035 | 0,186 | 67 | 95 | 0,680 | 0,716 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,028 | 0,005 | 0,179 | 1,358 | 1,165 | 1172 | 1495 | 0,018 | 0,037 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 32 | 41 | (*) | (*) |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,797 | 0,017 | 0,021 | 2,589 | 1,609 | 1172 | 1495 | 0,763 | 0,830 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,959 | 0,007 | 0,008 | 2,079 | 1,442 | 1172 | 1495 | 0,944 | 0,974 |

Table SE.4: Sampling errors: Rural areas
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confic | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | r-2se | r + 2se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,698 | 0,026 | 0,038 | 7,173 | 2,678 | 2944 | 2186 | 0,646 | 0,751 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,517 | 0,025 | 0,048 | 3,871 | 1,968 | 2049 | 1603 | 0,468 | 0,566 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,818 | 0,028 | 0,034 | 11,672 | 3,416 | 15571 | 2194 | 0,762 | 0,875 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,946 | 0,017 | 0,017 | 11,747 | 3,427 | 15571 | 2194 | 0,913 | 0,979 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,917 | 0,014 | 0,015 | 2,681 | 1,637 | 1381 | 1056 | 0,889 | 0,945 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,884 | 0,016 | 0,018 | 5,090 | 2,256 | 2682 | 2046 | 0,852 | 0,916 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,784 | 0,033 | 0,042 | 1,790 | 1,338 | 368 | 277 | 0,717 | 0,850 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,045 | 0,011 | 0,239 | 7,337 | 2,709 | 3540 | 2751 | 0,023 | 0,066 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,046 | 0,007 | 0,154 | 5,800 | 2,408 | 6493 | 5080 | 0,032 | 0,060 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0,960 | 0,019 | 0,020 | 5,081 | 2,254 | 719 | 531 | 0,922 | 0,999 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,954 | 0,020 | 0,021 | 4,871 | 2,207 | 719 | 531 | 0,914 | 0,994 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,468 | 0,019 | 0,041 | 2,772 | 1,665 | 2529 | 1865 | 0,429 | 0,506 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,999 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,281 | 0,530 | 1588 | 1174 | 0,999 | 1,000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,142 | 0,010 | 0,070 | 1,822 | 1,350 | 3078 | 2240 | 0,122 | 0,162 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,016 | 0,004 | 0,271 | 2,189 | 1,479 | 2529 | 1865 | 0,007 | 0,024 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,181 | 0,017 | 0,094 | 5,788 | 2,406 | 3988 | 2933 | 0,147 | 0,216 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 23 | 13 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,784 | 0,608 | 0,780 | 909 | 693 | -0,001 | 0,004 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/ AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,070 | 0,011 | 0,150 | 4,556 | 2,134 | 3538 | 2668 | 0,049 | 0,092 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,288 | 0,031 | 0,108 | 13,956 | 3,736 | 3988 | 2933 | 0,226 | 0,351 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,584 | 0,027 | 0,046 | 8,596 | 2,932 | 3988 | 2933 | 0,530 | 0,637 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,034 | 0,008 | 0,227 | 2,479 | 1,574 | 1711 | 1367 | 0,018 | 0,049 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,056 | 0,013 | 0,225 | 4,096 | 2,024 | 1711 | 1367 | 0,031 | 0,081 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | 0,268 | 0,082 | 0,307 | 2,373 | 1,540 | 96 | 70 | 0,103 | 0,432 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,042 | 0,007 | 0,170 | 1,711 | 1,308 | 1711 | 1367 | 0,027 | 0,056 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0,205 | 0,090 | 0,440 | 3,245 | 1,801 | 71 | 66 | 0,024 | 0,385 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,650 | 0,034 | 0,052 | 6,968 | 2,640 | 1711 | 1367 | 0,582 | 0,718 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,931 | 0,020 | 0,021 | 8,150 | 2,855 | 1711 | 1367 | 0,892 | 0,970 |

Table SE.5: Sampling errors: Batken
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confic | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | r-2se | r + 2se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,960 | 0,015 | 0,016 | 3,828 | 1,957 | 387 | 620 | 0,929 | 0,991 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,669 | 0,050 | 0,075 | 4,624 | 2,150 | 266 | 412 | 0,570 | 0,769 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,683 | 0,085 | 0,125 | 20,985 | 4,581 | 2021 | 624 | 0,512 | 0,854 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,733 | 0,085 | 0,116 | 22,911 | 4,787 | 2021 | 624 | 0,563 | 0,903 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,895 | 0,017 | 0,019 | 0,877 | 0,936 | 175 | 275 | 0,860 | 0,930 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,937 | 0,013 | 0,014 | 1,489 | 1,220 | 365 | 546 | 0,911 | 0,962 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,906 | 0,042 | 0,047 | 1,667 | 1,291 | 51 | 80 | 0,821 | 0,991 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,051 | 0,015 | 0,299 | 3,435 | 1,853 | 451 | 712 | 0,021 | 0,082 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,048 | 0,012 | 0,256 | 4,346 | 2,085 | 867 | 1318 | 0,023 | 0,072 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0,964 | 0,019 | 0,020 | 1,463 | 1,210 | 91 | 135 | 0,925 | 1,003 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,974 | 0,017 | 0,017 | 1,513 | 1,230 | 91 | 135 | 0,940 | 1,008 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,453 | 0,044 | 0,098 | 4,006 | 2,002 | 314 | 508 | 0,364 | 0,541 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,998 | 0,002 | 0,002 | 0,826 | 0,909 | 205 | 332 | 0,993 | 1,002 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,115 | 0,017 | 0,149 | 1,726 | 1,314 | 367 | 604 | 0,080 | 0,149 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,036 | 0,011 | 0,293 | 1,637 | 1,279 | 314 | 508 | 0,015 | 0,058 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,057 | 0,020 | 0,354 | 6,066 | 2,463 | 489 | 797 | 0,017 | 0,098 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 | 2 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 123 | 193 | 0,000 | 0,000 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/ AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,078 | 0,039 | 0,501 | 14,739 | 3,839 | 400 | 694 | 0,000 | 0,156 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,246 | 0,029 | 0,119 | 3,689 | 1,921 | 489 | 797 | 0,187 | 0,305 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,314 | 0,058 | 0,184 | 12,304 | 3,508 | 489 | 797 | 0,199 | 0,430 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,055 | 0,014 | 0,251 | 1,212 | 1,101 | 233 | 330 | 0,028 | 0,083 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,066 | 0,024 | 0,361 | 3,035 | 1,742 | 233 | 330 | 0,018 | 0,114 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 15 | 21 | (*) | (*) |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,042 | 0,013 | 0,305 | 1,350 | 1,162 | 233 | 330 | 0,017 | 0,068 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 10 | 10 | (*) | (*) |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,736 | 0,036 | 0,049 | 2,205 | 1,485 | 233 | 330 | 0,664 | 0,808 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,979 | 0,009 | 0,009 | 1,271 | 1,127 | 233 | 330 | 0,961 | 0,997 |

Table SE.6: Sampling errors: Jalalabad
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confi | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | $\mathrm{r}-2 \mathrm{se}$ | r + 2 se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,720 | 0,044 | 0,062 | 6,049 | 2,459 | 827 | 620 | 0,631 | 0,808 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,464 | 0,022 | 0,048 | 0,913 | 0,955 | 601 | 450 | 0,419 | 0,509 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,842 | 0,051 | 0,061 | 12,339 | 3,513 | 4649 | 624 | 0,739 | 0,944 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,994 | 0,006 | 0,006 | 3,937 | 1,984 | 4649 | 624 | 0,982 | 1,006 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,917 | 0,021 | 0,023 | 1,821 | 1,350 | 427 | 308 | 0,875 | 0,960 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,836 | 0,027 | 0,032 | 3,028 | 1,740 | 789 | 576 | 0,782 | 0,890 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,706 | 0,049 | 0,069 | 0,873 | 0,934 | 125 | 77 | 0,609 | 0,804 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,038 | 0,015 | 0,384 | 4,467 | 2,114 | 1051 | 767 | 0,009 | 0,067 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,062 | 0,017 | 0,270 | 6,494 | 2,548 | 1856 | 1348 | 0,029 | 0,096 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0,927 | 0,064 | 0,070 | 7,914 | 2,813 | 189 | 130 | 0,798 | 1,056 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,927 | 0,064 | 0,070 | 7,914 | 2,813 | 189 | 130 | 0,798 | 1,056 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,362 | 0,031 | 0,086 | 2,201 | 1,483 | 739 | 524 | 0,300 | 0,425 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,999 | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,507 | 0,712 | 551 | 397 | 0,996 | 1,001 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,095 | 0,011 | 0,115 | 0,946 | 0,973 | 942 | 684 | 0,073 | 0,117 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,013 | 0,005 | 0,389 | 1,048 | 1,024 | 739 | 524 | 0,003 | 0,023 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,235 | 0,03057795 | 0,1302585 | 4,72082201 | 2,17274527 | 1245 | 908 | 0,174 | 0,296 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 | 1 | (*) | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 304 | 224 | 0,000 | 0,000 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/ AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,146 | 0,034 | 0,233 | 7,470 | 2,733 | 1102 | 809 | 0,078 | 0,214 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,137 | 0,025 | 0,180 | 4,662 | 2,159 | 1245 | 908 | 0,088 | 0,187 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,747 | 0,036 | 0,048 | 6,122 | 2,474 | 1245 | 908 | 0,676 | 0,819 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,025 | 0,018 | 0,721 | 4,138 | 2,034 | 422 | 309 | -0,011 | 0,062 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,013 | 0,006 | 0,454 | 0,842 | 0,918 | 422 | 309 | 0,001 | 0,025 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 6 | 6 | (*) | (*) |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,023 | 0,010 | 0,433 | 1,341 | 1,158 | 422 | 309 | 0,003 | 0,042 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 10 | 9 | (*) | (*) |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,508 | 0,083 | 0,163 | 8,468 | 2,910 | 422 | 309 | 0,342 | 0,674 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,886 | 0,062 | 0,070 | 11,778 | 3,432 | 422 | 309 | 0,762 | 1,010 | na - Not applicable

Table SE.7: Sampling errors: Issykkul
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confi | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | r-2se | r + 2se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,698 | 0,020 | 0,028 | 1,151 | 1,073 | 446 | 623 | 0,659 | 0,738 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,616 | 0,036 | 0,059 | 2,197 | 1,482 | 278 | 395 | 0,544 | 0,689 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,907 | 0,035 | 0,039 | 9,329 | 3,054 | 1954 | 624 | 0,836 | 0,978 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,985 | 0,007 | 0,007 | 2,308 | 1,519 | 1954 | 624 | 0,971 | 1,000 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,908 | 0,020 | 0,022 | 1,022 | 1,011 | 173 | 224 | 0,869 | 0,947 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,934 | 0,020 | 0,022 | 2,909 | 1,705 | 323 | 437 | 0,893 | 0,974 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,803 | 0,105 | 0,130 | 3,812 | 1,952 | 51 | 56 | 0,593 | 1,012 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,033 | 0,015 | 0,466 | 4,300 | 2,074 | 434 | 588 | 0,002 | 0,063 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,059 | 0,012 | 0,200 | 2,786 | 1,669 | 800 | 1110 | 0,035 | 0,082 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 1,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 81 | 126 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,994 | 0,006 | 0,006 | 0,773 | 0,879 | 81 | 126 | 0,982 | 1,006 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,526 | 0,028 | 0,054 | 1,545 | 1,243 | 325 | 476 | 0,469 | 0,583 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,997 | 0,003 | 0,003 | 0,718 | 0,847 | 177 | 270 | 0,992 | 1,003 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,147 | 0,027 | 0,181 | 3,506 | 1,872 | 422 | 623 | 0,093 | 0,200 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,026 | 0,009 | 0,370 | 1,699 | 1,303 | 325 | 476 | 0,007 | 0,044 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,128 | 0,015 | 0,118 | 1,563 | 1,250 | 523 | 769 | 0,098 | 0,158 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 | 3 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 102 | 146 | 0,000 | 0,000 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/ AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,009 | 0,004 | 0,422 | 1,155 | 1,075 | 506 | 744 | 0,001 | 0,016 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,391 | 0,051 | 0,130 | 8,276 | 2,877 | 523 | 769 | 0,290 | 0,493 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,719 | 0,030 | 0,042 | 3,438 | 1,854 | 523 | 769 | 0,658 | 0,779 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,069 | 0,016 | 0,230 | 1,308 | 1,144 | 229 | 333 | 0,038 | 0,101 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,022 | 0,007 | 0,300 | 0,675 | 0,822 | 229 | 333 | 0,009 | 0,035 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 5 | 10 | (*) | (*) |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,035 | 0,013 | 0,374 | 1,667 | 1,291 | 229 | 333 | 0,009 | 0,061 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 | 13 | (*) | (*) |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,783 | 0,035 | 0,044 | 2,335 | 1,528 | 229 | 333 | 0,714 | 0,852 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,952 | 0,019 | 0,020 | 2,493 | 1,579 | 229 | 333 | 0,914 | 0,989 |

Table SE.8: Sampling errors: Naryn
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confi | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | $\mathrm{r}-2 \mathrm{se}$ | r + 2se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,724 | 0,066 | 0,092 | 13,262 | 3,642 | 251 | 600 | 0,592 | 0,857 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,625 | 0,060 | 0,095 | 6,104 | 2,471 | 172 | 405 | 0,506 | 0,744 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,860 | 0,028 | 0,033 | 3,960 | 1,990 | 1170 | 603 | 0,803 | 0,916 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,988 | 0,006 | 0,006 | 1,942 | 1,393 | 1170 | 603 | 0,976 | 1,001 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,874 | 0,042 | 0,048 | 4,120 | 2,030 | 122 | 262 | 0,790 | 0,957 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,950 | 0,021 | 0,022 | 4,818 | 2,195 | 213 | 500 | 0,907 | 0,993 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,800 | 0,040 | 0,050 | 0,730 | 0,854 | 41 | 75 | 0,720 | 0,879 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,031 | 0,005 | 0,168 | 0,595 | 0,771 | 278 | 655 | 0,021 | 0,042 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,070 | 0,015 | 0,215 | 4,127 | 2,032 | 503 | 1193 | 0,040 | 0,100 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0,983 | 0,013 | 0,013 | 1,171 | 1,082 | 51 | 120 | 0,957 | 1,009 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,964 | 0,016 | 0,017 | 0,872 | 0,934 | 51 | 120 | 0,932 | 0,996 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,534 | 0,030 | 0,055 | 1,406 | 1,186 | 169 | 400 | 0,475 | 0,594 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,996 | 0,004 | 0,004 | 1,079 | 1,039 | 101 | 249 | 0,987 | 1,004 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,097 | 0,016 | 0,170 | 1,623 | 1,274 | 217 | 524 | 0,064 | 0,130 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 169 | 400 | 0,000 | 0,000 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,138 | 0,031 | 0,224 | 5,422 | 2,328 | 281 | 671 | 0,076 | 0,200 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 0 | 0 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 64 | 147 | 0,000 | 0,000 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,012 | 0,007 | 0,570 | 2,499 | 1,581 | 263 | 624 | -0,002 | 0,026 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,322 | 0,045 | 0,141 | 6,347 | 2,519 | 281 | 671 | 0,231 | 0,413 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,508 | 0,043 | 0,084 | 4,913 | 2,216 | 281 | 671 | 0,423 | 0,594 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,090 | 0,027 | 0,302 | 2,738 | 1,655 | 110 | 304 | 0,036 | 0,145 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,027 | 0,008 | 0,292 | 0,721 | 0,849 | 110 | 304 | 0,011 | 0,043 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 3 | 19 | (*) | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,017 | 0,006 | 0,342 | 0,622 | 0,788 | 110 | 304 | 0,005 | 0,029 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 2 | 9 | (*) | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,519 | 0,091 | 0,176 | 10,150 | 3,186 | 110 | 304 | 0,336 | 0,701 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,901 | 0,040 | 0,045 | 5,557 | 2,357 | 110 | 304 | 0,821 | 0,982 |

Table SE.9: Sampling errors: Osh
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confic | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | r-2se | $r+2 \mathrm{se}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,568 | 0,044 | 0,077 | 6,418 | 2,533 | 1130 | 830 | 0,481 | 0,655 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,325 | 0,033 | 0,101 | 2,785 | 1,669 | 778 | 572 | 0,260 | 0,390 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,824 | 0,049 | 0,060 | 13,840 | 3,720 | 6095 | 832 | 0,726 | 0,922 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,964 | 0,022 | 0,023 | 11,580 | 3,403 | 6095 | 832 | 0,920 | 1,008 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,982 | 0,009 | 0,009 | 1,647 | 1,283 | 527 | 373 | 0,964 | 1,000 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,852 | 0,027 | 0,032 | 4,357 | 2,087 | 1049 | 735 | 0,798 | 0,907 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,907 | 0,040 | 0,044 | 1,748 | 1,322 | 112 | 94 | 0,828 | 0,987 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,004 | 0,002 | 0,405 | 0,653 | 0,808 | 1362 | 970 | 0,001 | 0,007 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,034 | 0,008 | 0,240 | 3,749 | 1,936 | 2563 | 1837 | 0,018 | 0,051 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0,966 | 0,020 | 0,020 | 2,570 | 1,603 | 298 | 215 | 0,927 | 1,006 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,968 | 0,019 | 0,020 | 2,588 | 1,609 | 298 | 215 | 0,929 | 1,007 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,457 | 0,029 | 0,063 | 2,409 | 1,552 | 995 | 727 | 0,400 | 0,515 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 1,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | . | . | 636 | 472 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,134 | 0,016 | 0,117 | 1,878 | 1,370 | 1191 | 882 | 0,103 | 0,166 |
| Polygyny | СР. 5 | 0,015 | 0,004 | 0,261 | 0,733 | 0,856 | 995 | 727 | 0,007 | 0,022 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,051 | 0,012 | 0,243 | 3,565 | 1,888 | 1536 | 1139 | 0,026 | 0,075 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 | 1 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 346 | 257 | 0,000 | 0,000 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,056 | 0,012 | 0,210 | 2,631 | 1,622 | 1328 | 1000 | 0,033 | 0,080 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,282 | 0,036 | 0,126 | 7,130 | 2,670 | 1536 | 1139 | 0,211 | 0,353 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,659 | 0,034 | 0,052 | 6,008 | 2,451 | 1536 | 1139 | 0,590 | 0,728 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,027 | 0,012 | 0,438 | 2,741 | 1,656 | 699 | 513 | 0,003 | 0,051 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,094 | 0,025 | 0,267 | 3,802 | 1,950 | 699 | 513 | 0,044 | 0,145 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 66 | 44 | (*) | (*) |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,021 | 0,005 | 0,233 | 0,588 | 0,767 | 699 | 513 | 0,011 | 0,030 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 | (*) | (*) |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,696 | 0,041 | 0,059 | 4,133 | 2,033 | 699 | 513 | 0,613 | 0,779 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,964 | 0,014 | 0,015 | 2,910 | 1,706 | 699 | 513 | 0,936 | 0,992 |

Table SE.10: Sampling errors: Talas
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confic | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | r-2se | r + 2se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,803 | 0,027 | 0,034 | 2,939 | 1,714 | 190 | 623 | 0,748 | 0,858 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,474 | 0,045 | 0,096 | 3,790 | 1,947 | 144 | 459 | 0,383 | 0,565 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,876 | 0,039 | 0,045 | 8,772 | 2,962 | 1018 | 624 | 0,798 | 0,954 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,999 | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,467 | 0,684 | 1018 | 624 | 0,998 | 1,001 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,922 | 0,017 | 0,018 | 0,878 | 0,937 | 76 | 229 | 0,888 | 0,955 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,929 | 0,012 | 0,013 | 1,118 | 1,057 | 156 | 509 | 0,905 | 0,953 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,763 | 0,042 | 0,055 | 0,625 | 0,790 | 18 | 64 | 0,678 | 0,848 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,016 | 0,007 | 0,419 | 1,851 | 1,361 | 199 | 637 | 0,003 | 0,030 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,054 | 0,015 | 0,271 | 5,591 | 2,365 | 425 | 1326 | 0,025 | 0,084 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0,979 | 0,013 | 0,013 | 1,149 | 1,072 | 45 | 145 | 0,954 | 1,005 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,979 | 0,013 | 0,013 | 1,149 | 1,072 | 45 | 145 | 0,954 | 1,005 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,556 | 0,032 | 0,057 | 2,206 | 1,485 | 167 | 539 | 0,492 | 0,620 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,999 | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,334 | 0,578 | 112 | 349 | 0,997 | 1,001 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,177 | 0,023 | 0,132 | 2,545 | 1,595 | 213 | 681 | 0,130 | 0,223 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,019 | 0,008 | 0,440 | 1,971 | 1,404 | 167 | 539 | 0,002 | 0,035 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,398 | 0,042 | 0,105 | 6,515 | 2,552 | 282 | 893 | 0,314 | 0,481 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 5 | 10 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,019 | 0,015 | 0,804 | 2,626 | 1,621 | 69 | 212 | -0,011 | 0,049 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/ AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,005 | 0,003 | 0,640 | 1,701 | 1,304 | 275 | 875 | -0,001 | 0,011 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,327 | 0,026 | 0,078 | 2,654 | 1,629 | 282 | 893 | 0,276 | 0,378 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,441 | 0,041 | 0,093 | 6,129 | 2,476 | 282 | 893 | 0,359 | 0,523 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,048 | 0,010 | 0,220 | 1,040 | 1,020 | 144 | 430 | 0,027 | 0,069 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,080 | 0,023 | 0,291 | 3,128 | 1,769 | 144 | 430 | 0,033 | 0,126 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 11 | 31 | (*) | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,067 | 0,013 | 0,190 | 1,114 | 1,055 | 144 | 430 | 0,042 | 0,093 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 10 | 23 | (*) | $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,758 | 0,031 | 0,041 | 2,283 | 1,511 | 144 | 430 | 0,696 | 0,821 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,972 | 0,018 | 0,018 | 5,108 | 2,260 | 144 | 430 | 0,937 | 1,008 |

Table SE.11: Sampling errors: Chui
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Square root } \\ & \text { of design } \\ & \text { effect (deft) } \end{aligned}$ | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{r}-2 \mathrm{se}$ | $r+2 \mathrm{se}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,853 | 0,043 | 0,050 | 9,174 | 3,029 | 902 | 624 | 0,767 | 0,939 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,672 | 0,051 | 0,075 | 4,348 | 2,085 | 520 | 373 | 0,571 | 0,774 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0,990 | 0,006 | 0,006 | 2,681 | 1,637 | 3840 | 624 | 0,978 | 1,003 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0,976 | 0,011 | 0,011 | 3,096 | 1,759 | 3840 | 624 | 0,955 | 0,998 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,858 | 0,048 | 0,056 | 3,461 | 1,860 | 276 | 185 | 0,762 | 0,953 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,904 | 0,026 | 0,029 | 3,408 | 1,846 | 573 | 441 | 0,852 | 0,956 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | 0,657 | 0,068 | 0,103 | 1,096 | 1,047 | 73 | 55 | 0,522 | 0,793 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,101 | 0,035 | 0,351 | 7,421 | 2,724 | 801 | 538 | 0,030 | 0,172 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,073 | 0,019 | 0,259 | 5,388 | 2,321 | 1437 | 1012 | 0,035 | 0,111 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 1,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 182 | 113 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,960 | 0,026 | 0,027 | 1,964 | 1,401 | 182 | 113 | 0,908 | 1,012 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,522 | 0,019 | 0,037 | 0,745 | 0,863 | 706 | 502 | 0,483 | 0,560 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0,999 | 0,001 | 0,001 | 0,288 | 0,537 | 384 | 301 | 0,997 | 1,001 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,182 | 0,019 | 0,104 | 1,594 | 1,263 | 924 | 666 | 0,144 | 0,219 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,031 | 0,013 | 0,423 | 2,836 | 1,684 | 706 | 502 | 0,005 | 0,057 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,287 | 0,032 | 0,113 | 4,290 | 2,071 | 1130 | 838 | 0,222 | 0,352 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 31 | 28 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,007 | 0,005 | 0,715 | 0,574 | 0,757 | 206 | 172 | -0,003 | 0,016 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,028 | 0,007 | 0,253 | 1,477 | 1,215 | 1078 | 799 | 0,014 | 0,042 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,539 | 0,065 | 0,120 | 14,019 | 3,744 | 1130 | 838 | 0,410 | 0,668 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,489 | 0,036 | 0,073 | 4,278 | 2,068 | 1130 | 838 | 0,417 | 0,560 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,023 | 0,012 | 0,494 | 1,566 | 1,252 | 415 | 268 | 0,000 | 0,047 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,059 | 0,015 | 0,257 | 1,104 | 1,051 | 415 | 268 | 0,029 | 0,089 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 24 | 15 | (*) | (*) |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,068 | 0,022 | 0,333 | 2,142 | 1,464 | 415 | 268 | 0,023 | 0,112 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 28 | 14 | (*) | (*) |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,681 | 0,051 | 0,075 | 3,196 | 1,788 | 415 | 268 | 0,579 | 0,783 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,936 | 0,021 | 0,023 | 2,055 | 1,434 | 415 | 268 | 0,894 | 0,979 |

Table SE.12: Sampling errors: Bishkek t.
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Kyrgyz Republic, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root |  |  | Confi | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value (r) | error (se) | (se/r) | fect (deff) | effect (deft) | count | ed count | r-2se | r + 2se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0,880 | 0,012 | 0,014 | 0,838 | 0,915 | 1026 | 607 | 0,856 | 0,904 |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0,535 | 0,040 | 0,075 | 2,087 | 1,445 | 553 | 327 | 0,455 | 0,615 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 1,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 4295 | 2541 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 1,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 4295 | 2541 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0,917 | 0,027 | 0,029 | 1,494 | 1,222 | 265 | 157 | 0,863 | 0,971 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0,960 | 0,010 | 0,011 | 0,781 | 0,884 | 468 | 277 | 0,940 | 0,981 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 6 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 73 | 43 | (*) | (*) |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0,022 | 0,009 | 0,418 | 1,422 | 1,193 | 612 | 362 | 0,004 | 0,041 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0,062 | 0,013 | 0,203 | 2,368 | 1,539 | 1472 | 871 | 0,037 | 0,087 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 1,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 273 | 168 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0,994 | 0,006 | 0,006 | 1,038 | 1,019 | 273 | 168 | 0,982 | 1,006 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0,533 | 0,024 | 0,045 | 1,130 | 1,063 | 780 | 480 | 0,485 | 0,582 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 1,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 653 | 402 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0,077 | 0,011 | 0,140 | 1,236 | 1,112 | 1226 | 755 | 0,055 | 0,098 |
| Polygyny | CP. 5 | 0,004 | 0,003 | 0,705 | 0,995 | 0,998 | 780 | 480 | -0,002 | 0,010 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0,319 | 0,030 | 0,095 | 4,056 | 2,014 | 1556 | 958 | 0,258 | 0,380 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | HA. 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 19 | 12 | (*) | (*) |
| Age at first sex among young people | HA. 8 | 0,000 | 0,000 | 0,000 | na | na | 330 | 203 | 0,000 | 0,000 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0,019 | 0,004 | 0,211 | 0,816 | 0,903 | 1540 | 948 | 0,011 | 0,027 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0,568 | 0,031 | 0,054 | 3,712 | 1,927 | 1556 | 958 | 0,506 | 0,629 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0,508 | 0,027 | 0,052 | 2,715 | 1,648 | 1556 | 958 | 0,454 | 0,561 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0,021 | 0,008 | 0,361 | 1,062 | 1,031 | 632 | 375 | 0,006 | 0,037 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0,051 | 0,011 | 0,216 | 0,930 | 0,964 | 632 | 375 | 0,029 | 0,073 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 7 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 32 | 19 | (*) | (*) |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0,035 | 0,008 | 0,225 | 0,683 | 0,826 | 632 | 375 | 0,019 | 0,050 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 22 | 13 | (*) | (*) |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0,864 | 0,025 | 0,029 | 1,971 | 1,404 | 632 | 375 | 0,814 | 0,914 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0,944 | 0,013 | 0,014 | 1,220 | 1,104 | 632 | 375 | 0,918 | 0,970 |

## APPENDIX D. DATA QUALITY TABLES

Table DQ.1: Age distribution of household population
Single-year age distribution of household population by sex (weighted), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Males |  | Females |  |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 | 309 | 2.6 | 337 | 2.5 | 41 | 123 | 1.0 | 154 | 1.2 |
| 1 | 298 | 2.5 | 327 | 2.5 | 42 | 151 | 1.3 | 182 | 1.4 |
| 2 | 318 | 2.7 | 235 | 1.8 | 43 | 143 | 1.2 | 163 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 262 | 2.2 | 306 | 2.3 | 44 | 130 | 1.1 | 143 | 1.1 |
| 4 | 323 | 2.7 | 289 | 2.2 | 45 | 139 | 1.2 | 144 | 1.1 |
| 5 | 200 | 1.7 | 240 | 1.8 | 46 | 143 | 1.2 | 127 | 1.0 |
| 6 | 249 | 2.1 | 252 | 1.9 | 47 | 128 | 1.1 | 160 | 1.2 |
| 7 | 260 | 2.2 | 203 | 1.5 | 48 | 154 | 1.3 | 147 | 1.1 |
| 8 | 240 | 2.0 | 268 | 2.0 | 49 | 76 | 0.6 | 110 | 0.8 |
| 9 | 279 | 2.4 | 247 | 1.9 | 50 | 110 | 0.9 | 164 | 1.2 |
| 10 | 276 | 2.3 | 269 | 2.0 | 51 | 103 | 0.9 | 127 | 1.0 |
| 11 | 264 | 2.2 | 241 | 1.8 | 52 | 122 | 1.0 | 135 | 1.0 |
| 12 | 292 | 2.5 | 290 | 2.2 | 53 | 105 | 0.9 | 108 | 0.8 |
| 13 | 320 | 2.7 | 288 | 2.2 | 54 | 75 | 0.6 | 81 | 0.6 |
| 14 | 239 | 2.0 | 272 | 2.1 | 55 | 88 | 0.7 | 82 | 0.6 |
| 15 | 317 | 2.7 | 324 | 2.4 | 56 | 71 | 0.6 | 76 | 0.6 |
| 16 | 260 | 2.2 | 278 | 2.1 | 57 | 65 | 0.6 | 82 | 0.6 |
| 17 | 257 | 2.2 | 294 | 2.2 | 58 | 63 | 0.5 | 66 | 0.5 |
| 18 | 212 | 1.8 | 366 | 2.8 | 59 | 34 | 0.3 | 49 | 0.4 |
| 19 | 210 | 1.8 | 330 | 2.5 | 60 | 46 | 0.4 | 31 | 0.2 |
| 20 | 207 | 1.8 | 281 | 2.1 | 61 | 24 | 0.2 | 29 | 0.2 |
| 21 | 154 | 1.3 | 311 | 2.3 | 62 | 23 | 0.2 | 37 | 0.3 |
| 22 | 208 | 1.8 | 232 | 1.7 | 63 | 45 | 0.4 | 62 | 0.5 |
| 23 | 223 | 1.9 | 264 | 2.0 | 64 | 26 | 0.2 | 52 | 0.4 |
| 24 | 199 | 1.7 | 234 | 1.8 | 65 | 67 | 0.6 | 67 | 0.5 |
| 25 | 188 | 1.6 | 247 | 1.9 | 66 | 31 | 0.3 | 44 | 0.3 |
| 26 | 204 | 1.7 | 226 | 1.7 | 67 | 43 | 0.4 | 54 | 0.4 |
| 27 | 183 | 1.5 | 220 | 1.7 | 68 | 46 | 0.4 | 48 | 0.4 |
| 28 | 161 | 1.4 | 198 | 1.5 | 69 | 53 | 0.5 | 50 | 0.4 |
| 29 | 204 | 1.7 | 214 | 1.6 | 70 | 27 | 0.2 | 52 | 0.4 |
| 30 | 192 | 1.6 | 201 | 1.5 | 71 | 19 | 0.2 | 28 | 0.2 |
| 31 | 164 | 1.4 | 193 | 1.5 | 72 | 27 | 0.2 | 36 | 0.3 |
| 32 | 207 | 1.8 | 178 | 1.3 | 73 | 26 | 0.2 | 52 | 0.4 |
| 33 | 170 | 1.4 | 198 | 1.5 | 74 | 17 | 0.1 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 34 | 123 | 1.0 | 155 | 1.2 | 75 | 33 | 0.3 | 38 | 0.3 |
| 35 | 162 | 1.4 | 184 | 1.4 | 76 | 22 | 0.2 | 35 | 0.3 |
| 36 | 194 | 1.6 | 127 | 1.0 | 77 | 17 | 0.1 | 29 | 0.2 |
| 37 | 151 | 1.3 | 178 | 1.3 | 78 | 20 | 0.2 | 23 | 0.2 |
| 38 | 140 | 1.2 | 160 | 1.2 | 79 | 6 | 0.0 | 27 | 0.2 |
| 39 | 131 | 1.1 | 164 | 1.2 | 80+ | 57 | 0.5 | 135 | 1.0 |
| 40 | 145 | 1.2 | 169 | 1.3 | DK/Missing | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 11794 | 100.0 | 13246 | 100.0 |

Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women
Household population of women age 10-54, interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age group, Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Household population <br> of women age 10-54 <br> Number | Interviewed women age 15-49 | Percentage of eligible <br> women interviewed |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | 1359 | na | Percent | na |
| $10-14$ | 1593 | 1575 | 98.9 |  |
| $15-19$ | 1322 | 1303 | 18.9 | 98.6 |
| $20-24$ | 1106 | 1101 | 15.3 | 99.6 |
| $25-29$ | 925 | 906 | 12.6 | 97.9 |
| $30-34$ | 813 | 811 | 11.3 | 99.9 |
| $35-39$ | 811 | 810 | 11.3 | 99.9 |
| $40-44$ | 689 | 683 | 9.5 | 99.1 |
| $45-49$ | 614 | na | na | na |
| $50-54$ | 7258 | 7190 | 100.0 | 99,1 |
| $15-49$ |  |  |  |  |

na: not applicable
Note: Weights for both household population of women and interviewed women are household weights. Age is based on the household schedule.

Table DQ.3: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed under-5s
Household population of children age 0-4, children whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, and percentage of under-5 children whose mothers/caretakers were nterviewed (weighted), by five-year age group, Kyrgyzstan, 2006
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Household population } \\ \text { of children age 0-7 } \\ \text { Number }\end{array} & \text { Number } & \text { Interviewed children age 0-4 }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of eligible } \\ \text { children interviewed }\end{array}\right]$

Table DQ.4: Age distribution of under-5 children
Age distribution of under-5 children by 3-month groups (weighted), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 | 66 | 4.5 | 54 | 3.8 | 120 | 4.1 |
| 3-5 | 79 | 5.5 | 103 | 7.2 | 183 | 6.3 |
| 6-8 | 81 | 5.6 | 66 | 4.6 | 147 | 5.1 |
| 9-11 | 53 | 3.6 | 62 | 4.3 | 114 | 4.0 |
| 12-14 | 66 | 4.5 | 105 | 7.3 | 171 | 5.9 |
| 15-17 | 76 | 5.2 | 81 | 5.6 | 157 | 5.4 |
| 18-20 | 64 | 4.4 | 77 | 5.4 | 141 | 4.9 |
| 21-23 | 72 | 4.9 | 57 | 4.0 | 129 | 4.5 |
| 24-26 | 73 | 5.0 | 73 | 5.1 | 146 | 5.1 |
| 27-29 | 93 | 6.4 | 52 | 3.6 | 145 | 5.0 |
| 30-32 | 80 | 5.5 | 56 | 3.9 | 136 | 4.7 |
| 33-35 | 77 | 5.3 | 59 | 4.1 | 136 | 4.7 |
| 36-38 | 67 | 4.6 | 78 | 5.4 | 145 | 5.0 |
| 39-41 | 68 | 4.7 | 63 | 4.4 | 131 | 4.5 |
| 42-44 | 73 | 5.0 | 56 | 3.9 | 129 | 4.5 |
| 45-47 | 43 | 3.0 | 79 | 5.5 | 122 | 4.2 |
| 48-50 | 75 | 5.2 | 56 | 3.9 | 131 | 4.5 |
| 51-53 | 67 | 4.7 | 73 | 5.1 | 141 | 4.9 |
| 54-56 | 94 | 6.5 | 94 | 6.5 | 188 | 6.5 |
| 57-59 | 84 | 5.8 | 90 | 6.3 | 173 | 6.0 |
| Total | 1450 | 100.0 | 1434 | 100.0 | 2883 | 100.0 |

Table DQ.5: Heaping on ages and periods
Age and period ratios at boundaries of eligibility by type of information collected (weighted), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Age and period ratios* |  |  | Eligibility boundary (lower-upper) | Module or questionnaire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total |  |  |
| Age in household questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.96 | 1.09 | 1.03 |  |  |
| 2 | 1.09 | 0.81 | 0.95 | Lower | Child discipline and child disability |
| 3 | 0.87 | 1.11 | 0.98 |  |  |
| 4 | 1.24 | 1.04 | 1.13 | Upper | Under-5 questionnaire |
| 5 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.85 | Lower | Child labour and education |
| 6 | 1.05 | 1.09 | 1.07 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 0.92 | 1.12 | 1.02 |  |  |
| 9 | 1.05 | 0.95 | 1.00 | Upper | Child disability |
| 10 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 1.04 |  |  |
|  | . | . | . |  |  |
| 13 | 1.13 | 1.02 | 1.07 |  |  |
| 14 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.87 | Upper | Child labour and child discipline |
| 15 | 1.17 | 1.11 | 1.14 | Lower | Women's questionnaire |
| 16 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.93 |  |  |
| 17 | 1.06 | 0.94 | 0.99 | Upper | Orphaned children |
| 18 | 1.14 | 0.89 | 0.99 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.08 |  |  |
| 24 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.96 | Upper | Education |
| 25 | 0.96 | 1.05 | 1.01 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 1.29 | 1.06 | 1.16 |  |  |
| 49 | 0.67 | 0.79 | 0.73 | Upper | Women's questionnaire |
| 50 | 1.14 | 1.23 | 1.19 |  |  |
| Age in women's questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | na | 1.09 | na |  |  |
| 24 | na | 0.94 | na | Upper | Sexual behaviour |
| 25 | na | 1.04 | na |  |  |
| Months since last birth in women's questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | na | 0.85 | na |  |  |
| 12-17 | na | 1.18 | na |  |  |
| 18-23 | na | 0.91 | na | Upper | Tetanus toxoid and maternal and child health |
| 24-29 | na | 1.05 | na |  |  |
| 30-35 | na | 1.00 | na |  |  |

Table DQ.6: Completeness of reporting
Percentage of observations missing information for selected questions and indicators (weighted), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

| Questionnaire and Subject | Reference group | Percent with missing information* | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household |  |  |  |
| Salt testing | All households surveyed | 0.3 | 5200 |
| Women |  |  |  |
| Date of Birth | All women age 15-49 |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.2 | 7043 |
| Month and year missing |  | 0.0 | 7043 |
| Date of first birth | All women age 15-49 with at least one live birth |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.4 | 4529 |
| Month and year missing |  | 0.0 | 4529 |
| Completed years since first birth | All women age 15-49 with at least one live birth | 0.0 | 1 |
| Date of last birth | All women age 15-49 with at least one live birth |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.3 | 4529 |
| Month and year missing |  | 0.2 | 4529 |
| Date of first marriage/union | All ever married women age 15-49 |  |  |
| Month only |  | 1.1 | 4869 |
| Month and year missing |  | 1.5 | 4869 |
| Age at first marriage/union | All ever married women age 15-49 | 0.0 | 4869 |
| Age at first intercourse | All women age 15-24 who have ever had sex | 0.0 | 2819 |
| Time since last intercourse | All women age 15-24 who have ever had sex | 0.0 | 894 |
| Under-5 |  |  |  |
| Date of Birth | All under five children surveyed |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.0 | 2883 |
| Month and year missing |  | 0.0 | 2883 |
| Anthropometry | All under five children surveyed |  |  |
| Height |  | 0.0 | 2883 |
| Weight |  | 0.0 | 2883 |
| Height or Weight |  | 0.0 | 2883 |

Table DQ.7: Presence of mother in the household and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire
Distribution of children under five by whether the mother lives in the same household, and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire (weighted), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Mother in the household |  |  |  | Mother not in the household |  |  | Total | Number of children aged 0-4 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mother interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed | Other adult male interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed | Other adult male interviewed |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 97.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 647 |
| 1 | 97.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 625 |
| 2 | 95.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 554 |
| 3 | 96.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 568 |
| 4 | 90.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 612 |
| Total | 95.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 3005 |

Table DQ.8: School attendance by single age
Distribution of household population age 5-24 by educational level and grade attended in the current year (weighted), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

Table DQ.9: Sex ratio at birth among children ever born and living
Sex ratio at birth among children ever born, children living, and deceased children, by age of women (weighted), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Children Ever Born |  |  | Children Living |  |  | Children deceased |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of sons ever born | Number of daughters ever born | Sex ratio | Number of sons living | Number of daughters living | Sex ratio | Number of deceased sons | Number of deceased daughters | Sex ratio | Number of women |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 18 | 19 | 0.94 | 18 | 19 | 0.95 | - | - | - | 1542 |
| 20-24 | 422 | 459 | 0.92 | 397 | 430 | 0.92 | 25 | 29 | 0.86 | 1276 |
| 25-29 | 922 | 883 | 1.04 | 879 | 852 | 1.03 | 42 | 30 | 1.40 | 1077 |
| 30-34 | 1142 | 990 | 1.15 | 1071 | 963 | 1.11 | 71 | 27 | 2.67 | 887 |
| 35-39 | 1181 | 1183 | 1.00 | 1118 | 1131 | 0.99 | 62 | 53 | 1.18 | 799 |
| 40-44 | 1337 | 1420 | 0.94 | 1227 | 1345 | 0.91 | 110 | 75 | 1.47 | 791 |
| 45-49 | 1323 | 1227 | 1.08 | 1196 | 1143 | 1.05 | 127 | 84 | 1.51 | 671 |
| Total | 6345 | 6181 | 1.03 | 5907 | 5884 | 1.00 | 438 | 298 | 1.47 | 7043 |

Note: Sex ratios are calculated as number of males/ number of females

Table DQ.10: Distribution of women by time since last birth
Distribution of women aged 15-49 with at least one live birth, by months since last birth (weighted), Kyrgyzstan, 2006

|  | Months since last birth |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| 0 | 26 | 1.6 | 16 | 37 | 2.2 |
| 1 | 59 | 3.6 | 17 | 51 | 3.1 |
| 2 | 45 | 2.8 | 18 | 55 | 3.4 |
| 3 | 53 | 3.2 | 19 | 39 | 2.4 |
| 4 | 59 | 3.6 | 20 | 42 | 2.6 |
| 5 | 81 | 4.9 | 21 | 52 | 3.2 |
| 6 | 55 | 3.4 | 22 | 29 | 1.8 |
| 7 | 40 | 2.5 | 23 | 35 | 2.1 |
| 8 | 48 | 2.9 | 24 | 52 | 3.2 |
| 9 | 25 | 2.5 | 25 | 54 | 3.3 |
| 10 | 34 | 3.6 | 26 | 30 | 1.8 |
| 11 | 59 | 4.1 | 28 | 35 | 2.2 |
| 12 | 67 | 2.5 | 29 | 41 | 2.5 |
| 13 | 31 | 3.9 | 30 | 41 | 2.5 |
| 14 | 72 |  |  | 34 | 2.1 |
| 15 |  |  | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1643 | 100.0 |  |


|  | INDICATOR | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Under-five mortality rate | Probability of dying by exact age 5 years |  |
| 2 | Infant mortality rate | Probability of dying by exact age 1 year |  |
| 3 | Maternal mortality ratio | Number of deaths of women from pregnancy-related causes in a given year | Number of live births in the year (expres+sed per 100,000 births) |
| 4 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that were attended during childbirth by skilled health personnel | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5 | Institutional deliveries | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that delivered in a health facility | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in 2 years preceding the survey |
| 6 | Underweight prevalence | Number of children under age five that fall below minus two standard deviations from the median weight for age of the NCHS/WHO standard (moderate and severe); number that fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) | Total number of children under age five that were weighed |
| 7 | Stunting prevalence | Number of children under age five that fall below minus two standard deviations from the median height for age of the NCHS/WHO standard (moderate and severe); number that fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) | Total number of children under age five measured |
| 8 | Wasting prevalence | Number of children under age five that fall below minus two standard deviations from the median weight for height of the NCHS/WHO standard (moderate and severe); number that fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) | Total number of children under age five weighed and measured |
| 9 | Low-birthweight infants | Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey weighing below 2,500 grams | Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 10 | Infants weighed at birth | Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey that were weighed at birth | Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 11 | Use of improved drinking water sources | Number of household members living in households using improved sources of drinking water | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 12 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | Number of household members using improved sanitation facilities | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 13 | Water treatment | Number of household members using water that has been treated | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 14 | Disposal of child's faeces | Number of children under age three whose (last) stools were disposed of safely | Total number of children under age three surveyed |
| 15 | Exclusive breastfeeding rate | Number of infants aged 0-5 months that are exclusively breastfed | Total number of infants aged 0-5 months surveyed |
| 16 | Continued breastfeeding rate | Number of infants aged 12-15 months, and 20-23 months, that are currently breastfeeding | Total number of children aged 12-15 months and 20-23 months surveyed |
| 17 | Timely complementary feeding rate | Number of infants aged 6-9 months that are receiving breastmilk and complementary foods | Total number of infants aged 6-9 months surveyed |
|  | Frequency of complementary feeding | Number of infants aged 6-11 months that receive breastmilk and complementary food at least the minimum recommended number of times per day (two times per day for infants aged 6-8 months, three times per day for infants aged 9-11 months) | Total number of infants aged 6-11 months surveyed |


|  | INDICATOR | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | Adequately fed infants | Number of infants aged 0-11 months that are appropriately fed: infants aged 0-5 months that are exclusively breastfed and infants aged 6-11 months that are breastfed and ate solid or semi-solid foods the appropriate number of times (see above) yesterday | Total number of infants aged $0-11$ months surveyed |
| $\underline{20}$ | Antenatal care | Number of women aged 15-49 years that were attended at least once during pregnancy in the 2 years preceding the survey by skilled health personnel | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 21 | Contraceptive prevalence | Number of women currently married or in union aged 15-49 years that are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method (either modern or traditional) | Total number of women aged 15-49 years that are currently married or in union |
| 22 | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | Number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks receiving antibiotics | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |
| 23 | Care-seeking for suspected pneumonia | Number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks that are taken to an appropriate health provider | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |
| 24 | Solid fuels | Number of residents in households that use solid fuels (wood, charcoal, crop residues and dung) as the primary source of domestic energy to cook | Total number of residents in households surveyed |
| 33 | Use of oral rehydration therapy (ORT) | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks that received oral rehydration salts and/or an appropriate household solution | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 34 | Home management of diarrhoea | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks that received more fluids AND continued eating somewhat less, the same or more food | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 35 | Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea that received ORT (oral rehydration salts or an appropriate household solution) or received more fluids AND continued eating somewhat less, the same or more food | Total number of children aged $0-59$ months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 41 | Iodized salt consumption | Number of households with salt testing 15 parts per million or more of iodine/iodate | Total number of households surveyed |
| 42 | Vitamin A supplementation (under-fives) | Number of children aged 6-59 months receiving at least one high-dose vitamin A supplement in the previous 6 months | Total number of children aged 6-59 months surveyed |
| 43 | Vitamin A supplementation (post-partum mothers) | Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that received a high-dose vitamin A supplement within 8 weeks after birth | Total number of women that had a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 44 | Content of antenatal care | Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that received antenatal care during the last pregnancy | Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 45 | Timely initiation of breastfeeding | Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that put the newborn infant to the breast within 1 hour of birth | Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 46 | Support for learning | Number of children aged 0-59 months living in households in which an adult has engaged in four or more activities to promote learning and school readiness in the past 3 days | Total number of children aged $0-59$ months surveyed |
| 47 | Father's support for learning | Number of children aged 0-59 months whose father has engaged in one or more activities to promote learning and school readiness in the past 3 days | Total number of children aged 0-59 months |
| 48 | Support for learning: children's books | Number of households with three or more children's books | Total number of households surveyed |
| 49 | Support for learning: non-children's books | Number of households with three or more non-children's books | Total number of households surveyed |
| 50 | Support for learning: materials for play | Number of households with three or more materials intended for play | Total number of households surveyed |
| 51 | Non-adult care | Number of children aged 0-59 months left alone or in the care of another child younger than 10 years of age in the past week | Total number of children aged $0-59$ months surveyed |


|  | INDICATOR | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | INDICATOR | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84 | Age at first sex among young people | Number of women aged 15-24 years that have had sex before age 15 | Total number of women aged 15-24 surveyed |
| 85 | Higher risk sex in the last year | Number of sexually active women aged 15-24 years that have had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabitating partner in the previous 12 months | Total number of women aged 15-24 that were sexually active in the previous 12 months |
| 86 | Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | Number of women expressing acceptance on all four questions about people with HIV or AIDS | Total number of women surveyed |
| 87 | Women who know where to be tested for HIV | Number of women that state knowledge of a place to be tested | Total number of women surveyed |
| 88 | Women who have been tested for HIV | Number of women that report being tested for HIV | Total number of women surveyed |
| 89 | Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that correctly identify all three means of vertical transmission | Total number of women surveyed |
| 90 | Counselling coverage for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months and received antenatal care reporting that they received counselling on HIV / AIDS during this care | Total number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months surveyed |
| 91 | Testing coverage for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months and received antenatal care reporting that they received the results of an HIV test during this care | Total number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months surveyed |
| 92 | Age-mixing among sexual partners | Number of women aged 15-24 years that had sex in the past 12 months with a partner who was 10 or more years older than they were | Total number of sexually active women aged 15-24 years surveyed |
| 96 | Source of supplies | Number of children (or households) for whom supplies were obtained from public providers, presented separately for each type of supply: insecticide-treated mosquito nets, oral rehydration salts, antibiotics and antimalarials | Total number of children (or households) for whom supplies were obtained |
| 97 | Cost of supplies | Median cost of supplies obtained, presented separately for each type of supply and whether sourced from public or private providers: insecticide-treated mosquito nets, oral rehydration salts, antibiotics and antimalarials. | Total number of children (or households) for whom supplies were obtained |
| 98 | Unmet need for family planning | Number of women that are currently married or in union that are fecund and want to space their births or limit the number of children they have and that are not currently using contraception | Total number of women interviewed that are currently married or in union |
| 99 | Demand satisfied for family planning | Number of women currently married or in union that are currently using contraception | Number of women currently married or in union that have an unmet need for contraception or that are currently using contraception |
| 100 | Attitudes towards domestic violence | Number of women that consider that a husband/partner is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the following circumstances: (1) she goes out without telling him, (2) she neglects the children, (3) she argues with him, (4) she refuses sex with him, (5) she burns the food | Total number of women surveyed |

## APPENDIX F. QUESTIONNAIRES¹

HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE | We are from National Statistics Committee. We are working on a project concerned with family health and |
| :--- |
| education. I would like to talk to you about this. The interview will take about 50 minutes. All the informa- |
| tion we obtain will remain strictly confidential and nobody will know these answers are yours. I would like |
| to speak with the household head and all mothers or others who take care of children in the household. |
| May I start now? If permission is given, begin the interview. |

[^9]



| WS1. What is the main source of drinking water for members of your household? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11 } \Rightarrow \text { WS5 } \\ & 12 \Rightarrow \text { WS5 } \\ & \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottled water $\qquad$ 91 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 | $96 \Rightarrow W S 3$ |
| WS2. What is the main source of water used by your household for other purposes such as cooking and handwashing? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \Rightarrow \text { WS5 } \\ & 12 \Rightarrow \text { WS5 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Other (specify)........................................... 96 |  |

WS3. How long does it take to go there, get water, and come back?

No. of minutes
Water on premises ...................................... 995
995 $\Rightarrow$ WS5
DK.................................................................. 998

| WS4. Who usually goes to this source to fetch the water for your household? | Adult woman............................................. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult man ................................................. 2 |  |
|  | Female child (under 15).............................. 3 |  |
| Probe: <br> Is this person under age 15 ? What sex? | Male child (under 15) ................................. 4 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Circle code that best describes this person. | DK............................................................. 8 |  |
| WS5. Do you treat your water in any way to make it safer to drink? | Yes ............................................................. 1 |  |
|  | No ............................................................. 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ WS7 |
|  | DK............................................................. 8 | $8 \Rightarrow$ WS7 |


| WS6. What do you usually do to the water to make it safer to drink? | Boil............................................................ A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Add bleach/chlorine .................................. B |  |
|  | Strain it through a cloth ..............................C |  |
| Anything else? | Use water filter (ceramic, sand, composite, etc.) $\qquad$ D |  |
| Record all items mentioned. | Solar disinfection........................................E |  |
|  | Let it stand and settle..................................F |  |
|  | Other (specify).............................................X |  |
|  | DK.............................................................Z |  |
| WS7. What kind of toilet facility do members of your household usually use? | Flush / pour flush |  |
|  | Flush to piped sewer system.................. 11 |  |
|  | Flush to septic tank................................ 12 |  |
|  | Flush to pit (latrine)............................... 13 |  |
| If "flush" or "pour flush", probe: Where does it flush to? | Flush to somewhere else........................ 14 |  |
|  | Flush to unknown place/not sure/DK |  |
| If necessary, ask permission to observe the facility. |  |  |
|  | Ventilated Improved Pit latrine (VIP) ....... 21 |  |
|  | Pit latrine with slab ................................... 22 |  |
|  | Pit latrine without slab / open pit............. 23 |  |
|  | Composting toilet..................................... 31 |  |
|  | Bucket ...................................................... 41 |  |
|  | Hanging toilet/hanging latrine ................. 51 |  |
|  | No facilities or bush or field ...................... 95 | $95 \Rightarrow$ next module |
|  | Other (specify) ......................................... 96 |  |
| WS8. Do you share this facility with other households? | Yes ............................................................. 1 |  |
|  | No ............................................................. 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ next module |
| WS9. How many households in total use this toilet facility? | No. of households (if less than 10)....... 0 |  |
|  | Ten or more households........................... 10 |  |
|  | DK........................................................... 98 |  |


| HC1a. What is the religion of the head of this household? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| HC1b. What is the mother tongue/native language of the head of this household? |  |
| HC2. How many rooms in this household are used for sleeping? | No. of rooms ........................................ - - |
| HC3. Main material of the dwelling floor: <br> Record observation. |  |
| HC4. Main material of the roof. <br> Record observation. |  |
| HC5. Main material of the walls. <br> Record observation. |  |


|  | Carton................................................... 25 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reused wood ........................................... 26 |  |  |
|  | Tarpaulin/felt ....................................... 26 |  |  |
|  | Finished walls |  |  |
|  | Cement .................................................. 31 |  |  |
|  | Stone with lime/cement ........................ 32 |  |  |
|  | Bricks...................................................... 33 |  |  |
|  | Cement blocks/slabs............................ 34 |  |  |
|  | Wood planks/shingles.......................... 36 |  |  |
|  | Slag/slag blocks.................................... 37 |  |  |
|  | Other (specify)........................................... 96 |  |  |
| HC6. What type of fuel does your household mainly use for cooking? | Electricity................................................. 01 |  | $01 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 8$ |
|  | Liquid Propane Gas (LPG)........................ 02 |  | $02 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 8$ |
|  | Natural gas.............................................. 03 |  | $03 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 8$ |
|  | Biogas...................................................... 04 |  | $04 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC} 8$ |
|  | Kerosene ................................................... 05 |  |  |
|  | Coal / Lignite .......................................... 06 |  |  |
|  | Charcoal.................................................. 07 |  |  |
|  | Wood....................................................... 08 |  |  |
|  | Straw/shrubs/grass ................................. 09 |  |  |
|  | Animal dung/ pressed dung ..................... 10 |  |  |
|  | Agricultural crop residue........................... 11 |  |  |
|  | Other (specify).......................................... 96 |  |  |
| HC7. In this household, is food cooked on an open fire, an open stove or a closed stove? | Open fire................................................... 1 |  |  |
|  | Open stove ................................................ 2 |  |  |
|  | Closed stove.............................................. 3 |  |  |
| Probe for type. | Other (specify)........................................ |  |  |
| HC7a. Does the fire/stove have a chimney or a hood? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................No |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| HC8. Is the cooking usually done in the house, in a separate building, or outdoors? | In the house............................................... 1 |  |  |
|  | In a separate building................................ 2 |  |  |
|  | Outdoors................................................... 3 |  |  |
|  | Other (specify)............................................ 6 |  |  |
| HC9. Does your household have: | Yes | No |  |
| Electricity? | Electricity........................................ 1 | 2 |  |
| A radio? | Radio ............................................... 1 | 2 |  |
| A television? | Television ........................................ 1 | 2 |  |
| A mobile telephone? | Mobile Telephone............................ 1 | 2 |  |
| A non-mobile telephone? | Non-Mobile Telephone .................... 1 | 2 |  |
| A refrigerator? | Refrigerator ..................................... 1 | 2 |  |
| personal computer? | Personal computer .......................... 1 | 2 |  |
| HC10. Does any member of your house- | Yes | No |  |
| hold own: | Watch .............................................. 1 | 2 |  |
| A watch? | Bicycle............................................ 1 | 2 |  |
| A bicycle? (except children's ones) | Motorcycle/Scooter ........................ 1 | 2 |  |
| A motorcycle or scooter? | Animal drawn-cart.......................... 1 | 2 |  |
| An animal-drawn cart? | Car/Truck ...................................... 1 | 2 |  |
| A car or truck? | Boat with motor............................... 1 | 2 |  |
| A boat with a motor? |  |  |  |


| HC11. HC10. Does any member of your household own land useable for agricultural utilization? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Да............................................................................................................................................ } \\ & \text { Нет ....... } \end{aligned}$ | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HC13}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC12. How many hectares do your family members own? <br> Record '97' if more than 100 ha. Record '98' if unknown. | Hectares ........................................... - - |  |
| HC13. Does your family own cattle or live farming? | Да................................................................................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| HC14. How many heads of cattle does family have? <br> If none, record ' 00 '. <br> If more than 97, record '97'. <br> If unknown, record '98'. | Cattle. <br> Milch cows <br> Horses/donkeys/mules. <br> Goats <br> Sheep <br> Hens |  |


| CHILD LABOUR MODULE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To be administered to mother/caretaker of each child in the household age 5 through 14 years. For household members below age 5 or above age 14 , leave rows blank NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK ABOUT ANY WORK CHILDREN IN THIS HOUSEHOLD MAY DO. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CL1. <br> Line <br> no. | CL2. <br> Name | During <br> (nam <br> some mem <br> If yes: | CL3. <br> he past w do any work for ne who is er of this hold? <br> or pay in kind? yes, for $p$ ash or kin yes, unpaid $\text { no } \Rightarrow \text { to } \mathrm{Cl}$ | k, did of not a usesh or | CL4. <br> If yes: Since last <br> (day of the week), about how many hours did he/she do this work for someone who is not a member of this household? <br> If more than one job, include all hours at all jobs. Record response then $\Rightarrow$ CL. 6 | At an past do an some mem <br> If yes: | CL5. <br> time du year, did kind of one who er of this hold? <br> for pay in kind? yes, for cash or ki yes, unp 3 no | the <br> ne) k for ot use- <br> sh or | CL6. <br> During the past week, did (name) help with household chores such as shopping, collecting firewood, cleaning, fetching water, or caring for children? <br> 1 yes $2 \text { no } \Rightarrow \text { to CL8 }$ | CL7. <br> If yes: Since last <br> (day of the week), about how many hours did he/she spend doing these chores? | CL8. <br> During the past week, did (name) do any other family work (on the farm or in a business or selling goods in the street?) 1 yes 2 no § next line | CL9. <br> If yes: Since last (day of the week), about how many hours did he/she do this work? | CL9a. <br> How many schooling days did a child miss due to any kind of work? |
| line |  |  | yes |  |  |  | yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| no. | name | paid | unpaid | paid | no. hours | paid | unpaid | no | yes no | no. hours | yes no | no. hours | no. days |
| 01 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - | 12 | - |  |
| 02 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | -_- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | $\square-$ | 12 | - - - |  |
| 03 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - | 12 | - |  |
| 04 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | -_- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | -_- | 12 | -_- | - |
| 05 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - | 12 | -_ | - - |
| 06 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | -_- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - - | 12 | - - | - - |
| 07 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | -_- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - | 12 | - | - - - |
| 08 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | -_- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | -_- | 12 | -_- | - - |
| 09 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - | 12 | - | - - |
| 10 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - - | 12 | $-$ |  |
| 11 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - | 12 |  |  |
| 12 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | -_- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - - | 12 | - - |  |
| 13 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - - | 12 | - - | -- |
| 14 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | -_- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 | - - | 12 | -_ | - - - |
| 15 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 |  | 12 |  | - |

## CHILD DISCIPLINE MODULE

TABLE 1: CHILDREN AGED 3-14 YEARS ELIGIBLE FOR CHILD DISCIPLINE QUESTIONS
Review the household listing and list each of the children aged 3-14 years below in order according to their line number (HL1). Do not include other household members outside of the age range 3-14 years. Record the line number, name, sex, age, and the line number of the mother or caretaker for each child. Then record the total number of children aged 3-14 in the box provided (CD7).

| CD1. <br> Rank <br> no. | CD2. <br> Line no. from HL1. | CD3. <br> Name from HL2. | CD4. <br> Sex from HL4. |  | CD5. <br> Age from HL5. | CD6. <br> Line no. of mother/ caretaker from HL7 or HL8. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| line | line | name | m | f | age | mother |
| 01 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - - | -- |
| 02 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | -- | -- |
| 03 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | -- | -- |
| 04 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | -- | -- |
| 05 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - - | - - |
| 06 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | -- | -- |
| 07 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - - | - - |
| 08 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | -- | -- |

## CD7. Total children aged 3-14 years

If there is only one child age 2-14 years in the household, then skip table 2 and go to CD9; write down the rank number of the child and continue with CD11

TABLE 2: SELECTION OF RANDOM CHILD FOR CHILD DISCIPLINE QUESTIONS
Use this table to select one child between the ages of 3 and 14 years, if there is more than one child in that age range in the household. Look for the last digit of the household number from the cover page. This is the number of the row you should go to in the table below. Check the total number of eligible children (3-14) in CD7 above. This is the number of the column you should go to. Find the box where the row and the column meet and circle the number that appears in the box. This is the rank number of the child about whom the questions will be asked. Record the rank number in CD9 below. Finally, record the line number and name of the selected child in CD11 on the next page. Then, find the mother or primary caretaker of that child, and ask the questions, beginning with CD12.

| CD8. | Total | of | e c | in | use |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Last digit of the questionnaire number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| CD9. Record the rank number of the selected child |  |  |  | Rank number of child |  |  |  |  |

## CHILD DISCIPLINE MODULE

Identify eligible child aged 3 to 14 in the household using the tables on the preceding page, according to your instructions. Ask to interview the mother or primary caretaker of the selected child (identified by the line number in CD6).

| CD11. Write name and line no. of the child selected for the module from CD3 and CD2, based on the rank number in CD9. | Name $\qquad$ <br> Line number $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CD12. All adults use certain ways to teach children the right behaviour or to address a behaviour problem. I will read various methods that are used and I want you to tell me if you or anyone else in your household has used this method with (name) in the past month. |  |
| CD12a. Took away privileges, forbade something (name) liked or did not allow him/her to leave house). |  |
| CD12b. Explained why something (the behavior) was wrong. | Yes ........................................................................................................................... 2 |
| CD12c. Shook him/her. | Yes .............................................................................................................................. No |
| CD12d. Shouted, yelled at or screamed at him/her. | Yes .......................................................................................................................... 2 |
| CD12e. Gave him/her something else to do. | Yes ........................................................................................................................... 1 No |
| CD12f. Spanked, hit or slapped him/ her on the bottom with bare hand. | Yes ............................................................................................................................... No |
| CD12g. Hit him/her on the bottom or elsewhere on the body with something like a belt, hairbrush, stick or other hard object. | Yes ........................................................................................................................................ No |
| CD12h. Called him/her dumb, lazy, or another name like that. | Yes ................................................................................................................................ |
| CD12i. Hit or slapped him/her on the face, head or ears. | Yes ......................................................................................................................... 2 |
| CD12j. Hit or slapped him/her on the hand, arm, or leg. | Yes .............................................................................................................................. No |
| CD12k. Beat him/her up with an implement (hit over and over as hard as one could). |  |
| CD13. Do you believe that in order to bring up (raise, educate) (name) properly, you need to physically punish him/her? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................ |

MATERNAL MORTALITY MODULE
Administer to each adult household member. Copy name and line number of each adult (age 15 or over) in the household. If one of these adults is not at home, another adult may respond for him/her. Indicate this by placing a ' 1 ' in MM3, and insert line number of proxy respondent in MM4. For household members below age 15 , leave rows blank
MM9.
How many of these
dead sisters died
while pregnant, or
during childbirth,
or during the six
weeks after the end
of pregnancy except
accidents?
$98=$ don't know
(1) $98=$ don't MM4.
Line no.
of proxy
respondent
(from
household
listing HL1)

$\begin{array}{cc}\text { sisters ever reached } & \text { Sisters (who are at } \\ \text { age 15? } & \text { least } 15 \text { years old) } \\ 98=\text { don't know } & \text { are alive now? }\end{array}$
sisters who reached
age 15 or more
have died?
$98=$ don't know
MM6. MM7.
MM7. MM8.
How many of these $98=$ don't know
MM5.
How many siste (born to the same mother) have you $00 \Rightarrow$ go to the next $98=$ don't know
Line
--
--
--
--
-$\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$

SI1. We would like to check whether the
salt used in your household is iodized. Not iodized 0 PPM ..... 1
May i see a sample of the salt used to Less than 15 PPM ..... 2
cook the main meal eaten by members 15 PPM or more .....  3
of your household last night?
No salt in home ..... 6
Once you have examined the salt, circle Salt not tested ..... 7
number that corresponds to test outcome.

SI2. Does any eligible woman age 15-49 reside in the household?

Check household listing, column HL6. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible woman.
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN
to administer the questionnaire to the first eligible woman.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Continue.
SI3. Does any child under the age of 5 reside in the household?
Check household listing, column HL8. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible child.

## $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE

to administer the questionnaire to mother or caretaker of the first eligible child.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview by thanking the respondent for his/her cooperation.
Gather together all questionnaires for this household and tally the number of interviews completed on the cover page.

| WOMEN'S INFORMATION PANEL |
| :--- |
| This module is to be administered to all women age 15 through 49 (see column HL6 of HH listing). <br> Fill in one form for each eligible woman <br> Fill in the cluster and household number, and the name and line number of the woman in the space below. Fill in your <br> name, number and the date. |
| WM1. Cluster number:_—_- |$\quad$ WM2. Household number:___-_ WM

Repeat greeting if not already read to this woman:
We are from The National Statistics Committee. We are working on a project concerned with family health and education. I would like to talk to you about this. The interview will take about 20 minutes. All the information we obtain will remain strictly confidential and your answers will never be identified. Also, you are not obliged to answer any question you don't want to, and you may withdraw from the interview at any time. May I start now?

If permission is given, begin the interview. If the woman does not agree to continue, thank her, complete WM7, and go to the next interview. Discuss this result with your supervisor for a future revisit.

| WM8. In what month and year were you born? | Date of birth: <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> DK month $\qquad$ .$\overline{98}$ <br> Year $\qquad$ $\qquad$ 9998 |
| :---: | :---: |

WM9. How old were you at your last birthday?

| Age (in completed years) ...................... - - |
| :---: |
| Yes ........................................................... 1 |
| No .............................................................. 2 |
| Primary ..................................................... 1 |
| Secondary .................................................. 2 |
| Higher ....................................................... 3 |
| Non-standard curriculum........................... 6 |
| Grade. |

WM12. What is the highest grade you completed at that level?

Grade . -

## WM13. Check WM11:

$\square$ Secondary or higher. $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module
$\square$ Primary or non-standard curriculum. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with WM14
WM14. Now I would like you to read Cannot read at all ..... 1
this sentence to me.Show sentences to respondent.If respondent cannot read whole sentence,probe:Can you read part of the sentence tome?
Example sentences for literacy test:

1. The child is reading a book.
2. The rains came late this year.
3. Parents must care for their children.
4. Farming is hard work.
The same text is presented in Kyrgyz and Uzbek.

This module is to be administered to all women age 15-49.
All questions refer only to LIVE births.

CM1. Now i would like to ask about all Yes ..................................................................... 1
the births you have had during your No
No.
$2 \quad 2 \Rightarrow$ marriage/
union module

If "No" probe by asking:
I mean, to a child who ever breathed or cried or showed other signs of life

- even if he or she lived only a few minutes or hours?


CM2b. How many years ago did you have your first birth?

| CM3. Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are now living with you? | Yes ...................................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM4. How many sons live with you? | Sons at home ........................................ |  |
| How many daughters live with you? | Daughters at home............................... |  |
| CM5. Do you have any sons or daughters to whom you have given birth who are alive but do not live with you? | Yes ....................................................................................................................................... | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 7$ |
| CM6. How many sons are alive but do not live with you? | Sons elsewhere .... |  |
| How many daughters are alive but do not live with you? | Daughters elsewhere ............................ - - |  |
| CM7. Have you ever given birth to a boy or girl who was born alive but later died? | Yes ...................................................................................................................................... No....... | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 9$ |
| CM7a. Have you any Death Registration Certificate? | Yes ......................................................................................................................................... No |  |
| CM8. How many boys have died? | Boys dead ............................................. - - |  |
| How many girls have died? | Girls dead ............................................ - |  |
| CM9. Sum answers to CM4, CM6, and CM8. | Sum ............. |  |

CM10. Just to make sure that I have this right, you have had in total (total number) births during your life. Is this correct?
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to CM11No. $\Rightarrow$ Check responses and make corrections before proceeding to CM11
CM11. Of these (total number) births you Date of last birth
have had, when did you deliver the last
one (even if he or she has died)?
Day/Month/Year ___/__/__——
If day is not known, enter ' 98 ' in space for day.

CM12. Check CM11: Did the woman's last birth occur within the last 2 years, that is, since (day and month of interview in 2003)?
If child has died, take special care when referring to this child by name in the following modules.
$\square$ No live birth in last 2 years. $\Rightarrow$ Go to MARRIAGE/UNION module.
$\square$ Yes, live birth in last 2 years. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CM13
Name of child

| CM13. At the time you became | Then. |
| :---: | :---: |
| pregnant with (name), did you want to | Later |
| become pregnant then, did you want |  |

become pregnant then, did you want
to wait until later, or did you want no (more) children at all?
(more) children at all?

Then................................................................... 1
Later
2

This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding date of interview.

| TT1. Do you have a card or other document with your own immunizations listed? | Yes (card seen)........................................... 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes (card not seen) ..................................... 2 |  |
|  |  |  |
| If a card is presented, use it to assist with answers to the following questions. | DK.............................................................. 8 |  |
| TT2. When you were pregnant with your last child, did you receive any | Yes ............................................................. 1 |  |
| injection to prevent him or her from getting tetanus, that is convulsions after | No ............................................................. 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ TT5 |
| birth (an anti-tetanus shot, an injection at the top of the arm or shoulder)? | DK............................................................. 8 | $8 \Rightarrow$ TT5 |

TT3. If yes: How many times did you receive this anti-tetanus injection during No. of times. $\qquad$
your last pregnancy?
DK.
$98 \quad 98 \Rightarrow$ TT5


TT6. How many times did you receive it? No. of times

| TT7. In what month and year did you | Month |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| receive the last anti-tetanus injection | DK month................................................ 98 |  |
| before that last pregnancy? | Year |  |
| Skip to next module only if year of injection is given. Otherwise, continue with TT8. | DK year................................................ 9998 | $\Rightarrow$ next module <br> 』TT8 |

TT8. How many years ago did you receive the last anti-tetanus injection before that last pregnancy?

Years ago

』TT8

This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding date of interview. Check child mortality module CM12 and record name of last-born child here $\qquad$ -.
Use this child's name in the following questions, where indicated.

$$
\text { MN1. In the first two months after your Yes ...................................................................... } 1
$$

last birth [the birth of name], did you No ........................................................................................................... 2
receive a Vitamin A dose like this? DK
.8
Show 200,000 IU capsule or dispenser.

| MN2. Did you see anyone for antenatal care for this pregnancy? | Health professional: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doctor .................................................... A |  |
|  | Nurse/midwife...................................... B |  |
| If yes: Whom did you see? Anyone else? | Auxiliary midwife ...................................C |  |
|  | Other person |  |
| Probe for the type of person seen and circle all answers given. | Traditional birth attendant......................F |  |
|  | Community health worker...................... G |  |
|  | Relative/friend.......................................H |  |
|  | Other (specify) ............................................ X |  |
|  | No one....................................................... Y | Y $\Rightarrow$ MN7 |

MN3. As part of your antenatal care, were
any of the following done at least once?

|  |  | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MN3a. Were you weighed? | Weight ......................... 1 | 2 |
| MN3b. Was your blood pressure measured? | Blood pressure............ 1 | 2 |
| MN3c. Did you give a urine sample? | Urine sample .............. 1 | 2 |
| MN3d. Did you give a blood sample? | Blood sample........... 1 | 2 |

MN4. During any of the antenatal visits Yes ..................................................................... 1
for the pregnancy, were you given any No..................................................................... 2
information or counseled about AIDS or DK..................................................................... 8
the AIDS virus?
MN5. I don't want to know the results, Yes ..................................................................... 1
but were you tested for HIV/AIDS as No ...................................................................................................... 2
part of your antenatal care? DK $\quad 8 \quad 8 \rightarrow$ MN7
MN6. I don't want to know the results, Yes .................................................................... 1
but did you get the results of the test? No ..................................................................... 2
DK..................................................................... 8
MN7. Who assisted with the delivery of Health professional:
your last child (name)?
Doctor
A
Nurse/midwife............................................. B
Anyone else?
Probe for the type of person assisting and circle all answers given.

Auxiliary midwife ........................................C
Other person
Traditional birth attendant.........................F
Community health worker........................ G
Relative/friend............................................. H
Other (specify) ...................................................X
No one...............................................................Y

| MN8. Where did you give birth to (name)? | Home |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Your home ......................................... 11 |  |
|  | Other home......................................... 12 |  |
|  | Public sector |  |
| If source is hospital, health center, or clinic, write the name of the place below. Probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate code. | Govt. hospital ..................................... 21 |  |
|  | Govt. clinic/health center....................... 22 |  |
|  | Other public (specify) ............................ 26 |  |
|  | Private Medical Sector |  |
|  | Private hospital ..................................... 31 |  |
|  | Private clinic ...................................... 32 |  |
|  | Private maternity home ........................ 33 |  |
| (Name of place) | Other private <br> medical (specify) $\qquad$ 36 |  |
|  | Other (specify)........................................ 96 |  |
| MN9. When your last child (name) was born, was he/ she very large, larger than average, average, smaller than average, or very small? | Very large........................................... 1 |  |
|  | Larger than average............................... 2 |  |
|  | Average ............................................ 3 |  |
|  | Smaller than average............................. 4 |  |
|  | Very small.......................................... 5 |  |
|  | DK....................................................... 8 |  |
| MN10. Was (name) weighed at birth? | Yes..................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No..................................................... 2 | $2 ¢ \mathrm{MN} 12$ |
|  | DK...................................................... 8 | $8 \Rightarrow$ MN12 |
| MN11. How much did (name) weigh? <br> Record weight from health card, if available. |  |  |
|  | From card.......... 1 (kilograms) $\quad$ - - - |  |
|  | From recall........ 2 (kilograms) _ . _ _ |  |
|  | DK................................................. 99998 |  |
| MN12. Did you ever breastfeed (name)? | Yes...................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No......................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ next module |
| MN13. How long after birth did you first put (name) to the breast? | Immediately...................................... 000 |  |
|  | Hours $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ or |  |
| If less than 1 hour, record '00' hours. If less than 24 hours, record hours. Otherwise, record days. | Days .......................................... 2 - - |  |
|  | Don't know/remember ...................... 998 |  |


| MARRIAGE/UNION MODULE |  | MA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA1. Are you currently married or living together with a man as if married? |  | 3¢MA3 |
| MA2. How old was your husband/ partner on his last birthday? | Age in years $\qquad$ DK................................................................. 98 | $\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \text { MA5 } \\ & 98 \Rightarrow \text { MA5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| MA2a. Besides yourself, does your husband/ partner have any other wives? |  | $2 \leftrightharpoons$ MA5 |
| MA2b. how many other wives does he have? | Number <br> DK. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \text { MA5 } \\ & 98 \leftrightharpoons \text { MA5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| MA3. Have you ever been married or lived together with a man? |  | $3 ¢$ next module |
| MA4. What is your marital status now: are you widowed, divorced or separated? |  |  |
| MA5. Have you been married or lived with a man only once or more than once? | Only once ................................................................... 2 |  |
| MA6. In what month and year did you first marry or start living with a man as if married? | Month. $\qquad$ DK month..................................................... 98 <br> Year $\qquad$ $\square$ 9998 |  |
| MA7. Check MA6: <br> $\square$ Both month and year of marriage/union kn <br> $\square$ Either month or year of marriage/union no | nown? $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module t known? $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MA8 |  |
| MA8. How old were you when you started living with your first husband/ partner? | Age in years .......................................... - |  |

CONTRACEPTION MODULE
CP1. I would like to talk with you about Yes, currently pregnant $1 \Rightarrow$ next module another subject - family planning - and No ..... 2
your reproductive health. Unsure or DK ..... 8
Are you pregnant now?
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { CP1a. At the time you became pregnant } & \text { Then } \\ \text { did you want to become pregnant then, } & \text { Later }\end{array}$ ..... $1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CP} 4 \mathrm{~b}$
did you want to wait until later, or did Not want more children ..... $3 \quad 3 \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{CP} 4 \mathrm{~b}$
you not want to have any more children?
CP1b. Could you become pregnant if Yes ..... 1
you and your partner would wish? No ..... 8
CP2. Some people use various ways or Yes ..... 1
methods to delay or avoid a pregnancy. No $2 \Rightarrow$ next module
Are you currently doing something or using any method to delay or avoid getting pregnant?
CP3. Which method are you using? Female sterilization ..... A
Male sterilization .....
Do not prompt. Pill. .....  C
If more than one method is mentioned, circle IUD ..... D
each one. Injections .....  E
Implants ..... F
Condom .....  G
Female condom ..... H
Diaphragm ..... I
Foam/jelly .....  J
Lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM) ..... K
Periodic abstinence ..... L
Withdrawal .....  M
Other (specify) ..... X
CP4a. Now I would like to ask some Have (a/another) child ..... 1
questions about the future. Would you like No more/none ..... 2
to have (a/another) child, or would you Says she cannot get pregnant ..... 3
prefer not to have any (more) children? Undecided/don't know ..... 8
CP4b. If currently pregnant: Now I would like to ask some questions about the future. After the child you are now expecting, would you like to have another child, or would you prefer not to have any (more) children?
CP4c. How long would you like to wait Months ..... 1
Years ..... 2
Soon/now ..... 993
Says she cannot get pregnant ..... 994
After marriage ..... 995
Other ..... 996
Don't know ..... 998

## ATTITUDES TOWARD DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

DV1. Sometimes a husband is annoyed or angered by things that his wife does. In your opinion, is a husband justified in hitting or beating his wife in the following situations:

DV1a. If she goes out with out telling him?
DV1b. If she neglects the children?
DV1c. If she argues with him?
DV1d. If she refuses sex with him?
DV1e. If she burns the food?

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goes out without telling 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Neglects children........ 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Argues ..................... 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Refuses sex.................. 1 | 2 | 8 |
| Burns food................. 1 | 2 | 8 |

SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR MODULE
Check for the presence of others. Before continuing, ensure privacy.

```
SB0. Check WM9: Age of respondent is between }15\mathrm{ and 24?
\square \text { Age 25-49. } \Rightarrow \text { Go to Next Module}
Age 15-24. }=>\mathrm{ Continue with SB1
```

SB1. Now I need to ask you some questions about sexual activity in order to gain a better understanding of some family life issues.

Never had intercourse 00

Age in years $00 \Rightarrow$ next module First time when started living with (first) husband/ partner. 95

The information you supply will remain strictly confidential.

How old were you when you first had sexual intercourse (if ever)?

| SB2. When was the last time you had sexual intercourse? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record 'years ago' only if last intercourse was one or more years ago. If 12 months or more the answer must be recorded in years. | Years ago .......................................... 4 - - | $4 \Rightarrow$ next module |
| SB3. The last time you had sexual intercourse was a condom used? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................ No...... |  |
| SB4. What is your relationship to the man with whom you last had sexual intercourse? <br> If man is 'boyfriend' or 'fiancue', ask: Was your boyfriend/fiancùe living with you when you last had sex? <br> If 'yes', circle 1 .If 'no', circle 2. |  | $1 \Rightarrow$ SB6 |
| SB5. how old is this person? If response is DK, probe: About how old is this person? | Age of sexual partner DK......................................................................... 98 |  |
| SB6. Have you had sex with any other man in the last 12 months? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................ | $2 \Rightarrow$ next module |


| SB7. The last time you had sexual intercourse with this other man, was a condom used? | Yes ................................................................................................................................. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB8. What is your relationship to this man? <br> If man is 'boyfriend' or 'fiancūe', ask: Was your boyfriend/fiancūe living with you when you last had sex? If 'yes', circle 1. If 'no', circle 2. |  | $1 \Rightarrow$ SB10 |
| SB9. how old is this person? If response is DK, probe: About how old is this person? | Age of sexual partner <br> DK............................................................................... 98 |  |
| SB10. Other than these two men, have you had sex with any other man in the last 12 months? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................... No. | $2 \Rightarrow$ next module |
| SB11. In total, with how many different men have you had sex in the last 12 months? | No. of partners..................................... - - |  |


| HA1. Now I would like to talk with you about something else. <br> Have you ever heard of the virus HIV or an illness called AIDS? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................ No | $2 \Rightarrow$ next module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA2. Can people protect themselves from getting infected with the AIDS virus by having one sex partner who is not infected and also has no other partners? |  |  |
| HA3. Can people get infected with the AIDS virus because of witchcraft or other supernatural means? |  |  |
| HA4. Can people reduce their chance of getting the AIDS virus by using a condom every time they have sex? | Yes ......................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| HA5. Can people get the AIDS virus from mosquito bites? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................................................. |  |
| HA6. Can people reduce their chance of getting infected with the AIDS virus by not having sex at all? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................................................. |  |
| HA7. Can people get the AIDS virus by sharing food with a person who has AIDS? |  |  |
| HA7a. Can people get the AIDS virus by getting injections with a needle that was already used by someone else? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................................ |  |
| HA8. Is it possible for a healthy-looking person to have the AIDS virus? |  |  |

HA9. Can the AIDS virus be transmitted from a mother to a baby?

|  |  | Yes | No | DK |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HA9a. During pregnancy? | During pregnancy......... 1 | 2 | 8 |  |
| HA9b. During delivery? | During delivery............ 1 | 2 | 8 |  |
| HA9c. By breastfeeding? | By breastfeeding......... 1 | 2 | 8 |  |

HA10. If a female teacher has the AIDS Yes ..... 1
virus but is not sick, should she be No ..... 2
allowed to continue teaching in school? DK/not sure/depends ..... 8
HA11. Would you buy fresh vegetables Yes ..... 1
from a shopkeeper or vendor if you knew No ..... 2
that this person had the AIDS virus? DK/not sure/depends ..... 8
HA12. If a member of your family Yes ..... 1
became infected with the AIDS virus, No ..... 2
would you want it to remain a secret? DK/not sure/depends ..... 8

```
HA13. If a member of your family Yes ...........................................................}
became sick with the AIDS virus, would No........................................................... }
you be willing to care for him or her in DK/not sure/depends............................. }
your household?
```

HA14. Check MN5: Tested for HIV during antenatal care?
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to HA18A
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with HA15
 to see if you have HIV, the virus that causes AIDS?

| HA16. I do not want you to tell me the results of the test, but have you been told the results? | Yes ................................................................................................................................ No |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA17. Did you, yourself, ask for the test, was it offered to you and you accepted, or was it required? | Asked for the test ............................................ 1 Offered and accepted........................................................................................................ | $1 \Rightarrow$ next module <br> $2 \Rightarrow$ next module <br> $3 \leftrightharpoons$ next module |
| HA18. At this time, do you know of a place where you can go to get such a test to see if you have the AIDS virus? <br> HA18a. If tested for HIV during antenatal care: Other than at the antenatal clinic, do you know of a place where you can go to get a test to see if you have the AIDS virus? | Yes ....................................................................................................................................... No |  |

Follow instructions in your Interviewer's Manual.

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE

## UNDER-FIVE CHILD INFORMATION PANEL

This questionnaire is to be administered to all mothers or caretakers (see household listing, column HL8) who care for a child that lives with them and is under the age of 5 years (see household listing, column HL5). A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible child.
Fill in the cluster and household number, and names and line numbers of the child and the mother/ caretaker in the space below. Insert your own name and number, and the date.

| UF1. Cluster number:___ | UF2. Household number:____ |
| :---: | :---: |
| UF3. Child's Name: | UF4. Child's Line Number: |
| UF5. Mother's/Caretaker's Name: | UF6. Mother's/Caretaker's Line Number: |
| UF7. Interviewer name and number: | UF8. Day/Month/Year of interview: $\qquad$ / |
| UF9. Result of interview for children under 5 (Codes refer to mother/caretaker.) |  |

Repeat greeting if not already read to this respondent:
We are from the National Statistics Committee. We are working on a project concerned with family health and education. I would like to talk to you about this. The interview will take about 20 minutes. All the information we obtain will remain strictly confidential and your answers will never be identified. Also, you are not obliged to answer any question you don't want to, and you may withdraw from the interview at any time. May I start now? If permission is given, begin the interview. If the respondent does not agree to continue, thank him/her and go to the next interview. Discuss this result with your supervisor for a future revisit.

UF10. Now I would like to ask you some questions about the health of each child under the age of 5 in your care, who lives with you now.
Now I want to ask you about (name).
In what month and year was (name) born?
Probe:
What is his/her birthday?
If the mother/caretaker knows the exact birth date, also enter the day; otherwise, circle 98 for day.

UF11. How old was (name) at his/her last birthday? Age in completed years
Record age in completed years.

## Date of birth:

Day
DK day
98
Month $\qquad$
Year. $\qquad$


## CHILD DEVELOPMENT

Question CE1 is to be administered only once to each caretaker

| CE1. How many children's books or |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| picture books do you have for (name)? | Number of non-children's books............. 0 |
| If 'none' enter 00 | Ten or more non-children's books .............. 10 |

CE1. How many children's books or

If 'none' enter 00
Ten or more non-children's books
10

CE2. How many other books are there in the household? Please include school- Number of non-children's books $\qquad$ . 0 books, but not other books meant for children, such as picture books Ten or more non-children's books 10

If 'none' enter 00
CE3. I am interested in learning about the things that (name) plays with when he/ she is at home.

What does (name) play with?
Does he/she play with
Household objects, such as bowls, Household objects
plates, cups or pots? (bowls, plates, cups, pots) A

Objects and materials found Objects and materials found outside the living quarters, such outside the living quarters as sticks, rocks, animals, shells, or (sticks, rocks, animals, shells, leaves) .......... B leaves?

Homemade toys, such as dolls,
Homemade toys cars and other toys made at
(dolls, cars and other toys made at home) ..C
home?
Toys that came from a store? Toys that came from a store ......................... D
Code $Y$ if child does not play with any No playthings mentioned .Y of the items mentioned.

CE4. since last (day of the week) how many times was (name) left in the care

Number of times $\qquad$ of another child (that is, someone less than 10 years old)?

If 'none' enter 00
CE5. In the past week, how many times was (name) left alone?

Number of times $\qquad$
If 'none' enter 00
CE5.a Have you any special place re- Yes - 1, No - 2 .
served for kids' games?

| VITAMIN A MODULE |  | VA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VA1. Has (name) ever received a vitamin A capsule (supplement) like this one? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................... | $2 ¢$ next module |
| Show capsule or dispenser for different doses - 100,000 IU for those 6-11 months old, 200,000 IU for those 12-59 months old. | DK....................................................... 8 | $8 ¢$ next module |
| VA2. How many months ago did (name) take the last dose? | Months ago DK................................................................. 98 |  |
| VA3. Where did (name) get this last dose? | On routine visit to health facility ................ 1 Sick child visit to health facility ............... 2 National Immunization Day campaign..... 3 Other (specify)............................................... 6 DK......................................................... 8 |  |


| BF1. Has (name) ever been breastfed? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BF} 3 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BF} 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BF2. Is he/she still being breastfed? |  |  |

BF3. Since this time yesterday, did he/ she receive any of the following:

Read each item aloud and record response before proceeding to the next item.

BF3a. vitamin, mineral supplements or medicine?
BF3b. plain water?
BF3c. sweetened, flavoured water or fruit juice or tea or infusion? BF3d. oral rehydration solution (ORS)?
BF3e. infant formula?
BF3f. tinned, powdered or fresh milk?
BF3g. any other liquids?
A. Vitamin supplements....................... 128
B. Plain water ......................................... 128
C. Sweetened water or juice ................. 128
D. ORS ..................................................... 128
E. Infant formula.................................... 128
F. Milk...................................................... 128
G. Other liquids...................................... 128
H. Grated food ........................................ 128
I. Solid or semi-solid food..................... 128

BF3h. solid or semi-solid (mushy) food?

## BF4. Check BF3H: Child received solid or semi-solid (mushy) food?

$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with BF5
$\square$ No or DK. $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module

If 7 or more times, record ' 7 '.

| CA1. Has (name) had diarrhoea in the | Yes ............................................................. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| last two weeks, that is, since (day of the week) of the week before last? | No ............................................................. 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ CA5 |
|  | DK.............................................................. 8 | $8 \Rightarrow$ CA5 |
| Diarrhoea is determined as perceived by mother or caretaker, or as three or more loose or watery stools per day, or blood in stool. |  |  |

CA2. During this last episode of diarrhoea, did (name) drink any of the following:

Read each item aloud and record response
before proceeding to the next item.

| CA2a. A fluid made from a special <br> packet called (local name for ORS packet <br> solution)? | A. Fluid from ORS packet 12....................... 8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| CA2b. Government-recommended <br> homemade fluid? | B. Recommended homemade fluid 12 ........ 8 |

CA4A. Check CA2A: ORS packet used?
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA4B
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Go to CA5
CA4b. Where did you get the (local name Public sector
for ORS packet from CA2A)?
Govt. hospital11
Govt. health centre ..... 12
Govt. health post ..... 13
Village health worker ..... 14
Mobile/outreach clinic ..... 15
Other public (specify) ..... 16
Private medical sector
Private hospital/clinic ..... 21
Private physician ..... 22
Private pharmacy ..... 23
Mobile clinic ..... 24
Other private medical (specify) ..... 26
Other source
Relative or friend ..... 31
Shop ..... 32

CA11a. Was medicine given according Yes ..... 1
to prescription No ..... 2
DK. ..... 8
CA11b. Check CA11: Antibiotic given?
Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA11B
CA11c. Where did you get the antibiotic?
Public sector
Govt. hospital ..... 11
Govt. health centre ..... 12
Govt. health post ..... 13
Village health worker ..... 14
Mobile/outreach clinic ..... 15
Other public (specify) ..... 16
Private medical sector
Private hospital/clinic ..... 21
Private physician ..... 22
Private pharmacy ..... 23
Mobile clinic ..... 24
Other private medical (specify) ..... 26
Other source
Relative or friend ..... 31
Shop ..... 32
Traditional practitioner ..... 33
Other (specify) ..... 96
DK ..... 98
CA11d. How much did you pay for the Local currency ..... 9996
DK. ..... 9998
CA12. Check UF11: Child aged under 3?
Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA13$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Go to CA14
CA13. The last time (name) passed Child used toilet/latrine ..... 01
stools, what was done to dispose of the Put/rinsed into toilet or latrine ..... 02
stools? Put/rinsed into drain or ditch. ..... 03
Thrown into garbage (solid waste) ..... 04
Buried ..... 05
Left in the open ..... 06
Other (specify) ..... 96
DK. ..... 98
Ask the following question (CA14) only Child not able to drink or breastfeed ..... A
once for each mother/caretaker. Child becomes sicker ..... B
CA14. Sometimes children have Child develops a fever .....  C
severe illnesses and should be taken Child has fast breathing ..... D
immediately to a health facility. Child has difficult breathing. ..... E
What types of symptoms would cause Child has blood in stool ..... F
you to take your child to a health Child is drinking poorly ..... G
facility right away?Keep asking for more signs orOther (specify) X
symptoms until the mother/caretaker
cannot recall any additional symptoms. Other (specify) ..... Y
Circle all symptoms mentioned,
But do NOT prompt with any suggestions. Other (specify) ..... Z

## IMMUNIZATION MODULE

| IM1. Is there a vaccination card for (name)? | Yes, seen ........................................................................................................................................................... 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 10 \\ & 3 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Copy dates for each vaccination from the card. <br> (b) Write ' 44 ' in day column if card shows that vaccination was given but no date recorded. |  Date of Immunization  <br> DAY MONTH YEAR |  |
| IM2. BCG BCG |  |  |
| IM3a. Polio at birth OPV0 |  |  |
| IM3b. Polio 1 OPV1 |  |  |
| IM3c. Polio 2 OPV2 |  |  |
| IM3d. Polio 3 OPV3 |  |  |
| IM4a. DPT1 DPT1 |  |  |
| IM4b. DPT2 DPT2 |  |  |
| IM4c. DPT3 DPT3 |  |  |
| IM5a. HepB1 (or DPTHepB1) (DPT)H1 |  |  |
| IM5b. HepB2 (or DPTHepB2) (DPT)H2 |  |  |
| IM5c. HepB3 (or DPTHepB3) (DPT)H3 |  |  |
| IM6. Measles (or MMR) Measles |  |  |
| IM8a. Vitamin A (1) VitA1 |  |  |
| IM8b. Vitamin A (2) VitA2 |  |  |
| IM9. In addition to the vaccinations and vitamin A capsules shown on this card, did (name) receive any other vaccinations - including vaccinations received in campaigns or immunization days? Record 'Yes' only if respondent mentions BCG, OPV 0-3, DPT 1-3, Hepatitis B 1-3, Measles, Yellow Fever vaccine(s), or Vitamin A supplements. | Yes $\qquad$ .. 1 <br> (Probe for vaccinations and write ' 66 ' in the corresponding day column on IM2 to IM8B.) <br> No.. .2 .8 $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 19 \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 19 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM10. Has (name) ever received any vaccinations to prevent him/her from getting diseases, including vaccinations received in a campaign or immunization day? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 19 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 19 \end{aligned}$ |


| IM11. Has (name) ever been given a BCG vaccination against tuberculosis - that is, an injection in the arm or shoulder that caused a scar? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................................................................ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IM12. Has (name) ever been given any "vaccination drops in the mouth" to protect him/her from getting diseases - that is, polio? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................................................. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 15 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM13. How old was he/she when the first dose was given - just after birth (within two weeks) or later? | Just after birth (within two weeks)................................................................................... |  |
| IM14. How many times has he/she been given these drops? | No. of times........................................ |  |
| IM15. Has (name) ever been given "DPT vaccination injections" - that is, an injection in the thigh or buttocks - to prevent him/her from getting tetanus, whooping cough, diphtheria? (sometimes given at the same time as polio) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 17 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM16. How many times? | No. of times. |  |
| IM17. Has (name) ever been given "Measles vaccination injections" or MMR <br> - that is, a shot in the arm at the age of 9 months or older - to prevent him/her from getting measles? | Yes ..................................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| IM19. Please tell me if (name) has participated in any of the following campaigns, national immunization days and/or vitamin A or child health days: <br> IM19a. Date/type of campaign A <br> IM19b. Date/type of campaign B <br> IM19c. Date/type of campaign C |  |  |
| IM20. Does another eligible child reside in the household for whom this respondent is mother/caretaker? <br> Check household listing, column HL8. <br> $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ End the current questionnaire and then <br> Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE to administer the questionnaire for the next eligible child. No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview with this respondent by thanking him/her for his/her cooperation. <br> If this is the last eligible child in the household, go on to ANTHROPOMETRY MODULE. |  |  |

After questionnaires for all children are complete, the measurer weighs and measures each child. Record weight and length/height below, taking care to record the measurements on the correct questionnaire for each child. Check the child's name and line number on the household listing before recording measurements.
AN1. Child's weight. Kilograms (kg) .................................. $\quad$ -

AN2. Child's length or height.
Check age of child in UF11:

| $\square$ Child under 2 years old. $\Rightarrow$ Measure length (lying down). | Length (cm) <br> Lying down $\qquad$ 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Child age 2 or more years. $\Rightarrow$ | Height (cm) |
| Measure height (standing up). | Standing up ........................... 2 - - |
| AN3. Measurer's identification code. | Measurer code ..................................... - - |
| AN4. Result of measurement. | Measured................................................... 1 |
|  | Not present................................................ 2 |
|  | Refused ...................................................... 3 |
|  | Other (specify)............................................. 6 |

AN5. Is there another child in the household who is eligible for measurement?
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Record measurements for next child.No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview with this household by thanking all participants for their cooperation.
Gather together all questionnaires for this household and check that all identification numbers are inserted on each page. Tally on the Household Information Panel the number of interviews completed.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The terms "children under 5", "children age 0-4 years", and "children aged 0-59 months" are used interchangeably in this report.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unless otherwise stated, "education" refers to educational level attended by the respondent throughout this report when it is used as a background variable.
    ${ }^{2}$ Principal components analysis was performed by using information on the ownership of household goods and amenities (assets) to assign weights to each household asset, and obtain wealth scores for each household in the sample. Each household was then weighted by the number of household members, and the household population was divided into five groups of equal size, from the poorest quintile to the richest quintile, based on the wealth scores of households they were living in. The wealth index is assumed to capture the underlying long-term wealth through information on the household assets, and is intended to produce a ranking of households by wealth, from poorest to richest. The wealth index does not provide information on absolute poverty, current income or expenditure levels, and the wealth scores calculated are applicable for only the particular data set they are based on. Further information on the construction of the wealth index can be found in Rutstein and Johnson, 2004, and Filmer and Pritchett, 2001

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a detailed description of the methodology, see Boerma, Weinstein, Rutstein and Sommerfelt, 1996.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unmet need measurement in MICS is somewhat different than that used in other household surveys, such as the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). In DHS, more detailed information is collected on additional variables, such as postpartum amenorrhea, and sexual activity. Results from the two types of surveys are strictly not comparable.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The primary school net attendance ratio - Percentage of children at the age of the primary school (7-11 years), who at present attend primary school in the total number of surveyed children at the age of primary school.

[^5]:    (...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

[^6]:    ... - No reported cases

[^7]:    ** MICS indicator 5
    (...) - Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
    (*) - Figures that are based on less then 25 unweighted cases
    ... - No reported cases

[^8]:    * MICS indicator 57; MDG indicator 7

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ All questionnaires presented in this section have been backward translated from original Russian ones.

