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SUMMARY TABLE OF FINDINGS
Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) and Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Indicators ${ }^{1}$, Moldova, 2012


[^0]| Topic | MICS4 <br> Indicator Number | MDG Indicator Number | Indicator | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contraception and unmet need | 5.1 | 5.4 | Adolescent birth rate | 35 | per 1,000 |
|  | 5.2 |  | Early childbearing | 4.4 | percent |
|  | 5.3 | 5.3 | Contraceptive prevalence rate | 59.5 | percent |
|  | 5.4 | 5.6 | Unmet need | 9.5 | percent |
| Maternal and newborn health | Antenatal care coverage |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.5a | 5.5 | At least once by skilled personnel | 98.8 | percent |
|  | 5.5b |  | At least four times by any provider | 95.4 | percent |
|  | 5.6 |  | Content of antenatal care | 97.5 | percent |
|  | 5.7 | 5.2 | Skilled attendant at delivery | 99.2 | percent |
|  | 5.8 |  | Institutional deliveries | 98.9 | percent |
|  | 5.9 |  | Caesarean section | 16.2 | percent |
| Post-natal health checks | 5.10 |  | Post-partum stay in health facility | 100.0 | percent |
|  | 5.11 |  | Post-natal health check for the newborn | 98.8 | percent |
|  | 5.12 |  | Post-natal health check for the mother | 94.2 | percent |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child development | 6.1 |  | Support for learning | 89.1 | percent |
|  | 6.2 |  | Father's support for learning | 47.4 | percent |
|  | 6.3 |  | Learning materials: children's books | 68.1 | percent |
|  | 6.4 |  | Learning materials: playthings | 67.8 | percent |
|  | 6.5 |  | Inadequate care | 5.6 | percent |
|  | 6.6 |  | Early child development index (ECDI) | 83.8 | percent |
|  | 6.7 |  | Attendance to early childhood education | 70.6 | percent |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy and education | 7.1 | 2.3 | Literacy rate among young people |  |  |
|  |  |  | women aged 15-24 years | 99.3 | percent |
|  |  |  | men aged 15-24 years | 99.5 | percent |
|  | 7.2 |  | School readiness | 92.7 | percent |
|  | 7.3 |  | Net intake rate in primary education | 94.1 | percent |
|  | 7.4 | 2.1 | Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 98.7 | percent |
|  | 7.5 |  | Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) ${ }^{4}$ | 86.0 | percent |
|  | - |  | Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 96.3 | percent |
|  | - |  | Upper secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 67.6 | percent |
|  | 7.6 | 2.2 | Children reaching last grade of primary | 99.8 | percent |
|  | 7.7 |  | Primary completion rate | 110.6 | percent |
|  | 7.8 |  | Transition rate to secondary school | 97.6 | percent |
|  | 7.9 |  | Gender parity index (primary school) | 0.99 | ratio |
|  | 7.10 |  | Gender parity index (secondary school) | 1.06 | ratio |
|  | - |  | Gender parity index (lower secondary school) | 1.02 | ratio |
|  | - |  | Gender parity index (upper secondary school) | 1.18 | ratio |
| CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth registration | 8.1 |  | Birth registration | 99.6 | percent |
| Child discipline | 8.5 |  | Violent discipline | 75.6 | percent |
| Early marriage | 8.6 |  | Marriage before age 15 women aged 15-49 years men aged $15-49$ years | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | percent percent |
|  | 8.7 |  | Marriage before age 18 women aged 20-49 years men aged 20-49 years | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ 2.4 \end{gathered}$ | percent percent |
|  | 8.8 |  | Young women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union Young men aged 15-19 years currently married or in union | 9.9 1.4 | percent <br> percent |
|  | Spousal age difference |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8.10a } \\ & 8.10 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ |  | women aged 15-19 years women aged $20-24$ years | $\begin{aligned} & 9.8 \\ & 5.4 \end{aligned}$ | percent percent |
| Domestic violence | 8.14 |  | Attitudes toward domestic violence women aged $15-49$ years men aged $15-49$ years | $\begin{aligned} & 11.2 \\ & 13.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | percent percent |
| Children's living arrangements and orphanhood | 9.18 |  | Prevalence of children with one or both biological parents dead | 10.6 4.5 | percent <br> percent |
| Children with biological parents living abroad | 8.15 |  | Children with at least one biological parent living abroad | 21.1 | percent |

[^1]| MICS4 <br> Topic <br> Indicator <br> Number | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Number | Indicator | Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey was conducted in the Republic of Moldova (excluding Eastern districts - Transnistrian region) in 2012 by the National Centre for Public Health of the Ministry of Health based on a nationally representative probability sample, stratified in two stages and consisting of about 12,500 households.

Fieldwork was carried out between April 17 and June 30, 2012 using four Questionnaires - the Household Questionnaire, the Questionnaire for Individual Women aged 15-49 years, the Questionnaire for Children Under Five, the Questionnaire for Individual Men aged 15-49 years, as well as a Questionnaire Form for Vaccination Records at the Health Facility.

In addition to the administration of questionnaires, fieldwork teams tested the salt used for cooking in the households for its iodate content, observed the place used for handwashing, measured the weights and heights of children under the age of five, as well as the haemoglobin levels in women aged 15-49 years and children aged 6-59 months.

The household response rate was 97 percent, with 89 percent, 77 percent and 96 percent response rates calculated for the women's, men's and under-5's interviews respectively.

## CHILD MORTALITY

The child mortality indicators that were analysed seem to have improved in Moldova in the last 15 years. Thus, the under-five mortality rate, estimated in the 2012 Moldova MICS has improved (from an average of 35 to 16 per 1,000 live births) over an average of 10 years. Similar trends may be observed for infant, neonatal and postneonatal mortality.

The infant mortality rate for the five years preceding the survey (2007-2012) is 15 per 1,000 live births, while the under-five mortality rate is 16 per 1,000 live births nationwide, which means that infant mortality accounts for the vast majority ( 90 percent) of deaths in children under five. No significant differences were found between urban and rural areas.

## NUTRITION

Child Nutritional Status. In total about six percent of children under the age of five are stunted (low height-forage), two percent are underweight (low weight-for-age), and two percent are wasted (low weight-for-height). At the same time, about five percent of children the age of five are overweight for their height.

Breastfeeding and Infant and Young Child Feeding. About 97 percent of children are breastfed. However, duration of breastfeeding is not very long and exclusive breastfeeding is not commonly practiced. Thirty-six percent of children aged 0-5 months are exclusively breastfed, while by the age of 12-15 months, 48 percent of children are still being breastfed and by the age of 20-23 months only 12 percent are still breastfed. Twentynine percent of infants aged 6-23 months and 31 percent of infants aged 0-23 months are appropriately fed. Among currently breastfeeding children aged 6-23 months, 30 percent receive solid, semi-solid and soft foods the recommended minimum number of times, while among non-breastfeeding children this age, 63 percent receive solid, semi-solid or soft foods, and milk feeds the recommended minimum number of times. Half of children aged 0-23 months are fed with a bottle with a nipple.

Salt lodization. Forty-four percent of households use adequately iodized salt while those in the rural areas being less likely to use it.

Children's Vitamin A Supplementation. Twenty-six percent of children aged 6-59 months received a Vitamin A supplement in the six months prior to the survey based on reporting mothers.

Low Birth Weight. Ninety-nine percent of infants were weighed at birth, while six percent were estimated to weigh less than 2,500 grams. This is most likely a consequence of poor maternal nutrition, including iron and iodine deficiency.

Anaemia. Slightly more than one-fifth (21 percent) of children aged 6-59 months and over a quarter (26 percent) of women of childbearing age in Moldova were found to be anaemic. Almost half ( 48 percent) of women 15-49 years old who had given live birth in the last two years preceding the survey took folic acid supplements for at least 45 days during the first trimester of pregnancy, while 41 percent took iron supplements over a period of more than 90 days. Fifty-one percent of women with a live birth in the two years preceding the survey live in households with adequately iodized salt.

## CHILD HEALTH

Vaccinations. The estimates for full immunization coverage are calculated for children aged 15-26 months. By the age of 12 months, 98 percent received BCG vaccination and the first dose of Hepatitis B vaccine, while the first doses of DPT and Polio vaccines were given to 94 and 95 percent, respectively. Where the primary vaccination course requires three doses, the proportion of vaccination coverage declines for subsequent doses of Hepatitis B vaccine to 96 percent for the second dose, and 94 percent for the third dose; for Polio vaccination - to 95 and 89 percent, respectively; for DPT - to 92 percent and 91 percent. However, the dropout rate does not exceed 10 percent for any vaccination. Coverage with an MMR vaccine by 15 months is 89 percent.

The percentage of children who received all WHO and UNICEF recommended vaccinations, as also stipulated by the Moldovan National Vaccination Schedule for the primary immunization cycle in the first year of life, is 79 percent. The percentage of children who received no vaccination is one percent. A significantly lower and insufficient vaccination coverage can be noted for children $15-26$ months old who were vaccinated at any time before the survey in urban areas ( 82 percent) compared to rural areas ( 93 percent), the lowest being in Chișinău (71 percent).

Oral Rehydration Treatment. Seven percent of children aged 0-59 months had diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to the survey. The prevalence of diarrhoea among under-five children is 10 percent in urban areas and 5 percent in rural areas. Oral rehydration treatment with continued feeding was administered to about 55 percent of children with diarrhoea. The rate of antibiotics administration was at 16 percent.

Care Seeking and Antibiotic Treatment of Pneumonia. Three percent of children aged 0-59 months were reported to have suffered pneumonia symptoms during the two weeks preceding the survey. Among underfive children suffering from pneumonia, more than one-half of children ( 52 percent) were taken to a centre for family doctors/office of the family doctor, while a smaller percentage were taken to a government hospital (19 percent) or a health centre (18 percent). A small percentage of children were taken to private sources: 2 percent were taken to a private hospital/clinic, 2 percent to a private pharmacy, and one percent to a private physician. Overall, 82 percent of children with suspected pneumonia received antibiotics. The most commonly identified symptom for taking a child to a health facility is fever ( 91 percent), followed by difficulty in breathing (16 percent) and fast breathing (7 percent).

Solid Fuel Use. Seven percent of the household population uses solid fuels for cooking. The use of solid fuels is higher among rural household population (11 percent), compared to urban areas (less than one percent). Twenty-eight percent of the household population living in households uses solid fuels for cooking and cooks in a separate room used as a kitchen, 37 percent cook in a separate building, 30 percent cook outdoors, while four percent cook elsewhere in the house.

## WATER AND SANITATION

Use of Improved Water Sources. In Moldova, some 46 percent of household members have access to tap water that is piped directly into their dwelling or into the yard/plot, while 14 percent, mostly from rural areas, only have access to unimproved sources of drinking water. Ninety-six percent of the population use improved drinking water sources in urban areas, while 70 percent use piped water, whereas the figures for rural areas are -81 percent and 33 percent, respectively. Differences are also found by geographical areas, with the North being the most disadvantaged ( 29 percent use piped water). Concerning the differences in the use of different water sources by wealth index quintiles, 78 percent of the population in the richest households use piped water compared to 18 percent in the poorest households.

Use of Improved Sanitation. The analysis of access to improved sanitation shows disparities between urban and rural populations: 85 percent and 61 percent, respectively; the use of flush or pour flush toilets also varies by area, with 75 percent of the household population in urban areas using such facilities, compared to 9 percent in rural areas. Access to improved sanitation facilities also varies depending on wealth index quintiles: some 97 percent of households falling in the richest quintile have access to flush or pour flush facilities, while just 0.4 percent of those in the poorest quintile have access.

Handwashing. About 90 percent of households have a specific place for handwashing in their dwelling, plot or yard, while in 10 percent of households a place for handwashing was not observed (in three percent of such cases the place for handwashing was not in the dwelling, yard or plot). No substantial differences were found by geographic region, area, education level or wealth index quintiles. Ninety-two percent of households have both water and soap available in the place allocated for handwashing; however, in this case, there are differences by region and area ( 96 percent for urban and 89 percent for rural areas). There is a positive correlation between the availability of water and soap and household wealth.

## REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

Fertility. The total fertility rate for the three years preceding the survey in Moldova is 2.2 births per woman. Fertility is higher in rural areas ( 2.5 births per woman) than in urban areas (1.8 births per woman). The urbanrural difference in fertility is more pronounced among women in the 20-24 age group: 96 births per 1,000 women in urban areas compared to 192 births in rural areas. The age-specific fertility rate of women aged $15-19$ years is 35 births per 1,000 women, and is higher in rural areas ( 44 births) compared to urban areas ( 24 births); in the North ( 50 births per 1,000 women) and South ( 54 births per 1,000 women) regions compared to the Central region ( 31 per 1,000 women) and Chișinău ( 13 births per 1,000 women).

Contraception. Sixty percent of women currently married or in union reported they currently use contraception, with the intrauterine device (IUD) cited as the most popular method. Overall contraceptive prevalence is similar across regions and is in the range of 58 percent (North) to 61 percent (Central). Ten percent of women have an unmet need for contraception.

Antenatal Care. Survey results show that 99 percent of women received antenatal care, provided in all cases by skilled personnel (99 percent). Among those women who had had a live birth during the two years preceding the survey, 98 percent reported that a blood sample was taken during antenatal care visits, that their blood pressure was checked, and that a urine sample was taken.

Assistance at Delivery. A vast majority of births (95 percent) in the two years preceding the survey were delivered with the assistance of medical doctors. Midwives assisted with the delivery of four percent of births, the indicator being roughly the same in different regions and areas of the country. Sixteen percent of births were delivered by C -section. The proportion of C -sections is similar across the regions of the country.

Post-partum stay in health facility. Seventy-seven percent of respondents stayed in the health facility 3-6 days after delivery, 19 percent were discharged after the first week following birth, while four percent stayed for less than three days. The smallest proportion of mothers who stayed one week or more at a maternity hospital following birth are from the North (17 percent), Chișinău (12 percent), from urban areas (16 percent), richest households (12 percent) and women who did not deliver via C-section (13 percent).

Post-natal Health Checks. Ninety-eight percent of newborns receive a health check following birth while in a facility or at home. Differences in coverage with such intervention are not significant between urban and rural areas. Overall, 94 percent of post-partum mothers have received a health check, the percentage ranging from 91 percent in Chișinău to 97 percent in the North region.

Abortion. Almost one-third of women aged 15-49 years (30 percent) had at least one induced abortion. The total abortion rate for women aged 15-49 years for the two years preceding the survey is 0.5 , while the mean number of abortions among women aged 40-49 years is 1.3.

## CHILD DEVELOPMENT

Early Childhood Education and Learning. Survey results show that 74 percent of boys and 67 percent of girls aged 36-59 months are attending an organised early childhood education programme. Indicator values are as high as 82 percent in urban areas, compared to 64 percent in rural areas, and lowest in the North ( 62 percent) and Central ( 66 percent) regions. Differentials by socioeconomic status are rather significant: 88 percent of children living in the richest households attend such programmes, while the figure drops to 50 percent among children living in the poorest households.

For 89 percent of children aged 36-59 months, there is an adult household member engaged in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness, while fathers are engaged in one or more activities in 47 percent of cases. It was found that 33 percent of children of this age group were living without their biological fathers.

Sixty-eight percent of children live in households where at least three children's books and two or more types of playthings are present for the child. Urban children appear to have more access to children's books than those living in rural areas (82 and 60 percent, respectively). The presence of children's books in the household is positively correlated with household wealth.

Early Childhood Development. In Moldova, 84 percent of children aged 36-59 months are developmentally on track. A higher Early Child Development Index (ECDI) is seen in children attending an early childhood education programme ( 86 percent compared to 78 percent for those who are not attending). Children living in the poorest households have lower ECDI (75 percent) compared to children living in the richest households (87 percent). Ninety-nine percent of children are on track in the learning and physical domains, but much less are on track in the literacy-numeracy ( 30 percent) and social-emotional ( 79 percent) domains. Literacy-numeracy is positively correlated with mother's education and household wealth.

## LITERACY AND EDUCATION

Literacy among Young People. The survey has shown that 99 percent of young women and 100 percent of young men in Moldova are literate and that literacy status does not vary by region and area.

School Readiness. Ninety-three percent of children who currently attend the first grade of primary school attended pre-school the previous year. The proportion among boys is slightly lower (90 percent) than girls (95 percent).

Primary and Secondary School Attendance. The adjusted net attendance ratio of primary-school-age children ( $7-10$ year olds) is 99 percent. A full 100 percent of children starting grade one will eventually reach grade four, with the primary school completion and transition rates at 111 percent and 98 percent, respectively.

The secondary school net attendance ratio is 86 percent (children aged $11-18$ years). ${ }^{8}$ There is a positive correlation for secondary school attendance and household wealth.

The lower secondary school net attendance ratio of children aged 11-15 years is 96 percent; while the upper secondary school net attendance ratio is 68 percent (children aged $16-18$ years).

The gender parity index is 0.99 for primary school and 1.06 for secondary school. The gender parity index is 1.02 for lower secondary school and 1.18 for upper secondary school.

## CHILD PROTECTION

Birth Registration. Overall, almost all children under-five had their births registered with no significant variations across background characteristics; however, birth certificates were seen for only 85 percent of children.

Child Discipline. Seventy-six percent of children aged 2-14 years in Moldova were subjected to at least one form of psychological or physical punishment by their parents or other adult household members during the past month preceding the survey. Two percent of children were subjected to severe physical punishment, and 15 percent of respondents to the household questionnaires believe that physical punishment is necessary to raise/educate children properly. Male children were subjected to physical discipline more than female children (51 percent and 45 percent, respectively).

Early Marriage. About ten percent of 15-19 years old girls were married or in union at the time of the survey. This proportion does not vary by area. Eleven percent of 20-49 years old women in urban areas, and 18 percent in rural areas were first married or in union before age 18 . There is a negative correlation between marriage under 18 for women and household wealth.

## HIV/AIDS AND SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR

Knowledge about HIV Transmission and Misconceptions about HIV/AIDS. Practically all women (99 percent) and men ( 98 percent) who were interviewed have heard of HIV or AIDS. Seventy-six percent of young women aged 15-24 and 68 percent of young men aged 15-24 knew the two main ways of preventing HIV transmission (having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time).

Thirty-six percent of young women aged 15-24 and 28 percent of young men aged 15-24 have comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention.

Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV / AIDS. In Moldova 87 percent of women and 88 percent of men who have heard of AIDS have expressed at least one accepting attitude regarding HIV/AIDS. The highest proportion are willing to take care of a family member with HIV / AIDS in their household (63 percent of women and 61 percent of men), 41 percent among women believe that a female teacher with the AIDS virus and is not sick should be allowed to continue teaching ( 34 percent of men), 33 percent of women would not want to keep secret that a family member got infected with the AIDS virus ( 44 percent of men) and only 22 percent would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who has the AIDS virus ( 23 percent of men). Only three percent of women and men expressed accepting attitudes on all four indicators.

[^3]Knowledge of a Place for HIV Testing, Counselling and Testing during Antenatal Care. Seventy-nine percent of Moldovan women aged 15-49 years know where to be tested, while 61 percent have ever been tested for HIV and a much smaller proportion, 19 percent has been tested within the last 12 months. A similar proportion has been tested and been told the result within the last 12 months (18 percent). Men are less knowledgeable about a place to get tested ( 65 percent) and are less likely to have ever been tested ( 39 percent). The percentage of men who have been tested in the previous 12 months and have been told the result is higher in urban areas (13 percent) compared to rural areas (7 percent).

The proportion of women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey and who were offered an HIV test and were tested for HIV during antenatal care ( 85 percent) differs from that of women who received HIV counselling during antenatal care ( 71 percent). Eighty-three percent of women were offered an HIV test and were tested for HIV during antenatal care, and received the results. Only 67 percent of women who received HIV counselling were offered an HIV test, accepted and received the results; the corresponding proportion for the women in the poorest households is even lower, 52 percent.

Sexual Behaviour Related to HIV Transmission. About one percent of women and eight percent of men aged 15-24 years reported having had sexual intercourse before the age of 15; two percent of women 15-49 years old reported having sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months, of which only 35 percent reported using a condom when they had sex the last time. Only three percent of women aged 15-24 years reported having sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months. Of those, nearly half ( 49 percent) have used a condom the last time they had sex. Fourteen percent of men aged 15-49 years reported having sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months, which is nearly six times the rate among women. Of those men, only half reported using a condom when they had sex the last time.

## TUBERCULOSIS

Knowledge of Tuberculosis. Tuberculosis (TB) as a disease is widely known among the population aged 15-49, both women and men ( 99 percent have heard of $T B$ ); however there is a difference between the proportion of men and women who are aware of airborne transmission of TB, 84 percent and 78 percent, respectively. Ninety-four percent of women and 92 percent of men have mentioned at least one TB symptom. Among those who have heard of TB, 80 percent of women and 73 percent of men reported the non-specific cough as its most characteristic symptom. The three most characteristic TB symptoms of the disease were recognized by only one percent of women and no men. Knowledge of at least one symptom of TB is positively associated with household wealth.

Attitudes toward People Suffering from Tuberculosis. Forty-two percent of women and 30 percent of men who have heard of tuberculosis would want to keep the TB status of a family member a secret. This attitude is shared more frequently in urban areas in comparison to rural ( 50 and 35 percent for women and 38 and 26 percent for men, respectively), increasing with the level of education and wealth index quintiles.

## ACCESS TO MASS MEDIA AND USE OF INFORMATION/COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY

Access to Mass Media. Overall, 32 percent of women and men aged 15-49 years are exposed to all the three types of media (newspapers/magazines, radio and television) at least on a weekly basis, while three percent do not have regular exposure to any of the three types of media. Exposure to all three forms of media at least once a week varies by education and household wealth for both sexes, and by area for men. Only 28 percent of men in rural areas were exposed to all three media, while in urban areas this proportion reaches 40 percent. Older men are more likely than younger men to report exposure to all three types of media on a weekly basis: 39 percent of men aged 35-44 years are exposed to all three types of media at least once a week, while among those aged $15-19$ years the proportion is 25 percent.

Use of Information/Communication Technology. According to survey results, 15-24 years old women and men have reported similarly high levels (around 90 percent) of both computer and internet use during the last 12 months preceding the survey, with more widespread use among 15-19 years old women ( 90 and 94 percent, respectively), compared to 20-24 years old women (82 and 88 percent, respectively). Use of a computer and the internet is also associated with area, educational attainment and wealth among both women and men. As shown by the survey, for young men aged 15-24 years, the differentials in terms of background characteristics are generally similar to those observed among young women.

## TOBACCO AND ALCOHOL USE

Tobacco Use. Eighty-four percent of men and 29 percent of women reported having ever used a tobacco product. Forty-two percent of pregnant women have ever used a tobacco product, while some 4 percent used them during the month preceding the survey. Ever use of tobacco products is more prevalent among women aged 20-34 years (ranging between 35 and 41 percent) as opposed to those aged 15-19 and 40-49 years (ranging between 15 and 25 percent). Among men the indicator value ranges between 85 and 91 percent for the age groups 20-49 years and declines considerably for young men aged 15-19 years ( 60 percent). Ever use of tobacco among women is typically more common in urban areas than in rural areas (47 percent and 15 percent, respectively), with the highest proportion of tobacco use by women being found in Chișinău (53 percent), much higher than other regions (ranging between 20 and 23 percent), while among men there is little variation by area and region. Women with higher education ( 43 percent) and from the richest households (52 percent) are much more likely to have ever used a tobacco product. Unlike women, men showed no significant differences in tobacco use by area, region and wealth index quintiles, ranging between 80 and 85 percent. Two percent of women and 22 percent of men aged 15-49 years smoked a cigarette for the first time before they turned 15.

Alcohol Use. Overall, 57 percent of women and 80 percent of men aged 15-49 years had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month. Use of alcohol before the age of 15 is more common among men ( 20 percent) than among women ( 6 percent), and is more common among younger women and men aged 15-19 years than among other age groups. The use of alcohol by women varies somewhat by wealth index quintiles and by area; as such, it is more common in urban areas ( 61 percent) than in rural areas (54 percent) and among women belonging to the richest households ( 64 percent) compared to the poorest households (57 percent). The highest proportion of alcohol use by women is found in Chișinău ( 66 percent) and the lowest proportion in the North region ( 47 percent). Among men, the differentials by wealth index quintiles are similar (ranging between 76 and 85 percent), while differentials by urban and rural areas (ranging between 78 and 81 percent) are less marked than for women.

## SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING

Among different selected domains, young women aged 15-24 years are the most satisfied with how they look (91 percent), their family life (90 percent), and how they are treated by others ( 88 percent). The results for young men are rather similar: they are the most satisfied with how they look (94 percent), their family life (92 percent), and their health ( 91 percent), with higher proportions (between 88 and 90 percent) also observed for domains such as friendships, living environment and treatment by others. Among the domains, both young women ( 66 percent) and young men ( 59 percent) who have an income are the least satisfied with it, with 65 percent of young women and 55 percent of young men not having an income at all.

Only some 50 percent of 15-24 years old women are satisfied with all areas of their lives, including family life, friendships, school, current job, health, where they live, how they are treated by others and how they look. Women in the richest households (53 percent) are considerably more likely to be satisfied with life as opposed to those in the poorest households ( 32 percent). There is a strong negative relationship between the average life satisfaction score and the socioeconomic status of the women.

Among men aged 15-24 years, 53 percent are satisfied with life. The differentials in terms of background characteristics are generally similar to those observed for young women, but some differences do exist, such as similar proportions of those satisfied with life between urban and rural areas and no clear correlation between young men's average life satisfaction score and their socioeconomic status. The proportion of women and men aged 15-24 years who think that their lives improved in the past year, and those who expect that their lives will get better after one year, is 58 and 51 percent, respectively.

## Background

This report presents the findings and results of the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey, which was carried out in Moldova in 2012 by the National Centre of Public Health of the Ministry of Health with support from UNICEF and in collaboration with the National Bureau of Statistics, the Scientific Research Institute of Mother and Child Health Care, the Ministry of Labour, Social Protection and Family, the Ministry of Education, the National Centre for Health Management, and the National Centre for Reproductive Health and Medical Genetics. Financial and technical support was provided by the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), with additional financial support from the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation and the World Health Organization.

MICS is an international household survey programme developed by UNICEF and is largely based on the needs to monitor progress towards goals and targets arising from recent international agreements: the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all 191 United Nations Member States in September 2000, and the Plan of Action of A World Fit For Children, adopted by 189 Member States at the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002. Both of these commitments build upon promises made by the international community at the 1990 World Summit for Children, and upon other international commitments.

```
A Commitment to Action: National and International Reporting Responsibilities
The governments that signed the Millennium Declaration and the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action
also committed themselves to monitoring progress towards the goals and objectives they contained:
"We will monitor regularly at the national level and, where appropriate, at the regional level and assess progress towards the
goals and targets of the present Plan of Action at the national, regional and global levels. Accordingly, we will strengthen our
national statistical capacity to collect, analyse and disaggregate data, including by sex, age and other relevant factors that may
lead to disparities, and support a wide range of child-focused research. We will enhance international cooperation to support
statistical capacity-building efforts and build community capacity for monitoring, assessment and planning." (A World Fit for
Children, paragraph 60)
"...We will conduct periodic reviews at the national and subnational levels of progress in order to address obstacles more
effectively and accelerate actions...." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 61)
The Plan of Action of the World Fit for Children (paragraph 61) also calls for the specific involvement of UNICEF in the
preparation of periodic progress reports:
"... As the world's lead agency for children, the United Nations Children's Fund is requested to continue to prepare
and disseminate, in close collaboration with Governments, relevant funds, programmes and the specialized agencies
of the United Nations system, and all other relevant actors, as appropriate, information on the progress made in the
implementation of the Declaration and the Plan of Action."
Similarly, the Millennium Declaration (paragraph 31) calls for periodic reporting on progress:
"...We request the General Assembly to review on a regular basis the progress made in implementing the provisions of this
Declaration, and ask the Secretary-General to issue periodic reports for consideration by the General Assembly and as a
basis for further action."
```

In the Republic of Moldova, the commitment to these internationally recognized priorities has been demonstrated through the development and implementation of respective national strategies and plans.

All these policy frameworks require monitoring and assessment of progress. The 2012 Moldova MICS represents a large source of data for reporting on progress towards the aforementioned goals. The survey provides a rich foundation of comparative data for comprehensive progress reporting regarding the situation of vulnerable groups among the population, especially regarding the situation of children in the poorest households.

Findings of the 2012 Moldova MICS are a valuable source of information for developing national plans and programmes in major areas such as the population's healthcare and well-being, and children's education.

## Survey Objectives

The 2012 Moldova MICS has as its primary objectives:

- To collect relevant data on the situation of children and women in Moldova, especially those related to areas of health, education, social protection, etc.
- To assess the progress toward attaining the goals of the "A World Fit for Children" action plan, the Millennium Development Goals and other national objectives.
- To provide solid evidence for planning and decision-making in the areas of maternal and child health, education, social protection, etc.
- To strengthen the capacity of Governmental Institutions in carrying out surveys based on household interviewing, data collection and analysis.
- To support planning and monitoring of social policies with a focus on equity and disparities that exist in the country.


## Demographic Features of Moldova

The Republic of Moldova is a small country in Eastern European with no coastline and a 600 meter border with the Danube River at its South-Western limit. It covers an area of 33,846 square kilometres and shares a common border with Romania and Ukraine. The main inflows are the Dniester River ( 657 km in length) and Prut River ( 695 km in length). The land is mostly made up of hilly plains, with the country's highest point reaching an altitude of 430 meters.

The Republic of Moldova became independent from the Soviet Union on August 27, 1991 which led to a new Constitution being adopted in 1994. The Government's judicial branch is made up of the Supreme Court and the Constitutional Court, whose tasks are to review legislative acts and government decisions. The Government's legislative branch is represented by a unicameral Parliament. Within the Executive branch, the President is elected by Parliament for a four-year term and may be re-elected for a second term. For administrative purposes, the Republic of Moldova is divided into territorial units including 32 districts (raions), 5 municipalities, 60 towns, 917 villages (communities) and 1,575 rural settlements. Two territorial units have been given special terms of autonomy; the autonomous territory of Gagauzia and the Transnistrian region which is located on the East side of the Dniester River.

According to the National Bureau of Statistics official data (www.statistica.md), Moldova's population (excluding the Eastern districts: the left bank of the Dniester River and the Bender municipality - Transnistrian region), was 3.5 million in early 2012, over half ( 58.3 percent) of which were rural residents -2.0 million, ( 58.4 percent in 2010), compared to 1.5 million, or 41.7 percent ( 41.6 percent in 2010) of urban residents. The country's population structure by sex has remained the same over the last several years: 51.9 percent $(1,847,800)$ women and 48.1 percent $(1,711,700)$ men.

Territorial distribution is also differentiated among residents, with the most populated regions being North (28 percent) and Central ( 30 percent), where population density is higher than 100 persons per square kilometre (reaching up to 126 in several districts). Over 15 percent of the country's population lives in the South region, where population density exceeds 75 persons per square kilometre. Chișinău residents make up 22 percent of the Moldova's population and more than half of its urban population.

Human emigration and the declining birth rate have resulted in an unbalanced population structure per large age groups. Overall, the young population ratio has declined along with the increase in the elderly population, thus further increasing the demographic dependency ratio, which is an important indicator from an economic standpoint. Consequently, the demographic dependency ratio (i.e. population aged 0-14 years and population aged 60 or more per 100 persons aged $15-59$ years) was 45.0 percent in 2011 , compared to 44.4 percent in 2009. In 2011, the population under the employable age ( $0-15$ years) population constituted 17.5 percent (from 18.2 percent in 2009), while the working-age (16-56/61 years) population reached 66.6 percent (from 66.5 percent in 2009 ) and the over-working-age ( $57 / 62$ years) -15.9 percent (from 15.3 percent in 2009). ${ }^{10}$

[^4]
## Sample Design

The sample for the 2012 Moldova MICS was designed to provide estimates for a large number of indicators on the situation of children, women and men at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for the following regions:

- North
- Central
- South
- the Chișinău municipality - the country's capital

The urban and rural areas within each region were identified as the main sampling strata, and the sample was selected in two stages. Taking into account that all cartographic material from the last census in 2004 was destroyed, the first stage involved working with the same probabilistic sample of primary sampling units (PSUs) used for the 2005 Demographic and Health Survey ( 2005 Moldova DHS). The second stage involved selecting a probabilistic sample of households from the updated listing for each PSU.

The reference population for the 2012 Moldova MICS varies by indicator to be estimated. Thus the following reference sub-populations have been distinguished:

1. Households;
2. Children aged under 5 years;
3. Women aged 15-49 years;
4. Men aged 15-49 years.

From an administrative standpoint, the reference population inhabits Moldova's territorial-administrative units on the right bank of the Dniester River, providing coverage of the whole national territory with the exception of the left bank of the Dniester and the Bender municipality (Transnistrian region) which is not covered by this survey.

The survey is representative at the national level with the above exception, and for both urban and rural areas (strata). The sample is also representative at the level of the four geographic regions (domains): North, Central, South, and Chișinău (Figure SD.1). These domains are similar to those for the 2005 Moldova DHS.

The PSU defined for the 2012 Moldova MICS was identical to that used for the 2005 Moldova DHS, based on the census sectors defined for the 2004 Moldova Population Census enumeration. The MICS sample included all of the 400 census sectors selected for the 2005 Moldova DHS. The sampling frame for the first sampling stage of the 2005 Moldova DHS was developed encompassing all census sectors and included an electronicallygenerated list thereof, with attached variables related to their geographic identification in the 2004 Population Census, their corresponding area and region, as well as their size, expressed in number of persons.

The final sample size was 12,500 households, a figure obtained by selecting respective number of households from each of the 400 PSUs (167 in the rural stratum and 233 in the urban stratum) drawn at the first sampling stage.


Figure SD.1: Territorial distribution of the sampling frame used for the 2012 Moldova MICS
The survey's second stage of sampling has encompassed the updated lists of existing households within each of the 400 PSUs (or clusters) from the sample selected at the first stage.

Given the long time period that elapsed between the 2004 Population Census and the 2012 Moldova MICS, the household lists were updated during the listing and mapping stage, which took place between July 19 and September 25, 2011. The second stage sampling frame based on the listing excluded currently uninhabited housing units to avoid over-coverage, while including new households to avoid under-coverage. A set of updated detailed maps were consequently drawn to help locate all census sectors selected in the sample and to delineate their exact boundaries, thus ensuring inclusion of all households in the second stage sampling frame. Households identified based on the listing served as the final sampling units for the reference population defined as "households."

In the first stage of sampling, clusters were systematically selected within each stratum with probability proportional to size (the country's population according to the 2004 Population Census). Prior to selection, census sectors within each stratum were geographically ordered from North to South to provide additional implicit geographical stratification.

For the second stage of sampling conducted in October 2011, a sample of 30 households was selected from each sample PSU (cluster). The selection was made from the household lists compiled during the update process (listing) within each sample PSU (cluster), using simple systematic selection.

The sample PSUs were allocated the urban stratum using a higher sampling rate than for the rural stratum, taking into account that both the response rate and the average household size are usually lower in urban areas compared to rural areas. Thus for the 2005 Moldova DHS and consequently for the 2012 Moldova MICS, the sample of households is not self-weighting. A sub-sample of men aged 15-49 years was selected, with men being selected for interview in every third household.

Given that the census sectors were selected with probability proportional to size within each stratum at the first sampling stage, the probabilities had to be subsequently calculated.

A full description of the sample design is found in Appendix A.

## Questionnaires

In consultation with national and international experts on a wide range of subjects, MICS fourth round model questionnaires were customized based on the country's needs so as to reflect relevant issues which are present in the Republic of Moldova in terms of children's, women's and men's health, education, child protection, migration, HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, anaemia, etc. Following content approval by the Steering Committee members, the questionnaires were translated from English and Russian into Romanian and were subsequently pre-tested (in Romanian and Russian). Following integration of additional modules in the questionnaires, two rounds of questionnaire and measurement pre-testing took place from November 14-25, 2011, and from March 12-19, 2012.

The pre-test exercise allowed evaluating all aspects of data collection. Questionnaires and measurements were tested/practiced in Romanian and Russian both during training sessions (in classrooms and at local Health Centres) and in the field among 208 urban and rural households in Chișinău and the Central region. For this end, during the listing period and as per standard listing requirements, seven additional clusters in a nonMICS sample were selected. A total of 33 fieldwork staff attended the pre-test, of which 10 had previous 2005 Moldova DHS or 2000 Moldova MICS experience. Participant training included presentations, group work, mock interviews, classroom trainings on anthropometric and haemoglobin measurements, and familiarization with documents used to record immunization data. The pre-test results were used to evaluate interview durations for each questionnaire, adjust and modify the questionnaires' content and translation, and finalize logistical arrangements. A copy of the 2012 Moldova MICS questionnaires is provided in Appendix F.

Four sets of Questionnaires and one specific Form were used in the survey: 1) a Household Questionnaire used to collect information on all eligible household members (usual residents), ownership, and the dwelling; 2) a Questionnaire for Individual Women administered in each household to all women aged 15-49 years; 3) an Questionnaire for Children Under Five, administered to mothers or caretakers for all children under five living in the household; 4) a Questionnaire for Individual Men administered in every third household to all men aged 15-49 years, and the Form for Vaccination Records at Health Facility designed for the study of children's vaccination status according to the National Immunization Plan and Schedule.

The Household Questionnaire included the following modules:

- Household Information Panel
- Household Listing Form
- Education
- Water and Sanitation
- Household Characteristics
- Child Discipline
- Handwashing
- Salt Iodization

The Questionnaire for Individual Women was administered to all women aged 15-49 years living in the selected households, and included the following modules:

- Woman's Information Panel
- Woman's Background
- Access to Mass Media and Use of Information/Communication Technology
- Child Mortality -Birth History
- Desire for Last Birth
- Maternal and Newborn Health
- Post-natal Health Checks
- Illness Symptoms
- Contraception
- Unmet Need
- Attitudes Towards Domestic Violence
- Marriage/Union
- Sexual Behaviour
- HIV/AIDS
- Tuberculosis ${ }^{11}$
- Tobacco and Alcohol Use
- Life Satisfaction
- Haemoglobin measurement ${ }^{12}$

The Questionnaire for Children Under Five was administered to mothers or caretakers of children under the age of five ${ }^{13}$ living in each household. Normally, the questionnaire was administered to mothers of children under the age of five; in cases when the mother was not listed in the household roster, a primary caretaker for the child was identified and then interviewed. The questionnaire included the following modules:

- Under-Five Child Information Panel
- Age
- Birth Registration
- Early Childhood Development
- Breastfeeding
- Care of Illness
- Immunization
- Anthropometry
- Haemoglobin measurement ${ }^{14}$

The Questionnaire for Individual Men was administered to all men aged 15-49 years in every third household, and included the following modules:

- Man's Information Panel
- Man's Background
- Access to Mass Media and Use of Information/Communication Technology
- Child Mortality
- Attitudes Towards Domestic Violence
- Marriage/Union
- Sexual Behaviour
- HIV/AIDS
- Tuberculosis ${ }^{15}$
- Tobacco and Alcohol Use
- Life Satisfaction

The Questionnaire Form for Vaccination Records at Health Facility was separately administered for each eligible child (age 0-4 years) in the household, and was only filled in medical facilities to be subsequently annexed to the Questionnaire for Children Under Five.

The Questionnaire Form for Vaccination Records at Health Facility was used to record data on immunization against:

- Tuberculosis
- Polio
- Diphtheria, Pertussis, Tetanus
- Hepatitis B
- Measles, Mumps, Rubella

In addition to the administration of questionnaires, fieldwork teams tested the salt used for cooking in interviewed households for iodate content, observed the place for handwashing, measured the weights and heights of children under the age of five as well as the haemoglobin levels of women aged 15-49 years and of children aged 6-59 months. Details of how the measurement was done and findings are presented in the corresponding sections of the report.

[^5]
## Training and Fieldwork

Training for the fieldwork was conducted over 23 days (of which 16 were used for providing the theoretical framework and classroom practice, and 4 were used for field practice/piloting), between March 21 to April 12, 2012. The training included lectures on interviewing techniques and the contents of the questionnaires as well as working groups to gain practice in asking questions. The theoretical part of training also included lectures by specialists on different domains covered by the survey (HIV/AIDS, contraceptive methods, immunization, etc.), as well as introductions to standards of practice for haemoglobin and anthropometric measurements and for measurements on the iodate content in salt. Practical aspects of the traning included measurements and procedures for verification of immunization data, which were conducted both in classroom settings and at local health centres. Training was carried out according to standard MICS training procedures, including classroom presentations, mock interviews and written tests. All participants were instructed on how to complete the Household Questionnaire, the Questionnaire for Individual Women and Men, the Questionnaire for Children Under Five and the Questionnaire Form for Vaccination Records at Health Facility.

Training of field staff for both pre-test and data collection was mainly carried out in Romanian by UNICEF's National Consultant with technical support from field coordinators and in collaboration with UNICEF's MICS Regional Consultant. Towards the end of the training period, trainees spent four days in fieldwork (i.e. piloting), conducting interviews in Romanian and Russian as well as measurements and tests prescribed in the survey design. Piloting was conducted on 525 households in urban and rural areas of the Chișinău municipality and of Străşeni and Ialoveni districts/raions additionally selected on the basis of a non-MICS sample.

A total of 107 participants were trained as supervisors, field/office editors, interviewers and measurers. Participants who had medical training were made responsible for testing haemoglobin levels. Participants selected as supervisors and field editors were given two additional days of training on aspects of fieldwork supervision and editing of questionnaires.

The field staff was selected on the basis of psychological tests, communication skills, fluency in languages, interviewing capabilities as well as through classroom activity/field practice assessments and final post-training evaluations. Editors and supervisors were selected among the most experienced participants. The data were collected by fifteen teams; each team comprising of eight members: four interviewers (three female and one male), one editor, one measurer, one driver, and a supervisor.

Two field coordinators from the Implementing Agency coordinated and supervised all fieldwork activities with the support of UNICEF's National Consultant. Fieldwork progress was closely watched and supervised by UNICEF Moldova's MICS Coordinator and MICS experts of the UNICEF Regional Office, who assisted with field activities and regularly (approximately two to three weeks) assessed the quality on the basis of field check tables generated from parallel data entry.

The fieldwork was carried out between April 17 and June 30, 2012.

## Data Processing

Data were entered using the CSPro software on 12 computers by 12 previously trained data-entry clerks. A supervisor and an expert in data processing and analysis were responsible for the quality of data entry. Completed questionnaires were returned each week from the field to the NCPH office in Chișinău for additional editing by two office editors. In order to ensure quality control, all questionnaires were double-entered and internal consistency checks were performed. Standard procedures and programmes developed under the global MICS4 programme and adapted to the Moldova questionnaires were used throughout. Data processing began on April 25, shortly after the fieldwork was initiated and was completed on July 10, 2012; however, due to inconsistencies between the data entered and the actual data in the questionnaires, the data processing period had to be extended until September 14, 2012. Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software programme, Version 18. The standard SPSS syntax files and tabulation plans developed by UNICEF and adapted to the country's needs were used to this end.

## How to Read the Tables

The findings are not disaggregated by ethnicity when they are based on too few unweighted cases.
Throughout the report, "mother's education" refers to educational attainment of mothers as well as caretakers of children under-five, who are the respondents to the under-5 questionnaire if the mother is deceased or is living elsewhere.

Throughout the report, "mother's ethnicity" refers to ethnicity of mothers as well as caretakers of children under 5 , who are the respondents to the under-5 questionnaire if the mother is deceased or is living elsewhere.

Please note:

- (M) - the letter ' M ' after a table/figure code indicates that it refers to the male population;
-     *         - an asterisk in a table indicates that a percentage or proportion has been suppressed because it is based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases;
- (number) - values in parenthesis indicate that the percentage or proportion is based on only 25 to 49 unweighted cases and should be treated with caution;
- Age groups presented in this report also include those persons that have reached the full age indicated by the upper limit for an age group, for instance, respondents aged 15-49 years include persons who have reached a full 49 years of age, while the age group of children aged 6-23 months includes those who have reached a full 23 months.


## Sample Coverage

Of the 12,528 households selected for the sample, 11,657 were found to be occupied. Of these, 11,354 were successfully interviewed yielding a household response rate of 97 percent. In the interviewed households, 6,718 women aged $15-49$ years were identified. Of these, 6,000 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 89 percent within interviewed households. In addition, 2007 eligible men aged 15-49 years were listed in the household questionnaire. This number is based on a sub-sample of men, with men being selected for interview in every third household. Questionnaires were completed for 1,545 of eligible men, which corresponds to a response rate of 77 percent. There were 1,940 children under age five listed in the household questionnaire; however, questionnaires were completed for 1,869 , which corresponds to a response rate of 96 percent within interviewed households. Overall response rates of 87 percent, 75 percent, and 94 percent were reached for the women's, men's and under-5's interviews respectively (Table HH.1).

Table HH.1: Results of household, women's, men's and under-5's interviews
Number of households, women, men, and children under 5 by interview outcomes, and household, women's, men's and under-5's response rates, Moldova, 2012

|  | Area |  | Region |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | North | Centre | South | Chișinău |  |
| Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampled | 7300 | 5228 | 3660 | 2852 | 2245 | 3771 | 12528 |
| Occupied | 6689 | 4968 | 3470 | 2715 | 2115 | 3357 | 11657 |
| Interviewed | 6415 | 4939 | 3439 | 2694 | 2093 | 3128 | 11354 |
| Household response rate | 95.9 | 99.4 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 99.0 | 93.2 | 97.4 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 4049 | 2669 | 1828 | 1509 | 1186 | 2195 | 6718 |
| Interviewed | 3543 | 2457 | 1684 | 1369 | 1086 | 1861 | 6000 |
| Women's response rate | 87.5 | 92.1 | 92.1 | 90.7 | 91.6 | 84.8 | 89.3 |
| Women's overall response rate | 83.9 | 91.5 | 91.3 | 90.0 | 90.6 | 79.0 | 87.0 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 1148 | 859 | 518 | 451 | 365 | 673 | 2007 |
| Interviewed | 863 | 682 | 417 | 368 | 283 | 477 | 1545 |
| Men's response rate | 75.2 | 79.4 | 80.5 | 81.6 | 77.5 | 70.9 | 77.0 |
| Men's overall response rate | 72.1 | 78.9 | 79.8 | 81.0 | 76.7 | 66.0 | 75.0 |
| Children under 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 1074 | 866 | 545 | 459 | 392 | 544 | 1940 |
| Mothers/caretakers interviewed | 1031 | 838 | 538 | 439 | 385 | 507 | 1869 |
| Under-5's response rate | 96.0 | 96.8 | 98.7 | 95.6 | 98.2 | 93.2 | 96.3 |
| Under-5's overall response rate | 92.1 | 96.2 | 97.8 | 94.9 | 97.2 | 86.8 | 93.8 |

The household response rate was similar by regions, reaching about 99 percent except for Chișinău (93 percent). The urban population is less open about participating in surveys. Differences in response rates to women's and men's questionnaires were insignificant across regions (North, Central, South), but are lower for both women and men in Chișinău by five and six percentage points respectively. The response rate for the under-five questionnaire was also three percentage points lower in Chișinău, as compared to the country average. It should also be noted that response rates lower than 85 percent have only been found for men's interviews. This is mainly a result of migration of the male population (i.e. absence of men), of which a vast majority has gone abroad (Figure HH.1).


Figure HH.1: Results of household, women's, men's and under-5's interviews, Moldova, 2012

## Characteristics of Households

The age and sex distribution of the survey population is provided in Table HH.2. The distribution is also used to produce the population pyramid in Figure HH.2. A total of 28,789 household members were listed in the 11,354 interviewed households. Of these, as per weighted data, 13,515 were males, and 15,274 were females.

Table HH.2: Household age distribution by sex
Percent and frequency distribution of the household population by five-year age groups, dependency age groups, and by child (age 0-17 years) and adult populations (age 18 or more), by sex, Moldova, 2012

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 996 | 7.4 | 952 | 6.2 | 1948 | 6.8 |
| 5-9 | 876 | 6.5 | 851 | 5.6 | 1727 | 6.0 |
| 10-14 | 873 | 6.5 | 795 | 5.2 | 1668 | 5.8 |
| 15-19 | 992 | 7.3 | 997 | 6.5 | 1989 | 6.9 |
| 20-24 | 981 | 7.3 | 978 | 6.4 | 1959 | 6.8 |
| 25-29 | 1011 | 7.5 | 993 | 6.5 | 2004 | 7.0 |
| 30-34 | 815 | 6.0 | 906 | 5.9 | 1721 | 6.0 |
| 35-39 | 808 | 6.0 | 854 | 5.6 | 1663 | 5.8 |
| 40-44 | 818 | 6.1 | 833 | 5.5 | 1651 | 5.7 |
| 45-49 | 859 | 6.4 | 932 | 6.1 | 1792 | 6.2 |
| 50-54 | 1102 | 8.2 | 1315 | 8.6 | 2417 | 8.4 |
| 55-59 | 1069 | 7.9 | 1285 | 8.4 | 2354 | 8.2 |
| 60-64 | 952 | 7.0 | 1240 | 8.1 | 2192 | 7.6 |
| 65-69 | 425 | 3.1 | 613 | 4.0 | 1038 | 3.6 |
| 70-74 | 420 | 3.1 | 730 | 4.8 | 1150 | 4.0 |
| 75-79 | 289 | 2.1 | 475 | 3.1 | 765 | 2.7 |
| 80-84 | 160 | 1.2 | 333 | 2.2 | 493 | 1.7 |
| 85+ | 58 | 0.4 | 183 | 1.2 | 241 | 0.8 |
| Missing/DK | 7 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 17 | 0.1 |
| Dependency age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-14 | 2745 | 20.3 | 2598 | 17.0 | 5344 | 18.6 |
| 15-64 | 9409 | 69.6 | 10333 | 67.6 | 19741 | 68.6 |
| $65+$ | 1353 | 10.0 | 2333 | 15.3 | 3687 | 12.8 |
| Missing/DK | 7 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 17 | 0.1 |
| Child and adult populations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children age 0-17 years | 3360 | 24.9 | 3153 | 20.6 | 6513 | 22.6 |
| Adults age 18+ years | 10147 | 75.1 | 12111 | 79.3 | 22258 | 77.3 |
| Missing/DK | 7 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.1 | 17 | 0.1 |
| Total | 13515 | 100.0 | 15274 | 100.0 | 28789 | 100.0 |

Thus, the percentage of male respondents (47 percent) in the survey was found to be less than the percentage of females ( 53 percent). The percentage of children aged $0-17$ years was 23 percent. Children aged 0-14 years made up 19 percent in the survey population, while those aged $15-64$ years accounted for 69 percent. The 65+ population group made up 13 percent of the population (Table HH.2).


Figure HH.2: Percent distribution of the household population by five-year age groups and sex, Moldova, 2012
Tables HH. 3 - HH. 5 provide basic information on the households, eligible female, male and children under-5, information on weighted and unweighted numbers are reflected as well.

Information on the background characteristics of households, women, men and children under-5 interviewed in the survey is essential for the interpretation of findings presented later in the report and also can provide an indication of the representativeness of the survey. The remaining tables in this report are presented only with weighted numbers. See Appendix A for more details about the weighting.

Table HH.3: Household composition
Percent and frequency distribution of households by selected characteristics, Moldova, 2012

|  | Weighted percent | Number of households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Sex of household head |  |  |  |
| Male | 64.0 | 7265 | 7167 |
| Female | 36.0 | 4089 | 4187 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 32.7 | 3715 | 3439 |
| Centre | 29.6 | 3359 | 2694 |
| South | 18.4 | 2090 | 2093 |
| Chișinău | 19.3 | 2190 | 3128 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 38.3 | 4350 | 6415 |
| Rural | 61.7 | 7004 | 4939 |
| Number of household members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25.1 | 2850 | 2799 |
| 2 | 31.6 | 3587 | 3558 |
| 3 | 19.8 | 2252 | 2348 |
| 4 | 15.5 | 1756 | 1772 |
| 5 | 5.4 | 614 | 598 |
| 6 | 1.7 | 196 | 188 |
| 7 | 0.7 | 74 | 64 |
| 8 | 0.1 | 14 | 15 |
| 9 | 0.0 | 5 | 6 |
| 10+ | 0.1 | 6 | 6 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 6.0 | 677 | 563 |
| Secondary | 40.2 | 4563 | 4193 |
| Professional | 35.6 | 4038 | 4058 |
| Higher | 16.8 | 1911 | 2381 |
| Missing/DK | 1.5 | 165 | 159 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 79.5 | 9029 | 8548 |
| Russian | 5.3 | 597 | 833 |
| Ukrainian | 8.6 | 982 | 1079 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 0.6 | 67 | 75 |
| Gagauz | 3.6 | 410 | 477 |
| Other ethnic group | 2.4 | 269 | 342 |
| Total | 100.0 | 11354 | 11354 |
| Households with at least |  |  |  |
| One child 0-4 years old | 14.8 | 11354 | 11354 |
| One child 0-17 years old | 36.3 | 11354 | 11354 |
| One woman 15-49 years old | 47.6 | 11354 | 11354 |
| One man 15-49 years old | 43.4 | 3699 | 3701 |
| Mean household size | 2.5 | 11354 | 11354 |

Table HH. 3 provides basic background information on households disaggregated by region, area, number of household members as well as the sex, education and ethnicity of the household head. These background characteristics are used in subsequent tables in this report, as applicable.

In almost two-thirds of households in Moldova the head of the household is male ( 64 percent) and the households are located in rural areas ( 62 percent). The regional distribution of households ranges from 18 percent in the South to 33 percent in the North. Thirty-two percent of households have two members, while 25 percent are single member households and 20 percent have three members. In 40 percent of households, household heads have secondary education, while in 36 percent they have professional education. In the majority of households ( 80 percent), the head of the household is of Moldovan/Romanian ethnicity.

Table HH. 3 also shows the proportions of households with at least one child under 5, at least one child under 18, at least one eligible woman age 15-49 and at least one man age 15-49 (in every third household). Thus 15 percent of households have at least one child aged 0-4 years, 36 percent have at least one child aged 0-17 years, 48 percent have at least one woman aged 15-49 years, and 43 percent have at least one man aged 15-59 years. The average household size at the national level is 2.5 persons.

## Characteristics of Female and Male Respondents 15-49 Years Old and Children Under-5

Tables HH.4, HH.4M and HH. 5 provide information on the background characteristics of female and male respondents aged 15-49 years and of children under age five. In all three tables, the total numbers of weighted and unweighted observations are equal, since sample weights have been normalized (standardized). In addition to providing useful information on the background characteristics of women and children, the tables are also intended to show the numbers of observations in each background category. These categories are also used in the subsequent tabulations of this report.

Table HH.4: Women's background characteristics
Percent and frequency distribution of women aged 15-49 years by selected background characteristics, Moldova, 2012

|  | Weighted percent | Number of women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 30.0 | 1799 | 1684 |
| Centre | 28.6 | 1717 | 1369 |
| South | 18.3 | 1095 | 1086 |
| Chișinău | 23.1 | 1389 | 1861 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 42.2 | 2532 | 3543 |
| Rural | 57.8 | 3468 | 2457 |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 15.3 | 920 | 876 |
| 20-24 | 14.7 | 884 | 914 |
| 25-29 | 15.4 | 922 | 960 |
| 30-34 | 14.2 | 854 | 876 |
| 35-39 | 13.2 | 795 | 781 |
| 40-44 | 12.9 | 774 | 762 |
| 45-49 | 14.2 | 851 | 831 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 67.9 | 4073 | 4009 |
| Widowed | 2.3 | 135 | 125 |
| Divorced | 4.6 | 276 | 312 |
| Separated | 3.5 | 209 | 226 |
| Never married/in union | 21.8 | 1306 | 1328 |
| Motherhood status |  |  |  |
| Ever gave birth | 69.9 | 4192 | 4123 |
| Never gave birth | 30.1 | 1808 | 1877 |
| Births in last two years |  |  |  |
| Had a birth in last two years | 12.5 | 750 | 723 |
| Had no birth in last two years | 87.5 | 5250 | 5277 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 0.4 | 26 | 26 |
| Secondary | 44.4 | 2666 | 2396 |
| Professional | 29.3 | 1757 | 1756 |
| Higher | 25.4 | 1524 | 1795 |
| Missing/DK | 0.5 | 28 | 27 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 12.1 | 724 | 542 |
| Second | 17.2 | 1029 | 807 |
| Middle | 22.2 | 1330 | 1137 |
| Fourth | 23.2 | 1392 | 1460 |
| Richest | 25.4 | 1525 | 2054 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 81.0 | 4861 | 4661 |
| Russian | 4.6 | 279 | 363 |
| Ukrainian | 7.4 | 445 | 483 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 1.0 | 59 | 61 |
| Gagauz | 3.6 | 219 | 260 |
| Other ethnic group | 2.3 | 137 | 172 |
| Woman's ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 81.5 | 4890 | 4696 |
| Russian | 5.1 | 308 | 393 |
| Ukrainian | 6.9 | 413 | 442 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 0.8 | 48 | 51 |
| Gagauz | 3.4 | 203 | 248 |
| Other ethnic group | 2.3 | 138 | 170 |
| Total | 100.0 | 6000 | 6000 |

Table HH. 4 provides background characteristics of female respondents 15-49 years old. The table includes information on the distribution of women according to region, area, age, marital status, motherhood status, births in last two years, education, wealth index quintiles and ethnicity of the household head.

The percent distribution by region shows that 30 percent and 29 percent of women aged 15-49 years live in households in the North and Central region, respectively, while the South region has the lowest proportion (18 percent). Some 42 percent of women live in urban areas, compared to 58 percent in rural areas. Women's distribution by age subgroups (of five-year intervals) is relatively homogeneous, averaging 14 percent in each age subgroup. The data also show that 68 percent of all eligible women are currently married or are in union and about 22 percent have never been married. Seventy percent of women have given birth at least once and 13 percent gave birth in the last two years. Most women (over 70 percent) have secondary or professional education (44 percent and 29 percent respectively), while 25 percent of eligible women have higher education. Eighty-one percent of women aged 15-49 years live in households where the head is Moldovan/Romanian; while seven percent live in households where the head is Ukrainian, five percent where he/she is Russian, four percent where he/she is Gagauz and three percent live in households where the head is of other ethnic group (2 percent) and Roma (1 percent).

Table HH.4M: Men's background characteristics
Percent and frequency distribution of men aged 15-49 years by selected background characteristics, Moldova, 2012

|  | Weighted percent | Number of men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 30.1 | 465 | 417 |
| Centre | 28.6 | 442 | 368 |
| South | 19.0 | 293 | 283 |
| Chișinău | 22.4 | 346 | 477 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 38.9 | 601 | 863 |
| Rural | 61.1 | 944 | 682 |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 16.8 | 259 | 254 |
| 20-24 | 15.4 | 238 | 246 |
| 25-29 | 15.4 | 237 | 242 |
| 30-34 | 11.0 | 170 | 183 |
| 35-39 | 13.4 | 207 | 206 |
| 40-44 | 14.3 | 221 | 211 |
| 45-49 | 13.7 | 212 | 203 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 57.0 | 880 | 875 |
| Widowed | 0.4 | 6 | 6 |
| Divorced | 3.2 | 50 | 45 |
| Separated | 2.7 | 42 | 51 |
| Never married/in union | 36.7 | 567 | 568 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 0.5 | 8 | 7 |
| Secondary | 46.6 | 720 | 649 |
| Professional | 32.2 | 497 | 486 |
| Higher | 20.0 | 308 | 394 |
| Missing/DK | 0.7 | 12 | 9 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 15.2 | 234 | 180 |
| Second | 17.8 | 276 | 216 |
| Middle | 22.3 | 345 | 306 |
| Fourth | 20.4 | 315 | 328 |
| Richest | 24.3 | 376 | 515 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 80.6 | 1245 | 1203 |
| Russian | 4.2 | 64 | 83 |
| Ukrainian | 8.3 | 128 | 135 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 1.0 | 16 | 16 |
| Gagauz | 3.8 | 58 | 67 |
| Other ethnic group | 2.2 | 33 | 41 |
| Man's ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 82.1 | 1268 | 1223 |
| Russian | 4.3 | 67 | 90 |
| Ukrainian | 6.5 | 101 | 106 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 1.1 | 17 | 18 |
| Gagauz | 4.1 | 63 | 71 |
| Other ethnic group | 1.9 | 29 | 37 |
| Total | 100.0 | 1545 | 1545 |

Similarly, Table HH.4M provides background characteristics of male respondents 15-49 years old. The percent distribution by region shows that 30 and 29 percent of men 15-49 years old live in households in the North and Central region, respectively, while the Southern region has the lowest proportion (19 percent). Thirty-nine percent of men live in urban areas, compared to 61 percent in rural areas. Men's distribution by age subgroups (of 5-year intervals) shows the highest share (17 percent) for the 15-19 subgroup and the lowest (11 percent)
for the 30-34 subgroup. 57 percent of all eligible men are currently married or are in union and about 37 percent have never been married or in union. Most men ( 79 percent) have secondary or professional education (47 percent and 32 percent respectively), while 20 percent of eligible men have higher education. Eighty-one percent of men aged 15-49 years live in households where the head is Moldovan/Romanian; 8 percent live in households where the household head is Ukrainian, while the same percentage live in households where the household head is Russian or Gagauz (4 percent in both cases).

Table HH.5: Under-5's background characteristics
Percent and frequency distribution of children under the age of five old by selected characteristics, Moldova, 2012

|  | Weighted percent | Num | hildren |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted percent | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 51.1 | 955 | 969 |
| Female | 48.9 | 914 | 900 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 31.3 | 584 | 538 |
| Centre | 29.5 | 552 | 439 |
| South | 21.1 | 395 | 385 |
| Chișinău | 18.1 | 338 | 507 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 36.5 | 682 | 1031 |
| Rural | 63.5 | 1187 | 838 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |
| 0-5 | 9.6 | 179 | 176 |
| 6-11 | 11.8 | 221 | 214 |
| 12-23 | 20.6 | 386 | 377 |
| 24-35 | 19.9 | 372 | 369 |
| 36-47 | 20.2 | 377 | 388 |
| 48-59 | 17.9 | 335 | 345 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 0.9 | 16 | 18 |
| Secondary | 46.7 | 873 | 759 |
| Professional | 24.0 | 448 | 449 |
| Higher | 27.5 | 515 | 626 |
| Missing/DK | 0.9 | 17 | 17 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 17.8 | 332 | 246 |
| Second | 21.4 | 400 | 317 |
| Middle | 19.8 | 370 | 314 |
| Fourth | 17.4 | 326 | 353 |
| Richest | 23.6 | 441 | 639 |
| Ethnicity of household h |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 81.2 | 1517 | 1450 |
| Russian | 3.9 | 73 | 102 |
| Ukrainian | 5.8 | 108 | 123 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 1.7 | 32 | 31 |
| Gagauz | 4.7 | 88 | 103 |
| Other ethnic group | 2.8 | 52 | 60 |
| Mother's ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 75.9 | 1418 | 1366 |
| Russian | 4.6 | 86 | 113 |
| Ukrainian | 5.7 | 107 | 121 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 1.2 | 23 | 25 |
| Gagauz | 3.9 | 72 | 89 |
| Other ethnic group | 2.4 | 45 | 56 |
| Missing/DK | 6.3 | 118 | 99 |
| Total | 100.0 | 1869 | 1869 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mother's education refers to educational attainment of mothers and caretakers of children under 5.

Some background characteristics of children under 5 are presented in Table HH.5. These include the distribution of children by several attributes: sex, region and area, age, mother's or caretaker's education, wealth, and the ethnicity of household head. The results show that the proportions of girls ( 49 percent) and boys ( 51 percent) were almost equal. The largest proportion ( 61 percent) of children under 5 reside in the North (31 percent) and Central (30 percent) regions, while the smallest proportion is found in Chișinău (18 percent). Thirty-seven percent of children under five live in urban areas, compared to 64 percent in rural areas. The distribution of children under 5 by age groups (expressed in months) shows a higher proportion in the 12-23 month ( 21 percent) and 36-47 month ( 20 percent) age groups, with the lowest ( 10 percent) in the 0-5 month age group. Seventy-one percent of mothers/caretakers of children under 5 have secondary and professional education ( 47 percent and 24 percent, respectively). Furthermore, children under 5 are quite evenly distributed by wealth index quintiles (from 18 percent in the richest quintile to 24 percent in the poorest quintile). Distribution by ethnicity of household head shows that 81 percent were Moldovan/ Romanian, six percent Ukrainian, five percent Gagauz, and four percent Russian.

## Characteristics of the Household Population by Wealth index quintiles

Table HH. 6 presents information on the percent distribution of the household population by wealth index quintiles according to area of residence, regions, education of the household head and ethnicity of the household head. Almost half of the household population (47 percent) in urban areas belongs to the richest wealth index quintile, while in rural areas the highest proportions of the household population belong to the poorest, second and middle wealth index quintiles. The North and Central regions have a higher proportion of the household population distributed between the poorest, second and middle wealth index quintiles, whereas in the South, the highest percentage of the household population belongs to the fourth wealth index quintiles. Sixty-four percent of the household population in Chișinău belongs to the richest wealth index quintiles. There are notable differentials by education of the household head: almost two-thirds ( 60 percent) of the population living in households whose head has no education or only has primary education belong to the poorest wealth index quintiles, while more than half of the population living in households whose head has higher education ( 55 percent), belongs to the richest wealth index quintiles. Fifty-three percent of the population living in households whose head is of Roma (Gypsy) ethnicity are in the poorest wealth index quintiles. The corresponding figure is notably lower for populations whose household heads belong to other ethnic groups. The most equal distribution between wealth index quintiles is among the population living in households whose head is of Moldovan/Romanian ethnicity.

Table HH.6: Wealth index quintiles
Percent distribution of the household population by wealth index quintiles, according to area of residence and regions, education of household head and ethnicity of household head, Moldova, 2012


## Children's Living Arrangements and Orphanhood

Table HH. 7 presents information on the living arrangements and orphanhood status of children under the age of 18. Slightly less than two-thirds ( 63 percent) of children age 0-17 years in Moldova live with both biological parents, 22 percent live with mothers only and 4 percent live with fathers only. Eleven percent of children live with neither biological parent. Nineteen percent live with their mothers only while the biological father is alive. Five percent of children have lost one or both parents.

Older children are less likely than younger children to live with both parents as expected and are more likely than younger children to have lost one or both parents. Table HH. 7 also shows that the percentage of children living with both parents is the highest among children living in households in the richest wealth index quintiles ( 75 percent) and lowest in the poorest quintile ( 54 percent). Nine percent of children in the poorest households have lost one or both parents. The corresponding proportion of such children living in households in the richest quintile is three percent.
Table HH.7: Children's living arrangements and orphanhood
Percent distribution of children age 0-17 years according to living arrangements, the percentage of children age 0-17 years in households not living with a biological parent and percentage of children who have one or both biological parents dead, Moldova, 2012

|  | Living with both parents | Living with neither parent |  |  |  | Living with mother only |  | Living with father only |  | Impossible to determine | Total | Not living with a biological parent ${ }^{1}$ | One or both parents dead $^{2}$ | Number of children age 0-17 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Only father alive | Only mother alive | Both alive | Both- <br> dead | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother dead |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 63.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 18.1 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 10.5 | 5.1 | 3360 |
| Female | 63.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 19.2 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 10.6 | 3.9 | 3153 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 59.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 11.8 | 0.4 | 21.0 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 12.9 | 4.5 | 2035 |
| Centre | 64.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 17.2 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 4.8 | 2055 |
| South | 59.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 11.7 | 0.3 | 18.5 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 13.6 | 5.2 | 1361 |
| Chișinău | 72.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 1062 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 64.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 7.7 | 0.3 | 20.7 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 8.8 | 4.0 | 2184 |
| Rural | 62.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 10.4 | 0.3 | 17.6 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 4.8 | 4329 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 71.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 1948 |
| 5-9 | 62.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 20.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 3.7 | 1727 |
| 10-14 | 59.8 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 16.9 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 12.9 | 5.7 | 1668 |
| 15-17 | 54.1 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 13.6 | 1.0 | 15.7 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 16.8 | 10.1 | 1170 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 54.2 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 9.9 | 0.5 | 24.2 | 6.4 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 8.9 | 1064 |
| Second | 57.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 13.3 | 0.2 | 18.2 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 14.1 | 5.3 | 1408 |
| Middle | 61.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 11.3 | 0.4 | 16.9 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 12.6 | 3.6 | 1436 |
| Fourth | 65.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 0.1 | 18.0 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 10.1 | 3.0 | 1281 |
| Richest | 75.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 17.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 2.8 | 1323 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 63.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 9.7 | 0.3 | 18.3 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 10.8 | 4.5 | 5362 |
| Russian | 63.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 5.9 | 237 |
| Ukrainian | 64.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 21.8 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 4.2 | 433 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 58.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 0.8 | 21.9 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 3.7 | 96 |
| Gagauz | 66.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 9.6 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 10.3 | 5.9 | 244 |
| Other ethnic group | 59.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 28.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 3.9 | 141 |
| Total | 63.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 18.6 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 10.6 | 4.5 | 6513 |

[^6]
## Children with biological parents living abroad

Table HH. 8 presents information on the distribution of children with biological parents living abroad. About one-fifth ( 21 percent) of children aged 0-17 years have at least one biological parent, in most cases the father, living abroad. The percentage of children aged 0-17 years with at least one biological parent living abroad is lower in urban areas ( 17 percent) than rural areas ( 23 percent), and ranges from 9 percent in Chișinău to 25 percent in the North and South regions.

Table HH.8: Children with biological parents living abroad
Percent distribution of children age 0-17 years with one or both biological parents living abroad, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percent distribution of children age 0-17 years |  |  |  |  | Percentage of children age $0-17$ years with at least one biological parent living abroad ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children age $0-17$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With at least one biological parent living abroad |  |  | With neither parent living abroad | Total |  |  |
|  | Only mother abroad | Only father abroad | Both mother and father abroad |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5.5 | 10.0 | 5.5 | 79.0 | 100.0 | 21.0 | 3360 |
| Female | 5.7 | 10.8 | 4.8 | 78.7 | 100.0 | 21.3 | 3153 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 5.6 | 12.3 | 6.6 | 75.5 | 100.0 | 24.5 | 2035 |
| Centre | 6.5 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 78.5 | 100.0 | 21.5 | 2055 |
| South | 7.1 | 11.1 | 6.5 | 75.2 | 100.0 | 24.8 | 1361 |
| Chișinău | 1.7 | 6.5 | 1.2 | 90.6 | 100.0 | 9.4 | 1062 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.4 | 10.0 | 3.5 | 83.2 | 100.0 | 16.8 | 2184 |
| Rural | 6.7 | 10.6 | 6.0 | 76.7 | 100.0 | 23.3 | 4329 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 2.2 | 12.4 | 3.4 | 81.9 | 100.0 | 18.1 | 1948 |
| 5-9 | 5.7 | 10.5 | 5.4 | 78.4 | 100.0 | 21.6 | 1727 |
| 10-14 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 6.9 | 75.6 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 1668 |
| 15-17 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 5.3 | 79.2 | 100.0 | 20.8 | 1170 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 3.8 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 82.7 | 100.0 | 17.3 | 1064 |
| Second | 8.3 | 11.1 | 7.9 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 27.3 | 1408 |
| Middle | 8.1 | 12.7 | 5.3 | 73.9 | 100.0 | 26.1 | 1436 |
| Fourth | 5.3 | 10.4 | 5.5 | 78.8 | 100.0 | 21.2 | 1281 |
| Richest | 1.5 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 87.9 | 100.0 | 12.1 | 1323 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 5.8 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 78.4 | 100.0 | 21.6 | 5362 |
| Russian | 3.6 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 85.5 | 100.0 | 14.5 | 237 |
| Ukrainian | 4.5 | 11.2 | 4.6 | 79.7 | 100.0 | 20.3 | 433 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 5.8 | 7.4 | 3.5 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 96 |
| Gagauz | 5.9 | 10.7 | 4.9 | 78.4 | 100.0 | 21.6 | 244 |
| Other ethnic group | 2.5 | 14.6 | 3.0 | 79.8 | 100.0 | 20.2 | 141 |
| Total | 5.6 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 78.9 | 100.0 | 21.1 | 6513 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.15

The level of child mortality is an index of a country's socioeconomic development and of the state's attitude towards the health of future generations. One of the overarching goals of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) is to reduce infant and under-five mortality. Specifically, the MDGs call for the reduction of under-five mortality by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015.

Mortality rates presented in this chapter are calculated from information collected in the Birth History module of the Women's Questionnaire. Women in the 15-49 age group were asked whether they had ever given birth, and if so, they were asked to report the number of sons and daughters who live with them, the number who live elsewhere, and the number who have died. In addition, they were asked to provide a detailed birth history of live births of children in chronological order starting with the firstborn. Women were asked whether births were single or multiple, the sex of the children, the date of birth (month and year), and survival status. Further, for children still alive, they were asked the current age of the child and, if not alive, the age at death. Since the primary causes of childhood mortality change as children age, from mostly biological factors to environmental factors, childhood mortality rates are expressed by age categories and are defined as follows;

- Neonatal mortality (NN): the probability of dying within the first month of life
- Post-neonatal mortality (PNN): the difference between infant and neonatal mortality
- Infant mortality (1q0): the probability of dying between birth and the first birthday
- $\quad$ Child mortality (4q1): the probability of dying between exact ages one and five
- Under-five mortality ( $5 q 0$ ): the probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday

The rates of childhood mortality are expressed as deaths per 1,000 live births, except in the case of child mortality, which is expressed as deaths per 1,000 children surviving to age one.

Table CM. 1 presents neonatal, post-neonatal, infant, child, and under-five mortality rates for the three most recent five-year periods before the survey. Neonatal mortality in the most recent five-year period is estimated as 13 per 1,000 live births, while the post-neonatal mortality rate is estimated as 1 per 1,000 live births.

Table CM.1: Early childhood mortality rates (five-year periods preceding the survey)
Neonatal, post-neonatal, infant, child and under-five mortality rates for five-year periods preceding the survey, Moldova, 2012

|  | Neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{1}$ | Post-neonatal mortality rate ${ }^{2}$ | Infant mortality rate ${ }^{3}$ | Child mortality rate $^{4}$ | Under-five mortality rate ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 13 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 16 |
| 5-9 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 10-14 | 27 | 6 | 33 | 3 | 36 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 1.3
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 1.4
${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 1.2; MDG indicator 4.2
${ }^{4}$ MICS indicator 1.5 '
${ }^{5}$ MICS indicator 1.1; MDG indicator 4.1
Note: Post-neonatal mortality rates are computed as the difference between the infant and neonatal mortality rates
All the early age mortality rates appear to have improved in Moldova in the last 15 years. As a result of this process, under-five mortality has more than halved (from 36 to 16 per 1,000 live births). Similar trends may be observed for all early age mortality indicators (Table CM.1).

The small number of cases of death in children under the age of five does not allow for analysis by background characteristics for 5-year periods preceding the survey. To obtain more reliable data, analysis periods were extended to 10 years, while also calculating confidence intervals (CI) (Table CM.2). The data presented in Table CM. 2 confirms the positive trends in declining child mortality in Moldova in the last 20 years.

Table CM.2: Early childhood mortality rates (ten-year periods preceding the survey)
Neonatal, infant and under-five mortality rates for ten-year periods preceding the survey, Moldova, 2012

|  | Neonatal mortality rate | Infant mortality rate | Under-five mortality rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Average | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}^{1}$ | Average | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}^{1}$ | Average | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}^{1}$ |
| Years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-9$ | 12 | $7.7-16.0$ | 15 | $10.3-19.4$ | 16 | $10.7-20.9$ |
| $10-19$ | 23 | $16.5-29.6$ | 31 | $23.1-38.3$ | 35 | $27.1-43.1$ |

${ }^{1}$ The columns ' $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ' present the 95 percent confidence intervals
Figure CM. 1 shows the estimates of infant and under-five mortality rates by area for the five years preceding the survey (2007-2012) with a 95 percent confidence interval. The infant mortality rate in the five years preceding the survey is 15 per 1,000 live births and under-five mortality is 16 deaths per 1,000 live births for the same period.


Note: the small vertical bars indicate 95 percent confidence intervals of mortality rates
Figure CM.1: Infant and under-five mortality rates for the five years preceding the survey (2007-2012) by area, Moldova, 2012

Figure CM. 2 shows the under-five mortality rates of the 2012 Moldova MICS, the 2005 Moldova DHS, and the NBS/MoH vital registration statistics, reflecting somewhat similar trends in under-five mortality rates.


Figure CM.2: Under-five mortality rates according to the $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ Moldova MICS, the 2005 Moldova DHS and the NBS/MoH vital registration statistics, Moldova

Table CM. 3 provides estimates of child mortality for the ten-year period preceding the survey by selected background characteristics. There is some difference between the probabilities of dying among males and females during the post-natal period. Infant and under-five mortality rates are lower in urban areas than in rural areas. There are also differences in mortality in terms of educational levels and wealth, with lower child mortality rates among mothers with higher education and also among richer households.

Table CM.3: Early childhood mortality rates by background characteristics
Neonatal, post-neonatal, infant, child and under-five mortality rates for the ten-year period preceding the survey, by background characteristics, Moldova, 2012

|  | Neonatal mortality rate | Post-neonatal mortality rate | Infant mortality rate | Child mortality rate | Under-five mortality rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex of child |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 12 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 16 |
| Female | 12 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| 2 | 12 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 3+ | (18) | (2) | (20) | (0) | (20) |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 11 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| Rural | 12 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 17 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | * | * | * | * | * |
| Secondary | 13 | 4 | 17 | 2 | 19 |
| Professional | 14 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 17 |
| Higher | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| Wealth index |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest 60 percent | 13 | 4 | 18 | 1 | 19 |
| Richest 40 percent | 10 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 12 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 16 |

Note: Post-neonatal mortality rates are computed as the difference between the infant and neonatal mortality rates
( ) Figures that are based on 250-499 unweighted person-years of exposure

* Figures that are based on fewer than 250 unweighted person-years of exposure


## Nutritional Status

Children's nutritional status is a reflection of their overall health. When children have access to an adequate food supply, they are not exposed to repeated illness, are well cared for, they reach their growth potential and are considered well nourished.

Malnutrition is associated with more than half of all child deaths worldwide. Undernourished children are more likely to die from common childhood ailments, and for those who survive, have recurring sicknesses and poor growth. Three-quarters of the children who die from causes related to malnutrition were only mildly or moderately malnourished and showed no outward sign of their vulnerability. One of the targets of The Millennium Development Goals is to halve the proportion of people who suffer from hunger between 1990 and 2015. A reduction in the prevalence of malnutrition will also assist in the goal to reduce child mortality.

In a well-nourished population, there is a reference distribution of height and weight for children under age five. Under nourishment in a population can be gauged by comparing children to a reference population. The reference population used in this report is based on the WHO growth standards ${ }^{16}$. Each of the three nutritional status indicators can be expressed in standard deviation units (z-scores) from the median of the reference population.

Weight-for-age is a measure of both acute and chronic malnutrition. Children whose weight-for-age is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are considered moderately or severely underweight while those whose weight-for-age is more than three standard deviations below the median are classified as severely underweight.

Height-for-age is a measure of linear growth. Children whose height-for-age is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are considered short for their age and are classified as moderately or severely stunted. Those whose height-for-age is more than three standard deviations below the median are classified as severely stunted. Stunting is a reflection of chronic malnutrition as a result of failure to receive adequate nutrition over a long period, recurrent or chronic illness, as well as precarious socio-economic conditions.

Finally, children whose weight-for-height is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are classified as moderately or severely wasted, while those who fall more than three standard deviations below the median are classified as severely wasted. Wasting is usually the result of an acute nutritional deficiency. The indicator may exhibit significant seasonal shifts associated with changes in the availability of food or disease prevalence.

In 2012 Moldova MICS, weights and heights of all children under 5 years of age were measured using anthropometric equipment (scales and measuring boards) recommended by UNICEF (www.childinfo.org). Length measurement for children less than 24 months old has been taken in lying down position and for children between 24-59 months in standing position. Findings in this section are based on the results of these measurements.

Table NU. 1 shows percentages of children classified into each of the above described categories, based on the anthropometric measurements that were taken during fieldwork. Additionally, the table includes the percentage of children who are overweight, which takes into account those children whose weight for height is above two standard deviations from the median of the reference population, and mean $z$-scores for all three anthropometric indicators.

In the 2012 Moldova MICS, the nutritional status of children was calculated by using the child growth standards published by the World Health Organisation in 2006. These standards have been generated on the basis of the data collected within the WHO Multicentre Reference Study on Nutritional Status (WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group, 2006).

Table NU.1A (Annex D) has been created with the purpose to compare the data obtained in 2012 Moldova MICS with the data of the 2005 Moldova DHS. Table NU.1A shows the nutritional status of children in compliance with the NCHS/CDC/WHO standards that were used since 1978 before being replaced by the new WHO standards in 2006.

[^7]Table NU.1: Nutritional status of children
Percentage of children under the age of five by nutritional status according to three anthropometric indices: weight for age, height for age, and weight for height, Moldova, 2012

|  | Weight for age |  |  | Number of children under the age of five | Height for age |  |  | Number of children under the age of five | Weight for height |  |  |  | Number of children under the age of five |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Underweight |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean Z- } \\ \text { Score (SD) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Stunted |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Z- } \\ & \text { Score (SD) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Wasted |  | Overweight | Mean Z- <br> Score (SD) |  |
|  | perce | elow |  |  | perc | elow |  |  | percent below |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { percent above } \\ +2 \text { SD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | -2 S $^{1}$ | $-3 S D^{2}$ |  |  | $-2 S D^{3}$ | $-3 S D^{4}$ |  |  | $-2 \mathrm{SD}^{5}$ | $-3 S D^{6}$ |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 870 | 5.8 | 1.3 | -0.2 | 868 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 862 |
| Female | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 854 | 7.0 | 1.6 | -0.3 | 836 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 837 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 591 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 581 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 578 |
| Rural | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1133 | 7.8 | 2.0 | -0.3 | 1124 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 1120 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 556 | 7.2 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 545 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 546 |
| Centre | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 521 | 6.9 | 1.6 | -0.3 | 520 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 515 |
| South | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 371 | 7.0 | 1.8 | -0.3 | 369 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 368 |
| Chișinău | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 276 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 271 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 269 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-5 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 167 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 166 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 169 |
| 6-11 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 213 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 211 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 211 |
| 12-23 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 368 | 5.3 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 358 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 357 |
| 24-35 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 343 | 6.9 | 1.3 | -0.4 | 333 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 331 |
| 36-47 | 3.1 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 340 | 7.9 | 1.5 | -0.4 | 340 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 336 |
| 48-59 | 1.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 294 | 7.0 | 1.9 | -0.4 | 296 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.1 | 295 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 2.5 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 823 | 7.2 | 1.4 | -0.4 | 814 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 815 |
| Professional | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 416 | 9.7 | 2.9 | -0.2 | 410 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 0.2 | 407 |
| Higher | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 451 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 447 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 443 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 3.5 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 322 | 11.4 | 4.1 | -0.8 | 316 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 315 |
| Second | 2.2 | 0.4 | -0.1 | 388 | 5.6 | 0.7 | -0.4 | 388 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 386 |
| Middle | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 350 | 9.1 | 1.6 | -0.2 | 348 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 348 |
| Fourth | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 294 | 3.4 | 0.6 | -0.1 | 290 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 288 |
| Richest | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 370 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 363 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 361 |
| Mother's ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1322 | 6.7 | 1.5 | -0.3 | 1302 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 1301 |
| Russian | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 73 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 72 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 70 |
| Ukrainian | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 96 | 6.7 | 0.7 | -0.1 | 95 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 95 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | (0.0) | (0.0) | -(0.3) | 23 | (9.4) | (0.0) | -(0.8) | 23 | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.1) | 23 |
| Gagauz | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 66 | 3.9 | 2.7 | -0.2 | 66 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 65 |
| Other ethnic group | (1.8) | (0.0) | (0.1) | 41 | (5.9) | (0.0) | -(0.2) | 41 | (1.8) | (1.8) | (10.1) | (0) | 41 |
| Missing/DK | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 105 | 5.4 | 2.6 | -0.2 | 106 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 104 |
| Total | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1724 | 6.4 | 1.4 | -0.2 | 1704 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 1698 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.1a and MDG indicator 1.8
${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 2.2a, ${ }^{4}$ MICS indicator 2.2b
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 18 unweighted cases with no/primary education and 17 unweighted cases with missing/DK education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Children were excluded from one or more of the anthropometric indicators when their weights and/or heights have not been measured, whichever applicable. For example if a child was weighed but his/her height was not measured, the child was included in underweight calculations, but not in the calculations for stunting and wasting. Percentages of children by age and reasons for exclusion are shown in the data quality tables DQ. 6 and DQ. 7 in Annex D. Overall, 93 percent of eligible children had both their weights and heights measured (Table DQ.6). Table DQ. 7 shows that due to missing weight and/or height, 10 percent of children have been excluded from calculations of the weight-for-age indicator and 11 percent for the height-for-age and weight-for-height indicators.

About two percent of children under five in Moldova are moderately underweight (Table NU.1). Six percent of children are moderately stunted or too short for their age, while two percent are moderately wasted or too thin for their height.


Figure NU.1: Percentage of children under-5 who are underweight, stunted and wasted, Moldova, 2012

The prevalence of low weight-for-age ( 2 percent) and of low weight-for-height ( 2 percent) at the country level is comparable to the value for the reference population ( 2 percent) and varies slightly depending on area, sex, age, mother's education level and the household wealth index quintiles (Table NU. 1 and Figure NU.1).

The height-for-age index is the most affected, showing 6 percent of children under 5 that are stunted. The prevalence of low height-for-age ranges from 3 percent in Chișinău to 7 percent in the other three regions, and from 4 to 7 percent in urban and rural areas, respectively. The percentage of stunting did not differ significantly by children's sex and age (Table NU. 1 and Figure NU.1). However, the relatively high share of low height-for-age in children aged 0-5 months may be linked to the quality of data, given the difficulty of measuring the length of children at this age.

The prevalence of high weight-for-height, which correlates with an increased risk of obesity, is higher than in the reference population. About 5 percent of children under five in Moldova are overweight. The prevalence of high weight-for-height ranges from 3 percent among children in households of the poorest and second wealth index quintiles and 8 percent among children in households of the middle wealth index quintiles. The probability of overweight by sex, area, and mother's education is insignificant.

Thus, chronic energy deficiency, manifested by low height-for-age, on the one hand, and excess energy, manifested by overweight, on the other hand, are two public health concerns that have negative health implications in adulthood period.

## Breastfeeding and Infant and Young Child Feeding

Breastfeeding for the first few years of life protects children from infection, provides an ideal source of nutrients, and is economical and safe. However, many mothers stop breastfeeding too soon and there are often pressures to switch to infant formula, which can contribute to slow growth and micronutrient malnutrition and is unsafe if clean water is not readily available.

WHO/UNICEF have the following feeding recommendations:

- Exclusive breastfeeding for first six months;
- Continued breastfeeding for two years or more;
- Safe and age-appropriate complementary foods beginning at 6 months;
- Frequency of complementary feeding: 2 times per day for 6-8 month olds; 3 times per day for 9-11 month olds.

It is also recommended that breastfeeding be initiated within one hour of birth.

The indicators related to recommended child feeding practices are as follows:

- Early initiation of breastfeeding (within 1 hour of birth);
- Exclusive breastfeeding rate ( $<6$ months);
- Predominant breastfeeding (< 6 months);
- Continued breastfeeding rate (at 1 year and at 2 years);
- Duration of breastfeeding;
- Age-appropriate breastfeeding (0-23 months);
- Introduction of solid, semi-solid and soft foods (6-8 months);
- Minimum meal frequency (6-23 months);
- Milk feeding frequency for non-breastfeeding children (6-23 months);
- Bottle feeding (0-23 months).

Table NU.2: Initial breastfeeding
Percentage of last-born children in the 2 years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, percentage who were breastfed within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, and percentage who received a prelacteal feed, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage who were ever breastfed ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage who were first breastfed: |  | Percentage who received a prelacteal feed | Number of last-born children in the two years preceding the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Within one hour of birth ${ }^{2}$ | Within one day of birth |  |  |
| Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 96.4 | 56.6 | 86.0 | 28.5 | 236 |
| Centre | 95.4 | 60.6 | 84.0 | 21.4 | 204 |
| South | 98.4 | 67.6 | 95.1 | 16.4 | 160 |
| Chișinău | 97.1 | 61.0 | 86.0 | 29.6 | 150 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.3 | 58.8 | 85.1 | 31.0 | 291 |
| Rural | 96.9 | 62.3 | 88.8 | 19.9 | 459 |
| Months since last birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 97.9 | 68.4 | 91.0 | 22.8 | 204 |
| 12-23 months | 92.7 | 54.3 | 87.9 | 18.1 | 190 |
| Assistance at delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant | 97.2 | 61.2 | 87.8 | 24.3 | 744 |
| Traditional birth attendant | * | * | * | * | 6 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector health facility | 97.2 | 61.5 | 87.9 | 24.3 | 738 |
| Other/Missing | * | * | * | * | 12 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 95.7 | 64.2 | 86.6 | 20.9 | 310 |
| Professional | 96.3 | 54.8 | 87.0 | 25.4 | 184 |
| Higher | 98.1 | 61.1 | 89.3 | 26.7 | 244 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 93.7 | 56.4 | 82.5 | 15.2 | 114 |
| Second | 99.7 | 69.2 | 92.3 | 19.6 | 151 |
| Middle | 97.0 | 59.8 | 85.3 | 28.9 | 148 |
| Fourth | 96.9 | 55.6 | 92.4 | 26.7 | 129 |
| Richest | 95.8 | 61.4 | 84.9 | 27.6 | 208 |
| Total | 96.7 | 60.9 | 87.4 | 24.2 | 750 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.4
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.5
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 5 unweighted cases with
"Missing/DK" education are not shown

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table NU. 2 shows the proportion of children born in the two years preceding the survey who were ever breastfed, those who were first breastfed within one hour and one day of birth, and those who received a prelacteal feed. Although a very important step in management of lactation and establishment of a physical and emotional relationship between the baby and the mother, only 61 percent of babies are breastfed for the first time within one hour of birth, while 87 percent of newborns in the Republic of Moldova start breastfeeding within one day of birth.

The likelihood of more frequent breastfeeding within one hour and one day of birth is higher in the South compared to the other 3 regions (Figure NU.2). Children born 0-11 months preceding the survey were more likely to be breastfed within one hour of birth compared to children born in the period 12-23 months preceding the survey, which shows an improvement in this respect and an increased awareness regarding the importance of early breastfeeding by both mothers and medical staff in maternity wards. The proportions of children breastfed within one day of birth are comparable across all areas and levels of mothers' education (Table NU.2).


Figure NU.2: Percentage of mothers who started breastfeeding within one hour and within one day of birth, Moldova, 2012

In Table NU.3, breastfeeding status is based on the reports of mothers/caretakers of children's consumption of food and fluids during the previous day or night prior to the interview. Exclusively breastfed refers to infants who received only breast milk (and vitamins, mineral supplements, or medicine). The table shows exclusive breastfeeding of infants for the first six months as well as continued breastfeeding of children at 12-15 and 20-23 months of age. Approximately 36 percent of children 0-5 months old are exclusively breastfed, a level considerably lower than recommended, while 66 percent of children this age are predominantly breastfed, with no clear differentials by background characteristics.

Table NU.3: Breastfeeding
Percentage of living children according to breastfeeding status at selected age groups, Moldova, 2012

|  | Children 0-5 months old |  |  | Children 12-15 months old |  | Children 20-23 months old |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent exclusively breastfed ${ }^{1}$ | Percent predominantly breastfed ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children | breastfed (Continued breastfeeding at 1 year $)^{3}$ | Number of children | breastfed (Continued breastfeeding at 2 years $)^{4}$ | Number of children |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 32.9 | 62.2 | 83 | (38.0) | 53 | 11.1 | 69 |
| Female | 39.4 | 68.6 | 95 | 56.7 | 67 | 13.4 | 63 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 27.4 | 60.5 | 64 | (39.9) | 35 | (12.0) | 45 |
| Centre | (41.1) | (69.4) | 42 | (62.6) | 35 | * | 35 |
| South | (50.2) | (75.6) | 43 | * | 25 | (6.8) | 29 |
| Chișinău | (29.0) | (56.9) | 30 | * | 25 | (18.2) | 23 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 30.4 | 59.1 | 64 | 40.1 | 40 | 13.5 | 45 |
| Rural | 39.7 | 69.3 | 115 | 52.6 | 79 | 11.5 | 87 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 34.9 | 61.9 | 61 | (56.4) | 58 | (18.9) | 57 |
| Professional | 32.6 | 60.0 | 59 | * | 26 | (5.2) | 40 |
| Higher | 42.8 | 75.5 | 58 | (41.7) | 34 | (9.4) | 35 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | * | * | 27 | * | 28 | * | 16 |
| Second | (32.8) | (63.3) | 48 | * | 22 | (8.1) | 34 |
| Middle | (39.4) | (68.3) | 36 | * | 20 | * | 20 |
| Fourth | (28.6) | (67.4) | 28 | * | 18 | (20.2) | 30 |
| Richest | 34.9 | 62.8 | 38 | (46.3) | 31 | (9.1) | 31 |
| Total | 36.4 | 65.6 | 179 | 48.4 | 120 | 12.2 | 132 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.6
${ }_{2}^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.9
${ }_{3}^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.9
MICS indicator 28
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 1 unweighted case of "None/primary" education for children aged 0-5 months and 1 unweighted case for children aged 12-15 months are not shown (there are no cases for children aged 20-23 months); while 1 unweighted case with "Missing/DK" education for children aged 0-5 months and 1 unweighted case for children aged 20-23 months are not shown (there are no
"Missing/DK" cases for children 12-15 months old).
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

By the age of 12-15 months, less than half of children ( 48 percent) are still being breastfed and by the age of 20-23 months only 12 percent are still breastfed (Figure NU.3).


Figure NU.3: Percent distribution of children under the age of 2 years by feeding patterns, by age group, Moldova, 2012

Table NU. 4 shows the median duration of breastfeeding by selected background characteristics. Among children under age 3, the median duration is 12.9 months for any type of breastfeeding, 1.3 months for exclusive breastfeeding, and 3.9 months for predominant breastfeeding. The median duration of exclusive breastfeeding varies between 0.6 months in Chișinău and the North region, and 2.1 to 2.5 months in Central and South regions, respectively. It is 0.7 months in urban areas and 1.6 months in rural areas.

The aforementioned figures point to the fact that actions to promote and support exclusive breastfeeding undertaken in recent years have had a positive impact through behaviour modification, but there is still room for improvement, which requires further application of a more persuasive and penetrating strategy.

Table NU.4: Duration of breastfeeding

|  | Median duration (in months) of |  |  | Number of children 0-35 months old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any breastfeeding ${ }^{1}$ | Exclusive breastfeeding | Predominant breastfeeding |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 11.9 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 575 |
| Female | 13.6 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 582 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 12.6 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 364 |
| Centre | 14.9 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 338 |
| South | 12.0 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 243 |
| Chișinău | 11.8 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 212 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 11.5 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 415 |
| Rural | 13.9 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 742 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 14.5 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 525 |
| Professional | 11.3 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 285 |
| Higher | 12.3 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 330 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 15.2 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 195 |
| Second | 11.9 | 0.9 | 4.2 | 247 |
| Middle | 13.1 | 2.1 | 4.0 | 224 |
| Fourth | 12.4 | 0.6 | 3.9 | 207 |
| Richest | 12.2 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 284 |
| Median | 12.9 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 1157 |
| Mean for all children (0-35 months old) | 12.3 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 1157 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.10
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 12 unweighted cases with "None/primary" are not shown

The adequacy of infant feeding in children under the age of 24 months is provided in Table NU.5. Different criteria of feeding are used depending on the age of the child. For infants aged 0-5 months, exclusive breastfeeding is considered as age-appropriate feeding, while infants aged 6-23 months are considered to be appropriately fed if they are receiving breastmilk and solid, semi-solid or soft food.

Age-appropriate feeding drops from 36 percent among infants aged 0-5 months to 29 percent among infants aged 6-23 months. The proportion of children aged 6-23 months which are simultaneously breastfed and receive solid, semi-solid or soft food is quite similar by sex, region, area, mother's education level and household wealth index quintiles.

Only one-third of children aged 0-23 months (31 percent) receive age-appropriate feeding. This proportion is around 26 percent in Chișinău and the North regions and around 35 percent in the Central and South regions. Variations by sex, area, mother's education level and wealth index quintiles appear to be insignificant.

Table NU.5: Age-appropriate breastfeeding
Percentage of children 0-23 months old who were appropriately breastfed during the previous day, Moldova, 2012

|  | Children 0-5 months old |  | Children 6-23 months old |  | Children 0-23 months old |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent exclusively breastfed ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children | Percent currently breastfeeding and receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { appropriately } \\ & \text { breastfed }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { children } \end{gathered}$ |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 32.9 | 83 | 29.3 | 298 | 30.1 | 381 |
| Female | 39.4 | 95 | 28.2 | 309 | 30.8 | 404 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 27.4 | 64 | 25.7 | 188 | 26.1 | 252 |
| Centre | (41.1) | 42 | 32.8 | 174 | 34.4 | 217 |
| South | (50.2) | 43 | 29.9 | 129 | 35.0 | 172 |
| Chișinău | (29.0) | 30 | 26.2 | 116 | 26.8 | 145 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 30.4 | 64 | 27.2 | 216 | 28.0 | 280 |
| Rural | 39.7 | 115 | 29.6 | 392 | 31.9 | 506 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 34.9 | 61 | 31.1 | 281 | 31.8 | 342 |
| Professional | 32.6 | 59 | 22.6 | 138 | 25.6 | 196 |
| Higher | 42.8 | 58 | 27.9 | 178 | 31.5 | 236 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | * | 27 | 28.9 | 98 | 33.3 | 125 |
| Second | (32.8) | 48 | 27.0 | 125 | 28.6 | 173 |
| Middle | (39.4) | 36 | 30.8 | 117 | 32.9 | 154 |
| Fourth | (28.6) | 28 | 30.4 | 109 | 30.0 | 138 |
| Richest | 34.9 | 38 | 27.3 | 158 | 28.8 | 196 |
| Total | 36.4 | 179 | 28.7 | 607 | 30.5 | 786 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.6
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.14
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 1 unweighted case of No/Primary education for children aged 0-5 months, 7 unweighted cases for children aged 6-23 months, and 8 unweighted cases for children aged 0-23 months are not shown; while 1 unweighted case with "Missing/ DK" education for children aged 0-5 months, 4 unweighted cases for children aged 6-23 months, and 5 unweighted cases for children aged 20-23 months are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Appropriate complementary feeding of children from six months to two years old is particularly important for growth and development and the prevention of under-nutrition. Continued breastfeeding beyond six months should be accompanied by the consumption of nutritionally adequate, safe and appropriate complementary foods that help meet nutritional requirements when breast milk is no longer sufficient. This means that two or more meals of solid, semi-solid or soft foods are needed for breastfed children if they are 6-8 months old, and three or more meals if they are 9-23 months old. For children who are 6-23 months and older and are not breastfed, four or more meals of solid, semi-solid or soft foods or milk feeds are needed. As shown in Table NU.6, almost two-thirds (62 percent) of children aged 6-8 months receive solid, semi-solid, or soft foods.

Table NU.6: Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods
Percentage of infants 6-8 months old who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods during the previous day, Moldova, 2012

|  | Currently breastfeeding |  | Currently not breastfeeding |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods | Number of children 6-8 months old | Percent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods | Number of children 6-8 months old | Percent receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children 6-8 months old |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | (61.2) | 46 | * | 14 | 67.7 | 60 |
| Female | (46.9) | 36 | * | 15 | 56.2 | 50 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (71.3) | 24 | * | 13 | 67.9 | 37 |
| Rural | (48.0) | 57 | * | 17 | 59.7 | 74 |
| Total | 55.0 | 82 | 83.3 | 29 | 62.4 | 111 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.12
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table NU. 7 below presents the proportion of children aged 6-23 months who received semi-solid or soft foods the minimum number of times or more during the day or night preceding the interview by breastfeeding status (see the note in Table NU. 7 for a definition of minimum number of times for different age groups). Overall, about one half of children aged 6-23 months (49 percent) were receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods the minimum number of times. Children in the North, Central and South regions are more disadvantaged compared to those in Chișinău, with the percentage of children with minimum meal frequency ranging between 44 percent and 48 percent in the three regions compared to 61 percent in Chișinău. Likewise, the probability of having minimum meal frequency is higher among children from households falling in the fourth and richest wealth index quintiles than among children from poorer households.

Table NU.7: Minimum meal frequency
Percentage of children 6-23 months old who received solid, semi-solid, or soft foods (and milk feeds for non-breastfeeding children) the minimum number of times or more during the previous day, according to breastfeeding status, Moldova, 2012

|  | Currently breastfeeding |  | Currently not breastfeeding |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods the minimum number of times | Number of children 6-23 months old | Percent receiving at least 2 milk feeds ${ }^{1}$ | Percent receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods or milk feeds 4 times or more | Number of children 6-23 months old | Percent with minimum mea frequency ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children 6-23 months old |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 30.1 | 124 | 65.09 | 64.74 | 174 | 50.3 | 298 |
| Female | 29.8 | 131 | 62.43 | 61.49 | 178 | 48.0 | 309 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-8 | 24.9 | 82 | (98.0) | (98.0) | 29 | 44.2 | 111 |
| 9-11 | 29.4 | 70 | (86.9) | (79.7) | 40 | 47.7 | 110 |
| 12-17 | 34.5 | 77 | 66.5 | 65.1 | 113 | 52.7 | 189 |
| 18-23 | (33.7) | 27 | 50.5 | 51.8 | 170 | 49.3 | 197 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 25.7 | 75 | 59.8 | 56.7 | 113 | 44.4 | 188 |
| Centre | 29.7 | 84 | 64.3 | 65.4 | 90 | 48.1 | 174 |
| South | 25.6 | 53 | 62.9 | 61.6 | 76 | 46.7 | 129 |
| Chișinău | 43.3 | 43 | 70.1 | 71.8 | 73 | 61.2 | 116 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 32.1 | 76 | 63.6 | 63.5 | 139 | 52.4 | 216 |
| Rural | 29.0 | 179 | 63.8 | 62.9 | 213 | 47.4 | 392 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 29.5 | 140 | 67.6 | 62.8 | 142 | 46.3 | 281 |
| Professional | (35.5) | 45 | 60.8 | 59.8 | 92 | 51.8 | 138 |
| Higher | 27.5 | 62 | 61.8 | 66.9 | 116 | 53.3 | 178 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (30.0) | 50 | (65.3) | (60.4) | 48 | 44.8 | 98 |
| Second | (22.9) | 56 | 60.7 | 61.1 | 69 | 44.0 | 125 |
| Middle | (24.5) | 50 | 62.2 | 58.1 | 67 | 43.7 | 117 |
| Fourth | (39.8) | 42 | 65.9 | 59.2 | 68 | 51.8 | 109 |
| Richest | 34.3 | 58 | 64.8 | 71.7 | 101 | 58.1 | 158 |
| Total | 29.9 | 255 | 63.7 | 63.1 | 352 | 49.2 | 607 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.15
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.13
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 7 unweighted case of "None/primary" education for currently breastfeeding children aged 6-23 months and 7 unweighted cases for all children are not shown (there are no cases for children aged 6-23 months currently not breastfeeding); 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for currently breastfeeding children aged 6-23 months, 2 unweighted cases for currently not breastfeeding children aged 6-23 months, and 4 unweighted cases for all children are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Note: Among currently breastfeeding children aged 6-8 months, minimum meal frequency is defined as children who also received solid, semisolid or soft foods 2 times or more. Among currently breastfeeding children aged 9-23 months, receipt of solid, semi-solid or soft foods at least 3 times constitutes minimum meal frequency. For non-breastfeeding children aged 6-23 months, minimum meal frequency is defined as children receiving solid, semi-solid or soft foods, and milk feeds, at least 4 times during the previous day.

Among currently breastfeeding children aged 6-23 months, nearly one-third (30 percent) were receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods the minimum number of times and this proportion was comparable by sex, area, region, mother's education level and household wealth index quintiles (Table NU.7).

Among non-breastfeeding children, almost two-thirds were receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods or milk feeds four times or more ( 63 percent). There were no clear differentials by age, region, mother's educational level and household wealth index quintiles.

The continued practice of bottle feeding is a concern because of the possible contamination due to unsafe water and a lack of hygiene in preparation. Table NU. 8 shows that bottle feeding is still prevalent in Moldova (50 percent). This proportion is higher in Chișinău (69 percent) compared with the other three regions (44 to 48
percent). The probability of bottle-feeding is higher among children in the urban areas ( 61 percent) compared to the rural areas ( 44 percent). The proportion of children fed with a bottle with a nipple tends to increase with the household's wealth index. Forty-two percent of children aged 0-5 months are fed using a bottle with a nipple.

Table NU.8: Bottle feeding
Percentage of children 0-23 months old who were fed with a bottle with a nipple during the previous day, Moldova, 2012


## Salt lodization

Iodine Deficiency Disorders (IDD) is the world's leading cause of preventable mental retardation and impaired psychomotor development in young children. In its most extreme form, iodine deficiency causes cretinism. It also increases the risks of stillbirth and miscarriage in pregnant women. lodine deficiency is most commonly and visibly associated with goitre. IDD takes its greatest toll in impaired mental growth and development, contributing in turn to poor school performance, reduced intellectual ability, and impaired work performance. The indicator is the percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt ( $\geq 15$ parts per million).

The salt iodization programme has been implemented in the Republic of Moldova since 1998. Until 2010, iodization of salt available on the domestic market and salt used in the food industry was mandatory. The third consecutive National Programme to eliminate iodine deficiency disorders by the year 2015, approved by the Government in August 2011, provides for maintenance of salt iodization as a main public health strategy. Along with iodized salt, the marketing of non-iodized salt was authorized after 12 years. This, together with the persistence of prejudice according to which iodized salt would adversely affect the quality of pickles, canned and other products, as well as communication campaigns relating to promotion of utilization of iodized salt have contributed to reducing consumption of iodized salt in favour of the non-iodized variety. In this context, the objective of increasing the use of adequately iodized salt to at least 90 percent of households by 2014, so as stipulated in the National Programme, and the sustainable maintenance of the above-mentioned ratio is problematic. The supply of iodine from other food sources is unlikely because of said foods' low accessibility, particularly for vulnerable groups within the population.

Table NU.9: lodized salt consumption
Percent distribution of households by consumption of iodized salt, Moldova, 2012

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.16
In about 94 percent of eligible households, salt used for cooking was tested for iodate content by using salt test kits and testing for the presence of potassium iodate. Table NU. 9 shows that in a very small proportion of households (1 percent), no salt was available. In less than half (44 percent) of households, salt was found to contain 15 parts per million (ppm) or more of iodate, i.e. it was adequately iodized. Almost two-thirds (61 percent) of urban households were found to be using adequately iodized salt as compared to only one-third (34 percent) in rural areas. Use of adequately iodized salt was lowest in the South (34 percent) and North regions (37 percent) and highest in Chișinău (68 percent). The wealthiest households consume adequately iodized salt three times more frequently ( 68 percent) than the poorest households ( 24 percent), (Table NU. 9 and Figure NU.4).


Figure NU.4: Percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt, Moldova, 2012

## Children's Vitamin A Supplementation

Vitamin A is essential for eye health and the proper functioning of the immune system. It is found in foods such as milk, liver, eggs, red and orange fruits, red palm oil and green leafy vegetables, although the amount of vitamin A readily available to the body from these sources varies widely. In developing areas of the world, where vitamin A is largely consumed in the form of fruits and vegetables, the daily per capita intake is often insufficient to meet dietary requirements. Inadequate intakes are further compromised by increased requirements for the vitamin as children grow or during periods of illness, as well as increased losses during
common childhood infections. As a result, vitamin A deficiency is quite prevalent in the developing world and particularly in countries with the highest burden of under-five deaths.

The 1990 World Summit for Children set the goal of virtual elimination of vitamin A deficiency and its consequences, including blindness, by the year 2000. This goal was also endorsed at the Policy Conference on Ending Hidden Hunger in 1991, the 1992 International Conference on Nutrition, and the UN General Assembly's Special Session on Children in 2002. The critical role of vitamin A for child health and immune function also makes control of deficiency a primary component of child survival efforts, and therefore critical to the achievement of the fourth Millennium Development Goal: a two-thirds reduction in under-five mortality by the year 2015.

For countries with vitamin A deficiency problems, current international recommendations call for high-dose vitamin A supplementation every four to six months, targeted to all children aged 6-59 months living in affected areas. Providing young children with two high-dose vitamin A capsules a year is a safe, cost-effective, efficient strategy for eliminating vitamin A deficiency and improving child survival. Giving vitamin A to new mothers who are breastfeeding helps protect their children during the first months of their lives and helps to replenish the mother's vitamin A stores which are depleted during pregnancy and lactation. For countries with vitamin A supplementation programmes, the definition of the indicator is the percent of children 6-59 months of age receiving at least one high dose vitamin A supplement in the last six months.

Within the six months prior to the survey, a quarter ( 26 percent) of children 6-59 months old received a high dose of vitamin A supplement, as reported by mothers (Table NU.10). There are no clear differentials in children's vitamin A supplementation by sex, region, area, age, mother's education level and household wealth index quintiles.

Table NU.10: Children's vitamin A supplementation
Percentage of children 6-59 months old receiving a high dose vitamin A supplement in the last 6 months, according to mothers' report, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of children who received Vitamin A in the last 6 months ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children 6-59 months old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 26.8 | 872 |
| Female | 24.5 | 818 |
| Region |  |  |
| North | 29.3 | 521 |
| Centre | 24.8 | 510 |
| South | 20.9 | 352 |
| Chișinău | 26.6 | 308 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 29.1 | 618 |
| Rural | 23.7 | 1073 |
| Age in months |  |  |
| 6-11 | 22.2 | 221 |
| 12-23 | 24.0 | 386 |
| 24-35 | 26.5 | 372 |
| 36-47 | 28.3 | 377 |
| 48-59 | 26.1 | 335 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Secondary | 25.7 | 812 |
| Professional | 28.0 | 389 |
| Higher | 24.8 | 457 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 27.4 | 304 |
| Second | 23.5 | 352 |
| Middle | 23.9 | 334 |
| Fourth | 26.0 | 297 |
| Richest | 27.5 | 403 |
| Total | 25.7 | 1690 |
| ${ }^{1}$ This indicator corresponds to MICS indicator 2.17, but is calculated based on the mother's report only <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 17 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 16 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown |  |  |

## Low Birth Weight

Weight at birth is a good indicator not only of a mother's health and nutritional status but also the newborn's chances for survival, growth, long-term health and psychosocial development. Low birth weight (less than 2,500 grams) carries a range of grave health risks for children. Babies who were undernourished in the womb face a greatly increased risk of dying during their early months and years. Those who survive have impaired immune function and increased risk of disease; and are likely to remain undernourished, with reduced muscle strength throughout their lives, and suffer a higher incidence of diabetes and heart disease in later life. Children born underweight also tend to have a lower IQ and cognitive disabilities, affecting their performance in school and their job opportunities as adults.

In the developing world, low birth weight stems primarily from the mother's poor health and nutrition conditions. Three factors have most impact: the mother's poor nutritional status before conception, short stature (due mostly to under nutrition and infections during her childhood), and poor nutrition during pregnancy. Inadequate weight gain during pregnancy is particularly important since it accounts for a large proportion of foetal growth retardation. Moreover, diseases such as diarrhoea and malaria, which are common in many developing countries, can significantly impair foetal growth if the mother becomes infected while pregnant.

In the industrialized world, cigarette smoking during pregnancy is the leading cause of low birth weight. In developed and developing countries alike, teenagers who give birth when their own bodies have yet to finish growing run the risk of bearing underweight babies.

Table NU.11: Low birth weight infants
Percentage of last-born children in the two years preceding the survey that are estimated to have weighed below 2500 grams at birth and percentage of live births weighed at birth, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percent of live births: |  | Number of last-born children in the two years preceding the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below 2500 grams ${ }^{1}$ | Weighed at birth ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 5.4 | 99.3 | 236 |
| Centre | 6.6 | 98.9 | 204 |
| South | 5.5 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Chișinău | 5.8 | 98.5 | 150 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.0 | 99.2 | 291 |
| Rural | 5.7 | 99.2 | 459 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 7.5 | 99.2 | 310 |
| Professional | 4.8 | 98.4 | 184 |
| Higher | 4.1 | 99.7 | 244 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 8.2 | 98.6 | 114 |
| Second | 7.3 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Middle | 5.3 | 100.0 | 148 |
| Fourth | 4.4 | 100.0 | 129 |
| Richest | 4.6 | 97.9 | 208 |
| Total | 5.8 | 99.2 | 750 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 2.18 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 2.19 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background and 5 unweighted cas | teristic "Mother's ed "Missing/DK" educ | , 8 unweighted cas not shown | "None/primary" education |

Overall, 99 percent of infants were weighed at birth and approximately six percent of infants were estimated to weigh less than 2,500 grams at birth (Table NU.11). There are no clear differentials in low birth weight by background characteristics. Iron and iodine deficiency may be a cause of six percent of low birth weight infants.

## Anaemia

Anaemia is characterized by reduction in haemoglobin and red blood cell numbers. Haemoglobin enables oxygen transportation to organs and tissues in the human body. The decrease in haemoglobin concentration in the blood leads to reduced oxygen availability to tissues and organs, which is manifested by multiple symptoms that occur in anaemic people: general weakness, fatigue, and reduced resistance to infections.

Anaemia is a serious problem for pregnant women, leading to premature birth, low birth weight and various childbirth complications. Anaemia in children is associated with impaired cognitive performance and psychomotor development. Iron deficiency, the primary cause of anaemia, is caused by inadequate dietary
intake of bioavailable iron, as well as increased iron needs during periods of rapid growth and development, such as pregnancy and childhood. Iron deficiency is one of the main micronutrient deficiencies globally. Iron deficiency estimates are normally based on anaemia prevalence estimates.

Anaemia testing was done for children aged 6-59 months and women of reproductive age aged 15-49 years as a country-specific addition to MICS. Determining the level of anaemia was based on determining the level of haemoglobin in the blood. Haemoglobin concentration was measured using a HemoCue photometer.

Table AN. 1 shows the prevalence of anaemia in children aged 6-59 months. One-fifth of children suffer from anaemia ( 21 percent): 16 percent from a mild form, and five percent - from a moderate form. The prevalence of anaemia decreases consistently with age, from 41 percent in children in the age group 6-9 months to 14 percent in children aged 48-59 months. Among the most disadvantaged are children in rural areas, in South and Central regions, those of 4th birth order, those coming from the poorest households and those whose mothers have secondary education. Anaemia is more common in children born at intervals of less than 24 months and of 24-47 months between births.

Table AN.1: Prevalence of anaemia in children
Percentage of children 6-59 months old classified as having anaemia, Moldova, 2012

|  |  | Anaemia status |  |  | Number of children 6-59 months old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any anaemia ${ }^{1}$ (<11.0 g/dl) | Mild (10.0-10.9 g/dl) | Moderate (7.0-9.9 g/dl) | Severe ( $<7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) |  |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-9 | 40.9 | 29.7 | 10.3 | 0.9 | 127 |
| 10-11 | (27.9) | (21.6) | (6.3) | (0.0) | 55 |
| 12-17 | 32.0 | 22.8 | 8.3 | 0.8 | 171 |
| 18-23 | 23.2 | 13.3 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 173 |
| 24-35 | 16.8 | 11.6 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 321 |
| 36-47 | 16.8 | 14.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 305 |
| 48-59 | 13.9 | 11.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 269 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 19.9 | 15.7 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 735 |
| Female | 23.0 | 16.0 | 6.8 | 0.2 | 687 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16.0 | 11.2 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 471 |
| Rural | 24.1 | 18.2 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 950 |
| Region 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 19.3 | 15.2 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 453 |
| Centre | 23.9 | 17.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 446 |
| South | 25.5 | 17.9 | 7.0 | 0.6 | 304 |
| Chișinău | 14.9 | 11.0 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 218 |
| Birth order |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 19.9 | 15.6 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 615 |
| 2-3 | 21.9 | 14.3 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 583 |
| 4+ | 31.8 | 24.5 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 69 |
| Mother not interviewed, but in household | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Cannot be determined ${ }^{2}$ | 21.3 | 18.7 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 154 |
| Birth interval in months |  |  |  |  |  |
| First birth ${ }^{3}$ | 20.1 | 15.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 623 |
| $<24$ | 26.7 | 19.5 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 89 |
| 24-47 | 28.7 | 19.2 | 9.1 | 0.5 | 178 |
| $48+$ | 19.0 | 12.7 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 379 |
| Cannot be determined ${ }^{2}$ | 21.3 | 18.7 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 154 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 24.5 | 17.9 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 718 |
| Professional | 17.3 | 13.5 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 322 |
| Higher | 18.6 | 13.9 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 353 |
| Mother's age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (45.9) | (24.6) | (21.3) | (0.0) | 32 |
| 20-24 | 25.4 | 21.5 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 295 |
| 25-29 | 20.8 | 13.0 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 490 |
| 30-34 | 18.6 | 14.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 301 |
| 35-49 | 18.6 | 14.5 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 238 |
| 50+ | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65 |


| Wealth index quintiles |  |  | 0.5 | 285 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poorest | 31.8 | 22.7 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 316 |
| Second | 23.2 | 17.6 | 5.7 | 0.4 |  |
| Middle | 18.4 | 14.2 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 285 |
| Fourth | 18.3 | 13.1 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 292 |
| Richest | 15.0 | 11.2 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1422 |
| Total | 21.4 | 15.9 | 5.3 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, AN. 1
Note: Prevalence is adjusted for altitude using CDC formulas (CDC, 1998).
$\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dl}=$ grams per decilitre
${ }^{2}$ Children whose mothers were not interviewed.
${ }^{3}$ First born twins (triplets, etc.) are counted as first births because they do not have a previous birth interval
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 15 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 16 unweighted cases with
"Missing/DK" education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table AN. 2 shows the prevalence of anaemia in women. The haemoglobin level was tested among 5,364 women who gave their consent to testing by active informed consent. More than a quarter ( 26 percent) of women between 15 and 49 years old were found to be anaemic; 22 percent are mildly anaemic and 4 percent are moderately and severely anaemic. The prevalence of anaemia tends to increase with age, from 24 percent in women aged 15-19 years to 31 percent in women aged 45-49 years. Anaemia probability grows along with the number of children born. Women in rural areas and those from the Central region are the most affected. The prevalence of anaemia decreases along with the increase in the mother's education level and household wealth. There are no differences by maternity status: "pregnant," "breastfeeding" or "neither." About one-fifth of children with non-anaemic mothers suffer from anaemia (Table AN.3).

Table AN.2: Prevalence of anaemia in women
Percentage of women age 15-49 with anaemia, Moldova, 2012

|  |  | emia status by | globin level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any anaemia ${ }^{1}$ | Mild | Moderate | Severe |  |
|  | Not pregnant: | Not pregnant: |  |  |  |
|  | $<12.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $10.0-11.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $7.0-9.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ |  |  |
|  | Pregnant: | Pregnant: | 7.0-9.9 g/d | $<7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ |  |
|  | $<11.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $10.0-10.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ |  |  |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.9 | 19.5 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 805 |
| 20-24 | 21.2 | 19.3 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 802 |
| 25-29 | 24.0 | 22.4 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 841 |
| 30-34 | 24.8 | 21.1 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 783 |
| 35-39 | 29.4 | 24.7 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 713 |
| 40-44 | 27.5 | 23.3 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 682 |
| 45-49 | 30.8 | 25.8 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 737 |
| Number of children ever born |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 22.3 | 18.8 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 1553 |
| 1 | 25.8 | 22.4 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 1267 |
| 2, 3 | 27.9 | 24.4 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 2297 |
| 4+ | 28.1 | 22.4 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 247 |
| Maternity status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 26.3 | 17.7 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 165 |
| Breastfeeding only | 27.7 | 24.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 397 |
| Neither | 25.6 | 22.2 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 4802 |
| Smoking status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smokes cigarettes/tobacco | 19.0 | 16.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 390 |
| Does not smoke | 26.3 | 22.6 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 4974 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 21.7 | 19.0 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 2135 |
| Rural | 28.5 | 24.3 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 3228 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 24.8 | 21.3 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 1665 |
| Centre | 29.5 | 24.9 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 1596 |
| South | 26.4 | 23.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1001 |
| Chișinău | 21.3 | 18.4 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1102 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (21.8) | (21.8) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 25 |
| Secondary | 27.2 | 23.3 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 2429 |
| Professional | 26.6 | 22.5 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 1584 |
| Higher | 22.6 | 20.3 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 1300 |
| Missing/DK | (8.5) | (5.7) | (2.8) | (0.0) | 27 |


| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poorest | 31.4 | 27.9 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 688 |
| Second | 29.1 | 24.9 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 974 |
| Middle | 26.8 | 22.8 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 1213 |
| Fourth | 24.8 | 20.6 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 1232 |
| Richest | 20.2 | 18.0 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 1256 |
| Total | 25.8 | 22.2 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 5364 |

${ }^{1}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, AN. 2
Note: Prevalence is adjusted for altitude and for smoking status, if known, using CDC formulas (CDC, 1998)
$\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dl}=$ grams per decilitre
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table AN.3: Prevalence of anaemia in children by anaemia status of mother
Percentage of children 6-59 months old classified as having anaemia, by anaemia status of mother, Moldova, 2012

|  | Anaemia status of child |  |  |  | Number of children 6-59 months old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any anaemia (<11.0 g/dl) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mild } \\ (10.0-10.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moderate } \\ & (7.0-9.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Severe } \\ (<7.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Anaemia status of mother |  |  |  |  |  |
| No anaemia | 19.9 | 14.6 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 997 |
| Any anaemia | 25.6 | 18.0 | 7.3 | 0.3 | 343 |
| Mild anaemia | 25.4 | 17.7 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 314 |
| Moderate anaemia | (29.2) | (21.4) | (3.8) | (4.0) | 28 |
| Severe anaemia | * | * | * | * | 1 |
| Mother not tested | 22.2 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81 |
| Total | 21.4 | 15.9 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 1422 |

Note: Prevalence is adjusted for altitude using CDC formulas (CDC, 1998). Table includes only cases with anaemia measurements for both mothers and children.
g/dl = grams per decilitre
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table AN. 4 shows the micronutrient intake among mothers. Five percent of women who had live births in the last two years preceding the survey have reported signs of night blindness. Estimates made after adjustments for women who also reported daytime vision problems show that the proportion of women who suffer from night blindness is three percent. Night blindness shares does not vary across age groups, area, regions, number of children born, mother's education, and household wealth index quintiles.
Table AN.4: Micronutrient intake among mothers


|  | Percentage of women with a live birth in the two years preceding the survey who: |  |  |  | Number of days women took iron tablets or syrup during pregnancy of last birth: |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the two years preceding the survey who live in households with adequately iodised salt $^{2}$ | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the preceding two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported night blindness during pregnancy | Reported only night blindness adjusted ${ }^{1}$ | Took folic acid during pregnancy | Took folic acid at least 45 days within first trimester | None | < 60 days | 60-89 days | 90+ | Don't know / Missing | Total |  |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (1.0) | (1.0) | (34.5) | (28.6) | (8.0) | (24.7) | (5.9) | (42.0) | (19.5) | 100.0 | (33.7) | 42 |
| 20-24 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 55.5 | 43.5 | 5.6 | 32.2 | 14.2 | 37.5 | 10.4 | 100.0 | 52.1 | 226 |
| 25-29 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 68.3 | 52.3 | 5.1 | 23.9 | 12.6 | 43.6 | 14.8 | 100.0 | 48.1 | 261 |
| 30-34 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 73.3 | 54.4 | 5.6 | 28.2 | 14.5 | 40.6 | 11.1 | 100.0 | 56.2 | 146 |
| 35-39 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 46.1 | 40.4 | 4.1 | 22.2 | 15.3 | 46.4 | 12.1 | 100.0 | 60.3 | 63 |
| 40-44 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 13 |
| Number of children ever born |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 62.8 | 50.5 | 4.6 | 26.6 | 13.2 | 41.3 | 14.2 | 100.0 | 54.8 | 357 |
| 2, 3 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 63.2 | 47.7 | 5.2 | 29.5 | 14.1 | 41.0 | 10.3 | 100.0 | 48.0 | 357 |
| $4+$ | (7.5) | (7.5) | (41.8) | (28.1) | (13.7) | (11.7) | (16.2) | (37.8) | (20.6) | 100.0 | (39.9) | 36 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <20 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 37.7 | 27.3 | 5.0 | 33.9 | 9.2 | 36.8 | 15.2 | 100.0 | 38.4 | 67 |
| 20-34 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 65.5 | 50.7 | 5.5 | 26.7 | 13.4 | 42.1 | 12.4 | 100.0 | 51.9 | 623 |
| 35+ | 2.3 | 1.3 | 52.0 | 44.3 | 4.2 | 25.6 | 23.0 | 34.3 | 13.0 | 100.0 | 53.7 | 61 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.5 | 3.7 | 71.3 | 56.9 | 5.1 | 25.1 | 12.9 | 43.7 | 13.2 | 100.0 | 64.8 | 291 |
| Rural | 3.9 | 1.9 | 56.1 | 42.5 | 5.5 | 28.7 | 14.3 | 39.2 | 12.3 | 100.0 | 42.0 | 459 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 3.4 | 0.9 | 58.4 | 44.1 | 5.0 | 27.3 | 15.4 | 41.1 | 11.2 | 100.0 | 43.7 | 236 |
| Centre | 6.1 | 3.9 | 58.8 | 43.2 | 7.3 | 26.7 | 7.8 | 44.3 | 13.9 | 100.0 | 51.5 | 204 |
| South | 4.0 | 1.9 | 57.9 | 45.5 | 3.4 | 30.6 | 17.8 | 32.4 | 15.7 | 100.0 | 41.9 | 160 |
| Chișinău | 4.5 | 4.2 | 76.2 | 63.8 | 5.3 | 24.5 | 15.0 | 45.3 | 10.0 | 100.0 | 70.6 | 150 |
| Education ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 4.3 | 3.0 | 50.7 | 37.8 | 4.1 | 28.2 | 14.5 | 37.6 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 38.3 | 310 |
| Professional | 2.5 | . 5 | 58.4 | 44.9 | 6.4 | 33.2 | 12.4 | 36.1 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 47.3 | 184 |
| Higher | 6.5 | 3.7 | 81.1 | 65.1 | 5.5 | 22.1 | 12.9 | 50.6 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 70.1 | 244 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.2 | 28.4 | 6.0 | 21.2 | 23.2 | 30.0 | 19.5 | 100.0 | 29.0 | 114 |
| Second | 4.9 | 3.4 | 56.7 | 46.4 | 4.4 | 30.1 | 9.9 | 46.9 | 8.8 | 100.0 | 35.6 | 151 |
| Middle | 2.7 | 0.9 | 58.4 | 43.9 | 4.4 | 35.5 | 12.8 | 36.9 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 43.5 | 148 |
| Fourth | 4.4 | 2.5 | 69.9 | 51.0 | 5.5 | 25.5 | 14.9 | 42.0 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 64.1 | 129 |
| Richest | 8.0 | 4.6 | 77.5 | 61.3 | 6.2 | 23.8 | 11.4 | 45.0 | 13.6 | 100.0 | 70.9 | 208 |
| Total | 4.5 | 2.6 | 62.0 | 48.1 | 5.3 | 27.3 | 13.8 | 41.0 | 12.7 | 100.0 | 50.8 | 750 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Women who reported night blindness but did not report difficulty with vision during the day.
Salt containing 15 ppm of iodate or more. Excludes women in households in which salt was not tested.
${ }^{\text {F For the background characteristic "Education", }} 8$ unweighted cases with no/primary education and 5 unweighted cases with missing/DK education are not shown ${ }_{*}$ Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Iron and folic acid supplements are a good way of meeting the specific needs that pregnant women (as a distinct population group) have. As shown in Table AN.4, 62 percent of women who have had a live birth in the two years preceding the survey took folic acid supplements during pregnancy and only 48 percent took them for 45 days during the first trimester. The corresponding figure for urban areas was 57 percent and for Chișinău 64 percent. The proportion of women who took folic acid for 45 days and more grows in tandem with the increase in woman's education level and the households' wealth.

Among women who took iron supplements in the form of tablets or syrup during pregnancy of their last delivery, only 41 percent have taken such supplements over a period of more than 90 days; 14 percent took them for a period of 60 to 89 days, and 27 percent for less than 60 days. The probability of iron supplementation is higher among women with higher education and among those residing in urban areas. Differences in pregnant women's iron supplementation are insignificant comparied to other background characteristics.

The data in Table AN. 4 shows that only half ( 51 percent) of women aged 15-49 who have had a live birth in the last two years preceding the survey live in households with adequately iodized salt. The proportion of women with a live birth during the last two years living in households with adequately iodized salt is 1.5 times higher in urban areas compared to rural areas and in Chișinău compared to other regions. Women with higher education and in wealthier households consume iodized salt more frequently than those in the poorest households and with lower levels of education.

## Vaccinations

The Millennium Development Goal (MDG) 4 is to reduce infant mortality by two thirds between 1990 and 2015. Immunization plays a key part in this goal. Immunizations have saved the lives of millions of children in the four decades since the launch of the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) in 1974. Worldwide there are still 27 million children overlooked by routine immunization and as a result, vaccine-preventable diseases cause more than 2 million deaths every year.

A World Fit for Children goal is to ensure full immunization of children under the age of one at a national rate of 90 percent, with at least 80 percent coverage in each district or equivalent administrative unit.

According to UNICEF and WHO guidelines, a child should receive a BCG vaccination to protect against tuberculosis, three doses of DPT to protect against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus, three doses of polio vaccine, three doses of the Hepatitis B (HepB) vaccine, three doses of the Haemophilus influenzae type b (Hib) vaccine and a measles vaccination by the age of 12 months. The vaccination schedule followed by the Republic of Moldova National Immunization Programme provides all the above mentioned vaccinations as well as three or four doses of vaccine against viral Hepatitis B, three doses of vaccine against Haemophilus influenzae type b (since 2009), and the mumps and rubella vaccine. The vaccine against Haemophilus influenzae type b infection was administered in the composition of quadrivalent and pentavalent DPT-Hib and DPT-HepB-Hib between 2009 and 2011, while the measles vaccine is administered together with the mumps and rubella vaccines in the combined MMR vaccine. All vaccinations should be received during the first year of life, except for the MMR vaccine to be received by children between 12 and 15 months of age. Taking into consideration this vaccination schedule, the estimates for full immunization coverage in the 2012 Moldova MICS are made for children aged 15-26 months, which provides a reasonable period of three months to assess immunization coverage with MMR.

Information on vaccination coverage was collected for all children under five in the interviewed households. In Moldova data on vaccinations are recorded in the Medical Card on the Child's Development Form (112/e) or the Vaccination Card or Register (Forms 063/e or 063-1/e), which are usually kept by family doctors in primary healthcare facilities at the location of the child's medical record. Furthermore, the "Vaccination Certificate" (Form 063-3/e) which also records data about vaccinations, and is kept by parents or caretakers in the household, has been implemented since 2002.

In the 2012 Moldova MICS, vaccination data were collected from medical facility register forms, vaccination certificates kept in households, as well as mothers'/caretakers' recall. All mothers or caretakers of children under five were asked to provide vaccination certificates. If the vaccination certificate for a child was available, interviewers copied vaccination information from the cards onto the MICS questionnaire. If no vaccination certificate was available for the child, the interviewer proceeded to ask the mother to recall whether or not the child had received each of the vaccinations, and for Polio, DPT and Hepatitis B, how many doses were received. After completing interviews in the household and obtaining the address of the child's primary healthcare facility, a visit was made to the facility to obtain the child's immunization information from available medical documents. The final vaccination coverage estimates are based on information obtained from vaccination certificates kept in the household, vaccination records kept at medical facilities and the mother's report of vaccinations received by the child. The data obtained are shown in Table CH.1.

The denominator for the table is comprised of children aged 15-26 months and only children who are old enough to be fully vaccinated are counted. In the first three columns of the table, the numerator includes all children who were vaccinated at any time before the survey according to medical vaccination documents or the mother's report. In the last column, only those who were vaccinated by 12 months of age ( 15 months for MMR ) as recommended by WHO and UNICEF, are included. For children without documented vaccination data, the proportion of vaccinations given before the first birthday is assumed to be the same as for children with documented vaccination data.

Table CH.1: Vaccinations in first year of life
Percentage of children age 15-26 months immunized against childhood diseases at any time before the survey and by 12 months of age (by 15 months for MMR), Moldova, 2012

|  | Vaccinated at any time before the survey according to: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Data from medical <br> facility documents | Vaccination <br> certificate | Mother's <br> report | Any of these <br> sources | Vaccinated by 12 months of age (by <br> 15 months of age for MMR) |
| BCG $^{1}$ | 94.3 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 98.0 | 97.8 |


| Polio |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 92.9 | 0.9 | 9.7 | 94.8 |  |
| 2 | 90.9 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 94.5 |  |
| $3^{2}$ | 90.1 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 88.5 |  |
| DPT |  |  |  | 93.6 | 94.3 |
| 1 | 92.3 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 96.3 | 92.0 |
| 2 | 90.5 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 94.7 | 90.6 |
| $3^{3}$ | 89.4 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 93.3 | 89.3 |
| Measles, Mumps, Rubella $^{4}$ | 88.4 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 92.8 |  |


| HepB |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At birth | 90.2 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 95.9 |  |
| 1 | 94.9 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 97.9 |  |
| 2 | 92.7 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 98.0 | 96.4 |
| $3^{5}$ | 90.9 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 93.6 |  |
| All vaccinations | 87.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 78.8 |  |
| No vaccinations | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Number of children age 15-26 months | 383 | 383 | 383 | 383 | 383 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.1
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 3.2
${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 3.3
${ }^{4}$ MICS indicator 3.4; MDG indicator 4.3
${ }^{5}$ MICS indicator 3.5
The data in Table CH. 1 show that by the age of 12 months, 98 percent of children age 15-26 months received a BCG vaccination, 98 percent the first dose of Hepatitis B vaccine and 94-95 percent the first doses of DPT and Polio vaccines. Where the primary vaccination course requires three doses, the proportion of vaccination coverage declines for subsequent doses of Hepatitis B vaccine to 96 percent for the second dose, and 94 percent for the third dose. There is also a decline in the Polio vaccination from 95 percent for the second dose, and 89 percent for the third dose, as well as in DPT: 92 percent for the second dose, and 91 percent for the third dose. However, the dropout rate does not exceed 10 percent for any vaccination, reaching six percent for Polio and four percent for both Hepatitis B and DPT vaccines. The coverage for the MMR vaccine by 15 months is 89 percent. The percentage of children who received all WHO and UNICEF recommended vaccinations, as also stipulated by the Moldovan National Schedule for the primary immunization cycle in the first year of life, is 79 percent. Figure CH .1 shows data that reflect the vaccination coverage among children aged $15-26$ months with vaccines included in the primary immunization cycle.


Figure CH.1: Percentage of children age 15-26 months who received the recommended vaccinations by 12 months of age (by 15 months for MMR), Moldova, 2012

Table CH. 2 presents vaccination coverage estimates among children aged 12-26 months by background characteristics. The figures indicate children receiving vaccinations at any time up to the date of the survey, and are based on information obtained from three different sources: vaccination records in medical facilities, Vaccination Certificates kept at home, and mothers'/caretakers' reports. Vaccination documents have been seen by the interviewer for 95 percent of children, with the percentage ranging from 89 percent in Chișinău to 97 percent in the South and Central regions. The proportion of children who received no vaccine is only one percent. By analysing data shown in Table CH.2, no significant differences in vaccination coverage by sex or region (North, Central, and South) were found. A significantly lower and insufficient vaccination coverage ratio can be noted for children in urban areas ( 82 percent) compared to rural areas ( 93 percent), the lowest being in Chișinău (71 percent). A downward trend is also observed in the level of vaccination coverage consistently with the increase in mother's education.

The highest vaccination schedule dropout rates were also found in Chișinău (nine percent for DPT and Polio, and six percent for Hepatitis B).
Table CH.2: Vaccinations by background characteristics
Percentage of children age 15-26 months currently vaccinated against childhood diseases, Moldova, 2012

${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 1 unweighted case with no/primary education and 4 unweighted cases with missing/DK education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

## Diarrhoea Treatment

Diarrhoea is the second leading cause of death among children under five worldwide. Most diarrhoearelated deaths in children are due to dehydration from the loss of large quantities of water and electrolytes from the body in liquid stools. Management of diarrhoea - either through oral rehydration salts (ORS) or a recommended home fluid (RHF) - can prevent many of these deaths. Preventing dehydration and malnutrition by increasing fluid intake and continuing to feed the child are also important strategies for managing diarrhoea.

At the global level, the following goals have been set: 1) reduce by one half death due to diarrhoea among children under five by 2010 compared to 2000 (A World Fit for Children); and 2) reduce by two thirds the mortality rate among children under five by 2015 compared to 1990 (Millennium Development Goals). In addition, the World Fit for Children calls for a reduction in the incidence of diarrhoea by 25 percent.

In the 2012 Moldova MICS, the prevalence of diarrhoea was estimated by asking mothers or caretakers whether their child under the age of five had an episode of diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to the survey. In cases where mothers reported that the child had diarrhoea, a series of questions were asked about the treatment of the illness, including what the child had to drink and eat during the episode and whether this was more or less than the child usually drinks and eats.

Tables CH. 3 and CH. 4 show that seven percent of children aged 0-59 months in Moldova had diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to the survey. The peak of diarrhoea prevalence occurs in the weaning period, among children aged 12-23 months (Table CH.3).


Figure CH.2: Percent of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks preceding the survey, Moldova, 2012

The prevalence of diarrhoea among children under-5 is ten percent in urban areas and five percent in rural areas (Figure CH.2). Oral rehydration treatment (ORT) (ORS packets or increased fluid intake) with continued feeding is the recommended solution for diarrhoea in children.

Table CH.3: Oral rehydration solutions
Percentage of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks, and treatment with oral rehydration solutions, Moldova, 2012

|  | Had diarrhoea in last two weeks | Number of children age 0-59 months | Children with diarrhoea who received ORS (fluid from ORS packet or pre-packaged ORS fluid) | Number of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in last two weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5.9 | 955 | 35.0 | 56 |
| Female | 7.6 | 914 | 46.7 | 69 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 8.1 | 584 | (38.5) | 47 |
| Centre | 5.8 | 552 | (45.5) | 32 |
| South | 6.0 | 395 | (46.7) | 24 |
| Chișinău | 6.6 | 338 | (36.4) | 22 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.6 | 682 | 34.2 | 66 |
| Rural | 5.0 | 1187 | (49.4) | 60 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 | 9.3 | 400 | (31.8) | 37 |
| 12-23 | 10.7 | 386 | (48.7) | 41 |
| 24-35 | 6.3 | 372 | * | 23 |
| 36-47 | 4.7 | 377 | * | 18 |
| 48-59 | 1.7 | 335 | * | 6 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 5.3 | 873 | (45.4) | 46 |
| Professional | 6.3 | 448 | (34.4) | 28 |
| Higher | 9.1 | 515 | 43.7 | 47 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 4.1 | 332 | * | 14 |
| Second | 4.3 | 400 | * | 17 |
| Middle | 8.9 | 370 | (40.1) | 33 |
| Fourth | 6.9 | 326 | * | 22 |
| Richest | 8.8 | 441 | 28.6 | 39 |
| Total | 6.7 | 1869 | 41.5 | 125 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 18 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education for children age 0-59 months, and 1 unweighted case for children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in last two weeks are not shown; and 17 unweighted cases with "Missing/ DK" education for children age 0-59 months, and 3 unweighted cases for children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in last two weeks are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Slightly more than one third (38 percent) of children under five with diarrhoea drank more than usual, while 62 percent drank the same or less (Table CH.4). Ninety-two percent ate somewhat less, the same or more (continued feeding), while seven percent ate much less. A larger proportion of boys were given somewhat less to eat, compared to girls (41 percent compared to 19 percent).

Table CH.4: Feeding practices during diarrhoea
Percent distribution of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks by amount of liquids and food given during episode of diarrhoea, Moldova, 2012

|  | Drinking practices during diarrhoea: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Eating practices during diarrhoea: |  |  |  |  |  |  Number of <br> children age <br> 0-59 months <br> with diarrhoea <br> in last two <br> Total <br> weeks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had diarrhoea in last two weeks | Number of children age 0-59 months | Given <br> much less to drink | Given somewhat less to drink | Given about the same to drink | Given more to drink | Total | Given much less to eat | Given somewhat less to eat | Given about the same to eat | Given more to eat | Stopped food | Had never been given food |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5.9 | 955 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 46.4 | 40.6 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 40.6 | 38.8 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 56 |
| Female | 7.6 | 914 | 4.0 | 7.6 | 52.0 | 36.4 | 100.0 | 9.3 | 18.7 | 66.5 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 69 |
| Total | 6.7 | 1869 | 3.5 | 8.8 | 49.5 | 38.3 | 100.0 | 6.6 | 28.5 | 54.1 | 8.9 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 125 |

Table CH. 5 provides the proportion of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks who received oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding, and the percentage of children with diarrhoea who received other treatments. Overall, 61 percent of children with diarrhoea received ORS or increased fluids. Combining the information in Table CH. 4 with those in Table CH. 3 on oral rehydration therapy, it can be observed that 55 percent of children either received ORT and, at the same time, feeding was continued, as is the recommendation. Twenty percent of children were not given any treatment or drug. Referring to other treatments administered in diarrhoea management, a rate of 16 percent of antibiotics use can be mentioned.

Table CH.5: Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding and other treatments
Percentage of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks who received oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding, and percentage of children with diarrhoea who received other treatments, Moldova, 2012

| Children with diarrhoea who received: |  |  | Other treatments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not given any treatment or drug | Number of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in last two$\qquad$ weeks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pill or syrup |  |  |  | Injection |  | Home remedy, herbal medicine | Other |  |  |
|  | ORS or increased fluids | ORT with continued feeding ${ }^{1}$ | Antibiotic | Antimotility | Other | Unknown | Antibiotic | Nonantibiotic |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 58.6 | 55.3 | 16.2 | 10.4 | 20.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 7.9 | 12.6 | 18.5 | 56 |
| Female | 62.5 | 54.1 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 11.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 11.6 | 21.2 | 69 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 62.8 | 54.9 | 13.2 | 15.7 | 17.5 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 16.6 | 66 |
| Rural | (58.5) | (54.3) | (15.9) | (6.9) | (13.2) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (2.7) | (10.3) | (11.2) | (23.7) | 60 |
| Total | 60.7 | 54.7 | 14.5 | 11.5 | 15.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 20.0 | 125 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.8
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

## Care Seeking and Antibiotic Treatment of Pneumonia

Pneumonia is the leading cause of death in children and the use of antibiotics in under-5s with suspected pneumonia is a key intervention. A World Fit for Children goal is to reduce by one-third the deaths due to acute respiratory infections.

In the survey, the prevalence of suspected pneumonia was estimated by asking mothers or caretakers whether their child under age five had an illness with a cough accompanied by rapid or difficult breathing, and whose symptoms were due to a problem in the chest.

## Prevalence of Suspected Pneumonia among Children

Data in Table CH. 6 shows that three percent of children aged 0-59 months were reported to have had symptoms of pneumonia during the two weeks preceding the survey. Of these children, 80 percent were taken to an appropriate healthcare provider.

More than one-half of children ( 52 percent) were taken to a centre for family doctors/office of the family doctor, while a smaller percentage were taken to a government hospital ( 19 percent) or a health centre (18 percent). A small percentage of children were taken to private sources: two percent were taken to a private hospital/clinic, two percent to a private pharmacy, and one percent to a private physician.

Overall, 82 percent of children with suspected pneumonia in the two weeks preceding the survey received antibiotics (Table CH.6).

Table CH.6: Care seeking for suspected pneumonia and antibiotic use during suspected pneumonia
Percentage of children age 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the last two weeks who were taken to a health provider and percentage of children who were given antibiotics, Moldova, 2012

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 3.9
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 3.10

## Knowledge of the Danger Signs of Pneumonia

Obviously, the mothers' knowledge of the danger signs is an important determinant of care-seeking behaviour. The most commonly identified symptom for taking a child to a health facility is fever ( 91 percent). Overall, two percent of women know of two of the danger signs of pneumonia - fast and difficult breathing. Seven percent of mothers and caretakers identified fast breathing and 16 percent - difficult breathing as the symptoms for taking children immediately to a health care provider (Table CH.7).

Table CH.7: Knowledge of the two danger signs of pneumonia
Percentage of mothers and caretakers of children age 0-59 months by symptoms that would cause to take the child immediately to a health facility, and percentage of mothers who recognize fast and difficult breathing as signs for seeking care immediately, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children age 0-59 months who think that a child should be taken immediately to a health facility if the child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mothers/ caretakers who recognize the two danger signs of pneumonia | Number of mothers/ caretakers of children age 0-59 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is not able to drink or breastfeed | Becomes sicker | Develops a fever | Has fast breathing | Has difficulty breathing | Has blood in stool | Is drinking poorly | Has other symptoms |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 7.5 | 16.2 | 89.5 | 7.0 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 64.1 | 1.8 | 490 |
| Centre | 5.1 | 13.3 | 89.7 | 4.4 | 16.4 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 69.1 | 2.1 | 428 |
| South | 7.2 | 17.4 | 91.3 | 8.4 | 14.7 | 8.8 | 3.2 | 66.6 | 2.7 | 319 |
| Chișinău | 5.8 | 18.7 | 92.9 | 8.3 | 22.0 | 10.8 | 6.0 | 60.5 | 2.7 | 320 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.5 | 17.1 | 91.5 | 7.0 | 18.4 | 9.3 | 4.7 | 61.4 | 2.1 | 627 |
| Rural | 7.1 | 15.5 | 90.0 | 6.7 | 14.3 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 67.8 | 2.3 | 930 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 6.7 | 15.3 | 89.5 | 4.4 | 14.8 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 65.0 | 1.5 | 691 |
| Professional | 6.1 | 11.9 | 90.1 | 7.4 | 15.5 | 8.9 | 3.8 | 65.5 | 1.6 | 374 |
| Higher | 6.5 | 20.6 | 94.0 | 9.6 | 18.7 | 12.1 | 4.7 | 66.8 | 3.7 | 468 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 7.7 | 14.5 | 87.8 | 3.8 | 11.8 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 63.4 | 0.9 | 250 |
| Second | 6.7 | 15.6 | 90.2 | 6.6 | 11.3 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 66.8 | 2.8 | 306 |
| Middle | 8.2 | 16.9 | 90.9 | 7.3 | 19.0 | 9.5 | 4.9 | 67.9 | 3.3 | 292 |
| Fourth | 5.2 | 15.8 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 15.9 | 8.7 | 3.5 | 64.3 | 2.4 | 293 |
| Richest | 5.2 | 17.3 | 91.9 | 7.2 | 19.9 | 9.7 | 5.3 | 64.0 | 1.8 | 416 |
| Total | 6.4 | 16.2 | 90.6 | 6.8 | 16.0 | 8.1 | 4.2 | 65.2 | 2.2 | 1557 |

${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 13 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 12 unweighted cases with
"Missing/DK" education are not shown

## Solid Fuel Use

More than 3 billion people around the world rely on solid fuels for their basic energy needs, including cooking and heating. Solid fuels include biomass fuels, such as wood, charcoal, crops or other agricultural waste, dung, shrubs and straw, and coal. Cooking and heating with solid fuels leads to high levels of indoor smoke which contains a complex mix of health-damaging pollutants. The main problem with the use of solid fuels is their incomplete combustion, which produces toxic elements such as carbon monoxide, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, and sulphur dioxide ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ ), among others. Using solid fuels increases the risks of incurring acute respiratory illness, pneumonia, chronic obstructive lung disease, cancer, and possibly tuberculosis, asthma, or cataracts, and may contribute to low birth weight babies born to pregnant women who were exposed to smoke. The primary indicator for monitoring the use of solid fuels is the proportion of the population using solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy for cooking, shown in Table CH.8.
Table CH.8: Solid fuel use

Overall, seven percent of all households in Moldova are using solid fuels for cooking. The use of solid fuels is very low in urban areas (less than 1 percent), while it is higher in rural areas, where 11 percent of the households are using solid fuels. There are clear differentials with respect to household wealth (solid fuels are used for cooking by 27 percent of poorest households, whereas none of the richest households use them for this purpose). The table also clearly shows that the overall percentage is mainly due to the use of wood (4 percent) and agricultural crop residue (3 percent) for cooking purposes.

Solid fuel use in cooking is depicted in Table CH.9. The presence and extent of indoor pollution are dependent on cooking practices, places used for cooking, as well as types of fuel used. According to the 2012 Moldova MICS, 28 percent of the population in households that use solid fuels for cooking, cook in a separate room used as a kitchen, while four percent cooks elsewhere in the house.

Table CH.9: Solid fuel use by place of cooking
Percent distribution of household members in households using solid fuels by place of cooking, Moldova, 2012

|  | Place of cooking: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In a separate room used as kitchen | Elsewhere in the house | In a separate building | Outdoors | At another place | Missing | Total | members in households using solid fuels for cooking |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 24.5 | 3.8 | 41.1 | 30.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 737 |
| Centre | 29.7 | 2.9 | 39.7 | 27.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 962 |
| South | 32.9 | 6.4 | 19.9 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 318 |
| Chișinău | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (50.9) | (0.6) | (9.9) | (38.6) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 77 |
| Rural | 27.4 | 3.9 | 38.2 | 29.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1940 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 33.0 | 3.1 | 29.4 | 34.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 180 |
| Secondary | 27.3 | 4.9 | 40.1 | 26.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1153 |
| Professional | 28.9 | 2.1 | 35.6 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 591 |
| Higher | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 10 |
| Missing/DK | (25.1) | (0.0) | (22.7) | (50.0) | (2.1) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 82 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 27.1 | 4.0 | 36.5 | 31.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1551 |
| Second | 30.4 | 3.6 | 40.1 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 405 |
| Middle | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 50 |
| Fourth | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 10 |
| Richest | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | 0 |
| Total | 28.3 | 3.8 | 37.1 | 30.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 2017 |

( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
'-' denotes 0 unweighted cases in that cell

Safe drinking water is a basic necessity for good health. Unsafe drinking water can be a significant carrier of diseases such as cholera, typhoid, and many other intestinal infections. Drinking water can also be tainted with chemical, physical and radiological contaminants with harmful effects on human health.

The MDG goal $(7, C)$ is to reduce by half, between 1990 and 2015 , the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation. The World Fit for Children goal calls for a reduction in the proportion of households without access to hygienic sanitation facilities and affordable and safe drinking water by at least one-third.

The list of indicators used in the 2012 Moldova MICS is as follows:

## Water

- Use of improved drinking water sources
- Use of adequate water treatment method
- Time to source of drinking water
- Person collecting drinking water

Sanitation

- Use of improved sanitation
- Sanitary disposal of child's faeces

For more details on water and sanitation and to access some reference documents, please visit the UNICEF child info website. ${ }^{17}$

This report assesses the population's access to improved drinking water sources according to UN definitions (water dispensed through the water supply system into dwellings or households, or water from protected wells/springs - irrespective of whether or not the sanitary protection zone or the distance from the source of contamination are appropriately established). However, access to improved sources does not automatically mean access to safe drinking water; the latter can be only established by competent authorities after investigating the quality of water at source (not the subject of MICS). Thus, data from administrative sources may differ from data obtained in this study.

MICS also collects additional information on the availability of facilities and conditions for handwashing. The following indicators are collected:

- Place for handwashing observed
- Availability of soap


## Use of Improved Water Sources

The distribution of the population by main source of drinking water is shown in Table WS.1. The population using improved sources of drinking water are those using any of the following types of supply: piped water (into dwelling, compound, yard or plot, to neighbour, public tap/standpipe), tube well/borehole, protected well and protected spring. Bottled water is considered an improved water source only if the household is using an improved water source for handwashing and cooking.

Forty-six percent of the population in Moldova have access to tap water that is piped directly into their dwelling or into the yard or plot, while 14 percent, mostly from rural areas, only have access to unimproved sources of drinking water (Figure WS.1).

[^8]

Figure WS.1: Percent distribution of the population by source of drinking water, Moldova, 2012
There is a discrepancy in access to water supply systems between urban and rural households: overall, 96 percent of the population use improved drinking water sources in urban areas, including 70 percent piped into the dwelling, yard or plot, whereas in rural areas the corresponding figures are 81 percent and 32 percent, respectively (Figure WS.2).


Figure WS.2: Percentage of the population using improved sources of drinking water, Moldova, 2012

The source of drinking water for the population varies by region (Table WS.1). In the North region, 29 percent of the population uses piped drinking water. In the Central region it is 40 percent and in the relatively better provisioned South region 54 percent have piped water. In Chișinău this indicator is 80 percent. Thirty-one percent of the population use drinking water from a protected well, the corresponding proportion increasing to 51 percent of population residing in the Northern part of the country.

There is a discrepancy in the use of different water sources by wealth index quintiles. Seventy-eight percent of the population from the richest quintile use piped water compared to 18 percent in the poorest quintile. Twenty-nine percent of the population living in households whose head is of Roma (Gypsy) ethnicity have access to piped water. The corresponding figure is notably higher for populations whose household heads belong to other ethnic groups.

Use of household water treatment is presented in Table WS.2. Boiling water, adding bleach or chlorine, using a water filter, and using solar disinfection are considered as proper treatment of drinking water. Sixty percent of the population does not use any method of water treatment, 22 percent use boiling, 17 percent use water filters, and five percent let the water stand and settle. Overall, 22 percent of the population in households using unimproved drinking water sources use an appropriate water treatment method; this varies between urban (32 percent) and rural areas ( 21 percent) as well as across regions: 30 percent in Chișinău and ranging from 20 to 23 percent in other regions.

The amount of time it takes to obtain water is presented in Table WS. 3 and the person who usually collects the water in Table WS.4. Note that these results refer to one round trip from home to the drinking water source. Information on the number of trips made in one day was not collected in the survey.

Table WS. 3 shows that for 64 percent of the household population, the drinking water source is located on the premises. For 19 percent of the population, it takes less than 30 minutes to get to the water source and bring water, while 3 percent of household members spend 30 minutes or more for this purpose. Among the household population that uses unimproved sources of drinking water, these figures are five percent, eight percent and one percent, respectively. In rural areas a smaller proportion of the population ( 52 percent) has improved water sources on the premises compared to urban areas ( 85 percent). The proportion which has a drinking water source on the premises is significantly higher in Chișinău ( 96 percent) compared to other regions and is lowest in the North ( 54 percent) and Central ( 55 percent) regions. Educational and wealth status influence the percentage of the household population with drinking water on the premises and increase along with them.

Table WS. 4 shows that adult males and females equally share the duty of collecting drinking water (49 percent in both cases), while the proportion of under-15 children of both sexes is less than one percent. In urban areas the responsibility of carrying water is more often assumed by men than women ( 58 percent compared to 40 percent), while in rural areas the difference is not as pronounced ( 48 percent compared to 51 percent). More adult men than women in the South region and Chișinău are involved fetching water: 55 percent compared to 43 percent in the South region and, 61 percent compared to 36 percent in Chișinău.
Table WS.1: Use of improved water sources
Percent distribution of household population according to main source of drinking water and percentage of household population using improved drinking water sources, Moldova, 2012

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | in source of | drinking w |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Improve | urces |  |  |  |  | Unimp | oved sou |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |
|  |  | Piped | water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | using |  |
|  | Into dwelling | Into yard/plot | To neighbour | Public tap/ standpipe | Tube- <br> well/ <br> bore- <br> hole | Protected well | Protected spring | Bottled water ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Unprotected well | Unprotected spring | Tanker truck | Cart <br> with <br> tank/ <br> drum | Bottled water ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Other | Total | improved sources of drinking water ${ }^{1}$ | Number of household members |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 21.4 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 50.7 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 11.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 87.4 | 9079 |
| Centre | 24.3 | 15.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 33.8 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 19.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 78.1 | 8685 |
| South | 36.5 | 16.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 23.6 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 9.5 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 5524 |
| Chișinău | 78.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 15.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 5502 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 65.3 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 10.1 | 3.2 | 11.8 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 10714 |
| Rural | 18.8 | 13.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 43.5 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 16.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 80.9 | 18075 |
| Education of household | head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 15.6 | 16.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 43.0 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 16.3 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 81.4 | 1201 |
| Secondary | 27.0 | 12.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 36.2 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 15.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 82.0 | 11810 |
| Professional | 37.8 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 31.5 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 10.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 88.0 | 10789 |
| Higher | 61.9 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 13.7 | 2.3 | 14.1 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 95.4 | 4633 |
| Missing/DK | 18.7 | 12.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 32.9 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 15.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 81.0 | 357 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.0 | 15.8 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 49.7 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 25.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 71.7 | 5760 |
| Second | 9.4 | 17.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 47.4 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 19.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 78.8 | 5754 |
| Middle | 28.2 | 13.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 40.1 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 87.8 | 5760 |
| Fourth | 64.4 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 17.0 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 5755 |
| Richest | 77.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 17.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 5759 |
| Ethnicity of household h | head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 32.2 | 11.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 33.4 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 85.0 | 22952 |
| Russian | 68.1 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 2.5 | 12.5 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 95.9 | 1408 |
| Ukrainian | 44.5 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 33.6 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 2362 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 12.7 | 13.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.1 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 21.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 78.4 | 249 |
| Gagauz | 48.3 | 9.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 14.9 | 8.0 | 1.9 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 86.5 | 1104 |
| Other ethnic group | 59.6 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 14.4 | 5.0 | 9.5 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 714 |



Table WS.2: Household water treatment
 who are using an appropriate treatment method, Moldova, 2012

|  | Water treatment method used in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of household members in households using unimproved drinking water sources and using an appropriate water treatment method $^{1}$ | Number of household members in households using unimproved drinking water sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Boil | Add bleach/ chlorine | Strain through a cloth | Use water filter | Solar disinfection | Let it <br> stand <br> and <br> settle | Other | Missing/DK | Number of household members |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 69.6 | 16.6 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 9079 | 20.2 | 1142 |
| Centre | 68.6 | 20.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 8685 | 23.4 | 1905 |
| South | 63.4 | 18.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5524 | 21.1 | 790 |
| Chișinău | 26.2 | 38.8 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 39.6 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 5502 | 30.3 | 89 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 37.7 | 33.9 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 10714 | 31.8 | 481 |
| Rural | 72.9 | 15.6 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 18075 | 20.8 | 3443 |
| Main source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improved | 57.4 | 23.5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 24864 | n/a | n/a |
| Unimproved | 75.2 | 15.7 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3925 | 22.1 | 3925 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 81.8 | 12.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1201 | 11.4 | 223 |
| Secondary | 69.4 | 19.1 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 9.7 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 11810 | 22.4 | 2131 |
| Professional | 56.3 | 24.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 17.8 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 10789 | 22.1 | 1291 |
| Higher | 36.0 | 30.0 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 4633 | 36.1 | 212 |
| Missing/DK | 84.5 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 357 | 5.4 | 68 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 87.1 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5760 | 11.8 | 1628 |
| Second | 76.9 | 16.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5754 | 20.5 | 1218 |
| Middle | 65.2 | 21.2 | 2.1 | 0.8 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 5760 | 36.4 | 704 |
| Fourth | 43.7 | 31.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 5755 | 50.7 | 319 |
| Richest | 26.2 | 34.3 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 45.8 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 5759 | 14.3 | 56 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 62.7 | 21.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 22952 | 21.8 | 3448 |
| Russian | 31.1 | 40.6 | 0.6 | 5.2 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1408 | 32.9 | 58 |
| Ukrainian | 53.1 | 24.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 19.3 | 0.1 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2362 | 10.0 | 181 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 81.6 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 249 | 23.7 | 54 |
| Gagauz | 56.5 | 19.6 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1104 | 35.9 | 149 |
| Other ethnic group | 43.9 | 30.4 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 27.6 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 714 | (39.2) | 35 |
| Total | 59.8 | 22.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 28789 | 22.1 | 3925 |

() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
n/a: not applicable

Table WS.3: Time to source of drinking water
Percent distribution of household population according to time to go to source of drinking water, get water and return, for users of improved and unimproved drinking water sources, Moldova, 2012

|  |  |  |  | Time to sour | rinking w |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Users of | mproved Less | drinking 30 | ter sources | Users of | improved Less |  | ter sources |  |  |
|  | Water on premises | than 30 minutes | minutes or more | Missing/DK | Water on premises | than 30 minutes | minutes or more | Missing/DK | Total | household members |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 53.5 | 30.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9079 |
| Centre | 54.9 | 19.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 14.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8685 |
| South | 65.0 | 18.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5524 |
| Chișinău | 95.9 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5502 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 84.6 | 8.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10714 |
| Rural | 52.2 | 25.3 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 11.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 18075 |
| Education of household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 48.8 | 27.6 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 6.2 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1201 |
| Secondary | 55.8 | 22.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 11.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 11810 |
| Professional | 66.4 | 18.5 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10789 |
| Higher | 86.3 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4633 |
| Missing/DK | 44.1 | 32.5 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 357 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 31.3 | 35.1 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 18.9 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5760 |
| Second | 46.1 | 29.0 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 11.9 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 5754 |
| Middle | 64.3 | 20.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5760 |
| Fourth | 84.3 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5755 |
| Richest | 95.2 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5759 |
| Ethnicity of household h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 62.4 | 19.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 8.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 22952 |
| Russian | 86.8 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1408 |
| Ukrainian | 63.8 | 23.5 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2362 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 39.0 | 37.7 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 249 |
| Gagauz | 69.9 | 14.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1104 |
| Other ethnic group | 79.5 | 10.3 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 714 |
| Total | 64.2 | 19.1 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 7.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 28789 |

Table WS.4: Person collecting water
Percentage of households without drinking water on premises, and percent distribution of households without drinking water on premises according to the person usually collecting drinking water used in the household, Moldova, 2012


[^9]
## Use of Improved Sanitation

Inadequate disposal of human excreta and personal hygiene are associated with a range of diseases including diarrhoeal diseases and polio. Improved sanitation can reduce diarrhoeal disease by more than one-third, and can significantly lessen the adverse health impacts of other disorders responsible for death and disease among millions of children in developing countries.

An improved sanitation facility is defined as one that hygienically separates human excreta from human contact. Improved sanitation facilities for excreta disposal include flush or pour flush to a piped sewer system, a septic tank, or a pit latrine; ventilated improved pit latrine, pit latrine with slab, and use of a composting toilet. The data on the use of improved sanitation facilities in Moldova are provided in Table WS.5.

The MDG sanitation indicator excludes users of improved sanitation facilities which are shared between two or more households from having access to sanitation. Therefore, "the use of improved sanitation" is used both in the context of this report and as an MDG indicator to refer to improved sanitation facilities, which are not shared. Data on the use of improved sanitation are presented in Tables WS. 6 and WS.8.

Seventy-nine percent of the population of Moldova is living in households using improved sanitation facilities (Table WS.5). There are disparities in the use of improved sanitation facilities between urban and rural populations: 95 percent and 68 percent, respectively. Another major difference was found in the use of flush/ pour flush toilets by area ( 75 percent for urban and 9 percent for rural populations), which directly indicates the difference in living conditions, but also indirectly the quality of access to optimal personal hygiene conditions.

Access to improved sanitation facilities varies by wealth index quintiles. The households in the richest quintile have access to central or local sewer systems at a rate of 97 percent, while this is the case for less than one percent of the population in the poorest quintile.

The MDGs and the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP) for Water Supply and Sanitation classify households as using an unimproved sanitation facility if they are using otherwise acceptable sanitation facilities but sharing a facility between two or more households or using a public toilet facility.

As shown in Table WS.6, 70 percent of the population has improved sanitation facilities that are not shared with other households. The use of shared facilities is more frequent among the household population using improved facilities than the population using unimproved sanitation facilities, amounting to eight percent and two percent respectively. The rural and urban household population uses common sanitation systems at similar rates (8 percent). The percentage of the population not sharing their sanitation facility increases along with the level of education and the household's wealth index quintiles.

Safe disposal of a child's faeces is disposing the child's stool using a toilet or by rinsing the stool into a toilet or latrine. Disposal of faeces of children aged 0-2 years is presented in Table WS.7. For 46 percent of children of this age, their last stools were disposed of safely. In only 34 percent of households using improved sanitation systems and 49 percent of those using unimproved systems the child's faeces were put down/rinsed into a toilet or latrine, while in 46 percent and 31 percent of cases they were thrown into the garbage (solid waste). The latter method is more commonly practiced in urban areas ( 54 percent) than in rural areas ( 36 percent). The proportion of children whose stools were disposed of safely the last time the children passed stools is higher for households with unimproved sanitation (61 percent) compared to those with improved systems (41 percent). The analysed indicator is significantly higher in rural areas ( 54 percent) than urban areas ( 32 percent) and shows the lowest values in Chișinău (24 percent) compared to other regions (in the range of 46 to 59 percent).

In its 2008 report ${ }^{18}$, the JMP developed a new way of presenting the access figures, by disaggregating and refining the data on drinking-water and sanitation and reflecting them in a "ladder" format. This ladder allows a disaggregated analysis of trends in a three rung ladder for drinking-water and a four-rung ladder for sanitation. For sanitation, this gives an understanding of the proportion of population with no sanitation

[^10]facilities at all, of those who are reliant on technologies defined by JMP as "unimproved," of those sharing sanitation facilities of otherwise acceptable technology, and those using "improved" sanitation facilities. Table WS. 8 presents the percentages of household population by drinking water and sanitation ladders. The table also shows the percentage of the population using improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal.

Table WS. 8 shows that improved drinking water sources and improved sanitation are generally available to 62 percent of the population, including 81 percent in urban areas and 51 percent in rural areas, being most prevalent in Chișinău ( 85 percent) and with lowest prevalence in the Central region ( 52 percent).

Figure WS. 3 shows the level of access to improved sanitation and sewerage systems by area. The results presented in the figure are different to the MICS indicator on improved sanitation, which includes only the population with improved sanitation facilities that are not shared. Urban dwellers have significantly higher access to both improved sanitation and a piped sewerage system in Moldova.


Figure WS.3: Access to improved sanitation and a piped sewerage system by area, Moldova, 2012
Table WS.5: Types of sanitation facilities

|  | Type of toilet facility used by household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unimproved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  | Opendefecation (no facility, bush, field) | Total |  |
|  | Flush/pour flush to: |  |  |  | Ventilated improved pit latrine | Pit latrine with slab | Composting toilet | Flush/ pour flush to somewhere else | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pit } \\ \text { latrine } \\ \text { without } \\ \text { slab/ } \\ \text { open } \\ \text { pit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Bucket | Hanging toilet/ hanging latrine | Other |  |  |  |
|  | Piped sewer system | Septic tank | Pit latrine | Unknown place/not sure/DK where |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 16.3 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 55.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 17.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 9079 |
| Centre | 10.2 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 51.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 28.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8685 |
| South | 11.3 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 47.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 30.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5524 |
| Chișinău | 87.7 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5502 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 66.4 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10714 |
| Rural | 3.8 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 57.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 29.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 18075 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 6.5 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 55.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 29.9 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1201 |
| Secondary | 16.2 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 50.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 11810 |
| Professional | 26.3 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 44.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10789 |
| Higher | 63.4 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4633 |
| Missing/DK | 14.2 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 54.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 357 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.3 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5760 |
| Second | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 62.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5754 |
| Middle | 8.1 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 60.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 22.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5760 |
| Fourth | 37.8 | 13.7 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 31.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5755 |
| Richest | 88.7 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5759 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 23.4 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 44.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 22.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 22952 |
| Russian | 73.0 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 15.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1408 |
| Ukrainian | 38.9 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 42.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2362 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 6.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 72.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 249 |
| Gagauz | 14.5 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 50.9 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 23.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1104 |
| Other ethnic group | 45.5 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 714 |
| Total | 27.1 | 5.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 43.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 28789 |

Table WS.6: Use and sharing of sanitation facilities
Percent distribution of household population by use of private and public sanitation facilities and use of shared facilities, by users of improved and unimproved sanitation facilities, Moldova, 2012

|  | Users of improved sanitation facilities |  |  |  |  | Users of unimproved sanitation facilities |  |  | Open defecation (no facility, bush, field) | Total | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shared by |  |  |  | Missing/DK |  |  | Shared by 5 |  |  |  |
|  | Not shared ${ }^{1}$ | Public facility | 5 households or less | More than 5 households |  | Not shared | Public facility | households or less |  |  |  |
| Region 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 68.3 | 0.1 | 10.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 9079 |
| Centre | 64.8 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 8685 |
| South | 63.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5524 |
| Chișinău | 86.4 | 0.1 | 8.3 | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5502 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 84.5 | 0.2 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10714 |
| Rural | 60.8 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 18075 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 58.4 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.1 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 1201 |
| Secondary | 63.6 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 24.6 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 11810 |
| Professional | 72.3 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10789 |
| Higher | 82.8 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4633 |
| Missing/DK | 58.5 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 357 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 52.6 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.6 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 5760 |
| Second | 58.2 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5754 |
| Middle | 66.1 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5760 |
| Fourth | 78.7 | 0.1 | 9.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5755 |
| Richest | 92.6 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 5759 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 67.4 | 0.1 | 7.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 22952 |
| Russian | 87.1 | 0.1 | 6.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1408 |
| Ukrainian | 80.3 | 0.1 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2362 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 62.5 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 249 |
| Gagauz | 68.6 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1104 |
| Other ethnic group | 76.6 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 714 |
| Total | 69.7 | 0.1 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 28789 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 4.3; MDG indicator 7.9

Table WS.7: Disposal of child's faeces
Percent distribution of children age 0-2 years according to place of disposal of child's faeces, and the percentage of children age 0-2 years whose stools were disposed of safely the last time the child passed stools, Moldova, 2012


[^11]${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 12 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 6 unweighted cases with
"Missing/DK" education are not shown
Table WS.8: Drinking water and sanitation ladders
Percentage of household population by drinking water and sanitation ladders, Moldova, 2012

|  |  |  |  |  | ge of househ | population | ing: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved drin | g water ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | nproved sanita |  |  | Improved drinking |  |
|  | $\qquad$ | Other improved | Unimproved drinking water | Total | Improved sanitation | Shared improved facilities | Unimproved facilities | $\begin{gathered} \text { Open } \\ \text { defecation } \end{gathered}$ | Total | water sources and improved sanitation | Number of household members |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 30.8 | 56.6 | 12.6 | 100.0 | 68.3 | 10.2 | 21.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 61.8 | 9079 |
| Centre | 40.8 | 37.3 | 21.9 | 100.0 | 64.8 | 6.1 | 29.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 52.1 | 8685 |
| South | 54.9 | 30.8 | 14.3 | 100.0 | 63.0 | 6.7 | 30.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 56.1 | 5524 |
| Chișinău | 95.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 86.4 | 12.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85.3 | 5502 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 81.0 | 14.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 | 84.5 | 10.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 10714 |
| Rural | 32.8 | 48.2 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 60.8 | 7.6 | 31.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 51.0 | 18075 |
| Education of household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 33.1 | 48.3 | 18.6 | 100.0 | 58.4 | 8.1 | 32.6 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 48.0 | 1201 |
| Secondary | 41.3 | 40.6 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 63.6 | 8.9 | 27.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 54.0 | 11810 |
| Professional | 51.6 | 36.4 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 72.3 | 7.5 | 20.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 65.6 | 10789 |
| Higher | 78.6 | 16.8 | 4.6 | 100.0 | 82.8 | 10.9 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 80.1 | 4633 |
| Missing/DK | 33.0 | 48.0 | 19.0 | 100.0 | 58.5 | 13.2 | 27.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 51.5 | 357 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 16.9 | 54.9 | 28.3 | 100.0 | 52.6 | 7.4 | 39.5 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 38.8 | 5760 |
| Second | 26.8 | 52.0 | 21.2 | 100.0 | 58.2 | 7.5 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 48.0 | 5754 |
| Middle | 42.6 | 45.2 | 12.2 | 100.0 | 66.1 | 10.3 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 58.2 | 5760 |
| Fourth | 73.0 | 21.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 78.7 | 11.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 74.5 | 5755 |
| Richest | 94.4 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 91.9 | 5759 |
| Ethnicity of household h |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 47.3 | 37.7 | 15.0 | 100.0 | 67.4 | 8.9 | 23.6 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 59.4 | 22952 |
| Russian | 84.5 | 11.4 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 87.1 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 84.9 | 1408 |
| Ukrainian | 54.6 | 37.7 | 7.7 | 100.0 | 80.3 | 7.8 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 74.1 | 2362 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 30.3 | 48.0 | 21.6 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 19.0 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 48.4 |  |
| Gagauz | 59.7 | 26.8 | 13.5 | 100.0 | 68.6 | 7.5 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 62.9 | 1104 |
| Other ethnic group | 74.7 | 20.3 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 76.6 | 9.6 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 74.2 | 714 |
| Total | 50.7 | 35.6 | 13.6 | 100.0 | 69.7 | 8.7 | 21.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 62.3 | 28789 |

${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 4.1; MDG indicator 7.8
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 4.3; MDG indicator 7.9

## Handwashing

Handwashing with water and soap is the most cost effective health intervention to reduce both the incidence of diarrhoea and pneumonia in children under five. It is most effective when done using water and soap after visiting a toilet or cleaning a child, before eating or handling food and, before feeding a child. Monitoring correct handwashing behaviour at these critical times is challenging. A reliable alternative to observations or self-reported behaviour is assessing the likelihood that correct hand washing behaviour takes place by observing if a household has a specific place where people most often wash their hands and observing if water and soap (or other local cleansing materials) are present at a specific place for handwashing.

Regular handwashing is one of the basic requirements that can help prevent over 40 percent of cases of acute diarrhoeal disease.

About 90 percent of households have a specific place for handwashing in their dwelling, plot or yard, three percent outside their dwelling/plot/yard, and in eight percent of cases, such a place could not be observed (Table WS.9). No substantial differences were found by geographic region, area, education level of the head of the household or wealth index quintiles.

Table WS. 9 shows that of those households where a place for handwashing was observed, 92 percent of households have both water and soap in places for handwashing; however, in this case, there are some differences by region and area: 95 percent of households in Chișinău compared to only 88 percent in the South region; 96 percent in urban areas and 89 percent in rural areas. Further differences were found by level of education ( 96 percent for higher education graduates and 87 percent for people with no education or with primary education only) and by wealth index quintiles (soap is available in 98-99 percent of households in the fourth and richest quintiles and in only 83 percent of households in the poorest quintile). In four percent of the households only water was available at the specific place for handwashing, while in 3 percent of the households the place only had soap but no water. Two percent of households had neither water nor soap available at the designated place for hand washing. In 95 percent of households either the soap was observed or shown to the interviewer (Table WS.10).
Table WS.9: Water and soap at place for handwashing
Percentage of households where place for handwashing was observed and percent distribution of households by availability of water and soap at place for handwashing, Moldova, 2012


Table WS.10: Availability of soap
Percent distribution of households by availability of soap in the dwelling, Moldova, 2012


REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

## Fertility

Measures of current fertility are presented in Table RH. 1 for the three-year period preceding the survey. A threeyear period was chosen for calculating these rates to provide the most up-to-date information while also allowing the rates to be calculated for a sufficient number of cases so as not to compromise the statistical precision of the estimates. Age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs), expressed as the number of births per 1,000 women in a specified age group, show the age pattern of fertility. Numerators for ASFRs are calculated by identifying live births that occurred in the three-year period preceding the survey classified according to the age of the mother (in five-year age groups) at the time of the child's birth. The denominators of the rates represent the number of womanyears lived by the survey respondents in each of the five-year age groups during the specified period. The total fertility rate (TFR) is the number of live births a woman would have if she were subject to the current age-specific fertility rates throughout her reproductive years (age 15-49). The general fertility rate (GFR) is the number of live births occurring during the specified period per 1,000 women aged 15-49 years. The crude birth rate (CBR) is the number of live births per 1,000 population during the specified period.

Table RH. 1 Fertility rates
Adolescent birth rate, age-specific and total fertility rates, the general fertility rate, and the crude birth rate for the three years preceding the survey, by area, Moldova, 2012

|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| $15-19^{1}$ | 24 | 44 | 35 |
| $20-24$ | 114 | 192 | 145 |
| $25-29$ | 86 | 134 | 125 |
| $30-34$ | 44 | 83 | 84 |
| $35-39$ | 5 | 32 | 37 |
| $40-44$ | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| $45-49$ | 1.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Fertility Rate (TFR) | 66 | 2.5 | 74 |
| General Fertility Rate (GFR) | 12 | 16 |  |
| Crude Birth Rate (CBR) |  |  |  |
| MICS indicator 5.1; MDG indicator 5.4 |  |  |  |
| Note: |  |  |  |
| TFR: Total fertility rate expressed per woman age 15-49 |  |  |  |
| GFR: General fertility rate expressed per 1,000 women age 15-49 |  |  |  |
| CBR: Crude birth rate expressed per 1,000 population |  |  |  |

The total fertility rate for the three years preceding 2012 Moldova MICS is 2.2 births per woman. Fertility is higher in rural areas ( 2.5 births per woman) than in urban areas (1.8 births per woman) (Table RH.1. and Figure RH.1).


Figure RH.1: Total fertility rate, Moldova 2012
The highest age-specific fertility rate is observed for the 20-24 year age group in the rural areas (192 per 1,000) while it is the 25-29 age group in the urban areas (114 per 1,000). This implies a clear delay in fertility in urban areas from the 20-24 to the 25-29 age groups. The urban-rural difference in fertility is more pronounced among women in the 20-24 age group: 96 births per 1,000 women in urban areas compared to 192 births per 1,000 women in rural areas.

Table RH. 2 shows adolescent birth rates and total fertility rates by socio-demographic variables. The adolescent birth rate (age-specific fertility rate for women age 15-19) is defined as the number of births to women aged 15-19 years during the three year period preceding the survey, divided by the average number of women aged 15-19 (number of women-years lived between ages 15 through 19, inclusive) during the same period, expressed per 1,000 women. Adolescent fertility is much higher in the rural areas ( 44 per 1,000 ), compared to urban areas ( 24 per 1,000) mainly due to high levels of early marriage in rural areas. Women's educational level and wealth status all have a significant impact on the level of adolescent fertility rate.

Table RH.2: Adolescent birth rate and total fertility rate

|  | Adolescent birth rate ${ }^{1}$ (Age-specific fertility rate for women aged 15-19 years) | Total fertility rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region 50 |  |  |
| North | 50 | 2.4 |
| Centre | 31 | 2.3 |
| South | 54 | 2.4 |
| Chișinău | 13 | 1.7 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 24 | 1.8 |
| Rural | 44 | 2.5 |
| Women's education |  |  |
| None/primary | * | * |
| Secondary | 37 | 2.3 |
| Professional | 54 | 2.3 |
| Higher | 5 | 2.0 |
| Wealth index |  |  |
| Poorest 60\% | 55 | 2.5 |
| Richest 40\% | 13 | 1.9 |
| Total | 35 | 2.2 |

Sexual activity and childbearing early in life carry significant risks for young people all around the world. As found by the survey, seven percent of women aged 15-19 years have begun to have children, including five percent who had already had a live birth and two percent who are pregnant with their first child. Less than one percent had a live birth before age 15 (Figure RH.2).


Figure RH.2: Percentage of women aged 15-19 years who have had a live birth or who are pregnant with the first child, or who have had a live birth before age 15, Moldova, 2012

Table RH. 3 shows that the proportion of women aged 15-49 years who have had live births by the age of 15 is less than one percent, while the proportion of those who have had a live birth before the age of 18 is four percent ( 6 percent in rural areas and 3 percent in urban areas). Table RH. 4 indicates that 5 percent of women aged 20-49 years had a live birth before age 18; live births at an early age are particularly observed among women aged 30-39 years (6-7 percent) and women in rural areas ( 6 percent).

Table RH.3: Early childbearing
Percentage of women aged 15-19 years who have had a live birth, are pregnant with the first child, and have begun childbearing, and those who have had a live birth before age 15, and percentage of women age 20-24 who have had a live birth before age 18, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women age 15-19 who: |  |  |  | Percentage of women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have had a live birth | Are pregnant with first child | Have begun childbearing | Have had a live birth before age 15 | Number of women age 15-19 | age 20-24 who have had a live birth before age $18^{1}$ | Number of women age 20-24 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 6.2 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 263 | 3.8 | 214 |
| Centre | 3.7 | 2.3 | 6.0 | 0.5 | 298 | 7.3 | 205 |
| South | 8.3 | 2.7 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 178 | 6.7 | 155 |
| Chișinău | 3.8 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 182 | 1.7 | 310 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.5 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 349 | 2.7 | 466 |
| Rural | 6.5 | 2.4 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 571 | 6.2 | 418 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 5.4 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 713 | 10.3 | 272 |
| Professional | 7.1 | 7.2 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 140 | 3.1 | 202 |
| Higher | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58 | 0.2 | 399 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 13.6 | 3.7 | 17.4 | 1.3 | 101 | 13.2 | 89 |
| Second | 7.1 | 3.8 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 147 | 10.1 | 126 |
| Middle | 6.5 | 2.5 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 273 | 4.6 | 199 |
| Fourth | 2.7 | 0.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 227 | 1.8 | 235 |
| Richest | 0.5 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 172 | 0.5 | 235 |
| Total | 5.3 | 2.1 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 920 | 4.4 | 884 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 5.2
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education for women aged 15-19 years and 6 unweighted cases for women aged 20-24 years are not shown; and 6 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for women aged 15-19 years, and 6
unweighted cases for women aged 20-24 years are not shown

Table RH.4: Trends in early childbearing
Percentage of women who have had a live birth, by age 15 and 18, by area and age group, Moldova,2012

|  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 15 | Number of women aged 1549 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 18 | Number of women aged 20-49 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 15 | Number <br> of women <br> aged 15- <br> 49 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 18 | Number of women aged 20-49 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age 15 | Number of women aged 1549 years | Percentage of women with a live birth before age $\qquad$ 18 | Number of women aged 2049 years |
| Age group ata 0 a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-24 | 0.2 | 466 | 2.7 | 466 | 0.0 | 418 | 6.2 | 418 | 0.1 | 884 | 4.4 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 0.0 | 435 | 3.3 | 435 | 0.3 | 487 | 6.3 | 487 | 0.1 | 922 | 4.9 | 922 |
| 30-34 | 0.0 | 375 | 2.7 | 375 | 0.3 | 479 | 8.0 | 479 | 0.2 | 854 | 5.7 | 854 |
| 35-39 | 0.0 | 298 | 4.8 | 298 | 0.2 | 497 | 8.0 | 497 | 0.2 | 795 | 6.8 | 795 |
| 40-44 | 0.0 | 288 | 2.4 | 288 | 0.3 | 486 | 4.8 | 486 | 0.2 | 774 | 3.9 | 774 |
| 45-49 | 0.2 | 322 | 2.3 | 322 | 0.0 | 529 | 3.1 | 529 | 0.1 | 851 | 2.8 | 851 |
| Total | 0.1 | 2532 | 3.0 | 2183 | 0.2 | 3468 | 6.0 | 2897 | 0.1 | 6000 | 4.7 | 5080 |

n/a: not applicable

## Knowledge of Contraceptive Methods

In the 2012 Moldova MICS, a set of questions were added to the questionnaire for individual women on knowledge of contraceptive methods. Being aware of available contraceptive methods is an important step towards accessing and using a suitable method of contraception, which in its turn affects family planning choices.

Information was collected from all women aged 15-49 years on whether they have heard of the following family planning methods: female and male sterilization, IUD (intrauterine device), injectables, implants, pill, male condom, female condom, diaphragm, foam/jelly, periodic abstinence / rhythm method, withdrawal and emergency / postcoital contraception. Of these methods, periodic abstinence / rhythm method, withdrawal, and lactational amenorrhea method (LAM) ${ }^{19}$ are considered traditional methods while the rest are considered modern methods of contraception. The respondents were also asked if they had heard of any other ways or methods to avoid pregnancy, apart from those mentioned above.

[^12]As shown in Table RH.5, almost all women aged 15-49 years know at least one contraceptive method. Modern methods are slightly more widely known than traditional methods; almost all women have heard of at least one modern method while 92 percent know of at least one traditional method. The most widely known modern method is the male condom (99 percent), followed by the pill and IUD ( 96 percent in both cases). Of the traditional methods, the most widely known method is withdrawal ( 85 percent).

Comparing women who are currently married or in union, the results were mostly similar in their knowledge of different contraceptive methods available to all women. Among sexually active women who are not married or in union, a higher proportion know of emergency contraception, compared to women currently married or in union ( 74 percent compared to 64 percent, respectively) and a higher proportion know of the female condom (49 percent compared to 38 percent). The mean number of methods known by all women is 8.8 , and among women currently-married or in union, the mean number known is 9.1.

Table RH. 5 Knowledge of specific contraceptive methods
Percentage of all women age 15-49, percentage of women age 15-49 currently married or in union and percentage of sexually active women age 15-49 not married or in union who have heard of any contraceptive method, by specific method, Moldova, 2012

|  | All | Currently married or in union | Sexually active women that are not married or in union ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Any method | 99.6 | 99.9 | 99.6 |
| Any modern method | 99.5 | 99.8 | 99.6 |
| Female sterilization | 77.7 | 79.8 | 78.4 |
| Male sterilization | 57.2 | 59.0 | 59.2 |
| Pill | 95.9 | 96.6 | 98.0 |
| IUD | 95.6 | 98.5 | 96.1 |
| Injectables | 61.3 | 63.5 | 58.8 |
| Implants | 37.1 | 37.7 | 41.4 |
| Male condom | 98.7 | 99.0 | 98.6 |
| Female condom | 39.5 | 38.2 | 49.4 |
| Diaphragm | 28.5 | 29.0 | 35.6 |
| Foam/jelly | 40.8 | 42.2 | 48.8 |
| Emergency contraception | 62.8 | 64.1 | 74.1 |
| Any traditional method | 91.8 | 95.7 | 95.6 |
| Rhythm | 78.7 | 82.4 | 84.6 |
| Withdrawal | 85.2 | 91.3 | 92.4 |
| Other | 33.0 | 38.1 | 27.4 |
| Average number of methods known by women | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.3 |
| Number of women | 6000 | 4073 | 429 |

${ }^{1}$ Had last sexual intercourse within 30 days preceding the survey
Table RH. 6 presents women's knowledge of contraception by background characteristics. The knowledge of contraception is high overall in Moldova and no notable differences based on background characteristics can be observed.

Table RH.6: Knowledge of contraceptive methods
Percentage of women age 15-49 currently married or in union who have heard of at least one contraceptive method and who have heard of at least one modern method, by background characteristics, Moldova, 2012

|  | Any method | Any modern method ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women currently married or in union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| North | 99.9 | 99.9 | 1292 |
| Centre | 99.8 | 99.7 | 1185 |
| South | 99.8 | 99.8 | 755 |
| Chișinău | 100.0 | 100.0 | 840 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1580 |
| Rural | 99.8 | 99.7 | 2493 |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 91 |
| 20-24 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 497 |
| 25-29 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 769 |
| 30-34 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 713 |
| 35-39 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 656 |
| 40-44 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 655 |
| 45-49 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 692 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 99.9 | 99.8 | 1642 |
| Professional | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1376 |
| Higher | 99.8 | 99.8 | 1023 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.4 | 99.2 | 494 |
| Second | 100.0 | 100.0 | 747 |
| Middle | 99.8 | 99.8 | 884 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 905 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1043 |
| Total | 99.9 | 99.8 | 4073 |

${ }^{1}$ Female sterilization, male sterilization, pill, IUD, injectables, implants, male condom, female condom, emergency contraception, and other modern methods
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 20 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 13 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

## Contraception

Appropriate family planning is important to the health of women and children by: 1) preventing pregnancies that are too early or too late; 2) extending the period between births; and 3) limiting the number of children. Access by all couples to information and services to prevent pregnancies that are too early, too closely spaced, too late or too many is critical. Data on use of contraception in Moldova are presented in Table RH.7.


Figure RH.3: Use of contraception, Moldova, 2012
The current use of contraception, regardless of whether it is modern or traditional, was reported by 60 percent of women currently married or in union (Figure RH.3). The most popular method is the intrauterine device (IUD) which is used by one in five ( 20 percent) of married women in Moldova. The next most popular method is withdrawal/coitus interruptus, which accounts for 13 percent of married women. Five percent of women reported use the pill and 12 percent reported use of a male condom. A limited proportion of women reported use of periodic abstinence (three percent), female sterilization (four percent), vaginal methods, such as Diaphragm/ Foam/Jelly (less than one percent), or the other traditional methods (about one percent). The proportion of women using any form of contraception is slightly higher among those with higher education compared to other education levels, reaching 64 percent and 58-59 percent respectively. Nineteen percent of married women with higher education use male condoms compared to nine percent with secondary education. It is interesting to note that 4-6 percent of married women with secondary and professional education are sterilized.


Figure RH.4: Contraception prevalence among women currently married or in union by age groups, Moldova, 2012

Overall contraceptive prevalence is similar between different regions and ranges from 58 percent (North) to 61 percent (Central) (Table RH.7).

Young women aged 15-24 years are less likely to use contraception than older women, apart from women in the 45-49 age group. Only 45 percent of women who are married or in union aged 15-19 years currently use a method of contraception compared to 63 to 70 percent among those aged 25-44 years (Figure RH.4).
Table RH.7: Use of contraception

| Not using any method |  | Percent of women (currently married or in union) who are using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of <br> women <br> currently <br> married or <br> in union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female sterilization | IUD | Injectables | Pill | Male condom | Diaphragm/ Foam/Jelly | Periodic abstinence | Withdrawal | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Any modern method | Any traditional method | Any method $^{1}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 41.8 | 4.9 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 14.8 | 1.8 | 38.8 | 19.4 | 58.2 | 1292 |
| Centre | 38.6 | 5.4 | 22.6 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 10.2 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 15.0 | 0.7 | 42.4 | 19.0 | 61.4 | 1185 |
| South | 41.2 | 4.6 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 14.1 | 1.1 | 41.0 | 17.8 | 58.8 | 755 |
| Chișinău | 40.6 | 1.9 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 21.4 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 45.9 | 13.5 | 59.4 | 840 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 41.8 | 3.0 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 17.6 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 8.9 | 1.9 | 44.6 | 13.6 | 58.2 | 1580 |
| Rural | 39.7 | 5.2 | 22.6 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 16.2 | 1.0 | 39.9 | 20.4 | 60.3 | 2493 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 54.7 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 27.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 35.8 | 9.5 | 45.3 | 91 |
| 20-24 | 45.4 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 17.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 13.0 | 2.4 | 38.8 | 15.8 | 54.6 | 497 |
| 25-29 | 37.0 | 1.4 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 16.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 15.9 | 0.9 | 44.1 | 19.0 | 63.0 | 769 |
| 30-34 | 36.8 | 2.8 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 12.1 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 13.9 | 1.0 | 44.9 | 18.3 | 63.2 | 713 |
| 35-39 | 30.0 | 5.1 | 27.1 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 15.0 | 1.9 | 49.2 | 20.8 | 70.0 | 656 |
| 40-44 | 37.2 | 9.3 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 11.3 | 1.0 | 45.6 | 17.2 | 62.8 | 655 |
| 45-49 | 56.2 | 7.5 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 11.3 | 1.4 | 27.8 | 16.0 | 43.8 | 692 |
| Number of living children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 72.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 12.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 22.6 | 5.3 | 27.9 | 450 |
| 1 | 45.0 | 1.4 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 12.7 | 2.1 | 37.9 | 17.1 | 55.0 | 1199 |
| 2 | 31.7 | 5.8 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 11.4 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 14.7 | 1.1 | 48.8 | 19.5 | 68.3 | 1722 |
| 3 | 31.8 | 6.6 | 28.2 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 16.5 | 1.1 | 46.4 | 21.8 | 68.2 | 512 |
| 4+ | 41.5 | 11.5 | 17.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 19.8 | 1.0 | 34.0 | 24.5 | 58.5 | 190 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 42.2 | 4.1 | 21.1 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 16.5 | 0.8 | 38.0 | 19.8 | 57.8 | 1642 |
| Professional | 41.4 | 5.8 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 12.2 | 1.6 | 41.8 | 16.7 | 58.6 | 1376 |
| Higher | 36.2 | 2.9 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 18.7 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 10.3 | 1.9 | 47.6 | 16.2 | 63.8 | 1023 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 44.5 | 6.1 | 19.3 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 18.9 | 0.9 | 34.3 | 21.2 | 55.5 | 494 |
| Second | 39.6 | 4.5 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 17.9 | 1.2 | 37.8 | 22.6 | 60.4 | 747 |
| Middle | 42.9 | 4.4 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 14.3 | 1.1 | 39.2 | 17.9 | 57.1 | 884 |
| Fourth | 39.0 | 4.7 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 10.4 | 1.4 | 45.8 | 15.2 | 61.0 | 905 |
| Richest | 38.7 | 3.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 18.7 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 9.3 | 1.8 | 46.6 | 14.8 | 61.3 | 1043 |
| Total | 40.5 | 4.4 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 13.4 | 1.3 | 41.7 | 17.7 | 59.5 | 4073 |

MICS indicator 5.3; MDG indicator 5.3
a The category "Other" includes LAM.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 20 unweighted cases with no/primary education and 13 unweighted cases with missing/DK education are not shown

## Unmet Need

The unmet need for contraception refers to fertile women who are not using any method of contraception, but who wish to postpone the next birth (spacing) or who wish to stop childbearing altogether (limiting). Unmet need is identified in MICS by using a set of questions eliciting current behaviours and preferences pertaining to contraceptive use, fecundity, and fertility preferences.

Table RH. 5 shows the levels of met need for contraception, unmet need, and the demand for contraception satisfied.

Unmet need for spacing is defined as the percentage of women who are not using a method of contraception AND

- are not pregnant and not postpartum amenorrheic ${ }^{20}$ and are fertile ${ }^{21}$ and say they want to wait two or more years for their next birth OR
- are not pregnant and not postpartum amenorrheic and are fertile and unsure whether they want another child OR
- are pregnant and say that pregnancy was mistimed: would have wanted to wait OR
- are postpartum amenorrheic and say that the birth was mistimed: would have wanted to wait

Unmet need for limiting is defined as percentage of women who are not using a method of contraception AND

- are not pregnant and not postpartum amenorrheic and are fertile and say they do not want any more children OR
- are pregnant and say they did not want to have a child OR
- are postpartum amenorrheic and say that they did not want the birth

Total unmet need for contraception is the sum of unmet need for spacing and unmet need for limiting.

The met need for limiting includes women who are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method and who want no more children, are using male or female sterilization or declare themselves as infertile. The met need for spacing includes women who are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method and who want to have another child or are undecided whether to have another child. The total of met need for spacing and limiting adds up to the total met need for contraception.

Using information on contraception and unmet need, the percentage of demand for contraception satisfied is also estimated from the MICS data. The percentage of demand satisfied is defined as the proportion of women currently married or in union who are currently using contraception, of the total demand for contraception. The total demand for contraception includes women who currently have an unmet need (for spacing or limiting), plus those who are currently using contraception.

Table RH. 8 shows that ten percent of women in Moldova have an unmet need for contraception. Met need for spacing is higher in urban areas (29 percent) compared to rural areas (21 percent), while the opposite is true for met need for limiting, which is higher in rural areas (40 percent), compared to urban areas (29 percent). The table also highlights that the total demand for family planning satisfied is 86 percent, and it ranges from 93 percent among women aged 35-39 years, and 79 percent among women aged 20-24 years to 66 percent among women aged 15-19 years.

[^13]Table RH.8: Unmet need for contraception
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years currently married or in union with an unmet need for family planning and percentage of demand for contraception satisfied, Moldova, 2012

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 5.4; MDG indicator 5.6
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 20 unweighted cases of "None/primary" education for women currently married or in union, and 12 unweighted cases for women currently married or in union in need for contraception are not shown; 13 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for women currently married or in union, and 9 unweighted cases for women currently married or in union in need for contraception are not shown

## Antenatal Care

The antenatal period presents important opportunities for reaching pregnant women with a number of interventions that may be vital to their health and well-being and that of their infants. Management of anaemia during pregnancy and treatment of STIs can significantly improve foetal outcomes and improve maternal health. Adverse outcomes such as low birth weight can be reduced through a combination of interventions to improve women's nutritional status and prevent infections during pregnancy. More recently, the potential of the antenatal period as an entry point for HIV prevention and care, in particular for the prevention of HIV transmission from mother to child, has led to renewed interest in access to and use of antenatal services.

WHO recommends a minimum of four antenatal visits based on a review of the effectiveness of different models of antenatal care. WHO guidelines are specific on the content on antenatal care visits, which include:

- Blood pressure measurement
- Urine testing for bacteriuria and proteinuria
- Blood testing to detect syphilis and severe anaemia
- Weight/height measurement (optional)

The type of personnel providing antenatal care to women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceding is presented in Table RH.9. In Moldova a relatively small percentage of women (1 percent) do not receive antenatal care.

The majority of antenatal care is provided by medical doctors ( 98 percent), while a minority of women receive care from a nurse/midwife (1 percent). Ninety-nine percent of respondents reported they had received antenatal care from any skilled personnel: Thus we can conclude that antenatal care coverage is high in primary healthcare centres (Table RH.9).

In Moldova, more than 95 percent of women had four or more antenatal visits. The percentage of women having four and more antenatal visits is over 92 percent in all sub-groups, apart from women living in the poorest households ( 86 percent) (Table RH.10). Among those women who had a live birth during the two years preceding the survey, 99 percent reported that a blood sample was taken during antenatal care visits, 98 percent reported that their blood pressure was checked, and 99 percent that a urine specimen was taken (Table RH.11).

Table RH.9: Antenatal care coverage
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey by type of personnel providing antenatal care during the pregnancy for the last birth, Moldova, 2012

|  | Person pro | antenata |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ Midwife | No antenatal care received | Total | Any skilled personnel ${ }^{1}$ | who gave birth in the preceding two years |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 96.8 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 236 |
| Centre | 96.5 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 204 |
| South | 99.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 160 |
| Chișinău | 99.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 150 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 291 |
| Rural | 97.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 459 |
| Mother's age a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 94.1 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 67 |
| 20-34 | 98.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 623 |
| 35-49 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 94.3 | 61 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 96.9 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 310 |
| Professional | 97.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 184 |
| Higher | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 244 |
| Wealth index q |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 94.9 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 114 |
| Second | 96.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Middle | 98.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 148 |
| Fourth | 98.9 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 129 |
| Richest | 98.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 208 |
| Total | 97.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 750 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 5.5a; MDG indicator 5.5
 DK" education are not shown

Table RH.10: Number of antenatal care visits
Percent distribution of women who had a live birth during the two years preceding the survey by number of antenatal care visits by any provider, Moldova, 2012


Table RH.11: Content of antenatal care
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who had their blood pressure measured, urine sample taken, and blood sample taken as part of antenatal care, Moldova, 2012

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 5.6
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 5 unweighted cases with "Missing/ DK" education are not shown

## Assistance at Delivery

A World Fit for Children goal is to ensure that women have ready and affordable access to skilled attendance at delivery. The indicators are the proportion of births with a skilled attendant (medical doctor, nurse or midwife) and proportion of institutional deliveries. The skilled attendant at delivery indicator is also used to track progress toward the Millennium Development target of reducing the maternal mortality ratio by three-quarters between 1990 and 2015.

Table RH.12: Assistance during delivery
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 who had a live birth in the two years preceding the survey by person assisting at delivery and percentage of births delivered by C-section, Moldova, 2012

|  | Person | sisting at | ivery |  |  |  |  | Number of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ Midwife | Other | No attendant | Total | assisted by any skilled attendant ${ }^{1}$ | Percent delivered by C-section ${ }^{2}$ | a live birth in preceding two years |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 96.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 17.4 | 236 |
| Centre | 95.0 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 16.5 | 204 |
| South | 93.4 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 16.3 | 160 |
| Chișinău | 96.7 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 13.9 | 150 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 16.3 | 291 |
| Rural | 94.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 16.2 | 459 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 93.7 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 12.2 | 67 |
| 20-34 | 96.2 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 15.5 | 623 |
| 35-49 | 88.4 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 96.4 | 28.0 | 61 |
| Place of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public sector health facility | 96.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16.2 | 738 |
| Other | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | 12 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 95.6 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 13.7 | 310 |
| Professional | 92.3 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 15.5 | 184 |
| Higher | 97.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 19.4 | 244 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 96.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 18.0 | 114 |
| Second | 93.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 151 |
| Middle | 95.3 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 21.9 | 148 |
| Fourth | 94.4 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 14.2 | 129 |
| Richest | 96.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 16.1 | 208 |
| Total | 95.3 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 16.2 | 750 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 5.7; MDG indicator 5.2
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 5.9
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 5 unweighted cases with "Missing/
DK" education are not shown

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Data obtained on assistance at delivery are shown in Table RH.12. A vast majority of births ( 95 percent) in the two years preceding the MICS survey were delivered with the assistance of medical doctors. Nurses/midwives assisted delivery in four percent of births, the indicator being roughly the same across regions of the country and by areas. A total of 16 percent of births were delivered by C-section. The proportion of C-sections is similar throughout the country.

## Place of Delivery

Increasing the proportion of births that are delivered in health facilities is an important factor in reducing the health risks to both the mother and the baby. Proper medical attention and hygienic conditions during delivery can reduce the risks of complications and infection that can cause morbidity and mortality to either the mother or the baby. The survey data on this issue are shown in Table RH.13. Ninety-nine percent of births in the Republic of Moldova are delivered in a health facility; 98 percent of deliveries occur in public sector facilities and less than one percent occur in private sector facilities. An extremely small proportion of births (less than 1 percent) occur at home.

Table RH.13: Place of delivery
Percent distribution of women age 15-49 who had a live birth in two years preceding the survey by place of delivery, Moldova, 2012


## Post-natal Health Checks

The early discharge of mothers and newborns from the health facility (3-5 days after birth) is widely practiced in Moldova. As shown by the data in Table RH.14, four percent of mothers, who gave a live birth at a health facility during the preceding two years, stayed in the facility for less than three days while 77 percent stayed in the facility for 3-6 days after delivery. Nineteen percent were discharged after the first week following the birth. The survey results show that the lowest proportion of mothers and newborns who stayed one week or longer in the maternity ward following birth was in Chișinău (12 percent) and urban areas rather than rural areas (16 and 21 percent, respectively), and among mothers in richest households compared to poorest households (12 percent compared to 26 percent, respectively).

Table RH.14: Post-partum stay in health facility
Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in a health facility in the two years preceding the survey by duration of stay in health facility following their last live birth, Moldova, 2012

|  | Duration of stay in health facility |  |  |  |  |  | Total | 12 hours or more $^{1}$ | Number of women who gave birth in a health facility in the preceding two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 3 days | 3 days | 4 days | 5 days | 6 days | One week or longer following birth |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 2.1 | 31.1 | 20.6 | 24.7 | 4.1 | 17.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 235 |
| Centre | 2.3 | 25.6 | 25.5 | 20.5 | 3.0 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 201 |
| South | 0.0 | 26.9 | 15.7 | 26.1 | 8.3 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 156 |
| Chișinău | 13.7 | 54.4 | 8.9 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 149 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.6 | 43.1 | 14.4 | 17.8 | 2.5 | 15.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 290 |
| Rural | 2.5 | 27.1 | 21.2 | 23.0 | 5.1 | 21.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 452 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 11.2 | 26.2 | 9.6 | 25.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67 |
| 20-34 | 3.9 | 34.6 | 18.8 | 21.0 | 3.7 | 17.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 616 |
| 35-49 | 9.9 | 27.7 | 24.3 | 14.7 | 1.6 | 21.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 58 |
| Type of health facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 4.0 | 33.6 | 18.6 | 20.9 | 4.1 | 18.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 738 |
| Private | * | ** | ${ }_{*} \times$ | * | ${ }_{*}$ | 18.8 | 100.0 | * | 4 |
| Type of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-section | 0.5 | 2.8 | 11.0 | 28.8 | 7.1 | 49.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 122 |
| Not via C-section | 4.8 | 39.4 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 3.5 | 12.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 620 |
| Percent of women who had: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 5 |
| 1-3 visits | * | * | ${ }^{*}$ | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 17 |
| 4+ visits | 4.2 | 33.9 | 18.7 | 20.8 | 4.3 | 18.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 713 |
| Missing/DK | * | * | ${ }_{*}$ | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 8 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 1.7 | 28.5 | 21.3 | 25.0 | 3.4 | 20.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 306 |
| Professional | 5.1 | 28.2 | 20.3 | 19.3 | 6.1 | 21.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 180 |
| Higher | 6.5 | 44.3 | 14.4 | 16.2 | 3.5 | 15.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 243 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 2.3 | 20.3 | 22.6 | 21.3 | 7.1 | 26.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 111 |
| Second | 1.2 | 21.2 | 23.7 | 30.8 | 4.0 | 19.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Middle | 0.0 | 31.9 | 17.7 | 19.5 | 5.5 | 25.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 148 |
| Fourth | 3.7 | 36.9 | 19.1 | 20.9 | 3.3 | 16.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 126 |
| Richest | 10.3 | 48.5 | 12.8 | 14.7 | 2.0 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 205 |
| Total | 4.1 | 33.4 | 18.5 | 21.0 | 4.1 | 18.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 742 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 5.10
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 5 unweighted cases with "Missing/
DK" education are not shown

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Safe motherhood programmes have recently increased emphasis on the importance of post-natal care, recommending that all women and newborns receive a health check within two days of delivery. To assess the extent of post-natal care utilization, women were asked whether they and their newborns received a health check after the delivery, the timing of the first check, and the type of health provider for the woman's last birth in the two years preceding the survey.

Table RH. 15 shows the percentage of newborns born in the last two years who received health checks and post-natal care visits from any health provider after birth and after discharge from the health facility. Please note that health checks following birth while in the facility or at home refer to checks provided by any health provider regardless of timing (column 1), whereas post-natal care visits (PNC) refer to a separate visit to check on the health of the newborn and provide preventive care services and therefore do not include health checks following birth while in facility or at home. The indicator, post-natal health checks, includes any health check after the birth that is received while in the health facility and at home (column 1), regardless of timing, as well as PNC visits within two days of delivery (columns 2, 3, and 4).

According to table RH.15, 98 percent of newborns receive a health check following birth while in a facility or at home. With regards to PNC visits, these predominantly occur either after the first week ( 50 percent) or after $4-6$ days following delivery ( 41 percent). The potential main reason for PNC visits taking place after three days following birth is the longer duration of stay at a health facility following birth (the majority of women who gave a live birth at a health facility during the preceding two years, stayed in the facility for 3-6 days). Four percent of newborns received no PNC visit.

Geographically, PNC visits in the first week of life have occurred more frequently in the South region (59 percent) and Central regions ( 53 percent) compared to the North region or Chişinău, where 41 percent and 47 percent of newborns, respectively, were visited by medical staff in the first week following birth. This difference is likely to be due to longer stay of newborns in health facilities in these regions. There are no clear differentials in percentages of post-natal care visits in the first week following birth by area. Forty-six percent of newborns receive a PNC visit 1-2 days following discharge from the health facility, while 27 percent receive a PNC visit after the first week following discharge.
Table RH.15: Post-natal health checks for newborns

|  | Health check following birth while in facility or at home | PNC visit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PNC visit (time after discharge from health facility) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Same } \\ \text { day } \end{gathered}$ | 1 day following birth | 2 days following birth | 3 days following birth | 4-6 days following birth | After the first week following birth | No postnatal care visit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missing/ } \\ \text { DK } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Same } \\ \text { day } \end{gathered}$ | $1 \text { day }$ <br> following discharge | 2 days following discharge | 3 days <br> following discharge | 4-6 days following discharge | After the first week following discharge | No postnatal care visit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Missing/ } \\ \text { DK } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | Post-natal health check for the newborn ${ }^{1}$ | last births in the two years preceding the survey |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 99.1 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 46.8 | 41.4 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 24.7 | 26.1 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 22.6 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 236 |
| Centre | 96.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 53.4 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.7 | 21.4 | 18.6 | 9.6 | 4.8 | 30.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 96.9 | 204 |
| South | 98.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 35.0 | 59.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.8 | 22.3 | 25.0 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 24.1 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 160 |
| Chișinău | 99.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 44.3 | 46.9 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 10.2 | 7.3 | 30.1 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 150 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 41.7 | 49.3 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 22.1 | 19.4 | 8.6 | 5.8 | 30.6 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 291 |
| Rural | 97.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 41.3 | 49.7 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.2 | 23.0 | 25.1 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 24.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 459 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.2 | 54.1 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 11.7 | 18.9 | 26.8 | 3.9 | 7.8 | 17.5 | 7.6 | 5.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 67 |
| 20-34 | 98.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 42.2 | 49.7 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 7.2 | 23.2 | 22.7 | 7.8 | 5.0 | 27.9 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 623 |
| 35-49 | 96.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 38.6 | 43.6 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 16.6 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 23.1 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 96.4 | 61 |
| Place of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 4 |
| Health facility | 99.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 41.7 | 50.1 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 26.9 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 742 |
| Public | 99.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 41.9 | 49.9 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 23.0 | 23.3 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 26.6 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 738 |
| Private | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 4 |
| Other/DK/Missing | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 5 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 97.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 40.8 | 51.1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 27.1 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 310 |
| Professional | 98.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 40.2 | 48.4 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 11.9 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 23.0 | 5.8 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 184 |
| Higher | 99.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 44.1 | 48.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 23.4 | 23.0 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 27.8 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 244 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 95.2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 39.8 | 49.2 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 23.6 | 23.3 | 9.2 | 1.6 | 23.1 | 5.7 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 114 |
| Second | 98.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 35.9 | 55.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.9 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 28.7 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 151 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 44.6 | 48.2 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 11.6 | 19.5 | 28.3 | 5.4 | 7.2 | 21.0 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 148 |
| Fourth | 98.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 44.6 | 45.1 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8.5 | 26.7 | 17.2 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 27.4 | 5.7 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 129 |
| Richest | 98.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 42.1 | 48.9 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 21.9 | 22.2 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 30.3 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 208 |
| Total | 98.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 41.4 | 49.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 8.4 | 22.7 | 22.9 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 26.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 750 |

[^14]In Table RH.16, the percentage of newborns who received the first PNC visit within the first week after discharge from the health facility shown by location and type of provider of service. As defined above, a visit does not include a check-up in the facility or at home following birth.

As expected, almost all of the first PNC visits for newborns within the first week after discharge occur at home ( 96 percent), while the remaining four percent occur in the public sector health facilities. There are no clear differentials across the different background characteristics. All of the first PNC visits for newborns within the first week after discharge are provided by a doctor/nurse/midwife.

Table RH.16: Post-natal care (PNC) visits for newborns within the first week after discharge from the health facility
Percentage of newborns who were born in the last two years and received a PNC visit within the first week after discharge from the health facility by location and provider of the first PNC visit, Moldova, 2012

|  | Location of first PNC visit within the first week after discharge from the health facility |  | Total | Provider of first PNC visit within the first week after discharge from the health facility |  | Number of all newborns born in the preceding two years with a PNC visit within the first week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home | Public Sector |  | Doctor/ nurse/ midwife | Total | health facility |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 95.9 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 169 |
| Centre | 95.8 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 121 |
| South | 93.9 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 110 |
| Chișinău | 99.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.2 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 184 |
| Rural | 94.8 | 5.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 314 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | (90.4) | (9.6) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 100.0 | 46 |
| 20-34 | 97.3 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 411 |
| 35-49 | (89.5) | (10.5) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 100.0 | 40 |
| Place of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 96.1 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Public | 96.1 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 497 |
| Private | * | * | 100.0 | * | 100.0 | 1 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 96.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Professional | 95.1 | 4.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 126 |
| Higher | 96.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 164 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 94.0 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 77 |
| Second | 96.6 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100 |
| Middle | 92.0 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Fourth | 96.2 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 81 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 133 |
| Total | 96.1 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 497 |

Note: Table RH. 16 has been customised to reflect the situation of Moldova, where a high proportion of mothers stay in the health facility 3-5 days following birth (see Table RH.14).
The same length of stay in the health facility was used for both the mother and the newborn child (since only information on the duration of stay of the mother was collected).
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 4 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/ DK" education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Among post-partum mothers, 94 percent received a health check at a health facility or at home following birth. Such interventions are more regular in the North region ( 97 percent) compared to other regions, especially Chișinău (91 percent), and in women aged 20-34 years (94 percent) compared to other age groups (Table RH.17). Twenty-four percent of mothers received a PNC visit 1-2 days after discharge from the health facility, 20 percent received a PNC after the first week following discharge, while 41 percent received no PNC visit.
Table RH.17: Post-natal health checks for mothers

| Health <br> check <br> followng <br> birth while <br> infality <br> orat <br> home <br> home |  | PNC visit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PNC visit (time after discharge from health facility) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Same } \\ & \text { day } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { day } \\ \text { following } \\ \text { birth } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { days } \\ \text { following } \\ \text { birth } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { days } \\ \text { following } \\ \text { birth } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \text { days } \\ \text { following } \\ \text { birth } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | After the first week following birth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not post- } \\ & \text { natat care } \\ & \text { visit } \end{aligned}$ | Missing/0k | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Same } \\ & \text { day } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { day } \\ \text { following } \\ \text { discharge } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { davy } \\ \text { following } \\ \text { discharge } \end{gathered}$ | 3 days following diching discharge | 4.6 days <br> discharge | After the first week following discharge $\qquad$ | No postnatal care | Missing/ <br> DK | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { natal } \\ \text { healt } \\ \text { chech for } \\ \text { the } \\ \text { tother } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 97.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 27.2 | 34.3 | 34.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 9.8 | 15.2 | 12.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 20.2 | 34.2 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 236 |
| Centre | 92.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 33.5 | 42.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 16.6 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 0.4 | 20.9 | 42.5 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 204 |
| South | 92.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 16.2 | 40.0 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | ${ }^{8.6}$ | 11.0 | 10.8 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 14.7 | 42.3 | 2.9 | 100.0 | ${ }^{93.4}$ | ${ }^{160}$ |
| Chisiniuu | 90.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 16.5 | 31.7 | 46.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 3.0 | 4.2 | 22.8 | 46.2 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 91.2 | 150 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 94.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.3}$ | 1.4 | 16.2 | 35.3 | 45.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 4.3 | ${ }^{8.1}$ | 9.7 | 3.0 | ${ }^{3.8}$ | 24.0 | 45.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 94.7 | 291 |
| Rural | 93.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 24.5 | 34.4 | 37.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 16.5 | 10.7 | 5.1 | 2.7 | 17.0 | 37.7 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 459 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | 90.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 49.9 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 10.4 | 6.9 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 6.6 | ${ }^{22.7}$ | 34.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | ${ }^{90.3}$ | 67 |
| 20.34 | 94.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 22.6 | 34.0 | 39.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 14.5 | 10.0 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 20.4 | 39.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 | 95.1 | 623 |
| 35-49 | 89.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 13.7 | 25.7 | 55.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 9.2 | 55.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.7 | 61 |
| Place of bith |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home |  |  |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 4 |
| Heatth facility | 94.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 21.4 | 35.1 | 40.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 13.4 | 10.4 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 20.0 | 40.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 94.5 | 742 |
| Public | 94.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 21.5 | 35.1 | 40.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 13.5 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 19.9 | 40.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 94.4 | 738 |
| Private | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 4 |
| Other/DK/Mising | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 5 |
| Type of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaginal bith | 92.8 | 0.7 | ${ }^{0.3}$ | 1.0 | 1.4 | ${ }^{23.0}$ | 30.8 | 42.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 5.6 | ${ }^{13.6}$ | 9.9 | 4.0 | ${ }^{3.1}$ | 18.9 | ${ }^{42.4}$ | 2.6 | 100.0 | ${ }^{93.4}$ | ${ }^{629}$ |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | ${ }^{93.5}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 25.6 | ${ }^{36.2}$ | 35.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 16.5 | 13.2 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 20.4 | 35.7 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 94.6 | ${ }^{310}$ |
| Professional | 93.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 16.9 | ${ }^{33,7}$ | ${ }^{46.1}$ | 0.9 | 100.0 | 8.2 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 18.9 | 45.1 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 184 |
| Higher | 94.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 20.0 | 33.3 | 42.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 4.0 | 5.8 | 19.1 | 42.2 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 244 |
| Weath index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 90.8 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 23.3 | 36.9 | 36.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8.9 | 15.8 | 8.2 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 18.2 | 36.2 | 2.3 | 100.0 | ${ }^{93.1}$ | 114 |
| Second | 96.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 22.4 | 36.6 | 35.5 | 1.1 | 100.0 | ${ }^{9.2}$ | 14.6 | 10.3 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 21.5 | 35.5 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 151 |
| Middle | 96.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 21.6 | 40.4 | 36.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 18.5 | 36.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 148 |
| Fourth | 88.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 30.5 | 42.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2.7 | 16.6 | 10.3 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 18.9 | 42.7 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 89.4 | 129 |
| Richest | 94.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 16.9 | 30.7 | 48.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 20.7 | 48.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 | 94.7 | 208 |
| Total | 93.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 21.3 | 34.7 | 40.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 6.4 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 19.7 | 40.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 750 |

[^15]Table RH. 18 matches Table RH.16, but now deals with PNC visits after discharge from the health facility for mothers by location and type of provider. As defined above, a visit does not include a check in the facility or at home following birth.

Overall, 93 percent of the first PNC visits for mothers within the first week after discharge occur at home, while seven percent occur in public health facilities. As for newborns, all of the first PNC visits for mothers within the first week after discharge are provided by a doctor, nurse or midwife.

Table RH.18: Post-natal care (PNC) visits for mothers within the first week after discharge from the health facility
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the preceding 2 years and received a PNC visit within the first week after discharge from the health facility, by location and provider of the first PNC visit, Moldova, 2012

|  |  |  |  |  | Provider of first |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Locatio first we | st PNC <br> er disch <br> alth faci | ithin the fom the |  | PNC visit within the first week after discharge from the health facility |  | Number of women who gave birth in the two years preceding survey and received a PNC visit within the first week |
|  | Home | Public Sector | Private sector | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Doctor/nurse/ } \\ \text { midwife } \end{gathered}$ | Total | after discharge from the health facility |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 95.6 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 103 |
| Centre | 94.7 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 71 |
| South | 94.1 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 64 |
| Chișinău | 82.3 | 16.7 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 42 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 86.1 | 13.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84 |
| Rural | 96.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 196 |
| Mother's age at birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 20 | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 100.0 | 28 |
| 20-34 | 92.2 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 230 |
| 35-49 | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 100.0 | 21 |
| Duration of stay in he |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-2 days | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 100.0 | 7 |
| 3 days or more | 93.2 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 254 |
| Place of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health facility | 93.1 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 280 |
| Public | 93.2 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 279 |
| Private | * | * | * | 100.0 | * | 100.0 | 1 |
| Type of delivery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaginal birth | 93.7 | 6.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 227 |
| C-section | (90.4) | (9.6) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 100.0 | 53 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 97.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 130 |
| Professional | 96.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 60 |
| Higher | 84.6 | 14.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87 |
| Wealth index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest 60 percent | 97.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 174 |
| Richest 40 percent | 86.4 | 13.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 105 |
| Total | 93.1 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 280 |

Note: Table RH. 18 has been customised to reflect the situation of Moldova, where a high proportion of mothers stay in the health facility $3-5$ days
following birth (see Table RH.14)
For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK"
education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases


## Abortion

In the 2012 Moldova MICS a set of questions were added to the questionnaire for individual women on wasted pregnancies (miscarriages, stillbirths and abortions). The information was collected from all women aged 15-49 years. Women were asked whether they have ever had a pregnancy that they miscarried, ended in a stillbirth or was aborted, and, if so, they were asked how many pregnancies were miscarried, ended in a stillbirth or were aborted. In addition, more detailed information was collected on induced abortions occurring in the last two years, including the duration of the pregnancy at the time of termination and the month and year of termination.

Table RH. 19 shows the mean number of live births, miscarriages, abortions and stillbirths per woman aged 15-49 years. The mean number of live births per woman is 1.4. The average number of miscarriages per woman is 0.2 . As also shown in table RH.19, 30 percent of women aged $15-49$ years have had at least one induced abortion in their lifetime. No pronounced differentials in the experience of abortions are found when comparing area and wealth index quintiles. The percentage of women who have ever had an induced abortion
increases with age. Some differentials by education of women are evident: women with professional education are most likely to have had an abortion, compared to women with secondary or higher education, with two in five women having had at least one induced abortion.

Table RH. 19 Lifetime experience with wasted pregnancies
Mean number of live births, miscarriages, induced abortions and stillbirths, and percentage of women who have ever had an induced abortion, Moldova, 2012

|  |  | Mean | er of: |  | Percentage of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Live births | Miscarriages | Induced <br> Abortions | Stillbirths | at least one induced abortion | Number of women |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 922 |
| 30-34 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 33.4 | 854 |
| 35-39 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 46.1 | 795 |
| 40-44 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 774 |
| 45-49 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 57.6 | 851 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 2532 |
| Rural | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 31.7 | 3468 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 31.7 | 1799 |
| Centre | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 31.9 | 1717 |
| South | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 31.7 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 1389 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (2.0) | (0.5) | (0.9) | (0.0) | (37.5) | 26 |
| Secondary | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 2666 |
| Professional | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 40.3 | 1757 |
| Higher | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (1.2) | (0.1) | (0.5) | (0.0) | (16.1) | 28 |
| Wealth index qui |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 724 |
| Second | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 32.3 | 1029 |
| Middle | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 29.5 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 30.7 | 1392 |
| Richest | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 1525 |
| Total | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 30.3 | 6000 |

( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table RH. 20 shows age specific abortion rates, total abortion rates (TAR), and general abortion rates (GAR). All of the abortion rates refer to the two year period preceding the survey. Age specific abortion rates express the number of abortions among women in the age group per 1,000 women in the age group. The total abortion rate (TAR), which is expressed per woman, is a summary measure of the age specific rates. The TAR is interpreted as the number of abortions a woman would have in her lifetime if she experienced the currently observed age-specific abortion rates during her childbearing years. The general abortion rate (GAR) is the number of abortions per 1,000 women aged 15-49 years.

The age specific abortion rates increase sharply after the age of 19 years and are the highest among the 30-34 year age group. Women aged 25-29 years living in urban areas are more likely to have had an abortion (29 per 1,000 women) compared to women in rural areas ( 20 per 1,000 women). The opposite is true for the 35-39 year age group, where abortions are more common among women in rural areas ( 20 per 1,000 women) than among women in urban areas ( 13 per 1,000 women). The total abortion rate in Moldova is 0.5 abortions per woman. The general abortion rate is 19 per 1,000 women.

Table RH. 20 Induced abortion rates by area
Age-specific abortion rates (per 1,000 women), total abortion rates (TAR), and general abortion rate (GAR) for the two year period preceding the survey, by area, Moldova, 2012

|  | Area |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| $20-24$ | 21 | 25 | 23 |
| $25-29$ | 32 | 20 | 24 |
| $30-34$ | 13 | 27 | 29 |
| $35-39$ | 9 | 20 | 18 |
| $40-44$ | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| $45-49$ | 0.6 | 2 | 2 |
| TAR 15-49 | 21 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| GAR | 16 | 19 |  |

Note: Age specific abortion rates express the AVERAGE number of abortions per 1,000 women per 5-year age group. The total abortion rate (TAR), which is expressed per woman, is a summary measure of the age specific rates. The general abortion rate (GAR) is the number of abortions per 1,000 women aged 15-49 years.

Table RH. 21 shows the total induced abortion rates (TAR) by background characteristics. Abortion rates do not differ much by urban and rural area and geographic region. As seen in the table, by education level, the highest TAR is found among women with secondary education (a TAR of 0.7 ), while the lowest TAR is found among women with higher education (a TAR of 0.5).

Table RH. 21 Induced abortion rates
Total abortion rates among women age 15-49 for the two years preceding the
survey and mean number of abortions among women age 40-49, Moldova, 2012

|  | Total abortion rate <br> among women age <br> $15-49$ | Mean number of <br> abortions among women <br> age $40-49$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| $\quad$ Urban | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| Rural |  |  |
| Region | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| North | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Centre | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| South | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Chișinău | $*$ | $*$ |
| Education | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| $\quad$ None/primary | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| Secondary | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Professional |  |  |
| Higher | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| Wealth index | 0.5 | 1.4 |
| Poorest 60 percent | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Richest 40 percent |  |  |
| Total |  |  |

* Figures that are based on fewer than 125 unweighted person-years of exposure


## Early Childhood Education and Learning

Readiness of children for primary school can be improved through attendance to early childhood education programmes or through pre-school attendance. Early childhood education programmes include programmes for children that have organised learning components as opposed to baby-sitting and day-care which do not typically have organised education and learning.

Survey results show that 74 percent of boys and 67 percent of girls aged $36-59$ months are attending an organised early childhood education programme (Table CD.1). Urban-rural and regional differentials are notable - the figure is as high as 82 percent in urban areas, compared to 64 percent in rural areas (Figure CD.1).


Figure CD.1: Percentage of children 36-59 months old currently attending early childhood education, Moldova, 2012
Among children aged 36-59 months, attendance to early childhood education programmes is more prevalent in Chișinău ( 87 percent) and the South region ( 77 percent), and lowest in the Central ( 66 percent) and North regions ( 62 percent). No gender differentials exists, but differentials by socioeconomic status are rather significant: 88 percent of children living in the richest households attend such programmes, while the figure drops to 50 percent in the poorest households (Figure CD.1). The proportion of children attending early childhood education programmes at ages $36-47$ months is seven percentage points less than that of children attending said programmes at ages 48-59 months (Table CD.1).

Table CD.1: Early childhood education
Percentage of children 36-59 months old who are attending an organized early childhood education programme, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of children 36-59 months old currently attending early childhood education ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children 36-59 months old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 73.5 | 381 |
| Female | 67.4 | 331 |
| Region |  |  |
| North | 61.6 | 221 |
| Centre | 65.9 | 214 |
| South | 77.1 | 152 |
| Chișinău | 86.8 | 126 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 82.3 | 267 |
| Rural | 63.7 | 445 |
| Age in months |  |  |
| 36-47 | 67.5 | 377 |
| 48-59 | 74.2 | 335 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Secondary | 66.5 | 347 |
| Professional | 70.5 | 163 |
| Higher | 83.0 | 185 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | 49.6 | 137 |
| Second | 65.1 | 153 |
| Middle | 69.8 | 146 |
| Fourth | 80.9 | 119 |
| Richest | 87.6 | 156 |
| Total | 70.6 | 712 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 6.7 <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 6 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 11 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown |  |  |

It is well recognized that a period of rapid brain development occurs in the first 3-4 years of life, and the quality of home care is a major determinant of the child's development during this period. In this context, engagement of adults in activities with children, presence of books in the home for the child, and the conditions of care are important indicators of quality of home care. Children should be physically healthy, mentally alert, emotionally secure, socially competent and ready to learn. Information on a number of activities that support early learning was collected in the survey. These included the involvement of adults with children in the following activities: reading books or looking at picture books, telling stories, singing songs, taking children outside the home, compound or yard, playing with children, and spending time with children naming, counting, or drawing things.

For 89 percent of children aged 36-59 months in Moldova, an adult household member engaged in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness during the three days preceding the survey (Table CD.2). The average number of activities that adults engaged in with children was 5.1. The table also indicates that the father's involvement in such activities was somewhat limited. Father's involvement with one or more activities was 47 percent. It was found that 33 percent of children aged 36-59 months live without their biological father.

## Table CD.2: Support for learning

Percentage of children 36-59 months old with whom an adult household member engaged in activities that promote learning and school readiness during the last three days, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of children 36-59 months old |  | Mean number of activities |  | Percentage of children not living with their biological father | Number of children 36-59 months old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With whom adult household members engaged in four or more activities ${ }^{1}$ | With whom the father engaged in one or more activities $^{2}$ | household member engaged with the child | The father engaged with the child |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 86.2 | 50.1 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 30.8 | 381 |
| Female | 92.4 | 44.3 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 34.8 | 331 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 89.1 | 39.8 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 41.1 | 221 |
| Centre | 86.3 | 46.2 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 29.3 | 214 |
| South | 88.6 | 39.1 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 37.6 | 152 |
| Chișinău | 94.4 | 72.6 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 17.5 | 126 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 90.0 | 59.9 | 5.2 | 1.7 | 22.5 | 267 |
| Rural | 88.5 | 39.8 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 38.7 | 445 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36-47 | 90.3 | 49.8 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 32.7 | 377 |
| 48-59 | 87.7 | 44.6 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 32.6 | 335 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 87.3 | 38.3 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 38.8 | 347 |
| Professional | 92.1 | 45.5 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 33.2 | 163 |
| Higher | 93.7 | 67.0 | 5.5 | 2.0 | 20.1 | 185 |
| Father's education ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 91.3 | 63.8 | 5.2 | 1.7 | n/a | 217 |
| Professional | 88.5 | 67.8 | 5.1 | 1.9 | n/a | 151 |
| Higher | 93.1 | 83.4 | 5.4 | 2.4 | n/a | 99 |
| Father not in household | 87.0 | 4.9 | 5.0 | n/a | n/a | 232 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 80.5 | 29.4 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 46.4 | 137 |
| Second | 86.5 | 34.7 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 44.3 | 153 |
| Middle | 90.1 | 50.1 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 29.0 | 146 |
| Fourth | 93.7 | 49.8 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 26.3 | 119 |
| Richest | 94.6 | 71.1 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 17.4 | 156 |
| Total | 89.1 | 47.4 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 32.6 | 712 |
| 1 MICS indicator 6.1${ }^{2}$ MICS Indicator 6.2${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 6unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 11 unweighted cases with |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| "Missing/DK" education are not shown <br> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ For the background characteristic "Father's education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 5 unweighted cases with |  |  |  |  |  | ses with |

It should also be noted that while there are no major differences between the parents' (mother's and father's) education levels and their engagement in activities with their children, engagement seems to be significantly influenced by the socio-economic status, being more pronounced for children living in the richest households ( 95 percent), as opposed to those living in the poorest households ( 81 percent). The father's involvement with one or more activities showed a similar pattern, increasing with the socio-economic status and ranging from 29 percent to 71 percent of children between the poorest and richest households, respectively (Table CD.2).

Exposure to books at an early age not only provides the child with greater imagination and understanding of nature, but may also give the child opportunities to see others reading, such as older siblings doing school work. The presence of books is important for later school performance. The mother/caregiver of all children under 5 were asked about the number of children's books or picture books the child has, household objects or outside objects, and homemade toys or toys that came from a shop that are available at home.

In Moldova, 68 percent of children aged 0-59 months live in households where there are at least three children's books available for the child (Table CD.3). The proportion of children with 10 or more books declines to 40 percent. While gender differentials are not observed, urban children appear to have more access to children's books than those living in rural households. The proportion of under-5 children who have three or more children's books is 82 percent in urban areas, compared to 60 percent in rural areas. The presence of children's books is positively correlated with the child's age; in households with children aged 24-59 months, three or more books can be found in 80 percent of them, while the figure is 52 percent for children aged 0-23 months. Survey results show a notable difference in the percentage of children who have three or more books depending on the educational attainment of mothers/caregivers. Thus, 87 percent of children whose mothers have higher education had three or more children's books, and 67 percent of such children had 10 or more children's books, compared to children whose mothers have secondary education ( 58 percent and 28 percent, respectively).

Similar differences can be seen by socio-economic status. Children from the richest households have three or more children's books at a rate of about 87 percent, and 10 or more children's books at 70 percent, as opposed to children from the poorest households, where figures dropped to 33 percent and 8 percent, respectively.

Table CD.3: Learning materials
Percentage of children under the age of five by numbers of children's books present in the household, and by playthings that child plays with, Moldova, 2012

|  | Household has for the child: |  | Child plays with: |  |  | Two or more types of playthings ${ }^{2}$ | Number <br> of children under the age of five |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 or more children's books ${ }^{1}$ | 10 or more children's books | Homemade toys | Toys from a shop/ manufactured toys | Household objects/ objects found outside |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 69.0 | 40.1 | 11.0 | 96.4 | 68.3 | 69.9 | 955 |
| Female | 67.2 | 40.8 | 14.8 | 94.9 | 64.3 | 65.6 | 914 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 66.6 | 37.5 | 11.7 | 95.5 | 69.4 | 70.7 | 584 |
| Centre | 62.5 | 27.2 | 13.8 | 95.4 | 66.7 | 68.4 | 552 |
| South | 63.2 | 39.3 | 10.9 | 94.9 | 63.0 | 63.3 | 395 |
| Chișinău | 85.8 | 68.4 | 15.6 | 97.1 | 64.2 | 67.1 | 338 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 81.8 | 60.7 | 12.8 | 96.5 | 65.4 | 67.5 | 682 |
| Rural | 60.3 | 28.8 | 12.9 | 95.1 | 66.8 | 68.0 | 1187 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 | 51.8 | 27.4 | 7.9 | 92.5 | 47.3 | 49.4 | 786 |
| 24-59 | 80.0 | 49.9 | 16.4 | 97.9 | 80.1 | 81.1 | 1083 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 57.9 | 27.8 | 12.8 | 96.1 | 69.5 | 70.5 | 873 |
| Professional | 71.2 | 37.0 | 10.2 | 94.8 | 60.9 | 61.8 | 448 |
| Higher | 86.8 | 67.3 | 14.8 | 96.1 | 65.3 | 68.4 | 515 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 33.4 | 7.9 | 19.8 | 90.1 | 75.9 | 75.3 | 332 |
| Second | 59.2 | 25.2 | 8.0 | 96.2 | 64.1 | 65.3 | 400 |
| Middle | 74.0 | 38.8 | 13.8 | 96.1 | 60.3 | 63.0 | 370 |
| Fourth | 82.4 | 54.8 | 8.8 | 97.2 | 66.1 | 67.9 | 326 |
| Richest | 86.9 | 69.6 | 14.2 | 97.7 | 66.3 | 68.5 | 441 |
| Total | 68.1 | 40.4 | 12.9 | 95.6 | 66.3 | 67.8 | 1869 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 6.3
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 6.4
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 18 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 17 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

Table CD. 3 also shows that 68 percent of children aged 0-59 months had two or more types of playthings to play with in their homes. The types of playthings in MICS included homemade toys, toys that came from a store, and household objects (such as pots and bowls) or objects and materials found outside the home (such as sticks, rocks, animal shells, or leaves). It is interesting to note that 96 percent of children play with toys that come from a store. The proportion of children who have two or more types of playthings to play with is 70 percent among male children and 66 percent among female children. No urban-rural differentials are observed in this respect.

Leaving children alone or in the presence of other young children is known to increase the risk of accidents. In MICS, two questions were asked to find out whether children aged 0-59 months were left alone during the week preceding the interview, and whether children were left in the care of other children under age 10. Table CD. 4 shows that five percent of children aged 0-59 months were left in the care of other children, while one percent were left alone during the week preceding the interview. Combining the two care indicators, it is calculated that six percent of children were left with inadequate care during the week preceding the survey, either by being left alone or in the care of another child. No differences were observed by the sex of the child or between urban and rural areas. Children aged 24-59 months were left with inadequate care more (8 percent) than those who were aged 0-23 months (3 percent).

Table CD.4: Inadequate care
Percentage of children under the age of five left alone or left in the care of another child younger than 10 years of age for more than one hour at least once during the past week, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of children under the age of five |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left alone in the past week | Left in the care of another child younger than 10 years of age in the past week | Left with inadequate care in the past week $^{1}$ | Number of children under the age of five |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.1 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 955 |
| Female | 1.4 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 914 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 0.6 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 584 |
| Centre | 0.9 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 552 |
| South | 2.5 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 395 |
| Chișinău | 1.6 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 338 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.3 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 682 |
| Rural | 1.3 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 1187 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 786 |
| 24-59 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 1083 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 1.3 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 873 |
| Professional | 0.8 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 448 |
| Higher | 1.5 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 515 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.6 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 332 |
| Second | 1.2 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 400 |
| Middle | 2.0 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 370 |
| Fourth | 0.5 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 326 |
| Richest | 1.1 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 441 |
| Total | 1.3 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 1869 |

## Early Childhood Development

Early child development is defined as an orderly, predictable process along a continuous path, in which a child learns to handle more complicated levels of moving, thinking, speaking, feeling and relating to others. Physical growth, literacy and numeracy skills, socio-emotional development and readiness to learn are vital domains of a child's overall development, which is a basis for overall human development.

A 10-item module that has been developed for the MICS programme was used to calculate the Early Child Development Index (ECDI). The indicator is based on some benchmarks that children would be expected to meet if they are developing as the majority of children in that age group. The primary purpose of the ECDI is to inform public policy regarding the developmental status of children in the Republic of Moldova. Each of the 10 items is used in one of the four domains to determine if children are developmentally on track in that domain. The domains in question are:

1. Literacy-numeracy: Children are identified as being developmentally on track based on whether they can identify/name at least ten letters of the alphabet, whether they can read at least four simple, popular words, and whether they know the name and recognize the symbols of all numbers from
1 to 10 . If at least two of these are true, then the child is considered developmentally on track.
2. Physical: If the child can pick up a small object with two fingers, like a stick or a rock from the ground and/or the mother/caretaker does not indicate that the child is sometimes too sick to play, then the child is regarded as being developmentally on track in the physical domain.
3. Social-emotional: Children are considered to be developmentally on track if two of the following are true: If the child gets along well with other children, if the child does not kick, bite, or hit other children
and if the child does not get distracted easily.
4. Learning: If the child follows simple directions on how to do something correctly and/or when given something to do, is able to do it independently, then the child is considered to be developmentally on track in this domain.

ECDI is then calculated as the percentage of children who are developmentally on track in at least three of these four domains.

In Moldova, 84 percent of children aged 36-59 months are found to be developmentally on track. ECDI is virtually the same among boys ( 83 percent) and girls ( 84 percent). As expected, ECDI is higher in the older age group ( 89 percent among children aged 48-59 months compared to 80 percent among those aged 36-47 months), since children master more skills as they get older. Higher ECDI is seen in children who in early childhood attend education programmes ( 86 percent compared to 78 percent for those who are not attending). Children living in the poorest households have lower ECDI (75 percent) compared to children living in the richest households (87 percent).

The analysis of four domains of child development shows that 99 percent of children are on track in the learning and physical domain, while a notably lower percentage is on track in the social-emotional (79 percent) and literacy-numeracy ( 30 percent) domains. When analysing the same indicators according to the mother's education levels, it is observed that the level of literacy-numeracy increases to 40 percent for children of mothers have higher education and declines to 26 percent for children of mothers who have secondary education. Larger proportions of children on track in literacy-numeracy can be found among children living in the richest households (44 percent) compared to those living in the poorest households (18 percent). No significant differences were found for the "Social-Emotional" domain, either in terms of mother's education or household wealth (Table CD.5).

Table CD.5: Early child development index
Percentage of children 36-59 months old who are developmentally on track in literacy-numeracy, physical, social-emotional, and learning domains, and the early child development index score, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of children 36-59 months old who are developmentally on track for indicated domains |  |  |  | Early child development index score ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children 3659 months old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Literacynumeracy | Physical | SocialEmotional | Learning |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 30.0 | 99.6 | 77.2 | 99.5 | 83.4 | 381 |
| Female | 30.6 | 98.9 | 81.4 | 99.0 | 84.2 | 331 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 26.0 | 99.5 | 80.3 | 99.7 | 83.2 | 221 |
| Centre | 24.9 | 98.8 | 80.6 | 98.8 | 84.2 | 214 |
| South | 30.7 | 99.2 | 76.9 | 98.6 | 81.0 | 152 |
| Chișinău | 46.3 | 99.7 | 77.4 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 126 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 38.8 | 99.9 | 80.0 | 99.7 | 87.0 | 267 |
| Rural | 25.2 | 98.9 | 78.7 | 98.9 | 81.9 | 445 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36-47 | 15.9 | 98.9 | 76.6 | 98.8 | 79.7 | 377 |
| 48-59 | 46.5 | 99.6 | 82.0 | 99.8 | 88.5 | 335 |
| Attendance to early childhood education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attending | 33.7 | 99.9 | 80.6 | 99.6 | 86.3 | 503 |
| Not attending | 22.0 | 97.7 | 75.7 | 98.4 | 77.9 | 209 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 25.7 | 98.9 | 77.4 | 99.0 | 82.9 | 347 |
| Professional | 31.2 | 99.3 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 82.5 | 163 |
| Higher | 40.3 | 99.8 | 82.9 | 99.3 | 88.2 | 185 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 18.1 | 97.3 | 71.4 | 97.6 | 74.5 | 137 |
| Second | 23.3 | 99.2 | 81.9 | 100.0 | 88.4 | 153 |
| Middle | 28.6 | 100.0 | 83.0 | 98.5 | 83.1 | 146 |
| Fourth | 37.5 | 100.0 | 81.1 | 100.0 | 85.8 | 119 |
| Richest | 43.8 | 99.8 | 78.2 | 100.0 | 86.6 | 156 |
| Total | 30.3 | 99.3 | 79.2 | 99.2 | 83.8 | 712 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 6.6
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 6 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 11 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

## Literacy among Young People

One of the goals of education is to ensure adult literacy. Adult literacy is also an MDG indicator, relating to both men and women. In MICS, the results are based on the questionnaire administered to females aged 15-24 years. Literacy is assessed on the ability of the respondent to read a short simple statement or based on school attendance. The percentage of women and men aged 15-24 years who are literate is presented in Table ED. 1 and Table ED.1M. The tables show that 99 percent of women and 100 percent of men in Moldova are literate.

Table ED.1: Literacy (young women)
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who are literate, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage literate ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage not known | Number of women aged 15-24 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 98.0 | 0.0 | 476 |
| Centre | 99.5 | 0.0 | 502 |
| South | 99.7 | 0.0 | 333 |
| Chișinău | 100.0 | 0.0 | 492 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.0 | 0.0 | 814 |
| Rural | 99.4 | 0.0 | 990 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 0.0 | 985 |
| Professional | 100.0 | 0.0 | 342 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 457 |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.1 | 0.0 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 884 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 94.5 | 0.0 | 190 |
| Second | 99.6 | 0.0 | 273 |
| Middle | 99.8 | 0.0 | 472 |
| Fourth | 99.9 | 0.0 | 462 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 0.0 | 407 |
| Total | 99.3 | 0.0 | 1804 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.1; MDG indicator 2.3
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 12 unweighted cases with
"Missing/DK" education are not shown

Table ED.1M: Literacy (young men)
Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who are literate, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage literate ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage not known | Number of men aged 15-24 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 98.9 | 0.0 | 156 |
| Centre | 99.5 | 0.0 | 129 |
| South | 100.0 | 0.0 | 82 |
| Chișinău | 100.0 | 0.0 | 130 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.7 | 0.0 | 191 |
| Rural | 99.4 | 0.0 | 306 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 0.0 | 299 |
| Professional | 100.0 | 0.0 | 97 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 0.0 | 97 |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.3 | 0.0 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 99.7 | 0.0 | 238 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (96.0) | (0.0) | 62 |
| Second | 100.0 | 0.0 | 93 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 0.0 | 123 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 0.0 | 106 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 0.0 | 114 |
| Total | 99.5 | 0.0 | 497 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.1; MDG indicator 2.3
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/ DK" education are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

## School Readiness

Attending pre-school education is important for the readiness of children to go to school. Table ED. 2 shows the proportion of children in the first grade of primary school who attended pre-school the previous year. Overall, 93 percent of children who are currently attending the first grade of primary school were attending pre-school the previous year. There are no pronounced differentials by socio-economic status of the households.

Table ED.2: School readiness
Percentage of children attending first grade of primary school who attended pre-school the previous year, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of children attending first grade who attended preschool in previous year ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children attending first grade of primary school |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex ${ }^{\text {coser }}$ |  |  |
| Male | 90.0 | 163 |
| Female | 95.1 | 192 |
| Region |  |  |
| North | 95.0 | 113 |
| Centre | 89.7 | 127 |
| South | 98.2 | 68 |
| Chișinău | 87.7 | 46 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 91.5 | 115 |
| Rural | 93.3 | 240 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Secondary | 95.1 | 191 |
| Professional | 93.1 | 93 |
| Higher | 90.0 | 62 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |
| Poorest | (89.9) | 58 |
| Second | 100.0 | 91 |
| Middle | 86.1 | 79 |
| Fourth | 89.8 | 68 |
| Richest | 96.5 | 60 |
| Total | 92.7 | 355 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.2 <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 4 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Primary and Secondary School Participation

Universal access to basic education and the achievement of primary education by the world's children is one of the most important goals of the Millennium Development Goals and A World Fit for Children. Education is a vital prerequisite for combatting poverty, empowering women, protecting children from hazardous and exploitative labour and sexual exploitation, promoting human rights and democracy, protecting the environment, and influencing population growth.

The indicators for primary and secondary school attendance include:

- Net intake rate in primary education
- Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted)
- Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted)
- Female to male education ratio (or gender parity index - GPI) in primary and secondary school

The indicators of school progression include:

- Primary completion rate
- Transition rate to secondary school

According to the Law on Education in the Republic of Moldova, there are nine years of compulsory education, including children of age 7-15 years, which is typical for general compulsory education. Children enter primary school at the age of 7 and secondary school at 11 . There are 4 grades in primary school (I-IV) and 5 grades in lower secondary school - gymnasium (V-IX). Upper secondary school comprises 3 grades (for children aged 1618 years). The school year typically runs from September $1^{\text {st }}$ to May $31^{\text {st }}$ of the following year.

Among children who are of primary school entry age (age 7) in Moldova, 94 percent are attending the first grade of primary school (Table ED.3). There are no differentials in primary school entry by background characteristics.

Table ED.3: Primary school entry
Percentage of children of primary school entry age entering grade 1 (net intake rate), Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of children of primary <br> school entry age entering grade $1^{1}$ | Number of children of primary school <br> entry age |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | 95.2 | 155 |
| Male | 93.1 | 179 |
| Female |  |  |
| Region | 93.8 | 102 |
| North | 92.0 | 119 |
| Centre | 97.3 | 61 |
| South | 95.9 | 51 |
| Chișinău |  | 112 |
| Area | 93.9 | 221 |
| Urban | 94.2 | 180 |
| Rural |  | 91 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| Secondary | 94.9 | 58 |
| Professional | 94.9 | 54 |
| Higher | 95.1 | 83 |
| Wealth index quintile |  | 66 |
| Poorest | $187.0)$ | 63 |
| Second | 93.2 | 68 |
| Middle | 95.9 | 334 |
| Fourth | 97.1 | 96.2 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.3
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 1 unweighted case with "None/primary" education and 5 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
Table ED. 4 provides data on the percentage of children of primary school age ( 7 to 10 years) who are attending primary or secondary school ${ }^{22}$. The majority of children of primary school age ( 99 percent) are attending school.

Table ED.4: Primary school attendance (adjusted)
Percentage of children of primary school age attending primary or secondary school (adjusted net attendance ratio), Moldova, 2012

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children |
| Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 98.2 | 219 | 98.4 | 203 | 98.3 | 422 |
| Centre | 99.4 | 211 | 98.8 | 230 | 99.1 | 441 |
| South | 99.0 | 133 | 97.5 | 125 | 98.3 | 258 |
| Chișinău | 99.5 | 96 | 98.8 | 90 | 99.2 | 186 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.6 | 210 | 98.0 | 195 | 98.3 | 405 |
| Rural | 99.1 | 450 | 98.6 | 453 | 98.9 | 903 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 96.8 | 155 | 96.7 | 179 | 96.7 | 334 |
| 8 | 100.0 | 166 | 99.5 | 181 | 99.7 | 347 |
| 9 | 98.8 | 172 | 99.3 | 142 | 99.0 | 314 |
| 10 | 100.0 | 166 | 98.4 | 147 | 99.2 | 313 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 98.8 | 328 | 98.5 | 333 | 98.7 | 661 |
| Professional | 99.8 | 214 | 99.2 | 184 | 99.5 | 399 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 104 | 98.7 | 124 | 99.3 | 228 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.0 | 123 | 97.6 | 100 | 97.8 | 223 |
| Second | 98.9 | 132 | 99.2 | 166 | 99.1 | 297 |
| Middle | 98.4 | 159 | 98.5 | 134 | 98.4 | 294 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 120 | 98.5 | 130 | 99.2 | 251 |
| Richest | 99.6 | 125 | 97.9 | 119 | 98.8 | 244 |
| Total | 98.9 | 659 | 98.4 | 649 | 98.7 | 1308 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.4; MDG indicator 2.1
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 13 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education, for all children, are not shown

The secondary school net attendance ratio (consisting of lower and upper secondary school) is presented in Table ED.5. ${ }^{23}$ Eighty-six percent of secondary school age children are attending secondary school. About 13 percent of secondary school age children do not go to school, while one percent are attending primary school when they should be attending secondary school. The secondary school net attendance ratio is slightly higher among boys aged 15-18 years than among girls of the same age. There is a positive correlation between secondary school

[^16]attendance and household wealth, whereby 95 percent of children from the richest wealth index quintiles attend secondary school, compared to 69 percent of children from the poorest wealth index quintiles.

Table ED.5: Secondary school attendance (adjusted)
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending secondary school or higher (adjusted net attendance ratio) and percentage of children of secondary school age attending primary school, Moldova, 2012

|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Percent attending primary school | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Percent attending primary school | Number of children | Net attendance ratio $\left(\right.$ adjusted) ${ }^{1}$ | Percent attending primary school | Number of children |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 80.9 | 0.9 | 494 | 86.9 | 1.7 | 445 | 83.7 | 1.3 | 939 |
| Centre | 81.9 | 1.3 | 429 | 87.9 | 0.9 | 488 | 85.1 | 1.1 | 918 |
| South | 83.8 | 0.5 | 344 | 87.5 | 1.0 | 310 | 85.6 | 0.7 | 653 |
| Chișinău | 92.4 | 2.5 | 243 | 93.4 | 0.7 | 237 | 92.9 | 1.6 | 480 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.2 | 1.7 | 467 | 91.4 | 1.4 | 505 | 90.3 | 1.6 | 972 |
| Rural | 81.3 | 1.0 | 1042 | 86.8 | 0.9 | 975 | 84.0 | 1.0 | 2018 |
| Age at beginning of school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 88.1 | 9.2 | 176 | 88.5 | 9.9 | 157 | 88.3 | 9.6 | 332 |
| 12 | 99.2 | 0.0 | 176 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 159 | 99.6 | 0.0 | 334 |
| 13 | 96.0 | 1.0 | 182 | 98.8 | 0.0 | 177 | 97.4 | 0.5 | 358 |
| 14 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 199 | 99.0 | 0.0 | 176 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 375 |
| 15 | 90.4 | 0.0 | 195 | 97.0 | 0.0 | 193 | 93.7 | 0.0 | 388 |
| 16 | 77.2 | 0.0 | 199 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 186 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 385 |
| 17 | 63.7 | 0.0 | 206 | 74.8 | 0.4 | 229 | 69.5 | 0.2 | 435 |
| 18 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 178 | 69.7 | 0.0 | 203 | 65.0 | 0.0 | 381 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 87.3 | 1.4 | 539 | 93.6 | 1.0 | 502 | 90.3 | 1.2 | 1040 |
| Professional | 90.2 | 1.2 | 430 | 96.7 | 1.2 | 369 | 93.2 | 1.2 | 799 |
| Higher | 97.0 | 1.9 | 201 | 98.2 | 1.8 | 185 | 97.6 | 1.9 | 386 |
| Mother not in household | (86.0) | (0.0) | 23 | (95.6) | (0.0) | 34 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 57 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 68.2 | 2.1 | 248 | 70.1 | 2.2 | 190 | 69.0 | 2.1 | 438 |
| Second | 79.0 | 0.2 | 310 | 86.2 | 0.7 | 284 | 82.4 | 0.4 | 594 |
| Middle | 84.3 | 1.4 | 355 | 88.3 | 1.3 | 397 | 86.4 | 1.3 | 752 |
| Fourth | 91.5 | 0.5 | 331 | 94.3 | 0.9 | 343 | 92.9 | 0.7 | 674 |
| Richest | 93.3 | 2.1 | 265 | 96.3 | 0.9 | 266 | 94.8 | 1.5 | 532 |
| Total | 83.7 | 1.2 | 1510 | 88.4 | 1.1 | 1480 | 86.0 | 1.2 | 2990 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.5
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 17 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 22 unweighted cases with
"Missing/DK" education, for all children, are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

The lower secondary and upper secondary net attendance ratios are presented in Tables ED. 6 and ED.7, respectively. ${ }^{24}$ The adjusted net attendance ratio is calculated as percentage of lower secondary school age children (11-15 years old) attending lower secondary school or higher levels to the total population of the respective age group. 96 percent of children of lower secondary school age are attending lower secondary school or higher (Table ED.6). Table ED. 7 shows that the net attendance ratio for upper secondary school is 68 percent ( 62 percent for boys, and 73 percent for girls).

[^17]Table ED.6: Lower secondary school attendance (adjusted)
Percentage of children of lower secondary school age attending lower secondary school or higher (adjusted net attendance ratio) and percentage of children of lower secondary school age attending primary school, Moldova, 2012

|  | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Male Percent attending primary school | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Female Percent attending primary school | Number <br> of children | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Total Percent attending primary school | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 95.2 | 1.5 | 310 | 94.4 | 2.8 | 275 | 94.8 | 2.1 | 585 |
| Centre | 96.4 | 2.1 | 270 | 98.1 | 1.2 | 278 | 97.3 | 1.6 | 548 |
| South | 95.8 | 0.8 | 204 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 192 | 97.1 | 1.2 | 396 |
| Chișinău | 94.0 | 4.2 | 143 | 98.7 | 1.3 | 116 | 96.1 | 2.9 | 259 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 92.7 | 2.8 | 279 | 95.5 | 2.7 | 265 | 94.1 | 2.8 | 544 |
| Rural | 96.7 | 1.6 | 648 | 97.8 | 1.4 | 596 | 97.2 | 1.5 | 1244 |
| Age at beginning of school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 88.1 | 9.2 | 176 | 88.5 | 9.9 | 157 | 88.3 | 9.6 | 332 |
| 12 | 99.2 | 0.0 | 176 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 159 | 99.6 | 0.0 | 334 |
| 13 | 96.0 | 1.0 | 182 | 98.8 | 0.0 | 177 | 97.4 | 0.5 | 358 |
| 14 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 199 | 99.0 | 0.0 | 176 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 375 |
| 15 | 96.6 | 0.0 | 195 | 98.4 | 0.0 | 193 | 97.5 | 0.0 | 388 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 95.3 | 1.8 | 429 | 98.3 | 1.2 | 410 | 96.8 | 1.5 | 839 |
| Professional | 97.0 | 1.6 | 317 | 98.3 | 1.7 | 272 | 97.6 | 1.7 | 589 |
| Higher | 97.4 | 2.4 | 157 | 97.8 | 2.2 | 151 | 97.6 | 2.3 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 90.2 | 3.3 | 158 | 91.8 | 3.5 | 119 | 90.9 | 3.4 | 277 |
| Second | 96.8 | 0.3 | 193 | 98.5 | 0.9 | 199 | 97.7 | 0.6 | 392 |
| Middle | 96.4 | 2.2 | 220 | 96.6 | 2.3 | 215 | 96.5 | 2.3 | 435 |
| Fourth | 97.8 | 0.9 | 196 | 98.0 | 1.7 | 180 | 97.9 | 1.3 | 376 |
| Richest | 95.1 | 3.5 | 161 | 99.0 | 1.0 | 147 | 97.0 | 2.3 | 308 |
| Total | 95.5 | 1.9 | 927 | 97.1 | 1.8 | 861 | 96.3 | 1.9 | 1788 |

${ }^{\text {a F For the background characteristic "Mother's education", } 12 \text { unweighted cases with "None/primary" education, } 21 \text { unweighted cases of „Mother not in }}$ the household" and 16 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education, for all children, are not shown

Table ED.7: Upper secondary school attendance (adjusted)
Percentage of children of upper secondary school age attending upper secondary school or higher (adjusted net attendance ratio) and percentage of children of upper secondary school age attending lower secondary school, Moldova, 2012

|  | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Male Percent attending lower secondary school | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Female Percent attending lower secondary school | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Total Percent attending lower secondary school | Number <br> of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 53.6 | 7.1 | 184 | 71.6 | 3.8 | 170 | 62.3 | 5.5 | 354 |
| Centre | 54.8 | 4.0 | 159 | 69.0 | 5.3 | 210 | 62.9 | 4.8 | 369 |
| South | 61.7 | 6.6 | 140 | 66.3 | 3.4 | 118 | 63.8 | 5.1 | 257 |
| Chișinău | 87.8 | 2.4 | 100 | 88.6 | 0.9 | 121 | 88.2 | 1.6 | 220 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 81.2 | 3.0 | 188 | 85.3 | 1.5 | 240 | 83.5 | 2.2 | 428 |
| Rural | 52.5 | 6.4 | 395 | 65.3 | 5.1 | 379 | 58.7 | 5.8 | 774 |
| Age at beginning of school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 63.7 | 13.4 | 199 | 78.9 | 7.9 | 186 | 71.1 | 10.7 | 385 |
| 17 | 61.5 | 2.2 | 206 | 71.2 | 3.5 | 229 | 66.7 | 2.9 | 435 |
| 18 | 59.7 | 0.0 | 178 | 69.7 | 0.0 | 203 | 65.0 | 0.0 | 381 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 45.4 | 17.7 | 110 | 61.1 | 12.8 | 92 | 52.6 | 15.4 | 202 |
| Professional | 67.3 | 7.6 | 113 | 87.5 | 6.1 | 97 | 76.6 | 6.9 | 210 |
| Higher | 95.8 | 0.0 | 44 | (91.6) | (8.4) | 34 | 94.0 | 3.7 | 77 |
| Mother not in household | * | * | 15 | (99.0) | (0.0) | 21 | (90.5) | (0.0) | 36 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 21.3 | 12.9 | 90 | 25.8 | 9.6 | 71 | 23.3 | 11.5 | 161 |
| Second | 44.9 | 8.4 | 117 | 54.5 | 4.3 | 85 | 48.9 | 6.7 | 202 |
| Middle | 64.1 | 2.7 | 135 | 75.8 | 2.6 | 182 | 70.9 | 2.6 | 316 |
| Fourth | 80.3 | 2.2 | 136 | 86.1 | 4.0 | 162 | 83.5 | 3.2 | 298 |
| Richest | 88.3 | 2.9 | 105 | 92.1 | 0.9 | 119 | 90.4 | 1.8 | 224 |
| Total | 61.7 | 5.3 | 583 | 73.0 | 3.7 | 619 | 67.6 | 4.5 | 1202 |

${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 5 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 6 unweighted cases with "Missing/
DK" education, for all children, are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

The percentage of children entering first grade who eventually reach the last grade ( $4^{\text {th }}$ grade) of primary school is presented in Table ED.8. A full 100 percent of children starting grade one will eventually reach the last grade.

Table ED.8: Children reaching last grade of primary school
Percentage of children entering first grade of primary school who eventually reach the last grade of primary school (Survival rate to last grade of primary school), Moldova, 2012

|  | Percent attending grade 1 last school year who are in grade 2 this school year | Percent attending grade 2 last school year who are attending grade 3 this school year | Percent attending grade 3 last school year who are attending grade 4 this school year | Percent who reach grade 4 of those who enter grade $1^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex the the |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 100.0 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 99.5 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 100.0 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 99.4 |
| Centre | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| South | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Chișinău | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 99.3 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Professional | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (100.0) | (98.6) | (100.0) | (98.6) |
| Second | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Presence of parents |  |  |  |  |
| At least one biological parent living abroad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Neither biological parent living abroad | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 99.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 99.8 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.6; MDG indicator 2.2
${ }^{\text {a }}$ All results for the "Mother's education" categories "None/primary", "Mother not in household" and "Missing/DK" are based on fewer than 25
unweighted cases and are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
The primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education are presented in Table ED.9. The primary completion rate is the ratio of the total number of students, regardless of age, entering the last grade of primary school for the first time, to the number of children of the primary graduation age at the beginning of the current school year. At the moment of the survey, the primary school completion rate was 111 percent. The primary school graduation (i.e. promotion) rate was 98 percent.

Table ED.9: Primary school completion and transition to secondary school
Primary school completion rates and transition rate to secondary school, Moldova, 2012

|  | Primary school completion rate ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children of primary school completion age | Transition rate to secondary school ${ }^{2}$ | Number of children who were in the last grade of primary school the previous year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 111.1 | 166 | 96.7 | 149 |
| Female | 110.0 | 147 | 98.6 | 138 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 101.9 | 112 | 99.3 | 97 |
| Centre | 128.4 | 89 | 98.7 | 84 |
| South | 94.6 | 73 | 100.0 | 65 |
| Chișinău | 124.9 | 40 | 87.7 | 41 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 113.0 | 87 | 94.4 | 95 |
| Rural | 109.7 | 226 | 99.2 | 192 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 105.8 | 156 | 98.3 | 137 |
| Professional | 120.6 | 97 | 97.1 | 96 |
| Higher | 105.2 | 56 | 96.9 | 52 |
| Mother not in household | - | 0 | - | 0 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (123.7) | 54 | (100.0) | 46 |
| Second | 110.5 | 61 | 100.0 | 61 |
| Middle | 98.0 | 82 | 100.0 | 67 |
| Fourth | 104.6 | 67 | 100.0 | 58 |
| Richest | 125.8 | 48 | 87.9 | 56 |
| Presence of parents |  |  |  |  |
| At least one biological parent living abroad | 113.7 | 80 | 97.9 | 69 |
| Neither biological parent living abroad | 109.6 | 233 | 97.5 | 218 |
| Total | 110.6 | 313 | 97.6 | 287 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.7 <br> ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 7.8 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 1 unweighted case with "None/primary" education for children of primary school completion age, and 1 unweighted case for children who were in the last grade of primary school the previous year are not shown; 4 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for children of primary school completion age and 2 unweighted cases for children who were in the last grade of |  |  |  |  |
| primary school the previous year are not shown <br> () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases <br> '-' denotes 0 unweighted cases in that cell |  |  |  |  |

The ratio of girls to boys attending primary and secondary education is provided in Table ED.10. These ratios are better known as the Gender Parity Index (GPI). The table shows that gender parity for primary school is 0.99 , indicating no difference in the attendance of girls and boys to primary school. Gender parity for lower secondary school is 1.02 which indicates a slightly higher attendance at lower secondary school of girls compared to boys in Moldova. Gender parity for secondary school (comprising lower and upper secondary school) is 1.06 .

The percentage of household members aged 5-24 years attending school is presented in Figure ED.2. School attendance is quite high in Moldova and for children aged 7-14 years, both girls and boys, is roughly similar, ranging between 97 and 100 percent, while for those aged 15-17 years this indicator gradually drops to 75 percent for girls and 64 percent for boys (Figure ED.1).


Figure ED.1: Percentage of household members aged 5-24 years attending school by sex, Moldova, 2012
Table ED.10: Education gender parity
Ratio of adjusted net attendance ratios of girls to boys, in primary, lower secondary school, upper secondary school, and secondary school (comprising lower and upper secondary school), Moldova, 2012

|  | Primary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Primary <br> school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for primary school adjusted NAR ${ }^{1}$ | Lower secondary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Lower secondary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for lower secondary school adjusted NAR | Upper secondary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Upper secondary school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for upper secondary school adjusted NAR | Secondary <br> school <br> adjusted net <br> attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Secondary <br> school adjusted net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for secondary school adjusted NAR ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 98.4 | 98.2 | 1.00 | 94.4 | 95.2 | 0.99 | 71.6 | 53.6 | 1.34 | 86.9 | 80.9 | 1.07 |
| Centre | 98.8 | 99.4 | 0.99 | 98.1 | 96.4 | 1.02 | 69.0 | 54.8 | 1.26 | 87.9 | 81.9 | 1.07 |
| South | 97.5 | 99.0 | 0.99 | 98.4 | 95.8 | 1.03 | 66.3 | 61.7 | 1.07 | 87.5 | 83.8 | 1.04 |
| Chișinău | 98.8 | 99.5 | 0.99 | 98.7 | 94.0 | 1.05 | 88.6 | 87.8 | 1.01 | 93.4 | 92.4 | 1.01 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.0 | 98.6 | 0.99 | 95.5 | 92.7 | 1.03 | 85.3 | 81.2 | 1.05 | 91.4 | 89.2 | 1.02 |
| Rural | 98.6 | 99.1 | 1.00 | 97.8 | 96.7 | 1.01 | 65.3 | 52.5 | 1.24 | 86.8 | 81.3 | 1.07 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 98.5 | 98.8 | 1.00 | 98.3 | 95.3 | 1.03 | 61.1 | 45.4 | 1.34 | 93.6 | 87.3 | 1.07 |
| Professional | 99.2 | 99.8 | 0.99 | 98.3 | 97.0 | 1.01 | 87.5 | 67.3 | 1.30 | 96.7 | 90.2 | 1.07 |
| Higher | 98.7 | 100.0 | 0.99 | 97.8 | 97.4 | 1.00 | (91.6) | 95.8 | (0.96) | 98.2 | 97.0 | 1.01 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 97.6 | 98.0 | 1.00 | 91.8 | 90.2 | 1.02 | 25.8 | 21.3 | 1.22 | 70.1 | 68.2 | 1.03 |
| Second | 99.2 | 98.9 | 1.00 | 98.5 | 96.8 | 1.02 | 54.5 | 44.9 | 1.21 | 86.2 | 79.0 | 1.09 |
| Middle | 98.5 | 98.4 | 1.00 | 96.6 | 96.4 | 1.00 | 75.8 | 64.1 | 1.18 | 88.3 | 84.3 | 1.05 |
| Fourth | 98.5 | 100.0 | 0.98 | 98.0 | 97.8 | 1.00 | 86.1 | 80.3 | 1.07 | 94.3 | 91.5 | 1.03 |
| Richest | 97.9 | 99.6 | 0.98 | 99.0 | 95.1 | 1.04 | 92.1 | 88.3 | 1.04 | 96.3 | 93.3 | 1.03 |
| Presence of parents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At least one biological parent living abroad | 99.4 | 98.8 | 1.01 | 95.7 | 96.8 | 0.99 | (88.1) | 63.1 | (1.40) | 95.1 | 89.0 | 1.07 |
| Neither biological parent living abroad | 98.2 | 99.0 | 0.99 | 97.6 | 95.1 | 1.03 | 72.0 | 61.6 | 1.17 | 87.0 | 82.6 | 1.05 |
| Total | 98.4 | 98.9 | 0.99 | 97.1 | 95.5 | 1.02 | 73.0 | 61.7 | 1.18 | 88.4 | 83.7 | 1.06 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 7.9; MDG indicator 3.1
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 7.10 ; MDG indicator 3.1
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Results for the "Mother's education" categories "None/primary", "Mother not in household" and "Missing/DK" are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

## Birth Registration

The Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) states that every child has the right to a name and a nationality, the right to his or her identity and protection from being deprived of these. Birth registration is a fundamental means of securing these rights for children. The World Fit for Children states the goal to develop systems to ensure the registration of every child at or shortly after birth, and fulfil his or her right to acquire a name and a nationality, in accordance with national laws and relevant international instruments. The MICS indicator related to birth registration is the percentage of children under the age of five whose birth is registered. These data are presented in Table CP.1.

Overall, nearly all children under the age of five in Moldova had their births registered. There are no significant variations in birth registration across background characteristics. However, birth certificates were seen for only 85 percent of children, more commonly in the North region ( 90 percent) compared to other regions (between 79 percent and 86 percent in Chișinău and the Central region).

Table CP.1: Birth registration
Percentage of children under the age of five by whether birth is registered, Moldova, 2012

|  | Children under the age of five whose birth is registered with civil authorities Has birth certificate |  |  |  | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seen | Not seen | No birth certificate | Total registered ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 83.5 | 11.9 | 3.9 | 99.2 | 955 |
| Female | 87.4 | 9.5 | 3.0 | 99.9 | 914 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 90.1 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 99.7 | 584 |
| Centre | 86.0 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 99.5 | 552 |
| South | 83.4 | 10.4 | 5.6 | 99.4 | 395 |
| Chișinău | 78.6 | 20.1 | 1.0 | 99.7 | 338 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 84.7 | 13.7 | 1.3 | 99.7 | 682 |
| Rural | 85.8 | 8.9 | 4.7 | 99.5 | 1187 |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 | 87.2 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 98.0 | 400 |
| 12-23 | 84.7 | 11.5 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 386 |
| 24-35 | 84.3 | 12.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 372 |
| 36-47 | 85.0 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 100.0 | 377 |
| 48-59 | 85.7 | 11.9 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 335 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 85.9 | 9.8 | 4.2 | 99.9 | 873 |
| Professional | 86.1 | 9.3 | 3.4 | 98.8 | 448 |
| Higher | 83.8 | 13.4 | 2.6 | 99.8 | 515 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 85.2 | 8.5 | 5.4 | 99.1 | 332 |
| Second | 85.1 | 9.0 | 5.2 | 99.3 | 400 |
| Middle | 86.2 | 8.9 | 4.6 | 99.6 | 370 |
| Fourth | 88.3 | 11.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 326 |
| Richest | 83.1 | 15.1 | 1.6 | 99.7 | 441 |
| Total | 85.4 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 99.6 | 1869 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.1
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 18 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 17 unweighted cases with
"Missing/DK" education are not shown

## Child Discipline

As stated in the UNGASS Declaration and in A World Fit for Children, "children must be protected against any acts of violence ...," and the Millennium Declaration and CRC call for the protection of children against abuse, exploitation and violence. In the 2012 Moldova MICS survey, 3,138 respondents to the household questionnaire were asked a series of questions on the methods used by adults in the household to discipline children during the past month preceding the survey. Out of these questions, the two indicators used to describe aspects of child discipline are: 1) the percentage of children 2-14 years that experience psychological aggression as punishment or physical punishment; and 2) the percentage of respondents who believe that in order to raise children properly, they need to be physically punished. These data are included in Table CP. 2 and Figure CP.1.

Male children were subjected to any form of physical discipline ( 51 percent) more than female children (45 percent). It is of importance to note that 76 percent of children were subjected to any violent method of discipline, while 22 percent of children were subjected to only non-violent methods of discipline. It is also interesting to mention that the proportion of children aged 10-14 years who were subjected to any form of physical punishment ( 37 percent) is notably lower than that of children aged 2-9 years ( 52 to 59 percent). In contrast, the percentage of children subjected to psychological aggression is higher among children aged 5-9 years ( 73 percent), compared to the 2-4 year and 10-14 year age groups ( 66 and 68 percent, respectively).


Figure CP.1: Percentage of children aged 2-14 years by violent discipline method used, Moldova, 2012

Table CP.2: Child discipline
Percentage of children aged 2-14 years according to method of disciplining the child, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of children aged 2-14 years who experienced: Physical punishment |  |  |  |  | Respondent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Only nonviolent discipline | Psychological aggression | Any | Severe | Any violent discipline method ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children aged 2-14 years | the child needs to be physically punished | to the child discipline module |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 19.8 | 70.9 | 51.2 | 2.6 | 77.4 | 2322 | 17.2 | 1631 |
| Female | 23.6 | 67.8 | 45.4 | 1.6 | 73.8 | 2205 | 12.8 | 1507 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 22.5 | 68.9 | 47.5 | 2.1 | 73.8 | 1410 | 16.5 | 987 |
| Centre | 20.5 | 69.7 | 51.2 | 1.7 | 77.1 | 1472 | 13.4 | 978 |
| South | 21.0 | 72.3 | 44.6 | 2.5 | 76.9 | 935 | 14.0 | 643 |
| Chișinău | 23.1 | 65.8 | 49.2 | 2.7 | 74.7 | 710 | 16.8 | 531 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 23.6 | 66.6 | 48.1 | 2.3 | 73.9 | 1515 | 16.6 | 1112 |
| Rural | 20.6 | 70.8 | 48.5 | 2.1 | 76.5 | 3011 | 14.3 | 2025 |
| Age group 20.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-4 years | 21.8 | 66.4 | 58.7 | 2.2 | 76.2 | 1170 | 14.4 | 834 |
| 5-9 years | 19.4 | 73.2 | 52.3 | 1.9 | 78.1 | 1681 | 16.3 | 1144 |
| 10-14 years | 23.8 | 67.7 | 37.2 | 2.3 | 72.8 | 1675 | 14.4 | 1160 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 16.6 | 76.3 | 42.2 | 12.3 | 83.4 | 93 | n/a | n/a |
| Secondary | 20.4 | 69.7 | 52.4 | 2.2 | 76.6 | 2052 |  | n/a |
| Professional | 21.9 | 69.9 | 46.4 | 1.4 | 75.5 | 1674 | n/a | n/a |
| Higher | 26.0 | 64.7 | 42.0 | 1.7 | 71.1 | 653 | n/a | n/a |
| Missing/DK | 16.1 | 83.9 | 46.9 | 9.5 | 83.9 | 54 | n/a | n/a |
| Respondent's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | (24.0) | 45 |
| Primary | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 16.4 | 1440 |
| Secondary | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | 14.0 | 993 |
| Higher | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | 13.2 | 636 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 14.8 | 77.9 | 58.3 | 4.5 | 82.1 | 774 | 18.4 | 476 |
| Second | 20.5 | 68.8 | 48.3 | 1.5 | 77.0 | 991 | 12.6 | 649 |
| Middle | 22.4 | 69.8 | 44.8 | 1.9 | 74.9 | 963 | 16.6 | 681 |
| Fourth | 25.1 | 64.6 | 43.9 | 1.7 | 71.3 | 889 | 14.4 | 637 |
| Richest | 24.4 | 67.0 | 48.1 | 1.6 | 73.6 | 910 | 14.2 | 694 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 21.8 | 69.3 | 49.4 | 2.1 | 75.5 | 3718 | 15.0 | 2568 |
| Russian | 24.2 | 67.0 | 41.2 | 2.5 | 72.9 | 160 | 17.3 | 125 |
| Ukrainian | 26.2 | 65.4 | 43.4 | 0.9 | 72.9 | 308 | 15.4 | 221 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 10.6 | 77.6 | 54.3 | 13.1 | 77.6 | 75 | (25.5) | 37 |
| Gagauz | 12.3 | 80.0 | 42.5 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 165 | 12.6 | 115 |
| Other ethnic group | 22.3 | 64.1 | 43.9 | 2.9 | 71.8 | 101 | 10.3 | 71 |
| Total | 21.6 | 69.4 | 48.4 | 2.1 | 75.6 | 4527 | 15.1 | 3138 |

## Early Marriage

According to UNICEF's worldwide estimates, around 70 million women aged 20-24 years were married or in union before the age of 18 . Factors that influence child marriage rates include:

- the state of the country's civil registration system, which provides proof of marriage registration at an age younger than 18 years;
- the existence of an adequate legislative framework with an accompanying enforcement mechanism to address cases of early marriages (before the age of 18 years);
- the existence of customary or religious laws and practices that condone the early marriage practice (before the age of 18 years).

In many parts of the world parents encourage the marriage of their daughters while they are still children in hopes that the marriage will benefit them both financially and socially. In actual fact, child marriage is a violation of human rights, compromising the development of girls and often resulting in early pregnancy and social isolation, with little education and poor vocational training reinforcing the gendered nature of poverty. The right to 'free and full' consent to a marriage is recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights with the recognition that consent cannot be 'free and full' when one of the parties involved is not sufficiently mature to make an informed decision about a life partner.

Closely related to the issue of child marriage is the age at which girls become sexually active. Women who are married before the age of 18 tend to have more children than those who marry later in life. Pregnancy-related deaths are known to be a leading cause of mortality for both married and unmarried girls between the ages of 15 and 19, particularly among the youngest in this cohort.

Marriage before age 18 is regulated by the Family Code in Moldova. There are no cultural or religious traditions related to early marriage. Two of the indicators used to report on early marriage refer to the percentage of women aged 15-49 years married or in union before 15 years of age and the percentage of women aged 20-49 years married or in union before 18 (Table CP.3). A third indicator refers to the percentage of girls aged 15-19 years currently married or in union. Ten percent of girls aged 15-19 years were married or in union at the time of the survey. The percentage of women age 20-49 married before age 18 varies by area ( 11 percent in urban and 18 percent in rural) and is negatively associated with education levels.

Table CP.3: Early marriage (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who first married or entered a union before their 15th birthday, percentages of women aged 20-49 years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15th and 18th birthdays and percentage of women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage married before age $15^{1}$ | Number of women aged 15-49 years | Percentage married before age 15 | Percentage married before age $18^{2}$ | Number of women aged 20-49 years | Percentage of women 15-19 years currently married/in union ${ }^{3}$ | Number of women aged 15-19 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 1.2 | 1799 | 1.4 | 19.7 | 1536 | 11.1 | 263 |
| Centre | 0.4 | 1717 | 0.5 | 14.7 | 1419 | 7.3 | 298 |
| South | 0.4 | 1095 | 0.4 | 15.3 | 917 | 11.8 | 178 |
| Chișinău | 0.3 | 1389 | 0.4 | 8.8 | 1207 | 10.7 | 182 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.6 | 2532 | 0.7 | 10.6 | 2183 | 9.0 | 349 |
| Rural | 0.7 | 3468 | 0.8 | 18.1 | 2897 | 10.5 | 571 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 920 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 9.9 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 0.4 | 884 | 0.4 | 12.2 | 884 | n/a | n/a |
| 25-29 | 1.1 | 922 | 1.1 | 14.8 | 922 | n/a | n/a |
| 30-34 | 1.1 | 854 | 1.1 | 17.7 | 854 | n/a | n/a |
| 35-39 | 1.0 | 795 | 1.0 | 21.2 | 795 | n/a | n/a |
| 40-44 | 0.6 | 774 | 0.6 | 13.1 | 774 | n/a | n/a |
| 45-49 | 0.3 | 851 | 0.3 | 10.6 | 851 | n/a | n/a |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (10.0) | 26 | * | * | 24 | * | 1 |
| Secondary | 0.9 | 2666 | 1.2 | 26.3 | 1953 | 8.1 | 713 |
| Professional | 0.3 | 1757 | 0.3 | 10.7 | 1617 | 19.2 | 140 |
| Higher <br> Missing/DK | ${ }_{(18.1}^{0.1}$ | 1524 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 1465 | 8.8 | 58 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Poorest | 1.4 | 724 | 1.7 | 24.7 | 623 | 17.7 | 101 |
| Second | 1.5 | 1029 | 1.7 | 19.6 | 882 | 10.8 | 147 |
| Middle | 0.2 | 1330 | 0.2 | 16.9 | 1057 | 12.0 | 273 |
| Fourth | 0.4 | 1392 | 0.4 | 12.1 | 1165 | 6.4 | 227 |
| Richest | 0.3 | 1525 | 0.4 | 8.2 | 1353 | 6.0 | 172 |
| Total | 0.6 | 6000 | 0.7 | 14.9 | 5080 | 9.9 | 920 |

$1{ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.6
2 MICS indicator 8.7
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 8.7
${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 8.8
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
n/a: not applicable
The percentage of men married at various ages is provided in Table CP.3M. Less than one percent of respondents married before age 15 , while one percent of boys aged $15-19$ years are currently married or in union. Two percent of men aged 20-49 years were married or in union before age 18.

Table CP.3M: Early marriage (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15th birthday, percentages of men aged 20-49 years who first married or entered a marital union before their 15th and 18th birthdays and percentage of men aged 15-19 years currently married or in union, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage married before age $15^{1}$ | Number of men aged $15-49$ years | Percentage married before age 15 | Percentage married before age $18^{2}$ | Number of men aged 20-49 years | Percentage of men aged 15-19 years currently married/in union ${ }^{3}$ | Number of men aged 15-19 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 0.2 | 465 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 367 | 0.8 | 97 |
| Centre | 0.0 | 442 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 377 | 2.2 | 65 |
| South | 0.4 | 293 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 252 | (3.5) | 41 |
| Chișinău | 0.2 | 346 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 291 | 0.0 | 55 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.2 | 601 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 513 | 0.9 | 88 |
| Rural | 0.1 | 944 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 773 | 1.7 | 171 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 259 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 1.4 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 238 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 238 | n/a | n/a |
| 25-29 | 0.0 | 237 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 237 | n/a | n/a |
| 30-34 | 0.0 | 170 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 170 | n/a | n/a |
| 35-39 | 0.9 | 207 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 207 | n/a | n/a |
| 40-44 | 0.0 | 221 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 221 | n/a | n/a |
| 45-49 | 0.3 | 212 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 212 | n/a | n/a |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 0.2 | 720 | 0.3 | 4.7 | 522 | 0.7 | 197 |
| Professional | 0.0 | 497 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 445 | (1.5) | 52 |
| Higher | 0.2 | 308 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 301 | * | 8 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.0 | 234 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 201 | (0.0) | 33 |
| Second | 0.4 | 276 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 223 | (0.0) | 53 |
| Middle | 0.0 | 345 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 279 | 0.0 | 66 |
| Fourth | 0.0 | 315 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 259 | 4.0 | 56 |
| Richest | 0.4 | 376 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 324 | 2.8 | 52 |
| Total | 0.2 | 1545 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 1286 | 1.4 | 259 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.6 <br> ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 8.7 <br> ${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 8.8 <br> ${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases of "None/primary" education for men aged 15-49 years and 7 unweighted cases for men aged 20-49 years are not shown (there are no cases for men 15-19 years old); 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for men aged $15-49$ years, 8 unweighted cases for men aged 20-49 years, and 1 unweighted case for men aged 15-19 years are not shown <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases <br> * Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases <br> $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ : not applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

There are discrepancies in the wealth index quintiles. The percentage of early marriages before age 18 among women tends to decrease with increasing wealth index quintiles, from 25 percent among those in the poorest quintile to 8 percent among those in the richest quintile (Figure CP.2).


Figure CP.2: Percentage of women aged 20-49 years married or in union before age 18 by wealth index quintiles, Moldova, 2012

Table CP. 4 and CP.4M present respectively the proportion of women and men who were first married or entered into a marital union before age 15 and 18 by area and age groups. Examining the percentages married before age 15 and 18 by different age groups allow us to see the trends in early marriage over time.

Overall, among women, the prevalence of marriage before age 18 is highest in the 30-39 year age groups, while for men this is true the 25-34 year age groups. There are no clear patterns indicating a decline in early marriage trends.
Table CP.4: Trends in early marriage (women)
Percentage of women who were first married or entered into a union before age 15 and 18, by area and age groups, Moldova, 2012

|  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of women married before age 15 | Number of women age 15-49 | Percentage of women married before age 18 | Number of women age 20-49 | Percentage of women married before age 15 | Number of women age $15-49$ | Percentage of women married before age 18 | Number of women age 20-49 | Percentage of women married before age 15 | Number of women age 15-49 | Percentage of women married before age 18 | Number of women age 20-49 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 349 | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 571 | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 920 | n/a | n/a |
| 20-24 | 0.7 | 466 | 8.7 | 466 | 0.0 | 418 | 16.2 | 418 | 0.4 | 884 | 12.2 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 0.5 | 435 | 9.3 | 435 | 1.6 | 487 | 19.8 | 487 | 1.1 | 922 | 14.8 | 922 |
| 30-34 | 0.7 | 375 | 12.3 | 375 | 1.5 | 479 | 22.0 | 479 | 1.1 | 854 | 17.7 | 854 |
| 35-39 | 0.9 | 298 | 17.8 | 298 | 1.1 | 497 | 23.3 | 497 | 1.0 | 795 | 21.2 | 795 |
| 40-44 | 1.0 | 288 | 9.4 | 288 | 0.3 | 486 | 15.2 | 486 | 0.6 | 774 | 13.1 | 774 |
| 45-49 | 0.5 | 322 | 7.7 | 322 | 0.2 | 529 | 12.4 | 529 | 0.3 | 851 | 10.6 | 851 |
| Total | 0.6 | 2532 | 10.6 | 2183 | 0.7 | 3468 | 18.1 | 2897 | 0.6 | 6000 | 14.9 | 5080 |

Table CP.4M: Trends in early marriage (men)
Percentage of men who were first married or entered into a marital union before age 15 and 18, by area and age groups, Moldova, 2012

|  | Urban |  |  |  | Rural |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage <br> of men <br> married <br> before age <br> 15 | Number of men age $15-49$ | Percentage of men married before age 18 | Number of men age 20-49 | Percentage of men married before age 15 | Number of men age 15-49 | Percentage of men married before age 18 | Number of men age 20-49 | Percentage of men married before age 15 | Number of men age 15-49 | Percentage of men married before age 18 | Number of men age 20-49 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 88 | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 171 | n/a | n/a | 0.0 | 259 | n/a | n/a |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 103 | 1.7 | 103 | 0.0 | 135 | 0.0 | 135 | 0.0 | 238 | 0.7 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 0.0 | 103 | 2.9 | 103 | 0.0 | 135 | 4.8 | 135 | 0.0 | 237 | 4.0 | 237 |
| 30-34 | 0.0 | 82 | 3.7 | 82 | 0.0 | 88 | 6.2 | 88 | 0.0 | 170 | 5.0 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 1.0 | 74 | 3.1 | 74 | 0.8 | 133 | 2.2 | 133 | 0.9 | 207 | 2.5 | 207 |
| 40-44 | 0.0 | 84 | 1.8 | 84 | 0.0 | 137 | 1.0 | 137 | 0.0 | 221 | 1.3 | 221 |
| 45-49 | 1.1 | 68 | 3.0 | 68 | 0.0 | 145 | 0.9 | 145 | 0.3 | 212 | 1.5 | 212 |
| Total | 0.2 | 601 | 2.6 | 513 | 0.1 | 944 | 2.3 | 773 | 0.2 | 1545 | 2.4 | 1286 |

Another indicator is the spousal age difference which refers to the percentage of women who are married or in union who are 10 or more years younger than their current spouse or partner. Table CP. 5 presents the results of the age difference between husbands and wives. The results show that five percent of women aged 20-24 years are currently married or in union with a man who is at least ten years older, while this is the case for 10 percent of women aged 15-19 years (MICS indicator 8.10). ${ }^{25}$ Twenty-seven percent of women aged 15-19 years are currently married or in union with a man who is $0-4$ years older than them, and 63 percent of women this age are married to a man who is younger than them (data for currently married/in union women aged 15-19 years by most background characteristics are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and are not presented in Table CP.5).

Table CP.5: Spousal age difference
Percentage distribution of women currently married/in union aged 20-24 years according to the age difference with their husband or partner, Moldova, 2012


CS indic
Note: MICS indicator 8.10a "Percentage of currently married/in union women aged 15-19 years whose husband or partner is 10+ years older" is not presented in Table CP. 5 because the majority of figures by background characteristics are based on 25-49 unweighted cases. The overall percentage of currently married/in union women aged 15-19 years whose husband or partner is 10+ years older is 9.8 percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 5 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 4 unweighted cases with "Missing/ DK" education are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
n/a: not applicable

## Attitudes toward Domestic Violence

The 2012 Moldova MICS assessed the attitudes of women and men aged 15-49 years towards wife beating by asking the respondents whether they thought that husbands are ever justified in hitting or beating their wives for a variety of scenarios. These questions were asked to have an indication of cultural beliefs and other situations that tend to be associated with the prevalence of violence against women by their husbands.

The corresponding findings can be found in Table CP. 6 for women and in Table CP.6M for men. Overall, 11 percent of women in Moldova feel that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife for at least one of a variety of reasons, whereby justification is more present among those living in the poorest households (22 percent) compared to those living in richest households (6 percent). Women who justify a husband's violence, in most cases justify violence in instances when the woman neglects the children ( 10 percent). An equal percentage of women ( 2 percent) reported that a husband is justified in hitting or beating his wife if she goes out without telling him, if she argues with him, if she refuses sex with him and if she burns the food.

[^18]Table CP.6: Attitudes toward domestic violence (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife in various circumstances, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife: If she goes out |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women aged $15-49$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | without telling him | If she neglects the children | If she argues with him | If she refuses sex with him | If she burns the food | For any of these reasons ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 2.1 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 10.8 | 1799 |
| Centre | 2.1 | 10.6 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 12.9 | 1717 |
| South | 2.3 | 10.8 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 12.4 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 1.0 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 8.6 | 1389 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.0 | 7.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 2532 |
| Rural | 2.5 | 11.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 13.1 | 3468 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.6 | 11.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 12.6 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 1.3 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 10.2 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 1.4 | 7.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 8.6 | 922 |
| 30-34 | 1.7 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 10.7 | 854 |
| 35-39 | 3.0 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 11.6 | 795 |
| 40-44 | 2.4 | 9.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 11.5 | 774 |
| 45-49 | 1.9 | 10.9 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 13.3 | 851 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 1.9 | 9.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 10.8 | 4073 |
| Formerly married/in union | 3.0 | 9.9 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 13.4 | 620 |
| Never married/in union | 1.3 | 10.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 1306 |
| Education 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (16.0) | (34.1) | (16.7) | (13.6) | (18.3) | (39.0) | 26 |
| Secondary | 3.1 | 12.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 14.9 | 2666 |
| Professional | 1.2 | 8.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 10.1 | 1757 |
| Higher | 0.1 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (17.1) | (27.3) | (6.2) | (2.2) | (6.5) | (31.3) | 28 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 5.8 | 18.5 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 22.0 | 724 |
| Second | 2.5 | 13.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 15.5 | 1029 |
| Middle | 2.1 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 11.4 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 0.7 | 6.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 7.9 | 1392 |
| Richest | 0.5 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 1525 |
| Woman's ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 1.8 | 9.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 11.1 | 4890 |
| Russian | 0.8 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 9.0 | 308 |
| Ukrainian | 1.7 | 8.9 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 9.6 | 413 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 18.8 | 32.7 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 37.7 | 48 |
| Gagauz | 2.0 | 11.7 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 203 |
| Other ethnic group | 1.0 | 11.8 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 12.2 | 138 |
| Total | 1.9 | 9.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 11.2 | 6000 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.14
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

As shown in Table CP.6M, the percentage of men who are likely to agree with one of the reasons that justify wife beating is similar to that of women (13 percent). Nine percent of men agree that a husband is justified in beating his wife if she neglects children and four percent if she argues with him. Men living in the poorest households are much more likely to agree with one of the reasons ( 25 percent) than men living in the richest households (7 percent).

Table CP.6M: Attitudes toward domestic violence (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife in various circumstances, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife: If she goes out |  |  |  |  |  | Number of men aged 1549 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | without telling him | If she neglects the children | If she argues with him | If she refuses sex with him | If she burns the food | For any of these reasons ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Region 0.9 len |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 2.6 | 7.4 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 11.1 | 465 |
| Centre | 3.3 | 12.5 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 16.5 | 442 |
| South | 1.7 | 11.4 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 14.9 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 1.4 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 11.0 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.2 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 10.2 | 601 |
| Rural | 3.1 | 11.2 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 15.3 | 944 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.5 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 14.2 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 2.7 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 1.7 | 13.3 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 11.7 | 237 |
| 30-34 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 0.8 | 12.2 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 1.6 | 10.0 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 13.3 | 207 |
| 40-44 | 3.5 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 12.2 | 221 |
| 45-49 | 3.6 | 12.0 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 1.7 | 16.3 | 212 |
| Marital/Union status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 1.5 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 11.6 | 880 |
| Formerly married/in union | 4.7 | 17.8 | 6.6 | 9.1 | 2.6 | 22.9 | 98 |
| Never married/in union | 3.2 | 10.3 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 14.4 | 567 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 3.5 | 12.2 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 17.5 | 720 |
| Professional | 1.7 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 11.4 | 497 |
| Higher | 0.1 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 6.0 | 17.7 | 9.2 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 24.7 | 234 |
| Second | 1.5 | 11.0 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 14.8 | 276 |
| Middle | 4.1 | 10.5 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 1.8 | 14.2 | 345 |
| Fourth | 1.0 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 1.4 | 10.2 | 315 |
| Richest | 0.2 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 376 |
| Man's ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 2.4 | 9.6 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 14.1 | 1268 |
| Russian | 0.0 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 67 |
| Ukrainian | 2.2 | 4.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 101 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 |
| Gagauz | 0.9 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 19.4 | 63 |
| Other ethnic group | (0.0) | (3.0) | (0.0) | (1.9) | (0.0) | (4.9) | 29 |
| Total | 2.3 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 13.3 | 1545 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 8.14
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

## Knowledge about HIV Transmission and Misconceptions about HIV/AIDS

One of the most important prerequisites for reducing the rate of HIV infection is accurate knowledge of how HIV is transmitted and strategies for preventing transmission. Correct information is the first step towards raising awareness and giving young people the tools to protect themselves from the infection. Misconceptions about HIV are common and can confuse young people and hinder prevention efforts. Different regions are likely to have variations in misconceptions although some appear to be universal (for example that sharing food can transmit HIV or mosquito bites can transmit HIV). The UN General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS (UNGASS) called on governments to improve the knowledge and skills of young people to protect themselves from HIV. The indicators to measure this goal as well as the MDG of reducing HIV infections by half include improving the level of knowledge of HIV and its prevention, and changing behaviours to prevent further spread of the disease. The HIV/AIDS module was administered to women and men of age 15-49 years.

One indicator which is both an MDG and UNGASS indicator is the percentage of young women who have comprehensive and correct knowledge of HIV prevention and transmission. In Moldova, all women who have heard of AIDS were asked whether they knew of the two main ways of preventing HIV transmission - having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time. The results are presented in Table HA. 1 for women and in Table HA.1M for men.

In Moldova, a large majority of women interviewed (99 percent) have heard of AIDS. However, the percentage of women who know of both main ways of preventing HIV transmission is only 76 percent. Eighty-eight percent of women know of having one faithful uninfected sex partner and 83 percent know of using a condom every time as main ways of preventing HIV transmission. While 85 percent of women know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, only 39 percent reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy-looking person can be infected. Some 49 percent know that HIV cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites; 71 percent know that HIV cannot be transmitted by sharing food with someone with AIDS; 82 percent know that HIV cannot be transmitted by hugging and shaking hands with an infected person, and 85 know that HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means.

Only 33 percent of women had comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention that includes knowing two main ways of preventing HIV transmission, knowing that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, and rejecting the two of the most common misconceptions about HIV transmission (mosquito bites and sharing food with someone with AIDS).

Variations in the proportion of women who know both ways of preventing HIV transmission are observed by region, with the highest percentage of women in Chișinău (91 percent) compared to other regions ( 83 to 85 percent), and by area -81 percent urban and 72 percent rural. The analysed index is slightly higher among younger women than older women ( 88 percent for women aged 15-29 years compared to 82 to 84 percent for women aged 30-49). Education level and household wealth status also influenced responses. Women with secondary education ( 67 percent) were less likely than those with higher education ( 87 percent) to know of the two ways of preventing HIV transmission. The same index was lowest among women from the poorest households ( 52 percent) and highest among women from the richest households ( 85 percent).

The percentage of women who reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus is higher among women in Chișinău (48 percent) compared to other regions (33 to 37 percent); among urban women (47 percent) compared to their rural counterparts (32 percent); among women aged 15-24 years ( 43 percent) compared to those aged $25-49$ years ( 35 to 38 percent), and among unmarried women (46 percent) compared to married women ( 36 percent). The index also increases along with women's education level and wealth status: from 30 percent among women with secondary education up to 55 percent among those with higher education; from 14 percent among women from the poorest households to 52 percent among those from the richest households.

In terms of knowledge about ways of HIV transmission and prevention comprehensive knowledge among men is lower than among women, at 28 percent. As is the case for women, the percentage of men who know the two main ways of preventing HIV transmission, who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus and who reject the two most common misconceptions (mosquito bites and sharing food with someone with AIDS) about HIV transmission is significantly higher in Chișinău and urban areas, among men with a higher level of education and from wealthier households. As opposed to women, however, men's age and marital status did not influence the findings (Table HA.1M).

Data from the 2012 Moldova MICS has also been used to calculate comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention according to a country-specific methodology that differs from the MICS methodology. Instead of using the two most common misconceptions derived from MICS (mosquito bites and sharing food with someone with AIDS), the country-specific methodology uses the two most common misconceptions according to the Moldova National HIV/AIDS Programme for 2011-2015: sharing food with someone with AIDS and hugging or shaking hands of someone who is infected. The results for this indicator are also presented in Tables HA.1, HA. 1 M, HA. 2 and HA. 2 M . According to the country-specific methodology used to calculate the indicator, overall 51 percent of women aged 15-49 years and 43 percent of men this age have comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention.
Table HA.1: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission (women)


|  |  | Percentage who know transmission can be prevented by: |  |  | Percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus | Percentage who know that HIV cannot be transmitted by: |  |  |  | Percentage who reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage with comprehensiv e knowledge (countryspecific methodology) 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percenta ge who have heard of AIDS | Having only one faithful uninfected sex partner | Using a condom every time | Percentage of women who know both ways |  | Mosquito bites | Supernatur al means | Sharing food with someone with AIDS | Hugging or shaking hands of someone who is infected |  |  |  | Number of <br> women |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 98.6 | 87.0 | 82.3 | 75.2 | 85.0 | 47.5 | 85.0 | 69.7 | 80.2 | 36.7 | 31.2 | 51.2 | 1799 |
| Centre | 98.9 | 86.0 | 79.4 | 72.6 | 83.3 | 44.2 | 82.4 | 65.4 | 79.1 | 33.2 | 28.4 | 46.2 | 1717 |
| South | 98.4 | 86.7 | 78.9 | 72.9 | 82.6 | 47.7 | 80.7 | 65.6 | 78.7 | 37.0 | 30.9 | 46.5 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 99.9 | 91.4 | 89.3 | 83.4 | 90.7 | 57.7 | 92.2 | 82.3 | 90.8 | 48.4 | 42.5 | 61.7 | 1389 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.7 | 90.1 | 87.8 | 81.1 | 89.7 | 56.2 | 91.5 | 79.8 | 89.6 | 46.8 | 40.4 | 59.9 | 2532 |
| Rural | 98.4 | 85.9 | 78.6 | 72.1 | 82.3 | 43.7 | 80.4 | 64.0 | 76.5 | 32.4 | 27.6 | 45.1 | 3468 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 99.3 | 88.5 | 82.7 | 75.9 | 87.7 | 54.6 | 85.9 | 74.4 | 86.1 | 42.9 | 36.0 | 54.8 | 1804 |
| 25-29 | 99.3 | 89.2 | 82.7 | 76.6 | 88.1 | 46.3 | 86.9 | 71.7 | 83.8 | 37.6 | 32.6 | 55.1 | 922 |
| 30-39 | 98.8 | 86.5 | 83.2 | 76.3 | 83.9 | 45.9 | 85.0 | 67.8 | 80.2 | 35.4 | 31.1 | 48.9 | 1649 |
| 40-49 | 98.5 | 87.1 | 81.4 | 75.0 | 82.9 | 47.3 | 83.4 | 68.7 | 78.5 | 37.1 | 31.6 | 47.9 | 1625 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 99.0 | 87.6 | 82.5 | 76.0 | 84.7 | 46.6 | 84.3 | 69.0 | 80.8 | 36.4 | 31.4 | 50.0 | 4694 |
| Never married/in union | 98.8 | 88.1 | 82.4 | 75.6 | 88.0 | 57.3 | 88.2 | 76.5 | 86.6 | 46.1 | 38.5 | 56.1 | 1306 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (93.2) | (59.5) | (41.0) | (23.5) | (66.8) | (16.0) | (54.0) | (33.3) | (55.1) | (9.7) | (4.8) | (15.8) | 26 |
| Secondary | 98.1 | 83.8 | 75.1 | 67.2 | 80.4 | 42.2 | 80.3 | 61.3 | 73.8 | 29.6 | 23.6 | 39.1 | 2666 |
| Professional | 99.9 | 89.6 | 87.1 | 81.0 | 87.1 | 49.9 | 85.6 | 71.9 | 85.1 | 39.1 | 34.0 | 54.0 | 1757 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 94.0 | 91.8 | 87.2 | 93.3 | 61.0 | 94.4 | 87.2 | 94.4 | 54.6 | 49.3 | 71.2 | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (68.4) | (18.9) | (30.1) | (14.4) | (41.3) | (15.5) | (32.7) | (19.0) | (30.9) | (4.0) | (0.0) | (2.2) | 28 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 93.2 | 71.9 | 61.6 | 52.1 | 66.8 | 28.1 | 62.2 | 41.2 | 54.2 | 14.2 | 10.3 | 21.0 | 724 |
| Second | 99.5 | 84.3 | 76.9 | 68.3 | 81.7 | 40.9 | 80.2 | 59.1 | 75.5 | 28.1 | 22.0 | 37.6 | 1029 |
| Middle | 99.8 | 89.5 | 83.6 | 77.8 | 87.7 | 49.1 | 86.5 | 72.7 | 84.6 | 39.4 | 33.9 | 54.6 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 99.6 | 91.0 | 87.6 | 81.8 | 88.6 | 52.8 | 89.8 | 77.6 | 87.6 | 42.8 | 36.9 | 58.8 | 1392 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 92.8 | 90.5 | 85.3 | 91.9 | 60.7 | 93.8 | 84.3 | 92.5 | 52.3 | 46.7 | 65.4 | 1525 |
| Total | 98.9 | 87.7 | 82.5 | 75.9 | 85.4 | 49.0 | 85.1 | 70.6 | 82.1 | 38.5 | 33.0 | 51.3 | 6000 |


looking person can have the AIDS virus; and who reject the two most common misconceptions: that HIV can be transmitted by mosquito bites and by sharing food with someone who has AIDS.

Table HA.1M: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission (men) Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, percentage who reject common misconceptions, and percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Moldova, 2012

|  |  | Percentage transmiss $\qquad$ | ho know can be d by: |  | Percentage | Perce | tage who know transmi | that HIV can d by: | ot be | Percentage who |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who have heard of AIDS | Having only one faithful uninfected sex partner | Using a condom every time | Percentage of men who know both ways | who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus | Mosquito bites | Supernatural means | Sharing food with someone with AIDS |  | reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge (countryspecific methodology) ${ }^{2}$ | Number of men |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 97.3 | 74.4 | 78.5 | 64.5 | 78.8 | 47.5 | 81.8 | 61.9 | 77.0 | 31.9 | 25.2 | 38.6 | 465 |
| Centre | 97.5 | 77.5 | 80.4 | 68.6 | 85.2 | 43.5 | 79.8 | 60.1 | 73.5 | 32.2 | 26.4 | 42.5 | 442 |
| South | 98.9 | 70.9 | 72.8 | 56.3 | 76.2 | 41.4 | 77.7 | 53.5 | 70.4 | 26.9 | 19.8 | 30.5 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 99.8 | 90.0 | 88.3 | 81.3 | 89.4 | 56.9 | 91.3 | 79.0 | 90.6 | 46.9 | 41.5 | 60.9 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.4 | 85.8 | 87.3 | 78.5 | 89.9 | 57.0 | 90.2 | 76.9 | 87.7 | 47.1 | 40.9 | 57.5 | 601 |
| Rural | 97.4 | 73.2 | 75.6 | 61.1 | 77.8 | 41.1 | 77.7 | 55.1 | 71.5 | 26.3 | 20.0 | 34.0 | 944 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 98.0 | 78.9 | 80.4 | 68.1 | 84.1 | 49.4 | 84.1 | 63.2 | 79.8 | 34.6 | 28.1 | 43.0 | 497 |
| 25-29 | 99.5 | 78.0 | 81.0 | 69.4 | 83.9 | 44.0 | 80.8 | 62.1 | 77.1 | 34.5 | 28.2 | 42.1 | 237 |
| 30-39 | 98.3 | 76.9 | 80.5 | 67.4 | 82.1 | 45.3 | 83.2 | 62.2 | 76.0 | 32.7 | 27.4 | 41.5 | 377 |
| 40-49 | 97.6 | 78.3 | 79.1 | 67.2 | 80.3 | 48.5 | 81.2 | 66.1 | 77.4 | 35.6 | 28.8 | 45.3 | 433 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 98.6 | 79.2 | 81.5 | 69.1 | 83.0 | 44.5 | 83.6 | 63.8 | 76.2 | 33.3 | 27.1 | 43.2 | 978 |
| Never married/in union | 97.6 | 76.3 | 77.9 | 65.7 | 81.6 | 52.1 | 80.7 | 63.4 | 80.6 | 36.2 | 29.9 | 43.0 | 567 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 96.7 | 69.8 | 70.8 | 55.9 | 76.1 | 41.5 | 75.6 | 52.1 | 70.4 | 24.4 | 17.4 | 28.7 | 720 |
| Professional | 99.5 | 82.2 | 86.1 | 73.6 | 85.4 | 46.4 | 84.9 | 65.7 | 78.5 | 35.3 | 29.5 | 45.6 | 497 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 93.7 | 93.3 | 88.7 | 94.1 | 62.9 | 96.5 | 87.5 | 95.2 | 56.2 | 51.9 | 74.6 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 95.2 | 55.5 | 62.2 | 41.3 | 69.6 | 36.1 | 59.6 | 41.4 | 59.4 | 18.0 | 12.0 | 16.3 | 234 |
| Second | 96.8 | 74.5 | 77.3 | 63.3 | 75.5 | 40.0 | 79.1 | 50.3 | 64.9 | 25.2 | 18.4 | 30.7 | 276 |
| Middle | 98.0 | 79.8 | 81.7 | 70.6 | 82.1 | 47.7 | 85.2 | 64.7 | 77.8 | 32.8 | 27.0 | 44.2 | 345 |
| Fourth | 99.7 | 82.8 | 83.8 | 73.0 | 88.1 | 49.2 | 88.3 | 67.7 | 85.5 | 38.0 | 31.9 | 48.6 | 315 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 89.3 | 89.0 | 81.1 | 91.5 | 57.7 | 92.1 | 82.8 | 92.2 | 49.8 | 43.3 | 63.6 | 376 |
| Total | 98.2 | 78.1 | 80.2 | 67.9 | 82.5 | 47.3 | 82.6 | 63.6 | 77.8 | 34.4 | 28.1 | 43.2 | 1545 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.1 - The indicator includes men age $15-49$ who know the two main ways of preventing HIV transmission: having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time; who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus; and who reject the two most common misconceptions: that HIV can be transmitted by mosquito bites and by sharing food with someone who has ADSS
2Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, 9.1 la - The indicator includes men age $15-49$ who know the two main ways of preventing HIV transmission: having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time; who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus; and who reject the following two misconceptions: that HIV can be transmitted by sharing food with someone who has AIDS and by hugging or shaking hands of someone who is infected.
aFor the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown
Table HA.2: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission among young women Percentage of young women aged 15-24 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, percentage who reject common misconceptions, and percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Moldova, 2012

|  |  | Percentage who know transmission can be prevented by: |  | $\left.\begin{array}{cc}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { who know }\end{array}\right\}$Percentage that a healthy <br> of women looking person <br> who know  <br> can have the  <br> both ways AIDS virus |  | Percentage who know that HIV cannot be transmitted by: |  |  |  | Percentage who reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage with comprehensive knowledge (country-specific methodology $)^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { women } \\ \text { age } \\ 15-24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage who have heard of AIDS | Having only one faithful uninfected sex partner | Using a condom every time |  |  | Mosquito bites | Supernatural means | Sharing <br> food with someone <br> with AIDS | Hugging or <br> shaking hands of someone who is infected |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 98.7 | 86.0 | 82.3 | 74.7 | 87.7 | 55.4 | 84.2 | 73.9 | 83.2 | 43.1 | 35.4 | 54.5 | 476 |
| Centre | 99.3 | 87.4 | 79.1 | 72.2 | 87.1 | 54.0 | 83.1 | 72.4 | 83.8 | 40.4 | 33.8 | 51.2 | 502 |
| South | 99.3 | 87.5 | 79.4 | 72.0 | 85.1 | 51.0 | 82.7 | 68.0 | 85.1 | 40.5 | 33.1 | 49.0 | 333 |
| Chișinău | 100.0 | 92.6 | 89.0 | 83.7 | 90.1 | 57.1 | 92.6 | 81.4 | 92.0 | 47.0 | 40.9 | 62.8 | 492 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.8 | 89.9 | 86.4 | 80.3 | 90.4 | 58.1 | 92.2 | 79.7 | 91.2 | 48.1 | 41.1 | 60.8 | 814 |
| Rural | 99.0 | 87.3 | 79.6 | 72.4 | 85.5 | 51.8 | 80.7 | 70.1 | 81.9 | 38.6 | 31.9 | 49.9 | 990 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 99.2 | 86.9 | 80.4 | 73.1 | 87.6 | 56.3 | 86.9 | 73.3 | 86.1 | 43.6 | 35.2 | 52.0 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 99.5 | 90.1 | 85.1 | 78.9 | 87.8 | 52.9 | 84.9 | 75.7 | 86.2 | 42.2 | 36.9 | 57.8 | 884 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 99.8 | 88.2 | 82.2 | 75.2 | 87.1 | 49.2 | 81.1 | 70.1 | 83.5 | 37.7 | 32.0 | 52.6 | 649 |
| Never married/in union | 99.1 | 88.6 | 83.0 | 76.4 | 88.1 | 57.7 | 88.6 | 76.9 | 87.6 | 45.8 | 38.3 | 56.1 | 1154 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 99.2 | 85.8 | 79.1 | 70.4 | 85.8 | 52.4 | 84.1 | 69.6 | 82.8 | 38.4 | 30.2 | 47.0 | 985 |
| Professional | 100.0 | 91.8 | 86.1 | 82.4 | 89.7 | 54.3 | 83.1 | 74.5 | 86.8 | 44.3 | 39.0 | 58.5 | 342 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 94.1 | 90.2 | 85.8 | 92.3 | 60.8 | 93.4 | 86.9 | 94.7 | 53.3 | 48.0 | 71.4 | 457 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## $29.4 \quad 190$ $\begin{array}{lll}14.3 & 29.4 & 190 \\ & 273\end{array}$


${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.2; MDG indicator 6.3 - The indicator includes women aged $15-24$ years who know the two main ways of preventing HIV transmission: having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time; who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus; and who reject the two most common misconceptions: that HIV can be transmitted by mosquito bites and by sharing food with someone who has AIDS.
 time; who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus; and who reject the following two misconceptions: that HIV can be transmitted by sharing food with someone who has AIDS and by hugging or shaking hands of someone ${ }^{\text {who is infected. }}$
Table HA.2M: Knowledge about HIV transmission, misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, and comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission among young men Percentage of young men aged 15-24 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, percentage who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, percentage who reject common misconceptions, and percentage who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV transmission, Moldova, 2012

The results for young people aged 15-24 years are presented separately in Table HA. 2 (women) and Table HA. 2 M (men). Women in this age group have a significantly higher level of knowledge about HIV/AIDS ways of transmission and prevention measures than their male counterparts, the rates reaching 36 percent for women with comprehensive knowledge, compared to 28 percent for men. The distribution of indicators analysed by background characteristics is generally similar between young women and young men.

Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is also an important first step in encouraging pregnant women to seek HIV testing and thereby avoid infection of their baby. Women should know that HIV can be transmitted during pregnancy, during delivery, and through breastfeeding. The level of knowledge among women aged 15-49 years concerning mother-to-child transmission is presented in Table HA.3. Overall, 93 percent of women knew that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child. The percentage of women who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission is 53 percent, while 6 percent of women did not know of any specific way (Table HA.3). Whereas the majority of women knew that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child during pregnancy ( 88 percent) and at delivery ( 81 percent), only 59 percent knew that the baby can also be infected with HIV through breastfeeding. Paradoxically, the rates are lower among women with higher education (49 percent) than among those with other educational attainment levels ( 54 to 56 percent). Women from the poorest and the richest households have shown a lower level of knowledge on the issues discussed (48 to 50 percent) compared to women from other wealth index quintiles ( 54 to 59 percent).

Men 15-49 years old demonstrated a markedly lower level of knowledge about mother-to-child HIV transmission compared to their female counterparts. The percentage of men who knew all three ways of the infection's transmission only reached 45 percent, while the percentage who did not know any specific way of transmission was high at nearly 12 percent (Table HA.3M). In terms of distribution according to background characteristics, similar particularities were found between men and women.

Table HA.3: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage who know HIV can be transmitted from mother to child | Percent who know HIV can be transmitted: |  |  |  | Does not know any of the specific means | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | During pregnancy | During delivery | By breastfeeding | All three means ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 92.1 | 87.1 | 80.4 | 58.0 | 51.5 | 6.5 | 1799 |
| Centre | 92.6 | 88.1 | 81.7 | 62.1 | 56.5 | 6.3 | 1717 |
| South | 91.9 | 86.2 | 80.0 | 59.4 | 53.1 | 6.6 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 93.8 | 88.2 | 81.9 | 56.9 | 50.6 | 6.1 | 1389 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 93.6 | 87.8 | 81.0 | 56.3 | 49.5 | 6.1 | 2532 |
| Rural | 91.8 | 87.3 | 81.1 | 61.3 | 55.6 | 6.5 | 3468 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 91.5 | 85.7 | 77.7 | 63.6 | 54.6 | 7.9 | 1804 |
| 15-19 | 90.3 | 84.5 | 75.1 | 65.8 | 55.4 | 8.9 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 92.7 | 86.8 | 80.5 | 61.3 | 53.7 | 6.8 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 93.1 | 88.8 | 81.8 | 56.5 | 51.9 | 6.2 | 922 |
| 30-39 | 93.1 | 87.5 | 81.1 | 58.4 | 52.3 | 5.6 | 1649 |
| 40-49 | 93.0 | 88.7 | 84.2 | 56.7 | 52.7 | 5.5 | 1625 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 93.0 | 88.0 | 82.1 | 58.3 | 52.6 | 6.0 | 4694 |
| Never married/in union | 91.0 | 85.5 | 77.0 | 62.3 | 54.4 | 7.8 | 1306 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (52.5) | (52.5) | (49.5) | (47.5) | (44.6) | (40.7) | 26 |
| Secondary | 90.6 | 85.5 | 78.1 | 60.9 | 54.1 | 7.5 | 2666 |
| Professional | 94.5 | 90.4 | 84.4 | 60.6 | 55.5 | 5.4 | 1757 |
| Higher | 95.4 |  | $83.5$ | $55.2$ | $48.8$ | $4.6$ | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (40.9) | (38.8) | (36.9) | (36.6) | (32.6) | (27.5) | 28 |
| Wealth index quintiles (1) (3) (30.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 81.6 | 77.0 | 67.7 | 55.4 | 49.9 | 11.7 | 724 |
| Second | 93.5 | 89.4 | 82.9 | 65.1 | 58.8 | 6.0 | 1029 |
| Middle | 93.6 | 88.6 | 81.4 | 60.5 | 54.3 | 6.2 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 94.6 | 89.2 | 84.3 | 60.7 | 54.5 | 5.0 | 1392 |
| Richest | 94.5 | 88.7 | 82.8 | 54.5 | 48.2 | 5.5 | 1525 |
|  |  | 87.5 | 81.0 | 59.2 | 53.0 | 6.4 | 6000 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.3 <br> () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table HA.3M: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage who know HIV can be transmitted from mother to child | Percent who know HIV can be transmitted: |  |  |  | Does not know any of the specific means | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | During pregnancy | During delivery | By breastfeeding | All three means ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 83.6 | 76.1 | 69.6 | 53.0 | 44.9 | 13.7 | 465 |
| Centre | 89.3 | 82.2 | 74.9 | 56.4 | 49.6 | 8.2 | 442 |
| South | 85.6 | 79.0 | 70.9 | 52.5 | 44.1 | 13.3 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 88.7 | 81.2 | 75.4 | 49.4 | 41.9 | 11.1 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.2 | 81.3 | 76.8 | 49.4 | 41.4 | 10.2 | 601 |
| Rural | 85.2 | 78.5 | 70.0 | 55.4 | 47.9 | 12.3 | 944 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 84.7 | 76.9 | 68.6 | 59.1 | 48.4 | 13.3 | 497 |
| 15-19 | 82.9 | 74.3 | 64.8 | 61.0 | 47.7 | 14.9 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 86.8 | 79.7 | 72.8 | 57.1 | 49.1 | 11.6 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 83.0 | 76.1 | 67.2 | 45.5 | 38.8 | 16.5 | 237 |
| 30-39 | 88.5 | 80.5 | 74.6 | 52.4 | 44.3 | 9.8 | 377 |
| 40-49 | 89.6 | 83.6 | 78.6 | 50.9 | 46.5 | 8.0 | 433 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 89.5 | 82.9 | 76.4 | 51.9 | 45.7 | 9.1 | 978 |
| Never married/in union | 82.0 | 73.8 | 66.2 | 55.1 | 44.9 | 15.6 | 567 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 82.9 | 75.4 | 67.5 | 55.1 | 46.7 | 13.8 | 720 |
| Professional | 90.4 | 84.0 | 78.8 | 55.5 | 47.9 | 9.1 | 497 |
| Higher | 92.4 | 84.8 | 77.7 | 46.0 | 39.9 | 7.6 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 78.7 | 71.8 | 64.9 | 54.4 | 49.0 | 16.6 | 234 |
| Second | 85.7 | 79.1 | 69.3 | 56.0 | 47.6 | 11.1 | 276 |
| Middle | 87.0 | 82.2 | 73.5 | 57.5 | 49.8 | 11.1 | 345 |
| Fourth | 91.0 | 83.5 | 78.3 | 52.1 | 44.0 | 8.7 | 315 |
| Richest | 88.8 | 79.0 | 74.5 | 46.9 | 38.7 | 11.2 | 376 |
| Total | 86.7 | 79.5 | 72.7 | 53.1 | 45.4 | 11.5 | 1545 |

ICS indicator 93
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

## Accepting Attitudes toward People Living with HIV/AIDS

The indicators on attitudes toward people living with HIV measure stigma and discrimination in the community. Stigma and discrimination are low if respondents report an accepting attitude for the following four scenarios: 1) would be willing to care for a family member with the AIDS virus; 2) would be willing to buy fresh vegetables from a vendor who is HIV positive; 3) believes that a female teacher who is HIV positive should be allowed to teach in school; and 4) would not want to keep HIV status of a family member a secret.

Table HA. 4 presents information on the attitudes of women aged 15-49 years towards people living with HIV/ AIDS. Of 99 percent of women who had heard of AIDS in the Republic of Moldova, 87 percent agreed with at least one accepting statement. The most common accepting attitude was willingness to care for a family member with the AIDS virus in own home ( 63 percent). This was followed by belief that a female teacher with the AIDS virus should be allowed to continue teaching (41 percent), willingness not to keep secret that a family member was HIV positive ( 33 percent), and willingness to buy fresh vegetables from a vendor who has the AIDS virus (only 22 percent). At the same time, only three percent of women expressed an attitude of acceptance in all four categories Indicators reflecting men's accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV/ AIDS are not markedly different from women's (Table HA.4M).

Table HA.4: Accepting attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS who express an accepting attitude towards people living with HIV/AIDS, Moldova, 2012


| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ever married/in union | 61.8 | 20.8 | 37.8 | 34.1 | 87.2 | 2.5 | 4646 |
| Never married/in union | 65.4 | 27.2 | 50.1 | 27.8 | 87.0 | 3.8 | 1291 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 56.5 | 15.4 | 30.6 | 41.1 | 85.8 | 2.0 | 2615 |
| Professional | 64.0 | 20.5 | 39.5 | 30.9 | 86.2 | 2.7 | 1756 |
| Higher | 71.7 | 36.0 | 59.1 | 19.7 | 90.4 | 4.1 | 1524 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 44.4 | 10.1 | 17.1 | 55.5 | 83.9 | 2.0 | 675 |
| Second | 55.1 | 15.5 | 28.6 | 45.3 | 84.6 | 3.1 | 1024 |
| Middle | 63.1 | 21.2 | 38.9 | 34.6 | 86.3 | 2.0 | 1327 |
| Fourth | 65.5 | 24.5 | 46.7 | 25.4 | 87.9 | 3.4 | 1387 |
| Richest | 72.5 | 30.7 | 54.6 | 19.2 | 90.2 | 3.0 | 1524 |
| Total | 62.6 | 22.2 | 40.5 | 32.7 | 87.1 | 2.8 | 5937 |

Table HA.4M: Accepting attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS who express an accepting attitude towards people living with HIV/AIDS, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men who: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Are willing to care for a family member with the AIDS virus in own home | Would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who has the AIDS virus | Believe that a female teacher with the AIDS virus and is not sick should be allowed to continue teaching | Would not want to keep secret that a family member got infected with the AIDS virus | Agree with at least one accepting attitude | Express accepting attitudes on all four indicators ${ }^{1}$ | Number of men who have heard of AIDS |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 60.5 | 19.8 | 29.8 | 40.7 | 84.9 | 3.1 | 452 |
| Centre | 54.4 | 21.6 | 28.5 | 52.8 | 88.7 | 2.7 | 430 |
| South | 59.5 | 18.7 | 27.9 | 54.4 | 91.1 | 2.6 | 290 |
| Chișinău | 72.9 | 30.9 | 51.3 | 30.0 | 90.2 | 5.2 | 345 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 68.5 | 29.6 | 46.1 | 31.9 | 89.1 | 4.2 | 598 |
| Rural | 56.8 | 18.1 | 26.0 | 52.4 | 87.9 | 2.9 | 920 |
| Age group 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 64.0 | 23.2 | 40.0 | 39.0 | 88.9 | 3.5 | 487 |
| 15-19 | 62.4 | 26.6 | 41.9 | 34.8 | 89.3 | 3.3 | 253 |
| 20-24 | 65.6 | 19.6 | 38.0 | 43.6 | 88.6 | 3.8 | 234 |
| 25-29 | 59.1 | 22.6 | 32.6 | 47.7 | 90.2 | 3.6 | 236 |
| 30-39 | 56.6 | 21.8 | 28.6 | 45.6 | 86.0 | 2.9 | 371 |
| 40-49 | 63.9 | 22.6 | 32.3 | 47.3 | 88.7 | 3.6 | 423 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 60.7 | 21.9 | 31.8 | 47.3 | 88.5 | 3.2 | 964 |
| Never married/in union | 62.6 | 23.9 | 37.6 | 39.1 | 88.0 | 3.7 | 554 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 55.2 | 16.5 | 24.7 | 51.5 | 87.2 | 2.6 | 696 |
| Professional | 63.4 | 22.1 | 30.9 | 45.9 | 87.7 | 3.3 | 495 |
| Higher | 72.3 | 37.5 | 59.4 | 25.2 | 91.5 | 5.5 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 44.7 | 13.6 | 13.9 | 58.1 | 86.8 | 2.2 | 223 |
| Second | 57.1 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 52.6 | 87.9 | 3.6 | 267 |
| Middle | 62.3 | 17.2 | 28.7 | 47.3 | 88.3 | 1.5 | 338 |
| Fourth | 60.8 | 20.9 | 38.2 | 44.1 | 87.2 | 3.4 | 314 |
| Richest | 74.1 | 34.5 | 54.4 | 27.7 | 90.6 | 5.7 | 376 |
| Total | 61.4 | 22.6 | 33.9 | 44.3 | 88.3 | 3.4 | 1517 |

Total
${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.4
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 7 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

## Knowledge of a Place for HIV Testing, Counselling and Testing during Antenatal Care

Another important indicator for the prevention of HIV transmission is the knowledge of where to be tested for HIV and the use of such services. In order to protect themselves and to prevent infecting others, it is important for individuals to know their HIV status. Knowledge of own status is also a critical factor in the decision to seek medical advice and treatment. Questions related to knowledge among women of a facility for HIV testing and whether they have ever been tested are presented in Table HA.5. 79 percent of women knew where to be tested, while 61 percent have actually been tested. A smaller proportion has been tested within the last 12 months (19 percent), and a similar percentage has been tested within the last 12 months and been told the result (18 percent). Women aged 20-29 years (almost 25 percent), those who were ever married or in union (21 percent), and those with higher educational attainment (22 percent) and in the richest households (21 percent) are more likely to have been tested during the last 12 months and been told the result.

Men are less knowledgeable about a place to get tested ( 65 percent) and are less likely to have ever been tested (39 percent). In terms of distribution according to background characteristics, similar particularities were found between men and women, although it should be noted that the percentage of men who were tested in the previous 12 months and were told the result is higher in urban areas ( 13 percent) compared to rural areas (7 percent) (Table HA.5M).

Table HA.5: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of women who have ever been tested, percentage of women who have been tested in the last 12 months, and percentage of women who have been tested and have been told the result, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women who: |  |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Know a place to get tested ${ }^{1}$ | Have ever been tested | Have been tested in the last 12 months | Have been tested in the last twelve months and have been told result ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 79.3 | 62.6 | 20.0 | 18.8 | 1799 |
| Centre | 74.6 | 57.5 | 18.3 | 17.3 | 1717 |
| South | 76.1 | 58.6 | 20.3 | 18.9 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 84.1 | 67.0 | 19.1 | 18.2 | 1389 |
| Area 18.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 85.5 | 68.1 | 21.0 | 19.7 | 2532 |
| Rural | 73.4 | 56.6 | 18.1 | 17.2 | 3468 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 69.3 | 40.8 | 17.8 | 16.9 | 1804 |
| 15-19 | 57.1 | 18.9 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 82.1 | 63.7 | 24.9 | 24.1 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 90.6 | 83.3 | 26.7 | 24.9 | 922 |
| 30-39 | 84.1 | 75.6 | 21.0 | 20.1 | 1649 |
| 40-49 | 76.1 | 57.6 | 15.2 | 14.1 | 1625 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 83.7 | 72.2 | 21.9 | 20.6 | 4694 |
| Never married/in union | 59.8 | 22.9 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 1306 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (60.6) | (53.2) | (21.9) | (16.9) | 26 |
| Secondary | 70.9 | 51.4 | 15.9 | 14.9 | 2666 |
| Professional | 80.7 | 66.2 | 21.2 | 20.1 | 1757 |
| Higher | 90.2 | 74.2 | 23.4 | 22.1 | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (42.9) | (34.2) | (9.0) | (9.0) | 28 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 64.6 | 50.3 | 13.9 | 12.5 | 724 |
| Second | 72.3 | 58.0 | 18.5 | 17.5 | 1029 |
| Middle | 76.5 | 57.6 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 81.4 | 61.5 | 19.2 | 18.1 | 1392 |
| Richest | 88.5 | 72.3 | 22.3 | 21.0 | 1525 |
| Total | 78.5 | 61.4 | 19.4 | 18.2 | 6000 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.5
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 9.6
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table HA.5M: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of men who have ever been tested, percentage of men who have been tested in the last 12 months, and the percentage of men who have been tested and have been told the result, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women who: |  |  |  | Number of men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Know a place to get tested ${ }^{1}$ | Have ever been tested | Have been tested in the last 12 months | Have been tested in the last twelve months and have been told result ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 62.0 | 37.0 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 465 |
| Centre | 58.5 | 30.2 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 442 |
| South | 61.2 | 40.7 | 10.0 | 8.7 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 78.8 | 50.1 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 79.3 | 51.3 | 13.5 | 12.7 | 601 |
| Rural | 55.3 | 30.7 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 944 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 56.3 | 23.1 | 8.9 | 8.5 | 497 |
| 15-19 | 48.5 | 12.9 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 64.8 | 34.1 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 68.5 | 49.1 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 237 |
| 30-39 | 68.2 | 49.2 | 11.9 | 10.3 | 377 |
| 40-49 | 69.0 | 41.8 | 8.4 | 7.9 | 433 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 70.2 | 47.9 | 11.2 | 10.4 | 978 |
| Never married/in union | 55.0 | 22.7 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 567 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 50.6 | 21.6 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 720 |
| Professional | 73.1 | 48.7 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 497 |
| Higher | 86.5 | 63.8 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 39.0 | 16.6 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 234 |
| Second | 51.5 | 29.0 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 276 |
| Middle | 65.5 | 38.9 | 11.4 | 10.0 | 345 |
| Fourth | 71.6 | 42.8 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 315 |
| Richest | 83.5 | 55.9 | 13.8 | 13.1 | 376 |
| Total | 64.6 | 38.7 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 1545 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.5
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 9.6
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK"
education are not shown
Table HA. 6 and Table HA.6M present the same results for sexually active young women and men aged 15-24 years, respectively. The proportion of young women and men aged $15-24$ years who have been tested and have been told the result within the last 12 months provides a measure of the effectiveness of interventions that promote HIV counselling and testing among young people. This is important to know, because young people
may feel that there are barriers to accessing services related to sensitive issues, such as sexual health. Eightytwo percent of young women knew where to be tested, while only 64 percent have actually been tested. Nearly one-third of women aged 15-24 years have been tested within the last 12 months ( 28 percent) and a similar percentage have been tested and been told the result within the last 12 months ( 27 percent). Only 63 percent of sexually active men aged 15-24 years knew where to get a HIV test, and only 30 percent have ever been tested (with 11 percent tested in the last 12 months).

Table HA.6: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing among sexually active young women
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months, and among women who have had sex in the last 12 months, the percentage who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of women who have ever been tested, percentage of women who have been tested in the last 12 months, and percentage of women who have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told the result, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage |  |  | Percent | age of women | ho: | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | who have had sex in the last 12 months | Number of women aged $15-24$ years | Know a place to get tested | Have ever been tested | Have been tested in the last 12 months | Have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told result ${ }^{1}$ | aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 49.8 | 476 | 83.2 | 70.9 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 237 |
| Centre | 46.8 | 502 | 78.4 | 63.6 | 28.3 | 26.0 | 235 |
| South | 53.0 | 333 | 86.6 | 71.0 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 177 |
| Chișinău | 59.7 | 492 | 81.1 | 54.0 | 24.0 | 23.2 | 294 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 56.6 | 814 | 81.4 | 56.7 | 26.3 | 25.3 | 461 |
| Rural | 48.6 | 990 | 82.6 | 70.7 | 29.1 | 27.6 | 481 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 24.9 | 920 | 72.5 | 43.5 | 26.2 | 23.7 | 229 |
| 20-24 | 80.7 | 884 | 85.0 | 70.3 | 28.2 | 27.3 | 713 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 97.8 | 649 | 89.0 | 78.7 | 33.6 | 31.9 | 635 |
| Never married/in union | 26.6 | 1154 | 67.5 | 33.1 | 15.6 | 15.1 | 307 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 38.6 | 985 | 80.2 | 62.7 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 381 |
| Professional | 67.0 | 342 | 80.3 | 66.8 | 33.3 | 31.4 | 229 |
| Higher | 70.6 | 457 | 86.4 | 63.7 | 27.2 | 26.9 | 323 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 60.7 | 190 | 79.4 | 66.7 | 18.8 | 15.5 | 115 |
| Second | 52.6 | 273 | 79.0 | 70.6 | 27.1 | 26.1 | 143 |
| Middle | 46.3 | 472 | 83.9 | 69.3 | 33.8 | 32.8 | 219 |
| Fourth | 49.9 | 462 | 82.1 | 58.6 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 231 |
| Richest | 57.6 | 407 | 83.2 | 58.4 | 28.9 | 27.2 | 234 |
| Total | 52.2 | 1804 | 82.0 | 63.8 | 27.7 | 26.5 | 942 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.7
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases of "None/primary" education for women aged 15-24 years, and 5 unweighted cases for women aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months are not shown; 12 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for women aged 15-24 years, and 6 unweighted cases for women aged $15-24$ years who have had sex in the last 12 months are not shown

Table HA.6M: Knowledge of a place for HIV testing among sexually active young men
Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months, and among men who have had sex in the last 12 months, the percentage who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of men who have ever been tested, percentage of men who have been tested in the last 12 months, and percentage of men who have been tested in the last 12 months and have been told the result, Moldova, 2012


[^19]Among women who have given birth within the two years preceding the survey, the percentage who received counselling and HIV testing during antenatal care is presented in Table HA.7. The proportion of women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey and who were tested for HIV during antenatal care ( 85 percent) differs from that of women who received counselling (71 percent). Eighty-three percent of women were offered an HIV test and were tested for HIV during antenatal care, and received the results. Only 67 percent of women received HIV counselling, were offered a HIV test, accepted and received the results (women in the poorest wealth index quintiles also has the lowest proportion of 52 percent, compared to 65 percent for women in the richest wealth index quintiles).

Table HA.7: HIV counselling and testing during antenatal care
Among women age 15-49 who gave birth in the last 2 years, percentage of women who received antenatal care from a health professional during the last pregnancy, percentage who received HIV counselling, percentage who were offered and accepted an HIV test and received the results, Moldova, 2012

${ }^{1} \mathrm{MICS}$ indicator 9.8
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 9.9
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 5 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases


## Sexual Behaviour Related to HIV Transmission

Promoting safer sexual behaviour is critical for reducing HIV prevalence. The use of condoms during sex, especially with non-regular partners, is especially important for reducing the spread of HIV. In most countries over half of new HIV infections are among young people 15-24 years old, thus a change in behaviour among this age group will be especially important to reduce new infections. A set of questions was administered to all women and men 15-24 years old to assess their risk of HIV infection. Risk factors for HIV include sex at an early age, sex with older men, sex with a non-marital non-cohabitating partner, and failure to use a condom.

The frequency of sexual behaviours that increase the risk of HIV infection among women and men is presented in Table HA. 8 and Table HA.8M, respectively. Only one percent of women and eight percent of men aged 15-24 years reported having had sexual intercourse before the age of 15 .

Table HA.8: Sexual behaviour that increases the risk of HIV infection (women)
Percentage of never-married young women aged 15-24 years who have never had sex, percentage of young women aged 15-24 years who have had sex
before age 15, and percentage of young women aged 15-24 years who had sex with a man 10 or more years older during the last 12 months, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of never-married women aged 1524 years who have never had sex ${ }^{1}$ | Number of nevermarried women aged $15-24$ years | Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who had sex before age $15^{2}$ | Number of women aged $15-24$ years | Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months with a man 10 or more years older ${ }^{3}$ | Number of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 82.6 | 277 | 1.7 | 476 | 7.5 | 237 |
| Centre | 76.1 | 340 | 0.6 | 502 | 6.5 | 235 |
| South | 74.9 | 202 | 0.6 | 333 | 7.3 | 177 |
| Chișinău | 53.2 | 335 | 0.7 | 492 | 2.3 | 294 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 59.8 | 549 | 0.9 | 814 | 4.6 | 461 |
| Rural | 80.8 | 606 | 0.9 | 990 | 6.6 | 481 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 84.0 | 814 | 1.0 | 920 | 6.0 | 229 |
| 20-24 | 39.2 | 340 | 0.8 | 884 | 5.5 | 713 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | n/a | n/a | 1.7 | 649 | 7.2 | 635 |
| Never married/in union | 70.8 | 1154 | 0.5 | 1154 | 2.4 | 307 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 85.0 | 695 | 1.1 | 985 | 6.4 | 381 |
| Professional | 60.2 | 178 | 0.9 | 342 | 6.1 | 229 |
| Higher | 41.0 | 271 | 0.2 | 457 | 4.3 | 323 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 74.7 | 94 | 3.8 | 190 | 8.0 | 115 |
| Second | 82.8 | 151 | 0.3 | 273 | 6.1 | 143 |
| Middle | 76.3 | 318 | 0.7 | 472 | 8.0 | 219 |
| Fourth | 67.4 | 323 | 0.6 | 462 | 4.2 | 231 |
| Richest | 60.4 | 269 | 0.6 | 407 | 3.2 | 234 |
| Total | 70.8 | 1154 | 0.9 | 1804 | 5.6 | 942 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.10
${ }_{3}^{2} \mathrm{MICS}$ indicator 9.11
${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 9.12
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 3 unweighted cases of "None/primary" education for never-married women aged 15-24 years, 8 unweighted cases for women aged 15-24 years, and 5 unweighted cases for women aged 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey are not shown; 6 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for never-married women aged 15-24 years, 12 unweighted cases for women aged 15-24 years, and 6 unweighted cases for women aged 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey are not shown $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ : not applicable

Table HA.8M: Sexual behaviour that increases the risk of HIV infection (men)
Percentage of never-married young men aged 15-24 years who have never had sex, percentage of young men aged 15-24 years who have had sex before age 15, and percentage of young men aged 15-24 years who had sex with a woman 10 or more years older during the last 12 months, Moldova, 2012

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of never-married men aged 15-24 years who have never had sex ${ }^{1}$ | Number of never-married men aged 15-24 years | Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who had sex before age $15^{2}$ | Number of men aged 1524 years | Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months with a woman 10 or more years older ${ }^{3}$ | Number of men aged 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 37.9 | 139 | 7.3 | 156 | 1.5 | 89 |
| Centre | 36.7 | 116 | 6.4 | 129 | 0.0 | 81 |
| South | 36.9 | 65 | 14.4 | 82 | 0.0 | 51 |
| Chișinău | 29.9 | 108 | 4.6 | 130 | 0.0 | 91 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 30.3 | 161 | 7.0 | 191 | 0.0 | 133 |
| Rural | 38.5 | 266 | 7.9 | 306 | 0.7 | 179 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 53.4 | 255 | 8.2 | 259 | 0.0 | 102 |
| 20-24 | 8.6 | 172 | 6.8 | 238 | 0.6 | 209 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | n/a | n/a | 7.8 | 70 | 0.0 | 67 |
| Never married/in union | 35.4 | 427 | 7.5 | 427 | 0.5 | 245 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 48.6 | 266 | 8.0 | 299 | 0.0 | 149 |
| Professional | 18.5 | 83 | 9.0 | 97 | 0.0 | 74 |
| Higher | 8.6 | 77 | 4.8 | 97 | 1.5 | 86 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (48.9) | 52 | (11.1) | 62 | * | 30 |
| Second | 40.6 | 77 | 6.2 | 93 | (0.0) | 49 |
| Middle | 30.4 | 108 | 11.5 | 123 | 1.6 | 82 |
| Fourth | 28.5 | 93 | 5.8 | 106 | 0.0 | 76 |
| Richest | 36.1 | 97 | 4.0 | 114 | 0.0 | 75 |
| Total | 35.4 | 427 | 7.5 | 497 | 0.4 | 312 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.10
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 9.11
${ }^{3}$ MICS indicator 9.12
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases of "None/primary" education for men aged 15-24 years, and 2 unweighted cases for men aged 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey are not shown (there are no cases for never-married men 15-24 years old); 1 unweighted case with "Missing/DK" education for never-married men aged 15-24 years, 2 unweighted cases for men aged 15-24 years, and 1 unweighted case for men aged 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ : not applicable

Sexual behaviour and condom use during sex was assessed in all women, including for women aged 15-24 years who had sex with more than one partner in the previous year (Tables HA. 9 and HA.10). Two percent of women 15-49 years old reported having sex with more than one partner. Of those women, only 35 percent reported using a condom when they had sex the last time. Eighteen percent ${ }^{26}$ of women with secondary education used a condom during higher risk sex in the year before the MICS, while 53 percent of women with higher education used a condom with such a partner.

Only three percent of women 15-24 years old reported having sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months. Of those, nearly half (49 percent) have used a condom the last time they had sex (data not presented in table HA. 10 because figures for background variables are based on too few cases). Sexual behaviour and condom use during sex was also assessed among all men, including for men aged 15-24 years who had sex with more than one partner in the previous year (Tables HA.9M and HA.10M). Fourteen percent of men 15-49 years old reported having sex with more than one partner, which is nearly seven times higher than the rate observed among women. This proportion is 20 percent among young men aged 15-24 years. Of those men, 68 percent reported using a condom when they had sex the last time (data not presented in table HA.10M because figures for background variables are based on too few cases).

Table HA.9: Sex with multiple partners (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with multiple partners, the percentage who used a condom the last time they had sex, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women who: |  |  |  | Percent of women aged 15-49 years who had more than one sexual partner in the last 12 months, who also reported that a condom was used the last time they had sex ${ }^{2}$ | Number of women aged 15-49 years who had more than one sexual partner in the last 12 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever had <br> sex | Had sex in the last 12 months | Had sex with more than one partner in last 12 months $^{1}$ | Number of women aged 15-49 years |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 86.7 | 78.1 | 1.3 | 1799 | (24.5) | 23 |
| Centre | 84.0 | 76.8 | 1.5 | 1717 | * | 26 |
| South | 85.8 | 78.0 | 1.5 | 1095 | * | 16 |
| Chișinău | 85.9 | 79.0 | 3.7 | 1389 | 54.9 | 52 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 86.2 | 78.0 | 3.0 | 2532 | 47.9 | 76 |
| Rural | 85.1 | 77.8 | 1.2 | 3468 | (9.6) | 41 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-24 | 54.7 | 52.2 | 2.7 | 1804 | 48.9 | 48 |
| 15-19 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 1.2 | 920 | * | 11 |
| 20-24 | 84.9 | 80.7 | 4.2 | 884 | (51.7) | 37 |
| 25-29 | 96.9 | 91.6 | 2.5 | 922 | (19.7) | 23 |
| 30-39 | 99.1 | 90.9 | 1.8 | 1649 | (29.9) | 30 |
| 40-49 | 99.6 | 85.4 | 1.0 | 1625 | * | 16 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 100.0 | 91.5 | 1.7 | 4694 | 21.7 | 81 |
| Never married/in union | 33.7 | 29.1 | 2.8 | 1306 | (63.2) | 36 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (88.0) | (77.4) | (0.0) | 26 | - | 0 |
| Secondary | 77.1 | 70.1 | 1.7 | 2666 | (17.6) | 46 |
| Professional | 93.6 | 84.7 | 1.3 | 1757 | (30.3) | 22 |
| Higher | 91.4 | 84.3 | 3.2 | 1524 | 52.6 | 49 |
| Missing/DK | (68.4) | (49.2) | (2.2) | 28 | * | 1 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 88.8 | 76.3 | 2.3 | 724 | * | 17 |
| Second | 87.5 | 78.9 | . 7 | 1029 | * | 7 |
| Middle | 81.1 | 73.7 | 1.1 | 1330 | * | 15 |
| Fourth | 83.4 | 77.2 | 2.3 | 1392 | (34.7) | 32 |
| Richest | 88.5 | 82.4 | 3.0 | 1525 | 51.2 | 46 |
| Total | 85.6 | 77.9 | 2.0 | 6000 | 34.5 | 117 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 9.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| '-' denotes 0 unweighted cases in that cell |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^20]Table HA.9M: Sex with multiple partners (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with multiple partners, the percentage who used a condom at last sex, Moldova, 2012

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.13
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 9.14
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases of "None/primary" education for men aged 15-49 years, and 2 unweighted cases for men aged 15-49 years who had more than one sexual partner in the last 12 months are not shown; 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for men aged 15-49 years are are not shown (there are no cases for men aged 15-49 years who had more than one sexual partner in the last 12 months).
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table HA.10: Sex with multiple partners among young women
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months and the percentage who have had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women aged $15-24$ years who: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever had sex | Had sex in the last 12 months | Had sex with more than one partner in last 12 months | Number of women aged $15-24$ years |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 51.9 | 49.8 | 1.8 | 476 |
| Centre | 48.5 | 46.8 | 1.2 | 502 |
| South | 54.5 | 53.0 | 1.6 | 333 |
| Chișinău | 63.8 | 59.7 | 5.7 | 492 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 59.7 | 56.6 | 4.9 | 814 |
| Rural | 50.6 | 48.6 | 0.8 | 990 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 1.2 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 84.9 | 80.7 | 4.2 | 884 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 100.0 | 97.8 | 3.3 | 649 |
| Never married/in union | 29.2 | 26.6 | 2.3 | 1154 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 40.0 | 38.6 | 1.5 | 985 |
| Professional | 68.6 | 67.0 | 1.5 | 342 |
| Higher | 75.7 | 70.6 | 6.3 | 457 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 63.0 | 60.7 | 1.6 | 190 |
| Second | 54.3 | 52.6 | 0.6 | 273 |
| Middle | 48.6 | 46.3 | 1.4 | 472 |
| Fourth | 52.9 | 49.9 | 3.6 | 462 |
| Richest | 60.1 | 57.6 | 5.0 | 407 |
| Total | 54.7 | 52.2 | 2.7 | 1804 |

Table HA.10M: Sex with multiple partners among young men
Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months and the percentage who have had sex with more than one partner in the last 12 months, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever had sex | Had sex in the last 12 months | Had sex with more than one partner in last 12 months | Number of men aged $15-24$ years |
| Region ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| North | 66.2 | 56.7 | 17.2 | 156 |
| Centre | 67.2 | 62.6 | 21.3 | 129 |
| South | 70.8 | 62.3 | 15.2 | 82 |
| Chișinău | 75.1 | 70.4 | 24.8 | 130 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 74.3 | 69.6 | 27.0 | 191 |
| Rural | 66.6 | 58.4 | 15.5 | 306 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 47.3 | 39.5 | 8.1 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 93.8 | 88.0 | 32.8 | 238 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 100.0 | 95.6 | 13.6 | 70 |
| Never married/in union | 64.6 | 57.4 | 20.9 | 427 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 56.7 | 49.9 | 16.2 | 299 |
| Professional | 84.2 | 76.5 | 17.7 | 97 |
| Higher | 93.1 | 88.6 | 33.5 | 97 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (58.5) | (48.1) | (8.7) | 62 |
| Second | 66.4 | 53.4 | 9.8 | 93 |
| Middle | 73.2 | 66.2 | 22.7 | 123 |
| Fourth | 74.8 | 71.8 | 27.5 | 106 |
| Richest | 69.3 | 66.1 | 24.1 | 114 |
| Total | 69.6 | 62.7 | 19.9 | 497 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 2
unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table HA. 11 presents the percentage of women aged 15-24 years who ever had sex, the percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, the percentage who have had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months and the percentage who used a condom the last time they had sex with such a partner. Thirtyeight percent of women aged 15-24 years reported having had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months, while 64 percent reported using a condom at last sexual intercourse with such a partner. This proportion was 67 percent among women in urban areas compared to 57 percent among those in rural areas. Within the 15-24 age group, men were more likely to have had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months ( 82 percent) than their female counterparts. Eighty-two percent of men aged 15-24 years who had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months reported using a condom the last time they had sexual intercourse. However, condom use seems to be lower among men in rural areas ( 78 percent), compared to urban areas ( 87 percent).

Table HA.11: Sex with non-regular partners (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with a nonmarital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner, the percentage who used a condom the last time they had sex with such a partner, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women 15-24 who: |  | Number of women aged $15-24$ years | Percentage who had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months | Percentage of women aged 1524 years who had sex with a nonmarital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months, who also reported that a condom was used the last time they had sex with such a partner ${ }^{2}$ | Number of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months with a non-marital, noncohabiting partner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever had sex | Had sex in the last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 51.9 | 49.8 | 476 | 23.5 | 237 | 54.7 | 56 |
| Centre | 48.5 | 46.8 | 502 | 35.2 | 235 | 57.1 | 83 |
| South | 54.5 | 53.0 | 333 | 31.3 | 177 | 67.7 | 55 |
| Chișinău | 63.8 | 59.7 | 492 | 54.3 | 294 | 69.1 | 159 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 59.7 | 56.6 | 814 | 49.6 | 461 | 67.3 | 229 |
| Rural | 50.6 | 48.6 | 990 | 25.8 | 481 | 57.4 | 124 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 920 | 60.2 | 229 | 70.5 | 138 |
| 20-24 | 84.9 | 80.7 | 884 | 30.2 | 713 | 59.5 | 215 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 100.0 | 97.8 | 649 | 7.5 | 635 | 61.9 | 47 |
| Never married/in union | 29.2 | 26.6 | 1154 | 99.6 | 307 | 64.1 | 306 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( $29.2{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 40.0 | 38.6 | 985 | 32.0 | 381 | 61.3 | 122 |
| Professional | 68.6 | 67.0 | 342 | 32.7 | 229 | 53.5 | 75 |
| Higher | 75.7 | 70.6 | 457 | 48.3 | 323 | 71.0 | 156 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 63.0 | 60.7 | 190 | 25.6 | 115 | (49.7) | 29 |
| Second | 54.3 | 52.6 | 273 | 21.5 | 143 | * | 31 |
| Middle | 48.6 | 46.3 | 472 | 35.5 | 219 | 67.6 | 78 |
| Fourth | 52.9 | 49.9 | 462 | 45.7 | 231 | 63.5 | 106 |
| Richest | 60.1 | 57.6 | 407 | 46.8 | 234 | 67.2 | 110 |
| Total | 54.7 | 52.2 | 1804 | 37.5 | 942 | 63.8 | 353 |

${ }_{2}$ MICS indicator 9.16; MDG indicator 6.2
a For the backeround characteristic
24 year (there are no cases for women aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner); 12 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for women aged 15-24 years, 6 unweighted cases for women aged 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12
months, and 1 unweighted case for women aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table HA.11M: Sex with non-regular partners (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who ever had sex, percentage who had sex in the last 12 months, percentage who have had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months and among those who had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner, the percentage who used a condom the last time they had sex with such a partner, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men 15-24 who: |  | Number of men aged $15-24$ years | Percentage who had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months $^{1}$ | Number of men aged 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months | Percentage of men aged 1524 years who had sex with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the last 12 months, who also reported that a condom was used the last time they had sex with such a partner ${ }^{2}$ | Number of men aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever had sex | Had sex in the last 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 66.2 | 56.7 | 156 | 85.7 | 89 | 78.3 | 76 |
| Centre | 67.2 | 62.6 | 129 | 84.6 | 81 | 79.5 | 69 |
| South | 70.8 | 62.3 | 82 | 74.9 | 51 | (80.8) | 38 |
| Chișinău | 75.1 | 70.4 | 130 | 81.0 | 91 | 87.7 | 74 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 74.3 | 69.6 | 191 | 83.5 | 133 | 87.2 | 111 |
| Rural | 66.6 | 58.4 | 306 | 81.3 | 179 | 77.5 | 146 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 47.3 | 39.5 | 259 | 96.4 | 102 | 84.6 | 99 |
| 20-24 | 93.8 | 88.0 | 238 | 75.3 | 209 | 79.8 | 158 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 100.0 | 95.6 | 70 | 16.9 | 67 | * | 11 |
| Never married/in union | 64.6 | 57.4 | 427 | 100.0 | 245 | 81.7 | 245 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 56.7 | 49.9 | 299 | 84.0 | 149 | 81.0 | 125 |
| Professional | 84.2 | 76.5 | 97 | 81.1 | 74 | 86.8 | 60 |
| Higher | 93.1 | 88.6 | 97 | 81.9 | 86 | 79.9 | 70 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (58.5) | (48.1) | 62 | * | 30 | (8.6) | 24 |
| Second | 66.4 | 53.4 | 93 | (70.8) | 49 | (78.6) | 35 |
| Middle | 73.2 | 66.2 | 123 | 87.3 | 82 | 77.0 | 71 |
| Fourth | 74.8 | 71.8 | 106 | 85.2 | 76 | 87.0 | 65 |
| Richest | 69.3 | 66.1 | 114 | 81.3 | 75 | 86.7 | 61 |
| Total | 69.6 | 62.7 | 497 | 82.3 | 312 | 81.7 | 256 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.15
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 9.16: MDG indicator 6.2
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases of "None/primary" education for men aged 15-24 years, 2 unweighted cases for men aged 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months, and 1 unweighted case for men aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner are not shown; 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for men aged 15-24 years, 1 unweighted case for men aged 15-24 years who had sex in the last 12 months are not shown (there are no cases for men aged 15-24 years who had sex in last 12 months with a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner).
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

The 2012 Moldova MICS included a country-specific module to collect information on knowledge and attitudes associated with tuberculosis among the population aged 15-49 years.

## Awareness of Transmission Modes of Tuberculosis

Tables TB. 1 and TB.1M, along with Figure TB.1, present data on the level of knowledge of issues related to tuberculosis (TB) among men and women eligible under the MICS. TB as a disease is widely known among the population aged 15-49 years, among both women ( 99 percent) and men ( 99 percent). Most of those who have heard of TB know that it is an airborne disease transmitted during coughing; however there is a difference between the proportions of men and women aware of this most prevalent way of transmission, 84 percent and 78 percent, respectively.


Figure TB.1: Percentage of women and men aged 15-49 years who have heard of tuberculosis and who know its modes of transmission, Moldova, 2012

The concept of tuberculosis transmission by means other than by air during coughing remains widespread among the population aged 15-49 years, reaching 76 percent among women and 73 percent among men. Variations are observed among women and men by age group. At the same time, some five percent of women and seven percent of men do not know how TB is transmitted. Among women, this indicator ranges from three percent in Chișinău to seven percent in the South region, and is slightly higher in rural areas (7 percent) than in urban areas ( 3 percent), also being closely correlated with educational attainment (secondary education - 8 percent; higher education - 2 percent) and household wealth (among women in the poorest households - 12 percent; among those in the richest households -3 percent). No clear variations were observed by region and area among men; however, the indicator is higher among those with lower education (among men with secondary education -10 percent; among men with higher education -2 percent) and among those in the poorest households (poorest - 9 percent; richest -4 percent).

Table TB.1: Knowledge of tuberculosis and its transmission modes (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who have heard of tuberculosis, and percentage who know tuberculosis modes of transmission, Moldova, 2012

|  | Women who have heard of TB ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of women age 15-49 who: |  |  | Number of women aged $15-49$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Know that TB is transmitted through the air when coughing ${ }^{2}$ | Reported other ways that TB spreads | Don't know how TB spreads |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 99.5 | 83.7 | 74.7 | 6.3 | 1799 |
| Centre | 99.2 | 81.3 | 80.2 | 4.9 | 1717 |
| South | 99.2 | 80.6 | 75.0 | 7.3 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 99.7 | 92.1 | 74.0 | 2.9 | 1389 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.8 | 89.9 | 73.7 | 3.3 | 2532 |
| Rural | 99.1 | 80.4 | 78.0 | 6.7 | 3468 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 98.6 | 78.6 | 68.6 | 9.8 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 99.7 | 86.7 | 73.4 | 5.1 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 99.6 | 86.9 | 73.5 | 5.5 | 922 |
| 30-34 | 99.0 | 85.2 | 77.4 | 4.0 | 854 |
| 35-39 | 99.8 | 84.9 | 77.8 | 5.4 | 795 |
| 40-44 | 99.8 | 85.8 | 82.0 | 3.2 | 774 |
| 45-49 | 99.3 | 83.0 | 82.1 | 3.4 | 851 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (96.1) | (66.0) | (65.1) | (9.1) | 26 |
| Secondary | 98.9 | 77.9 | 74.4 | 7.9 | 2666 |
| Professional | 99.9 | 87.0 | 79.1 | 3.5 | 1757 |
| Higher | 99.9 | 93.5 | 76.5 | 2.4 | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (87.0) | (56.1) | (59.4) | (25.4) | 28 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 97.0 | 67.8 | 73.2 | 11.7 | 724 |
| Second | 99.6 | 78.3 | 77.7 | 7.1 | 1029 |
| Middle | 99.7 | 85.0 | 79.0 | 5.4 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 99.7 | 87.8 | 78.7 | 3.3 | 1392 |
| Richest | 99.9 | 92.7 | 71.9 | 2.7 | 1525 |
| Total | 99.4 | 84.4 | 76.2 | 5.3 | 6000 |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 1
${ }^{2}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 2
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table TB.1M: Knowledge of tuberculosis and its transmission modes (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who have heard of tuberculosis, and percentage who know the ways tuberculosis is transmitted Moldova, 2012

|  | Men who have heard of TB ${ }^{1}$ | Percenta <br> Know that TB is transmitted through the air when coughing ${ }^{2}$ | e of men age 15-49 wh <br> Reported other ways that TB spreads | Don't know how TB spreads | Number of men aged 15-49 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 98.8 | 76.0 | 71.2 | 8.4 | 465 |
| Centre | 98.7 | 76.8 | 81.0 | 4.0 | 442 |
| South | 99.3 | 75.6 | 71.3 | 9.7 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 99.7 | 85.5 | 69.0 | 4.7 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.6 | 85.8 | 69.8 | 5.3 | 601 |
| Rural | 98.7 | 73.5 | 75.9 | 7.3 | 944 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 98.1 | 68.5 | 66.3 | 10.8 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 99.0 | 79.2 | 80.3 | 5.6 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 99.6 | 82.3 | 69.2 | 7.5 | 237 |
| 30-34 | 100.0 | 81.4 | 69.8 | 7.2 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 99.7 | 82.4 | 77.5 | 3.5 | 207 |
| 40-44 | 98.8 | 80.0 | 71.9 | 4.9 | 221 |
| 45-49 | 98.6 | 76.5 | 80.5 | 5.4 | 212 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 98.5 | 72.5 | 69.1 | 9.7 | 720 |
| Professional | 99.4 | 78.8 | 78.3 | 4.8 | 497 |
| Higher | 99.9 | 91.4 | 77.8 | 1.6 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.9 | 68.4 | 71.9 | 9.1 | 234 |
| Second | 98.6 | 75.2 | 77.1 | 6.9 | 276 |
| Middle | 99.0 | 72.7 | 76.1 | 8.3 | 345 |
| Fourth | 98.7 | 80.6 | 75.5 | 5.6 | 315 |
| Richest | 99.7 | 90.0 | 68.1 | 3.8 | 376 |
| Total | 99.1 | 78.3 | 73.5 | 6.5 | 1545 |

${ }^{1}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 1
${ }^{2}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 2
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK"
education are not shown

## Knowledge of Tuberculosis Symptoms

Analysis of data for this indicator was based on knowledge of tuberculosis symptoms among those who have heard of the disease; namely: non-specific (dry) coughing, coughing with sputum, coughing for more than three weeks, blood-streaked sputum, low grade fever, loss of appetite, night sweating, chest pain, general tiredness and/or fatigue, weight loss, and lethargy. Tables TB. 2 and TB. 2 M show that 94 percent of women and 92 percent of men have mentioned at least one of these symptoms.

Among those who have heard of tuberculosis, 80 percent reported the non-specific cough as the most characteristic symptom of the disease. Knowledge of at least one symptom of TB is positively associated with household wealth. Other symptoms of tuberculosis most frequently mentioned by women and men were persistent coughing over several weeks ( 20 percent and 9 percent, respectively), cough with sputum (22 percent and 19 percent, respectively), and blood-streaked sputum (12 percent and 10 percent, respectively).

The three most characteristic symptoms of the disease (persistent cough with sputum lasting several weeks, general tiredness and/or fatigue, as well as fever) were integrated in a separate indicator of knowledge of tuberculosis symptoms, and were recognized by only one percent of women and no men.
Table TB.2: Knowledge of symptoms of tuberculosis (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who have heard of tuberculosis and know specific symptoms of tuberculosis, Moldova, 2012

|  | Knowledge of TB symptoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonspecific coughing | Coughing with sputum | Coughing for several weeks | Fever | Blood in sputum | Loss of appetite | Nightsweating | Pain in chest | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tiredness/ } \\ \text { fatigue } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weight loss | Lethargy | Other | At least one symptom ${ }^{1}$ | All three most common symptoms of tuberculosis ${ }^{2}$ | Number of women aged 15-49 years |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 77.9 | 22.2 | 19.4 | 21.6 | 12.0 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 7.5 | 11.9 | 28.9 | 22.6 | 23.8 | 93.1 | 0.9 | 1799 |
| Centre | 80.9 | 20.5 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 10.7 | 6.8 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 9.8 | 25.5 | 22.2 | 22.5 | 93.1 | 1.0 | 1717 |
| South | 80.5 | 20.2 | 17.8 | 19.2 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 7.0 | 12.3 | 22.7 | 21.9 | 20.0 | 93.3 | 0.8 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 82.5 | 25.0 | 21.4 | 27.7 | 13.5 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 10.2 | 15.5 | 31.0 | 30.5 | 19.2 | 96.6 | 2.5 | 1389 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 83.3 | 24.1 | 21.0 | 25.5 | 13.5 | 7.9 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 13.0 | 29.1 | 26.7 | 20.9 | 96.7 | 1.6 | 2532 |
| Rural | 78.2 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 20.9 | 10.2 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 8.0 | 11.6 | 26.0 | 22.3 | 22.2 | 91.9 | 1.0 | 3468 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 75.5 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 22.3 | 13.1 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 9.1 | 11.6 | 19.8 | 21.5 | 22.7 | 88.2 | 1.1 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 78.8 | 22.5 | 19.4 | 22.7 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 7.9 | 11.3 | 24.2 | 21.6 | 23.8 | 93.7 | 1.0 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 80.5 | 22.6 | 21.5 | 22.3 | 12.4 | 6.0 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 11.5 | 25.7 | 22.8 | 21.1 | 95.4 | 0.7 | 922 |
| 30-34 | 81.6 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 23.5 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 12.1 | 29.9 | 21.8 | 20.7 | 95.9 | 1.5 | 854 |
| 35-39 | 82.9 | 22.8 | 20.5 | 23.2 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 4.6 | 9.3 | 12.6 | 29.3 | 27.5 | 19.7 | 94.3 | 1.5 | 795 |
| 40-44 | 83.4 | 23.3 | 21.3 | 23.9 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 8.6 | 14.7 | 32.4 | 28.6 | 20.2 | 96.3 | 1.5 | 774 |
| 45-49 | 80.6 | 23.5 | 21.0 | 22.1 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 12.0 | 31.3 | 26.4 | 23.0 | 94.3 | 1.6 | 851 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (46.9) | (28.8) | (24.1) | (8.7) | (10.0) | (3.0) | (4.4) | (4.4) | (7.8) | (26.5) | (17.2) | (15.6) | (82.0) | (0.0) | 26 |
| Secondary | 77.4 | 18.2 | 18.9 | 18.5 | 9.3 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 9.9 | 22.4 | 18.8 | 20.6 | 90.4 | 0.5 | 2666 |
| Professional | 82.3 | 24.3 | 20.6 | 25.6 | 11.7 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 8.3 | 13.6 | 29.9 | 27.1 | 21.7 | 96.2 | 1.7 | 1757 |
| Higher | 84.2 | 26.2 | 23.1 | 27.9 | 15.7 | 8.6 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 14.9 | 33.0 | 30.5 | 23.7 | 98.2 | 2.1 | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (56.0) | (6.5) | (10.6) | (6.2) | (0.0) | (4.0) | (0.0) | (4.0) | (0.0) | (26.2) | (12.1) | (16.8) | (65.0) | (0.0) | 28 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 70.9 | 18.3 | 18.7 | 15.2 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 9.3 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 18.8 | 83.6 | 0.5 | 724 |
| Second | 77.9 | 18.5 | 18.9 | 18.0 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 9.3 | 25.9 | 20.7 | 23.2 | 91.3 | 0.7 | 1029 |
| Middle | 79.7 | 23.1 | 21.3 | 22.0 | 12.9 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 9.7 | 11.3 | 25.7 | 24.0 | 23.8 | 95.2 | 0.7 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 81.7 | 22.6 | 21.4 | 25.7 | 12.5 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 9.5 | 14.2 | 30.8 | 25.9 | 21.4 | 96.1 | 1.4 | 1392 |
| Richest | 85.8 | 24.6 | 20.7 | 27.8 | 13.2 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 9.3 | 14.4 | 30.4 | 28.4 | 20.3 | 97.5 | 2.4 | 1525 |
| Total | 80.3 | 22.0 | 20.4 | 22.8 | 11.6 | 7.2 | 4.8 | 8.3 | 12.2 | 27.3 | 24.2 | 21.6 | 93.9 | 1.3 | 6000 |

Table TB.2M: Knowledge of symptoms of tuberculosis (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who have heard of tuberculosis and know specific symptoms of tuberculosis, Moldova, 2012

|  | Knowledge of TB symptoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonspecific coughing | Coughing with sputum | Coughing for several weeks | Fever | Blood in sputum | Loss of appetite | Nightsweating | Pain in chest | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tiredness/ } \\ \text { fatigue } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weight loss | Lethargy | Other | At least one answer ${ }^{1}$ | All three most common symptoms of tuberculosis ${ }^{2}$ | Number of men aged 15-49 years |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 70.0 | 15.6 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 5.0 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 10.9 | 18.3 | 25.2 | 14.9 | 89.8 | 0.1 | 465 |
| Centre | 74.9 | 18.7 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 14.2 | 23.0 | 30.6 | 12.1 | 92.4 | 0.0 | 442 |
| South | 73.1 | 14.9 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 12.4 | 21.1 | 19.0 | 13.4 | 88.7 | 0.0 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 73.6 | 29.3 | 10.8 | 16.7 | 16.2 | 6.6 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 10.9 | 27.7 | 24.2 | 19.2 | 96.2 | 0.0 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 71.2 | 26.9 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 15.3 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 11.0 | 25.7 | 24.8 | 16.6 | 96.5 | 0.1 | 601 |
| Rural | 73.9 | 14.7 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 12.9 | 20.1 | 25.7 | 13.6 | 88.8 | 0.0 | 944 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 65.0 | 15.4 | 8.2 | 12.3 | 8.6 | 6.2 | 2.4 | 7.0 | 10.9 | 15.1 | 20.3 | 14.6 | 82.8 | 0.0 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 71.3 | 21.3 | 10.4 | 12.7 | 12.1 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 7.1 | 15.1 | 21.8 | 26.3 | 18.9 | 93.2 | 0.0 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 66.9 | 24.3 | 9.1 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 12.3 | 20.8 | 29.2 | 13.9 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 237 |
| 30-34 | 78.2 | 20.2 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 24.5 | 25.7 | 13.9 | 95.3 | 0.0 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 83.4 | 15.0 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 6.6 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 13.9 | 20.4 | 25.6 | 16.9 | 95.4 | 0.0 | 207 |
| 40-44 | 73.5 | 21.5 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 12.0 | 7.3 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 11.2 | 25.8 | 26.0 | 13.0 | 93.6 | 0.3 | 221 |
| 45-49 | 75.2 | 18.3 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 4.3 | 12.9 | 29.5 | 24.8 | 12.0 | 93.0 | 0.0 | 212 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 68.0 | 16.0 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 6.3 | 10.2 | 20.9 | 22.8 | 11.9 | 86.6 | 0.0 | 720 |
| Professional | 77.1 | 19.4 | 8.3 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 8.0 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 12.5 | 22.4 | 24.8 | 17.2 | 95.3 | 0.0 | 497 |
| Higher | 76.6 | 28.8 | 13.2 | 15.2 | 18.4 | 6.2 | 3.7 | 5.0 | 16.2 | 26.6 | 31.9 | 17.8 | 98.3 | 0.2 | 308 |
| Wealth index q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 70.3 | 14.2 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 8.1 | 16.8 | 21.9 | 10.8 | 86.3 | 0.0 | 234 |
| Second | 73.9 | 13.8 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 8.1 | 11.0 | 27.0 | 25.3 | 16.6 | 87.9 | 0.0 | 276 |
| Middle | 74.3 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 13.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 15.6 | 17.5 | 28.1 | 15.5 | 91.3 | 0.0 | 345 |
| Fourth | 73.0 | 24.1 | 9.2 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 13.1 | 20.1 | 27.0 | 12.2 | 93.2 | 0.0 | 315 |
| Richest | 72.1 | 29.2 | 10.6 | 13.7 | 16.4 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 11.6 | 28.3 | 23.6 | 17.4 | 97.3 | 0.2 | 376 |
| Total | 72.8 | 19.4 | 9.0 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 12.2 | 22.3 | 25.3 | 14.8 | 91.8 | 0.0 | 1545 |

1
${ }^{2}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 3
${ }^{2}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 4 - Coughing for several weeks, Fever and Tiredness/Fatigue.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with no/primary education and 9 unweighted cases with missing/DK education are not shown

## Knowledge of Tuberculosis Treatment

Data regarding knowledge of TB treatment and attitudes toward those afflicted with the disease are included in Tables TB. 3 and TB.3M. Women ( 88 percent) and men ( 84 percent) who have heard of tuberculosis were almost equally aware that TB is treatable. The level of awareness was also similar between urban and rural areas, and ranges from 91 percent in Chișinău to 85 percent in the North region. The proportion of women who know that TB can be treated rises along with educational attainment (secondary education - 85 percent; higher education - 91 percent) and household wealth index quintiles (poorest -81 percent; richest -89 percent). Among men the highest indicator values are observed in Chișinău and the Central region ( 90 percent and 88 percent, respectively) compared to North and South ( 79 percent and 81 percent, respectively), and in urban areas ( 87 percent) compared to rural ( 82 percent). As in women, the proportion of men who know that TB can be treated is positively correlated with the level of education (secondary -81 percent; higher -91 percent) and wealth index quintiles (poorest - 75 percent; richest -89 percent).

## Attitudes toward People with Tuberculosis

Forty-two percent of women and 30 percent of men who have heard of tuberculosis would want to keep the TB status of a family member a secret. This attitude is shared more frequently among women in Chișinău (49 percent) and is least common in the South region ( 38 percent). The indicator is markedly higher in urban areas ( 50 percent) than rural ( 35 percent), increasing with the level of education (secondary - 39 percent; higher 48 percent) and wealth status of the household (poorest -25 percent; richest -53 percent). Among men the highest figures are observed in Chișinău ( 41 percent) and the lowest in the Central region ( 22 percent), varying significantly between urban and rural areas ( 38 percent and 26 percent, respectively). As in women, indicator values have are positively associated with education level (secondary - 30 percent; higher -38 percent) and wealth status (poorest - 25 percent; richest -38 percent).

Table TB.3: Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis and attitudes towards people with tuberculosis (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who know that tuberculosis (TB) can be completely cured, and the percentage of women aged 1549 years who prefer that it be kept a secret that a family member has tuberculosis, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of wo | age 15-49 who: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Know that TB can be completely cured ${ }^{1}$ | Prefer that <br> it be kept a secret that a family member has TB ${ }^{2}$ | Number of women aged 15-49 years |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 84.8 | 37.7 | 1799 |
| Centre | 88.4 | 38.4 | 1717 |
| South | 87.0 | 43.9 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 90.5 | 48.7 | 1389 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.8 | 50.3 | 2532 |
| Rural | 87.3 | 35.2 | 3468 |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 84.1 | 48.7 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 86.5 | 44.2 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 86.2 | 41.8 | 922 |
| 30-34 | 88.6 | 39.5 | 854 |
| 35-39 | 88.6 | 40.9 | 795 |
| 40-44 | 90.4 | 37.1 | 774 |
| 45-49 | 89.2 | 37.5 | 851 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (68.2) | (10.0) | 26 |
| Secondary | 84.9 | 39.4 | 2666 |
| Professional | 89.9 | 40.1 | 1757 |
| Higher | 90.5 | 48.1 | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (48.0) | (14.9) | 28 |
| Wealth index qui |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 81.2 | 25.2 | 724 |
| Second | 86.5 | 31.9 | 1029 |
| Middle | 88.3 | 41.0 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 89.2 | 45.8 | 1392 |
| Richest | 89.0 | 52.5 | 1525 |
| Total | 87.5 | 41.6 | 6000 |

${ }^{1}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 5
${ }^{2}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 6
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table TB.3M: Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis and attitudes towards people with tuberculosis (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who know that tuberculosis (TB) can be completely cured, and the percentage of men aged 15-49 years
who prefer that it be kept a secret that a family member has tuberculosis, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men age 15-49 who: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Know that TB can be completely cured ${ }^{1}$ | Prefer that <br> it be kept a secret that a family member has TB ${ }^{2}$ | Number of men aged 15-49 years |
| Region |  |  |  |
| North | 78.5 | 31.3 | 465 |
| Centre | 87.6 | 22.4 | 442 |
| South | 81.2 | 28.5 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 90.2 | 40.7 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.1 | 37.8 | 601 |
| Rural | 82.4 | 25.6 | 944 |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 85.2 | 42.5 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 83.2 | 36.3 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 85.1 | 30.9 | 237 |
| 30-34 | 82.7 | 22.7 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 85.2 | 24.8 | 207 |
| 40-44 | 83.2 | 27.3 | 221 |
| 45-49 | 84.5 | 22.8 | 212 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 80.9 | 29.7 | 720 |
| Professional | 85.6 | 27.5 | 497 |
| Higher | 90.9 | 37.7 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 75.4 | 24.8 | 234 |
| Second | 82.7 | 25.8 | 276 |
| Middle | 84.1 | 27.9 | 345 |
| Fourth | 87.1 | 32.4 | 315 |
| Richest | 88.6 | 37.6 | 376 |
| Total | 84.2 | 30.3 | 1545 |

[^21]${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with no/primary education and 9 unweighted cases with missing/DK education are not shown

## ACCESS TO MASS MEDIA AND USE OF INFORMATION/COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY

The 2012 Moldova MICS collected information from women and men on their exposure to mass media and their use of computers and the internet.

The collected information was focused on:

- exposure to newspapers/magazines, radio and television among women and men age 15-49,
- use of computers and internet among 15-24 year olds


## Access to Mass Media

The proportion of women who read a newspaper, listen to the radio and watch television at least once a week is shown in table MT.1. At least once a week, nearly 52 percent of women in Moldova read a newspaper, 56 percent listen to the radio and nearly 93 percent watch television. Overall, three percent do not have regular exposure to any of the three media, while 32 percent are exposed to all the three types of media at least on a weekly basis.

Table MT.1: Exposure to mass media (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who are exposed to specific mass media on a weekly basis, Moldova 2012

( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
Women of age 45-49 years are more likely to report exposure to all three types of mass media ( 38 percent) than those in other age groups (in the range of 29 to 32 percent). Additionally, women aged 45-49 years are more likely to listen to radio broadcasts ( 62 percent) than women in any other age groups (in the range of 52 to 58 percent). The TV is preferred more by women aged $25-49$ years (in the range of 94 to 97 percent) compared to women of 15-24 years old (in the range of 86 to 90 percent), while newspapers/magazines are read equally by all age groups.

Exposure to all three forms of media at least once a week varies markedly by area, education level and living standards, especially with regard to print media and radio. Women with higher education are almost twice as much exposed to all three types of media as women with secondary education. Furthermore, 39 percent of women in the richest wealth index quintiles are exposed to all three media sources, while the corresponding proportion of women in the poorest wealth index quintiles is only 14 percent.

More men aged 15-49 years are exposed to TV than women. More men listen to the radio while women are slightly more likely to read a newspaper (Figure MT.1).


Figure MT.1: Exposure to specific mass media on a weekly basis (women and men aged 15-49 years), Moldova, 2012
As shown in Table MT.1M, 32 percent of men were exposed to all three types of media at least once a week, while some 43 percent read a newspaper, 72 percent listened to the radio, and 92 percent watched television at least once a week. As in the case of women, three percent of men did not have regular exposure to any of the three media.

For men, the relationships between exposure to mass media and background characteristics are generally similar to those observed among women. For example, only 28 percent of men in rural areas were exposed to all three media, while in urban areas the proportion increases to 40 percent. Older men are more likely than younger men to be exposed to all three types of media on a weekly basis: men aged 35-49 years had an average exposure rate of 37-39 percent, while among those aged 15-34 years the proportion exposed to all three media is 25-33 percent (Figure MT.2).

Table MT.1M: Exposure to mass media (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who are exposed to specific mass media on a weekly basis, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men age 15-49 who: |  |  | All three media at least once a week ${ }^{1}$ | No media at least once a week | Number of men aged 15-49 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Read a newspaper at least once a week | Listen to the radio at least once a week | Watch television at least once a week |  |  |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 43.2 | 64.2 | 87.9 | 24.5 | 3.8 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 42.5 | 68.7 | 86.6 | 27.9 | 3.9 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 40.6 | 75.0 | 92.2 | 33.2 | 4.1 | 237 |
| 30-34 | 38.7 | 70.0 | 92.2 | 25.8 | 3.3 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 45.5 | 77.7 | 93.7 | 39.0 | 3.0 | 207 |
| 40-44 | 46.0 | 74.2 | 97.8 | 39.2 | 0.9 | 221 |
| 45-49 | 46.7 | 71.9 | 96.0 | 37.3 | 1.9 | 212 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 37.6 | 66.3 | 92.9 | 26.2 | 3.5 | 465 |
| Centre | 39.3 | 73.2 | 92.7 | 31.6 | 4.2 | 442 |
| South | 42.0 | 71.0 | 91.5 | 31.0 | 3.0 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 57.6 | 76.7 | 90.9 | 42.5 | 1.0 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.7 | 74.5 | 91.9 | 39.8 | 2.3 | 601 |
| Rural | 37.4 | 69.6 | 92.3 | 27.6 | 3.5 | 944 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 33.0 | 65.8 | 91.9 | 23.0 | 4.5 | 720 |
| Professional | 46.3 | 76.2 | 94.3 | 36.3 | 1.0 | 497 |
| Higher | 65.5 | 78.4 | 90.5 | 49.6 | 1.6 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 24.1 | 61.2 | 84.9 | 16.6 | 8.8 | 234 |
| Second | 35.9 | 63.5 | 95.1 | 22.9 | 2.5 | 276 |
| Middle | 45.5 | 74.2 | 92.1 | 34.8 | 2.1 | 345 |
| Fourth | 45.3 | 77.0 | 93.9 | 34.6 | 1.8 | 315 |
| Richest | 57.2 | 76.7 | 93.0 | 44.8 | 1.7 | 376 |
| Total | 43.4 | 71.5 | 92.1 | 32.3 | 3.0 | 1545 |

${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown


Figure MT.2: Exposure to all three types of media on a weekly basis, by sex and age groups, Moldova, 2012

## Use of Information/Communication Technology

The questions on computer and internet use were asked only to 15-24 years old women and men. As displayed in Table MT.2, 94 percent of 15-24 years old women have ever used a computer, 86 percent used a computer during the last 12 months and 76 percent used a computer at least once a week during the last one month.

Overall, 93 percent of women have ever used the internet, while 91 percent used the internet over the last 12 months. The proportion of women who used the internet more frequently, at least once a week during the last month is 81 percent. Use of a computers and the internet is also associated with area, educational attainment and wealth status of the household of the young women. Higher utilisation of a computer and the internet is observed among young women in urban areas ( 92 and 96 percent, respectively) compared to those in rural areas ( 82 percent and 86 percent, respectively). The use of a computer and the internet during the last year is the highest among women with higher education (95 and 100 percent, respectively), and lower among those with secondary education ( 82 and 88 percent, respectively). Only about half of women from the poorest quintile reported using a computer and the internet during the last year ( 48 and 56 percent, respectively), while almost all of the women from the richest quintile have done so ( 95 and 100 percent, respectively).

Almost the exact same proportion of young men aged 15-24 years as young women used a computer and the internet during the last 12 months. As shown in Table MT.2M, 89 percent of men used a computer and the internet during the last 12 months, while 78 percent used the internet and 76 percent used a computer at least once a week during the last one month.

Table MT.2: Use of computers and internet (women)
Percentage of young women aged 15-24 years who have ever used a computer, percentage who have used a computer during the last 12 months, and frequency of use during the last one month, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage | of women aged have: | 15-24 years who | Percent | ge of women aged have: | 15-24 years who |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever used a computer | Used a computer during the last 12 months ${ }^{1}$ | Used a computer at least once a week during the last one month | Ever used the internet | Used the internet during the last 12 months ${ }^{2}$ | Used the internet at least once a week during the last one month | Number of women aged $15-24$ years |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 95.9 | 89.5 | 80.2 | 95.5 | 93.7 | 83.8 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 91.5 | 82.1 | 71.0 | 90.1 | 87.5 | 77.8 | 884 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 91.3 | 82.8 | 71.8 | 90.0 | 86.9 | 75.3 | 476 |
| Centre | 92.1 | 84.2 | 69.4 | 91.9 | 88.5 | 76.4 | 502 |
| South | 92.9 | 84.1 | 71.0 | 90.1 | 88.6 | 75.0 | 333 |
| Chișinău | 98.2 | 91.9 | 88.9 | 98.5 | 98.0 | 94.8 | 492 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 97.3 | 91.6 | 84.7 | 97.1 | 96.1 | 90.5 | 814 |
| Rural | 90.8 | 81.2 | 68.2 | 89.4 | 86.2 | 73.0 | 990 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 91.7 | 82.4 | 71.2 | 90.2 | 87.6 | 76.6 | 985 |
| Professional | 95.3 | 86.6 | 69.6 | 95.6 | 92.6 | 76.6 | 342 |
| Higher | 99.9 | 95.8 | 92.7 | 99.6 | 98.9 | 96.1 | 457 |
| Wealth index q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 70.9 | 48.0 | 25.6 | 65.9 | 55.9 | 37.9 | 190 |
| Second | 87.1 | 74.9 | 53.5 | 86.2 | 81.2 | 60.4 | 273 |
| Middle | 96.1 | 90.9 | 82.2 | 95.9 | 95.0 | 85.6 | 472 |
| Fourth | 99.0 | 94.7 | 87.9 | 98.5 | 98.1 | 90.4 | 462 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 95.0 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 98.3 | 407 |
| Total | 93.7 | 85.9 | 75.7 | 92.9 | 90.7 | 80.9 | 1804 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator MT. 2
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator MT. 3
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 12 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

As displayed in the table, for young men aged 15-24 years, the differentials in terms of background characteristics are generally similar to those observed among young women. More young men in urban areas used a computer ( 98 percent) and the internet ( 97 percent) during the last 12 months than their rural counterparts ( 83 percent and 84 percent, respectively). Only 49 percent of young men in the poorest households used the internet during the last year compared to near-universal access (100 percent) among the young men in the richest households (Figure MT.3). Those differentials become even more marked, both for men and women aged 15-24 years, when the use of a computer or the internet during the last one month is considered. Contrary to the situation with women, no real differences are observed between the 15-19 and 2024 years old men in terms of internet use during the last month.

Table MT.2M: Use of computers and internet (men)
Percentage of young men aged 15-24 years who have ever used a computer, percentage who have used a computer during the last 12 months, and frequency of use during the last one month, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who have: |  |  | Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who have: |  |  | Number of men aged $15-24$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ever used a computer | Used a computer during the last 12 months $^{1}$ | Used a computer at least once a week during the last one month | Ever used the internet | Used the internet during the last 12 months ${ }^{2}$ | Used the internet at least once a week during the last one month |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 95.6 | 90.0 | 76.1 | 93.7 | 89.2 | 76.4 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 92.0 | 87.3 | 75.5 | 90.8 | 89.1 | 80.0 | 238 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 90.9 | 78.7 | 64.1 | 89.1 | 82.5 | 70.5 | 156 |
| Centre | 93.5 | 91.2 | 77.8 | 92.6 | 90.1 | 78.5 | 129 |
| South | 90.3 | 87.5 | 64.7 | 86.4 | 85.1 | 63.3 | 82 |
| Chișinău | 100.0 | 99.1 | 95.0 | 99.7 | 98.8 | 96.5 | 130 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 97.7 | 91.8 | 98.1 | 97.2 | 92.4 | 191 |
| Rural | 90.9 | 83.1 | 65.8 | 88.7 | 84.2 | 69.3 | 306 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 92.1 | 85.5 | 69.1 | 88.8 | 85.9 | 72.8 | 299 |
| Professional | 97.1 | 93.7 | 79.2 | 98.1 | 92.7 | 78.5 | 97 |
| Higher | 98.8 | 98.0 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 97 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (68.4) | (50.1) | (33.1) | (57.4) | (48.8) | (34.4) | 62 |
| Second | 93.2 | 81.3 | 52.0 | 89.8 | 80.3 | 55.7 | 93 |
| Middle | 97.1 | 95.4 | 81.8 | 99.1 | 98.7 | 87.1 | 123 |
| Fourth | 98.9 | 98.4 | 93.2 | 99.1 | 98.1 | 91.0 | 106 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 99.3 | 95.6 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 98.5 | 114 |
| Total | 93.9 | 88.7 | 75.8 | 92.3 | 89.2 | 78.2 | 497 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator MT. 2
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator MT. 3
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases


Figure MT.3. Use of the internet during the last 12 months by wealth index quintiles (women and men aged 15-24 years), Moldova, 2012

Tobacco use is a known risk factor for many deadly diseases, as it increases the risk of cardiovascular disease, respiratory illness and causes lung and other forms of cancer. All tobacco products are known to cause cancer. The excessive use of alcohol increases the risk of harm to human health. Over a long period of time, excessive alcohol consumption can lead to cardiovascular diseases, neurological disorders, liver conditions and social problems. Alcohol abuse is also associated with body injuries and violence, including violence towards sexual partners.

The survey collected information on tobacco and alcohol use among women and men aged 15-49 years. This information will help understand:

- use of cigarettes and the age at which they first started smoking cigarettes
- current use of various tobacco products
- intensity of use of cigarettes and various tobacco products
- use of alcohol, and intensity of use


## Tobacco Use

Table TA. 1 presents the current and ever use of tobacco products by women 15-49 years old, and table TA.1M presents the corresponding information for men of the same age group. In Moldova, use of tobacco products is far more common among men than among women. Eighty-four percent of men and 29 percent of women reported to have ever used a tobacco product. Quite alarmingly, 42 percent of pregnant women have used tobacco products and some four percent used them during the month preceding the survey.

Tobacco use varies substantially with age (Figure TA.1), being more prevalent among women aged 20-34 years (in the range of 35 to 41 percent) as opposed to those aged 15-19 and 40-49 years (in the range of 21 to 25 percent). Among men the indicator value ranges between 85 and 91 percent for the age groups 20-49 years and considerably declines among young men aged 15-19 years ( 60 percent). As Table TA. 1 indicates, any tobacco use among women is typically more common in urban areas than in rural areas ( 47 percent and 15 percent, respectively) while among men the proportion that use any tobacco product is the same in urban as in rural areas ( 84 percent). The highest proportion of tobacco use by women is found in Chișinău ( 53 percent) compared to other regions (in the range of 21 to 23 percent), while among men there is little variation by region. Unlike women, men showed no significant differences in tobacco use by education level and wealth index quintiles, ranging between 82 to 88 percent.


Figure TA.1: Percentage of women and men aged 15-49 years who use any tobacco products, Moldova, 2012

As Table TA. 1 and Table TA. 1 M show, overall, eight percent of women and 49 percent of men reported having used any tobacco product on one or more days during the last month - more commonly in urban areas (15 percent) than in rural areas ( 4 percent) among women, while among men the proportion is more or less the same ( 47 percent and 49 percent, respectively). The highest proportion of women who used any tobacco product was found in Chișinău (17 percent), while the proportions of men who used any tobacco product are roughly the same across regions, ranging between 44 to 52 percent. Among male and female current users of tobacco, the tobacco product that is the most common in the last month is cigarettes ( 7 percent of women and 46 percent of men smoked only cigarettes in the last one month).

Table TA.1: Current and ever use of tobacco (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years by pattern of use of tobacco, Moldova, 2012

|  | Never smoked |  | Ever us | sers |  | Used toba | cco products on the last | one or mor month | days during |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cigarettes or used other tobacco products | Only cigarettes | Cigarettes and other tobacco products | Only other tobacco products | Any tobacco product | Only cigarettes | Cigarettes and other tobacco products | Only other tobacco products | Any tobacco product ${ }^{1}$ | Number of women aged 15-49 years |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 76.9 | 13.3 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 23.1 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 59.2 | 22.2 | 13.2 | 5.3 | 40.8 | 8.1 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 10.2 | 884 |
| 25-29 | 60.1 | 26.8 | 9.8 | 3.2 | 39.8 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 9.2 | 922 |
| 30-34 | 64.8 | 25.5 | 8.7 | 0.9 | 35.2 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 11.0 | 854 |
| 35-39 | 75.2 | 19.2 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 24.8 | 8.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 9.2 | 795 |
| 40-44 | 79.2 | 17.1 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 20.8 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 774 |
| 45-49 | 85.1 | 12.4 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 14.8 | 4.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 851 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 77.0 | 17.0 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 23.0 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 1799 |
| Centre | 79.8 | 16.0 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 20.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 1717 |
| South | 79.3 | 16.1 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 20.6 | 4.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 1095 |
| Chișinău | 46.9 | 30.0 | 18.1 | 4.8 | 53.0 | 13.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 16.9 | 1389 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.6 | 29.1 | 14.4 | 3.8 | 47.3 | 12.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 14.6 | 2532 |
| Rural | 84.9 | 12.6 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 15.1 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 3468 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (72.2) | (21.0) | (2.4) | (4.4) | (27.8) | (9.4) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (9.4) | 26 |
| Secondary | 77.7 | 16.7 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 22.3 | 6.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 2666 |
| Professional | 74.2 | 19.3 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 25.8 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 1757 |
| Higher | 57.1 | 24.2 | 14.1 | 4.5 | 42.8 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 10.1 | 1524 |
| Missing/DK | (39.4) | (53.5) | (7.1) | (0.0) | (60.6) | (28.1) | (2.2) | (0.0) | (30.3) | 28 |
| Maternity status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 57.6 | 28.8 | 11.9 | 1.8 | 42.4 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 186 |
| Breastfeeding (not pregnant) | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 19 |
| Neither | 71.7 | 19.3 | 6.9 | 2.1 | 28.3 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 8.3 | 5796 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 82.4 | 16.9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 17.6 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 724 |
| Second | 85.8 | 12.5 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 14.2 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 1029 |
| Middle | 81.5 | 14.4 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 18.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 1330 |
| Fourth | 69.9 | 20.2 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 30.0 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 8.2 | 1392 |
| Richest | 48.4 | 29.5 | 17.4 | 4.7 | 51.6 | 12.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 15.2 | 1525 |
| Total | 71.2 | 19.6 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 28.7 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 6000 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator TA. 1
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table TA.1M: Current and ever use of tobacco (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years by pattern of use of tobacco, Moldova, 2012

|  | Never smoked cigarettes or used other tobacco products | Ever users |  |  |  | Used tobacco products on one or more days during the last month |  |  |  | Number of men aged $15-49$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Only cigarettes | Cigarettes and other tobacco products | Only other tobacco products | Any tobacco product | Only cigarettes | Cigarettes and other tobacco products | Only other tobacco products | Any tobacco product ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 40.4 | 43.7 | 13.3 | 2.6 | 59.6 | 18.8 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 21.6 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 15.4 | 49.3 | 33.5 | 1.8 | 84.6 | 40.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 48.4 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 12.3 | 56.4 | 30.7 | 0.6 | 87.7 | 54.2 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 56.9 | 237 |
| 30-34 | 10.6 | 59.6 | 28.9 | 1.0 | 89.4 | 54.3 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 58.5 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 10.5 | 71.8 | 17.5 | 0.2 | 89.5 | 52.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 54.7 | 207 |
| 40-44 | 8.9 | 76.6 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 91.1 | 53.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 54.6 | 221 |
| 45-49 | 9.1 | 78.8 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 51.4 | 212 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 15.0 | 65.7 | 19.0 | 0.3 | 85.0 | 50.0 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 52.1 | 465 |
| Centre | 13.5 | 69.8 | 15.8 | 0.9 | 86.5 | 47.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 48.2 | 442 |
| South | 19.7 | 66.8 | 13.3 | 0.2 | 80.3 | 47.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 48.6 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 18.0 | 41.0 | 38.6 | 2.5 | 82.0 | 35.9 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 43.8 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16.3 | 47.3 | 34.9 | 1.5 | 83.7 | 40.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 47.1 | 601 |
| Rural | 16.0 | 70.7 | 12.8 | 0.6 | 84.0 | 48.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 49.4 | 944 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 19.1 | 65.6 | 14.9 | 0.4 | 80.9 | 45.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 47.8 | 720 |
| Professional | 12.5 | 67.3 | 19.7 | 0.5 | 87.5 | 53.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 54.9 | 497 |
| Higher | 14.7 | 43.2 | 39.3 | 2.8 | 85.3 | 31.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 38.5 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 16.2 | 73.1 | 10.1 | 0.6 | 83.8 | 61.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 63.2 | 234 |
| Second | 17.5 | 72.0 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 82.5 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 52.7 | 276 |
| Middle | 15.6 | 66.1 | 17.4 | 0.9 | 84.4 | 41.4 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 43.5 | 345 |
| Fourth | 16.6 | 59.6 | 23.6 | 0.2 | 83.4 | 43.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 45.6 | 315 |
| Richest | 15.2 | 44.2 | 38.1 | 2.5 | 84.8 | 36.7 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 43.1 | 376 |
| Total | 16.1 | 61.6 | 21.4 | 0.9 | 83.9 | 45.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 48.5 | 1545 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator TA. 1
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

The results of the 2012 Moldova MICS show that two percent of women 15-49 years old smoked a whole cigarette for the first time before age 15 (Table TA.2). Among men the corresponding percentage is 22 percent (Table TA.2M). While four percent of women aged 15-19 years and 20-24 years smoked a cigarette before the age 15, only 1-2 percent of women 25-49 years old smoked a whole cigarette before the age of 15 . Among men, the corresponding percentage shows little variation across age groups (21-25 percent), with one exception for men aged 40-44 years (14 percent).

As displayed in table TA. 2 M , among men that currently smoke cigarettes, 47 percent smoked more than 20 cigarettes in the last 24 hours. Women do not smoke as much: only 12 percent of women that currently smoke cigarettes, smoked more than 20 cigarettes in the last 24 hours. Thirty-four percent of men and 22 percent of women smoked between 10 and 19 cigarettes in the last 24 hours.

Table TA.2: Age at first use of cigarettes and frequency of use (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who smoked a whole cigarette before age 15, and percent distribution of current smokers by the number of cigarettes smoked in the last 24 hour, Moldova, 2012

|  |  |  |  | mber o | cigarette | in the | t 24 hours |  | Number of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | before age $15{ }^{1}$ | years | Less than 5 | 5-9 | 10-19 | $20+$ | Missing/DK | Total | smokers |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 4.1 | 920 | (65.7) | (9.4) | (21.2) | (3.7) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 32 |
| 20-24 | 3.6 | 884 | 54.0 | 23.6 | 17.9 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79 |
| 25-29 | 1.9 | 922 | 43.9 | 18.8 | 26.9 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 81 |
| 30-34 | 2.1 | 854 | 34.7 | 30.6 | 21.2 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90 |
| 35-39 | 1.5 | 795 | 39.6 | 17.1 | 18.9 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 72 |
| 40-44 | 0.8 | 774 | 42.8 | 26.9 | 21.6 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 64 |
| 45-49 | 1.1 | 851 | 32.2 | 19.9 | 32.5 | 13.9 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 41 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 2.1 | 1799 | 37.8 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 130 |
| Centre | 1.5 | 1717 | 47.6 | 27.1 | 9.6 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 62 |
| South | 1.3 | 1095 | 48.0 | 12.3 | 28.2 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 53 |
| Chișinău | 4.0 | 1389 | 44.6 | 22.9 | 24.5 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 214 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.9 | 2532 | 41.8 | 23.2 | 24.3 | 10.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 344 |
| Rural | 1.0 | 3468 | 48.5 | 19.5 | 16.3 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 115 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | (5.8) | 26 | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 2 |
| Secondary | 2.4 | 2666 | 40.3 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 188 |
| Professional | 1.6 | 1757 | 42.0 | 23.3 | 24.8 | 9.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 126 |
| Higher | 2.1 | 1524 | 50.3 | 23.3 | 20.6 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 135 |
| Missing/DK | (24.8) | 28 | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 8 |
| Maternity status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pregnant | 3.7 | 186 | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0 | 7 |
| Breastfeeding (not pregnant) | * | 19 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | 0 |
| Neither | 2.2 | 5796 | 42.8 | 22.6 | 22.5 | 12.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 452 |
| Wealth index quin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 2.8 | 724 | (47.8) | (13.3) | (18.6) | (20.3) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 44 |
| Second | 1.0 | 1029 | (44.3) | (21.3) | (16.4) | (17.9) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 37 |
| Middle | 1.6 | 1330 | 46.2 | 16.6 | 20.2 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 63 |
| Fourth | 2.4 | 1392 | 38.5 | 25.2 | 24.0 | 11.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Richest | 3.1 | 1525 | 44.2 | 24.5 | 24.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 208 |
| Total | 2.2 | 6000 | 43.5 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 11.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 459 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator TA. 2
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Table TA.2M: Age at first use of cigarettes and frequency of use (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-49 years who smoked a whole cigarette before age 15, and percent distribution of current smokers by the number of cigarettes smoked in the last 24 hours, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men who smoked a whole cigarette before age $15^{1}$ |  | Number of cigarettes in the last 24 hours |  |  |  |  | Number of men aged 15-49 years who are current cigarette smokers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of men aged $15-49$ years | Less than 5 | 5-9 | 10-19 | 20+ | Total |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 24.7 | 259 | (27.0) | (15.2) | (37.1) | (20.6) | 100.0 | 51 |
| 20-24 | 20.7 | 238 | 9.7 | 16.2 | 40.0 | 34.1 | 100.0 | 107 |
| 25-29 | 24.4 | 237 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 29.7 | 52.5 | 100.0 | 135 |
| 30-34 | 22.0 | 170 | 6.3 | 10.1 | 30.7 | 52.9 | 100.0 | 100 |
| 35-39 | 20.9 | 207 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 35.6 | 54.0 | 100.0 | 111 |
| 40-44 | 14.0 | 221 | 3.1 | 7.8 | 36.6 | 52.5 | 100.0 | 119 |
| 45-49 | 23.1 | 212 | 8.1 | 11.7 | 30.7 | 49.5 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 24.9 | 465 | 6.8 | 13.7 | 32.4 | 47.0 | 100.0 | 240 |
| Centre | 19.1 | 442 | 8.3 | 9.8 | 29.9 | 52.0 | 100.0 | 210 |
| South | 20.4 | 293 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 38.5 | 47.8 | 100.0 | 142 |
| Chișinău | 21.0 | 346 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 38.5 | 40.9 | 100.0 | 139 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 22.3 | 601 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 36.2 | 45.5 | 100.0 | 268 |
| Rural | 21.0 | 944 | 8.6 | 10.1 | 32.7 | 48.6 | 100.0 | 463 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 26.0 | 720 | 10.1 | 6.9 | 31.6 | 51.5 | 100.0 | 336 |
| Professional | 16.0 | 497 | 5.1 | 12.8 | 35.9 | 46.2 | 100.0 | 271 |
| Higher | 18.9 | 308 | 11.2 | 15.8 | 35.4 | 37.6 | 100.0 | 111 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 27.1 | 234 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 35.0 | 51.6 | 100.0 | 148 |
| Second | 17.9 | 276 | 10.8 | 12.8 | 24.6 | 51.8 | 100.0 | 144 |
| Middle | 24.0 | 345 | 8.1 | 12.2 | 40.1 | 39.6 | 100.0 | 147 |
| Fourth | 19.3 | 315 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 32.8 | 49.4 | 100.0 | 141 |
| Richest | 20.1 | 376 | 7.4 | 10.4 | 37.1 | 45.0 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Total | 21.5 | 1545 | 8.2 | 10.3 | 34.0 | 47.4 | 100.0 | 731 |
| ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator TA. 2 <br> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education for men aged 15-49 years, and 6 unweighted cases for men aged 15-49 years who are current cigarette smokers are not shown; 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for men aged 15-49 years, and 5 unweighted cases for men aged 15-49 years who are current cigarette smokers are not shown <br> ( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Alcohol Use

Table TA. 3 provides data on use of alcohol by women. Overall, 57 percent of women 15-49 years old had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month. Six percent of women of the same age group first drank alcohol before the age of 15 while seven percent of women have never drunk alcohol. Among the 15-19 year age group, the proportion of women who had at least one drink of alcohol before age 15 is considerably higher ( 22 percent) than among the older age groups (e.g. 2-6 percent among the 20-49 year olds).

The proportion of men that consume alcohol is much higher than the proportion of women that consume alcohol (Table TA.3M and Figure TA.2). Some 80 percent of men 15-49 years old had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month. Use of alcohol before the age of 15 is also more common among men ( 20 percent) than among women ( 6 percent), as is more common among younger men aged 15-19 years ( 45 percent) and ages 20-34 / 45-49 years (ranging from 14 to 20 percent) than among older men age 35-44 years (9 percent).

The use of alcohol by women varies somewhat by wealth index quintiles and by area. Particularly among women, alcohol use is more common in urban areas (61 percent) compared to rural areas (54 percent) and among women belonging to the richest households (64 percent) compared to the poorest households (57 percent). The highest proportion of alcohol use by women is found in Chișinău (66 percent) and the lowest proportion in the North region (47 percent). Among men, the differentials by wealth index quintiles are similar (ranging between 76 and 85 percent), while differentials by urban and rural areas (ranging between 78 and 81 percent) are less marked than for women.


Figure TA.2: Percentage of women and men aged 15-49 years who have never had one drink of alcohol, who first had one drink of alcohol before age 15, and who have had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last month, Moldova, 2012

Table TA.3: Use of alcohol (women)
Percentage of women age 15-49 who have never had one drink of alcohol, percentage who first had one drink of alcohol before age 15, and percentage of women who have had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month, Moldova, 2012

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator TA. 4
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator TA. 3
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

Table TA.3M: Use of alcohol (men)
Percentage of men age 15-49 who have never had one drink of alcohol, percentage who first had one drink of alcohol before age 15, and percentage of men who have had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men who: |  |  | Number of men aged 15-49 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Never had one drink of alcohol | Had at least one drink of alcohol before age $15^{1}$ | Had at least one drink of alcohol on one or more days during the last one month ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 6.3 | 45.3 | 60.6 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 4.8 | 20.2 | 81.9 | 238 |
| 25-29 | 0.3 | 20.1 | 89.9 | 237 |
| 30-34 | 0.9 | 16.2 | 80.6 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 86.4 | 207 |
| 40-44 | 0.9 | 9.3 | 82.9 | 221 |
| 45-49 | 2.0 | 14.1 | 79.6 | 212 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 2.3 | 17.9 | 77.7 | 465 |
| Centre | 2.6 | 19.8 | 83.5 | 442 |
| South | 1.6 | 16.9 | 80.4 | 293 |
| Chișinău | 3.0 | 26.1 | 77.5 | 346 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2.7 | 22.5 | 77.8 | 601 |
| Rural | 2.2 | 18.5 | 81.1 | 944 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 3.8 | 25.6 | 77.9 | 720 |
| Professional | 1.1 | 13.3 | 80.0 | 497 |
| Higher | 1.3 | 18.3 | 83.5 | 308 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 2.4 | 22.5 | 80.8 | 234 |
| Second | 3.5 | 17.1 | 75.9 | 276 |
| Middle | 2.2 | 19.6 | 79.6 | 345 |
| Fourth | 2.1 | 18.2 | 84.9 | 315 |
| Richest | 2.2 | 22.8 | 78.1 | 376 |
| Total | 2.4 | 20.1 | 79.9 | 1545 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator TA. 4
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator TA. 3
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 7 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 9 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

It is well-known that the subjective perceptions of individuals concerning their incomes, health, living environments and other things play a significant role in their lives and can impact their perception of wellbeing, irrespective of objective conditions such as actual income and physical health status. In the 2012 Moldova MICS a set of questions were asked to women and men between 15-24 years old to understand how satisfied this group of young people is in different areas of their lives, such as their family life, friendships, school, current job, health, where they live, how they are treated by others, how they look, and their current income.

Life satisfaction is a measure of an individual's perceived level of well-being. Understanding young women and young men's satisfaction in different areas of their lives can help to gain a comprehensive picture of young people's life situations.

A distinction can also be made between life satisfaction and happiness. Happiness is a fleeting emotion that can be affected by numerous factors, including day-to-day factors such as the weather, or a recent death in the family. It is possible for a person to be satisfied with her/his job, income, family life, friends, and other aspects of her life, but still be unhappy. In addition to the set of questions on life satisfaction, the 2012 Moldova MICS also asked questions about happiness and the respondents' perceptions of a better life. To assist respondents in answering the set of questions on happiness and life satisfaction they were shown a card with smiling faces (and less smiling faces) that corresponded to the response categories (see the Questionnaires in Appendix F).

The indicators related to subjective well-being are as follows:

- Life satisfaction - the proportion of women and men aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat satisfied with their family life, friendships, school, current job, health, where they live, how they are treated by others, and how they look
- Happiness - the proportion of women and men aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat happy
- Perception of a better life - the proportion of women and men aged 15-24 years who think that their lives improved during the last one year and who expect that their lives will be better after one year

Respectively, Tables SW. 1 and SW.1M show the proportion of young women and young men aged 15-24 years, who are very or somewhat satisfied in selected domains. Of the different domains, young women are most satisfied with the way they look ( 91 percent), their family life ( 90 percent), and how they are treated by others ( 88 percent). The results for young men are similar; they are the most satisfied with how they look ( 94 percent), their family life (92 percent), and their health (91 percent). In other aspects of their lives, both young women ( 66 percent) and young men ( 59 percent) are the least satisfied with their current income, with 65 percent of young women and 55 percent of young men not having an income at all.
Table SW.1: Domains of life satisfaction (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat satisfied in selected domains, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women aged $15-24$ years who are very or somewhat satisfied with selected domains: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of women aged 15-24 <br> Are not years who: |  |  | Number of women aged $15-24$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Family life | Friendships | School | Current job | Health | Living environment | Treatment by others | The way they look | Current income | Are not currently attending school | Do not have <br> a job j | Do not have any income |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 91.0 | 83.3 | 83.9 | 71.2 | 85.0 | 88.9 | 87.6 | 91.9 | 73.9 | 16.6 | 92.8 | 78.8 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 89.4 | 81.8 | 78.9 | 76.9 | 78.7 | 81.3 | 88.8 | 89.8 | 62.6 | 63.5 | 68.6 | 50.1 | 884 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 92.7 | 84.5 | 86.0 | 72.0 | 83.4 | 87.3 | 91.3 | 90.6 | 65.1 | 45.4 | 84.8 | 68.6 | 476 |
| Centre | 86.0 | 77.2 | 83.3 | 65.7 | 80.2 | 86.0 | 83.2 | 91.7 | 70.0 | 41.6 | 82.3 | 66.8 | 502 |
| South | 94.1 | 87.1 | 84.7 | 83.4 | 85.6 | 89.5 | 90.6 | 89.9 | 71.4 | 45.7 | 84.8 | 69.8 | 333 |
| Chișinău | 89.5 | 83.1 | 77.9 | 81.9 | 79.8 | 79.3 | 88.6 | 90.8 | 61.2 | 27.7 | 73.2 | 55.5 | 492 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 90.6 | 83.9 | 77.3 | 79.2 | 81.6 | 81.7 | 89.4 | 91.1 | 61.5 | 31.2 | 76.4 | 58.1 | 814 |
| Rural | 89.9 | 81.5 | 87.8 | 71.6 | 82.2 | 88.0 | 87.1 | 90.6 | 71.3 | 46.4 | 84.7 | 70.2 | 990 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 89.0 | 82.0 | 80.4 | 76.2 | 77.5 | 80.5 | 87.5 | 90.1 | 64.0 | 78.8 | 74.3 | 54.8 | 649 |
| Never married/in union | 90.9 | 82.9 | 82.7 | 75.4 | 84.5 | 87.8 | 88.5 | 91.3 | 67.8 | 17.4 | 84.7 | 70.3 | 1154 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 88.8 | 83.1 | 84.1 | 72.8 | 82.9 | 87.5 | 88.2 | 91.5 | 68.7 | 38.9 | 88.1 | 72.8 | 985 |
| Professional | 91.7 | 80.1 | 82.2 | 75.2 | 81.0 | 85.4 | 85.5 | 92.2 | 70.3 | 57.2 | 70.7 | 60.1 | 342 |
| Higher | 92.4 | 83.8 | 79.4 | 79.7 | 80.9 | 81.0 | 89.9 | 88.3 | 60.7 | 25.1 | 72.5 | 49.8 | 457 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 73.3 | 73.5 | 88.3 | (62.5) | 77.1 | 70.0 | 76.9 | 85.8 | 58.7 | 68.1 | 84.6 | 69.7 | 190 |
| Second | 86.1 | 78.1 | 82.0 | (51.4) | 77.4 | 86.4 | 84.5 | 89.9 | 61.8 | 54.5 | 86.4 | 66.2 | 273 |
| Middle | 94.6 | 82.9 | 85.3 | 72.7 | 80.1 | 85.7 | 88.9 | 90.7 | 65.3 | 34.8 | 87.2 | 72.8 | 472 |
| Fourth | 93.3 | 85.9 | 83.0 | 78.6 | 86.0 | 88.0 | 91.6 | 92.8 | 67.7 | 31.4 | 78.3 | 63.1 | 462 |
| Richest | 92.3 | 85.6 | 77.4 | 86.2 | 84.7 | 87.5 | 91.1 | 91.8 | 69.3 | 31.1 | 71.3 | 54.0 | 407 |
| Total | 90.2 | 82.6 | 82.4 | 75.8 | 81.9 | 85.2 | 88.2 | 90.8 | 66.0 | 39.5 | 80.9 | 64.7 | 1804 |

a For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unw
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
Table SW.1M: Domains of life satisfaction (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat satisfied in selected domains, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat satisfied with selected domains: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of men aged $15-24$ years who: |  |  | Number of men aged $15-24$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Family life | Friendships | School | Current job | Health | Living environment | Treatment by others | The way they look | Current income | Are not currently attending school | Do not have a job | Do not have any income |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 93.1 | 88.1 | 81.5 | (78.4) | 94.0 | 93.1 | 88.0 | 94.6 | 65.5 | 18.7 | 86.9 | 74.6 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 89.8 | 88.7 | 71.8 | 65.8 | 88.1 | 85.9 | 91.2 | 93.7 | 55.9 | 66.4 | 47.1 | 33.3 | 238 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 93.1 | 88.9 | 86.1 | (79.1) | 94.8 | 92.7 | 86.3 | 95.6 | 62.7 | 44.0 | 74.4 | 64.0 | 156 |
| Centre | 86.4 | 86.9 | 75.6 | (60.8) | 86.3 | 88.1 | 91.9 | 92.3 | 53.5 | 46.2 | 70.9 | 55.9 | 129 |
| South | 94.0 | 83.8 | (75.5) | (65.0) | 93.9 | 91.0 | 89.6 | 92.6 | (65.4) | 53.0 | 62.3 | 53.9 | 82 |
| Chișinău | 93.0 | 92.3 | 75.8 | 67.9 | 89.8 | 86.6 | 90.9 | 95.2 | 56.2 | 26.9 | 60.3 | 43.2 | 130 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 92.9 | 91.6 | 74.4 | 66.3 | 88.1 | 85.5 | 93.6 | 94.4 | 57.1 | 32.0 | 63.0 | 49.2 | 191 |
| Rural | 90.6 | 86.4 | 82.4 | 70.2 | 93.0 | 92.2 | 87.0 | 94.0 | 59.9 | 47.6 | 70.8 | 58.3 | 306 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 89.0 | 75.6 | * | (60.7) | 91.3 | 80.8 | 86.9 | 93.6 | 62.3 | 82.9 | 34.0 | 26.5 | 70 |
| Never married/in union | 91.9 | 90.5 | 79.9 | 71.6 | 91.1 | 91.1 | 89.9 | 94.2 | 57.6 | 34.9 | 73.3 | 59.4 | 427 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 91.9 | 88.0 | 83.8 | 64.8 | 90.8 | 90.5 | 89.0 | 93.0 | 68.1 | 43.7 | 72.7 | 59.9 | 299 |
| Professional | 91.9 | 85.0 | 74.1 | (71.7) | 92.2 | 93.4 | 86.8 | 95.1 | (46.3) | 47.2 | 65.8 | 51.4 | 97 |
| Higher | 90.8 | 92.4 | 70.4 | (71.7) | 90.6 | 85.8 | 93.4 | 96.4 | 47.8 | 26.4 | 54.9 | 42.0 | 97 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (80.3) | (92.1) | * | * | (90.1) | (84.1) | (84.4) | (93.8) | (61.2) | (75.8) | (62.8) | (46.3) | 62 |
| Second | 91.8 | 80.0 | (83.2) | (68.0) | 87.0 | 88.9 | 85.1 | 92.0 | (59.9) | 47.7 | 68.5 | 62.4 | 93 |
| Middle | 94.4 | 87.7 | 73.3 | (63.2) | 97.0 | 93.1 | 89.7 | 96.1 | 66.0 | 38.2 | 75.4 | 56.8 | 123 |
| Fourth | 92.2 | 88.9 | 86.1 | (76.7) | 88.6 | 91.4 | 93.2 | 93.0 | (48.2) | 37.1 | 65.6 | 56.5 | 106 |
| Richest | 93.5 | 93.6 | 74.9 | (66.9) | 91.2 | 87.9 | 92.3 | 95.1 | 58.2 | 26.0 | 63.8 | 49.5 | 114 |
| Total | 91.5 | 88.4 | 78.8 | 68.5 | 91.1 | 89.6 | 89.5 | 94.2 | 58.7 | 41.6 | 67.8 | 54.8 | 497 |

${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

In Table SW. 2 the proportion of women aged 15-24 years with life satisfaction is shown, and in Table SW.2M the same indicator for men is presented. "Life satisfaction" is defined as those who are very or somewhat satisfied with their family life, friendships, school, current job, health, where they live, how they are treated by others and how they look. Only some 50 percent of $15-24$ years old women are satisfied with life, including 1519 year olds ( 53 percent) and 20-24 year olds ( 47 percent). Fifty-three percent of women living in the richest households are satisfied with life as opposed to only 32 percent in the poorest households. The proportion of women who are satisfied with life is somewhat higher in rural areas ( 53 percent) than in urban areas (46 percent). Indicator values are lowest in Chișinău and the Central region ( 45 percent) and similar in the North and South regions ( 55 and 57 percent, respectively).

The average life satisfaction score is the arithmetic mean of responses to questions included in the calculation of life satisfaction. Lower scores indicate higher satisfaction levels. As Table SW. 2 indicates, there is a clear relationship between the average life satisfaction score and the socio-economic status of the women. It is 1.7 for women living in richest households and 2.0 for those in the poorest households, meaning that women living in richest households are more satisfied with life. Again, this score is roughly similar across different regions, ranging from 1.7 to 1.8. According to the same table 87 percent of women aged 15-24 years are very or somewhat happy. For this indicator differences by wealth index quintiles (ranging from 93 percent for the richest quintile to 72 percent for the poorest quintile) and by region (ranging from 90 percent in Chișinău to 84 percent in the South region), can also be observed. No variations were observed between those who have been married ( 86 percent) and women who have never married ( 87 percent). Comparing 15-19 years old women to 20-24 years old women, the proportion who are very or somewhat happy is the same (87 percent).

Table SW.2: Life satisfaction and happiness (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat satisfied with their family life, friendships, school, current job, health, living environment, treatment by others, and the way they look, the average life satisfaction score, percentage of women with life satisfaction who are also very or somewhat satisfied with their income, and percentage of women aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat happy, Moldova, 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { of women } \\ \text { with life } \\ \text { satisfaction }{ }^{1}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average life } \\ \text { satisfaction } \\ \text { score }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Women with life } \\ \text { satisfaction who are } \\ \text { very or somewhat } \\ \text { satisfied with their } \\ \text { income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { No income } \\ \text { / Cannot be } \\ \text { calculated }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { who are very } \\ \text { or somewhat } \\ \text { happy }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\ \text { of women } \\ \text { aged }\end{array} \\ \text { years }\end{array}\right]$
${ }^{1}$ MICS Indicator SW. 1
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator SW. 2
${ }^{a}$ "For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 12 unweighted cases with
"Missing/DK" education are not shown
As shown in Table SW.2M, 53 percent of 15-24 years old men are satisfied with their lives. For young men, the differentials in terms of background characteristics are generally similar to those observed for young women, but some differences do exist. Among men in the richest households 56 percent are satisfied with life, while only 42 percent of men in the poorest households are satisfied with their lives. Among young men, the proportion that is satisfied with life is similar between urban and rural areas (respectively, 52 and 53 percent). Differentials can be observed by region, with the highest proportion of life satisfaction among in the North ( 60 percent) and ranging from 48 to 52 percent in the remaining regions. Unlike young women, there is no clear correlation between young men's average life satisfaction score ( 1.6 to 1.7 on average) and their socioeconomic status. This score is roughly similar across different regions, ranging from 1.5 to 1.7.

Table SW. 2 M also shows that 84 percent of young men are very or somewhat happy. Although the indicator's absolute value is highest in the South region ( 89 percent) and lowest in the Central region ( 80 percent), due to the small number of people surveyed we cannot speak of a substantial difference, only of a certain trend. Among men who are or have been married men the rates rise to 88 percent, while among those who have never been married, they are 84 percent. As in women, no differences can be observed between the proportions of men aged 15-19 years ( 85 percent) and 20-24 years ( 84 percent) who are very or somewhat happy.

Table SW.2M: Life satisfaction and happiness (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat satisfied with their family life, friendships, school, current job, health, living environment, treatment by others, and the way they look, the average life satisfaction score, percentage of men with life satisfaction who are also very or somewhat satisfied with their income, and percentage of men aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat happy, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of men with life satisfaction ${ }^{1}$ | Average life satisfaction score | Men with life satisfaction who are very or somewhat satisfied with their income | No income / Cannot be calculated | Percentage who are very or somewhat $h^{2} p^{2}{ }^{2}$ | Number of men aged 15-24 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 57.9 | 1.5 | 43.8 | 74.6 | 85.0 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 47.1 | 1.7 | 29.8 | 33.3 | 83.7 | 238 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 59.9 | 1.5 | 40.2 | 64.0 | 83.3 | 156 |
| Centre | 48.2 | 1.7 | (29.7) | 55.9 | 80.2 | 129 |
| South | 47.7 | 1.6 | (36.4) | 53.9 | 89.1 | 82 |
| Chișinău | 51.8 | 1.7 | 31.0 | 43.2 | 86.8 | 130 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.4 | 1.7 | 34.9 | 49.2 | 88.3 | 191 |
| Rural | 52.9 | 1.6 | 33.1 | 58.3 | 81.9 | 306 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 34.4 | 1.7 | 28.5 | 26.5 | 88.4 | 70 |
| Never married/in union | 55.7 | 1.6 | 35.5 | 59.4 | 83.7 | 427 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 53.9 | 1.6 | 38.6 | 59.9 | 81.9 | 299 |
| Professional | 53.8 | 1.6 | (28.0) | 51.4 | 89.5 | 97 |
| Higher | 48.9 | 1.7 | 26.6 | 42.0 | 86.0 | 97 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (42.1) | (1.7) | (31.2) | (46.3) | (74.0) | 62 |
| Second | 44.3 | 1.7 | (22.2) | 62.4 | 80.0 | 93 |
| Middle | 59.8 | 1.6 | (47.6) | 56.8 | 90.0 | 123 |
| Fourth | 54.5 | 1.6 | (28.3) | 56.5 | 81.2 | 106 |
| Richest | 56.1 | 1.6 | 34.3 | 49.5 | 90.3 | 114 |
| Total | 52.7 | 1.6 | 33.9 | 54.8 | 84.4 | 497 |

MICS Indicator SW. 1
${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator SW. 2
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown
( ) Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases
Table SW. 3 provides information on women's perceptions of a better life. The proportion of women aged 15-24 years who think that their lives improved during the last one year and who expect that their lives will get better after one year, is 58 percent. The corresponding indicator for men aged 15-24 years, found in Table SW.3M, is lower than that of young women (51 percent). Differences in the perception of a better life can be observed by wealth index quintiles: 40 percent of young women and 43 percent of young men that live in the poorest households think that their lives improved during the last one year and expect that it will get better after one year, while the corresponding proportions for young women and men that live in the richest households are 64 percent and 57 percent, respectively. For both men and women in the 15-24 age group notable variations are observed by area, with the highest values among urban residents compared to rural residents, respectively 61 percent versus 55 percent for women and 58 percent versus 47 percent for men.

Table SW.3: Perception of a better life (women)
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who think that their lives improved during the last one year and those who expect that their lives will get better after one year, Moldova, 2012

|  | Percentage of women who think that their life |  |  | Number of women aged $15-24$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved during the last one year | Will get better after one year | Both ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 61.5 | 92.1 | 57.6 | 920 |
| 20-24 | 60.4 | 92.7 | 57.4 | 884 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 57.7 | 91.6 | 53.4 | 476 |
| Centre | 60.4 | 92.6 | 57.9 | 502 |
| South | 58.7 | 94.0 | 56.6 | 333 |
| Chișinău | 66.1 | 91.9 | 61.6 | 492 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 64.2 | 92.3 | 60.5 | 814 |
| Rural | 58.2 | 92.5 | 55.0 | 990 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 60.6 | 92.3 | 57.2 | 649 |
| Never married/in union | 61.1 | 92.4 | 57.7 | 1154 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 58.2 | 91.3 | 54.7 | 985 |
| Professional | 61.4 | 93.7 | 58.4 | 342 |
| Higher | 67.4 | 94.0 | 63.9 | 457 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 44.6 | 86.2 | 40.1 | 190 |
| Second | 53.4 | 95.0 | 52.7 | 273 |
| Middle | 64.4 | 91.8 | 59.3 | 472 |
| Fourth | 63.5 | 92.7 | 60.0 | 462 |
| Richest | 66.5 | 93.8 | 63.8 | 407 |
| Total | 60.9 | 92.4 | 57.5 | 1804 |

${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator SW. 3
${ }^{a}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 12 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education are not shown

Table SW.3M: Perception of a better life (men)
Percentage of men aged 15-24 years who think that their lives improved during the last one year and those who expect that their lives will get better after one year, Moldova,2012

|  | Percentage of men who think that their life |  |  | Number of men aged $15-24$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved during the last one year | Will get better after one year | Both ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 62.8 | 91.7 | 58.1 | 259 |
| 20-24 | 46.3 | 92.0 | 43.5 | 238 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| North | 52.1 | 93.0 | 48.6 | 156 |
| Centre | 52.5 | 91.3 | 48.0 | 129 |
| South | 53.8 | 87.9 | 47.5 | 82 |
| Chișinău | 61.4 | 93.4 | 59.5 | 130 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 61.3 | 91.5 | 58.4 | 191 |
| Rural | 50.9 | 92.0 | 46.6 | 306 |
| Marital Status 30.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married/in union | 48.0 | 84.1 | 40.6 | 70 |
| Never married/in union | 56.0 | 93.1 | 52.8 | 427 |
| Education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 57.2 | 91.6 | 52.6 | 299 |
| Professional | 48.6 | 97.5 | 48.6 | 97 |
| Higher | 53.7 | 87.0 | 48.6 | 97 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (46.7) | (88.7) | (43.4) | 62 |
| Second | 45.2 | 93.3 | 42.7 | 93 |
| Middle | 56.8 | 91.6 | 51.0 | 123 |
| Fourth | 61.5 | 91.9 | 56.7 | 106 |
| Richest | 59.1 | 92.4 | 57.0 | 114 |
| Total | 54.9 | 91.8 | 51.1 | 497 |

MICS indicator SW. 3
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases with "None/primary" education and 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK"
education are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

## APPENDIX A. SAMPLE DESIGN

## General overview of the sampling framework

## General information

| Survey title | Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey - 2012 Moldova MICS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Responsible authorities | National Public Health Centre (NPHC) - preparation and implementation of the survey including tools (questionnaires, manuals etc.), listing and mapping, second stage sampling, training of field work staff, data collection, data processing, data analysis, report writing and data dissemination. <br> National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) - development of sampling frame, selection of primary sampling units, and sample design description. |
| Year of survey launch | 2011 |
| Frequency | Stand-alone survey (MICS 4 - fourth round) |
| Data Collection period | 17 April 2012-30 June 2012 |
| Reference population | Households; <br> Women aged 15 to 49 years ; <br> Men aged 15 to 49 years; <br> Children under 5 years of age. |
| Survey structure | Cross-sectional sample |
| Coverage | Nationwide, excluding Transnistrian region |
| Sample breakdown (representativeness) | 4 statistical zones: North, Central, South and Chișinău <br> Municipality; <br> Urban and rural areas; <br> Age groups; <br> Sex. |
| Sample size ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Primary Sampling Units (clusters) | 400 |
| Households, 2nd stage | 12,500 |
| Women, 2nd stage | 9,370 |
| Men, 2nd stage | 3,100 |
| Under-five children, 2nd stage | 2,160 |
| Sampling |  |
| Sample design | Two-stage sampling: <br> - at the first stage -stratified sample of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) - census sectors, selected systematically with probability proportional to size (based on the 2004 Population Census (PC); <br> - at the second stage - systematic sampling of addresses in each PSU with equal probability; |
| Sampling unit | 1st stage - the primary sampling unit (PSU) which represents the census sector in the 2004 PC; <br> 2nd stage - households |
| Observation unit | Households; <br> Women aged 15-49 years ; <br> Men aged 15-49 year ; <br> Children under 5 years old. |
| Sampling frame | - List of the 2004 Moldova Population Census sectors, selected for the 2005 Moldova DHS (Demographic and Health Survey), at the 1st sampling stage; <br> - List of all households obtained following PSU updating, at the 2 nd sampling stage. |

## Probability sampling

A probability-based stratified sample was selected in two stages for the 2012 Moldova MICS. Considering that the 2004 Population Census cartographic materials were discarded, it became impossible to use them as a source of data for the sampling frame. Thus, the decision was to use the 2005 Moldova DHS sample for the first stage (PSU - Primary Sampling Unit) and for the second stage a probability-based sample of the households has been selected from each PSU.

## Coverage

The reference population for the 2012 Moldova MICS depends on the particular indicators and is defined as follows (the size estimates are presented in Table SD.1):

1. Households;
2. Women aged 15-49 years;
3. Men aged 15-49 years;
4. Children under 5 years of age.

Geographically, the reference population is placed within the administrative borders of Moldova's territorial units which are located on the western side of the Nistru (Dniester River); the population living in the eastern side (left bank of the Dniester River and the Bender municipality -Transnistrian region) are not a part of 2012 Moldova MICS.

Table SD.1: Population breakdown, thousands of persons

|  | Republic of Moldova <br> (Western side of the Nistru/ Dniester River) | Republic of Moldova <br> (Eastern side of the Nistru/Dniester River - <br> Transnistrian region) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total population | 3563.7 | 555.4 |
| Women aged 15-49 years | 990.6 | $\ldots$ |
| Men aged 15-49 years | 983.5 | $\ldots$ |
| Children under 5 years | 228.1 | $\ldots$ |

## Sample representativeness

The 2012 Moldova MICS sample ensured representativeness at a national level (excluding Transnistrian region) and, like in the case of the 2005 Moldova DHS, at the level of residential areas - urban and rural. Although at the first sampling stage no stratification by zone was used, the results of the 2005 Moldova DHS survey indicate that the level of precision of the zone level estimates is acceptable.

## Sample size

The sample size is determined, on the one hand, by the precision expected to be achieved for the key indicators, and on the other hand, by the availability of human and financial resources. The precision of a sample survey's results is liable to be affected by two types of errors: sampling and non-sampling errors. The level of the sampling errors is inversely proportional to the square root of the sample size, whereas the nonsampling errors are affected by an increase in the sample size. Consequently, the larger the sample is, the smaller the sampling errors, and the greater the non-sampling errors are. Therefore it is important that the size of the sample is balanced so as to ensure both an acceptable precision and a minimum level of non-sampling errors.

Taking into account the limitations due to the lack of maps of census sectors, which made it impossible to select a new sample of PSUs, it was decided to use the same sample of PSUs that was used for the 2005 Moldova DHS, which included 400 census sectors. The final sample size was 12,500 households, a figure obtained by selecting respective number of households from each of the 400 PSUs drawn at the first sampling stage.

An analysis of the 2005 Moldova DHS shows that such a sample size ensured a reasonable degree of precision for the majority of estimates at the regional level, and a high level of precision at the national level (with the margins of error within 5 percent). Using the same sample size for the 2012 Moldova MICS, approximately the same degree of precision for the key indicators can be obtained. Examples of the actual level of precision for
the estimates of key indicators by domain can be found in Appendix $C$.
Assuming that the total non-response rate of the survey will be similar to that of the 2005 Moldova DHS, this sample size will yield a sufficient number of cases for analysis.

The sample PSUs were allocated to the urban stratum using a higher sampling rate than for the rural stratum, taking into account that the response rate in urban areas is usually lower than in rural areas, and the average size of an urban household is smaller than that of a rural one. Given the implicit stratification of the sample be region, the distribution of the sample PSUs by regions is approximately proportional to the population

Table SD.2: Distribution of sample census sectors and households for 2005 Moldova DHS, by region, urban and rural strata

| Region | Total |  | Rural |  | Urban |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Sectors | Sample Households | Sample Sectors | Sample Households | Sample Sectors | Sample Households |
| North | 117 | 3510 | 60 | 1800 | 57 | 1710 |
| Centre | 91 | 2730 | 62 | 1860 | 29 | 870 |
| South | 72 | 2160 | 40 | 1200 | 32 | 960 |
| Chisinau | 120 | 3600 | 5 | 150 | 115 | 3450 |
| Total | 400 | 12000 | 167 | 5010 | 233 | 6990 |

A breakdown of the sample by area indicates that the degree of accuracy is expected to be higher in the urban areas for the subsamples of women and men, which results from the disproportional distribution of the PSU sample at the first sampling stage.

## PSU (cluster) size

The average number of households per PSU is around 90 in rural areas and approximately 120 in urban areas. These sizes were determined so as to ensure a reasonable workload for the enumerators involved in general 2004 Population Census conducted by the NBS. This also made the PSUs practical for updating the list of the households for the purpose of providing a sampling frame for the 2012 Moldova MICS second sampling stage in a timely and cost-effective manner.

At the second stage 30 households were drawn from the new listing for each sample PSU. According to sampling theory, in order to maximize the statistical efficiency of the sample design for a survey, it is recommended to select a larger number of PSUs at the first sampling stage and a reduced number of sample households from each cluster at the second stage. The efficiency of a sample is measured using an indicator called the Design Effect (DEFT). This indicator compares the efficiency of a particular sample with that of a sample selected through the SRS (simple random sampling) method; in the case of a one-stage stratified sample the value is of DEFT is generally lower or equal to 1 , while in the case of cluster-based surveys the DEFT value is higher than 1. In the case of the 2005 Moldova DHS, the DEFT value for the majority of estimates both at national level and by areas of residence did not exceed 1.5, meaning a rather high level of efficiency. The number of sample PSUs also effects the overall costs of the survey, given the costs of listing the PSUs and transportation related to the dispersion of the sample. Taking these factors into consideration, the decision to draw 30 households from each PSU appears to be effective.

## Sampling frame

The sampling frame at the first sampling stage was built on the census sectors defined for the purposes of the 2004 Population Census carried out by the NBS. This included the list of all the census sectors, put into digital form, accompanied by variables for the identification of the sectors in the 2004 PC, information on areas of residence and geographical zones, and their measure of size expressed in number of persons. Table SD. 3 shows the information for a sector from the sampling frame used at the first sampling stage:

Table SD.3: Information for a sector used at the first sampling stage

| Geographical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| zone | Geographical <br> order <br> N >S S | Order <br> No. | Raion/ <br> Municipality/ <br> TAU | Circum- <br> scription <br> No. | Station <br> No. | District <br> No. | Areas: <br> U = Urban, <br> R = Rural | Population |

Taking into account the fact that the sample at this sampling stage was already selected for the purposes of the 2005 Moldova DHS, there was no need to update sampling frame for the 2012 Moldova MICS at this stage. In order to improve the efficiency of the sample design, the sampling frame was stratified by rural and urban residential areas, given the greater homogeneity within these strata.

Table SD.4: Sampling frame characteristics at first sampling stage

| Strata | Number of census sectors <br> (CS) | Average number of <br> households/CS | Average number of persons/CS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | 7228 | 93 | 287 |
| Urban | 3763 | 122 | 342 |

The census sectors that were used as a sampling frame for the first sampling stage (10,991 sectors) cover the entire nation, except for the Transnistrian region. At the second sampling stage the sampling frame consisted of an updated list of households in each sample PSU.

Considering the rather long period of time between the 2004 PC and the 2012 Moldova MICS, the lists of households has been updated to exclude households that no longer exist, in order to avoid over-coverage, and to include new households, in order to avoid under-coverage. For the listing it was necessary to have maps for each census sector in the sample which would clearly delimit their boundaries, so as to include all the households in the second stage sampling frame. The households identified based on the listing were the final sampling units for the reference population of households.

The updating procedure involved reviewing systematically all the addresses in the sample census sectors, listed by streets, and including all the households located at these addresses in the listing. Particular attention was paid to the public units existing within PSUs, such as schools, hospitals, kindergartens etc., which could accommodate households of the respective staff. The results of the updating (the lists of households) were captured in digital form. The resulting database was used for selecting households at the second stage, and this information was also used for calculating the sampling probabilities and corresponding weights.

## Sample Selection Procedures

## First stage sampling

At the first stage of sampling, PSUs within each stratum were systematically drawn with probabilities proportional to their size (number of population based on the 2004 PC data). Prior to sampling, the census sectors in each stratum were sorted in geographical order from north to south, in order to provide an additional level of implicit stratification based on the geographic criterion. The sampling procedure at this stage involves the following:

1. Aggregating the values of the census population counts in the census sectors, previously arranged geographically, within each stratum. The aggregate value corresponding to the last census sector represents the total population of the respective stratum $\left(M_{h}\right)$ in the sampling frame.
2. Dividing the $M_{h}$ values by the total number of the census sectors that are to be drawn from stratum $h\left(a_{h}\right)$ in order to obtain the value of the selection interval $\left(I_{h}\right): I_{h}=M_{h} / a_{h}$.
3. Generating a random number $\left(R_{h}\right)$ between 0 and 1 for each stratum. Then the random start (the first census sector that is to be drawn $-P S_{h}$ ) is determined by multiplying the value of the selection interval $I_{h}$ by the value of the random number $\left(R_{h}\right)$ :
$P S_{h}=R_{h} \cdot I_{h} \quad$, value rounded up to the nearest integer.
The first census sector drawn will be that for which the corresponding value of the aggregate size is greater than or equal to the $\mathrm{PS}_{\mathrm{h}}$ value.
4. The remaining census sectors in the stratum $h$ will be determined using the following number selection algorithm:
$S_{h i}=P S_{h}+I_{h} \cdot(i-1) \quad$, value rounded up to the nearest integer, where $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}}$

The selected census sector $i$ will be that for which the corresponding value of the aggregate size is greater or equal to the value $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{hi}}$.

## Second stage sampling

At the second sampling stage, a sample of 30 households was selected from each PSU. The selection was done in each PSU based on the lists of households registered following the update, using a simple systematic sampling procedure, following the algorithm below:

1. All the households listed in the selected census sectors are assigned ordinal numbers from 1 to $M^{\prime}{ }_{\text {hi }}$ where $M^{\prime}{ }_{h i}$ represents the total number of households listed in the census sector $i$.
2. By dividing the total number of households $\left(M_{h i}^{\prime}\right)$ by 30 , we will obtain the interval for the selection of households from a census sector $\left(I_{h i}\right)$. The obtained value will be rounded to two decimal places.
$I_{h i}=\frac{M^{\prime}{ }_{h i}}{30}$
3. A random number $\left(R_{h i}\right)$ between 0 and 1 is generated for each census sector. Then the random start is determined by multiplying the selection interval by the generated random number:
$P S_{h i}=R_{h i} \cdot I_{h i}$, the value is rounded up to the nearest integer.
The first drawn household will be that for which the ordinal number coincides with the value $\mathrm{PS}_{\mathrm{hi}}$.
4. The other households that are to be drawn for the sample will be identified using the following number selection algorithm:
$S_{h i j}=P S_{h i}+I_{h i} \cdot(j-1)$, value rounded up to the nearest integer, where $j=1,2,3, \ldots, 30$.
The household $j$ selected in the sample will be that whose ordinal number coincides with the value $S_{\text {hij }}$

## Computing the sampling probabilities

## First stage sampling probabilities

Considering that at the first sampling stage in each stratum we used a method of selecting census sectors with probabilities proportional to their size, the probabilities were determined using the following formula:
$p_{1 h i}=a_{h} \cdot \frac{M_{h i}}{M_{h}}$, where:
$p_{1 h i}=$ the probability of the census sector $i$ to be selected in stratum $h$
$a_{h}=\quad$ the number of PSUs selected in stratum $h$ for MICS
$M_{h i}=$ the total population of the census sector $i$ in stratum $h$ from the sampling frame
$M_{h}=$ the total population in stratum $h$ from the sampling frame.

## Household sampling probabilities

Sampling households from each census sector was done using a systematic random selection procedure, so the probability of a household to be sampled is the same for all households within a census sector and inversely proportional to the size of the PSU. The computation formula is the following:
$p_{2 h i}=\frac{m_{h i}}{M^{\prime}{ }_{h i}} \quad$, where:
$p_{2 h i}=$ the probability of households to be selected at the second sampling stage from the census sector $i$ of stratum $h$
$m_{h i}=30$ and represents the number of the households selected from the census sector $i$ of stratum $h$ $M_{h i}^{\prime}=$ the total number of households obtained following the update (listing) in the census sector $i$ of stratum $h$.

## Total sampling probability

The total probability of a household to be drawn into the 2012 Moldova MICS is equal to the product of the sampling probabilities of the census sectors at the first sampling stage and the sampling probabilities of households at the second stage:
$p_{j}=p_{1 h i} \cdot p_{2 h i}=a_{h} \cdot \frac{M_{h i}}{M_{h}} \cdot \frac{m_{h i}}{M_{h i}^{\prime}}$

## 2012 Moldova MICS Management <br> National manager

Ion BAHNAREL, General Director of the Național Centre of Public Health (until February 2014)

## Executive director

Valeriu PANTEA, Deputy Director of the National Centre of Public Health (until February 2014)
Finance and logistics
Ecaterina ZAZUC, Accountant
Iurie COLIBAN, technical assistance
Ghenadie OȚEL, logistics

## 2012 Moldova MICS Steering Committee Governmental Organizations

Mihai MAGDEI, Deputy Minister of Health, Steering Committee Chairman (until August 2012) Octavian GRAMA, Deputy Minister of Health, Steering Committee Chairman (since August 2012) Ion GUMENE, Head of Policy Coordination and Strategic Planning Division, State Chancellery Vitalie VALCOV, Deputy General Director, National Bureau of Statistics Oleg BARBĂ, General Director, National Health Management Centre, MoH
Petru STRATULAT, Deputy Director, Scientific Research Institute of Mother and Child Health Care, Valeriu GONCEAR, Steering Committee Secretary, Department of Public Health, MoH International Organizations
Alexandra YUSTER, Representative, UNICEF Moldova (until June 2013)
Nune MANGASARYAN, Representative, UNICEF Moldova ( since July 2013)
Dejan MINCIC, Deputy Representative, UNICEF Moldova (since October 2012)
Svetlana ȘTEFANEȚ, Head of Programme, UNICEF Moldova, (until September 2012)
Sergiu BURUIANĂ, Head of Programme, UNICEF Moldova, (until September 2012)
Elena LAUR, Monitoring and Evaluation Officer, UNICEF Moldova MICS Coordinator Angela CAPCELEA, Health Officer, UNICEF Moldova
Georgette BRUCHEZ, Director, Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC)
Jarno HABICHT, Representative, WHO Office Moldova
Silviu DOMENTE, Program Coordinator, WHO Office Moldova
Boris GILCA, Assistant Representative, UNFPA Office Moldova
Aurelia SPATARU, Project Manager, UNDP Moldova
2012 Moldova MICS Technical Committee
Ion BAHNAREL, Chairman
Valeriu PANTEA, Secretary.
Members:
Victoria BUCOV, Laboratory chief NCPH
Larisa SPINEI, Deputy Director, Public Health Management School Ion ȘALARU, First Deputy Director, MPH
Ala NEGRUȚA, Head of Social Services and Living Conditions Statistics Division, National Bureau of Statistics Lilian GALER, Head of Statistical Methods Section, National Bureau of Statistics Ana CIOBANU, Deputy Director, National Institute of Phthisiopneumology

Galina MORARI, Deputy Head of Department, Ministry of Health
Galina OBREJA, Hygienist, NCPH
Anatolie MELNIC, Specific Prophylaxis Centre, NCPH
Ecaterina BUSUIOC, Head of Department, NCPH, MPH
Galina GAVRILIȚA, Senior Consultant, DAMEP, Ministry of Education
Natalia SILITRARI, Health Promotion Centre Director, NCPH, MPH
National Consultant, UNICEF Moldova
Angela VRÂNCEANU-BENEȘ, 2012 Moldova MICS National Consultant - Coordinator

MICS Team at the Global and Regional Level
Attila HANCIOGLU, MICS Global Coordinator, UNICEF New York Headquarters (USA) Turgay UNALAN, Household Survey Specialist, UNICEF New York Headquarters (USA) Ivana BJELIC, Data Processing Expert, UNICEF New York Headquarters (USA) Yadigar COSKUN, Statistics Specialist, UNICEF Headquarters in New York (USA) Siraj MAHMUDLU, Monitoring and Evaluation Specialist, MICS Coordinator in CEE/CIS, UNICEF Regional Office (Switzerland)

International Experts
David MEGILL, Sampling Expert, Global MICS International Consultant, UNICEF Aleksandar ZORIC, Data Processing Expert, Regional Consultant, UNICEF CEE/CIS Pierre MARTEL, Household Survey Specialist, Regional Consultant, UNICEF CEE/CIS Ana ABDELBASIT, Household Survey Specialist, Regional Consultant, UNICEF CEE/CIS

## FIELD STAFF

Fieldwork Coordinators
Victoria BUCOV, Laboratory chief NCPH
Nicolae FURTUNA, Deputy Director, Center of Public Health, mun.Chişinău

| Field Trainers | Supervisors of the field teams | Field editors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liudmila BARABOI | lurie BOBU | Liudmila BARABOI |
| Verginia CANDEBA | Veaceslav CARP | Victoria BURLACU |
| Veaceslav CARP | Nicolae COTIUJANU | Natalia CARAMAN |
| Zinaida CEBAN | Vitalie FRUMOSU | Zinaida CEBAN |
| Valentina CHIŞLARI | Gheorghi GHEJOI | Valentina CHIŞLARI |
| Adriana CUCURUZAC | Ion NISTOR | Olesea CORJAN |
| Marina LUPU | Petru RĂILEANU | Adriana CUCURUZAC |
| Ion NISTOR | Verginia CANDEBA | Angela GUŞTIUC |
| Viorica PRISĂCARI | Nelea TABUNCIC | Lidia LUCHIANOV |
| Nelea TABUNCIC | Laura ȚURCAN | Marina LUPU |
| Liliana TOFAN | Constantin VACARCIUC | Valentina MOSCVITINA |
| Laura ȚURCAN | Leonid SAVCA | Natalia SILITRARI |
|  |  | Nina TINTA |
|  |  | Liliana TOFAN |
|  |  | Evghenia TOMCEAC |
|  | Fieldwork Listing |  |
| Liudmila BARABOI | Natalia COLIBA | Tatiana NICOLAEV |
| Angela BĂT | Olesea CORJAN | Ion NISTOR |
| Mircea BATIUŞCA | Nicolae COTIUJANU | Natalia PATRAŞCU |
| Ion BELCIUG | Lidia CRIVOI | Veronica PRANITCHI |
| Boris BOBEICA | Ludmila CROITORU | Viorica PRISĂCARI |
| lurie BOBU | Adriana CUCURUZAC | Petru RĂILEANU |
| Victoria BURLACU | Ecaterina CUPCEA | Maria REVENCO |
| Verginia CANDEBA | Ion DIMITRIU | Maria ROPOT |
| Svetlana CAPATINA | Vitalie FRUMOSU | Valentina ROŞCA |
| Svetlana CAPTARI | Nicolae FURTUNĂ | Victoria ROTARU |
| Natalia CARAMAN | Natalia GODOROGEA | Lilia RUSSU |
| Iulia CARP | Gheorghe GOLEA | Leonid SAVCA |
| Veaceslav CARP | Boris GREJDIERU | Natalia SILITRARI |
| Zinaida CEBAN | Irina GUDZ | Nelea TABUNCIC |
| Diana CEBOTARi | Angela GUŞTIUC | Ludmila TESLARI |
| Elena CHESOV | Oxana HERJA | Nina TINTA |
| Svetlana CHIRĂU | Doina LAZURCA | Liliana TOFAN |
| Lilian CHIRILA | Tamara LEVINTE | Evghenia TOMCEAC |
| Valentina CHIŞLARI | Lidia LUCHIANOV | Laura JURCAN |
| Maria CIUMAC | Marina LUPU | Liliana JURCANU |
| Iuliana COJAN | Nina LUPU | Constantin VACARCIUC |
| Liudmila COJUŞNEANU | Olga MAMALIGA |  |

Virginia PUŞCAŞ
Zinaida CHEPTEA
Rodica GORDAŞ
Lidia CRIVOI
Ludmila TESLARI
Maria ROPOT
Rodica AXENTIUC
Elizaveta CECOI
Nina LUPU
Irina GALBEN
Doina LAZURCA
Maria REVENCO
Maria CIUMAC
Irina GUDZ

## Male interviewers

Eugeniu ARÎCU
Mircea BATIUŞCA
Ion BELCIUG
Boris BOBEICA
Vladislav CHICU
Lilian CHIRILA
Andrei CONSTANTINOVICI
Ion DIMITRIU
Mihail EREMCIUC Ion GHITIU
Boris GREJDIERU
Alexandru MORARI
Vladislav POSTOLACHI
Dumitru TABUNCIC
Marcel ZBANTU

Angela BĂT
Iulia MACARESCU-CARP
Svetlana CHIRĂU
Vera CHITOROAGĂ
Elena ALEXEI
Tamara LEVINTE
Margareta SOLOMON
Nina TIBRIGAN
Valentina ROŞCA
Maria DRAGUJAN
Ecaterina CUPCEA
Veronica GAVRELIJĂ
Elena CHIHAI
Veronica PRANITCHI

Measurers<br>Vitalie MOCAN<br>Valeriu SIMAC<br>Ruslan DELOGRAMATIC<br>Dmitri ERMURACHI<br>Dina MIHALAŞ<br>Ion RUSNAC<br>Parascovia LUCA<br>Denis UZUN<br>Iuliana COJAN<br>Andrian BOBEICA

## Data Processing/Programming

Valeriu PLEŞCA, Expert in data
Petru STURZA
processing and analysis

Ion EFTODIEV

Elena CHITUL
Lilia RUSSU
Natalia COLIBA
Oxana HERTA
Liliana TURCANU
Natalia GODOROGEA
Ivana CURATOVA
Victoria ROTARU
Natalia PATRAŞCU Diana CEBOTARI
Svetlana CAPTARI
Olga MAMALIGA
Silvia PLAMADEALA
Ala GRIGORAŞ

## Drivers

Alexei SAVCOV
Vitalii CODREANU
lurie BUTUŞNEANU
Sergiu CIUNTU
Alexandr BOSîl
Mihail RĂILEAN
Ştefan HARITON
Vladimir LUŞNEA
Nicolae MîNDRU
Ion URSU
lurie DIACONU
Gheorghe MAROLA
Ion FURTUNA
Dumitru CRĂCIUN
Victor MAMALIGA

## Data Entry Staff

Oleg SLADCENCO

## Operators

Tatiana NICOLAEV
Svetlana CAPAJINA
Elena CHESOV
Liudmila COJUŞNEANU
Gheorghe GOLEA
Angela VARGAFTIC
Rodica SOLCAN
Olga BEZîMENCO
Ecaterina SîRBU
Ion PURICE
Anastasia DRAGUJAN

## APPENDIX C. ESTIMATES OF SAMPLING ERRORS

The sample of respondents selected in the 2012 Moldova Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey is only one of the samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between the estimates from all possible samples. The extent of variability is not known exactly, but can be estimated statistically from the survey data.

The following sampling error measures are presented in this appendix for each of the selected indicators:

- Standard error (se): Sampling errors are usually measured in terms of standard errors for particular indicators (means, proportions etc.). Standard error is the square root of the variance of the estimate. The Taylor linearization method is used for the estimation of standard errors.
- Coefficient of variation $(s e / r)$ is the ratio of the standard error to the value of the indicator, and is a measure of the relative sampling error.
- Design effect (deff) is the ratio of the actual variance of an indicator, under the sampling method used in the survey, to the variance calculated under the assumption of simple random sampling. The square root of the design effect (deft) is used to show the efficiency of the sample design in relation to the precision. A deft value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a deft value above 1.0 indicates an increase in the standard error due to the use of a more complex sample design.
- Confidence limits are calculated to show the interval within which the true value for the population can be reasonably assumed to fall, with a specified level of confidence. For any given statistic calculated from the survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error $(r+2 . s e$ or $r-2 . s e)$ of the statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

For the calculation of sampling errors from MICS data, SPSS Version 18 Complex Samples module has been used. The results are shown in the tables that follow. In addition to the sampling error measures described above, the tables also include weighted and unweighted counts of denominators for each indicator.

Sampling errors are calculated for indicators of primary interest, at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for the different regions. One of the selected indicators is based on households, six are based on household members, 28 are based on women, 17 are based on men and 18 are based on children under 5 . All indicators presented here are in the form of proportions. Table SE. 1 shows the list of indicators for which sampling errors are calculated, including the base population (denominator) for each indicator. Tables SE. 2 to SE. 8 show the calculated sampling errors for selected domains.

Table SE.1: Indicators selected for sampling error calculations
List of indicators selected for sampling error calculations, and base populations (denominators) for each indicator, Moldova, 2012

| MICS4 Indicator |  | Base Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |
| 2.16 | Iodized salt consumption | All households in which salt was tested or with no salt |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |
| 4.1 | Use of improved drinking water sources | All household members |
| 4.3 | Use of improved sanitation | All household members |
| 7.5 | Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Children of secondary school age (lower and upper secondary school) |
| - | Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | Children of lower secondary school age |
| 9.18 | Prevalence of children with one or both parents dead | Children age 0-17 years |
| MD EM. 1 | Percent distribution of children 0-17 years with at least one biological parent living abroad | Children age 0-17 years |
| 8.5 | Violent discipline | Children aged 2-14 years |
| WOMEN |  |  |
| 5.2 | Early childbearing | Women aged 20-24 years |
| 5.3 | Contraceptive prevalence | Women aged 15-49 years who are currently married or in union |
| 5.4 | Unmet need | Women aged 15-49 years who are currently married or in union |
| 5.5a | Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel <br> Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5.5b |  | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5.7 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5.8 | Institutional deliveries | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5.9 | Caesarean section | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5.11 | Post-natal health check for the newborn | Last live births in the last 2 years <br> Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5.12 | Post-natal health check for the mother |  |
| 7.1 | Literacy rate among young women | Women aged 15-24 years |
| 8.7 | Marriage before age 18 | Women aged 20-49 years |
| 9.2 | Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | Women aged 15-24 years |
| 9.3 | Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | Women aged 15-49 years |
| 9.4 | Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | Women aged 15-49 years who have heard of HIV |
| 9.6 | Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | Women aged 15-49 years |
| 9.7 | Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | Women aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey |
| 9.11 | Sex before age 15 among young women | Women aged 15-24 years |
| 9.16 | Condom use with non-regular partners | Women aged 15-24 years who had a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the 12 months preceding the survey |
| MD TB. 1 | Knowledge of tuberculosis | Women aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 2 | Knowledge of tuberculosis modes of transmission | Women aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 3 | Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | Women aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 4 | Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | Women aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 5 | Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | Women aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 6 | Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | Women aged 15-49 years |
| MD AN. 2 | Prevalence of any anaemia in women | Women aged 15-49 years |
| MEN |  |  |
| 7.1 | Literacy rate among young men | Men aged 15-24 years |
| 8.7 | Marriage before age 18 | Men aged 20-49 years |
| 9.2 | Comprehensive knowledge about HiV prevention among young people | Men aged 15-24 years |
| 9.3 | Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | Men aged 15-49 years |
| 9.4 | Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | Men aged 15-49 years who have heard of HIV |
| 9.6 | Men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | Men aged 15-49 years |
| 9.7 | Sexually active young men who have been tested for HIV and know the results. | Men aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey |
| 9.11 | Sex before age 15 among young men | Men aged 15-24 years |
| 9.16 | Condom use with non-regular partners | Men aged 15-24 years who had a non-marital, non-cohabiting partner in the 12 months preceding the survey |
| MD TB. 1 | Knowledge of tuberculosis | Men aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 2 | Knowledge of ways of tuberculosis transmission | Men aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 3 | Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | Men aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 4 | Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | Men aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 5 | Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | Men aged 15-49 years |
| MD TB. 6 | Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | Men aged 15-49 years |


| MICS4 Indicator |  | Base Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |
| 2.1a | Underweight prevalence | Children under the age of five |
| 2.2a | Stunting prevalence | Children under the age of five |
| 2.3a | Wasting prevalence | Children under the age of five |
| 2.6 | Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | Total number of infants under 6 months of age |
| 2.14 | Age-appropriate breastfeeding | Children 0-23 months old |
| - | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | Children 12-23 months old |
| - | Received polio immunization | Children 12-23 months old |
| - | Received DPT immunization | Children 12-23 months old |
| - | Received measles immunization | Children 12-23 months old |
| - | Received Hepatitis B immunization | Children 12-23 months old |
| - | Diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks | Children under the age of five |
| - | Illness with a cough in the previous 2 weeks | Children under the age of five |
| 3.8 | Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | Children under the age of five with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 3.1 | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | Children under the age of five with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |
| 6.1 | Support for learning | Children 36-59 months old |
| 6.7 | Attendance to early childhood education | Children 36-59 months old |
| 8.1 | Birth registration | Children under the age of five |
| MD AN. 1 | Prevalence of any anaemia in children | Children 6-59 months old |

Table SE.2: Sampling errors: Total sample
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Moldova, 2012

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Con | ce limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MICS Indicator | Value <br> (r) | error <br> (se) | variation (se/r) | effect <br> (deff) | effect <br> (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | $\begin{gathered} r- \\ 2 s e \end{gathered}$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | 2.16 | 0.4433 | 0.0082 | 0.019 | 2.932 | 1.712 | 10780 | 10719 | 0.427 | 0.460 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 0.8639 | 0.0086 | 0.010 | 7.100 | 2.665 | 28789 | 11354 | 0.847 | 0.881 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 0.6966 | 0.0138 | 0.020 | 10.243 | 3.200 | 28789 | 11354 | 0.669 | 0.724 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 | 0.8604 | 0.00888 | 0.010 | 1.908 | 1.381 | 2990 | 2910 | 0.843 | 0.878 |
| Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | - | 0.9627 | 0.0061 | 0.006 | 1.776 | 1.333 | 1788 | 1726 | 0.951 | 0.975 |
| Prevalence of children with at least one parent dead | 9.18 | 0.0453 | 0.0036 | 0.079 | 1.865 | 1.366 | 6513 | 6363 | 0.038 | 0.052 |
| Percent distribution of children 0-17 years old with at least one biological parent living abroad | MD EM. 1 | 0.2113 | 0.0082 | 0.039 | 2.594 | 1.611 | 6513 | 6363 | 0.195 | 0.228 |
| Violent discipline | 8.5 | 0.7564 | 0.0101 | 0.013 | 1.720 | 1.311 | 4527 | 3122 | 0.736 | 0.777 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 | 0.0439 | 0.0075 | 0.170 | 1.220 | 1.105 | 884 | 914 | 0.029 | 0.059 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | 5.3 | 0.5946 | 0.0083 | 0.014 | 1.155 | 1.075 | 4073 | 4009 | 0.578 | 0.611 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 0.0950 | 0.0054 | 0.057 | 1.361 | 1.167 | 4073 | 4009 | 0.084 | 0.106 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel | 5.5a | 0.9876 | 0.0049 | 0.005 | 1.414 | 1.189 | 750 | 723 | 0.978 | 0.997 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider | 5.5b | 0.9537 | 0.0100 | 0.010 | 1.629 | 1.276 | 750 | 723 | 0.934 | 0.974 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 0.9917 | 0.0041 | 0.004 | 1.457 | 1.207 | 750 | 723 | 0.984 | 1.000 |
| Institutional deliveries | 5.8 | 0.9886 | 0.0045 | 0.005 | 1.295 | 1.138 | 750 | 723 | 0.980 | 0.998 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 | 0.1622 | 0.0145 | 0.089 | 1.119 | 1.058 | 750 | 723 | 0.133 | 0.191 |
| Post-natal health check for the newborn | 5.11 | 0.9880 | 0.0050 | 0.005 | 1.520 | 1.233 | 750 | 723 | 0.978 | 0.998 |
| Post-natal health check for the mother | 5.12 | 0.9422 | 0.0098 | 0.010 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 750 | 723 | 0.923 | 0.962 |
| Literacy rate among young women | 7.1 | 0.9926 | 0.0030 | 0.003 | 2.254 | 1.501 | 1804 | 1790 | 0.987 | 0.999 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.1489 | 0.0061 | 0.041 | 1.480 | 1.216 | 5080 | 5124 | 0.137 | 0.161 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.3604 | 0.0132 | 0.037 | 1.354 | 1.164 | 1804 | 1790 | 0.334 | 0.387 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.5302 | 0.0083 | 0.016 | 1.642 | 1.281 | 6000 | 6000 | 0.514 | 0.547 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0277 | 0.0021 | 0.077 | 1.016 | 1.008 | 5937 | 5953 | 0.023 | 0.032 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1824 | 0.0059 | 0.032 | 1.411 | 1.188 | 6000 | 6000 | 0.171 | 0.194 |
| Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.2646 | 0.0156 | 0.059 | 1.193 | 1.092 | 942 | 960 | 0.234 | 0.296 |
| Sex before age 15 among young women | 9.11 | 0.0093 | 0.0023 | 0.247 | 1.021 | 1.011 | 1804 | 1790 | 0.005 | 0.014 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.6381 | 0.0217 | 0.034 | 0.805 | 0.897 | 353 | 396 | 0.595 | 0.682 |


|  | MICS <br> Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard <br> error <br> (se) | ```Coefficient of variation (se/r)``` | Design effect <br> (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} r- \\ 2 s e \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| Knowledge of ways of tuberculosis transmission | MD. TB. 2 | 0.8439 | 0.0075 | 0.009 | 2.593 | 1.610 | 6000 | 6000 | 0.829 | 0.859 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.9393 | 0.0039 | 0.004 | 1.625 | 1.275 | 6000 | 6000 | 0.931 | 0.947 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0126 | 0.0016 | 0.127 | 1.226 | 1.107 | 6000 | 6000 | 0.009 | 0.016 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.8754 | 0.0050 | 0.006 | 1.352 | 1.163 | 6000 | 6000 | 0.866 | 0.885 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.4156 | 0.0095 | 0.023 | 2.241 | 1.497 | 6000 | 6000 | 0.397 | 0.435 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in women | MD. AN. 2 | 0.2578 | 0.0073 | 0.028 | 1.485 | 1.219 | 5362 | 5264 | 0.243 | 0.272 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy rate among young men | 7.1 | 0.9951 | 0.0014 | 0.001 | 0.188 | 0.433 | 497 | 500 | 0.992 | 0.998 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.0241 | 0.0045 | 0.188 | 1.125 | 1.061 | 1286 | 1291 | 0.015 | 0.033 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.2812 | 0.0174 | 0.062 | 0.749 | 0.866 | 497 | 500 | 0.246 | 0.316 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.4539 | 0.0139 | 0.031 | 1.210 | 1.100 | 1545 | 1545 | 0.426 | 0.482 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0340 | 0.0048 | 0.141 | 1.069 | 1.034 | 1517 | 1523 | 0.024 | 0.044 |
| Men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.0920 | 0.0079 | 0.086 | 1.156 | 1.075 | 1545 | 1545 | 0.076 | 0.108 |
| Sexually active young men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.1050 | 0.0140 | 0.134 | 0.676 | 0.822 | 312 | 323 | 0.077 | 0.133 |
| Sex before age 15 among young men | 9.11 | 0.0751 | 0.0123 | 0.163 | 1.082 | 1.040 | 497 | 500 | 0.051 | 0.100 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.8168 | 0.0147 | 0.018 | 0.381 | 0.617 | 256 | 266 | 0.787 | 0.846 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9905 | 0.0024 | 0.002 | 0.923 | 0.961 | 1545 | 1545 | 0.986 | 0.995 |
| Knowledge of ways tuberculosis transmission | MD. TB. 2 | 0.7830 | 0.0127 | 0.016 | 1.472 | 1.213 | 1545 | 1545 | 0.758 | 0.808 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.9178 | 0.0074 | 0.008 | 1.128 | 1.062 | 1545 | 1545 | 0.903 | 0.933 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 1.000 | 0.690 | 0.831 | 1545 | 1545 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.8422 | 0.0105 | 0.012 | 1.271 | 1.127 | 1545 | 1545 | 0.821 | 0.863 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.3032 | 0.0129 | 0.043 | 1.224 | 1.106 | 1545 | 1545 | 0.277 | 0.329 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | 2.1a | 0.0216 | 0.0036 | 0.168 | 1.050 | 1.025 | 1724 | 1689 | 0.014 | 0.029 |
| Stunting prevalence | 2.2a | 0.0641 | 0.0065 | 0.102 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 1704 | 1670 | 0.051 | 0.077 |
| Wasting prevalence | 2.3a | 0.0191 | 0.0039 | 0.202 | 1.326 | 1.152 | 1698 | 1662 | 0.011 | 0.027 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.6 | 0.3637 | 0.0242 | 0.067 | 0.443 | 0.666 | 179 | 176 | 0.315 | 0.412 |
| Age-appropriate breastfeeding | 2.14 | 0.3048 | 0.0178 | 0.058 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 786 | 767 | 0.269 | 0.340 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | - | 0.9799 | 0.0067 | 0.007 | 0.880 | 0.938 | 380 | 385 | 0.967 | 0.993 |
| Received polio immunization | - | 0.9355 | 0.0083 | 0.009 | 0.442 | 0.665 | 380 | 385 | 0.919 | 0.952 |
| Received DPT immunization | - | 0.9334 | 0.0086 | 0.009 | 0.454 | 0.674 | 380 | 384 | 0.916 | 0.951 |
| Received measles immunization | - | 0.9277 | 0.0115 | 0.012 | 0.746 | 0.864 | 379 | 382 | 0.905 | 0.951 |
| Received Hepatitis B immunization | - | 0.9371 | 0.0107 | 0.011 | 0.739 | 0.860 | 377 | 380 | 0.916 | 0.959 |
| Diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0670 | 0.0061 | 0.091 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 1869 | 1869 | 0.055 | 0.079 |
| Illness with a cough in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0337 | 0.0053 | 0.156 | 1.593 | 1.262 | 1869 | 1869 | 0.023 | 0.044 |


|  | MICS Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) |  | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & r- \\ & 2 s e \end{aligned}$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | 3.8 | 0.5465 | 0.0279 | 0.051 | 0.415 | 0.644 | 125 | 133 | 0.491 | 0.602 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 3.10 | 0.8193 | 0.0188 | 0.023 | 0.137 | 0.370 | 63 | 58 | 0.782 | 0.857 |
| Support for learning | 6.1 | 0.8905 | 0.0133 | 0.015 | 1.322 | 1.150 | 712 | 733 | 0.864 | 0.917 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.7 | 0.7065 | 0.0223 | 0.032 | 1.760 | 1.327 | 712 | 733 | 0.662 | 0.751 |
| Birth registration | 8.1 | 0.9957 | 0.0016 | 0.002 | 1.139 | 1.067 | 1869 | 1869 | 0.992 | 0.999 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in children | MD. AN. 1 | 0.2143 | 0.0118 | 0.055 | 1.128 | 1.062 | 1422 | 1376 | 0.191 | 0.238 |

Table SE.3: Sampling errors: Urban areas

|  | MICS <br> Indicator | Value$(r)$ | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect <br> (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | 2.16 | 0.6131 | 0.0087 | 0.014 | 1.894 | 1.376 | 4080 | 5991 | 0.596 | 0.630 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 0.9553 | 0.0048 | 0.005 | 3.500 | 1.871 | 10714 | 6415 | 0.946 | 0.965 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 0.8454 | 0.0107 | 0.013 | 5.641 | 2.375 | 10714 | 6415 | 0.824 | 0.867 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 | 0.9032 | 0.01242 | 0.014 | 2.525 | 1.589 | 972 | 1433 | 0.878 | 0.928 |
| Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | - | 0.9410 | 0.0106 | 0.011 | 1.620 | 1.273 | 544 | 808 | 0.920 | 0.962 |
| Prevalence of children with at least one parent dead | 9.18 | 0.0400 | 0.0042 | 0.106 | 1.523 | 1.234 | 2184 | 3273 | 0.032 | 0.048 |
| Percent distribution of children 0-17 years old with at least one biological parent living abroad | MD EM. 1 | 0.1684 | 0.0091 | 0.054 | 1.928 | 1.389 | 2184 | 3273 | 0.150 | 0.187 |
| Violent discipline | 8.5 | 0.7393 | 0.0114 | 0.015 | 1.124 | 1.060 | 1515 | 1678 | 0.717 | 0.762 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 | 0.0273 | 0.0052 | 0.191 | 0.635 | 0.797 | 466 | 619 | 0.017 | 0.038 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | 5.3 | 0.5817 | 0.0115 | 0.020 | 1.216 | 1.103 | 1580 | 2245 | 0.559 | 0.605 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 0.1074 | 0.0071 | 0.066 | 1.173 | 1.083 | 1580 | 2245 | 0.093 | 0.122 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel | 5.5a | 0.9859 | 0.0070 | 0.007 | 1.411 | 1.188 | 291 | 405 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider | 5.5b | 0.9474 | 0.0111 | 0.012 | 1.004 | 1.002 | 291 | 405 | 0.925 | 0.970 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 0.9952 | 0.0034 | 0.003 | 0.985 | 0.993 | 291 | 405 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Institutional deliveries | 5.8 | 0.9952 | 0.0034 | 0.003 | 0.985 | 0.993 | 291 | 405 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 | 0.1632 | 0.0202 | 0.124 | 1.207 | 1.099 | 291 | 405 | 0.123 | 0.204 |
| Post-natal health check for the newborn | 5.11 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 291 | 405 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Post-natal health check for the mother | 5.12 | 0.9471 | 0.0104 | 0.011 | 0.868 | 0.932 | 291 | 405 | 0.926 | 0.968 |
| Literacy rate among young women | 7.1 | 0.9905 | 0.0048 | 0.005 | 2.674 | 1.635 | 814 | 1092 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.1063 | 0.0062 | 0.058 | 1.231 | 1.110 | 2183 | 3070 | 0.094 | 0.119 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.4109 | 0.0186 | 0.045 | 1.566 | 1.251 | 814 | 1092 | 0.374 | 0.448 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.4954 | 0.0087 | 0.018 | 1.065 | 1.032 | 2532 | 3543 | 0.478 | 0.513 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0255 | 0.0028 | 0.110 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 2525 | 3534 | 0.020 | 0.031 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1967 | 0.0072 | 0.037 | 1.166 | 1.080 | 2532 | 3543 | 0.182 | 0.211 |
| Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.2530 | 0.0197 | 0.078 | 1.268 | 1.126 | 461 | 620 | 0.214 | 0.292 |
| Sex before age 15 among young women | 9.11 | 0.0092 | 0.0026 | 0.286 | 0.829 | 0.911 | 814 | 1092 | 0.004 | 0.014 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.6730 | 0.0304 | 0.045 | 1.264 | 1.124 | 229 | 302 | 0.612 | 0.734 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9981 | 0.0008 | 0.001 | 1.087 | 1.043 | 2532 | 3543 | 0.997 | 1.000 |


|  | MICS Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | ```Coefficient of variation (se/r)``` | Design effect <br> (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| Knowledge of ways of tuberculosis transmission | MD. TB. 2 | 0.8986 | 0.0055 | 0.006 | 1.184 | 1.088 | 2532 | 3543 | 0.888 | 0.910 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.9665 | 0.0038 | 0.004 | 1.545 | 1.243 | 2532 | 3543 | 0.959 | 0.974 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0164 | 0.0026 | 0.159 | 1.497 | 1.224 | 2532 | 3543 | 0.011 | 0.022 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.8782 | 0.0062 | 0.007 | 1.273 | 1.128 | 2532 | 3543 | 0.866 | 0.891 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.5028 | 0.0098 | 0.019 | 1.350 | 1.162 | 2532 | 3543 | 0.483 | 0.522 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in women | MD. AN. 2 | 0.2170 | 0.0081 | 0.037 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 2135 | 2978 | 0.201 | 0.233 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy rate among young men | 7.1 | 0.9965 | 0.0035 | 0.004 | 0.963 | 0.981 | 191 | 272 | 0.990 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.0263 | 0.0064 | 0.242 | 1.165 | 1.079 | 513 | 736 | 0.014 | 0.039 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.3898 | 0.0240 | 0.062 | 0.656 | 0.810 | 191 | 272 | 0.342 | 0.438 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.4138 | 0.0190 | 0.046 | 1.287 | 1.134 | 601 | 863 | 0.376 | 0.452 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0416 | 0.0071 | 0.170 | 1.075 | 1.037 | 598 | 858 | 0.027 | 0.056 |
| Men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1267 | 0.0113 | 0.089 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 601 | 863 | 0.104 | 0.149 |
| Sexually active young men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.1308 | 0.0233 | 0.178 | 0.900 | 0.949 | 133 | 189 | 0.084 | 0.178 |
| Sex before age 15 among young men | 9.11 | 0.0696 | 0.0113 | 0.162 | 0.531 | 0.729 | 191 | 272 | 0.047 | 0.092 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.8720 | 0.0259 | 0.030 | 0.938 | 0.969 | 111 | 157 | 0.820 | 0.924 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9964 | 0.0018 | 0.002 | 0.788 | 0.887 | 601 | 863 | 0.993 | 1.000 |
| Knowledge of ways of tuberculosis transmission | MD. TB. 2 | 0.8584 | 0.0118 | 0.014 | 0.994 | 0.997 | 601 | 863 | 0.835 | 0.882 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.9649 | 0.0058 | 0.006 | 0.845 | 0.919 | 601 | 863 | 0.953 | 0.976 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 | 1.000 | 0.991 | 0.996 | 601 | 863 | 0.000 | 0.003 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.8711 | 0.0136 | 0.016 | 1.430 | 1.196 | 601 | 863 | 0.844 | 0.898 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.3780 | 0.0198 | 0.052 | 1.432 | 1.197 | 601 | 863 | 0.338 | 0.417 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | 2.1a | 0.0105 | 0.0034 | 0.322 | 0.979 | 0.990 | 591 | 888 | 0.004 | 0.017 |
| Stunting prevalence | 2.2a | 0.0364 | 0.0074 | 0.202 | 1.347 | 1.160 | 581 | 876 | 0.022 | 0.051 |
| Wasting prevalence | 2.3a | 0.0177 | 0.0042 | 0.238 | 0.884 | 0.940 | 578 | 871 | 0.009 | 0.026 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.6 | 0.3041 | 0.0270 | 0.089 | 0.324 | 0.569 | 64 | 95 | 0.250 | 0.358 |
| Age-appropriate breastfeeding | 2.14 | 0.2796 | 0.0227 | 0.081 | 1.050 | 1.025 | 280 | 413 | 0.234 | 0.325 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | - | 0.9694 | 0.0089 | 0.009 | 0.606 | 0.778 | 151 | 230 | 0.952 | 0.987 |
| Received polio immunization | - | 0.8761 | 0.0155 | 0.018 | 0.508 | 0.713 | 151 | 230 | 0.845 | 0.907 |
| Received DPT immunization | - | 0.8704 | 0.0163 | 0.019 | 0.538 | 0.733 | 150 | 229 | 0.838 | 0.903 |
| Received measles immunization | - | 0.8788 | 0.0171 | 0.019 | 0.618 | 0.786 | 149 | 227 | 0.845 | 0.913 |
| Received Hepatitis B immunization | - | 0.8976 | 0.0139 | 0.016 | 0.474 | 0.688 | 148 | 225 | 0.870 | 0.925 |
| Diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0962 | 0.0100 | 0.104 | 1.189 | 1.090 | 682 | 1031 | 0.076 | 0.116 |
| Illness with a cough in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0312 | 0.0067 | 0.216 | 1.546 | 1.243 | 682 | 1031 | 0.018 | 0.045 |


|  | MICS Indicator | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ (r) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Standard error (se) |  | Design <br> effect <br> (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | 3.8 | 0.5494 | 0.0270 | 0.049 | 0.269 | 0.518 | 66 | 92 | 0.495 | 0.603 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 3.10 | * | * | * | * | * | 21 | 28 | * | * |
| Support for learning | 6.1 | 0.8999 | 0.0175 | 0.019 | 1.412 | 1.188 | 267 | 416 | 0.865 | 0.935 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.7 | 0.8225 | 0.0218 | 0.026 | 1.347 | 1.161 | 267 | 416 | 0.779 | 0.866 |
| Birth registration | 8.1 | 0.9973 | 0.0016 | 0.002 | 1.031 | 1.015 | 682 | 1031 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in children | MD. AN. 1 | 0.1597 | 0.0155 | 0.097 | 1.260 | 1.122 | 471 | 706 | 0.129 | 0.191 |

Table SE.4: Sampling errors: Rural areas
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Moldova: Rural areas, 2012

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Confide | ce limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MICS <br> Indicator | Value $(r)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { error } \\ (\mathrm{se}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { variation } \\ (s e / r) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | effect <br> (deff) | effect <br> (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
|  | HOUSE | LDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | 2.16 | 0.3398 | 0.0122 | 0.036 | 3.126 | 1.768 | 6700 | 4728 | 0.315 | 0.364 |
|  | HOUSEHOLD | MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 0.8098 | 0.0132 | 0.016 | 5.580 | 2.362 | 18075 | 4939 | 0.783 | 0.836 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 0.6084 | 0.0212 | 0.035 | 9.317 | 3.052 | 18075 | 4939 | 0.566 | 0.651 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 | 0.8397 | 0.01168 | 0.014 | 1.495 | 1.223 | 2018 | 1477 | 0.8397 | 0.01168 |
| Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | - | 0.9722 | 0.0074 | 0.008 | 1.868 | 1.367 | 1244 | 918 | 0.957 | 0.987 |
| Prevalence of children with at least one parent dead | 9.18 | 0.0479 | 0.0049 | 0.103 | 1.639 | 1.280 | 4329 | 3090 | 0.038 | 0.058 |
| Percent distribution of children 0-17 years old with at least one biological parent living abroad | MD EM. 1 | 0.2330 | 0.0115 | 0.049 | 2.294 | 1.514 | 4329 | 3090 | 0.210 | 0.256 |
| Violent discipline | 8.5 | 0.7650 | 0.0140 | 0.018 | 1.579 | 1.257 | 3011 | 1444 | 0.737 | 0.793 |
|  | WOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 | 0.0625 | 0.0144 | 0.230 | 1.038 | 1.019 | 418 | 295 | 0.034 | 0.091 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | 5.3 | 0.6027 | 0.0115 | 0.019 | 0.976 | 0.988 | 2493 | 1764 | 0.580 | 0.626 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 0.0871 | 0.0076 | 0.087 | 1.281 | 1.132 | 2493 | 1764 | 0.072 | 0.102 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel | 5.5a | 0.9887 | 0.0067 | 0.007 | 1.256 | 1.121 | 459 | 318 | 0.975 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider | 5.5b | 0.9577 | 0.0147 | 0.015 | 1.685 | 1.298 | 459 | 318 | 0.928 | 0.987 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 0.9895 | 0.0063 | 0.006 | 1.206 | 1.098 | 459 | 318 | 0.977 | 1.000 |
| Institutional deliveries | 5.8 | 0.9844 | 0.0070 | 0.007 | 1.015 | 1.007 | 459 | 318 | 0.970 | 0.998 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 | 0.1616 | 0.0200 | 0.123 | 0.932 | 0.965 | 459 | 318 | 0.122 | 0.202 |
| Post-natal health check for the newborn | 5.11 | 0.9804 | 0.0081 | 0.008 | 1.083 | 1.041 | 459 | 318 | 0.964 | 0.997 |
| Post-natal health check for the mother | 5.12 | 0.9392 | 0.0146 | 0.016 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 459 | 318 | 0.910 | 0.968 |
| Literacy rate among young women | 7.1 | 0.9944 | 0.0039 | 0.004 | 1.881 | 1.371 | 990 | 698 | 0.987 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.1811 | 0.0096 | 0.053 | 1.274 | 1.129 | 2897 | 2054 | 0.162 | 0.200 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.3189 | 0.0185 | 0.058 | 1.094 | 1.046 | 990 | 698 | 0.282 | 0.356 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.5557 | 0.0129 | 0.023 | 1.645 | 1.283 | 3468 | 2457 | 0.530 | 0.581 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0294 | 0.0031 | 0.106 | 0.816 | 0.904 | 3412 | 2419 | 0.023 | 0.036 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1720 | 0.0087 | 0.051 | 1.316 | 1.147 | 3468 | 2457 | 0.155 | 0.189 |
| Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.2758 | 0.0238 | 0.086 | 0.962 | 0.981 | 481 | 340 | 0.228 | 0.323 |
| Sex before age 15 among young women | 9.11 | 0.0093 | 0.0036 | 0.382 | 0.960 | 0.980 | 990 | 698 | 0.002 | 0.016 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.5739 | 0.0255 | 0.044 | 0.247 | 0.497 | 124 | 94 | 0.523 | 0.625 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9909 | 0.0019 | 0.002 | 0.996 | 0.998 | 3468 | 2457 | 0.987 | 0.995 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | MICS Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | 3.8 | * | * | * | * | * | 60 | 41 | * | * |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 3.10 | * | * | * | * | * | 42 | 30 | * | * |
| Support for learning | 6.1 | 0.8849 | 0.0185 | 0.021 | 1.059 | 1.029 | 445 | 317 | 0.848 | 0.922 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.7 | 0.6369 | 0.0335 | 0.053 | 1.538 | 1.240 | 445 | 317 | 0.570 | 0.704 |
| Birth registration | 8.1 | 0.9948 | 0.0024 | 0.002 | 0.901 | 0.949 | 1187 | 838 | 0.990 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in children | MD. AN. 1 | 0.2414 | 0.0157 | 0.065 | 0.898 | 0.947 | 950 | 670 | 0.210 | 0.273 |

Table SE.5: Sampling errors: North region

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Square |  |  | Confide | e limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MICS Indicator | Value <br> (r) | $\begin{gathered} \text { error } \\ (\mathrm{se}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | variation ( $\mathrm{se} / \mathrm{r}$ ) | effect (deff) | effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
|  | HOUSEH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | 2.16 | 0.3713 | 0.0135 | 0.036 | 2.574 | 1.604 | 3580 | 3300 | 0.344 | 0.398 |
|  | HOUSEHOLD | EMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 0.8742 | 0.0112 | 0.013 | 3.905 | 1.976 | 9079 | 3439 | 0.852 | 0.897 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 0.6825 | 0.0244 | 0.036 | 9.449 | 3.074 | 9079 | 3439 | 0.634 | 0.731 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 | 0.8375 | 0.01986 | 0.024 | 2.478 | 1.574 | 939 | 856 | 0.798 | 0.877 |
| Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | - | 0.9485 | 0.0150 | 0.016 | 2.463 | 1.569 | 585 | 533 | 0.918 | 0.979 |
| Prevalence of children with at least one parent dead | 9.18 | 0.0454 | 0.0064 | 0.140 | 1.741 | 1.319 | 2035 | 1865 | 0.033 | 0.058 |
| Percent distribution of children 0-17 years old with at least one biological parent living abroad | MD EM. 1 | 0.2446 | 0.0162 | 0.066 | 2.636 | 1.624 | 2035 | 1865 | 0.212 | 0.277 |
| Violent discipline | 8.5 | 0.7376 | 0.0161 | 0.022 | 1.243 | 1.115 | 1410 | 931 | 0.705 | 0.770 |
|  | WOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 | 0.0377 | 0.0120 | 0.318 | 0.811 | 0.900 | 214 | 205 | 0.014 | 0.062 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | 5.3 | 0.5815 | 0.0130 | 0.022 | 0.824 | 0.908 | 1292 | 1187 | 0.556 | 0.608 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 0.1065 | 0.0105 | 0.099 | 1.377 | 1.174 | 1292 | 1187 | 0.085 | 0.128 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel | 5.5a | 0.9756 | 0.0122 | 0.012 | 1.290 | 1.136 | 236 | 208 | 0.951 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider | 5.5b | 0.9551 | 0.0146 | 0.015 | 1.026 | 1.013 | 236 | 208 | 0.926 | 0.984 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 0.9932 | 0.0068 | 0.007 | 1.405 | 1.185 | 236 | 208 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Institutional deliveries | 5.8 | 0.9932 | 0.0068 | 0.007 | 1.405 | 1.185 | 236 | 208 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 | 0.1735 | 0.0267 | 0.154 | 1.030 | 1.015 | 236 | 208 | 0.120 | 0.227 |
| Post-natal health check for the newborn | 5.11 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 236 | 208 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Post-natal health check for the mother | 5.12 | 0.9783 | 0.0113 | 0.012 | 1.252 | 1.119 | 236 | 208 | 0.956 | 1.000 |
| Literacy rate among young women | 7.1 | 0.9795 | 0.0108 | 0.011 | 2.558 | 1.599 | 476 | 442 | 0.958 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.1966 | 0.0121 | 0.061 | 1.332 | 1.154 | 1536 | 1447 | 0.172 | 0.221 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.3545 | 0.0258 | 0.073 | 1.280 | 1.131 | 476 | 442 | 0.303 | 0.406 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.5152 | 0.0140 | 0.027 | 1.320 | 1.149 | 1799 | 1684 | 0.487 | 0.543 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0269 | 0.0041 | 0.154 | 1.087 | 1.043 | 1774 | 1666 | 0.019 | 0.035 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1877 | 0.0101 | 0.054 | 1.125 | 1.061 | 1799 | 1684 | 0.168 | 0.208 |
| Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.2886 | 0.0271 | 0.094 | 0.782 | 0.884 | 237 | 219 | 0.234 | 0.343 |
| Sex before age 15 among young women | 9.11 | 0.0173 | 0.0065 | 0.379 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 476 | 442 | 0.004 | 0.030 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.5473 | 0.0399 | 0.073 | 0.373 | 0.611 | 56 | 59 | 0.467 | 0.627 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9951 | 0.0018 | 0.002 | 1.096 | 1.047 | 1799 | 1684 | 0.991 | 0.999 |


|  | MICS <br> Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect <br> (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| Knowledge of ways of tuberculosis transmission | MD. TB. 2 | 0.8371 | 0.0154 | 0.018 | 2.936 | 1.713 | 1799 | 1684 | 0.806 | 0.868 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.9309 | 0.0088 | 0.009 | 2.025 | 1.423 | 1799 | 1684 | 0.913 | 0.948 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0088 | 0.0021 | 0.237 | 0.841 | 0.917 | 1799 | 1684 | 0.005 | 0.013 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.8477 | 0.0106 | 0.013 | 1.477 | 1.215 | 1799 | 1684 | 0.826 | 0.869 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.3770 | 0.0161 | 0.043 | 1.866 | 1.366 | 1799 | 1684 | 0.345 | 0.409 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in women | MD. AN. 2 | 0.2481 | 0.0117 | 0.047 | 1.132 | 1.064 | 1663 | 1546 | 0.225 | 0.271 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy rate among young men | 7.1 | 0.9886 | 0.0006 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.062 | 156 | 136 | 0.987 | 0.990 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.0353 | 0.0114 | 0.322 | 1.269 | 1.127 | 367 | 335 | 0.013 | 0.058 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.2380 | 0.0304 | 0.128 | 0.689 | 0.830 | 156 | 136 | 0.177 | 0.299 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.4485 | 0.0237 | 0.053 | 0.944 | 0.972 | 465 | 417 | 0.401 | 0.496 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0312 | 0.0078 | 0.250 | 0.815 | 0.903 | 452 | 407 | 0.016 | 0.047 |
| Men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.0850 | 0.0144 | 0.170 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 465 | 417 | 0.056 | 0.114 |
| Sexually active young men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.0929 | 0.0214 | 0.230 | 0.423 | 0.651 | 89 | 79 | 0.050 | 0.136 |
| Sex before age 15 among young men | 9.11 | 0.0725 | 0.0156 | 0.215 | 0.489 | 0.699 | 156 | 136 | 0.041 | 0.104 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.7829 | 0.0149 | 0.019 | 0.087 | 0.294 | 76 | 67 | 0.753 | 0.813 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9877 | 0.0045 | 0.005 | 0.693 | 0.832 | 465 | 417 | 0.979 | 0.997 |
| Knowledge of ways of tuberculosis transmission | MD. TB. 2 | 0.7603 | 0.0262 | 0.034 | 1.568 | 1.252 | 465 | 417 | 0.708 | 0.813 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.8982 | 0.0166 | 0.018 | 1.256 | 1.121 | 465 | 417 | 0.865 | 0.931 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0015 | 0.0015 | 1.000 | 0.620 | 0.787 | 465 | 417 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.7846 | 0.0198 | 0.025 | 0.968 | 0.984 | 465 | 417 | 0.745 | 0.824 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.3126 | 0.0245 | 0.078 | 1.159 | 1.076 | 465 | 417 | 0.264 | 0.362 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | 2.1a | 0.0215 | 0.0072 | 0.337 | 1.256 | 1.121 | 556 | 504 | 0.007 | 0.036 |
| Stunting prevalence | 2.2a | 0.0720 | 0.0125 | 0.174 | 1.165 | 1.079 | 545 | 496 | 0.047 | 0.097 |
| Wasting prevalence | 2.3a | 0.0127 | 0.0041 | 0.323 | 0.663 | 0.814 | 546 | 496 | 0.004 | 0.021 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.6 | 0.2741 | 0.0437 | 0.160 | 0.509 | 0.714 | 64 | 54 | 0.187 | 0.362 |
| Age-appropriate breastfeeding | 2.14 | 0.2614 | 0.0343 | 0.131 | 1.356 | 1.164 | 252 | 223 | 0.193 | 0.330 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | - | 0.9863 | 0.0137 | 0.014 | 1.493 | 1.222 | 116 | 108 | 0.959 | 1.000 |
| Received polio immunization | - | 0.9396 | 0.0172 | 0.018 | 0.560 | 0.748 | 116 | 108 | 0.905 | 0.974 |
| Received DPT immunization | - | 0.9443 | 0.0176 | 0.019 | 0.633 | 0.795 | 116 | 108 | 0.909 | 0.980 |
| Received measles immunization | - | 0.9385 | 0.0186 | 0.020 | 0.640 | 0.800 | 116 | 108 | 0.901 | 0.976 |
| Received Hepatitis B immunization | - | 0.9431 | 0.0177 | 0.019 | 0.617 | 0.786 | 116 | 107 | 0.908 | 0.978 |
| Diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0806 | 0.0108 | 0.134 | 0.850 | 0.922 | 584 | 538 | 0.059 | 0.102 |
| Illness with a cough in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0290 | 0.0074 | 0.256 | 1.050 | 1.025 | 584 | 538 | 0.014 | 0.044 |


|  | MICS Indicator | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ (r) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Standard error (se) |  | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | 3.8 | * | * | * | * | * | 47 | 47 | * | * |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 3.10 | * | * | * | * | * | 17 | 15 | * | * |
| Support for learning | 6.1 | 0.8907 | 0.0262 | 0.029 | 1.494 | 1.222 | 221 | 213 | 0.838 | 0.943 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.7 | 0.6163 | 0.0342 | 0.056 | 1.050 | 1.025 | 221 | 213 | 0.548 | 0.685 |
| Birth registration | 8.1 | 0.9967 | 0.0024 | 0.002 | 0.941 | 0.970 | 584 | 538 | 0.992 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in children | MD. AN. 1 | 0.1934 | 0.0200 | 0.104 | 1.075 | 1.037 | 453 | 419 | 0.153 | 0.233 |

Table SE.6: Sampling errors: Central region
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Moldova: Central region, 2012

|  | MICS <br> Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | ```Coefficient of variation (se/r)``` | Design effect <br> (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | 2.16 | 0.4387 | 0.0194 | 0.044 | 3.933 | 1.983 | 3208 | 2583 | 0.400 | 0.477 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 0.7811 | 0.0232 | 0.030 | 8.475 | 2.911 | 8685 | 2694 | 0.735 | 0.828 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 0.6476 | 0.0308 | 0.048 | 11.228 | 3.351 | 8685 | 2694 | 0.586 | 0.709 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 | 0.8511 | 0.01414 | 0.017 | 1.163 | 1.078 | 918 | 738 | 0.823 | 0.879 |
| Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | - | 0.9726 | 0.0085 | 0.009 | 1.196 | 1.094 | 548 | 439 | 0.956 | 0.990 |
| Prevalence of children with at least one parent dead | 9.18 | 0.0482 | 0.0077 | 0.159 | 2.063 | 1.436 | 2055 | 1610 | 0.033 | 0.064 |
| Percent distribution of children 0-17 years old with at least one biological parent living abroad | MD EM. 1 | 0.2147 | 0.0160 | 0.075 | 2.444 | 1.563 | 2055 | 1610 | 0.183 | 0.247 |
| Violent discipline | 8.5 | 0.7712 | 0.0234 | 0.030 | 2.364 | 1.538 | 1472 | 765 | 0.724 | 0.818 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 | 0.0732 | 0.0204 | 0.279 | 0.986 | 0.993 | 205 | 161 | 0.032 | 0.114 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | 5.3 | 0.6137 | 0.0156 | 0.025 | 0.964 | 0.982 | 1185 | 938 | 0.582 | 0.645 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 0.0775 | 0.0102 | 0.131 | 1.360 | 1.166 | 1185 | 938 | 0.057 | 0.098 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel | 5.5a | 0.9861 | 0.0111 | 0.011 | 1.435 | 1.198 | 204 | 161 | 0.964 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider | 5.5b | 0.9309 | 0.0297 | 0.032 | 2.196 | 1.482 | 204 | 161 | 0.871 | 0.990 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 0.9861 | 0.0111 | 0.011 | 1.435 | 1.198 | 204 | 161 | 0.964 | 1.000 |
| Institutional deliveries | 5.8 | 0.9861 | 0.0111 | 0.011 | 1.435 | 1.198 | 204 | 161 | 0.964 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 | 0.1655 | 0.0323 | 0.195 | 1.211 | 1.100 | 204 | 161 | 0.101 | 0.230 |
| Post-natal health check for the newborn | 5.11 | 0.9690 | 0.0155 | 0.016 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 204 | 161 | 0.938 | 1.000 |
| Post-natal health check for the mother | 5.12 | 0.9290 | 0.0254 | 0.027 | 1.569 | 1.253 | 204 | 161 | 0.878 | 0.980 |
| Literacy rate among young women | 7.1 | 0.9951 | 0.0029 | 0.003 | 0.647 | 0.804 | 502 | 388 | 0.989 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.1471 | 0.0132 | 0.089 | 1.575 | 1.255 | 1419 | 1142 | 0.121 | 0.173 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.3378 | 0.0262 | 0.078 | 1.192 | 1.092 | 502 | 388 | 0.285 | 0.390 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.5653 | 0.0190 | 0.034 | 2.008 | 1.417 | 1717 | 1369 | 0.527 | 0.603 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0258 | 0.0042 | 0.161 | 0.933 | 0.966 | 1698 | 1357 | 0.017 | 0.034 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1729 | 0.0140 | 0.081 | 1.878 | 1.370 | 1717 | 1369 | 0.145 | 0.201 |
| Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.2599 | 0.0357 | 0.137 | 1.238 | 1.113 | 235 | 188 | 0.189 | 0.331 |
| Sex before age 15 among young women | 9.11 | 0.0058 | 0.0035 | 0.592 | 0.796 | 0.892 | 502 | 388 | 0.000 | 0.013 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.5713 | 0.0426 | 0.075 | 0.563 | 0.750 | 83 | 77 | 0.486 | 0.657 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9917 | 0.0029 | 0.003 | 1.446 | 1.203 | 1717 | 1369 | 0.986 | 0.998 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Squar |  |  | Confid | e limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MICS Indicator | Value (r) | Standard error (se) |  | Design effect (deff) | root of <br> design <br> effect <br> (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 \mathrm{se}$ |
| Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | 3.8 | * | * | * | * | * | 32 | 28 | * | * |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 3.10 | * | * | * | * | * | 16 | 13 | * | * |
| Support for learning | 6.1 | 0.8626 | 0.0299 | 0.035 | 1.280 | 1.131 | 214 | 171 | 0.803 | 0.922 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.7 | 0.6586 | 0.0575 | 0.087 | 2.500 | 1.581 | 214 | 171 | 0.544 | 0.774 |
| Birth registration | 8.1 | 0.9953 | 0.0034 | 0.003 | 1.074 | 1.036 | 552 | 439 | 0.989 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in children | MD. AN. 1 | 0.2395 | 0.0209 | 0.087 | 0.826 | 0.909 | 446 | 347 | 0.198 | 0.281 |

[^22]Table SE.7: Sampling errors: South region

|  |  |  | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect <br> (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MICS <br> Indicator | Value <br> (r) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | 2.16 | 0.3372 | 0.0133 | 0.040 | 1.577 | 1.256 | 1974 | 1985 | 0.311 | 0.364 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 0.8577 | 0.0166 | 0.019 | 4.699 | 2.168 | 5524 | 2093 | 0.825 | 0.891 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 0.6298 | 0.0321 | 0.051 | 9.239 | 3.040 | 5524 | 2093 | 0.566 | 0.694 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 | 0.8557 | 0.01638 | 0.019 | 1.414 | 1.189 | 653 | 652 | 0.823 | 0.888 |
| Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | - | 0.9709 | 0.0074 | 0.008 | 0.747 | 0.864 | 396 | 390 | 0.956 | 0.986 |
| Prevalence of children with at least one parent dead | 9.18 | 0.0523 | 0.0070 | 0.135 | 1.344 | 1.160 | 1361 | 1344 | 0.038 | 0.066 |
| Percent distribution of children 0-17 years old with at least one biological parent living abroad | MD EM. 1 | 0.2478 | 0.0172 | 0.069 | 2.119 | 1.456 | 1361 | 1344 | 0.214 | 0.282 |
| Violent discipline | 8.5 | 0.7686 | 0.0166 | 0.022 | 0.993 | 0.997 | 935 | 639 | 0.735 | 0.802 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 | 0.0670 | 0.0225 | 0.336 | 1.263 | 1.124 | 155 | 157 | 0.022 | 0.112 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | 5.3 | 0.5876 | 0.0224 | 0.038 | 1.514 | 1.231 | 755 | 729 | 0.543 | 0.633 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 0.0815 | 0.0111 | 0.136 | 1.192 | 1.092 | 755 | 729 | 0.059 | 0.104 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel | 5.5a | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 160 | 148 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider | 5.5b | 0.9741 | 0.0132 | 0.014 | 1.018 | 1.009 | 160 | 148 | 0.948 | 1.000 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 0.9935 | 0.0064 | 0.006 | 0.945 | 0.972 | 160 | 148 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| Institutional deliveries | 5.8 | 0.9789 | 0.0110 | 0.011 | 0.853 | 0.924 | 160 | 148 | 0.957 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 | 0.1631 | 0.0284 | 0.174 | 0.866 | 0.930 | 160 | 148 | 0.106 | 0.220 |
| Post-natal health check for the newborn | 5.11 | 0.9834 | 0.0117 | 0.012 | 1.227 | 1.108 | 160 | 148 | 0.960 | 1.000 |
| Post-natal health check for the mother | 5.12 | 0.9339 | 0.0192 | 0.021 | 0.877 | 0.936 | 160 | 148 | 0.896 | 0.972 |
| Literacy rate among young women | 7.1 | 0.9967 | 0.0032 | 0.003 | 1.075 | 1.037 | 333 | 337 | 0.990 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.1526 | 0.0141 | 0.093 | 1.396 | 1.181 | 917 | 906 | 0.124 | 0.181 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.3314 | 0.0335 | 0.101 | 1.703 | 1.305 | 333 | 337 | 0.264 | 0.398 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.5310 | 0.0191 | 0.036 | 1.596 | 1.263 | 1095 | 1086 | 0.493 | 0.569 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0282 | 0.0044 | 0.155 | 0.747 | 0.864 | 1078 | 1071 | 0.019 | 0.037 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1893 | 0.0115 | 0.061 | 0.929 | 0.964 | 1095 | 1086 | 0.166 | 0.212 |
| Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.2933 | 0.0360 | 0.123 | 1.092 | 1.045 | 177 | 176 | 0.221 | 0.365 |
| Sex before age 15 among young women | 9.11 | 0.0057 | 0.0042 | 0.736 | 1.050 | 1.025 | 333 | 337 | 0.000 | 0.014 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.6772 | 0.0373 | 0.055 | 0.376 | 0.613 | 55 | 60 | 0.603 | 0.752 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9915 | 0.0027 | 0.003 | 0.948 | 0.974 | 1095 | 1086 | 0.986 | 0.997 |


|  | MICS Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard <br> error <br> (se) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coefficient } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { variation } \\ & (\mathrm{se} / \mathrm{r}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Design effect <br> (deff) | square <br> root of <br> design <br> effect <br> (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| Knowledge of ways tuberculosis is transmitted | MD. TB. 2 | 0.8061 | 0.0135 | 0.017 | 1.267 | 1.126 | 1095 | 1086 | 0.779 | 0.833 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.9333 | 0.0081 | 0.009 | 1.144 | 1.069 | 1095 | 1086 | 0.917 | 0.949 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0078 | 0.0026 | 0.331 | 0.937 | 0.968 | 1095 | 1086 | 0.003 | 0.013 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.8697 | 0.0103 | 0.012 | 1.012 | 1.006 | 1095 | 1086 | 0.849 | 0.890 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.4386 | 0.0163 | 0.037 | 1.174 | 1.084 | 1095 | 1086 | 0.406 | 0.471 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in women | MD. AN. 2 | 0.2641 | 0.0223 | 0.084 | 2.500 | 1.581 | 1001 | 980 | 0.220 | 0.309 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy rate among young men | 7.1 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 82 | 80 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.0176 | 0.0069 | 0.392 | 0.661 | 0.813 | 252 | 241 | 0.004 | 0.031 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.1804 | 0.0229 | 0.127 | 0.281 | 0.530 | 82 | 80 | 0.135 | 0.226 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.4406 | 0.0372 | 0.085 | 1.587 | 1.260 | 293 | 283 | 0.366 | 0.515 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0261 | 0.0125 | 0.479 | 1.720 | 1.312 | 290 | 280 | 0.001 | 0.051 |
| Men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.0871 | 0.0203 | 0.233 | 1.462 | 1.209 | 293 | 283 | 0.046 | 0.128 |
| Sexually active young men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.1339 | 0.0486 | 0.363 | 1.018 | 1.009 | 51 | 51 | 0.037 | 0.231 |
| Sex before age 15 among young men | 9.11 | 0.1435 | 0.0470 | 0.328 | 1.421 | 1.192 | 82 | 80 | 0.049 | 0.238 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | * | * | * | * | * | 38 | 40 | * | * |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9934 | 0.0048 | 0.005 | 0.975 | 0.987 | 293 | 283 | 0.984 | 1.000 |
| Knowledge of ways tuberculosis is transmitted | MD. TB. 2 | 0.7559 | 0.0284 | 0.038 | 1.229 | 1.109 | 293 | 283 | 0.699 | 0.813 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.8874 | 0.0152 | 0.017 | 0.654 | 0.809 | 293 | 283 | 0.857 | 0.918 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 293 | 283 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.8115 | 0.0228 | 0.028 | 0.959 | 0.979 | 293 | 283 | 0.766 | 0.857 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.2854 | 0.0281 | 0.098 | 1.093 | 1.045 | 293 | 283 | 0.229 | 0.342 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | 2.1a | 0.0121 | 0.0040 | 0.333 | 0.489 | 0.699 | 371 | 361 | 0.004 | 0.020 |
| Stunting prevalence | 2.2a | 0.0699 | 0.0140 | 0.201 | 1.081 | 1.040 | 369 | 358 | 0.042 | 0.098 |
| Wasting prevalence | 2.3a | 0.0293 | 0.0119 | 0.407 | 1.779 | 1.334 | 368 | 357 | 0.005 | 0.053 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.6 | * | * | * | * | * | 43 | 43 | * | * |
| Age-appropriate breastfeeding | 2.14 | 0.3497 | 0.0321 | 0.092 | 0.723 | 0.850 | 172 | 161 | 0.286 | 0.414 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | - | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 78 | 76 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Received polio immunization | - | 0.9753 | 0.0168 | 0.017 | 0.874 | 0.935 | 78 | 76 | 0.942 | 1.000 |
| Received DPT immunization | - | 0.9753 | 0.0168 | 0.017 | 0.874 | 0.935 | 78 | 76 | 0.942 | 1.000 |
| Received measles immunization | - | 0.9928 | 0.0005 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.052 | 78 | 76 | 0.992 | 0.994 |
| Received Hepatitis B immunization | - | 0.9922 | 0.0005 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.054 | 78 | 75 | 0.991 | 0.993 |
| Diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0597 | 0.0141 | 0.237 | 1.368 | 1.169 | 395 | 385 | 0.031 | 0.088 |
| Illness with a cough in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0421 | 0.0114 | 0.271 | 1.237 | 1.112 | 395 | 385 | 0.019 | 0.065 |


|  | MICS Indicator | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient <br> variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | 3.8 | * | * | * | * | * | 24 | 25 | * | * |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 3.10 | * | * | * | * | * | 17 | 14 | * | * |
| Support for learning | 6.1 | 0.8856 | 0.0225 | 0.025 | 0.742 | 0.861 | 152 | 150 | 0.841 | 0.931 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.7 | 0.7714 | 0.0356 | 0.046 | 1.073 | 1.036 | 152 | 150 | 0.700 | 0.843 |
| Birth registration | 8.1 | 0.9940 | 0.0043 | 0.004 | 1.189 | 1.090 | 395 | 385 | 0.985 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in children | MD. AN. 1 | 0.2554 | 0.0296 | 0.116 | 1.333 | 1.155 | 304 | 291 | 0.196 | 0.315 |

Table SE.8: Sampling errors: Chișinău

|  |  |  | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coefficient } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { variation } \\ & (s e / r) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MICS <br> Indicator | Value <br> (r) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iodized salt consumption | 2.16 | 0.6817 | 0.0124 | 0.018 | 2.021 | 1.422 | 2019 | 2851 | 0.657 | 0.707 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | 4.1 | 0.9839 | 0.0030 | 0.003 | 1.817 | 1.348 | 5502 | 3128 | 0.978 | 0.990 |
| Use of improved sanitation | 4.3 | 0.8645 | 0.0147 | 0.017 | 5.741 | 2.396 | 5502 | 3128 | 0.835 | 0.894 |
| Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | 7.5 | 0.9291 | 0.01582 | 0.017 | 2.519 | 1.587 | 480 | 664 | 0.897 | 0.961 |
| Lower secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | - | 0.9611 | 0.0118 | 0.012 | 1.350 | 1.162 | 259 | 364 | 0.938 | 0.985 |
| Prevalence of children with at least one parent dead | 9.18 | 0.0304 | 0.0050 | 0.165 | 1.313 | 1.146 | 1062 | 1544 | 0.020 | 0.040 |
| Percent distribution of children 0-17 years old with at least one biological parent living abroad | MD EM. 1 | 0.0942 | 0.0109 | 0.116 | 2.163 | 1.471 | 1062 | 1544 | 0.072 | 0.116 |
| Violent discipline | 8.5 | 0.7470 | 0.0159 | 0.021 | 1.051 | 1.025 | 710 | 787 | 0.715 | 0.779 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early childbearing | 5.2 | 0.0174 | 0.0070 | 0.403 | 1.123 | 1.060 | 310 | 391 | 0.003 | 0.031 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | 5.3 | 0.5938 | 0.0183 | 0.031 | 1.608 | 1.268 | 840 | 1155 | 0.557 | 0.630 |
| Unmet need | 5.4 | 0.1140 | 0.0101 | 0.089 | 1.166 | 1.080 | 840 | 1155 | 0.094 | 0.134 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least once by skilled personnel | 5.5a | 0.9956 | 0.0003 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.061 | 150 | 206 | 0.995 | 0.996 |
| Antenatal care coverage - at least four times by any provider | 5.5b | 0.9610 | 0.0121 | 0.013 | 0.796 | 0.892 | 150 | 206 | 0.937 | 0.985 |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | 5.7 | 0.9949 | 0.0051 | 0.005 | 1.059 | 1.029 | 150 | 206 | 0.985 | 1.000 |
| Institutional deliveries | 5.8 | 0.9949 | 0.0051 | 0.005 | 1.059 | 1.029 | 150 | 206 | 0.985 | 1.000 |
| Caesarean section | 5.9 | 0.1391 | 0.0251 | 0.181 | 1.080 | 1.039 | 150 | 206 | 0.089 | 0.189 |
| Post-natal health check for the newborn | 5.11 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 150 | 206 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Post-natal health check for the mother | 5.12 | 0.9124 | 0.0209 | 0.023 | 1.121 | 1.059 | 150 | 206 | 0.871 | 0.954 |
| Literacy rate among young women | 7.1 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 492 | 623 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.0877 | 0.0069 | 0.079 | 0.978 | 0.989 | 1207 | 1629 | 0.074 | 0.102 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.4088 | 0.0225 | 0.055 | 1.298 | 1.139 | 492 | 623 | 0.364 | 0.454 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.5057 | 0.0141 | 0.028 | 1.470 | 1.213 | 1389 | 1861 | 0.478 | 0.534 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0308 | 0.0043 | 0.140 | 1.158 | 1.076 | 1387 | 1859 | 0.022 | 0.039 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1819 | 0.0100 | 0.055 | 1.262 | 1.123 | 1389 | 1861 | 0.162 | 0.202 |
| Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.2317 | 0.0263 | 0.114 | 1.463 | 1.210 | 294 | 377 | 0.179 | 0.284 |
| Sex before age 15 among young women | 9.11 | 0.0075 | 0.0031 | 0.413 | 0.798 | 0.893 | 492 | 623 | 0.001 | 0.014 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.6911 | 0.0376 | 0.054 | 1.318 | 1.148 | 159 | 200 | 0.616 | 0.766 |


|  | MICS <br> Indicator | Value$(r)$ | Standard error (se) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coefficient } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { variation } \\ & (\mathrm{se} / \mathrm{r}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Design effect <br> (deff) | syuare <br> root of <br> design <br> effect <br> (deft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { count } \end{gathered}$ | Unweighted count | Contidence lımits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9972 | 0.0014 | 0.001 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 1389 | 1861 | 0.994 | 1.000 |
| Knowledge of ways tuberculosis is transmitted | MD. TB. 2 | 0.9211 | 0.0071 | 0.008 | 1.281 | 1.132 | 1389 | 1861 | 0.907 | 0.935 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.9659 | 0.0054 | 0.006 | 1.640 | 1.281 | 1389 | 1861 | 0.955 | 0.977 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0249 | 0.0046 | 0.184 | 1.604 | 1.266 | 1389 | 1861 | 0.016 | 0.034 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.9052 | 0.0062 | 0.007 | 0.837 | 0.915 | 1389 | 1861 | 0.893 | 0.918 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.4872 | 0.0147 | 0.030 | 1.618 | 1.272 | 1389 | 1861 | 0.458 | 0.517 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in women | MD. AN. 2 | 0.2126 | 0.0114 | 0.054 | 1.139 | 1.067 | 1102 | 1467 | 0.190 | 0.235 |
| MEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy rate among young men | 7.1 | 1.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 130 | 173 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | 8.7 | 0.0322 | 0.0101 | 0.313 | 1.308 | 1.144 | 291 | 403 | 0.012 | 0.052 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | 9.2 | 0.3450 | 0.0316 | 0.092 | 0.759 | 0.871 | 130 | 173 | 0.282 | 0.408 |
| Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | 9.3 | 0.4188 | 0.0241 | 0.058 | 1.136 | 1.066 | 346 | 477 | 0.371 | 0.467 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV | 9.4 | 0.0524 | 0.0107 | 0.203 | 1.086 | 1.042 | 345 | 476 | 0.031 | 0.074 |
| Men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.6 | 0.1199 | 0.0148 | 0.124 | 0.993 | 0.997 | 346 | 477 | 0.090 | 0.150 |
| Sexually active young men who have been tested for HIV and know the results | 9.7 | 0.1163 | 0.0279 | 0.240 | 0.916 | 0.957 | 91 | 122 | 0.061 | 0.172 |
| Sex before age 15 among young men | 9.11 | 0.0459 | 0.0114 | 0.248 | 0.510 | 0.714 | 130 | 173 | 0.023 | 0.069 |
| Condom use with non-regular partners | 9.16 | 0.8768 | 0.0313 | 0.036 | 0.891 | 0.944 | 74 | 99 | 0.814 | 0.939 |
| Knowledge of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 1 | 0.9966 | 0.0024 | 0.002 | 0.827 | 0.909 | 346 | 477 | 0.992 | 1.000 |
| Knowledge of ways tuberculosis is transmitted | MD. TB. 2 | 0.8554 | 0.0137 | 0.016 | 0.722 | 0.849 | 346 | 477 | 0.828 | 0.883 |
| Knowledge of at least one symptom of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 3 | 0.9622 | 0.0089 | 0.009 | 1.027 | 1.013 | 346 | 477 | 0.944 | 0.980 |
| Knowledge of all three most common symptoms of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.000 | n/a | n/a | 346 | 477 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Knowledge of treatment of tuberculosis | MD. TB. 5 | 0.9023 | 0.0162 | 0.018 | 1.416 | 1.190 | 346 | 477 | 0.870 | 0.935 |
| Accepting attitudes towards people living with tuberculosis | MD. TB. 6 | 0.4074 | 0.0239 | 0.059 | 1.124 | 1.060 | 346 | 477 | 0.360 | 0.455 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | 2.1a | 0.0244 | 0.0091 | 0.373 | 1.418 | 1.191 | 276 | 408 | 0.006 | 0.043 |
| Stunting prevalence | 2.2a | 0.0307 | 0.0088 | 0.286 | 1.040 | 1.020 | 271 | 402 | 0.013 | 0.048 |
| Wasting prevalence | 2.3a | 0.0152 | 0.0074 | 0.484 | 1.439 | 1.200 | 269 | 399 | 0.001 | 0.030 |
| Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | 2.6 | * | * | * | * | * | 30 | 42 | * | * |
| Age-appropriate breastfeeding | 2.14 | 0.2677 | 0.0281 | 0.105 | 0.841 | 0.917 | 145 | 210 | 0.212 | 0.324 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | - | 0.9351 | 0.0185 | 0.020 | 0.617 | 0.786 | 71 | 111 | 0.898 | 0.972 |
| Received polio immunization | - | 0.8221 | 0.0271 | 0.033 | 0.554 | 0.744 | 71 | 111 | 0.768 | 0.876 |
| Received DPT immunization | - | 0.8117 | 0.0272 | 0.033 | 0.526 | 0.725 | 70 | 110 | 0.757 | 0.866 |
| Received measles immunization | - | 0.8132 | 0.0272 | 0.033 | 0.521 | 0.722 | 69 | 108 | 0.759 | 0.868 |
| Received Hepatitis B immunization | - | 0.8631 | 0.0220 | 0.025 | 0.437 | 0.661 | 69 | 108 | 0.819 | 0.907 |
| Diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0662 | 0.0106 | 0.160 | 0.913 | 0.956 | 338 | 507 | 0.045 | 0.087 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MICS } \\ \text { Indicator } \end{gathered}$ | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r$-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| Illness with a cough in the previous 2 weeks | - | 0.0406 | 0.0114 | 0.282 | 1.704 | 1.305 | 338 | 507 | 0.018 | 0.063 |
| Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | 3.8 | * | * | * | * | * | 22 | 33 | * | * |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 3.10 | * | * | * | * | * | 14 | 16 | * | * |
| Support for learning | 6.1 | 0.9436 | 0.0168 | 0.018 | 1.045 | 1.022 | 126 | 199 | 0.910 | 0.977 |
| Attendance to early childhood education | 6.7 | 0.8677 | 0.0214 | 0.025 | 0.791 | 0.890 | 126 | 199 | 0.825 | 0.911 |
| Birth registration | 8.1 | 0.9967 | 0.0025 | 0.003 | 1.005 | 1.003 | 338 | 507 | 0.992 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of any anaemia in children | MD. AN. 1 | 0.1487 | 0.0207 | 0.139 | 1.075 | 1.037 | 218 | 319 | 0.107 | 0.190 |

Table DQ.1: Age distribution of household population
Single-year age distribution of household population by sex, Moldova, 2012

| Age | Males |  | Females |  | Age | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 | 200 | 1.5 | 213 | 1.4 | 45 | 163 | 1.2 | 184 | 1.2 |
| 1 | 198 | 1.5 | 205 | 1.3 | 46 | 163 | 1.2 | 185 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 201 | 1.5 | 187 | 1.2 | 47 | 161 | 1.2 | 161 | 1.1 |
| 3 | 204 | 1.5 | 185 | 1.2 | 48 | 175 | 1.3 | 221 | 1.4 |
| 4 | 192 | 1.4 | 161 | 1.1 | 49 | 197 | 1.5 | 182 | 1.2 |
| 5 | 199 | 1.5 | 167 | 1.1 | 50 | 205 | 1.5 | 262 | 1.7 |
| 6 | 187 | 1.4 | 151 | 1.0 | 51 | 219 | 1.6 | 263 | 1.7 |
| 7 | 176 | 1.3 | 191 | 1.3 | 52 | 218 | 1.6 | 257 | 1.7 |
| 8 | 137 | 1.0 | 182 | 1.2 | 53 | 227 | 1.7 | 269 | 1.8 |
| 9 | 177 | 1.3 | 160 | 1.1 | 54 | 232 | 1.7 | 263 | 1.7 |
| 10 | 169 | 1.3 | 146 | 1.0 | 55 | 214 | 1.6 | 255 | 1.7 |
| 11 | 164 | 1.2 | 148 | 1.0 | 56 | 239 | 1.8 | 253 | 1.7 |
| 12 | 180 | 1.3 | 151 | 1.0 | 57 | 230 | 1.7 | 290 | 1.9 |
| 13 | 190 | 1.4 | 167 | 1.1 | 58 | 200 | 1.5 | 251 | 1.6 |
| 14 | 170 | 1.3 | 184 | 1.2 | 59 | 186 | 1.4 | 236 | 1.5 |
| 15 | 203 | 1.5 | 181 | 1.2 | 60 | 246 | 1.8 | 294 | 1.9 |
| 16 | 197 | 1.5 | 203 | 1.3 | 61 | 185 | 1.4 | 277 | 1.8 |
| 17 | 215 | 1.6 | 171 | 1.1 | 62 | 210 | 1.6 | 273 | 1.8 |
| 18 | 177 | 1.3 | 231 | 1.5 | 63 | 240 | 1.8 | 260 | 1.7 |
| 19 | 200 | 1.5 | 211 | 1.4 | 64 | 71 | 0.5 | 137 | 0.9 |
| 20 | 170 | 1.3 | 174 | 1.1 | 65 | 114 | 0.8 | 147 | 1.0 |
| 21 | 192 | 1.4 | 210 | 1.4 | 66 | 70 | 0.5 | 91 | 0.6 |
| 22 | 205 | 1.5 | 174 | 1.1 | 67 | 64 | 0.5 | 108 | 0.7 |
| 23 | 191 | 1.4 | 194 | 1.3 | 68 | 83 | 0.6 | 150 | 1.0 |
| 24 | 223 | 1.6 | 226 | 1.5 | 69 | 95 | 0.7 | 117 | 0.8 |
| 25 | 225 | 1.7 | 214 | 1.4 | 70 | 130 | 1.0 | 183 | 1.2 |
| 26 | 194 | 1.4 | 216 | 1.4 | 71 | 83 | 0.6 | 133 | 0.9 |
| 27 | 196 | 1.5 | 207 | 1.4 | 72 | 73 | 0.5 | 134 | 0.9 |
| 28 | 196 | 1.4 | 185 | 1.2 | 73 | 64 | 0.5 | 139 | 0.9 |
| 29 | 201 | 1.5 | 171 | 1.1 | 74 | 70 | 0.5 | 141 | 0.9 |
| 30 | 161 | 1.2 | 188 | 1.2 | 75 | 63 | 0.5 | 105 | 0.7 |
| 31 | 160 | 1.2 | 197 | 1.3 | 76 | 73 | 0.5 | 113 | 0.7 |
| 32 | 176 | 1.3 | 178 | 1.2 | 77 | 65 | 0.5 | 97 | 0.6 |
| 33 | 163 | 1.2 | 170 | 1.1 | 78 | 52 | 0.4 | 77 | 0.5 |
| 34 | 156 | 1.2 | 173 | 1.1 | 79 | 36 | 0.3 | 84 | 0.5 |
| 35 | 177 | 1.3 | 146 | 1.0 | 80 | 40 | 0.3 | 82 | 0.5 |
| 36 | 155 | 1.1 | 170 | 1.1 | 81 | 31 | 0.2 | 74 | 0.5 |
| 37 | 148 | 1.1 | 198 | 1.3 | 82 | 32 | 0.2 | 66 | 0.4 |
| 38 | 176 | 1.3 | 177 | 1.2 | 83 | 38 | 0.3 | 60 | 0.4 |
| 39 | 153 | 1.1 | 164 | 1.1 | 84 | 19 | 0.1 | 52 | 0.3 |
| 40 | 174 | 1.3 | 190 | 1.2 | 85+ | 58 | 0.4 | 183 | 1.2 |
| 41 | 171 | 1.3 | 150 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 148 | 1.1 | 160 | 1.1 | DK/ <br> Missing | 7 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 43 | 165 | 1.2 | 149 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 159 | 1.2 | 183 | 1.2 | Total | 13515 | 100.0 | 15274 | 100.0 |

Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women
Household population of women age 10-54 years, interviewed women age 15-49 years, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed, by five-year age groups, Moldova, 2012

|  | Household population of women aged 10-54 years | Interviewed women aged 15-49 years |  | Percentage of eligible women interviewed (Completion rate) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Percent |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 795 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 15-19 | 997 | 900 | 15.4 | 90.3 |
| 20-24 | 978 | 859 | 14.7 | 87.8 |
| 25-29 | 993 | 898 | 15.3 | 90.4 |
| 30-34 | 906 | 833 | 14.2 | 92.0 |
| 35-39 | 854 | 779 | 13.3 | 91.2 |
| 40-44 | 833 | 758 | 12.9 | 91.0 |
| 45-49 | 932 | 833 | 14.2 | 89.3 |
| 50-54 | 1315 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total (15-49) | 6493 | 5860 | 100.0 | 90.3 |
| Ratio of 50-54 to 45-49 |  |  |  | 1.41 |

n/a: not applicable

Table DQ.2M: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed men
Household population of men age 10-54 years in households eligible for the men's questionnaire, interviewed men age 15-49 years, and percentage of eligible men who were interviewed, by five-year age groups, Moldova, 2012

|  | Household population of men aged 10-54 years | Interviewed men aged 15-49 years |  | Percentage of eligible men interviewed (Completion rate) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Percent |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 285 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 15-19 | 321 | 255 | 16.9 | 79.6 |
| 20-24 | 300 | 232 | 15.3 | 77.4 |
| 25-29 | 308 | 233 | 15.4 | 75.6 |
| 30-34 | 229 | 166 | 10.9 | 72.3 |
| 35-39 | 249 | 203 | 13.4 | 81.5 |
| 40-44 | 272 | 217 | 14.3 | 79.8 |
| 45-49 | 260 | 209 | 13.8 | 80.5 |
| 50-54 | 390 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total (15-49) | 1940 | 1516 | 100.0 | 78.1 |
| Ratio of 50-54 to 45-49 |  |  |  | 1.50 |

$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ : not applicable

Table DQ.3: Age distribution of under-5s in household and under-5 questionnaires
Household population of children aged 0-7 years, children aged 0-4 years whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, and percentage of under-5 children whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, by single ages, Moldova, 2012

|  | Household population of children aged 0-7 years | Interviewed under-5 children |  | Percentage of eligible under-5s interviewed (Completion rate) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Percent |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 414 | 401 | 21.3 | 96.8 |
| 1 | 403 | 388 | 20.6 | 96.3 |
| 2 | 389 | 376 | 20.0 | 96.7 |
| 3 | 389 | 378 | 20.1 | 97.2 |
| 4 | 354 | 338 | 18.0 | 95.5 |
| 5 | 365 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 6 | 338 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 7 | 367 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total (0-4) | 1948 | 1881 | 100.0 | 96.5 |
| Ratio of 5 to 4 |  |  |  | 1.03 |

Table DQ.4: Women's completion rates by socio-economic characteristics of households
Household population of women age 15-49, interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed, by selected social and economic characteristics of the household, Moldova, 2012

|  | Household population of women aged 15-49 years |  | Interviewed women aged 15-49 years |  | Percent of eligible women interviewed (Completion rates) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Region } \\ \text { North } & 1905 & 29.3 & 1763 & 30.1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Centre | 1869 | 28.8 | 1698 | 29.0 | 90.8 |
| South | 1172 | 18.1 | 1076 | 18.4 | 91.8 |
| Chișinău | 1547 | 23.8 | 1323 | 22.6 | 85.5 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 2735 | 42.1 | 2401 | 41.0 | 87.8 |
| Rural | 3758 | 57.9 | 3460 | 59.0 | 92.1 |
| Household size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-3 | 3266 | 50.3 | 2968 | 50.6 | 90.9 |
| 4-6 | 3046 | 46.9 | 2737 | 46.7 | 89.9 |
| 7+ | 181 | 2.8 | 155 | 2.6 | 85.5 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 2496 | 38.4 | 2275 | 38.8 | 91.2 |
| Professional | 2554 | 39.3 | 2310 | 39.4 | 90.5 |
| Higher | 1285 | 19.8 | 1136 | 19.4 | 88.4 |
| Missing/DK | 56 | 0.9 | 51 | 0.9 | 90.5 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 797 | 12.3 | 719 | 12.3 | 90.1 |
| Second | 1099 | 16.9 | 1022 | 17.4 | 93.0 |
| Middle | 1421 | 21.9 | 1311 | 22.4 | 92.2 |
| Fourth | 1502 | 23.1 | 1354 | 23.1 | 90.1 |
| Richest | 1674 | 25.8 | 1455 | 24.8 | 86.9 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 5238 | 80.7 | 4760 | 81.2 | 90.9 |
| Russian | 312 | 4.8 | 266 | 4.5 | 85.4 |
| Ukrainian | 489 | 7.5 | 432 | 7.4 | 88.4 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 66 | 1.0 | 57 | 1.0 | 86.2 |
| Gagauz | 232 | 3.6 | 213 | 3.6 | 91.8 |
| Other ethnic group | 156 | 2.4 | 132 | 2.2 | 84.4 |
| Total | 6493 | 100.0 | 5860 | 100.0 | 90.3 |

Table DQ.4M: Men's completion rates by socio-economic characteristics of households
Household population of men age 15-49 in households eligible for the men's questionnaire, interviewed men age 15-49, and percentage of

|  | Household population of men aged 15-49 years |  | Interviewed men aged 15-49 years |  | Percent of eligible men interviewed (Completion rates) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| Region North | 570 | 29.4 | 458 | 30.2 | 80.3 |
| Centre | 538 | 27.7 | 438 | 28.9 | 81.4 |
| South | 370 | 19.1 | 290 | 19.1 | 78.4 |
| Chișinău | 462 | 23.8 | 330 | 21.8 | 71.5 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 758 | 39.1 | 570 | 37.6 | 75.2 |
| Rural | 1181 | 60.9 | 946 | 62.4 | 80.0 |
| Household size 990 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-3 | 990 | 51.0 | 794 | 52.4 | 80.2 |
| 4-6 | 888 | 45.8 | 675 | 44.6 | 76.0 |
| 7+ | 62 | 3.2 | 47 | 3.1 | 75.3 |
| Education of household head None/primary | 29 | 1.5 | 22 | 1.4 | 75.1 |
| Secondary | 773 | 39.9 | 613 | 40.4 | 79.2 |
| Professional | 768 | 39.6 | 596 | 39.3 | 77.6 |
| Higher | 342 | 17.6 | 263 | 17.3 | 76.9 |
| Missing/DK | 27 | 1.4 | 22 | 1.5 | 81.5 |
| Wealth index quintiles 276 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 276 | 14.2 | 234 | 15.4 | 84.8 |
| Second | 357 | 18.4 | 275 | 18.1 | 76.9 |
| Middle | 422 | 21.8 | 341 | 22.5 | 80.7 |
| Fourth | 395 | 20.4 | 307 | 20.3 | 77.7 |
| Richest | 489 | 25.2 | 359 | 23.7 | 73.4 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 1554 | 80.1 | 1225 | 80.8 | 78.8 |
| Russian | 90 | 4.6 | 61 | 4.1 | 68.4 |
| Ukrainian | 156 | 8.1 | 125 | 8.2 | 79.8 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 18 | 1.0 | 15 | 1.0 | 82.6 |
| Gagauz | 75 | 3.8 | 57 | 3.8 | 76.8 |
| Other ethnic group | 46 | 2.4 | 32 | 2.1 | 70.4 |
| Total | 1940 | 100.0 | 1516 | 100.0 | 78.1 |

Table DQ.5: Completion rates for under-5 questionnaires by socio-economic characteristics of households Household population of under-5-years-old children, under-5 questionnaires completed, and percentage of under-5 children for whom interviews were completed, by selected socio-economic characteristics of the household, Moldova, 2012

|  | Household population of under-5 children |  | Interviewed under-5 children |  | Percent of eligible under5 s with completed under-5 questionnaires (Completion rates) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 595 | 30.5 | 588 | 31.3 | 98.9 |
| Centre | 586 | 30.1 | 556 | 29.6 | 94.9 |
| South | 405 | 20.8 | 397 | 21.1 | 98.1 |
| Chișinău | 363 | 18.6 | 338 | 18.0 | 93.4 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 710 | 36.5 | 683 | 36.3 | 96.1 |
| Rural | 1238 | 63.5 | 1198 | 63.7 | 96.7 |
| Household size 611 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1-3$ | 611 | 31.4 | 590 | 31.4 | 96.6 |
| 4-6 | 1221 | 62.7 | 1177 | 62.6 | 96.4 |
| 7+ | 116 | 6.0 | 113 | 6.0 | 97.4 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary | 31 | 1.6 | 30 | 1.6 | 97.2 |
| Secondary | 937 | 48.1 | 906 | 48.2 | 96.7 |
| Professional | 622 | 31.9 | 602 | 32.0 | 96.8 |
| Higher | 335 | 17.2 | 320 | 17.0 | 95.4 |
| Missing/DK | 23 | 1.2 | 23 | 1.2 | 98.4 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 345 | 17.7 | 335 | 17.8 | 96.9 |
| Second | 409 | 21.0 | 404 | 21.5 | 98.7 |
| Middle | 383 | 19.7 | 373 | 19.9 | 97.4 |
| Fourth | 346 | 17.7 | 327 | 17.4 | 94.7 |
| Richest | 465 | 23.9 | 442 | 23.5 | 95.0 |
| Ethnicity of household head |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 1584 | 81.3 | 1527 | 81.2 | 96.4 |
| Russian | 78 | 4.0 | 73 | 3.9 | 93.6 |
| Ukrainian | 113 | 5.8 | 109 | 5.8 | 96.6 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | 32 | 1.6 | 32 | 1.7 | 100.0 |
| Gagauz | 89 | 4.6 | 88 | 4.7 | 98.9 |
| Other ethnic group | 53 | 2.7 | 52 | 2.8 | 97.2 |
| Total | 1948 | 100.0 | 1881 | 100.0 | 96.5 |

Table DQ.6: Completeness of reporting
Percentage of observations that are missing information for selected questions and indicators, Moldova, 2012

| Questionnaire and type of missing information | Reference group | Percent with missing/incomplete information ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household |  |  |  |
| Age | All household members | 0.1 | 28852 |
| Salt test result | All households interviewed that have salt | 0.0 | 11354 |
| Starting time of interview | All households interviewed | 0.0 | 11354 |
| Ending time of interview | All households interviewed | 0.0 | 11354 |
| Women |  |  |  |
| Woman's date of birth | All women age 15-49 |  |  |
| Only month |  | 0.0 | 6000 |
| Both month and year |  | 0.0 | 6000 |
| Date of first birth Only month | All women age 15-49 with at least one live birth | 0.0 | 4192 |
| Both month and year |  | 0.0 | 4192 |
| Date of last birth Only month | All women age 15-49 with a live birth in last 2 years | 0.0 | 4192 |
| Both month and year |  | 0.0 | 4192 |
| Date of first marriage/union Only month | All ever married women age 15-49 | 1.7 | 4694 |
| Both month and year |  | 1.2 | 4694 |
| Age at first marriage/union | All ever married women age 15-49 with year of first marriage not known | 0.0 | 4694 |
| Age at first intercourse | All women aged 15-24 years who have ever had sex | 0.2 | 986 |
| Time since last intercourse | All women aged 15-24 years who have ever had sex | 0.2 | 983 |
| Starting time of interview | All women interviewed | 0.0 | 6000 |
| Ending time of interview | All women interviewed | 0.0 | 6000 |
| Men |  |  |  |
| Man's date of birth | All men age 15-49 |  |  |
| Only month |  | 0.0 | 1545 |
| Both month and year |  | 0.0 | 1545 |
| Date of first marriage/union Only month | All ever married men age 15-49 | 7.6 | 978 |
| Both month and year |  | 1.3 | 978 |
| Age at first intercourse | All men aged 15-24 years who have ever had sex | 0.8 | 346 |
| Time since last intercourse | All men aged 15-24 years who have ever had sex | 0.8 | 346 |
| Starting time of interview | All men interviewed | 0.0 | 1545 |
| Ending time of interview | All men interviewed | 0.0 | 1545 |
| Under-5 |  |  |  |
| Date of birth | All under-5 children |  |  |
| Only month |  | 0.0 | 1869 |
| Both month and year |  | 0.0 | 1869 |
| Anthropometric measurements | All under-5 children |  |  |
| Weight |  | 7.5 | 1869 |
| Height |  | 8.4 | 1869 |
| Both weight and height |  | 7.3 | 1869 |
| Starting time of interview | All under-5 children | 0.0 | 1869 |
| Ending time of interview | All under-5 children | 0.0 | 1869 |

[^23]Table DQ.7: Completeness of information for anthropometric indicators
Distribution of children under 5 by completeness of information for anthropometric indicators, Moldova, 2012


Table DQ.8: Heaping in anthropometric measurements
Distribution of weight and height/length measurements by digits reported for
decimals, Moldova, 2012

|  | Weight |  |  | Height or length |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Digits | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| 0 | 223 | 13.2 |  | 592 | 34.9 |
| 1 | 174 | 10.3 |  | 107 | 6.3 |
| 2 | 168 | 9.9 |  | 141 | 8.3 |
| 3 | 175 | 10.3 |  | 142 | 8.4 |
| 4 | 169 | 10.0 |  | 87 | 5.1 |
| 5 | 203 | 12.0 |  | 262 | 15.4 |
| 6 | 142 | 8.4 |  | 115 | 6.8 |
| 7 | 128 | 7.6 |  | 98 | 5.8 |
| 8 | 161 | 9.5 |  | 76 | 4.5 |
| 9 | 151 | 8.9 |  | 76 | 4.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 or 5 | 426 | 25.1 |  | 854 | 50.4 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Table DQ.9: Observation of places for handwashing

|  | Place for handwashing |  |  |  | Total | Number of households interviewed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed | Not observed |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Not in the dwelling, plot or yard | No permission to see | Other |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 91.0 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 3439 |
| Centre | 91.6 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 100.0 | 2694 |
| South | 88.2 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 100.0 | 2093 |
| Chișinău | 86.4 | 1.5 | 10.8 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 3128 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.6 | 1.4 | 6.6 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 6415 |
| Rural | 89.1 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 100.0 | 4939 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 86.6 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 2131 |
| Second | 90.2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 100.0 | 1818 |
| Middle | 91.3 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 1991 |
| Fourth | 89.8 | 1.1 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 2508 |
| Richest | 89.3 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 2906 |
| Total | 89.4 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 11354 |

Table DQ.10: Observation of under-5s birth certificates
Percent distribution of children under 5 by presence of birth certificates, and percentage of birth calendar seen, Moldova, 2012

|  | Child does not have birth certificate | Child has birth certificate |  | $\qquad$ |  | Percent of birth certificates seen by the interviewer$(1) /(1+2) * 100$ | Number of children under the age of five |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Seen by the interviewer <br> (1) | Not seen by the interviewer <br> (2) |  | Total |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 3.2 | 90.3 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 538 |
| Centre | 3.9 | 85.6 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.1 | 439 |
| South | 5.5 | 84.9 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.8 | 385 |
| Chișinău | 1.6 | 76.9 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 78.2 | 507 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.8 | 83.6 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85.2 | 1031 |
| Rural | 5.3 | 85.6 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90.3 | 838 |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 4.1 | 86.7 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 90.4 | 390 |
| 1 | 2.9 | 83.3 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85.8 | 377 |
| 2 | 3.3 | 82.9 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 369 |
| 3 | 4.1 | 84.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 88.4 | 388 |
| 4 | 2.3 | 84.6 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 86.6 | 345 |
| Total | 3.4 | 84.5 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 87.4 | 1869 |

Table DQ.11: Observation of vaccination cards
Percent distribution of children under 5 by presence of a vaccination card, and the percentage of vaccination cards seen by the interviewers, Moldova, 2012

|  | Child does not have vaccination card |  | Child has vaccination card |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Don't know/ } \\ \text { Missing } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Percent of vaccination cards seen by the interviewer (1)/ $(1+2) * 100$ | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had vaccination card previously | Never had vaccination card | Seen by the interviewer (1) | Not seen by the interviewer (2) |  | Total |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 77.5 | 2.2 | 16.9 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83.5 | 538 |
| Centre | 65.1 | 3.2 | 21.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 66.2 | 439 |
| South | 71.9 | 1.3 | 13.8 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 51.5 | 385 |
| Chișinău | 14.4 | 2.8 | 40.2 | 42.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 48.6 | 507 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 42.1 | 3.0 | 32.4 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 59.0 | 1031 |
| Rural | 73.9 | 1.7 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 51.7 | 838 |
| Child's age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 53.3 | 2.8 | 25.1 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 57.3 | 390 |
| 1 | 56.8 | 2.9 | 22.8 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 56.6 | 377 |
| 2 | 55.8 | 3.3 | 23.6 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 57.6 | 369 |
| 3 | 59.5 | 1.3 | 20.4 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 52.0 | 388 |
| 4 | 56.2 | 1.7 | 26.1 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 62.1 | 345 |
| Total | 56.3 | 2.4 | 23.5 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 57.1 | 1869 |

Table DQ.12: Presence of mother in the household and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire Distribution of children under five by whether the mother lives in the same household, and the person interviewed for the under-5

|  | Mother in the household |  |  | Mother not in the household |  | Total | Number of children under 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mother interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 99.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 414 |
| 1 | 95.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 403 |
| 2 | 90.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 8.0 | 100.0 | 389 |
| 3 | 88.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 8.6 | 100.0 | 389 |
| 4 | 87.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 10.5 | 100.0 | 354 |
| Total | 92.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 100.0 | 1948 |

Table DQ.13: Selection of children aged 2-14 years for the child discipline module
Percentage of households with at least two children aged 2-14 years where correct selection of one child for the child discipline module was performed, Moldova, 2012

Percentage of households where correct selection was performed

Number of households with 2 or more

|  | selection was performed | children aged 2-14 years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |
| North | 94.4 | 321 |
| Centre | 93.2 | 294 |
| South | 94.8 | 250 |
| Chișinău | 94.6 | 242 |
| Area |  |  |
| Urban | 93.7 | 539 |
| Rural | 94.7 | 568 |
| Number of children aged 2-14 years | 94.5 |  |
| 2 | 94.8 | 953 |
| 3 | 92.9 | 115 |
| 4 | 63.6 | 28 |
| $5+$ | 94.2 | 11 |
|  |  | 1107 |

Table DQ.14: School attendance by single age
Distribution of household population age 5-24 by educational level and grade attended in the current (or most recent) school year, Moldova, 2012


Table DQ.15: Sex ratio at birth among children ever born and living
Sex ratio (number of males per 100 females) among children ever born (at birth), children living, and deceased children, by age of women, Moldova,

|  | Children Ever Born |  |  | Children Living |  |  | Children Deceased |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of sons ever born | Number of daughters ever born | Sex ratio at birth | Number of sons living | Number of daughters living | Sex ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { deceased } \\ \text { sons } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of deceased daughters | Sex ratio |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 17 | 27 | 0.63 | 17 | 27 | 0.63 | 0 | 0 | - | 876 |
| 20-24 | 230 | 180 | 1.28 | 224 | 178 | 1.26 | 6 | 2 | 3.00 | 914 |
| 25-29 | 554 | 556 | 1.00 | 548 | 551 | 0.99 | 6 | 5 | 1.20 | 960 |
| 30-34 | 742 | 686 | 1.08 | 728 | 668 | 1.09 | 14 | 18 | 0.78 | 876 |
| 35-39 | 749 | 716 | 1.05 | 720 | 696 | 1.03 | 29 | 20 | 1.45 | 781 |
| 40-44 | 795 | 758 | 1.05 | 763 | 735 | 1.04 | 32 | 23 | 1.39 | 762 |
| 45-49 | 965 | 903 | 1.07 | 902 | 874 | 1.03 | 63 | 29 | 2.17 | 831 |
| Total | 4052 | 3826 | 1.02 | 3902 | 3729 | 1.01 | 150 | 97 | 1.67 | 6000 |

Table DQ.16: Births by calendar years
Number of births, percentage with complete birth date, sex ratio at birth, and calendar year ratio by calendar year, according to living, dead, and total

|  | Number of births |  |  | Percent with complete birth date ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Sex ratio at birth ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | Calendar year ratio ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Living | Dead | Total | Living | Dead | Total | Living | Dead | Total | Living | Dead | Total |
| Year of birth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2012{ }^{\text {a }}$ | n/a | n/a | 135 | n/a | n/a | 100.0 | n/a | n/a | 77.9 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2011 | 410 | 6 | 416 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 189.6 | 93.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2010 | 354 | 2 | 357 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 101.3 | 35.9 | 100.7 | 92.9 | 24.9 | 91.2 |
| 2009 | 353 | 13 | 366 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 107.4 | 154.4 | 108.7 | 105.9 | 399.2 | 108.7 |
| 2008 | 312 | 4 | 316 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 107.6 | 167.6 | 108.2 | 91.5 | 50.8 | 90.6 |
| 2007 | 329 | 3 | 332 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 145.9 | 230.6 | 146.5 | 108.4 | 96.5 | 108.2 |
| 2006 | 296 | 2 | 298 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 105.0 | 0.0 | 103.4 | 97.0 | 47.5 | 96.3 |
| 2005 | 280 | 6 | 286 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 117.4 | 124.2 | 117.6 | 92.6 | 176.3 | 93.6 |
| 2004 | 309 | 5 | 314 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82.5 | 38.9 | 81.6 | 111.5 | 79.0 | 110.8 |
| 2003 | 274 | 6 | 281 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 81.7 | 38.1 | 80.4 | 95.3 | 108.9 | 95.6 |
| 2002 | 267 | 7 | 273 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 117.4 | 180.9 | 118.6 | 102.6 | 56.4 | 100.6 |
| 2001 | 245 | 17 | 262 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 99.3 | 115.1 | 90.8 | 113.3 | 96.6 | 244.9 | 100.5 |
| 2000 | 241 | 7 | 248 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 109.4 | 221.0 | 111.6 | 99.0 | 51.9 | 96.4 |
| 1999 | 242 | 11 | 253 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 130.9 | 128.4 | 130.8 | 95.0 | 165.0 | 96.8 |
| 1998 | 268 | 6 | 275 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 118.6 | 229.5 | 120.3 | 103.9 | 52.2 | 101.6 |
| 1997 | 275 | 13 | 287 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | 74.4 | 94.6 | 103.4 | 222.8 | 105.9 |
| 1996 | 263 | 5 | 268 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 111.8 | 260.0 | 113.5 | 91.1 | 38.1 | 88.7 |
| 1995 | 303 | 14 | 318 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 102.5 | 182.6 | 105.2 | 103.4 | 155.4 | 105.0 |
| 1994 | 323 | 13 | 337 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 116.7 | 93.2 | 109.1 | 95.2 | 108.5 |
| 1993 | 290 | 14 | 303 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 79.8 | 301.9 | 84.4 | 91.5 | 110.0 | 92.2 |
| 1992 | 310 | 12 | 321 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 110.2 | 140.3 | 111.1 | 33.4 | 59.2 | 33.9 |
| 2008-2012 | 1564 | 25 | 1590 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 143.9 | 99.7 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 2003-2007 | 1488 | 23 | 1511 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 104.7 | 61.9 | 103.8 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 1998-2002 | 1263 | 48 | 1311 | 100.0 | 96.1 | 99.9 | 118.1 | 137.7 | 118.7 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 1993-1997 | 1455 | 59 | 1514 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.5 | 153.7 | 97.3 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| <1993 | 2245 | 116 | 2361 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 99.9 | 104.9 | 188.7 | 107.9 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 8015 | 272 | 8286 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 100.0 | 103.9 | 150.8 | 105.1 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

notal not applicable
${ }^{2}$ Interviews were conducted from April to July, 2012.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Both month and year of birth given
${ }^{c}\left(B_{m} / B_{f}\right) \times 100$, where $B_{m}$ and $B_{f}$ are the numbers of male and female births, respectively
${ }^{d}\left(2 \times B_{t} /\left(B_{t}-1+B_{t}+1\right)\right) \times 100$, where $B_{t}$ is the number of births in calendar year $t$

Table DQ.17: Reporting of age at death in days
Distribution of reported deaths under one month of age by age at death in days and the percentage of neonatal deaths reported to occur at ages 0-6 days, by 5-year periods preceding the survey (weighted, unimputed), Moldova, 2012

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  | Total 0-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| Age at death (days) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 30 |
| 1 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 26 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 15 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 10 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total 0-30 days | 21 | 14 | 33 | 33 | 100 |
| Percent early neonatal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 100.0 | 80.2 | 77.8 | 87.8 | 86.0 |

Percent early neonata
Table DQ.18: Reporting of age at death in months
Distribution of reported deaths under two years of age by age at death in months and the percentage of infant deaths reported to occur at age under one month, by 5-year periods preceding the survey (weighted, unimputed), Moldova, 2012

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  | Total 0-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 |  |
| Age at death (months) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 21 | 14 | 33 | 33 | 100 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total 0-11 months | 23 | 21 | 40 | 48 | 132 |
| Percent neonatal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 89.6 | 68.2 | 82.3 | 68.8 | 76.4 |

<1 month / <1 yea


Figure DQ.1: Number of household population by single ages, Moldova, 2012

APPENDIX E. 2012 MOLDOVA MICS INDICATORS: NUMERATORS AND DENOMINATORS

| MICS4 Indicators ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ |  | Module ${ }^{1}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. MORTALITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | Under-five mortality rate ${ }^{3}$ | CM - BH | Probability of dying by exact age of 5 years |  | MDG 4.1 |
| 1.2 | Infant mortality rate ${ }^{4}$ | CM - BH | Probability of dying by exact age of 1 year |  | MDG 4.2 |
| 1.3 | Neonatal mortality rate | BH | Probability of dying within the first month period preceding the survey | of life, during the 5-year |  |
| 1.4 | Post-neonatal mortality rate | BH | Difference between infant and neonatal mo 5 -year period preceding the survey | ortality rates, during the |  |
| 1.5 | Child mortality rate | BH | Probability of dying between exact ages on 5 -year period preceding the survey | ne and five, during the |  |
| 2. NUTRITION |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 2.1 a \\ 2.1 b \end{array}$ | Underweight prevalence | AN | Number of children under the age of five who <br> (a) fall below minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) from the median weight for age of the WHO standard | Total number of children under the age of five | MDG 1.8 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 2.2 \mathrm{a} \\ 2.2 \mathrm{~b} \end{array}$ | Stunting prevalence | AN | Number of children under the age of five who <br> (a) fall below minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) from the median height for age of the WHO standard | Total number of children under the age of five |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 a \\ & 2.3 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Wasting prevalence | AN | Number of children under the age of five who <br> (a) fall below minus two standard deviations (moderate and severe) <br> (b) fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) from the median weight for height of the WHO standard | Total number of children under the age of five |  |
| 2.4 | Children ever breastfed | MN | Proportion of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who breastfed the child at any time | Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 2.5 | Early initiation of breastfeeding | MN | Proportion of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who put the newborn infant to the breast within 1 hour of birth | Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 2.6 | Exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months | BF | Number of infants under 6 months of age who are exclusively breastfed ${ }^{5}$ | Total number of infants under 6 months of age |  |
| 2.7 | Continued breastfeeding at 1 year | BF | Number of children age 12-15 months who are currently breastfeeding | Total number of children age 12-15 months |  |
| 2.8 | Continued breastfeeding at 2 years | BF | Number of children age 20-23 months who are currently breastfeeding | Total number of children age 20-23 months |  |
| 2.9 | Predominant breastfeeding under 6 months | BF | Number of infants under 6 months of age who received breast milk as the predominant source of nourishment ${ }^{6}$ during the previous day | Total number of infants under 6 months of age |  |
| 2.10 | Duration of breastfeeding | BF | The age in months when 50 percent of child not receive breast milk during the previous | dren age 0-35 months did day |  |
| 2.11 | Bottle feeding | BF | Number of children 0-23 months old who were fed with a bottle during the previous day | Total number of children 0-23 months old |  |


| MICS4 Indicators ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ |  | Module ${ }^{1}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.12 | Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods | BF | Number of infants age 6-8 months who received solid, semi-solid or soft foods during the previous day | Total number of infants age 6-8 months |  |
| 2.13 | Minimum meal frequency | BF | Number of children 6-23 months old receiving solid, semi-solid and soft foods (plus milk feeds for non-breastfed children) the minimum times ${ }^{7}$ or more, according to breastfeeding status, during the previous day | Total number of children 6-23 months old |  |
| 2.14 | Age-appropriate breastfeeding | BF | Number of children 0-23 months old appropriately fed ${ }^{8}$ during the previous day | Total number of children 0-23 months old |  |
| 2.15 | Milk feeding frequency for nonbreastfed children | BF | Number of non-breastfed children 6-23 months old who received at least 2 milk feedings during the previous day | Total number of nonbreastfed children 6-23 months old |  |
| 2.16 | lodized salt consumption | SI | Number of households with salt testing 15 parts per million or more of iodate | Total number of households in which salt was tested or with no salt |  |
| 2.17 | Vitamin A supplementation ${ }^{9}$ | IM | Number of children 6-59 months old who received at least one high-dose vitamin A supplement in the 6 months preceding the survey | Total number of children 6-59 months old |  |
| 2.18 | Low-birthweight infants | MN | Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey weighing below 2,500 grams at birth | Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 2.19 | Infants weighed at birth | MN | Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey who were weighed at birth | Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 3. CHILD HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | IM | Number of children 12-23 months old who received BCG vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children 12-23 months old |  |
| 3.2 | Polio immunization coverage | IM | Number of children 12-23 months old who received OPV3 vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children 12-23 months old |  |
| 3.3 | Immunization coverage for diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) | IM | Number of children 12-23 months old who received DPT3 vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children 12-23 months old |  |
| 3.4 | Measles immunization coverage | IM | Number of children 12-23 months old who received measles vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children 12-23 months old | MDG 4.3 |
| 3.5 | Hepatitis B immunization coverage | IM | Number of children 12-23 months old who received the third dose of Hepatitis B vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children 12-23 months old |  |
| 3.8 | Oral rehydration therapy with continued feeding | CA | Number of children under the age of five with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks who received ORT (ORS packet or recommended homemade fluid or increased fluids) and continued feeding during the episode of diarrhoea | Total number of children under the age of five with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |  |
| 3.9 | Care-seeking for suspected pneumonia | CA | Number of children under the age of five with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks who were taken to an appropriate health provider | Total number of children under the age of five with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |  |
| 3.10 | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CA | Number of children under the age of five with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks who received antibiotics | Total number of children under the age of five with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |  |


| MICS4 Indicators ${ }^{\text {[M] }}$ |  | Module ${ }^{1}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.11 | Solid fuels | HC | Number of household members in households that use solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy to cook | Total number of household members |  |
| 4. WATER AND SANITATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Use of improved drinking water sources | WS | Number of household members using improved sources of drinking water | Total number of household members | MDG 7.8 |
| 4.2 | Water treatment | WS | Number of household members using unimproved drinking water who use an appropriate treatment method | Total number of household members in households using unimproved drinking water sources |  |
| 4.3 | Use of improved sanitation | WS | Number of household members using improved sanitation facilities which are not shared | Total number of household members | MDG 7.9 |
| 4.4 | Safe disposal of child's faeces | CA | Number of children age 0-2 years whose last stools were disposed of safely | Total number of children age 0-2 years |  |
| 4.5 | Place for handwashing | HW | Number of households with a specific place for handwashing where water and soap are present | Total number of households |  |
| 4.6 | Availability of soap | HW | Number of households with soap anywhere in the dwelling | Total number of households |  |
| 5. REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 | Adolescent birth rate ${ }^{10}$ | CM - BH | Age-specific fertility rate for women aged 15-19 years for the one year period preceding the survey |  | MDG 5.4 |
| 5.2 | Early childbearing | CM - BH | Number of women aged 20-24 years who had at least one live birth before age 18 | Total number of women aged 20-24 years |  |
| 5.3 | Contraceptive prevalence rate | CP | Number of women aged 15-49 years currently married or in union who are using (or whose partner is using) a (modern or traditional) contraceptive method | Total number of women aged 15-49 years who are currently married or in union | MDG 5.3 |
| 5.4 | Unmet need ${ }^{11}$ | UN | Number of women aged 15-49 years who are currently married or in union who are fertile and want to space their births or limit the number of children they have and who are not currently using contraception | Total number of women aged 15-49 years who are currently married or in union | MDG 5.6 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 5.5 a \\ 5.5 b \end{array}$ | Antenatal care coverage | MN | Number of women aged 15-49 years who were attended during pregnancy in the 2 years preceding the survey <br> (a) at least once by skilled personnel <br> (b) at least four times by any provider | Total number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey | MDG 5.5 |
| 5.6 | Content of antenatal care | MN | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who had their blood pressure measured and gave urine and blood samples during the last pregnancy | Total number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 5.7 | Skilled attendant at delivery | MN | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who were attended during childbirth by skilled health personnel | Total number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey | MDG 5.2 |
| 5.8 | Institutional deliveries | MN | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey who delivered in a health facility | Total number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 5.9 | Caesarean section | MN | Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey who were delivered by caesarean section | Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |


| MICS | dicators [ ${ }^{\text {M }}$ ] | Module ${ }^{1}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.10 | Post-partum stay in health facility | PN | Number of women aged 15-49 years who stayed in the health facility for 12 hours or more after the delivery of their last live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey | Total number of last live births in the last 2 years |  |
| 5.11 | Post-natal health check for the newborn | PN | Number of last live births in the last 2 years who received a health check while in facility or at home following delivery, or a post-natal care visit within 2 days after birth | Total number of last live births in the last 2 years |  |
| 5.12 | Post-natal health check for the mother | PN | Number of women aged 15-49 years who received a health check while in facility or at home following delivery, or a post-natal care visit within 2 days after delivery | Total number of women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 6. CH | DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |
| 6.1 | Support for learning | EC | Number of children 36-59 months old with whom an adult has engaged in four or more activities to promote learning and school readiness in the past 3 days | Total number of children 36-59 months old |  |
| 6.2 | Father's support for learning | EC | Number of children 36-59 months old whose father has engaged in one or more activities to promote learning and school readiness in the past 3 days | Total number of children 36-59 months old |  |
| 6.3 | Learning materials: children's books | EC | Number of children under the age of five who have three or more children's books | Total number of children under the age of five |  |
| 6.4 | Learning materials: playthings | EC | Number of children under the age of five with two or more playthings | Total number of children under the age of five |  |
| 6.5 | Inadequate care | EC | Number of children under the age of five left alone or in the care of another child younger than 10 years for more than one hour at least once in the past week | Total number of children under the age of five |  |
| 6.6 | Early child development index (ECDI) | EC | Number of children 36-59 months old who are developmentally on track in literacynumeracy, physical, social-emotional, and learning domains | Total number of children 36-59 months old |  |
| 6.7 | Attendance to early childhood education | EC | Number of children 36-59 months old who are attending an early childhood education programme | Total number of children 36-59 months old |  |
| 7. LIT | ACY AND EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |
| 7.1 | Literacy rate among young women ${ }^{[M]}$ | WB | Number of women aged 15-24 years who are able to read a short simple statement about everyday life or who attended secondary or higher education | Total number of women aged 15-24 years | MDG 2.3 |
| 7.2 | School readiness | ED | Number of children in first grade of primary school who attended pre-school during the previous school year | Total number of children attending the first grade of primary school |  |
| 7.3 | Net intake rate in primary education | ED | Number of children of school-entry age who enter the first grade of primary school | Total number of children of school-entry age |  |
| 7.4 | Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) | ED | Number of children of primary school age currently attending primary or secondary school | Total number of children of primary school age | MDG 2.1 |
| 7.5 | Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) ${ }^{12}$ | ED | Number of children of secondary school age currently attending secondary school or higher | Total number of children of secondary school age |  |
| 7.6 | Children reaching last grade of primary | ED | Proportion of children entering the first gra eventually reach last grade | ade of primary school who | MDG 2.2 |
| 7.7 | Primary completion rate | ED | Number of children (of any age) attending the last grade of primary school (excluding repeaters) | Total number of children of primary school completion age (age appropriate to final grade of primary school) |  |


| MICS4 Indicators ${ }^{\text {[M] }}$ |  | Module ${ }^{1}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.8 | Transition rate to secondary school | ED | Number of children attending the last grade of primary school during the previous school year who are in the first grade of secondary school during the current school year | Total number of children attending the first grade of secondary school |  |
| 7.9 | Gender parity index (primary school) | ED | Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for girls | Primary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for boys | MDG 3.1 |
| 7.10 | Gender parity index (secondary school) | ED | Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for girls | Secondary school net attendance ratio (adjusted) for boys | MDG 3.1 |
| 8. CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.1 | Birth registration | BR | Number of children under the age of five whose births are reported registered | Total number of children under the age of five |  |
| 8.5 | Violent discipline | CD | Number of children aged 2-14 years who experienced psychological aggression or physical punishment during the past month | Total number of children aged 2-14 years |  |
| 8.6 | Marriage before age $15^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | MA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who were first married or in union by the exact age of 15 | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| 8.7 | Marriage before age $18^{[M]}$ | MA | Number of women aged 20-49 years who were first married or in union by the exact age of 18 | Total number of women aged 20-49 years |  |
| 8.8 | Young women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union [M] | MA | Number of women aged 15-19 years who are currently married or in union | Total number of women aged 15-19 years |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8.10a } \\ & \text { 8.10b } \end{aligned}$ | Spousal age difference | MA | Number of women currently married or in union whose spouse is 10 or more years older, <br> (a) for women aged 15-19 years, <br> (b) for women aged 20-24 years | Total number of women currently married or in union <br> (a) aged 15-19 years, <br> (b) aged 20-24 years |  |
| 8.14 | Attitudes towards domestic violence ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | DV | Number of women who state that a husband/partner is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the following circumstances: (1) she goes out without telling him, (2) she neglects the children, (3) she argues with him, (4) she refuses sex with him, (5) she burns the food | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| 9.17 | Children's living arrangements | HL | Number of children age 0-17 years not living with a biological parent | Total number of children age 0-17 years |  |
| 9.18 | Prevalence of children with one or both parents dead | HL | Number of children age 0-17 years with one or both parents dead | Total number of children age 0-17 years |  |
| 8.15 | Children with at least one parent living abroad | HL | Number of children 0-17 years with at least one biological parent living abroad | Total number of children 0-17 years |  |

## 9. HIV/AIDS, SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR AND ORPHANS

| 9.1 | Comprehensive <br> knowledge about <br> HIV prevention ${ }^{[M]}$ | HA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who <br> correctly identify two ways of preventing <br> HIV infection ${ }^{13}$, know that a healthy <br> looking person can have HIV, and reject <br> the two most common misconceptions <br> about HIV transmission | Total number of women <br> aged 15-49 years |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9.2 | Comprehensive <br> knowledge about <br> HIV prevention <br> among young <br> people | HA |  |  |  |$\quad$| Number of women aged 15-49 years who |
| :--- |
| correctly identify two ways of preventing |
| HIV infection ${ }^{14}$, know that a healthy |
| looking person can have HIV, and reject |
| the two most common misconceptions |
| about HIV transmission |$\quad$| Total number of women |
| :--- |
| aged 15-24 years |$\quad$ MDG 6.3 |  |
| :--- |


| MICS4 Indicators ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ |  | Module ${ }^{1}$ | Numerator | Denominator | $\mathrm{MDG}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.3 | Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV [M] | HA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who correctly identify all three means ${ }^{12}$ of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| 9.4 | Accepting attitudes towards people living with HIV ${ }^{[M]}$ | HA | Number of women aged 15-49 years expressing accepting attitudes on all four questions ${ }^{15}$ toward people living with HIV | Total number of women aged 15-49 years who have heard of HIV |  |
| 9.5 | Women who know where to be tested for HIV ${ }^{[M]}$ | HA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who state knowledge of a place to be tested for HIV | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| 9.6 | Women who have been tested for HIV and know the results ${ }^{[M]}$ | HA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who have been tested for HIV in the 12 months preceding the survey and who know their results | Total number of women aged 15-49 years | UNGASS <br> 7 <br> indicator |
| 9.7 | Sexually active young women who have been tested for HIV and know the results ${ }^{[M]}$ | HA | Number of women aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey, who have been tested for HIV in the 12 months preceding the survey and who know their results | Total number of women aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey |  |
| 9.8 | HIV counselling during antenatal care | HA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the 2 years preceding the survey and received antenatal care, reporting that they received counselling on HIV during antenatal care | Total number of women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 9.9 | HIV testing during antenatal care | HA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the 2 years preceding the survey and received antenatal care, reporting that they were offered and accepted an HIV test during antenatal care and received their results | Total number of women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |  |
| 9.10 | Young women who have never had sex [M] | SB | Number of never married women aged 15-24 years who have never had sex | Total number of never married women aged 1524 years |  |
| 9.11 | Sex before age 15 among young women ${ }^{[M]}$ | SB | Number of women aged 15-24 years who have had sexual intercourse before age 15 | Total number of women aged 15-24 years |  |
| 9.12 | Age-mixing among sexual partners ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | SB | Number of women aged 15-24 years who had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey with a partner who was 10 or more years older | Total number of women aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey |  |
| 9.13 | Sex with multiple partners ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | SB | Number of women aged 15-49 years who have had sexual intercourse with more than one partner in the 12 months preceding the survey | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| 9.14 | Condom use during sex with multiple partners ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | SB | Number of women aged 15-49 years who report having had more than one sexual partner in the 12 months preceding the survey who also reported that a condom was used the last time they had sex | Total number of women aged 15-49 years who reported having had more than one sexual partner in the 12 months preceding the survey | UNGASS <br> 17 <br> indicator |
| 9.15 | Sex with nonregular partners ${ }^{[m]}$ | SB | Number of sexually active women aged 15-24 years who have had sex with a nonmarital, non-cohabitating partner in the 12 months preceding the survey | Total number of women aged $15-24$ years who have had sex in the 12 months preceding the survey |  |
| 9.16 | Condom use with non-regular partners ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | SB | Number of women aged $15-24$ years reporting the use of a condom during sexual intercourse with their last nonmarital, non-cohabiting sex partner in the 12 months preceding the survey | Total number of women aged 15-24 years who had a non-marital, noncohabiting partner in the 12 months preceding the survey | MDG 6.2 |


| MICS4 Indicators ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ |  | Module ${ }^{1}$ | Numerator | Denominator | MDG ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. ACCESS TO MASS MEDIA AND USE OF INFORMATION/COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY |  |  |  |  |  |
| MT. 1 | Exposure to mass media ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | MT | Number of women aged 15-49 years who, at least once a week, read a newspaper or magazine, listen to the radio, and watch television | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| MT. 2 | Use of computers ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | MT | Number of young women aged 15-24 years who used a computer during the last 12 months | Total number of women aged 15-24 years |  |
| MT. 3 | Use of internet ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | MT | Number of young women aged 15-24 years who used the internet during the last 12 months | Total number of women aged 15-24 years |  |
| 11. LIFE SATISFACTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW. 1 | Life satisfaction ${ }^{[M]}$ | LS | Number of women aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat satisfied with their family life, friendships, school, current job, health, where they live, how they are treated by others, and how they look | Total number of women aged 15-24 years |  |
| SW. 2 | Happiness ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | LS | Number of women aged 15-24 years who are very or somewhat happy | Total number of women aged 15-24 years |  |
| SW. 3 | Perception of a better life ${ }^{[M]}$ | LS | Number of women aged 15-24 years whose life improved during the last one year, and who expect that their life will be better after one year | Total number of women aged 15-24 years |  |
| 12. TOBACCO AND ALCOHOL USE |  |  |  |  |  |
| TA. 1 | Tobacco use ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | TA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who smoked cigarettes, or used smoked or smokeless tobacco products on one or more days during the last one month | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| TA. 2 | Smoking before age $15{ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | TA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who smoked a whole cigarette before age 15 | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| TA. 3 | Alcohol use ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | TA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who had at least one alcoholic drink on one or more days during the last one month | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |
| TA. 4 | Use of alcohol before age $15{ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | TA | Number of women aged 15-49 years who had at least one alcoholic drink before age 15 | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |  |

$1{ }^{[M]}$ Indicates that the indicator is also calculated for men, for the same age group, in surveys where the Questionnaire for Individual Men has been included. Calculations are carried out by using modules in the Men's Questionnaire
Some indicators are constructed by using questions in several modules. In such cases, only the module(s) which contains most of the necessary information is indicated.
2 MDG indicators as of February 2010
3 Indicator is defined as "Probability of dying between birth and fifth birthday, during the 5 -year period preceding the survey" when estimated from the birth history
4 Indicator is defined as "Probability of dying between birth and the first birthday, during the 5-year period preceding the survey" when estimated from the birth history
5 Infants receiving breast milk, and not receiving any other fluids or foods, with the exception of oral rehydration solution, vitamins, mineral supplements and medicines
6 Infants who receive breast milk and certain fluids (water and water-based drinks, fruit juice, ritual fluids, oral rehydration solution, drops, vitamins, minerals, and medicines), but do not receive anything else (in particular, non-human milk and food-based fluids)
7 Breastfeeding children: Solid, semi-solid, or soft foods, two times for infants 6-8 months old, 3 times for children 9-23 months old; Nonbreastfeeding children: Solid, semi-solid, or soft foods, or milk feeds, four times for children 6-23 months old
8 Infants of age 0-5 who are exclusively breastfed, and children 6-23 months old who are breastfed and ate solid, semi-solid or soft foods
9 Data on Vitamin A supplementation in Moldova is based on the mother's report only.
10 Indicator is defined as "Age-specific fertility rate for women (aged 15-19 years), for the 3-year period preceding the survey" when estimated from the birth history
11 See MICS4 manual for a detailed description
12 Indicator 7.5 for the Republic of Moldova refers to secondary school that comprises of lower and upper secondary school. The report also presents data for lower secondary school and upper secondary school, whenever possible.
13 Using condoms and limiting sex to one faithful, uninfected partner
14 Transmission during pregnancy, during delivery, and by breastfeeding
15 Women (1) who think that a female teacher with the AIDS virus should be allowed to teach in school, (2) who would buy fresh vegetables from a shopkeeper or vendor who has the AIDS virus, (3) who would not want to keep it as a secret if a family member became infected with the AIDS virus, and (4) who would be willing to care for a family member who became sick with the AIDS virus

## Additional Moldova (MD) modules

| INDICATOR |  | Module ${ }^{1}$ | Numerator | Denominator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TUBERCULOSIS |  |  |  |  |
| TB. 1 | General knowledge of tuberculosis ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | TB | Number of women age 15-49 who have ever heard of tuberculosis | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |
| TB. 2 | Knowledge of ways of tuberculosis transmission [M] | TB | Number of women age 15-49 who know tuberculosis ways of transmission | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |
| TB. 3 | Knowledge of at least one tuberculosis symptom ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | TB | Number of women age 15-49 who have heard of tuberculosis and know at least one symptom of tuberculosis | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |
| TB. 4 | Knowledge of all three most common tuberculosis symptoms ${ }^{[\mathrm{M}]}$ | TB | Number of women age 15-49 who have heard of tuberculosis and know at least three most common symptoms of tuberculosis (coughing for several weeks, fever and tiredness/fatigue) | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |
| TB. 5 | Knowledge that tuberculosis is curable ${ }^{\text {[M] }}$ | TB | Number of women age 15-49 who know that tuberculosis can be cured | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |
| TB. 6 | Attitudes toward people suffering from tuberculosis [M] | TB | Number of women age 15-49 who stated they would want to keep it secret if a family member would become sick with tuberculosis | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |
| ANAEMIA |  |  |  |  |
| AN. 1 | Prevalence of anaemia among children | AN | Number of children 6-59 months old with anaemia | Total number of children 6-59 months old |
| AN. 2 | Prevalence of anaemia among women | AN | Number of women aged 15-49 years with anaemia | Total number of women aged 15-49 years |

[^24]
## APPENDIX F. QUESTIONNAIRES

## HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION PANEL

| HH1. Cluster number: | HH2. Household number: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HH3. Interviewer name and number: <br> Name $\qquad$ | HH4. Supervisor name and number: <br> Name $\qquad$ |  |
| HH5. Day / Month / Year of interview: | ___ ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | HH7. Region: North..................... 1 Centre.................. 2 | South $\qquad$ <br> mun.Chisinau <br> ......... 4 |
|  | HH7A. Household selecte interview: <br> Yes <br> No. $\qquad$ | the man's individual $\qquad$ |

We are from National Centre of Public Health. We are working on a survey concerned wilth family health education and wellbeing. I would like to talk to you about these subjects. The interview will take about 20 minutes. All the information we obtain will remain strictly confidential and your answvers will never be shared WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR SURVEY TEAM.
MAY I START NOW?
$\square$ Yes, permission is given $\Rightarrow$ Go to HH18 to record the time and then begin the interview. $\square$ No, permission is not given $\Rightarrow$ Complete HH9. Discuss this result with your supervisor.


HH16. Field edited by (Name and number):
Name $\qquad$
$\qquad$ -

HH17. Data entry clerk (Name and number):
Name $\qquad$




| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For household members age 5 and above |  |  |  |  |  | For household members age 5-24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED1. <br> Line number | E Name Copy from Listing Fo |  | ED3. HAs (name) EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No צ <br> Next Line | $\overline{\text { ED4A. }}$ <br> What is the highest level OF SCHOOL (name) HAS ATTENDED? <br> Level: <br> 0 Preschool <br> 1 Primary (Gr.1-4) <br> 2 Gymnasium (Gr.5-9) <br> 3 Lyceum/ middle <br> School (Gr.10-12) <br> 4. Polyvalent/PTS <br> 5. College/technical school <br> 6. University/Post-Grad 8 DK <br> If level=0, skip to ED5 | ED4B. <br> What is <br> THE <br> HIGHEST <br> GRADE <br> (name) <br> COMPLETED <br> AT THIS <br> LEVEL? <br> Grade: <br> 98 DK <br> If less than <br> 1 grade, <br> enter 00. | ED DURIN (2011 SCHOO YEAR, (name) ATTEND SCHOO PRESC AT AN 1 Yes 2 No E | THE <br> 212) <br> OR <br> OOL <br> IME? | ED6. <br> During 2011-2012 sc <br> YEAR, WHICH LEVEL, GR <br> IS/WAS (name) ATTENDI <br> Level: <br> 0 Preschool <br> 1 Primary (Gr.1-4) <br> 2 Gymnasium (Gr.5-9) <br> 3 Lyceum/ middle <br> School (Gr.10-12) <br> 4. Polyvalent/PTS <br> 5. College/technical school <br> 6. University/Post-Grad 8 DK <br> If level=0, skip to ED7 |  | ED7. <br> During the PREVIOUS 2010-2011 school YEAR, DID (name) <br> ATTEND SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL AT ANY TIME? <br> 1 Yes 2 No s Next Line 8 DK y Next Line | DURING 2010-2011 PR <br> SCHOOL YEAR, WHICH L <br> GRADE DID (name) ATTE <br> Level: <br> O Preschool <br> 1 Primary (Gr.1-4) <br> 2 Gymnasium Gr.5-9 <br> 3 Lyceum/ middle <br> School (Gr.10-12) <br> 4. Polyvalent/PTS <br> 5. College/ <br> Technical school <br> 6. University/Post-Grad 8 DK <br> If level $=0$, go to next person | EVIOUS EVEL AND ND? <br> Grade: 98 DK |
| Line | Name | Age | Yes No | Level | Grade | Yes | No | Level | Grade | $\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{DK}$ | Level | Grade |
| 01 |  | - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - - | 128 | 01234568 | - |
| 02 |  | - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 03 |  | - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 04 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 05 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 06 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 07 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 08 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 09 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 10 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 11 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 12 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 13 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |
| 14 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - | 128 | 01234568 | -- |
| 15 |  | - - | 12 | 01234568 | - - | 1 | 2 | 01234568 | - - | 128 | 01234568 | - - |


| WS1. What is the main source of drinking WATER FOR MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \\ & 12 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \\ & 13 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \\ & 14 \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & 21 \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & \\ & 31 \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & 32 \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & 41 \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & 42 \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & 51 \Leftrightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & 61 \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & 71 \Rightarrow \text { WS3 } \\ & 81 \Rightarrow W S 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WS2. WHAT IS THE MAIN SOURCE OF WATER USED BY YOUR HOUSEHOLD FOR OTHER PURPOSES SUCH AS COOKING AND HANDWASHING? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \\ & 12 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \\ & 13 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| WS3. WHERE IS THAT WATER SOURCE LOCATED? | In own dwelling ............................................................................................................................................. | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \text { WS6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| WS4. How long does it take to go there, GET WATER, AND COME BACK? | Number of minutes DK. |  |


| WS5. Who usually goes to this source to COLLECT THE WATER FOR YOUR HOUSEHOLD? <br> Probe: <br> Is this person under age 15? What sex? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WS6. Do you do anything to the water to MAKE IT SAFER TO DRINK? | Yes.................................................................................................................................................................................................. No........ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow W S 8 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow W S 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| WS7. WHAT DO YOU USUALLY DO TO MAKE THE WATER SAFER TO DRINK? <br> Probe: <br> ANYTHING ELSE? <br> Record all items mentioned. |  |  |
| WS8. WHAT KIND OF TOILET FACILITY DO MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD USUALLY USE? <br> If "flush" or "pour flush", probe: Where does it flush to? <br> If necessary, ask permission to observe the facility. |  <br> Composting toilet ...................................... 31 <br> Bucket ....................................................... 41 <br> Hanging toilet, Hanging latrine.................. 51 <br> No facility, Bush, Field ............................... 95 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ | 95 $\Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| WS9. Do You share this facility with OTHERS WHO ARE NOT MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD? | Yes......................................................................................................................... | 2 $\Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| WS10. DO You Share this facility only with MEMBERS OF OTHER HOUSEHOLDS THAT YOU KNOW, OR IS THE FACILITY OPEN TO THE USE OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC? | Other households only (not public).............................................................. | $\begin{aligned} 2 \Rightarrow & \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| WS11. How many household in total use THIS TOILET FACILITY, INCLUDING YOUR OWN HOUSEHOLD? | Number of households (if less than 10) 0 <br> Ten or more households $\qquad$ 10 <br> DK $\qquad$ 98 |  |


| HC1C. TO WHAT ETHNIC GROUP DOES THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD BELONG? |  <br> Other ethnic group (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC2. HOW MANY ROOMS IN THIS HOUSEHOLD ARE USED FOR SLEEPING? | Number of rooms ................................- - |  |
| HC3. Main material of the dwelling floor. <br> Record observation. |  |  |
| HC4. Main material of the roof. <br> Record observation. |  <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 |  |
| HC5. Main material of the exterior walls. <br> Record observation. |  <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 |  |
| HC6. WHAT TYPE OF FUEL DOES YOUR HOUSEHOLD MAINLY USE FOR COOKING? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 01 \leadsto \mathrm{HC8} \\ & 02 \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{HC8} \\ & 03 \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \\ & 04 \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \\ & 05 \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{HC8} \end{aligned}$ |


|  |  <br> No food cooked in household $\qquad$ 95 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 | $95 \Rightarrow$ HC8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC7. IS THE COOKING USUALLY DONE IN THE HOUSE, IN A SEPARATE BUILDING, OR outdoors? <br> If 'In the house', probe: IS IT DONE IN A SEPARATE ROOM USED AS A KITCHEN? | In the house In a separate room used as kitchen........ 1 Elsewhere in the house....................... 2 In a separate building........................ 3 Outdoors ............................................ 4 Other (specify) ___ 6 |  |
| HC8. Does your household have: <br> [A] Electricity? <br> [B] A Radio? <br> [C] A television? <br> [D] A fixed telephone? <br> [E] A refrigerator? <br> [F] A table? <br> [G] A SOFA? <br> [H] A WARDROBE? <br> [I] A WAShing machine? <br> [J] A WATER HEATER/BOILER? <br> [K] A VACUUM CLEANER? <br> [L] A PHOTO CAMERA? <br> [M] A DVD PLAYER? <br> [N] A microwave? <br> [O] A COMPUTER? |  Yes No <br> Electricity ........................................ 1 2  <br> Radio............................................... 1 2  <br> Television ........................................ 1 2  <br> Fixed telephone............................... 1 2  <br> Refrigerator ..................................... 1 2  <br> Table ............................................... 1 2  <br> Sofa.................................................. 1 2  <br> Wardrobe .......................................... 1 2  <br> Washing machine.............................. 1 2  <br> Water heater/boiler............................ 1 2  <br> Vacuum cleaner............................... 1 2  <br> Photo camera................................... 1 2  <br> DVD player........................................ 1 2  <br> Microwave........................................ 1 2  |  |


| HC9. Does any member of your household OWN: <br> [A] A WATCH? <br> [B] A mobile telephone? <br> [C] A BICYCLE? <br> [D] A motorcycle or scooter? <br> [E] AN ANIMAL-DRAWN CART? <br> [F] A CAR OR TRUCK? <br> [H] A TRACTOR? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC10. Do You or someone Living in this HOUSEHOLD OWN THIS DWELLING? <br> If "No", then ask: Do You rent this DWELLING FROM SOMEONE NOT LIVING IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> If "Rented from someone else", circle " 2 ". For other responses, circle " 6 ". | Own.................................................................................................................................. 6 |  |
| HC11. DoEs ANY MEMBER OF THIS HOUSEHOLD OWN ANY LAND THAT CAN bE USED FOR AGRICULTURE? |  | 2¢HC13 |
| HC12. How many hectares or Ares of AGRICULTURAL LAND DO MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSEHOLD OWN? <br> For 1 hectare or more, circle 1 and record the figure mentioned by the respondent. For 95 hectares or more, circle 1 and record 95. <br> For less than 1 hectare, circle 2 and record the figure in ares. For less than lare, circle 2 and record " 00 ". If unknown, record '998'. | Hectares .............................................. 1 __ Ares ............................................... 2 __- DK.......................................... 998 |  |
| HC13. Does this household own any LIVESTOCK, HERDS, OTHER FARM ANIMALS, OR POULTRY? | Yes ................................................................................................................................ No....... | 2¢HC15 |
| HC14. How MANY OF THE FOLLOWING ANIMALS does this household have? <br> [A] CATtLE, milk cows, or bulls? <br> [B] Horses, donkeys, or mules? <br> [C] Goats? <br> [D] Sheep? <br> [E] Chickens? <br> [G] OTHER POULTRY? | Cattle, milk cows, or bulls $\qquad$ <br> Horses, donkeys, or mules $\qquad$ <br> Goats $\qquad$ <br> Sheep $\qquad$ <br> Chickens $\qquad$ <br> Other poultry $\qquad$ |  |


| [F] Pigs? <br> [H] RabBits, coypu? <br> If none, record ' 00 '. <br> If 95 or more, record ' 95 '. <br> If unknown, record '98'. | Pigs <br> Rabbits, coypu |
| :---: | :---: |
| HC15. Does Any member of this household HAVE A BANK ACCOUNT? | Yes ........................................................................................................................... |

## CHILD DISCIPLINE

## Table 1: Children Aged 2-14 Years Eligible for Child Discipline Questions

- List each of the children aged 2-14 years below in the order they appear in the Household Listing Form. Do not include other household members outside of the age range 2-14 years.
- Record the line number, name, sex, and age for each child.
- Then record the total number of children aged 2-14 in the box provided (CD6).
- If there are no children aged 2-14 years in the household, skip to next module.

| CD1. <br> Rank number | CD2. <br> Line number from HL1 | CD3. <br> Name from HL2 |  |  | CD5. <br> Age from HL6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Line | Name | M | F | Age |
| 1 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 2 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 3 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - - |
| 4 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 5 | - |  | 1 | 2 | [ |
| 6 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 7 | - - |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 8 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| CD6. | Total children aged 2-14 years |  |  |  |  |

- If there is only one child aged 2-14 years in the household, then skip table 2 and go to CD8; write down'1 ' and continue with CD9

Table 2: Selection of Random Child for Child Discipline Questions

- Use Table 2 to select one child between the ages of 2 and 14 years, if there is more than one child in that age range in the household.
- Check the last digit of the household number (HH2) from the cover page. This is the number of the row you should go to in the table below.
- Check the total number of eligible children (2-14) in CD6 above. This is the number of the column you should go to.
- Find the box where the row and the column meet and circle the number that appears in the box. This is the rank number of the child (CD1) about whom the questions will be asked.

|  | CD7. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Last digit of household <br> number (HH2) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 |

CD8. Record the rank number of the selected child.

| CD9. Write the name and line number of the child selected for the module from CD3 and CD2, based on the rank number in CD8. | Name <br> Line number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD10. Adults use certain ways to teach CHILDREN THE RIGHT BEHAVIOUR OR TO address a behavioural problem. I WILL READ VARIOUS METHODS THAT ARE USED AND I WANT YOU TO TELL ME IF YOU OR ANYONE ELSE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD HAS USED THIS METHOD WITH (name) IN THE PAST MONTH. <br> CD11. Took away privileges, forbade something (name) LIKED OR DID NOT allow him/her to leave house. | Yes........................................................................................................................................ No |  |
| CD12. EXPLAINED WHY (name)'s beHAVIOUR WAS WRONG. | Yes............................................................................................................................. No |  |
| CD13. SHOOK HIM/HER. | Yes ......................................................................................................................................... No |  |
| CD14. Shouted, yelled at or screamed at HIM/HER. | Yes............................................................................................................................. No |  |
| CD15. GAVE HIM/HER SOMETHING ELSE TO DO. | Yes........................................................... 1 No ................................................... 2 |  |
| CD16. SPANKED, HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON the bottom with bare hand. | Yes.......................................................................................................................... No |  |
| CD17. HIT HIM/HER ON THE BOTTOM OR ELSEWHERE ON THE BODY WITH SOMETHING LIKE A BELT, HAIRBRUSH, STICK OR OTHER HARD OBJECT. | Yes ...................................................................................................................................... No |  |
| CD18. CALLED HIM/HER DUMB, LAZY, OR another name like that. | Yes........................................................... 1 No .................................................. 2 |  |
| CD19. HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE FACE, head or ears. |  |  |
| CD20. Hit or slapped him/HER ON THE HAND, ARM, OR LEG. | Yes....................................................................................................................... No ....... |  |
| CD21. Beat him/her up, that is hit him/her OVER AND OVER AS HARD AS ONE COULD. | Yes............................................................ 1 No ................................................... 2 |  |
| CD22. Do you beLIEVE THAT IN ORDER TO BRING UP, RAISE, OR EDUCATE A CHILD PROPERLY, THE CHLLD NEEDS TO BE PHYSICALLY PUNISHED? | Yes. $\qquad$ 1 <br> No $\qquad$ <br> Don't know / No opinion. $\qquad$ 8 |  |


| HW1. Please show me where members of YOUR HOUSEHOLD MOST OFTEN WASH THEIR HANDS. | Observed.................................................... 1 Not observed $\quad$ Not in dwelling / plot / yard ........................ 2 No permission to see.......................... 3 Other reason ................................. 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HW} 4 \\ & 3 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HW} 4 \\ & 6 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HW} 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HW2. Observe presence of water at the specific place for handwashing. <br> Verify by checking the tap/pump, or basin, bucket, water container or similar objects for presence of water. | Water is available $\qquad$ <br> Water is not available $\qquad$ |  |
| HW3. Record if soap or detergent is present at the specific place for handwashing. <br> Circle all that apply. <br> Skip to HH19 if any soap or detergent code ( $A$, $B, C$ or D) is circled. If "None" (Y) is circled, continue with HW4. | Bar soap $\qquad$ A <br> Detergent (Powder / Liquid / Paste) $\qquad$ B <br> Liquid soap $\qquad$ <br> None $\qquad$ Y | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \Rightarrow \mathrm{HH} 19 \\ & \mathrm{~B} \Rightarrow \mathrm{HH} 19 \\ & \mathrm{C} \Rightarrow \mathrm{H} 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| HW4. Do You have any soap or detergent (or other locally used cleansing agent) iN YOUR HOUSEHOLD FOR WASHING HANDS? |  | $2 \Rightarrow H H 19$ |
| HW5. CAN YOU PLEASE SHOW IT TO ME? <br> Record observation. Circle all that apply. | Bar soap $\qquad$ A <br> Detergent (Powder / Liquid / Paste) $\qquad$ B <br> Liquid soap $\qquad$ <br> Not able / Does not want to show $\qquad$ Y |  |

$\qquad$ : $\qquad$

## SALT IODIZATION

SI1. We would like to check whether the SALT USED IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IS IODIZED. MAY I have a sample of the salt used to COOK MEALS IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD?

Once you have tested the salt, circle number that corresponds to test outcome.


HH20. Thank the respondent for his/her cooperation and check the Household Listing Form:
$\square$ A separate Questionnaire for Individual Women has been issued for each woman aged 15-49 years in the household list (HL7)
$\square$ A separate Questionnaire for Children Under Five has been issued for each child under the age of five years in the household list (HL9)
$\square$ A separate Questionnaire for Individual Men has been issued for each man aged 15-49 years in the household list (HL7A)

Return to the cover page and make sure that all information is entered, including the number of eligible women (HH12), under-5s (HH14) and men (HH13A)

Make arrangements for the administration of the remaining questionnaire(s) in this household.

## Interviewer's Observations

Field Editor's Observations

Supervisor's Observations

## -IMICS

| UNDER-FIVE CHILD INFORMATION PANEL | UF |
| :---: | :---: |
| This questionnaire is to be administered to all mothers or caretakers (see Household Listing Form, column HL9) who care for a child that lives with them and is under the age of 5 years (see Household Listing Form, column HL6). <br> A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible child. |  |
| UF1. Cluster number: | UF2. Household number: |
| UF3. Child's name: <br> Name $\qquad$ | UF4. Child's line number: |
| UF5. Mother's / Caretaker's name: Name $\qquad$ | UF6. Mother's / Caretaker's line number: |
| UF7. Interviewer name and number: <br> Name | UF8. Day / Month / Year of interview: $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ |

Repeat greeting if not already read to this respondent:

We are from National Centre of Public Health.
WE ARE WORKING ON A SURVEY CONCERNED WITH FAMILY HEALTH AND EDUCATION. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT (name)'S HEALTH AND WELLbeing. The interview will take about 25 minutes. All the information we obtain will REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR SURVEY TEAM.

If greeting at the beginning of the household questionnaire has already been read to this woman, then read the following:

Now I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU MORE ABOUT (child's name from UF3)'S HEALTH AND OTHER TOPICS. THIS INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 25 minutes. Again, all the information we obtain WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR PROJECT TEAM.

## MAY I start now?

$\square$ Yes, permission is given $\Rightarrow$ Go to UF12 to record the time and then begin the interview.
$\square$ No, permission is not given $\Rightarrow$ Complete UF9. Discuss this result with your supervisor

| UF9. Result of interview for children under 5 | Completed ...................................................... 01 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Not at home .................................................... 02 |
| Codes refer to mother/caretaker. | Refused ......................................................... 03 |
|  | Partly completed............................................. 04 |
|  | Incapacitated .................................................. 05 |
|  | Other (specify) _ 96 |


| UF10. Field edited by (Name and number): | UF11. Data entry clerk (Name and number): |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name $\quad —$ | Name __ |

## AGE

AG

AG1. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE HEALTH OF (name).

IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WAS (name) BORN?
Probe:
WHAT IS HIS / HER BIRTHDAY?
If the mother/caretaker knows the exact birth date, also enter the day; otherwise, circle 98 for day

Month and year must be recorded.

AG2. How old is (name)?
Probe:
How OLD WAS (name) AT HIS / HER LAST BIRTHDAY?

Record age in completed years.
Record ' 0 ' if less than 1 year.
Compare and correct AG1 and/or AG2 if inconsistent.

| BIRTH REGISTRATION |  | BR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR1. Does (name) HAVE A BIRTH CERTIFICATE? <br> If yes, ask: <br> MAY I SEE IT? | Yes, seen. $\qquad$ <br> Yes, not seen. $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ <br> DK $\qquad$ | $1 \Rightarrow$ Next Module $2 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| BR2. HAS (name)'s BIRTH BEEN REGISTERED WITH THE CIVIL AUTHORITIES? | Yes............................................................... 1 No ................................................................... 2 DK.................................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| BR3. DO YOU kNOW HOW TO REGISTER YOUR CHILD'S BIRTH? | Yes........................................................................................................................ No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \leftrightharpoons \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| BR3A. WHY DIDN'T YOU REGISTER YOUR CHILD AT THE CIVIL AUTHORITY? |  |  |


| EC1. HOW MANY CHILDREN'S BOOKS OR PICTURE <br> BOOKS DO YOU HAVE FOR (name)? | None ........................................................ 00 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| EC14. WHEN GIVEN SOMETHING TO DO, IS (name) ABLE TO DO IT INDEPENDENTLY? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................. 8 No |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EC15. Does (name) GET ALONG WELL WITH OTHER CHILDREN? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................ 8 No DK................ |  |
| EC16. DoEs (name) KICK, BITE, OR HIT OTHER CHILDREN OR ADULTS? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................................. |  |
| EC17. Does (name) GET DISTRACTED EASILY? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No |  |


| BREASTFEEDING |  | BF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BF1. HAS (name) EVER BEEN BREASTFED? | Yes ..................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow B F 3 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow B F 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| BF2. IS HE/SHE STILL BEING BREASTFED? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................................... No |  |
| BF3. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT LIQUIDS THAT (name) MAY HAVE HAD YESTERDAY DURING THE DAY OR THE NIGHT. I AM INTERESTED IN WHETHER (name) HAD THE ITEM EVEN IF IT WAS COMBINED WITH OTHER FOODS. <br> DID (name) DRINK PLAIN WATER YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes ..................................................................................................................................................................................................... No |  |
| BF4. DID (name) DRINK INFANT FORMULA YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes ....................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow B F 6 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow B F 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| BF5. How MANY TIMES DID (name) DRINK INFANT FORMULA? | Number of times ..............................._- _ |  |
| BF6. DID (name) DRINK MILK, SUCH AS TINNED, POWDERED OR FRESH ANIMAL MILK YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow B F 8 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow B F 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| BF7. HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) DRINK TINNED, POWDERED OR FRESH ANIMAL MILK? | Number of times ...............................-_ - |  |
| BF8. DID (name) DRINK JUICE OR JUICE DRINKS YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? |  |  |
| BF9. DID (name) DRINK clear broth/clear soup YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes ..................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| BF10. DID (name) DRINK OR EAT VITAMIN OR MINERAL SUPPLEMENTS OR ANY MEDICINES YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes .......................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| BF11. DID (name) DRINK ORS (ORAL REHYDRATION SOLUTION) YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................................................. |  |


| BF12. DID (name) DRINK ANY OTHER LIQUIDS YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................. 8 No |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BF13. DID (name) DRINK OR EAT YOGURT YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes ..................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow B F 15 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow B F 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| BF14. HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) DRINK OR EAT YOGURT YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Number of times ..............................._- |  |
| BF15. DID (name) EAT THIN PORRIDGE YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| BF16. DID (name) EAT SOLID OR SEMI-SOLID (SOFT, MUSHY) FOOD YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Yes ....................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow B F 18 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow B F 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| BF17. HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) EAT SOLID OR SEMI-SOLID (SOFT, MUSHY) FOOD YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT? | Number of times ...............................-_- |  |
| BF18. YESTERDAY, DURING THE DAY OR NIGHT, DID (name) DRINK ANYTHING FROM A BOTTLE WITH A NIPPLE? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No............ |  |


| CARE OF ILLNESS |  | CA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA1. IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS, HAS (name) HAD DIARRHOEA? | Yes .............................................................................................................................................................................................. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 7 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA2. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW MUCH (name) WAS GIVEN TO DRINK DURING THE DIARRHOEA (INCLUDING BREASTMILK). <br> DURING THE TIME (name) hAD DIARRHOEA, WAS HE/SHE GIVEN LESS THAN USUAL TO DRINK, ABOUT THE SAME AMOUNT, OR MORE than usual? <br> If less, probe: <br> Was he/she given much less than usual TO DRINK, OR SOMEWHAT LESS? |  |  |
| CA3. DURING THE TIME (name) hAD DIARRHOEA, WAS HE/SHE GIVEN LESS than USUAL to eat, AbOUT THE SAME AMOUNT, MORE THAN USUAL, OR NOTHING TO EAT? <br> If "less", probe: <br> WAS he/she given much less than usual TO EAT OR SOMEWHAT LESS? |  |  |
| CA4. DURING THE EPISODE OF DIARRHOEA, WAS (name) GIVEN TO DRINK ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: <br> Read each item aloud and record response before proceeding to the next item. <br> [A] A fluId made from a special packet called Rehidron (Rehidol, Hidoreg) <br> [B] A pre-Packaged ORS fluid for DIARRHOEA? | Fluid from ORS packet <br> Rehidron (Rehidol, Hidoreg) $\qquad$ .128 <br> Pre-packaged ORS fluid $\qquad$ .128 |  |
| CA5. WAS ANYTHING (ELSE) GIVEN to treat the DIARRHOEA? | Yes ..................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 7 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 7 \end{aligned}$ |


| CA6. What (else) was given to treat the DIARRHOEA? <br> Probe: <br> ANYTHING ELSE? <br> Record all treatments given. Write brand name(s) of all medicines mentioned. <br> (Name) | Pill or Syrup <br> Antibiotic $\qquad$ <br> Antimotility $\qquad$ <br> Zinc $\qquad$ B C <br> Other (Not antibiotic, antimotility, zinc $\qquad$ <br> Unknown pill or syrup $\qquad$ H <br> Injection <br> Antibiotic $\qquad$ <br> Non-antibiotic $\qquad$ <br> Unknown injection $\qquad$ <br> Intravenous. $\qquad$ O <br> Home remedy / Herbal medicine $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA7. At any time in the last two weeks, has (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A COUGH? | Yes ....................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 14 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA8. WHEN (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A COUGH, DID HE/SHE BREATHE FASTER THAN USUAL WITH SHORT, RAPID BREATHS OR HAVE DIFFICULTY BREATHING? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 14 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA9. WAS THE FAST OR DIFFICULT BREATHING DUE TO A PROBLEM IN THE CHEST OR A BLOCKED OR RUNNY NOSE? | Problem in chest only................................. 1 <br> Blocked or runny nose only........................ 2 <br> Both $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ <br> DK. $\qquad$ | $2 \Rightarrow C A 14$ $6 \Rightarrow C A 14$ |
| CA10. Did you seek any advice or treatment FOR THE ILLNESS FROM ANY SOURCE? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................................. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 12 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA11. FROM WHERE DID YOU SEEK ADVICE OR TREATMENT? <br> Probe: <br> ANYWHERE ELSE? <br> Circle all providers mentioned, but do NOT prompt with any suggestions. <br> Probe to identify each type of source. <br> If unable to determine if public or private sector, write the name of the place. | Public sector <br> Hospital $\qquad$ <br> Health Centre $\qquad$ <br> Centre for family doctors, office of family doctor. $\qquad$ <br> Other public (specify) $\qquad$ H <br> Private medical sector <br> Private hospital / clinic. $\qquad$ <br> Private physician $\qquad$ <br> Private pharmacy $\qquad$ <br> Other private medical (specify) $\qquad$ 0 <br> Other source <br> Relative / Friend $\qquad$ <br> Shop $\qquad$ R <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |


| CA12. WAS (name) GIVEN ANY MEDICINE TO TREAT THIS ILLNESS? | Yes ........................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{CA} 14 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CA} 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA13. WHAT MEDICINE WAS (name) GIVEN? <br> Probe: <br> ANY OTHER MEDICINE? <br> Circle all medicines given. Write brand name(s) of all medicines mentioned. <br> (Names of medicines) | Antibiotic / Biseptol <br> Pill / Syrup $\qquad$ <br> Injection $\qquad$ B <br> Paracetamol $\qquad$ $P$ <br> Aspirin $\qquad$ Q <br> Ibuprofen $\qquad$ R <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X <br> DK. $\qquad$ |  |
| CA14. Check AG2: Child aged under 3? Yes $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA15 No $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module |  |  |
| CA15. The last time (name) PASSED stools, WHAT WAS DONE TO DISPOSE OF THE stools? |  |  |

IMMUNIZATION
If an immunization card is available, copy the dates in IM3 for each type of immunization recorded on the card. IM6-IM17 are for registering vaccinations that are not recorded on the card. IM6-IM17 will only be asked when a card is not available.


| IM5. IN ADDITION TO WHAT IS RECORDED ON THIS CARD, DID (name) RECEIVE ANY OTHER VACCINATIONS - INCLUDING VACCINATIONS RECEIVED IN CAMPAIGNS OR IMMUNIZATION DAYS? <br> Record 'Yes' only if respondent mentions vaccines shown in the table above. | Yes $\qquad$ .1 <br> (Probe for vaccinations and write ' 66 ' in the corresponding day column for each vaccine mentioned. Then skip to IM18) $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 18 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IM6. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED ANY VACCINATIONS TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING DISEASES, INCLUDING VACCINATIONS RECEIVED IN A CAMPAIGN OR IMMUNIZATION DAY? | Yes ............................................................... 1 No................................................................... 2 DK................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 18 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM7. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A BCG VACCINATION AGAINST TUBERCULOSIS - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE ARM OR SHOULDER THAT USUALLY CAUSES A SCAR? | Yes .............................................................. 1 No.............................................................................................................................. |  |
| IM8. HAs (name) EVER RECEIVED ANY "VACCINATION DROPS IN THE MOUTH" TO PROTECT HIM/HER FROM GETTING DISEASES THAT IS, POLIO? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No................................................................................................................................ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 } \Rightarrow I M 11 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM10. HOW MANY TIMES WAS THE POLIO VACCINE RECEIVED? | Number of times.. |  |
| IM11. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A DPT VACCINATION - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING TETANUS, WHOOPING COUGH, OR DIPHTHERIA? <br> Probe by indicating that DPT vaccination is sometimes given at the same time as Polio | Yes ............................................................. 1 No.................................................................................................................................. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 13 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM12. HOW MANY TIMES WAS A DPT VACCINE RECEIVED? | Number of times ... |  |
| IM13. HAS (name) EVER been given a Hepatitis B VACCINATION - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS - TO PREVENT him/her from getting Hepatitis B? <br> Probe by indicating that the Hepatitis $B$ vaccine is sometimes given at the same time as Polio and DPT vaccines | Yes ............................................................... 1 No................................................................... 2 DK................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 16 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM14. Was the first Hepatitis B vaccine RECEIVED WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER BIRTH, OR LATER? | Within 24 hours Later $\qquad$ |  |
| IM15. How many times was a hepatitis B VACCINE RECEIVED? | Number of times. |  |
| IM16. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED A MEASLES, Mumps, Rubella injection or an MMR inJection - that is, a shot in the arm at THE AGE OF 12 MONTHS OR OLDER - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING MEASLES, MUMPS, RUBELLA? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No.................................................................................................................................. |  |
| IM18. HAs (name) RECEIVED A VITAMIN A dOSE LIKE (THIS/ANY OF THESE) WITHIN THE LAST 6 MONTHS? | Yes <br> No <br> 2 |  |


|  | DK....................................................... 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IM18A. Has (name) ever received pills, drops or syrup with iron? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No................................................................................................................................ |  |

IM20. Issue a "Questionnaire Form for Vaccinations at Health Facility" for this child. Complete the Information Panel on that Questionnaire and continue below.

## UF13. Record the time.



UF14. Is the respondent the mother or caretaker of another child age 0-4 living in this household?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Indicate to the respondent that you will need to measure the weight and height of the child later. Go to the next QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE to be administered to the same respondent
$\square N o \Rightarrow$ End the interview with this respondent by thanking him/her for his/her cooperation and tell her/him that you will need to measure the weight and height of the child

Check to see if there are other woman's, man's or under-5 questionnaires to be administered in this household.

Move to another woman's, man's or under-5 questionnaire, or start making arrangements for anthropometric measurements of all eligible children in the household.

## ANTHROPOMETRY

After questionnaires for all children are complete, the measurer weights and measures each child. Record weight and length/height below, taking care to record the measurements on the correct questionnaire for each child. Check the child's name and line number on the household listing before recording measurements.

| AN1. Measurer's name and number: | Name |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AN2. Result of height / length and weight measurement | Either or both measured $\qquad$ 1 <br> Child not present $\qquad$ <br> Child or caretaker refused $\qquad$ 3 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 6 | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{HM} 5$ <br> $3 \Rightarrow$ Next <br> Module <br> $6 \Rightarrow$ Next <br> Module |
| AN3. Child's weight | Kilograms (kg) <br> Weight not measured $\qquad$ 99.9 |  |
| AN4. Child's length or height <br> Check age of child in AG2: Child under 2 years old. $\Rightarrow$ Measure length (lying down). Child age 2 or more years. $\Rightarrow$ Measure height <br> (standing up). | Length (cm) <br> Lying down $\qquad$ 1 <br> Height (cm) <br> Standing up $\qquad$ 2 <br> Length / Height not measured $\qquad$ 9999.9 |  |

Record the haemoglobin level below, taking care to record the measurements on the correct questionnaire for each child. Check the child's name and the line number on the household listing before recording measurements.
HM1. Measurer's name and number:

| HM2. CHECK AG1: IS THE CHILD'S AGE 0-5 MONTHS OR WAS THE CHILD BORN IN THE MONTH OF THE INTERVIEW OR |
| :--- |
| 5 MONTHS AGO? |
| $\square$ Yes, $0-5$ months $\Rightarrow$ Go to HM7. |
| $\square$ No, older $\Rightarrow$ Continue with HM3. |
| HM3. Check the line number of the <br> parent/caretaker from the household listing <br> form, HL1. |
| Record "00", if not included in the household <br> listing form and specify who is the caretaker. | Line number__ Specify _-_

HM4. ReAd the consent message to the parent/caretaker identified in hm3.
Within this survey, we evaluate the anaemia in women and children. Anaemia is a very important health issue that is usually caused by insufficient nutrition, infections or chronic diseases. This survey will help the Ministry of Health to develop programmes for preventing and treating ANAEMIA.
We would like that all children born in 2007 OR LATER PARTICIPATE in ANAEMIA TESTING by allowing us to
take some drops of blood from the finger. The test uses only disposable instruments that are StERILE AND ABSOLUTELY SAFE. THE TEST WILL BE EFFECTED USING A SPECIAL MODERN EQUIPMENT AND THE RESULTS WILL BE READY IMMEDIATELY AFTER BLOOD TAKING. THE RESULTS WILL BE STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.
DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
Now I would like that the child (child's name) PARTICIPATE IN THE ANAEMIA TEST. HOWEVER, IF you decide NOT TO PERFORM THIS TEST, IT IS YOUR RIGHT TO DO SO AND WE WILL COMPLY WITH YOUR DECISION. PLEASE TELL ME YOUR DECISION ON ACCEPTING THIS TEST.

HM5. Circle the respective code and ask the parent/caretaker to sign.

| Consent received .................................. 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signature |  |
|  | Refusal on the part of the parent/caretaker 2 |
|  | Parent/caretaker is not present................. 3 |
|  | Child is not present................................. 4 |
|  | Haemoglobin level (g/dl) ............................ |
|  | Not measured due to other reasons (specify) .................................................. 996 |
| eligible for measurement? |  |
| child, including the haemoglobin level. |  |
|  | ual questionnaires to be completed in the household |

## Interviewer's Observations

## Field Editor's Observations

Supervisor's Observations

## QUESTIONNAIRE FORM FOR VACCINATIONS AT HEALTH FACILITY

| UNDER-FIVE CHILD INFORMATION PANEL | HF |
| :---: | :---: |
| This questionnaire form is to be used at health children age 0-4 years. A separate questionnair <br> The Questionnaire for Under Five Children must This panel should be completed before visiting <br> This questionnaire form must be appended to the | ilities to record information on the vaccinations of form should be used for each eligible child. <br> completed for the child prior to completing this form. health facility. <br> Questionnaire for Under Five Children for each child. |
| HF1. Cluster number: | HF2. Household number: |
| HF3. Child's name: <br> Name | HF4. Child's line number: |
| HF5. Mother's / Caretaker's name: <br> Name $\qquad$ | HF6. Mother's / Caretaker's line number: |
| HF7. Interviewer name and number: <br> Name | HF8. Day / Month / Year of facility visit: $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ |
| HF9. Day, month and year of birth (From AGl in Under-5 Questionnaire) $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ | HF10. Name of health facility: |


| HF11. Result of health facility visit | Vaccination record seen $\qquad$ 01 <br> Vaccination record not seen $\qquad$ 02 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 |
| :---: | :---: |

IMMUNIZATION


MAN'S INFORMATION PANEL
MWM
This questionnaire is to be administered to all men age 15 through 49 (see Household Listing Form, column HL7A). A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible man.

| MWM1. Cluster number: | MWM2. Household number: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MWM3. Man's name: | MWM4. Man's line number: |  |
| MWM5. Interviewer name and number: | MWM6. Day / Month / Year of interview: |  |
| Name | I___ 1 |  |

Repeat greeting if not already read to this man:
We are from National Centre of Public Health. We ARE WORKING ON A SURVEY CONCERNED WITH FAMILY HEALTH AND EDUCATION. I WOULD LIKE TO talk to you about these subjects. The interview will take about 25 minutes. All the INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR SURVEY TEAM.

If greeting at the beginning of the household questionnaire has already been read to this man, then read the following:

Now I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU MORE ABOUT YOUR HEALTH AND OTHER TOPICS. THIS INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 25 MINUTES. AGAIN, ALL THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR SURVEY TEAM.

## MAY I START NOW?

$\square$ Yes, permission is given $\Rightarrow$ Go to MWM10 to record the time and then begin the interview.
$\square$ No, permission is not given $\Rightarrow$ Complete MWM7. Discuss this result with your supervisor.

| MWM7. Result of man's interview |  |
| :---: | :---: |


| MWM8. Field edited by (Name and number): | MWM9. Data entry clerk (Name and number): |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name ___ | Name ___ _-_ |


| MWM10. Record the time. | Hour and minutes . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAN'S BACKGROUND |  | MWB |
| MWB1. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WERE YOU BORN? | Date of birth <br> Month <br> DK month. $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ .$-\ldots . . . . . \overline{9998}$ |  |
| MWB2. How old ARE You? <br> Probe: How old were you at your Last BIRTHDAY? <br> Compare and correct MWB1 and/or MWB2 if inconsistent | Age (in completed years).....................-- |  |
| MWB2A. TO WHAT ETHNIC GROUP DO YOU belong? |  |  |
| MWB3. HAVE YOU EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL? | Yes........................................................................................................................... No | 2弓MWB7 |
| MWB4. What is the highest level of school YOU ATTENDED? |  | $0 \Rightarrow$ MWB7 |
| MWB5. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST GRADE YOU COMPLETED AT THAT LEVEL? <br> If less than 1 grade, enter " 00 " | Grade............................................-_ - |  |
| MWB6. Check MWB4: <br> $\square$ Secondary or higher. $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Mo <br> $\square$ Primary $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MWB7 |  |  |
| MWB7. Now I WOULD LIKE YOU TO READ THIS SENTENCE TO ME. <br> Show sentence on the card to the respondent. If respondent cannot read whole sentence, probe: <br> Can you read part of the sentence to ME? | Cannot read at all ........................................... 1Able to read only parts of sentence ............. 3Able to read whole sentence ..................No sentence inrequired language(specify language)Blind / visually impaired................................ 5 |  |


| MMT1. Check MWB7:Question left blank (Respondent has secondary or more education) $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MMT2Able to read or no sentence in required language (codes 2,3 or 4) $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MMT2Cannot read at all or blind (codes 1 or 5) $\Rightarrow$ Go to MMT3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MMT2. HOW OFTEN DO YOU READ A NEWSPAPER or magazine: Almost every day, at least once a week, less than once a week or NOT AT ALL? |  |  |
| MMT3. DO YOU LISTEN TO THE RADIO ALMOST EVERY DAY, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS than once a week or not at all? |  |  |
| MMT4. HOW OFTEN DO YOU WATCH TELEVISION: WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU WATCH ALMOST EVERY DAY, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? |  |  |
| MMT5. Check MWB2: Age of respondent 15-24 years?Yes, age 15-24 years $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MMT6No, age 25-49 years $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module |  |  |
| MMT6. HAVE YOU EVER USED A COMPUTER? | Yes .................................................................................................................. 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ MMT9 |
| MMT7. HAVE YOU USED A COMPUTER FROM ANY LOCATION IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? | Yes ............................................................................................................................ | $2 \Rightarrow$ MMT9 |
| MMT8. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, HOW OFTEN DID YOU USE A COMPUTER: ALMOST every day, at least once a week, less than once a week or not at all? | Almost every day $\qquad$ 1 <br> At least once a week $\qquad$ <br> Less than once a week $\qquad$ <br> Not at all $\qquad$ . .4 |  |
| MMT9. HAVE You ever used the internet? | Yes ......................................................................................................................... No...... | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Next}$ <br> Module |
| MMT10. In THE LAST 12 MONTHS, HAVE YOU USED THE INTERNET? <br> If necessary, probe for use from any location, with any device. | Yes ........................................................................................................................... | $2 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| MMT11. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, HOW OFTEN DID YOU USE THE INTERNET: ALMOST EVERY DAY, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? | Almost every day $\qquad$ <br> At least once a week $\qquad$ <br> Less than once a week $\qquad$ <br> Not at all $\qquad$ 4 |  |


| All questions refer only to LIVE births. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCM1. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK ABOUT ALL THE CHILDREN YOU HAVE HAD IN YOUR LIFE. I AM interested in all of the children that ARE BIOLOGICALLY YOURS, EVEN IF THEY ARE not legally yours or do not have your LASt name. <br> Have you ever fathered any children WITH ANY WOMAN? | Yes ................................................................. 1 No............................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow M C M 8 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow M C M 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| MCM3. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOUR (FIRST) CHILD WAS BORN? | Age in years ....................................- - |  |
| MCM4. DO YOU HAVE ANY SONS OR DAUGHTERS that you have fathered who are now LIVING WITH YOU? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MCM6}$ |
| MCM5. HOW MANY SONS LIVE WITH YOU? <br> HOW MANY DAUGHTERS LIVE WITH YOU? <br> If none, record '00'. | Sons at home <br> Daughters at home |  |
| MCM6. Do You have any sons or daughters that you have fathered who are alive BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ No...... | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MCM8}$ |
| MCM7. How many sons are alive but do not LIVE WITH YOU? <br> How many daughters are alive but do NOT LIVE WITH YOU? <br> If none, record '00'. | Sons elsewhere <br> Daughters elsewhere |  |
| MCM8. HAVE YOU EVER FATHERED A SON OR daughter who was born alive but later DIED? <br> If "No" probe by asking: I MEAN, A CHILD WHO EVER BREATHED OR CRIED OR SHOWED OTHER SIGNS OF LIFE EVEN IF HE OR SHE LIVED ONLY A FEW MINUTES OR HOURS? | Yes ................................................................................................................... | $2 \Rightarrow$ MCM10 |
| MCM9. How MANY BOYS HAVE DIED? <br> How many girls have died? <br> If none, record '00'. | Boys dead $\qquad$ <br> Girls dead $\qquad$ |  |
| MCM10. Sum answers to MCM5, MCM7, and MCM9. | Sum .............................................-- |  |

MCM11. JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE THIS RIGHT, YOU HAVE FATHERED IN TOTAL (total number in MCM10) LIVE BIRTHS DURING YOUR LIFE. IS THIS CORRECT?Yes. Check below:
$\square$ No live births $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next ModuleOne or more live births $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MCM11A
$\square$ No $\Rightarrow$ Check responses to MCM1-MCM10 and make corrections as necessary

| MCM11A. Did ALL THE CHILDREN YOU HAVE FATHERED HAVE THE SAME BIOLOGICAL MOTHER? | Yes .......................................................................................................................... | $1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MCM} 12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCM11B. IN ALL, HOW MANY WOMEN HAVE YOU FATHERED CHILDREN WITH? | Number of women............................-_ _ |  |
| MCM12. OF THESE (total number in MCM10) BIRTHS YOU HAVE FATHERED, WHEN WAS THE LAST ONE BORN (EVEN IF HE OR SHE HAS DIED)? <br> Month and year must be recorded. | Date of last birth <br> Day $\qquad$ <br> DK day $\qquad$ <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ |  |

MDV1. SOMETIMES A HUSBAND IS ANNOYED OR ANGERED BY THINGS THAT HIS WIFE DOES. IN YOUR OPINION, IS A HUSBAND JUSTIFIED IN HITTING OR BEATING HIS WIFE IN THE FOLLOWING SITUATIONS:
[A] IF SHE GOES OUT WITHOUT TELLING HIM?
[B] IF SHE NEGLECTS THE CHILDREN?
[C] IF SHE ARGUES WITH HIM?
[D] IF SHE REFUSES TO HAVE SEX WITH HIM?
[E] IF SHE BURNS THE FOOD?

| Yes No DK |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Goes out without telling ............ 1 2 8 |  |
| Neglects children.................... 1 2 8 |  |
| Argues with him...................... 1 2 8 |  |
| Refuses sex.......................... 1 2 2 |  |
| Burns food ............................. 1 2 8 |  |


| MARRIAGE/UNION |  | MMA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MMA1. ARe You CURRENTLY MARRIED OR LIVING TOGETHER WITH A WOMAN AS IF MARRIED? | Yes, currently married........................................... 1 Yes, living with a woman ................................................................. | $3 \leftrightharpoons$ MMA5 |
| MMA2. HOW OLD IS YOUR WIFE/PARTNER? <br> Probe: How OLD WAS YOUR WIFE/PARTNER ON HER LAST BIRTHDAY? | Age in years <br> DK |  |
| MMA5. Have you ever been married or lived TOGETHER WITH A WOMAN AS IF MARRIED? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| MMA6. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS NOW: ARE YOU WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED? |  |  |
| MMA7. HAVE YOU BEEN MARRIED OR LIVED WITH A WOMAN ONLY ONCE OR MORE THAN ONCE? | Only once................................................................................................ More than once...... |  |
| MMA8. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU FIRST MARRY OR START LIVING WITH A WOMAN AS IF MARRIED? | Date of first marriage <br> Month $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> DK month $\qquad$ 98 <br> Year $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> DK year $\qquad$ 9998 | $\Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| MMA9. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU STARTED LIVING WITH YOUR FIRST WIFE/PARTNER? | Age in years.................................... _ - |  |


| MSB1. Now I Would LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT SEXUAL ACTIVITY IN ORDER TO GAIN A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF SOME IMPORTANT LIFE ISSUES. <br> The information you supply will remain STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL. <br> How old were you when you had sexual INTERCOURSE FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME? | Never had intercourse. $\qquad$ 00 <br> Age in years $\qquad$ <br> First time when started living with (first) wife/partner $\qquad$ | $00 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSB2. THE FIRST TIME YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE, WAS A CONDOM USED? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ <br> DK / Don't remember $\qquad$ |  |
| MSB3. When WAS the Last time you had SEXUAL INTERCOURSE? <br> Record 'years ago' only if last intercourse was one or more years ago. If 12 months or more the answer must be recorded in years. | Days ago ............................................. 1 - - Weeks ago ......................................... 2 - - Months ago ......................................... 3 - - Years ago........................................ 4 _ - | 4弓MSB14C |
| MSB4. The LASt time you had sexual INTERCOURSE, WAS A CONDOM USED? |  |  |
| MSB5. WHAT WAS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THIS PERSON WITH WHOM YOU LAST HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE? <br> Probe to ensure that the response refers to the relationship at the time of sexual intercourse <br> If 'girlfriend', then ask: <br> WERE YOU LIVING TOGETHER AS IF MARRIED? If 'yes', circle '2'. If 'no', circle '3'. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \Leftrightarrow M S B 7 \\ & 4 \Leftrightarrow M S B 7 \\ & 5 \Leftrightarrow M S B 7 \\ & 6 \Leftrightarrow M S B 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| MSB6. Check MMA1: Currently married or living with a wom Not married / Not in union (MMA1 $=3$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & n(\text { MMAl }=1 \text { or } 2) \Rightarrow \text { Go to MSB8 } \\ & \Rightarrow \text { Continue with MSB7 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| MSB7. How old is this person? <br> If response is $D K$, probe: About how old is this person? | Age of sexual partner <br> DK $\qquad$ |  |
| MSB8. HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE WITH ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? | Yes .............................................................................................................................. | 2¢MSB14A |
| MSB9. The LASt time you had sexual INTERCOURSE WITH THIS OTHER PERSON, WAS A CONDOM USED? | Yes ......................................................................................................................... |  |


| MSB10. WHAT WAS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THIS PERSON? <br> Probe to ensure that the response refers to the relationship at the time of sexual intercourse <br> If 'girlfriend' then ask: <br> Were you living together as if married? <br> If 'yes', circle ' 2 '. If 'no', circle' 3 '. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3 } \Rightarrow \text { MSB12 } \\ & 4 \Leftrightarrow \text { MSB12 } \\ & 5 \Rightarrow \text { MSB12 } \\ & 6 \Leftrightarrow \text { MSB12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSB11. Check MMA1 and MMA7: Currently married or living with a woma AND <br> Married only once or lived with a woma Else $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MSB12 | $n(M M A 1=1 \text { or } 2)$ <br> only once $(M M A 7=1) \Rightarrow$ Go to MSB13 |  |
| MSB12. How OLD IS THIS PERSON? <br> If response is $D K$, probe: <br> ABOUT HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON? | Age of sexual partner <br> DK $\qquad$ |  |
| MSB13. OtHER THAN THESE TWO PERSONS, HAVE You had sexual intercourse with any OTHER PERSON IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No...... | 2¢MSB15 |
| MSB14. IN TOTAL, WITH HOW MANY DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? | Number of partners .............................- - |  |
| MSB15. IN TOTAL, WITH HOW MANY DIFFERENT People have you had sexual intercourse IN YOUR LIFETIME? <br> If a non-numeric answer is given, probe to get an estimate. <br> If number of partners is 95 or more, write ' 95 '. | Number of lifetime partners <br> DK $\qquad$ |  |


| MHA1. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU AbOUT SOMETHING ELSE. <br> Have you ever heard of an illness CALLED HIVIAIDS? | Yes ........................................................... 1 No ......................................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MHA2. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF getting the HIV/AIDS virus by having JUST ONE UNINFECTED SEX PARTNER WHO HAS NO OTHER SEX PARTNERS? |  |  |
| MHA3. CAN PEOPLE GET THE HIVIAIDS VIRUS because of witchcraft or other SUPERNATURAL MEANS? |  |  |
| MHA4. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF Getting the hiv/Aids virus by using a CONDOM EVERY TIME THEY HAVE SEX? |  |  |
| MHA5. CAN PEOPLE GET THE HIV/AIDS VIRUS FROM MOSQUITO BITES? | Yes.............................................................................................................................................................................. 8 No 8 DK....................... |  |
| MHA6. CAN PEOPLE GET THE HIVIAIDS VIRUS BY SHARING FOOD WITH A PERSON WHO HAS THE AIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| MHA7. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A HEALTHY-LOOKING PERSON TO HAVE THE HIV/AIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| HA7a. CAN A PERSON GET HIV/AIDS BY HUGGING OR SHAKING WITH A PERSON WHO IS INFECTED? |  |  |
| MHA8. CAN THE VIRUS THAT CAUSES HIV/AIDS BE TRANSMITTED FROM A MOTHER TO HER BABY: <br> [A] DURING PREGNANCY? <br> [B] DURING DELIVERY? <br> [C] By breastreeding? |  Yes No DK <br> During pregnancy.................... 1 2 8  <br> During delivery...................... 1 2 8  <br> By breastfeeding.................. 1 2 8  |  |
| MHA9. IN YOUR OPINION, IF A TEACHER HAS THE HIVIAIDS VIRUS BUT IS NOT SICK, SHOULD he/she be allowed to continue teaching IN SCHOOL? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................. 8 No DK / Not sure / Depends............... |  |
| MHA10. Would you buy fresh vegetables FROM A SHOPKEEPER OR VENDOR IF YOU knew that this person had the hiviaids VIRUS? | Yes ....................................................................... 1 No ............................................ 2 DK / Not sure / Depends............................... 8 |  |
| MHA11. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMLY GOT INFECTED WITH THE HIV/AIDS VIRUS, WOULD YOU WANT IT TO REMAIN A SECRET? | Yes ..................................................................... 1 No ............................................ 2 DK / Not sure / Depends................................ 8 |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { MHA12. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME } \\ \text { SICK WITH HIVIAIDS, WOULD YOU BE WILLING } \\ \text { TO CARE FOR HER OR HIM IN YOUR OWN } \\ \text { HOUSEHOLD? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Yes ................................................................................................................ } 2\end{array} \\ \text { No ........................................... } 8\end{array}\right]$

| TUBERCULOSIS |  | MTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MTB1. Now I would Like to Ask you About sOMETHING ELSE. <br> HAVE YOU EVER HEARD ABOUT A DISEASE named Tuberculosis or TBC? | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| MTB2. How Do you think is TUBERCULOSIS TRANSMITTED FROM ONE PERSON TO ANOTHER? <br> Probe: IN WHICH WAYS? <br> Record all the mentioned variants. | Through the air during coughing or sneezing <br> When you share the same objects ......... B <br> When touching the person with TB ........ C <br> Through food .......................................... D <br> Sexually. <br> ...................................................................................... <br> Others (specify) $\qquad$ <br> DK $\qquad$ Z |  |
| MTB3. WHAT ARE THE SIGNS OR SYMPTOMS INDICATING THAT A PERSON HAS TB? <br> OTHER? <br> Record all the mentioned variants. |  |  |
| MTB4. CAN TUBERCULOSIS BE CURED? | Yes ........................................................... 1 No ................................................................. 2 DK................................................................ 8 |  |
| MTB5. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK of Tuberculosis, would you want it to REMAIN A SECRET? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No ................................................................... 2 DK................................................................ 8 |  |


| TOBACCO AND ALCOHOL USE |  | MTA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MTA1. HAVE You EVER TRIED CIGARETTE SMOKING, EVEN ONE OR TWO PUFFS? | Yes....................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow$ MTA6 |
| MTA2. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU SMOKED A WHOLE CIGARETTE FOR THE FIRST TIME? | Never smoked a whole cigarette $\qquad$ 00 <br> Age $\qquad$ | 00¢MTA6 |
| MTA3. DO You currently smoke cigarettes? | Yes 1 <br> No $\qquad$ | 2¢MTA6 |
| MTA4. IN THE LAST 24 HOURS, HOW MANY CIGARETTES DID YOU SMOKE? | Number of cigarettes .....................___ |  |
| MTA5. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU SMOKE CIGARETTES? <br> If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". <br> If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30" | Number of days $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ <br> 10 days or more but less than a month .... 10 <br> Everyday / Almost every day $\qquad$ |  |
| MTA6. HAVE YOU EVER TRIED ANY SMOKED TOBACCO PRODUCTS OTHER THAN CIGARETTES, SUCH AS CIGARS, WATER PIPE, CIGARILLOS OR PIPE? | Yes $\qquad$ 1 <br> No $\qquad$ | 2 $\Rightarrow$ MTA10 |
| MTA7. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, DID YOU USE ANY SMOKED TOBACCO PRODUCTS? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No | 2 $\Rightarrow$ MTA10 |
| MTA8. WHAT TYPE OF SMOKED TOBACCO PRODUCT DID YOU USE OR SMOKE DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH? <br> Circle all mentioned. |  |  |
| MTA9. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU USE SMOKED TOBACCO PRODUCTS? <br> If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". <br> If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle " 30 " | Number of days $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ <br> 10 days or more but less than a month. ... 10 10 <br> Everyday / Almost every day $\qquad$ |  |


| MTA10. HAVE YOU EVER TRIED ANY FORM OF SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS, SUCH AS CHEWING TOBACCO, SNUFF, OR DIP? | Yes......................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow$ MTA14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MTA11. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, DID YOU USE ANY SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS? |  | $2 \Rightarrow$ MTA14 |
| MTA12. WHAT TYPE OF SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCT DID YOU USE DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH? <br> Circle all mentioned. |  |  |
| MTA13. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU USE SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS? <br> If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". <br> If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30" | Number of days $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ <br> 10 days or more but less than a month .... 10 <br> Everyday / Almost every day $\qquad$ |  |
| MTA14. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT DRINKING ALCOHOL. <br> HAVE YOU EVER DRUNK ALCOHOL? | Yes................................................................................................................... No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| MTA15. WE COUNT ONE DRINK OF ALCOHOL AS ONE CAN OR BOTTLE OF BEER, ONE GLASS OF WINE, OR ONE SHOT OF COGNAC, VODKA, WHISKEY OR RUM. <br> How old were you when you had your FIRST DRINK OF ALCOHOL, OTHER THAN A FEW SIPS? | Never had one drink of alcohol $\qquad$ <br> Age. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 00 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ \text { Module } \end{gathered}$ |
| MTA16. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU HAVE AT LEAST ONE DRINK OF ALCOHOL? <br> If respondent did not drink, circle " 00 ". <br> If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". <br> If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30" | Did not have one drink in last one month. 00 <br> Number of days $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ <br> 10 days or more but less than a month .... 10 <br> Everyday / Almost every day $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 00 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ \text { Module } \end{gathered}$ |
| MTA17. In THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON THE DAYS THAT YOU DRANK ALCOHOL, HOW MANY DRINKS DID YOU USUALLY HAVE? | Number of drinks .......................... ___ |  |

MLS1. Check MWB2: Age of respondent is between 15 and 24?Age 25-49 years $\Rightarrow$ Go to MWM11Age 15-24 years $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MLS2

| MLS2. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME SIMPLE QUESTIONS ON HAPPINESS AND SATISFACTION. <br> FIRST, TAKING ALL THINGS TOGETHER, WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE VERY HAPPY, SOMEWHAT HAPPY, NEITHER HAPPY NOR UNHAPPY, SOMEWHAT UNHAPPY OR VERY UNHAPPY? <br> You can also look at these pictures to HELP YOU WITH YOUR RESPONSE. <br> Show side 1 of response card and explain what each symbol represents. Circle the response code pointed by the respondent. | Very happy .......................................................... 1 Somewhat happy 2 Neither happy nor unhap........................................................ 4 Somewhat unhappy............................. 5 Very unhappy ......................... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MLS3. NOW I WILL ASK YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LEVEL OF SATISFACTION IN DIFFERENT AREAS. <br> In EACH CASE, WE HAVE FIVE POSSIBLE RESPONSES: Please tell me, for EACH QUESTION, WHETHER YOU ARE VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, NEITHER SATISFIED NOR UNSATISFIED, SOMEWHAT UNSATISFIED OR VERY UNSATISFIED. <br> Again, you can look at these pictures to HELP YOU WITH YOUR RESPONSE. <br> Show side 2 of response card and explain what each symbol represents. Circle the response code shown by the respondent, for questions MLS3 to MLS13. <br> How satisfied are you with your family LIFE? | Very satisfied..................................................... 1 Somewhat satisfied .......................... 2 Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied............... 3 Somewhat unsatisfied .......................... 4 Very unsatisfied............................ 5 |  |
| MLS4. How SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR FRIENDSHIPS? |  |  |
| MLS5. DURING THE (current / 2011-2012) SCHOOL YEAR, DID YOU ATTEND SCHOOL AT ANY TIME? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No...... | $2 ¢ \mathrm{MLS7}$ |


| MLS6. How SATISFIED (are/were) YOU WITH YOUR SCHOOL? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MLS7. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR CURRENT JOB? <br> If the respondent says that he/she does not have a job, circle " 0 " and continue with the next question. Do not probe to find out how she feels about not having a job, unless she tells you herself. | Does not have a job $\qquad$ <br> Very satisfied............................................... 1 <br> Somewhat satisfied ..................................... 2 <br> Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied ................... 3 <br> Somewhat unsatisfied .................................. 4 <br> Very unsatisfied $\qquad$ |  |
| MLS8. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR HEALTH? |  |  |
| MLS9. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH WHERE YOU LIVE? <br> If necessary, explain that the question refers to the living environment, including the neighbourhood and the dwelling. |  |  |
| MLS10. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH HOW PEOPLE AROUND YOU GENERALLY TREAT you? |  |  |
| MLS11. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH THE WAY YOU LOOK? |  |  |
| MLS 12. How SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR LIFE, OVERALL? |  |  |
| MLS 13. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR CURRENT INCOME? <br> If the respondent responds that he/she does not have any income, circle " 0 " and continue with the next question. Do not probe to find out how she feels about not having any income, unless she tells you herself. |  |  |
| MLS14. COMPARED TO THIS TIME LAST YEAR, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOUR LIFE HAS IMPROVED, STAYED MORE OR LESS THE SAME, OR WORSENED, OVERALL? | Improved ........................................................... 1 More or less the same............................................................................... Worsened |  |

MLS15. AND IN ONE YEAR FROM NOW, DO YOU EXPECT THAT YOUR LIFE WILL BE BETTER, WILL BE MORE OR LESS THE SAME, OR WILL BE WORSE, OVERALL?

Better........................................................... 1
More or less the same .2

Worse ........................................................... 33

## MWB11. Record the time.

$\qquad$ : _—

MWB12. Check Household Listing Form, column HL9.
Is the respondent the caretaker of any child age 0-4 living in this household?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE for that child and start the interview with this respondent.No $\Rightarrow$ End the interview with this respondent by thanking him for his cooperation.
Check for the presence of any other eligible man in the household.

Field Editor's Observations

Supervisor's Observations

## ReSPONSE CARD:

Side 1


SIDE 2


WOMAN'S INFORMATION PANEL


Repeat greeting if not already read to this woman:
We are from National Centre of Public Health. We
ARE WORKING ON A SURVEY CONCERNED WITH FAMILY HEALTH AND EDUCATION. I WOULD LIKE TO talk to you about these subjects. The interview will take about 45 minutes. All the INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR SURVEY TEAM.

If greeting at the beginning of the household questionnaire has already been read to this woman, then read the following:

Now I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU MORE ABOUT YOUR HEALTH AND OTHER TOPICS. THIS INTERVIEW WILL take about 45 minutes. Again, all the INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE SHARED WITH ANYONE OTHER THAN OUR SURVEY TEAM.

MAY I START NOW?
$\square$ Yes, permission is given $\Rightarrow$ Go to WM10 to record the time and then begin the interview.
$\square$ No, permission is not given $\Rightarrow$ Complete WM7. Discuss this result with your supervisor.

| WM7. Result of woman's interview |  |
| :---: | :---: |


| WM8. Field edited by (Name and number): | WM9. Data entry clerk (Name and number): |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name ___ | Name $\quad —$ |


| WM10. Record the time. | Hour and minutes ...................__ $: \ldots$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

WOMAN'S BACKGROUND
WB

| WB1. In WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WERE YOU BORN? | Date of birth <br> Month. $\qquad$ <br> DK month $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ $\qquad$ .9998 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WB2. How old ARE YOU? <br> Probe: HOW OLD WERE YOU AT YOUR LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Compare and correct WB1 and/or WB2 if inconsistent | Age (in completed years) ...................-_ - |  |
| WB2A. TO WHAT ETHNIC GROUP DO YOU BELONG? |  |  |
| WB3. Have you ever attended school or PRESCHOOL? | Yes ......................................................................................................................... | 2 $\Rightarrow W B 7$ |
| WB4. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOL YOU ATTENDED? |  | $0 \Rightarrow W B 7$ |
| WB5. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST GRADE YOU COMPLETED AT THAT LEVEL? <br> If less than 1 grade, enter "00" | Grade/year ......................................- - |  |
| WB6. Check WB4: Secondary or higher. $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Primary $\Rightarrow$ Continue with WB7 |  |  |

WB7. Now I WOULD LIKE YOU TO READ THIS SENTENCE TO ME.

Show sentence on the card to the respondent. If respondent cannot read whole sentence, probe:

CAN YOU READ PART OF THE SENTENCE TO ME?

Cannot read at all ......................................... 1
Able to read only parts of sentence............. 2
Able to read whole sentence........................ 3
No sentence in required language $\qquad$ 4
(specify language)
Blind / visually impaired 5

| MT1. Check WB7:Question left blank (Respondent has secondary or more education) $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MT2Able to read or no sentence in required language (codes 2, 3 or 4) $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MT2Cannot read at all or blind (codes 1 or 5) $\Rightarrow$ Go to MT3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT2. How OFTEN DO YOU READ A NEWSPAPER OR magazine: Almost every day, at least ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? |  |  |
| MT3. DO YOU LISTEN TO THE RADIO ALMOST EVERY DAY, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? |  |  |
| MT4. How Often do you watch television: WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU WATCH ALMOST EVERY DAY, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? |  |  |
| MT5. Check WB2: Age of respondent 15-24 years?Yes, 15-24 years $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MT6No, age 25-49 $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module |  |  |
| MT6. HAVE YOU EVER USED A COMPUTER? |  | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MT9}$ |
| MT7. HAVE YOU USED A COMPUTER FROM ANY LOCATION IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? | Yes .................................................................................................................... | $2 \Rightarrow$ MT9 |
| MT8. During the last one month, how often DID YOU USE A COMPUTER: ALMOST EVERY day, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? |  |  |
| MT9. Have you ever used the internet? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... | 2 $\Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| MT10. IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS, HAVE YOU USED THE INTERNET? <br> If necessary, probe for use from any location, with any device. | Yes .......................................................................................................................... | $2 \Rightarrow \text { Next }$ <br> Module |
| MT11. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, HOW OFTEN DID YOU USE THE INTERNET: ALMOST EVERY DAY, AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK, LESS THAN ONCE A WEEK OR NOT AT ALL? |  |  |


| All questions refer only to LIVE births. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM1. Now I would like to Ask About ALL the BIRTHS YOU HAVE HAD DURING YOUR LIFE. HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH? | Yes ................................................................................................................... No...... | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 8$ |
| CM4. Do you have any sons or daughters to WHOM YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH WHO ARE NOW LIVING WITH YOU? | Yes .................................................................................................................. No...... | $2 \Rightarrow C M 6$ |
| CM5. How MANY SONS LIVE WITH YOU? <br> How many daughters live with you? <br> If none, record '00'. | Sons at home $\qquad$ <br> Daughters at home $\qquad$ |  |
| CM6. Do You have any sons or daughters to WHOM YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH WHO ARE ALIVE BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 8$ |
| CM7. How many sons are alive but do not LIVE WITH YOU? <br> How many daughters are alive but do NOT LIVE WITH YOU? <br> If none, record '00'. | Sons elsewhere <br> Daughters elsewhere |  |
| CM8. HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH TO A BOY OR GIRL WHO WAS BORN ALIVE BUT LATER DIED? <br> If "No" probe by asking: <br> I MEAN, TO A CHILD WHO EVER BREATHED OR CRIED OR SHOWED OTHER SIGNS OF LIFE EVEN IF HE OR SHE LIVED ONLY A FEW MINUTES OR HOURS? | Yes ..................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 10$ |
| CM9. How many boys have died? <br> How many girls have died? <br> If none, record '00'. | Boys dead <br> Girls dead |  |
| CM10. Sum answers to CM5, CM7, and CM9. | Sum .. |  |
| CM11. JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE THIS RIGHT, YOU HAVE HAD IN TOTAL (total number in CM10) LIVE BIRT DURING YOUR LIFE. IS THIS CORRECT?Yes. Check below:No live births $\Rightarrow$ Go to CM12AOne or more live births $\Rightarrow$ Continue with the BIRTH HISTORY moduleNo $\Rightarrow$ Check responses to CM1-CM10 and make corrections as necessary before proceeding to the BIRTH HISTORY Module or CM12A |  |  |


| BIRTH HISTORY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOW I WOULD LIKE TO RECORD THE NAMES OF ALL OF YOUR BIRTHS, WHETHER STILL ALIVE OR NOT, STARTING WITH THE FIRST ONE YOU HAD. <br> Record names of all of the births in BH1. Record twins and triplets on separate line. If there are more than 14 births, use an additional questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | BH1. <br> What name was GIVEN TO YOUR (FIRST/NEXT) BABY? | BH2. <br> Were any of THESE BIRTHS TWINS? <br> 1 Single <br> 2 Multiple | BH3. Is (NAME) A BOY OR A GIRL? | In WHAT M (NAME) BC <br> Probe: W BIRTHDAY? | BH4. <br> ONTH AND YEAR WAS RN? <br> HAT IS HIS/HER ? | BH5. Is (NAME) STILL ALIVE? | BH6. <br> How old WAS (NAME) AT HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record age IN COMPLETED YEARS. | BH7. <br> Is <br> (NAME) <br> LIVING <br> WITH <br> YOU? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No | BH8. <br> RECORD HOUSEHOLD LINE NUMBER OF CHILD (FROM HLI) <br> RECORD <br> "00" IF <br> CHILD IS NOT <br> LISTED. | IF DEAD: <br> How old was WHEN HE/SHE <br> IF "I YEAR", <br> HOW MANY MO WAS (NAME)? <br> RECORD DAYS <br> 1 MONTH; RECO <br> IF LESS THAN 2 <br> YEARS | (NAME) <br> IED? <br> obe: <br> NTHS OLD <br> Less than <br> RD MONTHS <br> EARS; OR | BH10. <br> Were there any OTHER LIVE BIRTHS between (name of PREVIOUS BIRTH) AND (NAME), INCLUDING ANY CHILDREN WHO DIED AFTER BIRTH? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No |
| LINE | Name | S M | B G | MONTH | Year | Y N | Age | Y N | Line No | UNIT | Number | Y N |
| 01 |  | 12 | 12 | - | - - - | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE |  | - |  |
| 02 |  | 12 | 12 |  | - | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \text { BH9 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow$ BH10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAYS .......... } 1 \\ & \text { Months .... } 2 \\ & \text { YEARS ....... } 3 \end{aligned}$ | - | 1 2 <br> AdD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 03 |  | 12 | 12 |  | - | $\begin{array}{lc} \hline 1 & 2 \\ & \underset{ }{8} 9 \\ & \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow$ BH10 |  | - | 1 2 <br> AdD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 04 |  | 12 | 12 |  | - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \text { BH9 } 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\Rightarrow$ BH10 |  | - | 1 2 <br> AdD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 05 |  | 12 | 12 | - | - | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \text { BH } 9 \end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAYS .......... } 1 \\ & \text { MoNTHS .... } 2 \\ & \text { YEARS ....... } 3 \end{aligned}$ | - | 1 2 <br> AdD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 06 |  | 12 | 12 | - | - | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 1 & 2 \\ & \Rightarrow \\ & \text { BH9 } 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAYS .......... } 1 \\ & \text { MoNTHS .... } 2 \\ & \text { YEARS ....... } 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - - |  1 <br> AdD 2 <br> NEXT  <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 07 |  | 12 | 12 | - | - - - - | $\begin{array}{lc} 1 & 2 \\ & \underset{\text { BH9 }}{4} \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAYS .......... } 1 \\ & \text { MoNTHS .... } 2 \\ & \text { YEARS ....... } 3 \end{aligned}$ | - - | 1 2 <br> ADD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { Line } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | BH1. <br> What name was GIVEN TO YOUR (FIRST/NEXT) BABY? | BH2. <br> Were any of THESE BIRTHS TWINS? <br> 1 Single <br> 2 Multiple | BH3. <br> Is (NAME) A BOY OR A GIRL? | BH4. <br> In what month and year was (NAME) BORN? <br> Probe: What is his/her BIRTHDAY? | BH5. IS (NAME) STILL ALIVE? $1 \text { YES }$ $2 \mathrm{No}$ | BH6. <br> How old WAS (NAME) AT HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record age <br> IN <br> COMPLETED <br> YEARS. | BH7. <br> Is (NAME) LIVING WITH YOU? $1 \text { Yes }$ $2 \text { No }$ | BH8. <br> RECORD HOUSEHOLD LINE NUMBER of CHILD (FROM HL1) <br> RECORD <br> "00" IF <br> CHILD IS NOT LISTED. | IF DEAD: <br> How Old Was WHEN HE/SHE <br> IF " 1 YEAR", P HOW MANY MO WAS (NAME)? <br> RECORD DAYS <br> 1 MONTH; RECO <br> IF LESS THAN 2 <br> YEARS | (NAME) <br> IED? <br> OBE: <br> NTHS OLD <br> LESS THAN <br> RD MONTHS <br> EARS; OR | BH10. <br> Were there any OTHER LIVE BIRTHS between (name of PREVIOUS BIRTH) AND (NAME), INCLUDING ANY CHILDREN WHO DIED AFTER BIRTH? <br> 1 Yes 2 No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08 |  | 12 | 12 | - - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \\ & \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\overline{\Rightarrow B H 10}$ | DAYS ......... 1 Months .... 2 YEARS ....... 3 | - - | 1 2 <br> ADD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 09 |  | 12 | 12 | - - | $$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAYS ......... } 1 \\ & \text { MONTHS ..... } 2 \\ & \text { YEARS ...... } 3 \end{aligned}$ | - - | 1 2 <br> AdD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 10 |  | 12 | 12 | - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \\ & \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow B H 10$ | DAYS ......... 1 Months .... 2 YEARS ....... 3 | - | 1 2 <br> ADD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 11 |  | 12 | 12 | - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \\ & \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | DAYS ......... 1 MONTHS .... 2 YEARS ....... 3 | - | 1 2 <br> ADD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 12 |  | 12 | 12 | - - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \\ & \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | DAYS ......... 1 MONTHS .... 2 YEARS ....... 3 | - | 1 2 <br> AdD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 13 |  | 12 | 12 | - - - | $\begin{array}{lc} 1 & 2 \\ \\ \\ \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - | 12 | $\Rightarrow \mathrm{BH} 10$ | DAYS ......... 1 MONTHS .... 2 YEARS ....... 3 | - | 1 2 <br> ADD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| 14 |  | 12 | 12 | - - | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ \\ & \text { BH9 } \end{array}$ | - - | 12 | $\Rightarrow$ B ${ }^{\text {1 }} 10$ | DAYS .......... 1 MoNTHS .... 2 YEARS ....... 3 | - | 1 2 <br> ADD NEXT <br> BIRTH BIRTH |
| BH11. Have you had any live births since the birth of (name of last birth in birth HISTORY)? |  |  |  |  |  | Yes <br> No. $\qquad$ 1 |  |  |  |  | $\text { 1 } \Rightarrow \text { RECORD }$ <br> Birth(s) in <br> BiRTH <br> History |


| CM12. Compare number in CMIO with number of births in the Birth History above and check: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\quad \square$ Numbers are same $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CM12A |
| ■ Numbers are different $\Rightarrow$ Probe and reconcile |

CM12G. If the respondent has mentioned more than one early termination (abortion), i.e. CM12D is higher than 1, then ask her for the exact month and year of each mentioned early termination (abortion) that took place during the last 2 years, i.e. since (the month of interviewing) 2010. Write down month and year for each early termination (abortion) in CM12H, starting from the last, and for each recorded early termination (abortion) ask the respondent to tell you how many weeks/months she was pregnant when she had the early termination (abortion) and record this appropriately.

|  | Last early termination (abortion) | Previous to the last early termination (abortion) | Second last from the last early termination (abortion) | Third last from the last early termination (abortion) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM12H. WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOUR (LAST) EARLY TERMINATION (ABORTION) TAKE PLACE? | Don't ask, it is given in CM12E | Month | Month $\qquad$ <br> Year. $\qquad$ | Month $\qquad$ <br> Year... $\qquad$ |
| CM12I. How many Months (weeks) were YOU PREGNANT WHEN YOUR PREGNANCY WAS ABORTED? <br> If the respondent answers in weeks, write down on the appropriate line for weeks, otherwise just record the given months | Weeks...... 1 <br> Months ..... 2 | Weeks ..... 1 <br> Months .... 2 | Weeks..... 1 $\qquad$ <br> Months .... 2 $\qquad$ | Weeks ..... 1 <br> Months..... 2 |
| CM13. Check BH4 in BIRTH HISTORY: Last birth occurred within the last 2 years, that is, since (day and month of interview) in 2010 No live birth in last 2 years or no live birth in general. $\Rightarrow$ Go to ILLNESS SYMPTOMS Module. One or more live births in last 2 years. $\Rightarrow$ Record name of last live born child <br> Name of child $\qquad$ <br> If child has died, take special care when referring to this child by name in the following modules. <br> Continue with the next module. |  |  |  |  |


| This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding date of interview. Check child mortality module CM13 and record name of last-born child here Use this child's name in the following questions, where indicated. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DB1. WHEN YOU GOT PREGNANT WITH (name), DID YOU WANT TO GET PREGNANT AT THAT TIME? | Yes ............................................................... 1 No .................................................................... 2 | $1 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| DB2. DID YOU WANT TO HAVE A BABY LATER ON, OR DID YOU NOT WANT ANY (MORE) CHILDREN? | Later $\qquad$ .1 <br> No more $\qquad$ | $2 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| DB3. How much longer did you want to WAIT? | Months............................................... 1 —— Years ................................................... 2 —— DK............................................................... 998 |  |



| MN4E. Do You think the IRON SUPPLEMENTS: <br> (A) STRENGTHEN THE BONES? <br> (B) PREVENT CONGENITAL ANOMALIES? <br> (C) PREVENT BLOOD PRESSURE? <br> (D) PREVENT ANAEMIA? | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yes } \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DK } \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN4F. HAVE YOU HEARD ABOUT FOLIC ACID? | Yes <br> No |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline . . . . . . . . . ~ \\ & . . . . . . . ~ \end{aligned}$ | $2 \Rightarrow$ MN4L |
| MN4G. DURING THIS PREGNANCY HAVE YOU BEEN GIVEN OR HAVE YOU PURCHASED PILLS OR SYRUP WITH FOLIC ACID? <br> SHOW THE PILLS OR SYRUP. | Yes, <br> Yes, <br> No.. <br> DK. | given ased. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | ..........$~$ <br> ........ <br> .........$~$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \Rightarrow M N 4 K \\ & 8 \Rightarrow M N 4 K \end{aligned}$ |
| MN4H. HAVE YOU RECEIVED FOLIC ACID DURING THE FIRST THREE MONTHS OF YOUR PREGNANCY? | Yes <br> No |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \ldots . . . . .1 \\ \ldots \ldots . . . . . ~ \end{array}$ | 2¢MN4J |
| MN4I. HAVE YOU RECEIVED FOLIC ACID DURING AT LEAST 45 DAYS DURING THE FIRST QUARTER OF YOUR PREGNANCY? | Yes <br> No.. <br> DK. | ..... |  |  |
| MN4J. Who RECOMMENDED YOU TO TAKE THE FOLIC ACID DURING PREGNANCY? | Phys <br> Pha <br> Oth |  | ............$~$ <br> ...........$~$ |  |
| MN4K. DO YOU THINK THE FOLIC ACID SUPPLEMENTS: <br> (E) STRENGTHEN THE BONES? <br> (F) PREVENT CONGENITAL ANOMALIES? <br> (G) PREVENT BLOOD PRESSURE? <br> (H) PREVENT ANAEMIA? | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yes } \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No} \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DK } \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| MN4L. DURING THIS PREGNANCY, HAVE YOU HAD PROBLEMS WITH THE DAYTIME VISION? | Yes <br> No <br> DK | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| MN4M. DURING THIS PREGNANCY, HAVE YOU HAD PROBLEMS WITH THE NIGHT VISION? |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| MN17. WHO ASSISTED WITH THE DELIVERY OF (name)? <br> Probe: <br> ANYONE ELSE? <br> Probe for the type of person assisting and circle all answers given. <br> If respondent says no one assisted, probe to determine whether any adults were present at the delivery. | Hea <br> D N Other Tr R <br> Othe <br> No o | nal: <br> fe <br> th att nd $\qquad$ |  |  |


| MN18. WHERE DID YOU GIVE BIRTH TO (name)? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) | Home Your home........................................... 11 Other home ................................. 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11 } \Rightarrow \text { MN20 } \\ & 12 \Rightarrow \text { MN20 } \end{aligned}$ <br> $24 \Rightarrow$ MN20 <br> $25 \Rightarrow$ MN20 <br> $96 \Rightarrow M N 20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN19. WAS (name) DELIVERED BY CAESAREAN SECTION? THAT IS, DID THEY CUT YOUR BELLY OPEN TO TAKE THE BABY OUT? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ No..... |  |
| MN20. WHEN (name) WAS BORN, WAS HE/SHE VERY LARGE, LARGER THAN AVERAGE, AVERAGE, SMALLER THAN AVERAGE, OR VERY SMALL? |  |  |
| MN21. WAS (name) WEIGHED AT BIRTH? | Yes ......................................................................................................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MN} 23 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{MN} 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| MN22. HOW MUCH DID (name) WEIGH? <br> Record weight from health card, if available. | From card ...................... $1(\mathrm{~kg}) ~ \_~ \cdot ~ — — — ~$ From recall ..................... $2(\mathrm{~kg}) ~ \_~ \cdot ~ — — — ~$ DK ....................................................... 99998 |  |
| MN23. HAS YOUR MENSTRUAL PERIOD RETURNED SINCE THE BIRTH OF (name)? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No |  |
| MN24. DID YOU EVER BREASTFEED (name)? | Yes .......................................................................................................................... | 2 $\Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| MN25. HOW LONG AFTER BIRTH DID YOU FIRST PUT (name) TO THE BREAST? <br> If less than 1 hour, record '00' hours. If less than 24 hours, record hours. Otherwise, record days. | Immediately ............................................... 000 Hours ............................................... 1 - Days ................................................... 2 - Don't know / remember ........................ 998 |  |


| MN26. IN THE FIRST THREE DAYS AFTER DELIVERY, WAS (name) GIVEN ANYTHING TO DRINK OTHER THAN BREAST MILK? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ No...... | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Next}$ <br> Module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN27. WHAT WAS (name) GIVEN TO DRINK? <br> Probe: <br> ANYTHING ELSE? |  |  |

This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the date of interview.
Check child mortality module CM13 and record name of last-born child here
Use this child's name in the following questions, where indicated.
PN1. Check MN18: Was the child delivered in a health facility?
$\square$ Yes, the child was delivered in a health facility (MN18=21-26 or 31-36) $\Rightarrow$ Continue with PN2No, the child was not delivered in a health facility (MN18=11-12 or 96) $\Rightarrow$ Go to PN6

| PN2. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED IN THE HOURS AND DAYS AFTER THE BIRTH OF (name). <br> You have said that you gave birth in (name or type of facility in MN18). HOW LONG DID YOU STAY THERE AFTER THE DELIVERY? <br> If less than one day, record hours. If less than one week, record days. Otherwise, record weeks. | Hours. 1 <br> Days $\qquad$ 2 <br> Weeks $\qquad$ 3 <br> Don't know / remember. $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PN3. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT CHECKS ON (name)'S HEALTH AFTER DELIVERY - FOR EXAMPLE, SOMEONE EXAMINING (name), CHECKING THE CORD, OR SEEING IF (name) IS OK. <br> BEFORE YOU LEFT THE (name or type of facility in MN18), DID ANYONE CHECK ON (name)'S HEALTH? | Yes .................................................................................................................... 2 |  |
| GPN4. AND WHAT ABOUT CHECKS ON YOUR HEALTH - I MEAN, SOMEONE ASSESSING YOUR HEALTH, FOR EXAMPLE ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR HEALTH OR EXAMINING YOU. <br> DID ANYONE CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH BEFORE YOU LEFT (name or type or facility in MN18)? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No....... |  |
| PN5. Now I would like to talk to you about WHAT HAPPENED AFTER YOU LEFT (name or type of facility in MN18). <br> DID ANYONE CHECK ON (name)'S HEALTH AFTER YOU LEFT (name or type of facility in MN18)? | Yes .................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{PN} 11 \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{PN} 16 \end{aligned}$ |

PN6. Check MN17: Did a health professional, traditional birth attendant assist with the delivery?Yes, delivery assisted by a health professional, traditional birth attendant (MN17=A-F)
$\Rightarrow$ Continue with PN7
$\square$ No, delivery not assisted by a health professional, traditional birth attendant (A-F not circled in MN17) $\Rightarrow$ Go to PN10

| PN7. YOU HAVE ALREADY SAID THAT (person or persons in MN17) ASSISTED WITH THE BIRTH. Now I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT CHECKS ON (name)'S HEALTH AFTER DELIVERY, FOR EXAMPLE EXAMINING (name), CHECKING THE CORD, OR SEEING IF (name) IS OK. <br> After the delivery was over and before (person or persons in MN17) LEFT YOU, DID (person or persons in MN17) CHECK ON (name)'S HEALTH? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No...... |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PN8. AND DID (person or persons in MN17) CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH BEFORE LEAVING? <br> BY CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH, I MEAN ASSESSING YOUR HEALTH, FOR EXAMPLE ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR HEALTH OR EXAMINING YOU. | Yes ........................................................................................................................ No...... |  |
| PN9. AFTER THE (person or persons in MN17) LEFT YOU, DID ANYONE CHECK ON THE HEALTH OF (name)? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No...... | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{PN} 11 \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{PN} 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| PN10. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT CHECKS ON (name)'S HEALTH AFTER DELIVERY - FOR EXAMPLE, SOMEONE EXAMINING (name), CHECKING THE CORD, OR SEEING IF THE BABY IS OK. <br> AFTER (name) WAS DELIVERED, DID ANYONE CHECK ON HIS/HER HEALTH? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No...... | $2 \Rightarrow P N 19$ |
| PN11. DID SUCH A CHECK HAPPEN ONLY ONCE, OR MORE THAN ONCE? | Once $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1 } \Rightarrow \text { PN12A } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow P N 12 B \end{aligned}$ |
| PN12A. How LoNG AFTER DELIVERY DID THAT CHECK HAPPEN? <br> PN12B. How long AFTER DELIVERY DID THE FIRST OF THESE CHECKS HAPPEN? <br> If less than one day, record hours. If less than one week, record days. Otherwise, record weeks. | Hours.............................................. 1 —— Days ................................................. 2 —— Weeks ............................................... 3 —— Don't know / remember.......................... 998 |  |
| PN13. WHO CHECKED ON (name)'S HEALTH AT THAT TIME? | Health professional <br> Doctor. $\qquad$ A <br> Nurse / Midwife $\qquad$ B <br> Other person <br> Traditional birth attendant $\qquad$ F <br> Relative / Friend $\qquad$ H <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |


| PN14. WHERE DID THIS CHECK TAKE PLACE? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PN15. Check MN18: Was the child delivered in a heati Yes, the child was delivered in a health faci No, the child was not delivered in a heal | h facility? <br> lity $(M N 18=21-26$ or $31-36) \Rightarrow$ Continue with $P$ facility $(M N 18=11-12$ or 96$) \Rightarrow$ Go to PN17 |  |
| PN16. AFTER YOU LEFT (name or type of facility in MN18), DID ANYONE CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No...... | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \text { PN20 } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| PN17. Check MN17: Did a health professional, trad delivery? <br> Yes, delivery assisted by a health profes $\Rightarrow$ Continue with PN18 <br> No, delivery not assisted by a health profes $\Rightarrow$ Go to PN19 | nal birth attendant, or community health worker <br> , traditional birth attendant (MN17=A-F) <br> sional, traditional birth attendant ( $A-F$ not circted | ist with the <br> ( MN17) |
| PN18. After the delivery was over and (person or persons in MN17) LEFT, DID ANYONE CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH? | Yes .................................................................................................................... No...... | $\begin{aligned} 1 & \Rightarrow \text { PN20 } \\ 2 \Rightarrow & \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| PN19. AFTER THE BIRTH OF (name), DID ANYONE CHECK ON YOUR HEALTH? <br> I MEAN SOMEONE ASSESSING YOUR HEALTH, FOR EXAMPLE ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR HEALTH OR EXAMINING YOU. | Yes ................................................................................................................... 2 | 2 $\Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| PN20. DID SUCH A CHECK HAPPEN ONLY ONCE, OR MORE THAN ONCE? | Once....................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1 } \Rightarrow \mathrm{PN} 21 \mathrm{~A} \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{PN} 21 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |


| PN21A. How Long AFTER DELIVERY DID THAT CHECK HAPPEN? <br> PN21B. How Long after delivery did the FIRST OF THESE CHECKS HAPPEN? <br> If less than one day, record hours. If less than one week, record days. Otherwise, record weeks. | Hours.............................................. 1 —— Days .................................................. 2 - — Weeks ................................................... 3 - — Don't know / remember.......................... 998 |
| :---: | :---: |
| PN22. WHO CHECKED ON YOUR HEALTH AT THAT TIME? | Health professional $\qquad$ <br> Nurse / Midwife $\qquad$ <br> Other person <br> Traditional birth attendant $\qquad$ F <br> Relative / Friend $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |
| PN23. WHERE DID THIS CHECK TAKE PLACE? <br> Probe to identify the type of source. <br> If unable to determine whether public or private, write the name of the place. <br> (Name of place) | Home <br> Your home. $\qquad$ 11 <br> Other home $\qquad$ <br> Public sector <br> Govt. hospital $\qquad$ .21 <br> Health Centre $\qquad$ 24 <br> Office of Family Doctor, Health Office .. 25 <br> Other public (specify) $\qquad$ 26 <br> Private medical sector <br> Private hospital.. $\qquad$ .31 <br> Private clinic. $\qquad$ 32 <br> Private maternity home $\qquad$ 33 <br> Other private <br> medical (specify) $\qquad$ 36 <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 |

IS1. Check Household Listing, column HL9
Is the respondent the mother or caretaker of any child under the age of five?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Continue with IS2.
$\square N o \Rightarrow G o$ to Next Module.

IS2. SOMETIMES CHILDREN HAVE SEVERE ILLNESSES AND SHOULD BE TAKEN IMMEDIATELY TO A HEALTH FACILITY. WHAT TYPES OF SYMPTOMS WOULD CAUSE YOU TO TAKE YOUR CHILD TO A HEALTH FACILITY RIGHT AWAY?

Probe:
ANY OTHER SYMPTOMS?
Keep asking for more signs or symptoms until the mother/caretaker cannot recall any additional symptoms.

Circle all symptoms mentioned, but do NOT prompt with any suggestions

Child not able to drink or breastfeed .......... A
Child becomes sicker B

Child develops a fever................................ C
Child has fast breathing D
Child has difficult breathing ........................... E
Child has blood in stool ...............................F
Child is drinking poorly ............................... G
Child is restless .......................................... H
Child is crying ...............................................I
Child is sleeping poorly ...............................J
Other (specify) $\quad \mathrm{X}$
Other (specify) $\qquad$ Y

Other (specify) $\quad$ Z

## CPO. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT ANOTHER SUBJECT - FAMILY PLANNING. <br> COUPLES USE DIFFERENT WAYS OR METHODS IN ORDER TO POSTPONE OR AVOID PREGNANCY.

Have you heard of :

## [A] FEMALE STERILIZATION?

Probe: AN OPERATION WOMEN UNDERTAKE IN ORDER TO AVOID PREGNANCY.
[B] Male sterilization?
Probe: AN OPERATION MEN UNDERTAKE IN ORDER TO AVOID PREGNANCY.

## [C] IUD?

Probe: WOMEN CAN HAVE A COIL PLACED INSIDE THE UTERUS BY A DOCTOR.
[D] InJECTABLES?
Probe: WOMEN CAN RECEIVE INJECTIONS THAT HAVE AN EFFECT ON THEIR HORMONES AND PREVENT PREGNANCY OVER A PERIOD OF A FEW MONTHS.
[E] IMPLANTS?
Probe: WOMEN CAN HAVE ONE OR MORE SMALL IMPLANTS (RODS) IMPLANTED IN THEIR UPPER ARM BY A DOCTOR THAT PREVENT PREGNANCY FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS.
[F] Pill?
Probe: WOMEN CAN TAKE PILLS ON AN EVERYDAY BASIS TO AVOID GETTING PREGNANT.
[G] Male Condom?
Probe: Men can put a rubber cover on THEIR PENIS BEFORE OR DURING SEXUAL INTERCOURSE.
[H] Female Condom?
Probe: WOMEN CAN PUT A COVER INSIDE THEIR VAGINA BEFORE SEXUAL INTERCOURSE.
[I] DIAPHRAGM?
Probe: WOMEN CAN InSERT A SOFT RUBBER CUP IN THEIR VAGINA TO BLOCK THE SPERM FROM ENTERING THEIR UTERUS OR FALLOPIAN TUBES.

## [J] FoAm / JELLY?

Probe: WOMEN MAY USE SPERMICIDAL
PRODUCTS (E.G. FOAM, JELLY, CREAM) THAT PRODUCTS (E.G. FOAM, JELLY, CREAM)
CAN KILL OR PREVENT THE SPERM FROM


| MOVING AND REACHING THE EGG. <br> [K] LACTATIONAL AMENORRHOEA METHOD (LAM)? <br> [L] Periodic abstinence / Rhythm method? Probe: THE WOMAN CAN AVOID PREGNANCY BY NOT HAVING SEXUAL INTERCOURSE DURING FERTILE DAYS IN THE MONTH, I.E. DAYS SHE IS MOST LIKELY TO GET PREGNANT. <br> [M] WITHDRAWAL? <br> Probe: MEN CAN PULL OUT DIRECTLY BEFORE EJACULATING. <br> [N] EmERGENCY / POSTCOITAL CONTRACEPTION? <br> Probe: As AN EMERGENCY MEASURE, WITHIN A PERIOD OF 3 DAYS, AFTER HAVING UNPROTECTED SEXUAL INTERCOURSE, WOMEN CAN TAKE SPECIAL PILLS TO PREVENT PREGNANCY. <br> [X] Have You heard of any other ways or METHODS THAT MEN OR WOMEN CAN UTILISE IN ORDER TO AVOID PREGNANCY? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CP1. Are you pregnant now? | Yes, currently pregnant................................................................................................................................................... | $1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{Next}$ <br> Module |
| CP2. ARE YOU CURRENTLY DOING SOMETHING OR USING ANY METHOD TO DELAY OR AVOID PREGNANCY? | Yes <br> No | 2¢CP4 |
| CP3. WHAT ARE YOU DOING TO DELAY OR AVOID A PREGNANCY? <br> Do not prompt. <br> If more than one method is mentioned, circle each one. | Female sterilization <br> Male sterilization. <br> IUD <br> Injectables <br> Implants <br> Pill. <br> Male condom $\qquad$ <br> Female condom. $\qquad$ <br> Diaphragm <br> Foam / Jelly $\qquad$ <br> Lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM). $\qquad$ <br> Periodic abstinence / Rhythm <br> Withdrawal. $\qquad$ $\qquad$ M <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X | A $\Rightarrow$ Next M <br> $B \Rightarrow$ Next M <br> C $\Rightarrow$ Next M <br> D $\Rightarrow$ Next M <br> E $\Rightarrow$ Next M <br> F $\Rightarrow$ Next M <br> G $\Rightarrow$ Next M <br> $\mathrm{H} \Rightarrow$ Next M <br> I $\Rightarrow$ Next M <br> $J \Rightarrow$ Next M <br> K $\Rightarrow$ Next M <br> $L \Rightarrow$ Next M <br> $M \Rightarrow$ Next M <br> $X \Rightarrow$ Next M |
| CP4. HAVE YOU EVER DONE ANYTHING OR USED A METHOD TO DELAY OR AVOID A PREGNANCY? | Yes ......................................................................................................................... No...... |  |


| UN1. Check CP1. Currently pregnant? Yes, currently pregnant $\Rightarrow$ Continue with No, unsure or $D K \Rightarrow$ Go to UN5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UN2. Now I would like to talk to you about YOUR CURRENT PREGNANCY. WHEN YOU GOT PREGNANT, DID YOU WANT TO GET PREGNANT AT THAT TIME? | Yes. $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ | $1 \Rightarrow$ UN4 |
| UN3. DID YOU WANT TO HAVE A BABY LATER ON OR DID YOU NOT WANT ANY (MORE) CHILDREN? | Later $\qquad$ 1 <br> No more $\qquad$ |  |
| UN4. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS about the future. After the child you are now expecting, would you like to HAVE ANOTHER CHILD, OR WOULD YOU PREFER NOT TO HAVE ANY MORE CHILDREN? | Have another child $\qquad$ 1 <br> No more / None. $\qquad$ 2 <br> Undecided / Don’t know $\qquad$ 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \text { UN7 } \\ & 2 \Rightarrow \text { UN13 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { UN13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| UN5. Check CP3. Currently using "Female steriliza $\begin{aligned} & \square \text { Yes } \Rightarrow \text { Go to UN13 } \\ & \square \text { No } \Rightarrow \text { Continue with UN6 } \end{aligned}$ | on"? |  |
| UN6. Now I WOULD LIIE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FUTURE. WOULD YOU LIKE TO HAVE (A/ANOTHER) CHILD, OR WOULD You prefer not to have any (more) CHILDREN? | Have (a/another) child. $\qquad$ 1 <br> No more / None $\qquad$ 2 <br> Says she cannot get pregnant $\qquad$ 3 <br> Undecided / Don't know $\qquad$ 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { UN9 } \\ & \text { 3 UNN11 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { UN9 } \end{aligned}$ |
| UN7. HOW LONG WOULD YOU LIKE TO WAIT BEFORE THE BIRTH OF (A/ANOTHER) CHILD? |  | 994』UN11 |
| UN8. Check CP1. Currently pregnant? |  |  |
| Yes, currently pregnant $\Rightarrow$ Go to UN13 No, unsure or $D K \Rightarrow$ Continue with UN |  |  |


| UN9. Check CP2. Currently using a method?Yes $\Rightarrow$ Go to UN13No $\Rightarrow$ Continue with UN10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UN10. Do You THINK You ARE PHYSICALLY ABLE to Get pregnant at this time? | Yes. .. 1 <br> No. $\qquad$ <br> DK $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{UN} 13 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{UN} 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| UN11. WHY DO YOU THINK YOU ARE NOT PHYSICALLY ABLE TO GET PREGNANT? |  <br> Fatalistic $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ <br> Don't know $\qquad$ |  |
| UN12. Check UN11. "Never menstruated" mentioned?$\begin{aligned} & \square \text { Mentioned } \Rightarrow \text { Go to Next Module } \\ & \square \text { Not mentioned } \Rightarrow \text { Continue with UN13 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| UN13. WHEN DID YOUR LAST MENSTRUAL PERIOD START? |  |  |

## ATTITUDES TOWARD DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

DV1. SOMETIMES A hUSBAND IS ANNOYED OR ANGERED BY THINGS THAT HIS WIFE DOES. IN YOUR OPINION, IS A HUSBAND JUSTIFIED IN HITTING OR BEATING HIS WIFE IN THE FOLLOWING SITUATIONS:
[A] IF SHE GOES OUT WITHOUT TELLING HIM?
[B] If SHE NEGLECTS THE CHILDREN?
[C] IF SHE ARGUES WITH HIM?
[D] IF SHE REFUSES TO HAVE SEX WITH HIM?
[E] IF SHE BURNS THE FOOD?

| Yes No DK |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Goes out without telling ............ 1 2 8 |  |
| Neglects children.................... 1 2 8 |  |
| Argues with him...................... 1 2 8 |  |
| Refuses sex.......................... 1 2 2 |  |
| Burns food ............................. 1 2 8 |  |


| MARRIAGE/UNION |  | MA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA1. ARE YOU CURRENTLY MARRIED OR LIVING TOGETHER WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? | Yes, currently married ................................... 1 Yes, living with a man............................... 2 No, not in union ............................. 3 | 3¢MA5 |
| MA2. How old IS YOUR HUSBAND/PARTNER? <br> Probe: How OLD WAS YOUR HUSBAND/PARTNER ON HIS LAST BIRTHDAY? | Age in years. <br> DK. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow M A 7 \\ & 98 \Rightarrow M A 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| MA5. HAVE YOU EVER been MARRIED or LIVED TOGETHER WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ \text { Module } \end{gathered}$ |
| MA6. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS NOW: ARE YOU WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED? |  |  |
| MA7. HAVE YOU been married or lived with a MAN ONLY ONCE OR MORE THAN ONCE? | Only once ................................................................................................. |  |
| MA8. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU FIRST MARRY OR START LIVING WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? | Date of first marriage <br> Month. <br> DK month $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ <br> DK year $\qquad$ .9998 | $\Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| MA9. How old were you when you started LIVING WITH YOUR FIRST HUSBAND/PARTNER? | Age in years......................................- - |  |

Check for the presence of others. Before continuing, ensure privacy.

| SB1. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT SEXUAL ACTIVITY IN ORDER to gain a better understanding of some IMPORTANT LIFE ISSUES. <br> THE INFORMATION YOU SUPPLY WILL REMAIN strictly confidential. <br> How old were you when you had sexual INTERCOURSE FOR THE VERY FIRST TIME? | Never had intercourse $\qquad$ 00 <br> Age in years. $\qquad$ <br> First time when started living with (first) husband/partner. $\qquad$ | $00 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB2. THE FIRST TIME YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE, WAS A CONDOM USED? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ <br> DK / Don't remember $\qquad$ 8 |  |
| SB3. When was the last time you had sexual INTERCOURSE? <br> Record 'years ago' only if last intercourse was one or more years ago. If 12 months or more the answer must be recorded in years. | Days ago............................................. 1 - - Weeks ago........................................ 2 - - Months ago ......................................... 3 - - Years ago ....................................... 4 _ - | $4 \Rightarrow$ SB15 |
| SB4. The LASt time you had sexual INTERCOURSE, WAS A CONDOM USED? |  |  |
| SB5. WHAT WAS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THIS PERSON WITH WHOM YOU LAST HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE? <br> Probe to ensure that the response refers to the relationship at the time of sexual intercourse <br> If 'boyfriend', then ask: <br> Were you living together as if married? <br> If 'yes', circle ' 2 '. If 'no', circle ' 3 '. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \Leftrightarrow S B 7 \\ & 4 \Leftrightarrow S B 7 \\ & 6 \Leftrightarrow S B 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| SB6. Check MA1: Currently married or living with a man Not married / Not in a union (MA1 $=3$ | $\begin{aligned} & M A 1=1 \text { or } 2) \Rightarrow \text { Go to } S B 8 \\ & \Rightarrow \text { Continue with } S B 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| SB7. HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON? <br> If response is $D K$, probe: <br> AbOUT HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON? | Age of sexual partner <br> DK $\qquad$ 98 |  |
| SB8. HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE WITH ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? | Yes <br> No $\qquad$ | 2』SB15 |
| SB9. The LASt time you had sexual INTERCOURSE WITH THIS OTHER PERSON, WAS A CONDOM USED? | Yes............................................................................................................................. No |  |


| SB10．WHAT WAS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO THIS PERSON？ <br> Probe to ensure that the response refers to the relationship at the time of sexual intercourse <br> If＇boyfriend＇then ask： <br> Were you living together as if married？ <br> If＇yes＇，circle＇ 2 ＇．If＇no＇，circle＇ 3 ＇． |  <br> Other（specify） $\qquad$ 6 | 3』SB12 <br> 4弓SB12 <br> $6 \Rightarrow S B 12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB11．Check MA1 and MA7： Currently married or living with a man AND <br> Married only once or lived with a man only Else $\Rightarrow$ Continue with SB12 | $M A 1=1 \text { or } 2)$ <br> ly once $(M A 7=1) \Rightarrow$ Go to SB13 |  |
| SB12．How OLD IS THIS PERSON？ <br> If response is $D K$ ，probe： <br> ABOUT HOW OLD IS THIS PERSON？ | Age of sexual partner <br> DK． $\qquad$ 98 |  |
| SB13．OTHER THAN THESE TWO PERSONS，HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE WITH ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS？ | Yes．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 2」SB15 |
| SB14．IN TOTAL，WITH HOW MANY DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS？ | Number of partners．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．－－ |  |
| SB15．IN TOTAL，WITH HOW MANY DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE YOU HAD SEXUAL INTERCOURSE IN YOUR LIFETIME？ <br> If a non－numeric answer is given，probe to get an estimate． <br> If number of partners is 95 or more，write＇ 95 ＇． | Number of lifetime partners <br> DK $\qquad$ 98 |  |

## HIV/AIDS

| HA1. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. <br> Have you ever heard of an illness called HIVIAIDS? | Yes........................................................... 1 No ......................................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ Next <br> Module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA2. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF GETTING the Aids virus by having just one uninfected SEX PARTNER WHO HAS No OTHER SEX PARTNERS? | Yes............................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No |  |
| HA3. CAN PEOPLE GET the AIDS VIRUS beCAuse of WITCHCRAFT OR OTHER SUPERNATURAL MEANS? | Yes................................................................................................................................................................................ 8 No DK................... |  |
| HA4. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF GETTING the AIDS VIRus by using a condom every time they have sex? | Yes.............................................................................................................................................................................................................................. |  |
| HA5. CAN PEOPLE GET THE HIVIAIDS vIRUS FROM MOSQUITO BITES? |  |  |
| HA6. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS BY SHARING FOOD WITH A PERSON WHO HAS THE HIVIAIDS VIRUS? | Yes...................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| HA7. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A HEALTHY-LOOKING PERSON TO HAVE THE HIVIAIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| HA7A. CAN A PERSON GET HIVIAIDS BY HUGGING OR SHAKING WITH A PERSON WHO IS INFECTED? |  |  |
| HA8. CAN THE VIRUS THAT CAUSES HIVIAIDS bE TRANSMITTED FROM A MOTHER TO HER BABY: <br> [A] During pregnancy? <br> [B] DURING DELIVERY? <br> [C] By breastreeding? |  Yes No DK <br> During pregnancy ................... 1 2 8  <br> During delivery...................... 1 2 8  <br> By breastfeeding............... 1 2 8  |  |
| HA9. IN YOUR OPINION, IF A FEMALE TEACHER HAS THE HIVIAIDS VIRUS BUT IS NOT SICK, SHOULD SHE BE ALLOWED TO CONTINUE TEACHING IN SCHOOL? | Yes. $\qquad$ 1 <br> No $\qquad$ 2 <br> DK / Not sure / Depends. $\qquad$ 8 |  |
| HA10. WOULD YOU BUY FRESH VEGETABLES FROM A SHOPKEEPER OR VENDOR IF YOU KNEW THAT THIS PERSON HAD THE HIV/AIDS VIRUS? | Yes......................................................................... 1 No................................................ 8 DK / Not sure / Depends................... 8 |  |
| HA11. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY GOT INFECTED WITH THE HIV/AIDS VIRUS, WOULD YOU WANT IT TO REMAIN A SECRET? |  |  |
| HA12. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK with HIVIAIDS, would you be willing to care FOR HER OR HIM IN YOUR OWN HOUSEHOLD? |  |  |



| HA23. When was the most recent time you were TESTED FOR THE HIVIAIDS VIRUS? | Less than 12 months ago $\qquad$ <br> 12-23 months ago. $\qquad$ <br> 2 or more years ago $\qquad$ | $1 \Rightarrow$ Next <br> Module $2 \Rightarrow$ Next Module $3 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA24. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT have you ever been tested to see if you have the HIVIAIDS VIRUS? | Yes............................................................................................................................ No | 2 $\Rightarrow$ HA27 |
| HA25. WHEN WAS THE MOST RECENT TIME YOU WERE TESTED? | Less than 12 months ago $\qquad$ <br> 12-23 months ago. $\qquad$ <br> 2 or more years ago $\qquad$ |  |
| HA26. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT DID YOU GET THE RESULTS OF THE TEST? | Yes............................................................ 1 No ................................................................. 2 DK................................................................ 8 | $1 \Rightarrow$ Next <br> Module $2 \Rightarrow$ Next Module $8 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| HA27. DO YOU KNOW OF A PLACE WHERE PEOPLE CAN GO TO GET TESTED FOR THE HIVIAIDS VIRUS? | Yes........................................................................................................................ No |  |


| TUBERCULOSIS |  | WTB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TB1. Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. Have you ever heard about a disease named Tuberculosis or TBC? | $\qquad$ | $2 \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{Next}$ <br> Module |
| TB2. How do You think is tuberculosis transmitted from ONE PERSON TO ANOTHER? <br> Probe: IN WHICH WAYS? <br> Record all the mentioned variants. | Through the air during coughing or sneezing $\qquad$ A <br> When you share the same objects ......... B <br> When touching the person with TB ........ C <br> Through food $\qquad$ <br> Through mosquito bites E $\qquad$ <br> Others (specify) $\qquad$ <br> DK $\qquad$ ${ }_{7}^{\mathrm{X}}$ . $Z$ |  |
| TB3. WHAT ARE THE SIGNS OR SYMPTOMS INDICATING THAT A PERSON HAS TB? OTHER? <br> Record all the mentioned variants. |  |  |
| TB4. Can Tuberculosis be cured? | Yes .............................................................. 1 No .................................................................... 2 DK.................................................................... 8 |  |
| TB5. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK of Tuberculosis, would you want it to REMAIN A SECRET? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No .................................................................. 2 DK................................................................... 8 |  |


| TA1. HAVE YOU EVER TRIED CIGARETTE SMOKING, EVEN ONE OR TWO PUFFS? | Yes ........................................................................................................................... No...... | $2 \Rightarrow$ TA6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TA2. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU SMOKED A WHOLE CIGARETTE FOR THE FIRST TIME? | Never smoked a whole cigarette $\qquad$ .00 <br> Age $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $00 \Rightarrow$ TA6 |
| TA3. DO YOU CURRENTLY SMOKE CIGARETTES? | Yes ............................................................ 1 No................................................................ 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ TA6 |
| TA4. In THE LAST 24 HOURS, HOW MANY CIGARETTES DID YOU SMOKE? | Number of cigarettes ......... |  |
| TA5. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU SMOKE CIGARETTES? <br> If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". <br> If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30" | Number of days $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ <br> 10 days or more but less than a month..... 10 <br> Everyday / Almost every day. $\qquad$ 30 |  |
| TA6. Have you ever tried any smoked TOBACCO PRODUCTS OTHER THAN CIGARETTES, SUCH AS CIGARS, WATER PIPE, CIGARILLOS OR PIPE? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ | 2ヶTA10 |
| TA7. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, DID YOU USE ANY SMOKED TOBACCO PRODUCTS? | Yes ............................................................ 1 No................................................................. 2 | 2¢TA10 |
| TA8. What type of smoked tobacco product did you use or smoke during the last one MONTH? <br> Circle all mentioned. |  |  |
| TA9. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU USE SMOKED TOBACCO PRODUCTS? <br> If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". <br> If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30" | Number of days $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ <br> 10 days or more but less than a month..... 10 <br> Everyday / Almost every day. $\qquad$ |  |
| TA10. HAVE You EVER TRIED ANY FORM OF SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS, SUCH AS CHEWING TOBACCO, SNUFF, OR DIP? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow$ TA14 |
| TA11. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, DID YOU USE ANY SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow$ TA14 |


| TA12. WHAT TYPE OF SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCT DID YOU USE DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH? <br> Circle all mentioned. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TA13. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU USE SMOKELESS TOBACCO PRODUCTS? <br> If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". <br> If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30" | Number of days $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> 10 days or more but less than a month..... 10 <br> Everyday / Almost every day. $\qquad$ |  |
| TA14. Now I would like to ASk you some QUESTIONS ABOUT DRINKING ALCOHOL. <br> HAVE YOU EVER DRUNK ALCOHOL? | Yes ......................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { Next } \\ & \text { Module } \end{aligned}$ |
| TA15. WE COUNT ONE DRINK OF ALCOHOL AS ONE CAN OR BOTTLE OF BEER, ONE GLASS OF WINE, OR ONE SHOT OF COGNAC, VODKA, WHISKEY OR RUM. <br> How old were you when you had your FIRST DRINK OF ALCOHOL, OTHER THAN A FEW SIPS? | Never had one drink of alcohol $\qquad$ 00 <br> Age | $00 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| TA16. DURING THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON HOW MANY DAYS DID YOU HAVE AT LEAST ONE DRINK OF ALCOHOL? <br> If respondent did not drink, circle " 00 ". <br> If less than 10 days, record the number of days. If 10 days or more but less than a month, circle " 10 ". <br> If "everyday" or "almost every day", circle "30" | Did not have one drink in last one month.. 00 <br> Number of days $\qquad$ 0 $\qquad$ <br> 10 days or more but less than a month..... 10 <br> Everyday / Almost every day. $\qquad$ | $00 \Rightarrow$ Next Module |
| TA17. In THE LAST ONE MONTH, ON THE DAYS THAT YOU DRANK ALCOHOL, HOW MANY DRINKS DID YOU USUALLY HAVE? | Number of drinks ...........................___ - |  |

LS1. Check WB2: Age of respondent is between 15 and 24?
$\square$ Age 25-49 $\Rightarrow$ Go to WM11
$\square$ aged 15-24 years $\Rightarrow$ Continue with LS2

| LS2. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME SIMPLE QUESTIONS ON HAPPINESS AND SATISFACTION. <br> FIRST, TAKING ALL THINGS TOGETHER, WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE VERY HAPPY, SOMEWHAT HAPPY, NEITHER HAPPY NOR UNHAPPY, SOMEWHAT UNHAPPY OR VERY UNHAPPY? <br> You can also look at these pictures to HELP YOU WITH YOUR RESPONSE. <br> Show side 1 of response card and explain what each symbol represents. Circle the response code pointed by the respondent. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS3. Now I WILL ASK YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LEVEL OF SATISFACTION IN DIFFERENT AREAS. <br> In each case, we have five possible Responses: Please tell me, for each QUESTION, WHETHER YOU ARE VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, NEITHER SATISFIED NOR UNSATISFIED, SOMEWHAT UNSATISFIED OR VERY UNSATISFIED. <br> Again, you can look at these pictures to HELP YOU WITH YOUR RESPONSE. <br> Show side 2 of response card and explain what each symbol represents. Circle the response code shown by the respondent, for questions LS3 to LS13. <br> How satisfied are you with your family LIFE? | Very satisfied. $\qquad$ <br> Somewhat satisfied $\qquad$ 2 <br> Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied.................. 3 <br> Somewhat unsatisfied $\qquad$ <br> Very unsatisfied. 4 $\qquad$ |  |
| LS4. How satisfied are you with your FRIENDSHIPS? |  |  |
| LS5. DURING THE (current / 2011-2012) SCHOOL YEAR, DID YOU ATTEND SCHOOL AT ANY TIME? | Yes ............................................................................................................................ | $2 \Rightarrow L S 7$ |


| LS6. HOW SATISFIED (are/were) YOU WITH YOUR school? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS7. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR CURRENT JOB? <br> If the respondent says that he/she does not have a job, circle " 0 " and continue with the next question. Do not probe to find out how she feels about not having a job, unless she tells you herself. | Does not have a job $\qquad$ <br> Very satisfied. $\qquad$ 1 <br> Somewhat satisfied $\qquad$ 2 <br> Neither satisfied nor unsatisfied.................. 3 <br> Somewhat unsatisfied.................................. 4 <br> Very unsatisfied. $\qquad$ |  |
| LS8. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR HEALTH? |  |  |
| LS9. HOW SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH WHERE YOU LIVE? <br> If necessary, explain that the question refers to the living environment, including the neighbourhood and the dwelling. |  |  |
| LS10. How SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH HOW PEOPLE AROUND YOU GENERALLY TREAT You? |  |  |
| LS11. How SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH THE WAY YOU LOOK? |  |  |
| LS12. How SATISFIED ARE YOU WITH YOUR LIFE, OVERALL? |  |  |
| LS13. How satisfied are you with your CURRENT INCOME? <br> If the respondent responds that he/she does not have any income, circle " 0 " and continue with the next question. Do not probe to find out how she feels about not having any income, unless she tells you herself. |  |  |
| LS14. COMPARED TO THIS TIME LAST YEAR, WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOUR LIFE HAS IMPROVED, STAYED MORE OR LESS THE SAME, OR WORSENED, OVERALL? | Improved ............................................................................................................................. 3 |  |


| LS15. AND IN ONE YEAR FROM NOW, DO YOU <br> EXPECT THAT YOUR LIFE WILL BE BETTER, <br> WILL BE MORE OR LESS THE SAME, OR WILL BE <br> WORSE, OVERALL? | Better....................................................... 1 <br> More or less the same ........................... 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Worse................................................. 3 |  |

WM11. Record the time.
Hour and minutes :_-

Record the haemoglobin level below, taking care to record the measurements on the correct questionnaire for each woman. Check the woman's name and the line number on the household listing before recording measurements.
HB1. Measurer's name and number:
Name $\qquad$ No. $\qquad$

## HB2. CHECK WOMAN'S AGE:

$\square$ 15-17 years $\Rightarrow$ Check MA1:
$\square$ code 1 or 2 is circled $\Rightarrow$ Go to HB6.
$\square$ code 1 or 2 is not circled $\Rightarrow$ Check HL8 from the household listing form:
$\square$ mother/caretaker is identified in HL8 from the household listing form $\Rightarrow$ Go to HB3.
$\square$ mother/caretaker is not identified in HL8 from the household listing form $\Rightarrow G o$ to $H B 6$.
$\square 18$ years and older $\Rightarrow$ Go to HB6.

HB3. Check the line number of the mother/caretaker in HL8 from the household listing form.

Line number $\qquad$ $\underline{\square}$

HB4. Read the consent message to the mother/caretaker identified in HB3:
Within this survey, we evaluate the anaemia in women and children. Anaemia is a very important HEALTH ISSUE THAT IS USUALLY CAUSED BY INSUFFICIENT NUTRITION, INFECTIONS OR CHRONIC DISEASES. THIS survey will help the Ministry of Health to develop programmes for preventing and treating ANAEMIA.

We would like that you and all children born in 2007 or later participate in anaemia testing by ALLOWING US TO TAKE SOME DROPS OF BLOOD FROM THE FINGER.

THE TEST USES ONLY DISPOSABLE INSTRUMENTS THAT ARE STERILE AND ABSOLUTELY SAFE.
THE TEST WILL BE EFFECTED USING A SPECIAL MODERN EQUIPMENT AND THE RESULTS WILL BE READY IMMEDIATELY AFTER BLOOD TAKING. THE RESULTS WILL BE STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
Now I would like to request you to participate in the anaemia test. However, if you decide not to PERFORM THIS TEST, IT IS YOUR RIGHT TO DO SO AND WE WILL COMPLY WITH YOUR DECISION. PLEASE TELL ME YOUR DECISION ON ACCEPTING THIS TEST.

HB5. Circle the respective code and ask the mother/caretaker to sign.

|  |
| :--- | :--- |

HB6. Read the informed consent to the respondent:
WITHIN THIS SURVEY, WE EVALUATE THE ANAEMIA IN WOMEN AND CHILDREN. ANAEMIA IS A VERY IMPORTANT HEALTH ISSUE THAT IS USUALLY CAUSED BY INSUFFICIENT NUTRITION, INFECTIONS OR CHRONIC DISEASES. THIS SURVEY WILL HELP THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH TO DEVELOP PROGRAMMES FOR PREVENTING AND TREATING ANAEMIA.

```
WE WOULD LIKE THAT YOU AND ALL CHILDREN BORN IN 2007 OR LATER PARTICIPATE IN ANAEMIA TESTING BY ALLOWING US TO TAKE SOME DROPS OF BLOOD FROM THE FINGER.
THE TEST USES ONLY DISPOSABLE INSTRUMENTS THAT ARE STERILE AND ABSOLUTELY SAFE. THE TEST WILL BE EFFECTED USING A SPECIAL MODERN EQUIPMENT AND THE RESULTS WILL BE READY IMMEDIATELY AFTER BLOOD TAKING. THE RESULTS WILL BE STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.
```

DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?
NOW I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST YOU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ANAEMIA TEST. HOWEVER, IF YOU DECIDE NOT TO PERFORM THIS TEST, IT IS YOUR RIGHT TO REFUSE AND WE WILL COMPLY WITH YOUR DECISION. PLEASE TELL ME WHETHER YOU ACCEPT TO DO THIS TEST.

| HB7. Circle the respective code and ask the respondent to sign. | Consent received $\qquad$ <br> Signature $\qquad$ <br> Refusal on the part of the respondent. $\qquad$ 2 | $2 \Rightarrow$ WM12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HB8. Register the haemoglobin level ( $g / d l$ ). | Haemoglobin level (g/dl). $\qquad$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> Not measured due to other reasons (specify) $\qquad$ 996 |  |

WM12. Check Household Listing Form, column HL9.
Is the respondent the mother or caretaker of any child age 0-4 living in this household?
$\square$ Yes $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE for that child and start the interview with this respondent.No $\Rightarrow$ End the interview with this respondent by thanking her for her cooperation.
Check for the presence of any other eligible woman, man or child under-5 in the household.

## Interviewer's Observations

## Field Editor's Observations

## Supervisor's Observations

Side 1


Side 2

Table NU.1A: Nutritional status of children based on NCHS/CDC/WHO International Reference Population
APPENDIX G. NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF CHILDREN (NCHS/CDC/WHO STANDARD)

|  | Weight for age |  |  | Number of children under the age of five | Height for age |  |  | Number of children under the age of five | Weight for height |  |  |  | Number of children under the age of five |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Underweight percent below |  | Mean Z- <br> Score (SD) |  | Stunted |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean Z- } \\ \text { Score (SD) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Wasted |  | Overweight | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean Z- } \\ \text { Score (SD) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  | perc |  | elow | percent below |  |  | percent above |  |  |
|  | -2 SD | -3SD |  |  | -2SD | -3SD |  |  | -2SD | -3SD | + 2 SD |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3.0 | 0.1 |  | -0.1 | 871 | 3.7 |  | 0.7 | -0.1 | 863 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 860 |
| Female | 3.4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 854 | 5.1 | 1.2 | -0.1 | 836 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 834 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 591 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 581 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.1 | 579 |
| Rural | 4.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 1133 | 5.2 | 1.2 | -0.2 | 1119 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1116 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 2.4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 556 | 4.4 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 543 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 543 |
| Centre | 4.7 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 521 | 5.4 | 1.4 | -0.2 | 518 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 516 |
| South | 2.8 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 371 | 4.8 | 1.5 | -0.2 | 368 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 367 |
| Chișinău | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 276 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 269 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 268 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-5 months | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 167 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 166 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 166 |
| 6-11 months | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 212 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 207 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 208 |
| 12-23 months | 3.5 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 368 | 5.3 | 0.4 | -0.2 | 358 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 6.6 | 0.1 | 356 |
| 24-35 months | 4.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 343 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 333 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 3.6 | -0.1 | 333 |
| 36-47 months | 3.3 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 340 | 4.9 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 339 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | -0.1 | 336 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 3.9 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 824 | 4.7 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 812 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 813 |
| Professional | 3.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 416 | 6.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 407 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 406 |
| Higher | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 451 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 446 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 443 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 6.8 | 0.2 | -0.5 | 322 | 8.3 | 3.0 | -0.6 | 314 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 1.5 | -0.1 | 314 |
| Second | 3.0 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 389 | 3.8 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 385 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 385 |
| Middle | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 350 | 5.3 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 347 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 347 |
| Fourth | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 294 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 291 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 288 |
| Richest | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 370 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 362 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 360 |
| Mother's ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moldovan/Romanian | 3.6 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 1410 | 4.6 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 1388 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1386 |
| Russian | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 61 | 6.1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 60 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 59 |
| Ukrainian | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 98 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 97 |
| Roma (Gypsy) | (0.0) | (0.0) | -(0.3) | 32 | (6.8) | (0.0) | -(0.4) | 32 | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 32 |
| Gagauz | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 78 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 77 |
| Other ethnic group | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 45 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 44 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.1 | 44 |
| Total | 3.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 1724 | 4.4 | 0.9 | -0.1 | 1699 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1694 |

## APPENDIX H. EDUCATION (Country specific tables)

Table ED.4A: Primary school attendance (not adjusted)
Percentage of children of primary school age attending primary (not adjusted net attendance ratio), Moldova, 2012

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio (not adjusted) | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (not adjusted) | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (not adjusted) | Number of children |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 90.5 | 219 | 91.2 | 203 | 90.9 | 422 |
| Centre | 93.7 | 211 | 93.7 | 230 | 93.7 | 441 |
| South | 94.0 | 133 | 93.9 | 125 | 94.0 | 258 |
| Chișinău | 94.5 | 96 | 91.7 | 90 | 93.2 | 186 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.5 | 210 | 91.8 | 195 | 91.6 | 405 |
| Rural | 93.5 | 450 | 93.1 | 453 | 93.3 | 903 |
| Age at beginning of school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 96.8 | 155 | 96.7 | 179 | 96.7 | 334 |
| 8 | 99.3 | 166 | 99.5 | 181 | 99.4 | 347 |
| 9 | 96.4 | 172 | 98.2 | 142 | 97.2 | 314 |
| 10 | 79.0 | 166 | 74.0 | 147 | 76.7 | 313 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 92.4 | 328 | 95.0 | 333 | 93.7 | 661 |
| Professional | 94.7 | 214 | 92.3 | 184 | 93.6 | 399 |
| Higher | 91.8 | 104 | 88.5 | 124 | 90.0 | 228 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 94.8 | 123 | 94.9 | 100 | 94.8 | 223 |
| Second | 94.8 | 132 | 93.1 | 166 | 93.9 | 297 |
| Middle | 88.8 | 159 | 93.9 | 134 | 91.1 | 294 |
| Fourth | 94.3 | 120 | 91.4 | 130 | 92.8 | 251 |
| Richest | 92.6 | 125 | 90.3 | 119 | 91.5 | 244 |
| Presence of parents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At least one biological parent living abroad | 91.2 | 148 | 94.4 | 142 | 92.7 | 289 |
| Neither biological parent living abroad | 93.3 | 512 | 92.2 | 507 | 92.8 | 1018 |
| Total | 92.8 | 659 | 92.7 | 649 | 92.8 | 1308 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 8 unweighted cases with no/primary education and 13 unweighted cases with missing/DK education, for all children (boys and girls), are not shown

[^25]Table ED.6A: Lower secondary school attendance (not adjusted)
Percentage of children of lower secondary school age attending lower secondary school (not adjusted net attendance ratio), Moldova, 2012

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio (not adjusted) | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (not adjusted) | Number of children | Net attendance ratio (not adjusted) | Number of children |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 92.1 | 310 | 89.6 | 275 | 90.9 | 585 |
| Centre | 90.9 | 270 | 95.1 | 278 | 93.1 | 548 |
| South | 92.7 | 204 | 93.1 | 192 | 92.9 | 396 |
| Chișinău | 87.5 | 143 | 91.8 | 116 | 89.4 | 259 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 87.5 | 279 | 88.2 | 265 | 87.8 | 544 |
| Rural | 92.8 | 648 | 94.3 | 596 | 93.5 | 1244 |
| Age at beginning of school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 88.1 | 176 | 88.5 | 157 | 88.3 | 332 |
| 12 | 99.2 | 176 | 100.0 | 159 | 99.6 | 334 |
| 13 | 96.0 | 182 | 98.8 | 177 | 97.4 | 358 |
| 14 | 95.9 | 199 | 97.1 | 176 | 96.5 | 375 |
| 15 | 77.4 | 195 | 79.4 | 193 | 78.4 | 388 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 91.4 | 429 | 95.0 | 410 | 93.1 | 839 |
| Professional | 92.9 | 317 | 92.7 | 272 | 92.8 | 589 |
| Higher | 91.4 | 157 | 91.4 | 151 | 91.4 | 308 |
| Mother not in household | * | 8 | * | 13 | * | 21 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 87.5 | 158 | 89.6 | 119 | 88.4 | 277 |
| Second | 93.9 | 193 | 96.4 | 199 | 95.2 | 392 |
| Middle | 94.3 | 220 | 91.8 | 215 | 93.1 | 435 |
| Fourth | 92.7 | 196 | 92.0 | 180 | 92.4 | 376 |
| Richest | 85.3 | 161 | 91.0 | 147 | 88.0 | 308 |
| Presence of parents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At least one biological parent living abroad | 92.9 | 207 | 90.8 | 218 | 91.8 | 425 |
| Neither biological parent living abroad | 90.7 | 720 | 93.0 | 642 | 91.8 | 1363 |
| Total | 91.2 | 927 | 92.5 | 861 | 91.8 | 1788 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 12 unweighted cases with no/primary education and 16 unweighted cases with missing/DK education, for all children (boys and girls), are not shown

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

The lower secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR) is the percentage of children of lower secondary school age (as of the beginning of the current or most recent school year) who are attending lower secondary school. Children of lower secondary school age currently attending secondary school (ED6A=2) are included in the numerator. All children of lower secondary school age at the beginning of the school year are included in the denominator (see footnotes below Table ED.4A on how age at beginning of school year is calculated/estimated).

The table is based on a 5-year lower secondary school system, for ages 11 to 15.

Table ED.8A: Children reaching last grade of lower secondary school
Percentage of children entering first grade of lower secondary school who eventually reach the last grade of lower secondary school (Survival rate to last grade of lower secondary school), Moldova, 2012

|  | Percent attending grade 5 last school year who are in grade 6 this school year | Percent attending grade 6 last school year who are attending grade 7 this school year | Percent attending grade 7 last school year who are attending grade 8 this school year | Percent attending grade 8 last school year who are attending grade 9 this school year | Percent who reach grade 9 of those who enter grade $5^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 99.3 | 98.3 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 95.5 |
| Female | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 99.1 | 98.3 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| North | 98.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 97.8 |
| Centre | 100.0 | 98.3 | 98.8 | 99.6 | 96.7 |
| South | 100.0 | 98.9 | 100.0 | 94.4 | 93.3 |
| Chișinău | 100.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 97.9 |
| Rural | 99.4 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 98.2 | 96.4 |
| Mother's education ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 97.2 | 95.8 |
| Professional | 100.0 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Wealth index quintile |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | * | * | * | * | * |
| Second | * | 97.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.6 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 97.6 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 98.1 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Presence of parents |  |  |  |  |  |
| At least one biological parent living abroad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 98.7 | 96.9 |
| Neither biological parent living abroad | 99.4 | 98.8 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 96.8 |
| Total | 99.6 | 99.1 | 99.6 | 98.5 | 96.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", all results for the categories "None/primary", "Mother not in household" and "Missing/ DK" are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases and are not shown

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

Children reaching the last grade of lower secondary also known as the survival rate to the last grade of lower secondary school, is the percentage of children entering the 5 grade of lower secondary school who eventually reach the last grade (9 grade) of lower secondary. In Moldova lower secondary school comprises 5 grades.

With the assumption of a 5-grade secondary school system, the indicator is calculated as the product of the following probabilities:

- The probability that a child graduates from 5 grade and enters 6 grade;

The probability that a child graduates from 6 grade and enters 7 grade;
The probability that a child graduates from 7 grade and enters 8 grade;
The probability that a child graduates from 8 grade and enters 9 grade.
To calculate the first probability, the number of children who are in the grade 6 of lower secondary school at the time of the survey (ED6A=2, $E D 6 B=06)$ and who were in grade 5 last school year ( $E D 8 A=2, E D 8 B=05$ ) are divided by the number of children who were in grade 5 last school year $(E D 8 A=2, E D 8 B=05)$ and graduated to 6 grade ( $E D 6 A=2, E D 6 B=06$ ) or dropped out of school ( $E D 5=2$ ). The children who repeated grade 5 do not enter the calculation because it is not known whether they will eventually graduate. The calculation of the other probabilities is similar: the number who graduated from one grade to another divided by the number who graduated or dropped out of that grade. All probabilities are then multiplied together to obtain the cumulative probability of reaching the last grade among those who enter 5 grade.

Table ED.9A: Lower secondary school completion and transition from lower secondary school
$\left.\begin{array}{|llllll|}\hline \text { Lower secondary school completion rates and transition rates from lower secondary school, Moldova, } 2012 & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of children } \\ \text { who were in the }\end{array} \\ \hline & & & & \\ \text { last grade of lower } \\ \text { secondary school the } \\ \text { previous year }\end{array}\right]$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Mother's education", 0 unweighted cases with no/primary education for children of lower secondary school completion age, and 2 unweighted cases for children who were in the last grade of lower secondary school the previous year are not shown; while 0 unweighted cases with cases with missing/DK education for children of lower secondary school completion age, and 2 unweighted cases for children who were in the last grade of lower secondary school the previous year are not shown
() Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

* Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

The lower secondary completion rate is the ratio of the total number of students, regardless of age, entering the last grade of lower secondary school (Moldova - IX grade) for the first time, to the number of children of the lower secondary graduation age at the beginning of the current (or most recent) school year (in Moldova: 15 years), calculated as: Lower secondary completion rate $=100$ * (number of children attending the last grade of lower secondary school - repeaters) / (number of children of lower secondary school completion age at the beginning of the school year).

Children attending the last grade of lower secondary school are those with ED6A=2 and ED6B=last grade of lower secondary. Repeaters are those in the last grade of lower secondary in both $E D 6$ and $E D 8$ ( $E D 6 A=2, E D 6 B=$ the last grade and $E D 8 A=2, E D 8 B=$ the last grade). The denominator are children whose age at the beginning of the school year is estimated to be equal to the age corresponding to the last grade of lower secondary school.

Republic of Moldova


[^0]:    1 See Appendix E for more details about indicator definitions
    2 For the five-year period preceding the survey
    3 Data on Vitamin A supplementation for children 6-59 months old in Moldova is based on the mother's report only

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Secondary school consists of lower and upper secondary education

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Country-specific indicators
    ${ }^{6}$ Coughing for more than three weeks, fever, general tiredness
    7 Country-specific indicators

[^3]:    8 Secondary school consists of lower and upper secondary education
    ${ }^{9}$ Women/men who have comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention include women/men who know of the two ways of HIV prevention (having only one faithful uninfected partner and using a condom every time), who know that a healthy looking person can have the AIDS virus, and who reject the two most common misconceptions (people can get the HIV/AIDS from mosquito bites and by sharing food with somebody with HIV/AIDS)

[^4]:    ${ }^{10}$ There is a difference between the classification used in official country statistics and the international classification (0-14, 15-64 and 65 and over). The country-specific age groups are as follows: under-working-age ( $0-15$ years), working-age ( $16-56$ years for women/61 years for men) and the over-workingage ( 57 years or more for women / 62 years or more for men).

[^5]:    ${ }^{11}$ Country-specific module adapted from DHS
    ${ }^{12}$ Country-specific module adapted from DHS
    ${ }^{13}$ Note: the terms "children under the age of five," "children aged 0-4 years," and "children aged 0-59 months" are used interchangeably in this report
    ${ }^{14}$ Country-specific module adapted from DHS
    ${ }^{15}$ Country-specific module adapted from DHS

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 9.17
    ${ }^{2}$ MICS indicator 9.18

[^7]:    ${ }^{16}$ http://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/second_set/technical_report_2.pdf

[^8]:    17 http://www.childinfo.org/wes.html

[^9]:    () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

[^10]:    ${ }^{18}$ WHO/UNICEF JMP (2008), MDG assessment report - http://www.wssinfo.org/fileadmin/user_upload/resources/1251794333-JMP_08_en.pdf

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ MICS indicator 4.4

[^12]:    ${ }^{19}$ In the 2012 Moldova MICS LAM is considered a traditional method and has been combined with the category "Other".

[^13]:    ${ }^{20}$ A woman is postpartum amenorrheic if she had a birth in last two years and is not currently pregnant, and her menstrual period has not returned since the birth of the last child
    ${ }^{21}$ A woman is considered infertile if she is neither pregnant nor postpartum amenorrheic, and
    (1a) has not had menstruation for at least six months, or (1b) never menstruated, or (1c) her last menstruation occurred before her last birth, or (1d) in menopause/has had hysterectomy OR
    (2) She declares that she has had hysterectomy, or that she has never menstruated or that she is menopausal, or that she has been trying to get pregnant
    for 2 or more years without result in response to questions on why she thinks she is not physically able to get pregnant at the time of survey OR
    (3) She declares she cannot get pregnant when asked about desire for future birth OR
    (4) She has not had a birth in the preceding 5 years, is currently not using contraception and is currently married and was continuously married during the last 5 years preceding the survey

[^14]:    Note: Health checks following birth while in facility or at home refer to checks provided by any health provider regardless of timing. Post-natal health checks include any health check after birth performed while in the health facility and at home, regardless of timing, as well as PNC visits within two days of delivery. Tost-natal health checks include any health check after birth performed while in the health faciity and at home, regardless of timing, as well as the health facility was used for both the mother and the newborn child (since only information on the duration of stay of the mother was collected).

    The table includes 4 unweighted cases of home births.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unweighted cases with no/primary education and 5 unweighted cases with missing/DK education are not shown
    ${ }^{*}$ Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

[^15]:    Note: Health checks following birth while in facility or at home refer to checks provided by any health provider regardless of timing.
    Post-natal care visits (PNC) refer to a separate visit to check on the health of the mother and provide preventive care services. PNC visits do not include health checks following birth while in facility or at home (Column 1 ).
    Post-natal health checks include any health check after birth performed while in the health facility and at home, regardless of timing, as well as PNC visits within two days of delivery
    The table includes 4 unweighted cases of home births.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 8 unnweighted cases with no/primary education and 5 unweighted cases with missing/DK education are not shown

    * Figures that are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases

[^16]:    ${ }^{22}$ Ratios presented in this table are "adjusted" since they include not only primary school attendance, but also secondary school attendance in the numerator.
    ${ }^{23}$ Ratios presented in this table are "adjusted" since they include not only secondary school attendance, but also attendance to higher levels in the numerator.

[^17]:    ${ }^{24}$ Ratios presented in these tables are "adjusted" since they include not only lower (or upper) secondary school attendance, but also attendance to higher levels in the numerator.

[^18]:    ${ }^{25}$ MICS indicator 8.10a and figures for women (15-19 years old) are not presented in Table CP. 5 because figures for currently married/in union women aged 15-19 by most background characteristics are based on fewer than 25 unweighted cases.

[^19]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For the background characteristic "Education", 2 unweighted cases of "None/primary" education for men aged 15-24 years, and 2 unweighted cases for men aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months are not shown; 2 unweighted cases with "Missing/DK" education for men aged 15-24 years, and 1 unweighted case for men aged 15-24 years who have had sex in the last 12 months are not shown
    () Figures that are based on 25-49 unweighted cases

[^20]:    ${ }^{26}$ The figure for women (15-49 years old) with secondary education who had more than one sexual partner in the last 12 months, who also reported that a condom was used the last time they had sex is based on 25-49 unweighted cases and should be treated with caution.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 5
    ${ }^{2}$ Country-specific indicator, Republic of Moldova, TB. 6

[^22]:    * The number of unweighted cases is fewer than 50

[^23]:    a Includes "Don't know" responses

[^24]:    1 Some indicators are constructed by using questions in several modules. In such cases, only the module(s) which contains most of the necessary information is indicated.

[^25]:    The primary school net attendance ratio (NAR) is the percentage of children of primary school age (as of the beginning of school year) who are attending primary school. Children of primary school age at the beginning of the school year currently attending primary school (ED6A Level=1) are included in the numerator. All children of primary school age (at the beginning of the school year) are included in the denominator.

    The age of the child at the beginning of the school year is estimated by rejuvenating children to the first month of the (current or most recent) school year by using information on the date of birth (HL5), if available, and information on when the current (or most recent) school year began. If the date of birth is not available, then a full year is subtracted from the current age of the child at the time of survey (HL6), if the interview took place more than 6 months after the school year started. If the latter is less than six months and the date of birth is not available, the current age is assumed to be the same as the age at the beginning of the school year.

    The table is based on a 4-year primary school system, for ages 7 to 10 .

