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Summary Table of Findings
Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) and Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

| Topic | MICS <br> Indicator Number | MDG <br> Indicator <br> Number | Indicator |  | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHILD MORTALITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child mortality | 1 | 13 | Under-5 mortality rate | 67 | per 1,000 |
|  | 2 | 14 | Infant mortality rate | 56 | per 1,000 |
| NUTRITION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nutritional status | 6 | 4 | Underweight prevalence | 11 | percent |
|  | 7 |  | Stunting prevalence | 15 | percent |
|  | 8 |  | Wasting prevalence | 6 | percent |
| Breastfeeding | 45 |  | Timely initiation of breastfeeding | 60 | percent |
|  | 15 |  | Exclusive breastfeeding rate | 11 | percent |
|  | 16 |  | Continued breastfeeding rate |  |  |
|  |  |  | At 12-15 months | 72 | percent |
|  |  |  | At 20-23 months | 37 | percent |
|  | 17 |  | Timely complementary feeding rate | 54 | percent |
|  | 18 |  | Frequency of complementary feeding | 33 | percent |
|  | 19 |  | Adequately fed infants | 21 | percent |
| Salt iodization | 41 |  | Iodized salt consumption | 87 | percent |
| Low birth weight | 9 |  | Low-birth-weight infants |  | percent <br> percent |
|  | 10 |  | Infants weighed at birth | 98 |  |
| CHILD HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| Immunization | 25 | 15 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | 99.8 | percent |
|  | 26 |  | Polio immunization coverage | 96.8 | percent |
|  | 27 |  | DPT immunization coverage | 98.4 | percent |
|  | 28 |  | Measles immunization coverage | 97.0 | percent |
|  | 31 |  | Fully immunized children | 93.5 | percent |
|  | 29 |  | Hepatitis B immunization coverage | 96.8 | percent |
| Care of illness | 33 |  | Use of oral rehydration therapy (ORT) | 47 | percent <br> percent <br> percent <br> percent <br> percent |
|  | 34 |  | Home management of diarrhoea | 15 |  |
|  | 35 |  | Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | 25 |  |
|  | 23 |  | Care seeking for suspected pneumonia | 83 |  |
|  | 22 |  | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 50 |  |
| Solid fuel use | 24 | 29 | Solid fuels | 0.4 | percent |
| Source and cost of supplies | 96 | Source of supplies (from public sources) Oral rehydration salts |  | 82 | percent |
|  | ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Water and sanitation | 11 | 30 | Use of improved drinking water sources | 71 | percent |
|  | 13 |  | Water treatment | 58 | percent |
|  | 12 | 31 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | 99 | percent |
| REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contraception and unmet need | 21 | 19c | Contraceptive prevalence | 48 | percent |
|  | 98 |  | Unmet need for family planning | 16 | percent |
|  | 99 |  | Demand satisfied for family planning | 75 | percent |
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## FOREWORD

The Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) is a household survey developed by UNICEF to assist countries in filling data gaps for monitoring the situation of children and women, as well as assessing progress towards the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) to which countries have pledged to achieve by the year 2015. It is capable of producing statistically sound, internationally comparable estimates of these indicators.

The MICS was originally developed in response to the World Summit for Children to measure progress towards an internationally agreed set of mid-decade goals. The first round of MICS was conducted around 1995 in more than 60 countries. A second round of surveys was conducted in 2000, and resulted in an increasing wealth of data to monitor the situation of children and women. For the first time it was possible to monitor trends in many indicators and set baselines for other indicators.

The current round of MICS is focused on providing a monitoring tool for the World Fit for Children (WFFC), the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), as well as for other major international commitments, such as the UNGASS on HIV/AIDS. It is significant to mention that 21 of the 48 MDG indicators have been collected in the current round of MICS, offering the largest single source of data for MDG monitoring.

MICS 2006 is the first internationally acceptable detailed social sector survey carried out in Turkmenistan that is being published for global use. It is unique in the sense that it provides vast range and set of data and indicators for Turkmenistan and allows dis-aggregation on most of them for sub national regions, gender and welfare indices. As such it is extremely valuable source for disparity analysis and an excellent planning baseline for social investments at national and local levels in the country. It is expected that the data will be widely used by national and local level authorities to not only plan for the children and women of their respective constituencies but use it as a guide for far reaching policy initiatives in the social sectors.

UNICEF deeply appreciates the excellent and hard work carried out by the specialists of the National Institute of State Statistics and Information (NISSI) at the central and velayat levels during MICS preparatory and field works. Globally developed questionnaires comprising of three major sections; on household, women and children were professionally adapted to the realities of the country, based on which a total of 5208 households in all the five velayats and Ashgabat city area were surveyed by 90 trained staff of NISSI. Data collected from this vast number of households were subsequently entered and analysed through the standard programmes developed under the global MICS 3 project.

UNICEF wishes to convey its sincere appreciation and thanks to the national authorities which supported the MICS process. This includes the National Institute of State Statistics and Information for carrying out the survey, Ministry of Foreign Affairs for its excellent coordination and Ministry of Health and Medical Industry, Ministry of Education and local level authorities for providing full support during the survey. UNICEF also would like to express its appreciation to the UN partners, in particular UNFPA for cooperating during the survey. In addition, we would like to extend our appreciation to UNICEF colleagues in the Regional Office and the UNICFEF Headquarters in New York for providing technical assistance throughout the process.

Mahboob Shareef<br>Representative<br>United Nation's Children's Fund<br>Ashgabat, Turkmenistan
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## Executive Summary

The Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) is a nationally representative sample of more than 5,000 households implemented in 2006 by the National Institute of State Statistics and Information of Turkmenistan (Turkmenmillihasabat).

The Survey provided basic information on the situation of under-5 children and fertile-age women in Turkmenistan. Moreover, it allowed the monitoring of progress toward goals and targets emanating from recent international agreements: the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all United Nations Member States in September 2000, and the Plan of Action of A World Fit For Children, adopted by 189 Member States at the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002.

The household sample provided the basis for the evaluation of main indicators of the situation of children and women, taking into account such background factors as gender, area of residence, region, age, level of education, wealth index, etc.

## Child mortality

Through the use of the indirect estimation technique known as the Brass method, the under-5 child mortality rate and infant mortality rate were calculated for Turkmenistan as a whole as well as for the regions/provinces. The national infant mortality rate was estimated at 56 per 1,000 live births and the probability of dying among under- 5 children at 67 per 1,000 live births; these estimates have been calculated by averaging mortality estimates obtained from women aged 2529 and 30-34, and refer to mid-2003. The MICS estimates show a decline in child mortality during the last 15 years, particularly pronounced during the period 1999-2004.

## Nutrition

Anthropometric measurements of under-5 children were conducted and the findings compared with the WHO international standards. In Turkmenistan, every one in nine under-5-year-old children is moderately underweight (11 percent), with fewer than 2 percent considered severely underweight. Nearly 15 percent of children are moderately stunted, or short for their age, and slightly more than 6 percent are moderately wasted, or too thin for their height.

Turning to the percentages of breastfed children under age 3 years and of low-birth-weight children, fewer than 11 percent of children younger than age 6 months were exclusively breastfed, which is significantly lower than recommended. An estimated 4 percent of newborns weighed less than 2500 grams, out of the 98 percent of newborns weighed after birth.

## Child health

As immunization in Turkmenistan is mandatory and free for all children in Turkmenistan, rather high vaccination coverage is observed irrespective of the area of residence (urban/rural), educational level of mothers and households' wealth.

## Environment

In general over 71 percent of the population use improved sources for drinking water - 91 percent in urban and 58 percent in rural areas. The situation in the south-east (Mary velayat), where only 39 percent of the local population have access to improved drinking water, is worse than in the rest of the country. Around 99 percent of the households in Turkmenistan maintain improved sanitation facilities: 99.8 percent in the urban areas and 98 percent in the rural areas, respectively. Among the regions, the use of the improved sanitation is practically the same.

On average, 70 percent of household use both improved sources of drinking water and improved sanitation facilities. At that, there are urban-rural and regional distinctions: the highest figures are in the capital city ( 95 percent) and Lebap velayat (more than 88 percent), the lowest - in Mary velayat (less than 39 percent); the figures are also higher in urban areas ( 91 percent) than in the rural areas (57 percent).

## Reproductive Health

Nearly 16 percent of women aged 15-49 have an unmet need of contraceptives, encompassing 6 percent for planning birth spacing and 10 percent for limiting the number of children. The total demand for contraception stands at about 64 percent (using contraceptives and unmet need for contraceptives). Antenatal care coverage (services provided by a doctor, nurse or midwife) is high: more than 99 percent of women receive antenatal care, making at least one visit to a doctor during their pregnancy. Nearly all births - 99.5 percent - occurring in the year before the MICS Survey were delivered by skilled personnel, a notably high figure.

## Child Protection

For its part, birth registration is a fundamental means of securing children's rights. In Turkmenistan, the fact of birth has been registered for 96 percent of under- 5 children. The percentage of under-1 children whose births were registered was less than 87 percent, while for 4-year old children it was more than 99 percent, which indicates a significant number of cases of "delayed" birth registration.

## Child Development

During the Survey, information likewise was collected about various activities promoting early child learning (Child Development Module). Within three days prior to the Survey, adults in Turkmenistan said they were engaged in more than four activities promoting learning and school readiness for 80 percent of under- 5 children. The average number of activities in which adults engaged with their children was 4.6 , which remained virtually constant across areas of residence (urban/rural) as well as gender. Involvement of fathers was quite high - more than 61 percent in one or more activities. In addition, nearly one in four child ( 24 percent) aged $0-59$ months had three or more playthings in their homes, while fewer than 4 percent had no playthings according to the responses of their mothers/caretakers.

## Education

Turkmenistan has high figures of education coverage indicators. The Constitution of Turkmenistan guarantees compulsory secondary education free of charge, and school education coverage is high. As MICS shows, the net primary school attendance rate is 99 percent, and more than 95 percent of secondary school-age children attend secondary schools. The gender parity index both for primary and secondary school is 1.00 , evidence of equality in attendance rates between boys and girls. The percentage of literate women aged 15-24 in the country also is high, at 99.2 percent.

## Orphaned children

The percentage of orphaned children in Turkmenistan aged $0-17$ is not high -6 percent of children have lost one or both parents, with only 0.4 percent being double orphans. A total of 0.5 percent of children aged 10-14 had lost both parents; at present all attend school. Among children aged 10-14 whose parents are alive, or who have at least one parent, 99.6 percent attend school. Thus, double orphans' access to school education is equal to that of non-orphaned children.

## HIV/ AIDS

One of the most important prerequisites for reducing the rate of HIV infection is accurate knowledge of how HIV is transmitted and strategies for preventing transmission. More than half of the interviewed reproductive-age women ( 55 percent) had heard about AIDS. However, only slightly more than 12 percent of women knew all three primary ways of HIV prevention.

## I. Introduction

## Background

This report is based on the Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey, conducted in 2006 by the National Institute of State Statistics and Information of Turkmenistan (Turkmenmillihasabat). The Survey provides valuable information on the situation of children and women in Turkmenistan and was based, in large part, on the need to monitor progress toward goals and targets emanating from recent international agreements: the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all 191 United Nations Member States in September 2000, and the Plan of Action of A World Fit For Children, adopted by 189 Member States at the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002. Both of these commitments build upon promises made by the international community at the 1990 World Summit for Children.

In signing these international agreements, governments committed themselves to improving conditions for their children and to monitoring progress toward that end. UNICEF was assigned a supporting role in this task (see table below).

## A Commitment to Action: National and International Reporting Responsibilities

The governments that signed the Millennium Declaration and the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action also committed themselves to monitoring progress towards the goals and objectives they contained:
"We will monitor regularly at the national level and, where appropriate, at the regional level and assess progress towards the goals and targets of the present Plan of Action at the national, regional and global levels. Accordingly, we will strengthen our national statistical capacity to collect, analyse and disaggregate data, including by sex, age and other relevant factors that may lead to disparities, and support a wide range of child-focused research. We will enhance international cooperation to support statistical capacity-building efforts and build community capacity for monitoring, assessment and planning." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 60)
"...We will conduct periodic reviews at the national and subnational levels of progress in order to address obstacles more effectively and accelerate actions...." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 61)

The Plan of Action (paragraph 61) also calls for the specific involvement of UNICEF in the preparation of periodic progress reports:
"... As the world's lead agency for children, the United Nations Children's Fund is requested to continue to prepare and disseminate, in close collaboration with Governments, relevant funds, programmes and the specialized agencies of the United Nations system, and all other relevant actors, as appropriate, information on the progress made in the implementation of the Declaration and the Plan of Action."

Similarly, the Millennium Declaration (paragraph 31) calls for periodic reporting on progress:
"...We request the General Assembly to review on a regular basis the progress made in implementing the provisions of this Declaration, and ask the Secretary-General to issue periodic reports for consideration by the General Assembly and as a basis for further action."

In 2003 Turkmenistan prepared its National Report on progress toward the Millennium Development Goals, or MDGs (UNDP, Union of Economists, Turkmenmillihasabat, MoH\&MIT, and MFA).

Of the 18 MDG targets, 13 already had been achieved; the National Report therefore formulated a new set of targets for the country. Specifically, because global target 1 of the first MDG ("To reduce by half during 1990-2015 the proportion of people with income less than US $\$ 1$ per day") had been achieved in Turkmenistan by the year 2000, a new national target was set: "To reduce, by a factor of three during 2001-2015, the proportion of people with income less than 50 percent of monthly average income." Global target 2 for the second MDG ("To ensure by 2015 that all children in the world, both boys and girls, have opportunity for full primary school education") likewise was replaced, by the new national target "To expand the access of people to high-quality education at all levels and achieve world standards in education."

Turkmenistan also had already achieved global target 5 of the fourth MDG ("To reduce, by two-thirds during 1990-2015, under-5 child mortality"). To a greater extent, the country faces the challenge of further reducing infant mortality, which had been reduced by 2.2 times during 1991-2000; the new target is "To reduce infant mortality during 2000-2015 by a factor of 2.1."

Similarly, with regard to global target 6 of the fifth MDG ("To reduce, by three-fourths during 1990-2015, the maternal mortality rate"), Turkmenistan had achieved this by reducing the rate by a factor of more than 2 during 1990-2000. The new target thus set was "To reduce by half the maternal mortality rate during 2000-2015."

In 2004 Turkmenistan submitted to the United Nations its National Reports on implementation of three international Conventions: "On Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination," "On the Rights of the Child" and "On Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women."

To monitor population living standards and measure progress of implementation of national social and economic programmes, statistics institutions carried out household (HH) sample surveys in all provinces; these were conducted jointly with the World Bank (WB) in 1998 and with the Asian Development Bank (ADB) in 2003. During the 1998 survey, anthropometric measurements of all HH members were taken. Findings of the subsequent 2003 living standards survey showed growth in practically all living standards indicators in Turkmenistan.

This final report presents the results of the indicators and topics covered in the MICS Survey.

## Survey Objectives

The 2006 Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey has as its primary objectives:

- To provide up-to-date information for assessing the situation of children and women in Turkmenistan;
- To furnish data needed for monitoring progress toward goals established by the Millennium Development Goals, the goals of A World Fit For Children (WFFC), and other internationally agreed upon goals, as a basis for future action;
- To contribute to the improvement of data and monitoring systems in Turkmenistan and to strengthen technical expertise in the design, implementation, and analysis of such systems.

These objectives have been achieved. Results of the 2006 Turkmenistan MICS made it possible:

- To obtain reliable information about the situation of children and women of fertile age in the country;
- To monitor the main indicators set by the Millennium Development Goals, Plan of Action of WFFC, and the national long-term development programme until 2020, which have shown improvement in living standards and the situation of children and women;
- To identify factors (resources) for further improvement of the situation of children and women which will be taken into account by the Government of Turkmenistan in implementation of the national long-term programmes for social and economic development, such as Strategy for Economic, Political and Cultural Development of Turkmenistan for the Period up to 2020, the Health Programme, and others;
- To enlarge statistical databases on situation of children and women (such as Genstat, Genstat Region, DevInfo);
- To increase the capacity of the Turkmenmillihasabat staff in methodology, organization and conduct of sample surveys in the social sphere.


## II. Sample and Survey Methodology

## Sample Design

The sample for the Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) was designed to provide estimates on a large number of indicators on the situation of children and women at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for six regions: the capital city of Ashgabat and the velayats (provinces) of Ahal, Balkan, Dashoguz, Lebap and Mary. Regions were identified as the main sampling domains and the sample was selected in two stages. Within each region, 42 census enumeration areas were selected, with probability proportional to size. After a household listing was carried out within the selected enumeration areas, a systematic sample of 1,008 households in Ashgabat and 840 households in each velayat was drawn. All selected enumeration areas were visited during fieldwork. The sample was stratified by region and is not self-weighting. For reporting national-level results, sample weights are used. A more detailed description of the sample design can be found in Appendix A.

## Questionnaires

Three sets of questionnaires were used in the Survey: 1) a household questionnaire used to collect information on all de jure household members, the household, and the dwelling; 2) a women's questionnaire administered in each household to all women aged 15-49 years; and 3) an under- 5 questionnaire, administered to mothers or caretakers of all children under 5 living in the household. ${ }^{1}$

The Household Questionnaire included the following modules:

- Household Listing
- Education
- Water and Sanitation
- Household Characteristics
- Additional Household Characteristics
- Child Discipline
- Salt Iodization

The Questionnaire for Individual Women included the following modules:

- Child Mortality
- Maternal and Newborn Health
- Marriage and Union
- Contraception
- Attitudes Toward Domestic Violence
- HIV Knowledge
- Tuberculosis

[^1]The Questionnaire for Children Under 5 was administered to mothers or caretakers of under-5 children living in the household. Usually the questionnaire was offered to mothers of the under-5 children; if a mother was not found in the household the main caretaker was identified and interviewed. The Questionnaire included the following modules:

- Birth Registration and Early Learning
- Child Development
- Breastfeeding
- Care of Illness
- Immunization
- Anthropometry
- Immunization by Health Care Facility

The questionnaires are based on the MICS3 model questionnaire ${ }^{2}$. From the MICS3 model English version, the questionnaires were translated into the Turkmen and Russian languages and were pre-tested in Ashgabat city during April 2006. Based on the results of the pre-test, modifications were made to the wording and translation of the questionnaires. A copy of the Turkmenistan MICS questionnaires is provided in Appendix F.

In addition to the administration of questionnaires, fieldwork teams tested the salt used for cooking in the households for iodine content, and measured the weights and heights of children aged under 5 years. Details and findings of these measurements are provided in the respective sections of the report.

## Training and Fieldwork

Training for fieldwork was conducted for 10 days in June 2006 and included lectures on interviewing techniques and the contents of the questionnaires, as well as mock interviews between trainees to gain practice in asking questions. Toward the end of the training period, trainees spent 4 days in practice interviewing in each region/ province.

The data were collected by 18 teams; each was comprised of 4 interviewers, one driver, 3 editors and a supervisor. Fieldwork began in June 2006 and concluded in July 2006.

## Data Processing

Data were entered on 12 microcomputers using the CSPro software, carried out by 12 data entry operators and 6 data entry supervisors. In order to ensure quality control, all questionnaires were double-entered and internal consistency checks performed. Procedures and standard programmes developed under the global MICS3 project and adapted to the Turkmenistan questionnaire were used throughout. Data processing began simultaneously with data collection in July 2006 and was completed in October 2006. Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software programme, Version 14, and the model syntax and tabulation plans developed by UNICEF for this purpose.

[^2]
# III. Sample Coverage and the Characteristics of Households and Respondents 

## Sample Coverage

Of the 5,208 households selected for the sample, 5,204 were found to be occupied. Of these, 5,042 were successfully interviewed, for a household response rate of 96.9 percent. In the interviewed households, 7,177 women aged 15-49 were identified. Of these, 7,160 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 99.8 percent. In addition, 2,087 children under age 5 were listed in the household questionnaire. Questionnaires were completed for 2,075 of these children, corresponding to a response rate of 99.4 percent. Overall response rates of 96.7 percent and 96.3 percent are calculated for the women's and under-5's interviews respectively (Table HH.1).

The response rate in the capital city of Ashgabat, at 93 percent, was the lowest compared to other regions. This can be explained by the fact that urban populations overall encompass higher levels of non-response than rural areas. Among the other five regions, the response rate was the lowest in Balkan velayat ( 95 percent), which also may be linked to the prevalence of urban population in this region in comparison with the other velayats. In general, the response rate in the rural areas ( 99.5 percent) was higher than that in the urban areas ( 94.6 percent).

## Characteristics of Households

The age and sex distribution of the MICS 2006 population is provided in Table HH.2. This distribution also was used to produce the population pyramid in Figure HH.1. In the 5,042 households successfully interviewed, 25,364 household members were listed; of these, 12,294 were males, and 13,070 were females. These figures also support the average household size of 5.0.

According to Survey findings, about one-third of the population are children under 15 (31 percent), 64 percent are aged $15-64$ years, and 5 percent are aged 65 years and older. Children aged $0-17$ comprise 38 percent of the population; male predominance is observed in this age group, linked to the larger number of male births.

The largest age group for males and females, at more than 12 percent of the population, is aged $10-14$ years. Each subsequent age group, starting from ages $15-19$, shows a gradual decrease in the population pyramid. It should be noted that females disproportionately outnumber males in the 15-19 age group, given that household lists did not include men aged 18-19 who were doing their military service in the National Armed Forces (see Table DQ.1). This also influenced the overall male-female ratio.


Comparison of the Turkmenistan population census 1995 data and MICS 2006 data revealed certain changes in the age population structure (Figure HH.2). A decrease was seen in the percentage of the population under 15 and increase in the percentage of middle- and old-age groups. This can be explained by the decrease in birth rates over a period of several years. However, on the basis of the MICS data (see Table DQ.1), it can be assumed that within the last five years the birth rate has stabilized.

Figure HH2. Age distribution of population, Turkmenistan, 2006


Table HH. 3 provides basic background information on the households. Within households, the sex of the household head, region, urban/rural status, number of household members, and mother tongue ${ }^{3}$ of the household head are shown in the table. These background characteristics also are used in subsequent tables in this report; figures in the table are further intended to show the numbers of observations by major categories of analysis in the report.

The weighted and unweighted numbers of households are equal, because sample weights were normalized (See Appendix A). The table also shows the proportions of households where at least one child under 18, at least one child under 5 , and at least one eligible woman aged 15-49 were found.

Of the total number of the households interviewed, 45.5 percent were in urban areas and 54.5 percent in rural areas. Percentage distribution of households among the regions was as follows: Ashgabat city, 13 percent; Ahal velayat, 14 percent; Balkan velayat, 9 percent; Dashoguz velayat, 18 percent; Lebap velayat, 22 percent; and Mary velayat, 24 percent.

The heads of most households were men ( 75 percent). In the distribution of the households by the number of persons living in them, households with the largest proportional weight were those comprised of 4-5 members ( 39 percent), followed by households comprised of 6-7 persons ( 25 percent). The lowest proportions encompassed households consisting of one person ( 6 percent) and those of 10 or more persons ( 4 percent). The Turkmen language was indicated as the mother tongue of the household head in more than 80 percent of the households. At least one child under 18 was found in 79 percent of households and at least one child under 5 in 31 percent of households. In 88 percent of households lived at least one fertile-age woman.

## Characteristics of Respondents

Tables HH. 4 and HH. 5 provide information on the background characteristics of female respondents aged 15-49 and of children under age 5 . In both tables, the total numbers of weighted and unweighted observations are equal, because sample weights have been normalized. The tables also are intended to show the numbers of observations in each background category; these categories are used in subsequent tabulations of this report.

Table HH. 4 provides background characteristics of female respondents aged 15-49. The table includes information on the distribution of women according to region, urban/rural areas, age, marital status, motherhood status, education ${ }^{4}$, wealth index quintiles ${ }^{5}$ and mother tongue.

[^3]Thirty-nine percent of interviewed fertile-age women live in urban area; 61 percent live in rural area. By region, the distribution of women is as follows: Ashgabat city, 11 percent; Ahal velayat, 14 percent; Balkan velayat, 8 percent; Dashoguz and Lebap velayats, 21 percent each; and Mary velayat, 25 percent.

The largest female group are women aged 15-24 (39 percent). A total of 46.5 percent of reproductive-age women are in the optimal age for childbearing - 20 to 35 years. The majority of the female respondents (more than 55 percent) are currently married or in union; nearly 38 percent have never been married, and about 7 percent are widows or were married (in union) before. More than 57 percent of women had given birth.

More than 82 percent of the interviewed women had secondary education or less, 12 percent had secondary vocational (special/professional) education, and 5 percent had higher education. The mother tongue of almost 85 percent of women is Turkmen.

According to the wealth index (for all its conditional and disputable methodology UNICEF), 21 percent of women aged 15-49 live in the fifth quintile, or the richest households, against 19 percent living in first-quintile households with the lowest incomes.

Some background characteristics of children under 5 are presented in Table HH.5. These include distribution of children by several attributes: sex, region and area of residence, age in months, mother's or caretaker's education, wealth, and mother tongue.

Of the total number of children under 5, 50.6 percent are boys and 49.4 percent are girls. Because of higher birth rates in rural areas, 65 percent of children of this age are concentrated there, while 35 percent of children live in urban areas. A direct relation of the proportion of $0-4$ children to the proportional weight of the rural population and reproductive-age women is observed in velayats, with the largest proportion in Mary velayat ( 26 percent) and the smallest in Balkan velayat ( 8 percent). The largest number of children (more than 22 percent) is aged $0-11$ months. Also for children under 5 , about 85 percent of women are native Turkmens whose mother tongue is Turkmen; more than 84 percent of women have basic primary and secondary education.

A total of 57 percent of children under 5 live in households of middle and above middle level of wealth and, accordingly, 43 percent live in households below middle level of wealth.

## IV. Child Mortality

One of the overarching goals of both the MDGs and the WFFC is to reduce infant and under5 mortality. Specifically, the MDGs call for the reduction in under- 5 mortality by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015. Monitoring progress towards this goal is an important but difficult objective: Measuring childhood mortality may seem easy, but attempts at using direct questions, such as "Has anyone in this household died in the last year?," give inaccurate results. Using direct measures of child mortality from birth histories is time-consuming, more expensive and requires greater attention to training and supervision. Alternatively, indirect methods developed to measure child mortality produce robust estimates that are comparable with those obtained from other sources. Indirect methods also minimize the pitfalls of memory lapses, inexact or misinterpreted definitions, and poor interviewing techniques.

The infant mortality rate is the probability of dying before the first birthday, while the under-5 mortality rate reflects the probability of dying before the fifth birthday. In MICS surveys, infant and under-5 mortality rates are calculated based on an indirect estimation technique known as the Brass method (United Nations, 1983; 1990; 1990b). Data used in the estimation are: the mean number of children ever born for five-year age groups of women aged 15 to 49 , and the proportion of these children who are dead, also for five-year age groups of women. The technique converts these data into probabilities of dying by taking into account both the mortality risks to which children are exposed and their length of exposure to the risk of dying, assuming a particular model age pattern of mortality. Based on previous information on mortality in Turkmenistan, the East model life table was selected as most appropriate.

Table CM. 1 provides estimates of child mortality by various background characteristics, while Table CM. 2 provides the basic data used in the calculation of the mortality rates for the national total.

The infant mortality rate is estimated at 56 per 1,000 , while the under- 5 mortality rate (U5MR) is around 67 per 1,000 . These estimates have been calculated by averaging mortality estimates obtained from women aged 25-29 and 30-34 and refer to mid-2003. Some difference exists between the probabilities of dying among males and females; infant and under-5 mortality rates are 1.6 times higher among males than females. Infant and under-5 mortality rates also are lowest in the metropolitan regions (Ahal velayat and Ashgabat city) as well as Balkan velayat, while the figures for the other three regions (Dashoguz, Lebap and Mary velayats) are about 1.7 times higher than that of Ahal velayat and about 1.3 times higher than that of Ashgabat and Balkan velayat. Significant differences in mortality also exist in terms of educational levels of mothers. In particular, the probabilities of dying among infants and under- 5 children of mothers with secondary special (vocational) and higher education are considerably lower than the national average. In Turkmenistan, no correlations are observed between household wealth and infant/child mortality.

Differentials in under-5 mortality rates by background characteristics also are shown in Figure CM.1.


Figure CM. 2 shows the series of U5MR estimates, based on responses of women in different age groups and referring to various points in time, thus indicating the estimated trend in U5MR based on the Survey. The MICS estimates indicate a decline in mortality during the last 15 years but are higher than official statistics estimates of the mortality trend, which also show the decline. For example, the most recent MICS U5MR estimate ( 53 per 1,000 live births) is about two times higher than the estimate from official statistics for the same year. It should be noted, however, that such comparison may not be fully correct because of differences in the technique of estimation: MICS is an indirect-method, non-specialized sample survey, while official statistics are a direct method. The trend indicated by the MICS results are not in agreement with those estimated in the Demographic and Health Survey in 2000 (DHS 2000). DHS estimates depicted U5MR growth starting from 1995, while MICS estimates show a trend of decline. According to the MICS results, the most intensive decline in child mortality was observed during the period 1999-2004. Further qualification of these apparent declines and differences, as well as their determinants, should be taken up in a more detailed and separate analysis.


Figure CM.3. Infant (under 1) mortality rates in Turkmenistan by various sources (pro mil)

$-\triangle$ Official statistics (direct method)
——DHS 2000 (direct method)
-——DHS 2000 (indirect method)
——MICS 2006 (indirect method)
$-*-$ Prognosis based on DHS 2000 (unjustified)

## V. Nutrition

## Nutritional Status

Children's nutritional status is a reflection of their overall health. When children have access to an adequate food supply, are not exposed to repeated illness and are well cared for, they reach their growth potential and are considered well-nourished.

Malnutrition is associated with more than half of all child deaths worldwide. Undernourished children are more likely to die from common childhood ailments, and those who survive may have recurring sicknesses and faltering growth. Three-quarters of children who die from causes related to malnutrition are only mildly or moderately malnourished, showing no outward sign of their vulnerability. The MDG target is to reduce by half the proportion of people who suffer from hunger between 1990 and 2015. The WFFC goal is to reduce the prevalence of malnutrition among children under 5 by at least one-third (between 2000 and 2010), with special attention to children under 2 years of age. A reduction in the prevalence of malnutrition will assist in the goal of reducing child mortality.

In a well-nourished population, a reference distribution exists for height and weight for children under 5 . Undernourishment in a population can be gauged by comparing children to a reference population. The reference population used in this report is the WHO/CDC/NCHS reference, which was recommended for use by UNICEF and the World Health Organization at the time the Survey was implemented.

New WHO growth standards were made available in April 2006. Syntax programmes will be produced based on the new standards and will be provided to countries in due course, to facilitate the calculation of anthropometry data based on the new growth standards.

Each of the three nutritional status indicators can be expressed in standard deviation units (z-scores) from the median of the reference population.

Weight for age is a measure of both acute and chronic malnutrition. Children whose weight for age is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are considered moderately or severely underweight while those whose weight for age is more than three standard deviations below the median are classified as severely underweight.

Height for age is a measure of linear growth. Children whose height for age is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are considered short for their age and are classified as moderately or severely stunted. Those whose height for age is more than three standard deviations below the median are classified as severely stunted. Stunting is a reflection of chronic malnutrition as a result of failure to receive adequate nutrition over a long period and recurrent or chronic illness.

Finally, children whose weight for height is more than two standard deviations below the median of the reference population are classified as moderately or severely wasted, while those who fall more than three standard deviations below the median are severely wasted. Wasting is usually the result of a recent nutritional deficiency. The indicator may exhibit significant seasonal shifts associated with changes in the availability of food or prevalence of disease.

In MICS, weights and heights of all children under 5 were measured using anthropometric equipment recommended by UNICEF (UNICEF, 2006). Findings in this section are based on the results of these measurements.

Table NU. 1 shows percentages of children classified into each of these categories, based on anthropometric measurements taken during fieldwork. In addition, the table includes the percentage of children who are overweight, which takes into account those children whose weight for height is above 2 standard deviations from the median of the reference population.

In Table NU.1, children who were not weighed and measured (about 2 percent of children) and those whose measurements are outside a plausible range are excluded.

Almost 1 in 9 children under age 5 in Turkmenistan are moderately underweight (11 percent), while less than 2 percent are classified as severely underweight (Table NU.1). Nearly 15 percent of children are moderately stunted, or too short for their age, and slightly above 6 percent are moderately wasted, or too thin for their height.


Children in the South (Ahal velayat) are more likely to be underweight and stunted than other children. The percentage of those wasted also is higher here than in other regions. Those children whose mothers have secondary or higher education are the least likely to be underweight and stunted, compared to children of mothers with lower educational levels. At the same time, it should be noted that in Turkmenistan there is no distinct inverse negative relationship between wasted children and the wealth of households.

Boys appear slightly more likely to be underweight, stunted and wasted than girls. The age pattern shows that a higher percentage of children aged 12-23 months are undernourished according to two of the three indices, in comparison to children who are younger and older
(Figure NU.1). This pattern is expected and is related to the age at which many children cease to be breastfed and are exposed to contamination in water, food and the environment.

Only 2.5 percent of children under 5 are overweight. The highest percentages of overweight children were found in households where mothers have higher education ( 6.4 percent) and in households with high levels of wealth (fourth and fifth quintiles, 3.4 and 4.6 percent respectively).

## Breastfeeding

Breastfeeding for the first few years of life protects children from infection, provides an ideal source of nutrients and is economical and safe. However, many mothers stop breastfeeding too soon and pressures often exist to switch to infant formula, which can contribute to growth faltering and micronutrient malnutrition and is unsafe if clean water is not readily available. The WFFC goal states that children should be exclusively breastfed for 6 months and continue to be breastfed with safe, appropriate and adequate complementary feeding for up to age 2 years and beyond.

WHO/UNICEF have the following feeding recommendations:

- Exclusive breastfeeding for first 6 months
- Continued breastfeeding for 2 years or more
- Safe, appropriate and adequate complementary foods beginning at 6 months
- Frequency of complementary feeding: 2 times per day for 6 - to 8 -month-olds; 3 times per day for 9 - to 11-month-olds

It also is recommended that breastfeeding be initiated within one hour of birth.
The indicators of recommended child feeding practices are as follows:

- Exclusive breastfeeding rate ( $<6$ months and $<4$ months)
- Timely complementary feeding rate (6-9 months)
- Continued breastfeeding rate (12-15 and 20-23 months)
- Timely initiation of breastfeeding (within 1 hour of birth)
- Frequency of complementary feeding (6-11 months)
- Adequately fed infants (0-11 months)

Table NU. 2 provides the proportion of women who started breastfeeding their infants within one hour of birth and women who started breastfeeding within one day of birth (which includes those who started within one hour).

The dominant majority of mothers - about 88 percent - started breastfeeding within one day of birth, including 60 percent (both in urban and rural areas) within one hour of birth, in compliance with recommended international standards. However, a significant difference exists in the breastfeeding rate among the regions, particularly within one hour of birth (Figure NU.2). The lowest proportion of mothers who started breastfeeding within one day of birth is in the capital city of Ashgabat ( 70 percent) and in Dashoguz velayat ( 83 percent); the highest percentage is in Balkan velayat (nearly 94 percent). Similarly, the lowest percentage of mothers who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth is in Dashoguz velayat (less than 43 percent), while the highest is in Balkan velayat ( 82 percent). An inversely
proportional relationship was found between the percentage of initiation of breastfeeding within one day, as well as within one hour of birth, and educational levels of mothers.


In Table NU.3, breastfeeding status is based on reports by mothers/ caretakers of children's consumption of food and fluids in the 24 hours before the interview. Exclusively breastfed refers to infants who received only breast milk (and vitamins, mineral supplements or medicine). The table shows exclusive breastfeeding of infants during the first 6 months of life (separately for $0-3$ months and 0-5 months), as well as complementary feeding of children 6-9 months and continued breastfeeding of children aged 12-15 and 20-23 months.

About 11 percent of children younger than 6 months are exclusively breastfed, a level considerably lower than recommended. At age 6-9 months, 54 percent of children are receiving breast milk and solid or semi-solid foods. By age 12-15 months, 72 percent of children are still being breastfed and by age 20-23 months, 40 percent are still breastfed. Girls were more likely to be exclusively breastfed than boys. The percentage of exclusive breastfeeding also is differentiated in terms of area of residence, being somewhat higher in rural areas than in urban.

Figure NU. 3 shows the detailed pattern of breastfeeding by the child's age in months. Even at the earliest ages, the majority of children are receiving liquids or foods other than breast milk. By the end of the sixth month the percentage of children exclusively breastfed is below 11 percent.

Figure NU. 3 Infant feeding patterns by age: Percent distribution of children aged under 3 years by feeding pattern by age group, Turkmenistan, 2006


The adequacy of infant feeding in children under 12 months is provided in Table NU.4. Different criteria of adequate feeding are used, depending on the age of the child. For infants aged 0-5 months, exclusive breastfeeding is considered adequate feeding. Infants aged 6-8 months are considered adequately fed if they are receiving breastmilk and complementary food at least two times per day, while infants aged 9-11 months are considered adequately fed if they are receiving breastmilk and eating complementary food at least three times a day. As a result of these feeding patterns, those adequately fed represent less than 11 percent of 0 - to 5 -month-old infants, slightly above 41 percent of 6 - to 8 -month-old infants, and less than 33 percent of 6 - to 11 -month-old infants. Adequate feeding among all infants (aged 0 11 months) drops to 21 percent. The percentage of adequately fed girls is higher than that of boys, and that of infants aged 0-8 months is higher in rural areas than in urban.

## Salt Iodization

Iodine Deficiency Disorder (IDD) is the world's leading cause of preventable mental retardation and impaired psychomotor development in young children. In its most extreme form, iodine deficiency causes cretinism and increases the risks of stillbirth and miscarriage in pregnant women. Iodine deficiency is most commonly and visibly associated with goitre. IDD takes its greatest toll in impaired mental growth and development, contributing in turn to poor school performance, reduced intellectual ability and impaired work performance. The international goal has been to achieve sustainable elimination of iodine deficiency by 2005. The indicator is the percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt ( $\geq 15$ parts per million).

In Turkmenistan, the first Turkmen President adopted the decree "On Salt Iodization and Flour Fortification with Iron" in May 1996 as a measure to strengthen the population's health, preventing and eliminating widespread illnesses related to the deficiency of iodine, folic acid and iron. In November 2004, Turkmenistan was the first among CIS and Central Asian countries and the fourth in the world to be certified as having universal (100 percent) salt iodization, meeting generally accepted international standards.

In 99.6 percent of households, salt used for cooking was tested for iodine content by using salt test kits and testing for the presence of potassium iodide or potassium iodate content. Table NU. 5 shows that in a very small proportion of households ( 0.2 percent), no salt was available. In 86.5 percent of households, salt was found to contain 15 parts per million (ppm) or more of iodine. Use of iodized salt was lowest in Lebap velayat (slightly above 78 percent) and highest in Dashoguz velayat (more than 96 percent). A total of 89 percent of rural households were found to be using adequately iodized salt, compared to 84 percent in urban areas. (Figure NU.4). Interestingly, in the richest households this figure was lower (about 83 percent) than in other quintile groups; this can be explained by the fact that some urban residents, mostly of high levels of wealth, use imported salt (most often from Iran) for cooking, which has a lower iodine content than salt domestically produced in Turkmenistan.


## Low Birth Weight

Weight at birth is a good indicator not only of a mother's health and nutritional status, but also of the newborn's chances for survival, growth, long-term health and psychosocial development. Low birth weight (less than 2500 grams) carries a range of grave health risks for children. Babies who were undernourished in the womb face a greatly increased risk of dying during their early months and years. Those who survive have impaired immune
function and increased risk of disease; they are likely to remain undernourished, with reduced muscle strength, throughout their lives, and suffer a higher incidence of diabetes and heart disease in later life. Children born underweight also tend to have a lower IQ and cognitive disabilities, affecting their performance in school and their job opportunities as adults.

In the developing world, low birth weight stems primarily from the mother's poor health and nutrition. Three factors have the most impact: the mother's poor nutritional status before conception, short stature (due mostly to undernutrition and infections during her childhood), and poor nutrition during the pregnancy. Inadequate weight gain during pregnancy is particularly important because it accounts for a large proportion of foetal growth retardation. Moreover, diseases such as diarrhoea and malaria, which are common in many developing countries, can significantly impair foetal growth if the mother becomes infected while pregnant.

In the industrialized world, cigarette smoking during pregnancy is the leading cause of low birth weight. In developed and developing countries alike, teenagers who give birth when their own bodies have yet to finish growing run the risk of bearing underweight babies.

A major challenge in measuring the incidence of low birth weight is the fact that more than half of infants in the developing world are not weighed. In the past, most estimates of low birth weight for developing countries were based on data compiled from health facilities. However, these estimates are biased in most developing countries because the majority of newborns are not delivered in facilities, and those who are represent only a selected sample of all births.

Because many infants are not weighed at birth and those who are weighed may be a biased sample of all births, reported birth weights usually cannot be used to estimate the prevalence of low birth weight among all children. Therefore, the percentage of births below 2500 grams is estimated from two items in the questionnaire: the mother's assessment of the child's size at birth (i.e., very small, smaller than average, average, larger than average, very large) and the mother's recall of the child's weight, or the weight as recorded on a health card if the child was weighed at birth ${ }^{6}$.

Overall, 98 percent of births were weighed at birth, and about 4 percent of infants are estimated to weigh less than 2500 grams at birth (Table NU.6). Variation by region was insignificant (Figure NU.5). The percentage of low birth weight does not vary much by urban and rural areas or by mother's education.

[^4]Figure NU.5. Percentage of infants weighing less than 2500 grams at birth, Turkmenistan, 2006


## VI. Child Health

## Immunization

Immunization plays a key part in MDG4, which is to reduce child mortality by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015. Indeed, immunizations have saved the lives of millions of children in the three decades since the launch of the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) in 1974. Worldwide, 27 million children are still not covered by routine immunization - and as a result, vaccine-preventable diseases cause more than 2 million deaths every year.

A WFFC goal is to ensure full immunization of children under 1 year of age at 90 percent nationally, with at least 80 percent coverage in every district or equivalent administrative unit.

According to the vaccination schedule/calendar (Immunization Card) approved by Order No. 2 of the Ministry of Health and Medical Industry of Turkmenistan on 02.01.2004, which complies with the UNICEF and WHO guidelines, a child should receive the following vaccinations by age 23 months:

- Within the first 24 hours of life - a vaccination to protect against hepatitis $B\left(\mathrm{HepB}_{1}\right)$
- On the second or third day of life - against tuberculosis ( $\mathrm{BCG}_{1}$ ) and polio (Polio/OPV ${ }_{0}$ )
- At 2 months - against hepatitis B (HepB $)_{2}$ ); diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus ( $\mathrm{DPT}_{1}$ ); and polio (Polio/ $\mathrm{OPV}_{1}$ )
- At 3 months - against diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus $\left(\mathrm{DPT}_{2}\right)$ and polio (Polio/OPV ${ }_{2}$ )
- At 4 months - against hepatitis $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{HepB}_{3}\right)$; diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus $\left(\mathrm{DPT}_{3}\right)$; and polio (Polio/ $\mathrm{OPV}_{3}$ )
- At 12-15 months - against measles (MEASLES ${ }_{1}$ ) and parotitis
- At 18 months - against diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus $\left(\mathrm{DPT}_{4}\right)$ and polio (Polio/OPV 4 ).

Mothers were asked to provide vaccination cards for children under age 5, and interviewers copied vaccination information from the cards onto the MICS questionnaire. In addition, interviewers visited health facilities where they copied information on vaccinations of children under 5 from immunization cards there.

Overall, 99.4 percent of children had health cards (Table CH.2). The mother also was asked to recall whether the child had received each vaccination and, for DPT and Polio, how many times. The percentage of children aged 18 to 29 months who received each of the vaccinations is shown in Table CH.1. The denominator for the table is comprised of children aged 18-29 months, so that only children who are old enough to be fully vaccinated are counted. In the top panel, the numerator includes all children vaccinated at any time before the Survey, according to the vaccination card or the mother's report. In the bottom panel, only those vaccinated before their first birthday/12 months ( 18 months for measles vaccination), as recommended, are included. For children without vaccination cards, the proportion of vaccinations given before the first birthday is assumed to be the same as for children with vaccination cards.

A total of 99.8 percent of children aged 18-29 months received a BCG vaccination by age 12 months, with the first and second doses of DPT given to 99.6 and 99.1 percent respectively. For the third dose of DPT, the percentage declines to 98.4 percent (Figure CH.1). Similarly, 99.8 percent of children received Polio 1 by age 12 months, but this declines to 96.8 percent by the third dose. The coverage for measles vaccine by 18 months is 97 percent.


In Turkmenistan, vaccines against hepatitis B also are recommended as part of the immunization schedule. A total of 99.5 percent of children aged $18-29$ months received HepB-1 vaccine by age 12 months. Subsequently, the percentage declined to 98.4 for the second dose and 96.8 for the third dose.

Tables CH. 2 and CH.2.C show vaccination coverage rates among children $18-29$ months by background characteristics. The figures indicate children receiving the vaccinations at any time before the Survey and are based on information from both vaccination cards and mothers' / caretakers' reports.

Because immunization is compulsory and free for all children in Turkmenistan, a rather high vaccination coverage rate is observed everywhere, irrespective of the area of residence (urban/rural), mothers' level of education or household wealth.

## Oral Rehydration Treatment

Worldwide, diarrhoea is the second leading cause of death among children under 5. Most diarrhoea-related deaths in children result from dehydration caused by loss of large quantities of water and electrolytes from the body in liquid stools. Management of diarrhoea - either through oral rehydration salts (ORS) or a recommended home fluid (RHF) - can prevent many of these deaths. Preventing dehydration and malnutrition by increasing
fluid intake and continuing to feed the child also are important strategies for managing diarrhoea.

The goals are to: 1) reduce by one-half deaths due to diarrhoea among children under 5 between 2000 and 2010 (WFFC) and 2) reduce by two-thirds the mortality rate among children under 5 between 1990 and 2015 (MDGs). In addition, the WFFC calls for a reduction in the incidence of diarrhoea by 25 percent. Indicators include:

- Prevalence of diarrhoea
- Oral rehydration therapy (ORT)
- Home management of diarrhoea
- ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding

In the MICS questionnaire, mothers or caretakers were asked to report whether their child had had diarrhoea in the two weeks before the Survey. If so, the mother was asked a series of questions about what the child had to drink and eat during the episode and whether this was more or less that the child usually ate and drank.

Overall, 5.5 percent of under-5 children had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the Survey (Table CH.3). Diarrhoea prevalence was different in all regions: the highest percentage of sick children was in Ashgabat city ( 9.2 percent) and Dashoguz velayat (6.3 percent), the lowest in Balkan velayat ( 3.2 percent). However, no significant differences were observed between the areas of residence (urban/rural). The peak of diarrhoea prevalence occurs in the weaning period, among children aged 6-23 months.

Table CH. 3 also shows the percentage of children receiving various types of recommended liquids during the episode of diarrhoea. Because mothers were able to name more than one type of liquid, percentages do not necessarily add up to 100 . Slightly more than 40 percent received fluids from ORS packets, and about 15 percent received recommended homemade fluids. Overall, 47 percent of children with diarrhoea received one or more of the recommended home treatments (i.e., were treated with ORS or RHF), while 53 percent received no treatment. No interdependence between diarrhoea prevalence in children and background variables was found.

About 39 percent of under- 5 children with diarrhoea drank more than usual, while nearly 56 percent drank the same or less (Table CH.4). About 42 percent ate somewhat less, the same or more (continued feeding), but 55 percent ate much less or almost none. Given these figures, only slightly more than 15 percent of children received increased fluids and at the same time continued feeding. Combining the information in Table CH. 4 with that in Table CH. 3 on oral rehydration therapy, it is observed that 25 percent of children either received ORT or increased fluid intake and at the same time continued feeding, as is the recommendation.

## Care Seeking and Antibiotic Treatment of Pneumonia

Pneumonia is the leading cause of death in children, and the use of antibiotics in under-5s with suspected pneumonia is a key intervention. A WFFC goal is to reduce by one-third the deaths due to acute respiratory infections.

Children with suspected pneumonia are those who had an illness with a cough accompanied by rapid or difficult breathing and whose symptoms were NOT due to a problem in the chest and a blocked nose. Indicators include:

- Prevalence of suspected pneumonia
- Care seeking for suspected pneumonia
- Antibiotic treatment for suspected pneumonia
- Knowledge of the danger signs of pneumonia

Table CH. 5 presents the prevalence of suspected pneumonia and, if care was sought outside the home, the site of care. Only 1.3 percent of children aged 0-59 months were reported to have had symptoms of pneumonia during the two weeks preceding the Survey. Of these, about 83 percent were taken to an appropriate provider.

A total of 96 percent sought professional care for suspected pneumonia from public-sector providers/Government health care facilities. The majority of children were taken to a Government health centre ( 57 percent). Seeking care from non-Government health facilities was not reported, while care from a traditional practitioner was sought by slightly more than 4 percent.

Table CH. 6 presents the use of antibiotics for the treatment of suspected pneumonia in under-5s. More than 50 percent of under- 5 children in Turkmenistan with suspected pneumonia had received an antibiotic during the two weeks before the Survey.

Issues related to knowledge of danger signs of pneumonia are presented in Table CH.7. Clearly, mothers' knowledge of the danger signs is an important determinant of careseeking behaviour. Overall, it was found that slightly more than 12 percent of women know the two danger signs of pneumonia - fast and difficult breathing. The most commonly identified symptom for taking a child to a health facility was fever (about 92 percent). A total of 26.5 percent of mothers identified fast breathing, and slightly above 28 percent of mothers identified difficult breathing as symptoms for taking children immediately to a health care provider. Interestingly, the two danger signs of pneumonia were better known by less educated mothers (below secondary education - 13 percent; higher education - less than 10 percent), as well as by the native population (Turkmen - more than 13 percent). At the same time, the level of knowledge of low-income households (first quintile) is the lowest, at 7 percent. The level of knowledge of urban and metropolitan region residents is higher than in rural areas.

## Solid Fuel Use

More than 3 billion people around the world rely on solid fuels (biomass and coal) for their basic energy needs, including cooking and heating. Cooking and heating with solid fuels leads to high levels of indoor smoke, a complex mix of health-damaging pollutants. The main problem with the use of solid fuels is products of incomplete combustion, including CO , polyaromatic hydrocarbons, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, and other toxic elements. Use of solid fuels increases the risks of acute respiratory illness, pneumonia, chronic obstructive lung disease, cancer, and possibly tuberculosis, low birth weight, cataracts and asthma. The primary indicator is the proportion of the population using solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy for cooking.

In Turkmenistan, solid fuels are practically not used for cooking, employed in only 0.4 percent of all households (Table CH.8). Solid fuels are not used at all in urban areas and not broadly in use in rural areas ( 0.7 percent). Some differentials exist with respect to household wealth and the educational level of the household head. The table clearly shows that the vast majority of households ( 93 percent) use natural (piped) gas for cooking, while slightly more than 6 percent use liquid propane gas (in cylinders) and 0.3 percent use electric stoves. It should be noted here that gas and electricity have been supplied to the citizens of Turkmenistan free of charge (by established average per-capita norms) since the beginning of 1993, making them highly accessible for the population. Free consumption of gas and electricity, as well as water and edible salt, by the citizens of Turkmenistan has been extended till 2030 by the XVI Halk Maslahaty (People's Assembly).

## Sources and Costs of Oral Rehydration Salts

In the Turkmenistan MICS, questions were included to collect information on the sources and costs of oral rehydration salts. Such information is very important in that it makes possible a population-based assessment of the reach of programmes and the extent to which particular target groups are covered. Such information also is useful for monitoring the provision of free or subsidized supplies and for the assessment of costs of supplies, since prices of supplies can be a barrier to use. For programme managers who wish to find out public and private shares in the provision of supplies, and the relative importance of each source, information on sources and costs of supplies can be crucial.

The source and cost of supplies for oral rehydration salts for children under 5 are presented in Table CH.9. The main source of supplies for oral rehydration salts in Turkmenistan is the public sector, at more than 82 percent. A practice also exists in the country of providing oral rehydration salts for children under 1 year free of charge.

## VII. Environment

## Water and Sanitation

Safe drinking water is a basic necessity for good health. Unsafe drinking water can be a significant carrier of diseases such as trachoma, cholera, typhoid and schistosomiasis. Drinking water also can be tainted with chemical, physical and radiological contaminants, with harmful effects on human health. In addition to its association with disease, access to drinking water may be particularly important for women and children - especially in rural areas - who bear the primary responsibility for carrying water, often for long distances.

The MDG goal is to reduce by half, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation. The WFFC goal calls for a reduction in the proportion of households without access to hygienic sanitation facilities and affordable and safe drinking water by at least one-third.
The lists of indicators used in MICS are as follows:
Water

- Use of improved drinking water sources
- Use of adequate water treatment method
- Time to source of drinking water
- Person collecting drinking water

Sanitation

- Use of improved sanitation facilities

The distribution of the population by source of drinking water is shown in Table EN. 1 and Figure EN.1. The population using improved sources of drinking water are those using any of the following types of supply: piped water (into dwelling, yard or plot); public tap/standpipe; tubewell/borehole; protected well; protected spring; or rainwater collection. Bottled water is considered as an improved water source only if the household is using an improved water source for other purposes, such as hand washing and cooking.


Overall, 71 percent of the population of Turkmenistan is using an improved source of drinking water -91 percent in urban areas and 58 percent in rural areas. The situation in the south-east (Mary velayat) is worse than in other regions; only 39 percent of the population in this region gets its drinking water from an improved source.

The source of drinking water for the population varies strongly by region (Table EN.1). In the central region (the capital city), 86 percent of the population uses drinking water that is piped into their dwelling, yard or plot. In the south and west (Ahal and Balkan velayats), 55 and 64 percent respectively use piped water. In contrast, in the other regions the percentage of households using piped water is about the same: Dashoguz velayat, 27 percent, Mary velayat, 28 percent; and Lebap velayat, 30 percent. In the north and east (Dashoguz and Lebap velayats), the second most important source of drinking water is tubewell/borehole, while in Ahal and Balkan velayats, tanker-trucked water is used (unimproved water source) by 39 and 26 percent respectively. In the southeast (Mary velayat) tanker-trucked water is used by almost half of the total household population.

Use of in-house water treatment is presented in Table EN.2. Households were asked of ways they may be treating water at home to make it safer to drink; boiling, adding bleach or chlorine, using a water filter, and using solar disinfection were considered as proper treatment of drinking water. The table shows the percentages of household members using appropriate water treatment methods, for all households as well as for households using improved and unimproved drinking water sources.

Overall, more than 70 percent of the population used water treatment methods, although only slightly more than 58 percent used appropriate water treatment methods. Differentials were found with respect to the area of residence. In Ashgabat, this indicator was below 56 percent. The highest value was found in Ahal velayat (about 70 percent); while in Dashoguz velayat it stood at 41 percent. In the other regions, appropriate methods of water treatment were used by 62 to 63 percent of population. Among water treatment methods, the most widely employed were boiling (more than 57 percent) and use of settled water ( 42 percent). It should be noted that in the capital city, about 10 percent of households use bottled water that needs no additional treatment, which affected the water treatment figure for this region.

The highest percentage using water filters also is in the capital: about 3 percent, compared to an average of less than 1 percent. A relationship is observed between using improved sources of drinking water and background variables. In particular, the percentage is higher in urban areas than in rural areas, in households with a higher wealth index, and where household heads have higher levels of education.

The amount of time it takes to obtain water is presented in Table EN. 3 and the person who usually collected the water in Table EN.4. Note that these results refer to one round-trip from home to a drinking water source. Information on the number of trips made in one day was not collected.

Table EN. 3 shows that for 80 percent of households, the drinking water source is on the premises; even so, this is more common for urban areas ( 91 percent) than rural areas ( 71 percent). For 18 percent of all households, it takes less than 30 minutes to get to the water source and bring water, while a very small percentage of households ( 0.2 percent) spend more than 1 hour for this purpose. Excluding those households with water on the premises, the average time to the source of drinking water is 13 minutes. The time spent in rural areas ( 14 minutes) in collecting water is slightly higher than in urban areas ( 12 minutes). It was
found that the time spent in Balkan velayat for collecting water was 27 minutes, which is explained by the geographical features of this region. At the same time, it should be noted that in Balkan velayat water is piped to the premises of 93 percent of households, one of the highest figures among the regions; only in the capital city it is slightly higher, at 97 percent.

Table EN. 4 shows that for the majority of households (70 percent), an adult female usually collects water when the source of drinking water is not on the premises. Adult men collect water in only 23 percent of cases, while for the rest of the households, female or male children under age 15 collect water ( 7 percent).

Meanwhile, inadequate disposal of human excreta and personal hygiene is associated with a range of diseases, including diarrhoeal diseases and polio. Improved sanitation facilities for excreta disposal include flush or pour flush to a piped sewer system, septic tank or latrine; ventilated improved pit latrine; and pit latrine with slab.

About 99 percent of the population of Turkmenistan is living in households using improved sanitation facilities (Table EN.5). This percentage is 99.8 in urban areas and 98 in rural areas. Among residents of the regions, use of improved facilities is virtually equal. The table indicates that use of improved sanitation facilities is, to a certain degree, correlated with wealth and educational level of household heads, but this differs profoundly between urban and rural areas. In rural areas, the population is mostly using pit latrines with slabs (83 percent); in contrast, the most common facilities in urban areas are flush toilets with connection to a sewage system or septic tank (51 percent).

An overview of the percentage of households with improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal is presented in Table EN.6.

On average, 70 percent of households use both improved sources of drinking water and improved sanitary facilities. However, some residential and regional differentials exist: The highest figures are in the capital city ( 95 percent) and Lebap velayat (above 88 percent), with the lowest in Mary velayat (below 39 percent), and they are higher for urban areas ( 91 percent) than for rural areas ( 57 percent). Some interrelation between the level of using improved sources of drinking water and sanitation and the educational level of household head and household wealth was observed.

## VIII. Reproductive Health

## Contraception

Appropriate family planning is important to the health of women and children through: 1) preventing pregnancies too early or too late; 2 ) extending the period between births; and 3 ) limiting the number of children. A WFFC goal is access by all couples to information and services to prevent pregnancies that are too early, too closely spaced, too late or too many.

Current use of contraception was reported by 48 percent of women currently married or in union (Table RH.1). The most popular method is the IUD, used by 43 percent of married women in Turkmenistan. Other methods of contraception are little used: about 2 percent reported use of the pill, while less than 1 percent use injectables, condoms, periodic abstinence, withdrawal, vaginal methods or the lactational amenorrhoea method (LAM).

Contraceptive prevalence is highest in the southeast region (Mary velayat), at 55 percent, and in the capital city, at 54 percent. Forty-eight percent of married women in the north (Dashoguz velayat), 45 percent in the south (Ahal velayat) and 43 percent in the east (Lebap velayat) use a method of contraception. In the west (Balkan velayat), contraceptive use is rarer (below 38 percent). Adolescents are far less likely to use contraception than older women. Less than 6 percent of married or in-union women aged 15-19 currently use a method of contraception, compared to 64 percent of 35 - to 39-year-olds and about 58 percent of women aged 30-34 and 40-44.

Women's education level is somewhat associated with contraceptive prevalence. The percentage of women using any method of contraception rises from 46 percent among women with secondary education or below, to 54 percent among women with secondary special (vocational) education and 57 percent among women with higher education. In addition to differences in prevalence, the method mix varies by education. A total of 41 percent of contraceptive users with secondary education or below use IUD and only slightly more than 1 percent use the pill or LAM. As for contraceptive users with higher education, 46 percent use IUD, more than 4 percent use the pill and 3 percent use condom.

Significant differentials in the use of contraceptives are found in relation to the number of children. Only slightly more than 1 percent of women with no children use contraceptives i.e., they practically do not use them. At the same time, about 1 woman in 4 with one child and more than half of women with two or more living children use modern or traditional methods of contraception.

## Unmet Need

Unmet need ${ }^{7}$ for contraception refers to fecund women who are not using any method of contraception, but who wish to postpone the next birth or stop childbearing altogether.

[^5]Unmet need is identified in MICS by using a set of questions eliciting current behaviours and preferences pertaining to contraceptive use, fecundity and fertility preferences.

Women with unmet need for spacing includes women currently married or in union; fecund (currently pregnant or think they are physically able to become pregnant); currently not using contraception; and wanting to space their births. Pregnant women are considered to want to space their births when they did not want the child at the time they got pregnant. Women who are not pregnant are classified in this category if they want to have a(nother) child, but want to have the child at least two years later, or after marriage.

Women with unmet need for limiting are those women currently married or in union; fecund (currently pregnant or think that they are physically able to become pregnant); currently not using contraception; and wanting to limit their births. The latter group includes women who are currently pregnant but had not wanted the pregnancy at all, and women who are not currently pregnant but do not want to have a(nother) child.

Total unmet need for contraception represents the sum of unmet need for spacing and unmet need for limiting.

Using information on contraception and unmet need, the percentage of demand for contraception satisfied also is estimated from the MICS data. The percentage of demand for contraception satisfied is defined as the proportion of women currently married or in union and currently using contraception, to the total demand for contraception. The total demand for contraception includes women who currently have an unmet need for spacing or limiting, plus those who are currently using contraception.

Table RH. 2 shows the results of the survey on contraception, unmet need and the demand for contraception satisfied. In Turkmenistan, the demand of more than 75 percent of fecund women for contraception is satisfied. In this respect, no significant differences by area of residence (urban/rural) or level of household wealth are observed.

Less than 16 percent of women aged 15-49 have an unmet need for contraception, including 6 percent for birth spacing and 10 percent for limiting the number of births. The unmet need indicator differs by area of residence and regions. It is somewhat higher in urban areas (17 percent) than in rural areas (below 16 percent). The highest figure of unmet need was found in Lebap velayat ( 18.7 percent) and Ahal velayat ( 18.3 percent), the lowest in Mary velayat (less than 12 percent). The main need is limiting the number of births, with the exception of Dashoguz velayat, where the main need is planning of birth spacing; it should be noted that Dashoguz velayat has one of the highest birth rates in the country. Nationwide, an inversely proportional relation regularly exists between the educational level of fecund women and the level of unmet need for contraception, mostly for limiting the number of children. The figures and reasons for unmet need differ by the women's age, with the main reason for women aged 15-30 being spacing, while it is limiting for women aged 30-49. No relationship between an unmet need and level of wealth or ethnicity is observed.

The total demand for contraception stands at about 64 percent (currently using contraceptives and unmet need for contraceptives).

## Antenatal Care

The antenatal period presents important opportunities for reaching pregnant women with a number of interventions that may be vital to their health and well-being and that of their infants. Better understanding of foetal growth and development and its relationship to the mother's health has resulted in increased attention to the potential of antenatal care as an intervention to improve both maternal and newborn health. For example, if the antenatal period is used to inform women and families about the danger signs and symptoms and about the risks of labour and delivery, it may provide the route for ensuring that pregnant women do, in practice, deliver with the assistance of a skilled health care provider. The antenatal period also provides an opportunity to supply information on birth spacing, which is recognized as an important factor in improving infant survival. Tetanus immunization during pregnancy can be life-saving for both the mother and infant. The prevention and treatment of malaria among pregnant women, management of anaemia during pregnancy and treatment of STIs can significantly improve foetal outcomes and improve maternal health. Adverse outcomes such as low birth weight can be reduced through a combination of interventions to improve women's nutritional status and prevent infections (e.g., malaria and STIs) during pregnancy. More recently, the potential of the antenatal period as an entry point for HIV prevention and care, in particular for the prevention of HIV transmission from mother to child, has led to renewed interest in access to and use of antenatal services.

WHO recommends a minimum of four antenatal visits based on a review of the effectiveness of different models of antenatal care. WHO guidelines are specific on the content on antenatal care visits, which include:

- Blood pressure measurement
- Urine testing for bacteriuria and proteinuria
- Blood testing to detect syphilis and severe anaemia
- Weight measurement

In addition, the following types of antenatal care were included in the Turkmenistan questionnaire:

- Blood grouping
- Gynaecological examination
- Pregnancy term calculation (reckoning)
- Ultrasonic examination

Coverage of antenatal care (by a doctor, nurse, or midwife) is relatively high in Turkmenistan, with 99 percent of women receiving antenatal care at least once during the pregnancy. A lower level of antenatal care is found in Balkan velayat (slightly above 95 percent), while the in the capital city and Ahal velayat it equals 100 percent. Significant differentials with respect to other background variables were not found.

The type of personnel providing antenatal care to women aged 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the Survey is presented in Table RH.3. By far most antenatal care is provided by doctors (more than 95 percent), more so in urban areas (about 97 percent) than in rural areas (just over 94 percent). In rural areas, almost 4 percent of antenatal care is performed by medical nurses or midwives. The highest level of antenatal care coverage by doctors is in the capital city of Ashgabat (100 percent) and Mary velayat (about 99 percent), the lowest in Balkan velayat, at slightly above 88 percent. The highest percentage of uncovered antenatal care is in Balkan velayat, at 4 percent, with the average countrywide figure at 0.6 percent. Balkan velayat is the least populated region in Turkmenistan, with a
population density of 4.1 men per square kilometre (as of 01.01.2006), or less than 30 percent of the level of average population density nationwide ( 13.7 persons per sq.km). This region is characterized by some remoteness of settlements. Well-to-do reproductive-age women have higher figures of antenatal care by doctors.

The types of services pregnant women received are shown in table RH.4. In Turkmenistan overall, no significant differentials in antenatal care by urban/rural status or other background variables were found. In the majority of regions, almost all pregnant women made at least one antenatal care visit (99.4-100 percent). The lowest percentage of overall antenatal care provided to pregnant women is in Balkan velayat, at about 96 percent.

More than 98 percent of pregnant women had their blood tested; 97 percent had urine tested; 96 percent were given gynaecological examinations and their pregnancy terms were calculated; and 95 percent had their blood pressure measured, while 93 percent had their blood group identified. Less than 90 percent were body-weight measured, and only 77 percent were given ultrasonic scanning.

## Assistance at Delivery

Three-quarters of all maternal deaths occur during delivery and the immediate post-partum period. The single most critical intervention for safe motherhood is to ensure that a competent health worker with midwifery skills is present at every birth, and transport is available to a referral facility for obstetric care in case of emergency. A WFFC goal is to ensure that women have ready and affordable access to skilled attendance at delivery. The indicators are the proportion of births with a skilled attendant and proportion of institutional deliveries. The skilled attendant at delivery indicator also is used to track progress toward the MDG target of reducing the maternal mortality ratio by three-quarters between 1990 and 2015.

The MICS included a number of questions to assess the proportion of births attended by a skilled attendant. A skilled attendant includes a doctor, nurse, midwife or auxiliary midwife.

A total of 99.5 percent of births in Turkmenistan occurring in the year prior to the MICS were delivered by skilled personnel (Table RH.5), quite a high figure. Full coverage with skilled attendance at delivery was found in the capital region (Ashgabat city and Ahal velayat) and in the north (Dashoguz velayat), while the lowest was in the west (Balkan velayat), at 97.5 percent. The more educated a woman is, the more likely she is to have delivered with the assistance of a skilled attendant.

The vast majority of births ( 88 percent) in the year before the Survey were delivered with assistance by a doctor. Nurses and midwives assisted with the delivery of 12 percent of births. The type of personnel providing delivery assistance differs by regions: in the west (Balkan velayat), 69 percent of births are delivered by a doctor, while 29 percent are delivered with the assistance of a nurse or midwife. In the rest of the regions, 82 to 95 percent of births are delivered by a doctor, while $4-17$ percent is delivered with the assistance of a nurse or midwife. In Turkmenistan, almost 98 percent of births were institutional deliveries - i.e., the proportion of so-called "domestic deliveries" is very low (2 percent).

## IX. Child Development

It is well recognized that a period of rapid brain development occurs in the first 3-4 years of life, and the quality of home care is the major determinant of the child's development during this period. In this context, adult activities with children, presence of books in the home and the conditions of care are important indicators of quality of home care. A WFFC goal is that "children should be physically healthy, mentally alert, emotionally secure, socially competent and ready to learn."

Information on a number of activities that support early learning was collected in the Survey. These included the involvement of adults with children in the following activities: reading books or looking at picture books, telling stories, singing songs, taking children outside the home, compound or yard, playing with children, and spending time with children naming, counting or drawing things.

In Turkmenistan, for 80 percent of under- 5 children an adult engaged in more than four activities that promote learning and school readiness during the three days preceding the Survey (Table CD.1). The average number of activities that adults engaged in with children was 4.6 , which did not vary significantly by area of residence (urban/rural) or gender. The table also indicates that the father's involvement in such activities was sufficiently active. Fathers' involvement with one or more activities was higher than 61 percent. Only 6 percent of children were living in a household without their fathers. The percentage of children living separately from their biological fathers noticeably varied by background characteristics: Specifically, in urban areas the proportion of such children is 4.5 times larger (almost 13 percent) than in rural areas (about 3 percent). This is connected to the significantly higher level of divorces in urban areas than in rural areas.

No gender differentials exist in terms of adult activities with children; however, a larger proportion of them engaged in activities with male children ( 81 percent) than with female children ( 78 percent). A similar picture was observed in the engagement of fathers in learning activities with children. The percentage of adults engaged in learning and school readiness activities with children was equal in urban areas and rural areas; however, certain differentials by region and socioeconomic status were observed. For 85 percent of children living in the richest households, adult engagement in activities with children was greatest in Ashgabat city and Dashoguz velayat ( $87-88$ percent), less so in Mary velayat ( 68 percent); these findings are somewhat higher than in other quintile groups. Fathers' involvement did not reflect a similar pattern in terms of adults' engagement in such activities. The highest figure among the regions was in Ahal velayat (76 percent), and fathers' engagement in the richest households was somewhat lower ( 57 percent) than in other quintile groups. Note that more educated mothers and fathers engaged more frequently in activities with children than those with less education.

Exposure to books in early years not only provides the child with a greater understanding of the nature of print, but may also give the child opportunities to see others reading, such as older siblings doing school work. The presence of books is important for later school performance and IQ scores.

A total of 58 percent of children in Turkmenistan are living in households where at least three non-children's books are present (Table CD.2). Some 42 percent of children aged 0-59 months have children's books. The median number of non-children's books is higher than children's books (5:2 ratio). While no gender differentials are observed, urban children appear to have more access to both types of books than those living in rural households; 69 percent of under- 5 children in urban areas live in households with more than three nonchildren's books (the median is 10 books), while the figure is 53 percent in rural households (the median is three books). The proportion of under-5 children who have three or more children's books is 56 percent in urban areas, compared to 34 percent in rural areas. Strong differentials were found among the regions. It also was noted that with higher levels of mothers' education and wealth index, the percentage of non-children's and children's books intensively increased.

Table CD. 2 also shows that nearly 1 child in 4 ( 24 percent) aged 0-59 months had three or more playthings in their homes, while less than 4 percent had none of the playthings that were the subject of the MICS query, including household objects, homemade toys, toys from a store, and objects and materials found outside the home (Table CD.2). It is interesting to note that the vast majority of children ( 92 percent) play with toys from a store; however, the percentage playing with other types of toys remains below 39 percent. The proportion of children who have three or more playthings is above 24 percent among male children and 23 percent among female children. Some urban-rural differentials are observed in this respect, in terms of mothers' education and households' socioeconomic status. The most noticeable differentials, however, are found among regions. The only background variable that appears to have a strong correlation with the number of playthings children have is the age of the child, a somewhat expected result.

Leaving children alone or in the presence of other young children is known to increase the risk of accidents. In MICS, two questions were asked to find out whether children aged 0-59 months were left alone during the week preceding the interview, and whether children were left in the care of other children under 10 years of age.

Table CD. 3 shows that 15 percent of children aged 0-59 months were left in the care of other children, while less than 4 percent had been left alone during the week preceding the interview. Combining the two care indicators, however, it is calculated that 15 percent of children were left with inadequate care during the week preceding the survey. No differences were observed by the sex of the child or between urban and rural areas. On the other hand, inadequate care was less prevalent among children whose mothers had professional (special secondary and higher) education, as opposed to children whose mothers had secondary or lower education. More children aged 24-59 months ( 20 percent) were left with inadequate care than those aged $0-23$ months ( 10 percent). Differences also were observed with regard to the socioeconomic status of the household.

## X. Education

## Preschool Attendance and School Readiness

Attendance in preschool education in an organized learning or child education programme is important for the readiness of children to school. One of the WFFC goals is the promotion of early childhood education.

About 1 in 4 children aged 36-59 months is attending preschool in Turkmenistan (Table ED.1). Urban-rural and regional differentials are significant - the figure is as high as 52 percent in urban areas, compared to 11 percent in rural areas. Among children aged 36-59 months, attendance in preschool is more prevalent in the central region (the capital) - above 67 percent - and lowest in the north (Dashoguz velayat), at below 11 percent. Similarly, differentials are significant by socioeconomic status and level of mothers' education. More than 64 percent of children living in the richest households attend preschool, while the figure drops to 8 -10 percent in low-income households. Gender differential is not significant. The proportion of children attending preschool at ages $36-47$ months ( 23 percent) is somewhat smaller than that at 48-59 months ( 26 percent).

The table also shows the proportion of children in the first grade of primary school who attended preschool the previous year (Table ED.1), an important indicator of school readiness. Overall, 32 percent of children who are currently age 7 and attending the first grade of primary school were attending preschool the previous year. The proportion among males is slightly higher ( 35 percent) than females ( 30 percent), while almost two-thirds of children in urban areas ( 64 percent) had attended preschool the previous year compared to less than 17 percent among children in rural areas. Regional differentials also are very significant: more than seven times the first graders in the central region (the capital), a very high 90 percent, have attended preschool than their counterparts in the north region (Dashoguz velayat). Socioeconomic status appears to have a positive correlation with school readiness - while the indicator is only 16 percent among low-income households (first quintile), it soars to 84 percent among children in the richest households (fifth quintile). This is explained by the fact that the households with a high wealth index (fourth and fifth quintiles) include mostly urban households with higher average per-capita incomes than rural households. Moreover, urban areas (especially the capital city) have more preschool institutions than rural areas.

## Primary and Secondary School Participation

Universal access to basic education and the achievement of primary education by the world's children is one of the most important goals of the MDGs and WFFC. Education is a vital prerequisite for combating poverty, empowering women, protecting children from hazardous/exploitative labour and sexual exploitation, promoting human rights and democracy, protecting the environment, and influencing population growth.

Indicators for primary and secondary school attendance include:

- Net intake rate in primary education
- Net primary school attendance rate
- Net secondary school attendance rate
- Net primary school attendance rate of children of secondary school age
- Female-to-male education ratio (GPI)

The indicators of school progression include:

- Survival rate to grade 5
- Transition rate to secondary school
- Net primary completion rate

Of children who are of primary school entry age (age 7) in Turkmenistan, about 97 percent are attending the first grade of primary school (Table ED.2). Urban-rural differentials do not exist; however, some differentials are present by region. In the north (Dashoguz velayat), for example, the value of the indicator reaches more than 98 percent, while it is below 92 percent in the south (Ahal velayat). Female children's participation in primary school is timelier ( 98 percent) than that of male children ( 96 percent). No correlation with socioeconomic status of households is observed.

Table ED. 3 provides the percentage of children of primary school age attending primary or secondary school. The net school attendance rate in Turkmenistan is high, at 99 percent. Only a small proportion of children (1 percent) are out of school when they are expected to be participating. The values of the net primary and secondary school attendance rates are not affected by background variables.

The secondary school net attendance ratio is presented in Table ED.4. More than 95 percent of the children of secondary school age are attending secondary school; however, the percentage of children aged 15 is far lower ( 77 percent). This is explained by the fact that among the children of this age are those who completed secondary school but have not continued further (vocational) education. Socioeconomic status does not affect the high level of the net secondary school attendance rate.

The primary school net attendance ratio of children of secondary school age is presented in Table ED.5. Only a very small proportion ( 0.6 percent) of these children is attending primary school when they should be attending secondary school. These are mostly boys ( 0.9 percent) rather than girls ( 0.3 percent) aged 10-11 years. Of all background variables, only the differential by regions is significant: the highest percentage of such children is in the capital city ( 1.2 percent) and the lowest in Dashoguz velayat ( 0.1 percent).

The percentage of children entering first grade who eventually reach grade 5 is presented in Table ED.6. In Turkmenistan, practically all children starting grade 1 ( 99.9 percent) will eventually reach grade 5 . Notice that this number includes children that repeat grades and that eventually move up to reach grade 5 . Of those female students who had entered first grade, 0.2 percent did not reach grade 5 in urban areas (Ashgabat city - 1 percent).

The net primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education is presented in Table ED.7. At the time of the Survey, 99.2 percent of the children of primary completion age ( 9 years) were attending the last grade of primary education. This value should be distinguished from the gross primary completion ratio, which includes children of any age attending the last grade of primary school. A total of 99.8 percent of the children that successfully completed the last grade of primary education were attending secondary school at the time of the Survey. The transition to secondary education was not made by a
small percentage of girls ( 0.5 percent), in urban areas ( 0.6 percent), mostly in the capital (2 percent).

The ratio of girls to boys attending primary and secondary education is provided in Table ED.8. These ratios are better known as the Gender Parity Index (GPI). The ratios included here are obtained from net attendance ratios rather than gross attendance ratios, the latter of which provide an erroneous description of the GPI, mainly because the majority of overaged children attending primary education tend to be boys. The table shows that gender parity for both primary and secondary school in Turkmenistan equals to 1.00 , evidence of equality and the absence of differences in school attendance between girls and boys.

## Adult Literacy

One of the World Fit for Children goals is to assure adult literacy. Adult literacy also is an MDG indicator, relating to both men and women. Because only a women's questionnaire was administered in MICS, the results are based only on females aged 15-24. Literacy was assessed on the ability of women to read a short, simple statement or on school attendance. The percent literate is presented in Table ED.9. In Turkmenistan, the percentage of literate women aged $15-24$ is high, at 99.2 percent. However, 0.3 percent was missed, i.e., the literacy level was not identified at the interview. The highest literacy level was in Lebap velayat, at 100 percent, and the lowest in Mary velayat, at 98.5 percent ( 0.9 percent missing). The level of literacy is not significantly affected by background variables, as in accordance with the Constitution citizens of Turkmenistan are guaranteed free compulsory secondary education irrespective of gender, ethnicity or other factors.

## XI. Child Protection

## Birth Registration

The Convention on the Rights of the Child states that every child has the right to a name and a nationality and the right to protection from being deprived of his or her identity. Birth registration is a fundamental means of securing these rights for children. The WFFC encompasses the goal to develop systems to ensure the registration of every child at or shortly after birth, and to fulfil his or her right to acquire a name and a nationality, in accordance with national laws and relevant international instruments. The indicator is the percentage of children under 5 whose birth is registered.

The births of about 96 percent of children under 5 in Turkmenistan have been registered (Table CP.1). Insignificant variations exist in birth registration across regions and by mothers' education. Children in the southeast (Mary velayat) were somewhat less likely to have their births registered ( 94 percent) than children in other regions. Births of all children of mothers with higher education were registered. The most noticeable differentials were found in relation to the child's age: the percentage of under-1 children whose birth was registered was below 87 percent, while for 4 -year-olds it was above 99 percent - evidence of "delayed" birth registration cases. The main reason for this ( 75 percent of responses) was not included in the list of reasons offered in the "closed"-type model questionnaire and was entered as "Other."

Indeed, in the recent years there has been a downtrend of birth registration in Turkmenistan because of untimely applications to the civilian registry offices. Comparison of record data from civilian registries and health authorities revealed significant discrepancies in the number of births. As the practice shows, health authorities also sometimes under-record the number of births (domestic deliveries). To study modern reproductive behaviours and attitudes of fertile-age women and obtain accurate information on vital statistics, the National Institute of State Statistics and Information, jointly with the United Nations Population Fund in Turkmenistan, conducted a sample survey of 1,500 households in all regions ( 2,496 reproductive-age women) in 2003. This survey showed that not all children had birth certificates. The questionnaire was also of the "closed" type and, similarly to MICS, 80 percent of respondents specified the reason of non-registration as "Other." The second significant reason was specified as "Did not have time to receive certificate" (more than 12 percent). However, the root primary reason for non-registration of child births is apparently the lack of necessity to register births, in parents' opinion. For the most part, this category is comprised of non-working women (engaged in households and personal subsidiary plots - tax-exempt informal activities) who raise children at home. In such cases, however, birth certificates are starting to be obtained before the children's enrolment in kindergartens (at age 3 years or above) or primary school.

In order to improve the situation of birth registration and resolve other issues, Turkmenmillihasabat together with UNFPA:

1. Presented the sample survey results to representatives of the relevant Ministries and agencies (Ministries of Justice, Health and Medical Industry, Interior, Social Welfare, Economy and Finance, and local authorities)
2. Published booklets in the Turkmen and Russian languages advocating birth registration

As the MICS results show, the situation of birth registration in Turkmenistan has somewhat improved compared to the data of the above-mentioned 2003 survey.

## Child Discipline

As stated in A World Fit for Children, "children must be protected against any acts of violence ...," while the Millennium Declaration calls for the protection of children against abuse, exploitation and violence. In the Turkmenistan MICS survey, mothers/caretakers of children aged 2-14 years were asked a series of questions on the ways parents tend to discipline their children when they misbehave. Note that for the child discipline module, one child aged 2-14 per household was selected randomly during fieldwork. The following two indicators of the child discipline were selected from the list offered in this module for the questionnaire adopted for Turkmenistan: 1) number of children of 2-14 years of age subjected to psychological pressure as a method of punishment; and 2) number of parents/caretakers of 2-14 children who think that children should be subjected to corporal punishments in order to be properly brought-up.

A total of 62 percent of children aged 2-14 years in Turkmenistan were disciplined by taking away privileges, forbidding something they liked or not allowing them to leave the house (Table CP.2). The percentage of children who were told why their behaviour was wrong stood at 86 percent. Male children were subjected more to such disciplinary methods than female children. Differentials with respect to many of the background variables were relatively small.

It also is of importance to indicate that far fewer parents/caretakers nationwide (17 percent) believe that physical punishment is necessary to raise their children properly. Among the background variables, the widest range of this indicator was found in correlation with the regions: from 10 percent in Ashgabat city to 29 percent in Ahal velayat.

## Early Marriage

Marriage before the age of 18 is a reality for many young girls. According to UNICEF's worldwide estimates, more than 60 million women aged $20-24$ were married/in union before the age of 18 . Factors that influence child marriage rates include: the state of the country's civil registration system, which provides proof of age for children; the existence of an adequate legislative framework with an accompanying enforcement mechanism to address cases of child marriage; and the existence of customary or religious laws that condone the practice.

In many parts of the world parents encourage the marriage of their daughters while they are still children, in hopes that the marriage will benefit them both financially and socially while also relieving financial burdens on the family. In actual fact, child marriage is a violation of human rights, compromising the development of girls and often resulting in early pregnancy and social isolation, with little education and poor vocational training reinforcing the gendered nature of poverty. The right to 'free and full' consent to a marriage is recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights - with the recognition that consent cannot be 'free and full' when one of the parties involved is not sufficiently mature to make
an informed decision about a life partner. The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women mentions the right to protection from child marriage in Article 16, which states: "The betrothal and the marriage of a child shall have no legal effect, and all necessary action, including legislation, shall be taken to specify a minimum age for marriage..." While marriage is not considered directly in the Convention on the Rights of the Child, child marriage is linked to other rights - such as the right to express their views freely, the right to protection from all forms of abuse, and the right to be protected from harmful traditional practices - and is frequently addressed by the Committee on the Rights of the Child. Other international agreements related to child marriage are the Convention on Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages, and the African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child and the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and People's Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa. Child marriage also was identified by the Pan-African Forum Against the Sexual Exploitation of Children as a type of commercial sexual exploitation of children.

Young married girls are a unique, though often invisible, group. Required to perform heavy amounts of domestic work, under pressure to demonstrate fertility, and responsible for raising children while still children themselves, married girls and child mothers face constrained decision making and reduced life choices. Boys also are affected by child marriage, but the issue has an impact on girls in far larger numbers and with more intensity. Cohabitation - when a couple lives together as if married - raises the same human rights concerns as marriage. Where a girl lives with a man and takes on the role of caregiver for him, the assumption is often that she has become an adult woman, even if she has not yet reached age 18. Additional concerns due to the informality of the relationship - for example, inheritance, citizenship and social recognition - might make girls in informal unions vulnerable in different ways than those in formally recognized marriages.

Research suggests that many factors interact to place a child at risk of marriage. Poverty, protection of girls, family honour and the provision of stability during unstable social periods are considered significant factors in determining a girl's risk of becoming married while still a child. Women who married at younger ages were more likely to believe that it is sometimes acceptable for a husband to beat his wife and were more likely to experience domestic violence themselves. The age gap between partners is thought to contribute to these abusive power dynamics and to increase the risk of untimely widowhood.

Closely related to the issue of child marriage is the age at which girls become sexually active. Women who are married before age 18 tend to have more children than those who marry later in life. Pregnancy-related deaths are known to be a leading cause of mortality for both married and unmarried girls aged 15-19, particularly among the youngest of this cohort. Evidence suggests that girls who marry at young ages are more likely to marry older men, which puts them at increased risk of HIV infection. Parents seek to marry off their girls to protect their honour, and men often seek younger women as wives as a means to avoid choosing a wife who might already be infected. The demand for this young wife to reproduce, and the power imbalance resulting from the age differential, lead to very low condom use among such couples.

Two of the indictors are to estimate the percentage of women married before age 15 and percentage married before age 18. The percentage of women married at various ages is provided in Table CP.3. In Turkmenistan, the percentage of women married before age 15 is very small ( 0.4 percent). Fewer than 7 percent of women entered in marriage/ union before age 18. According to the 1998 Law of Turkmenistan "On Amendments to the Marriage and

Family Code" (Article 16), the marriage age is set at 16. An inverse proportional correlation was found between the percentage of women married before age 15 , and particularly before age 18 , and level of education.

Another component is spousal age difference, with an indicator being the percentage of married/in union women with a difference of 10 or more years of age compared to their spouse. Table CP. 4 presents the results of the age difference between husbands and wives. About 4 percent of women aged 15-19 and 20-24 that were currently married/in union have a husband/partner who is 10 or more years older. This number mostly includes women with basic secondary education or lower, i.e., without professional education. More than half of women aged 15-24 are married/in union with a man (husband or partner) who is 0-4 years older.

## Domestic Violence

A number of questions were asked of women aged 15-49 to assess their attitudes toward whether husbands are justified to hit or beat their wives/partners for a variety of scenarios. These questions were asked to gain an indication of cultural beliefs that tend to be associated with the prevalence of violence against women by their husbands/partners. The main assumption here is that women who agree with statements indicating that husbands/partners are justified in beating their wives/partners in reality tend to be abused by their own husbands/partners. The responses to these questions can be found in Table CP.5.

Overall, in Turkmenistan about 38 percent of women aged 15-49 believe that a husband/partner is justified to hit or beat his wife/partner for any of the scenarios listed in the questionnaire. Among the offered scenarios of possible domestic violence, the highest percentage of responses was given to the scenario of a woman arguing with her husband/partner (about 32 percent). One in five women thinks that a husband/partner is justified to beat his wife/partner if she neglects the children. Other reasons received affirmative responses from nearly 16 percent of women.

Significant differentials were found in correlation with all background characteristics, except for the woman's age, where only small variations were observed. Specifically, the percentage of women who thought that a husband/partner was justified to beat his wife/ partner for at least one of the offered reasons stood at only 10 percent in the capital city of Ashgabat, while in Ahal velayat it reached 62 percent. If in urban areas 1 in 4 women allows the possibility of domestic violence from a husband/partner, in rural areas it is 1 in 2 . Fewer highly educated women than those with less education believe a husband is justified to beat his wife for any of the reasons listed.

# XII. HIV/AIDS, Sexual Behaviour, and Orphaned Children 

## Knowledge of HIV Transmission and Condom Use

One of the most important prerequisites for reducing the rate of HIV infection is accurate knowledge of how HIV is transmitted and strategies for preventing transmission. Correct information is the first step toward raising awareness and giving young people the tools to protect themselves from infection. Misconceptions about HIV are common and can confuse young people and hinder prevention efforts. Different regions are likely to have variations in misconceptions, although some appear to be universal (for example, that mosquito bites or sharing of food can transmit HIV). The United Nations General Assembly Special Session on HIV/AIDS (UNGASS) called on governments to improve the knowledge and skills of young people to protect themselves from HIV. Indicators to measure this goal, as well as the MDG of reducing HIV infections by half, include improving the level of knowledge of HIV and its prevention, and changing behaviours to prevent further spread of the disease. The HIV module was administered to women aged 15-49.

One indicator for both the MDGs and UNGASS is the percentage of young women who have comprehensive and correct knowledge of HIV prevention and transmission. Women were asked whether they knew of the three main ways of HIV transmission - having only one faithful, uninfected partner; using a condom every time; and abstaining from sex. The results are presented in Table HA.1.

In Turkmenistan, more than half of the interviewed women ( 55 percent) had heard of AIDS. However, the percentage of women who knew of all three main ways of preventing HIV transmission was much lower, at just above 12 percent. More than 31 percent of women knew about having one faithful uninfected sex partner, 25 percent knew about using a condom every time, and 24 percent knew about abstaining from sex as main ways of preventing HIV transmission. While more than 40 percent of women overall knew at least one way of preventing HIV, that nonetheless indicates that a high proportion of women ( 60 percent) do not know any of the three ways.

A clear correlation exists between the level of knowledge of the three main ways of HIV transmission and the level of education. Urban women are better informed than rural ones. As a rule, the level of knowledge of residents of the capital city is higher than in the regions. At the same time, however, the proportional weight of reproductive-age women in the capital city who know all three main ways to prevent HIV transmission (below 14 percent) is just slightly higher than the national average.

Table HA. 2 presents the percentage of women who can correctly identify misconceptions concerning HIV. The indicator is based on the two most common and relevant misconceptions in Turkmenistan, that HIV can be transmitted by supernatural means and mosquito bites. The table also provides information on whether women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by sharing food, and that HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles. Of the interviewed women, 16 percent rejected the two most common misconceptions and knew that a healthy-looking person can be infected. Forty-two percent of women knew that HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means and 31 percent knew it cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites, while 29 percent of women knew that a healthy-looking
person can be infected. A relationship exists between level of education and wealth of women and rejection of the two most common misconceptions as well as knowledge that a healthy-looking person can be infected. Urban women are almost two times better informed than rural women. Knowledge of misconceptions about HIV/AIDS is highest among the women in the capital city ( 29 percent) and lowest in Balkan and Mary velayats ( 8 percent each).

Table HA. 3 summarizes information from Tables HA. 1 and HA. 2 and presents the percentage of women who know two ways of preventing HIV transmission and reject three common misconceptions. Comprehensive knowledge of HIV prevention methods and transmission is still fairly low, although differences are found by area of residence. Overall, 9 percent of women were found to have comprehensive knowledge, which was slightly higher in urban areas ( 12 percent). As expected, the percentage of women with comprehensive knowledge increases with the woman's education level (Figure HA.1). Fertile-age women in wealthy households also have higher levels of comprehensive knowledge about HIV/AIDS transmission, while women in metropolitan regions (Ashgabat city and Ahal velayat) have higher levels of comprehensive knowledge than women in other regions.


Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV also is an important first step for women to seek HIV testing when they are pregnant, to avoid infection in the baby. Women should know that HIV can be transmitted during pregnancy, delivery, and through breastfeeding. The level of knowledge among women aged 15-49 concerning mother-to-child transmission is presented in Table HA.4. Overall, 43 percent of women know that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child. The percentage of women who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission is 19 percent, while 12 percent of women did not know of any specific way. The same trend of correlation with background variables manifests itself here: the level of knowledge of reproductive-age women depends on their level of education, area of residence (higher in urban than in rural areas), and household wealth index. The highest level of knowledge about all three ways of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is among
women in Lebap velayat and the capital city (32-33 percent), the lowest in Dashoguz and Ahal velayats ( $10-11$ percent).

The indicators on attitudes toward people living with HIV measure stigma and discrimination in the community. Stigma and discrimination are low if respondents report an accepting attitude on the following four variables: 1) would care for family member ill with AIDS; 2) would buy fresh vegetables from a vendor who was HIV-positive; 3) thinks that a female teacher who is HIV-positive should be allowed to teach in school; and 4) would not want to keep HIV status of a family member a secret. Table HA. 5 presents the attitudes of women in Turkmenistan toward people living with HIV/AIDS.

More than 81 percent of women stated that they would not buy foodstuffs from an HIVpositive vendor, which was the most common discriminating statement. In addition, more than half ( 60 percent) of the women thought that a female teacher who is HIV-positive should not be allowed to teach in school and that the HIV status of a family member should be kept a secret ( 53 percent). The lowest percentage was found for the statement concerning denial of care for a family member ill with AIDS (below 15 percent). Acceptance of each of the offered discriminating statements depended mostly on the region and mother tongue of the female respondent.

Overall, 94 percent of women agreed with at least one discriminating statement. Any significant differentials in terms of background characteristics were not observed. Only 6 percent of the fertile-age women did not agree with any of the discriminating statements, and more so in urban areas ( 8 percent) than in rural areas ( 4 percent). By the regions, the highest percentage of those who disagreed was in Balkan velayat - at more than 11 percent, and the lowest - in Dashoguz velayat at less than 2 percent.

Another important indicator is the knowledge of where to be tested for HIV and use of such services. Table HA. 6 presents responses to questions related to knowledge among women of a facility for HIV testing and whether they have ever been tested.

About 28 percent of women know where to be tested, while only 12 percent have actually been tested. Of these, a large proportion had been told the result (more than 78 percent). Knowledge of urban women is slightly higher than rural women and is in direct relation to the level of their education.

Among women who had given birth within the two years preceding the survey, the percentage who received counselling and HIV testing during antenatal care is presented in Table HA.7.

In the context of antenatal care - nearly universal at 99 percent - more than 35 percent of women received information on HIV prevention during their visits to a doctor, 31 percent were tested for HIV and 22 percent were told the test results. Receiving information, testing for HIV and getting the test results during antenatal visits were differentiated by the level of education, a direct proportional relationship, and regions. The highest level was found among fertile-age women in Lebap velayat and the lowest in Balkan velayat.

## Orphaned Children

Children are defined as orphaned if they have experienced the death of either parent. The frequency of children living with neither parent, mother only, and father only is presented in Table HA. 8.

In Turkmenistan more than 86 percent of children of 0-17 years of age live with both parents; 6 percent have lost one or both parents, while only 0.4 percent are double orphans. Four percent of the children have experienced the death of their father and less than 2 percent the death of their mother. The proportion of double orphans does not differ significantly by background variables. Overall, the proportional weight of orphaned children is slightly higher in urban areas (just above 7 percent) than in rural areas ( 6 percent). Among the regions, the highest proportion of orphans is in the capital city (above 8 percent); the lowest is in Balkan velayat ( 5 percent). Gender differentials were not found. The proportion of orphaned children grows with the age, from 1.5 percent at $0-4$ years to 12 percent at age 1517.

One of the measures developed for the assessment of the status of orphaned and vulnerable children relative to their peers looks at the school attendance of children aged 10-14 for children who have lost both parents (double orphans) versus children whose parents are alive (and who live with at least one of these parents). If children whose parents have died do not have the same access to school as their peers, then families and schools are not ensuring that these children's rights are being met.

A total of 0.5 percent of children in Turkmenistan aged 10-14 have lost both parents. All of these children ( 100 percent) are currently attending school. Among the children aged 10-14 who have not lost a parent and who live with at least one parent, 99.6 percent are attending school. This would suggest that double orphans are not disadvantaged compared to nonorphaned children in terms of school attendance.
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Table HH.1: Results of household and individual interviews

Number of households, women and children under 5, by results of the household, women's and under-5s interviews, and household, women's and under-5s response rates, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Residence |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Ashgabat city | Ahal | Balkan | Dashoguz | Lebap | Mary |  |
| Number of households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampled | 2768 | 2440 | 1008 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 840 | 5208 |
| Occupied | 2764 | 2440 | 1008 | 840 | 837 | 840 | 840 | 839 | 5204 |
| Interviewed | 2614 | 2428 | 937 | 832 | 795 | 840 | 815 | 823 | 5042 |
| Response rate | 94.6 | 99.5 | 93.0 | 99.0 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 98.1 | 96.9 |
| Number of women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 3246 | 3931 | 1098 | 1292 | 993 | 1419 | 1146 | 1229 | 7177 |
| Interviewed | 3237 | 3923 | 1094 | 1289 | 993 | 1419 | 1137 | 1228 | 7160 |
| Response rate | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 99.9 | 99.8 |
| Overall response rate | 94.3 | 99.3 | 92.6 | 98.8 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 98.0 | 96.7 |
| Number of children under 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 849 | 1238 | 262 | 358 | 291 | 397 | 395 | 384 | 2087 |
| Mother/caretaker interviewed | 843 | 1232 | 261 | 356 | 291 | 395 | 392 | 380 | 2075 |
| Response rate | 99.3 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 99.2 | 99.0 | 99.4 |
| Overall response rate | 93.9 | 99.0 | 92.6 | 98.5 | 95.0 | 99.5 | 96.3 | 97.1 | 96.3 |

Table HH.2: Household age distribution by sex
Percent distribution of the household population by five-year age groups and dependency age groups, and number of children aged 0-17 years, by sex, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 | 1103 | 9.0 | 1074 | 8.2 | 2178 | 8.6 |
| 5-9 | 1283 | 10.4 | 1208 | 9.2 | 2491 | 9.8 |
| 10-14 | 1600 | 13.0 | 1545 | 11.8 | 3145 | 12.4 |
| 15-19 | 1271 | 10.3 | 1499 | 11.5 | 2770 | 10.9 |
| 20-24 | 1289 | 10.5 | 1366 | 10.5 | 2655 | 10.5 |
| 25-29 | 1145 | 9.3 | 1111 | 8.5 | 2256 | 8.9 |
| 30-34 | 873 | 7.1 | 920 | 7.0 | 1793 | 7.1 |
| 35-39 | 766 | 6.2 | 858 | 6.6 | 1624 | 6.4 |
| 40-44 | 757 | 6.2 | 795 | 6.1 | 1552 | 6.1 |
| 45-49 | 667 | 5.4 | 748 | 5.7 | 1415 | 5.6 |
| 50-54 | 496 | 4.0 | 585 | 4.5 | 1082 | 4.3 |
| 55-59 | 337 | 2.7 | 430 | 3.3 | 767 | 3.0 |
| 60-64 | 198 | 1.6 | 235 | 1.8 | 433 | 1.7 |
| 65-69 | 230 | 1.9 | 275 | 2.1 | 505 | 2.0 |
| 70+ | 278 | 2.3 | 420 | 3.2 | 698 | 2.8 |
| Dependency age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 15 | 3986 | 32.4 | 3828 | 29.3 | 7814 | 30.8 |
| 15-64 | 7799 | 63.4 | 8547 | 65.4 | 16346 | 64.4 |
| $65+$ | 508 | 4.1 | 695 | 5.3 | 1203 | 4.7 |
| Children aged 0-17 | 4950 | 40.3 | 4743 | 36.3 | 9693 | 38.2 |
| Adults 18+ | 7344 | 59.7 | 8327 | 63.7 | 15671 | 61.8 |
| Total | 12294 | 100.0 | 13070 | 100.0 | 25364 | 100.0 |

## Table HH.3: Household composition

Percent distribution of households by selected characteristics, Turkmenistan, 2006r

|  | Weighted percent | Number of households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Sex of household head |  |  |  |
| Male | 74.9 | 3776 | 3756 |
| Female | 25.1 | 1266 | 1286 |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 13.5 | 683 | 832 |
| Balkan | 9.0 | 455 | 795 |
| Dashoguz | 17.9 | 904 | 840 |
| Lebap | 22.1 | 1117 | 815 |
| Mary | 24.1 | 1217 | 823 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 45.5 | 2292 | 2614 |
| Rural | 54.5 | 2750 | 2428 |
| Number of household members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 5.5 | 278 | 297 |
| 2-3 | 18.7 | 943 | 1007 |
| 4-5 | 38.5 | 1942 | 1944 |
| 6-7 | 24.5 | 1237 | 1190 |
| 8-9 | 8.7 | 437 | 408 |
| 10+ | 4.1 | 205 | 196 |
| Language |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 80.3 | 4050 | 4015 |
| Uzbek | 6.9 | 346 | 301 |
| Russian | 8.2 | 411 | 499 |
| Other | 4.7 | 235 | 227 |
| Total | 100.0 | 5042 | 5042 |
| At least one child aged < 18 years | 78.8 | 5042 | 5042 |
| At least one child aged < 5 years | 31.2 | 5042 | 5042 |
| At least one woman aged 15-49 years | 87.5 | 5042 | 5042 |

Table HH.4: Women's background characteristics
Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 years, by background characteristics, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Weighted percent | Number of women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 10.7 | 769 | 1094 |
| Ahal | 14.5 | 1040 | 1289 |
| Balkan | 7.8 | 556 | 993 |
| Dashoguz | 20.9 | 1498 | 1419 |
| Lebap | 21.3 | 1529 | 1137 |
| Mary | 24.7 | 1769 | 1228 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 39.0 | 2794 | 3237 |
| Rural | 61.0 | 4366 | 3923 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 20.6 | 1472 | 1456 |
| 20-24 | 18.7 | 1341 | 1301 |
| 25-29 | 15.2 | 1088 | 1086 |
| 30-34 | 12.6 | 901 | 920 |
| 35-39 | 11.8 | 843 | 849 |
| 40-44 | 10.9 | 781 | 803 |
| 45-49 | 10.3 | 734 | 745 |
| Marital/union status |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 55.3 | 3961 | 3933 |
| Formerly married/in union | 6.9 | 494 | 527 |
| Never married/in union | 37.8 | 2705 | 2700 |
| Motherhood status |  |  |  |
| Ever gave birth | 57.3 | 4102 | 4107 |
| Never gave birth | 42.7 | 3058 | 3053 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 82.3 | 5890 | 5809 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 12.4 | 889 | 932 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 19.1 | 1369 | 1200 |
| Second | 19.7 | 1409 | 1239 |
| Middle | 19.8 | 1415 | 1338 |
| Fourth | 20.4 | 1461 | 1603 |
| Richest | 21.0 | 1506 | 1780 |
| Language |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 84.9 | 6082 | 6079 |
| Uzbek | 7.1 | 505 | 454 |
| Russian | 4.3 | 306 | 371 |
| Other | 3.7 | 266 | 256 |
| Total | 100.0 | 7160 | 7160 |

Table HH.5: Children's background characteristics
Percent distribution of children under 5 years of age, by background characteristics, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Weighted percent | Number of under-5 children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 50.6 | 1050 | 1046 |
| Female | 49.4 | 1025 | 1029 |
| Region <br> Ashgabat city | 8.6 | 178 | 261 |
| Ahal | 13.5 | 281 | 356 |
| Balkan | 7.6 | 158 | 291 |
| Dashoguz | 19.6 | 407 | 395 |
| Lebap | 24.9 | 517 | 392 |
| Mary | 25.7 | 534 | 380 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 34.6 | 718 | 843 |
| Rural | 65.4 | 1357 | 1232 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 11.7 | 242 | 237 |
| 6-11 months | 10.4 | 217 | 215 |
| 12-23 months | 19.5 | 406 | 410 |
| 24-35 months | 20.0 | 416 | 412 |
| 36-47 months | 20.7 | 429 | 432 |
| 48-59 months | 17.7 | 366 | 369 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 84.5 | 1753 | 1732 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 11.2 | 232 | 239 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 23.4 | 485 | 427 |
| Second | 20.0 | 414 | 377 |
| Middle | 21.0 | 435 | 422 |
| Fourth | 18.8 | 389 | 429 |
| Richest | 16.9 | 351 | 420 |
| Language |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 84.8 | 1759 | 1764 |
| Uzbek | 9.3 | 193 | 178 |
| Russian | 2.6 | 54 | 72 |
| Other | 3.3 | 69 | 61 |
| Total | 100.0 | 2075 | 2075 |

Table CM.1: Child mortality
Infant and under-5 mortality rates (East model), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Infant mortality rate* | Under-5 mortality rate** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 68 | 81 |
| Female | 44 | 52 |
| Region Ashgabat city | 47 | 55 |
| Ahal | 38 | 44 |
| Balkan | 46 | 54 |
| Dashoguz | 59 | 72 |
| Lebap | 61 | 74 |
| Mary | 59 | 72 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 55 | 66 |
| Rural | 57 | 68 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 60 | 72 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special)/higher <br> Wealth index quintiles | 40 | 46 |
| Low-income and mid-income $\mathrm{HH}-1-2$ \& 3 quintiles | 54 | 64 |
| High-income HH-4-5 quintiles | 61 | 73 |
| Language |  |  |
| Turkmen | 60 | 72 |
| Other | 38 | 44 |
| Total | 56 | 67 |

* MICS indicator 2; MDG indicator 14
** MICS indicator 1; MDG indicator 13

Table CM.2: Children ever born and proportion dead
Mean number of children ever born and proportion dead, by age of women, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Mean number of <br> children ever born | Proportion dead | Number of women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 0.023 |  |  |
| $15-19$ yrs | 0.401 | 0.000 | 1472 |
| $20-24$ yrs | 1.263 | 0.043 | 1341 |
| $25-29$ yrs | 2.171 | 0.059 | 1088 |
| $30-34$ yrs | 2.962 | 0.067 | 901 |
| $35-39$ yrs | 3.704 | 0.078 | 843 |
| $40-44$ yrs | 4.124 | 0.084 | 781 |
| $45-49$ yrs | $\mathbf{1 . 7 2 1}$ | 0.094 | 734 |
| Total |  |  |  |

Table NU.1: Child malnourishment
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months who are severely or moderately malnourished, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Weight for age |  | Height for age |  | Weight for height |  |  | Number of children aged 059 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% below } \\ & -2 \text { SD* }^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% below } \\ & \text { - } 3 \text { SD* }^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% below } \\ & \text { - } 2 \text { SD** }^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% below } \\ & \text { - } 3 \text { SD* }^{\star *} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% below } \\ & \text { - } 2 \text { SD*** } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% below } \\ & \text { - } 3 \text { SD*** } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { above } \\ & +2 \text { SD } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 11.9 | 1.8 | 15.8 | 5.0 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1021 |
| Female | 10.2 | 1.4 | 13.3 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 989 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 18.3 | 2.6 | 19.6 | 5.5 | 12.7 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 271 |
| Balkan | 5.0 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 151 |
| Dashoguz | 11.4 | 1.8 | 17.5 | 7.0 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 400 |
| Lebap | 10.3 | 1.3 | 10.2 | 1.2 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 507 |
| Mary | 11.7 | 2.0 | 16.6 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 508 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.4 | 0.9 | 13.1 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 694 |
| Rural | 11.9 | 1.9 | 15.4 | 4.5 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1315 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 4.6 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 227 |
| 6-11 months | 16.5 | 3.6 | 12.2 | 3.2 | 13.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 207 |
| 12-23 months | 17.7 | 2.9 | 20.2 | 7.1 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 392 |
| 24-35 months | 13.5 | 2.1 | 16.0 | 5.1 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 407 |
| 36-47 months | 6.3 | 0.5 | 15.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 417 |
| 48-59 months | 8.4 | 0.7 | 14.0 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 359 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 11.4 | 1.8 | 14.6 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1696 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 11.5 | 0.4 | 14.8 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 224 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 3.4 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 89 |
| Poorest | 12.3 | 2.5 | 15.5 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 475 |
| Second | 15.0 | 0.9 | 15.2 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 396 |
| Middle | 10.4 | 2.1 | 13.5 | 3.8 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 421 |
| Fourth | 11.7 | 2.0 | 18.8 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 373 |
| Richest | 4.8 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 0.3 | 4.6 | 344 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 10.9 | 1.4 | 14.3 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 1703 |
| Uzbek | 9.1 | 0.5 | 17.0 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 189 |
| Russian | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 51 |
| Other | 27.5 | 9.4 | 22.5 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 66 |
| Total | 11.0 | 1.6 | 14.6 | 4.4 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 2009 |

* MICS indicator 6; MDG indicator 4
** MICS indicator 7
*** MICS indicator 8

Table NU.2: Initial breastfeeding
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the two years preceding the survey who breastfed their baby within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage who started breastfeeding within one hour of birth* | Percentage who started breastfeeding within one day of birth | Number of women with a live birth in the two years preceding the Survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 52.2 | 70.2 | 72 |
| Ahal | 66.2 | 91.7 | 108 |
| Balkan | 82.0 | 93.9 | 71 |
| Dashoguz | 42.6 | 82.8 | 172 |
| Lebap | 49.2 | 88.4 | 229 |
| Mary | 76.7 | 92.8 | 218 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 60.2 | 81.7 | 327 |
| Rural | 59.6 | 91.4 | 543 |
| Months since birth |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 57.7 | 91.3 | 253 |
| 6-11 months | 61.6 | 84.4 | 233 |
| 12-23 months | 60.1 | 87.4 | 383 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 60.4 | 89.2 | 745 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 59.4 | 83.0 | 86 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 55.1 | 91.2 | 183 |
| Second | 50.9 | 88.5 | 182 |
| Middle | 65.8 | 91.8 | 176 |
| Fourth | 72.0 | 88.1 | 178 |
| Richest | 54.9 | 77.4 | 151 |
| Language |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 63.0 | 88.1 | 728 |
| Uzbek | 34.0 | 89.9 | 88 |
| Russian | (46.2) | (66.9) | 21 |
| Other | (66.7) | (86.7) | 32 |
| Total | 59.8 | 87.7 | 869 |

* MICS indicator 45


## Table NU.3: Breastfeeding

Percentage of living children according to breastfeeding status at each age group, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Children 0-3 months |  | Children 0-5 months |  | Children 6-9 months |  | Children 12-15 months |  | Children 20-23 months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent exclusively breastfed | Number of children | Percent exclusively breastfed* | Number of children | Percent receiving breastmilk \& solid/ mushy food** | Number of children | Percent breastfed*** | Number of children | Percent breastfed*** | Number of children |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 12.8 | 77 | 10.2 | 109 | 44.9 | 65 | 75.9 | 66 | 34.9 | 81 |
| Female | 16.4 | 90 | 11.4 | 133 | 62.8 | 61 | 67.1 | 66 | 38.9 | 62 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | (*) | 9 | (0.0) | 17 | (*) | 11 | (*) | 14 | (*) | 14 |
| Ahal | (8.3) | 20 | (5.9) | 28 | (*) | 13 | (68.5) | 21 | 44.1 | 21 |
| Balkan | (*) | 12 | (22.2) | 19 | (*) | 12 | (*) | 7 | (*) | 13 |
| Dashoguz | (6.4) | 32 | (4.5) | 45 | (*) | 22 | (84.9) | 34 | (56.3) | 26 |
| Lebap | (20.9) | 49 | 16.2 | 71 | (68.1) | 34 | (80.9) | 36 | (33.9) | 35 |
| Mary | (15.4) | 45 | (11.3) | 62 | (*) | 34 | (*) | 21 | (*) | 34 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 13 | 60 | 9.0 | 87 | 50.7 | 53 | 71.3 | 42 | 33.9 | 62 |
| Rural | 15.7 | 107 | 11.9 | 155 | 55.6 | 73 | 71.6 | 91 | 38.8 | $81$ |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 15.8 | 144 | 11.6 | 211 | 52.7 | 108 | 70.4 | 110 | 39.0 | 120 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | (*) | 17 | (*) | 22 | (*) | 12 | (*) | 15 | (*) | 17 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | (21.1) | 41 | (15.4) | 57 | (*) | 24 | (71.0) | 33 | (*) | 24 |
| Second | (14.1) | 33 | (10.4) | 49 | (*) | 25 | (78.9) | 30 | (36.6) | 31 |
| Middle | (7.1) | 37 | (5.4) | 49 | (45.4) | 27 | (70.2) | 25 | (34.5) | 30 |
| Fourth | (29.1) | 28 | 19.8 | 47 | (60.1) | 27 | (66.2) | 22 | (38.5) | 26 |
| Richest | (1.7) | 28 | (1.2) | 40 | (*) | 23 | (69.1) | 23 | (26.2) | 31 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 18.3 | 134 | 13.2 | 199 | 54.5 | 106 | 72.6 | 112 | 35.2 | 120 |
| Uzbek | (*) | 19 | (0.0) | 28 | (*) | 12 | 72.6 | (*) | 57.5 | (*) |
| Russian | (*) | 4 | (*) | 5 | (*) | 4 | 66.7 | (*) | 41.5 | (*) |
| Other | (*) | 10 | (*) | 10 | (*) | 4 | 47.0 | (*) | 21.0 | (*) |
| Total | 14.7 | 167 | 10.9 | 242 | 53.6 | 126 | 71.5 | 133 | 36.7 | 143 |

** MICS indicator 15
*** MICS indicator 16

Table NU.4: Adequately fed infants
Percentage of infants under 6 months of age exclusively breastfed, percentage of infants 6-11 months who are breastfed and who ate solid/semi-solid food at least the minimum recommended number of times yesterday, and percentage of infants adequately fed, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percent of infants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-5 months exclusively breastfed | 6-8 months who received breastmilk and complementary food at least 2 times in prior 24 hours | 9-11 months who received breastmilk and complementary food at least 3 times in prior 24 hours | 6-11 months who received breastmilk and complementary food at least the minimum recommended number of times per day* | 0-11 months who were appropriately fed** | Number of infants aged 0-11 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 10.2 | 36.6 | 23.6 | 29.3 | 19.8 | 219 |
| Female | 11.4 | 46.5 | 28.9 | 36.1 | 22.5 | 239 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 5.9 | 27.2 | 13.0 | 17.6 | 11.7 | 54 |
| Balkan | 22.2 | 26.1 | 23.6 | 24.4 | 23.3 | 36 |
| Dashoguz | 4.5 | 63.4 | 29.2 | 41.9 | 21.9 | 84 |
| Lebap | 16.2 | 51.7 | 47.5 | 49.6 | 30.7 | 126 |
| Mary | 11.3 | 35.9 | 13.2 | 23.5 | 17.3 | 122 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 9.0 | 39.2 | 29.9 | 34.5 | 21.4 | 170 |
| Rural | 11.9 | 43.1 | 24.5 | 31.5 | 21.0 | 289 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 11.6 | 39.4 | 25.7 | 31.4 | 20.9 | 398 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | (2.2) | (59.2) | (28.0) | (42.9) | (21.4) | 41 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 15.4 | 63.4 | 22.7 | 39.6 | 25.8 | 100 |
| Second | 10.4 | 34.6 | 33.3 | 33.8 | 22.4 | 100 |
| Middle | 5.4 | 28.6 | 20.7 | 24.2 | 14.1 | 92 |
| Fourth | 19.8 | 50.7 | 26.3 | 34.6 | 27.1 | 94 |
| Richest | 1.2 | 32.5 | 26.5 | 30.0 | 14.4 | 74 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 13.2 | 38.8 | 25.8 | 31.2 | 21.9 | 383 |
| Uzbek | (0.0) | (59.9) | (31.1) | (41.9) | (17.9) | 49 |
| Russian | ${ }^{*}$ ) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 11 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Total | 10.9 | 41.3 | 26.3 | 32.7 | 21.2 | 459 |

* MICS indicator 18
** MICS indicator 19


## Table NU.5: lodized salt consumption

Percentage of households consuming adequately iodized salt, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  |  |  | Percent of households with |  |  |  | Number of households in which salt was tested or with no salt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | salt test result |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent of households in which salt was tested | Number of households interviewed | No salt | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & \text { PPM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15+ \\ \text { PPM }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 97.5 | 666 | 0.7 | 15.8 | 83.5 | 100.0 | 655 |
| Ahal | 100.0 | 683 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 683 |
| Balkan | 99.5 | 455 | 0.4 | 14.3 | 85.3 | 100.0 | 454 |
| Dashoguz | 100.0 | 904 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 96.2 | 100.0 | 904 |
| Lebap | 100.0 | 1117 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 78.3 | 100.0 | 1117 |
| Mary | 99.8 | 1217 | 0.2 | 15.7 | 84.1 | 100.0 | 1217 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.1 | 2292 | 0.4 | 16.1 | 83.5 | 100.0 | 2280 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 2750 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 89.0 | 100.0 | 2750 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 100.0 | 885 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 83.8 | 100.0 | 885 |
| Second | 100.0 | 932 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 88.8 | 100.0 | 932 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 907 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 907 |
| Fourth | 99.9 | 972 | 0.1 | 11.8 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 972 |
| Richest | 98.5 | 1346 | 0.6 | 16.9 | 82.5 | 100.0 | 1334 |
| Total | 99.6 | 5042 | 0.2 | 13.3 | 86.5 | 100.0 | 5030 |

* MICS indicator 41

Table NU.6: Low birth weight infants
Percentage of live births in the 2 years preceding the Survey that weighed below $\mathbf{2 5 0 0}$ grams at birth, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percent of live births: |  | Number of live births |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below 2500 grams* | Weighed at birth** |  |
| Region |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 4.6 | 92.1 | 72 |
| Ahal | 5.0 | 96.5 | 108 |
| Balkan | 3.9 | 95.0 | 71 |
| Dashoguz | 3.8 | 97.5 | 172 |
| Lebap | 4.3 | 98.8 | 229 |
| Mary | 3.9 | 99.3 | 218 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.1 | 97.0 | 327 |
| Rural | 4.2 | 97.9 | 543 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 4.2 | 97.5 | 745 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 4.1 | 97.5 | 86 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 4.8 | 97.8 | 183 |
| Second | 3.7 | 98.2 | 182 |
| Middle | 3.9 | 99.4 | 176 |
| Fourth | 4.1 | 95.3 | 178 |
| Richest | 4.3 | 96.8 | 151 |
| Language |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 4.3 | 97.1 | 728 |
| Uzbek | 3.7 | 100.0 | 88 |
| Russian | (1.8) | (96.6) | 21 |
| Other | (3.4) | (100.0) | 32 |
| Total | 4.2 | 97.5 | 869 |

[^6]Table CH.1: Vaccinations in first year of life

Percentage of children aged 18-29 months immunized against childhood diseases at any time before the Survey and before the first birthday (18 months for measles), Turkmenistan, 2006


* MICS indicator 25
** MICS indicator 27
*** MICS indicator 26
**** MICS indicator 28; MIDG indicator 15
***** MICS indicator 31

Table CH.1C: Vaccinations in first year of life (continued)
Percentage of children aged 18-29 months immunized against childhood diseases at any time before the Survey and before the first birthday (18 months for measles), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HepB1 | HepB2 | HepB3* | Number of children <br> aged 18-29 months |
| Vaccinated at any <br> time before the <br> survey |  |  |  |  |
| According to: |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccination card | 99.3 | 99.3 | 98.9 | 413 |
| Mother's report | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 413 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 3}$ |
| Vaccinated by 12 <br> months of age | 99.5 | 98.4 | 96.8 | 413 |

* MICS indicator 29

Table CH.2: Vaccinations by background characteristics
Percentage of children aged 18-29 months currently vaccinated against childhood diseases, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent with health card | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { children } \\ & \text { aged } \\ & 18-29 \\ & \text { months } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG | DPT1 | DPT2 | DPT3 | OPV0 | OPV1 | OPV2 | OPV3 | Measles | All | None |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 98.1 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Female | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 98.6 | 99.0 | 97.4 | 0.0 | 98.9 | 212 |
| Region <br> Ashgabat city | 98.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 96.7 | 93.7 | 0.0 | 98.5 | 45 |
| Ahal | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 53 |
| Balkan | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 96.5 | 95.0 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 95.1 | 33 |
| Dashoguz | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 98.6 | 95.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 74 |
| Lebap | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Mary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 101 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 95.9 | 0.0 | 98.6 | 165 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 99.1 | 98.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 248 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 98.5 | 97.6 | 0.0 | 99.7 | 353 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (98.5) | (100.0) | (98.5) | (0.0) | (98.5) | 47 |
| Higher <br> Wealth index quintiles | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 14 |
| Poorest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Second | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 85 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 99.4 | 97.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 86 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 96.3 | 0.0 | 99.4 | 77 |
| Richest Language | 99.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 97.8 | 98.2 | 95.3 | 0.0 | 97.8 | 84 |
| Turkmen | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 98.5 | 97.8 | 0.0 | 99.9 | 350 |
| Other | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 97.1 | 98.9 | 95.0 | 0.0 | 97.1 | 64 |
| Total | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.1 | 98.6 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 99.4 | 413 |

Table CH.2C: Vaccinations by background characteristics (continued)
Percentage of children aged 18-29 months currently vaccinated against childhood diseases, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children who received |  |  | Percent with health card | Number of children aged 18-29 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HepB1 | HepB2 | HepB3 |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 100.0 | 99.6 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 202 |
| Female | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.2 | 98.9 | 212 |
| Region <br> Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 98.4 | 97.0 | 98.5 | 45 |
| Ahal | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 53 |
| Balkan | 100.0 | 98.3 | 95.1 | 95.1 | 33 |
| Dashoguz | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 74 |
| Lebap | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Mary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 101 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 99.2 | 98.2 | 98.6 | 165 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 248 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 353 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (98.6) | (98.5) | 47 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 14 |
| Poorest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82 |
| Second | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 86 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 99.4 | 77 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 98.5 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 84 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.9 | 350 |
| Other | 100.0 | 98.0 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 64 |
| Total | 100.0 | 99.7 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 413 |

Table CH.3: Oral rehydration treatment
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks and treatment with oral rehydration solution (ORS) or other oral rehydration treatment (ORT), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  |  |  | Children with diarrhoea who received: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had diarrhoea in last two weeks | Number of children aged 0-59 months | Fluid from ORS packet | Recommen ded homemade fluid | No treatment | ORT Use Rate * | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5.8 | 1050 | 41.8 | 18.6 | 49.1 | 50.9 | 61 |
| Female | 5.1 | 1025 | 38.1 | 10.1 | 57.3 | 42.7 | 52 |
| Region <br> Ashgabat city | 9.2 | 178 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Ahal | 6.0 | 281 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 17 |
| Balkan | 3.2 | 158 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 5 |
| Dashoguz | 6.3 | 407 | (35.7) | (32.1) | (52.3) | (47.7) | 26 |
| Lebap | 4.3 | 517 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 22 |
| Mary | 5.1 | 534 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 27 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.7 | 718 | (31.7) | (4.1) | (68.3) | (31.7) | 41 |
| Rural | 5.4 | 1357 | 44.8 | 20.6 | 44.3 | 55.7 | 73 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 months | 4.2 | 242 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 10 |
| 6-11 months | 7.1 | 217 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 15 |
| 12-23 months | 9.4 | 406 | (51.0) | (10.8) | (44.2) | (55.8) | 38 |
| 24-35 months | 6.0 | 416 | (31.8) | (12.0) | (62.7) | (37.3) | 25 |
| 36-47 months | 2.0 | 429 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| 48-59 months | 4.5 | 366 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 5.5 | 1753 | 40.0 | 15.0 | 51.8 | 48.2 | 97 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 4.0 | 232 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 9 |
| Higher <br> Wealth index quintiles | 7.7 | 90 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 7 |
| Poorest | 4.7 | 485 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 23 |
| Second | 3.7 | 414 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 15 |
| Middle | 7.0 | 435 | (43.8) | (24.8) | (42.3) | (57.7) | 30 |
| Fourth | 5.2 | 389 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 20 |
| Richest | 6.9 | 351 | (29.7) | (4.4) | (70.3) | (29.7) | 24 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 5.5 | 1759 | 37.7 | 11.8 | 55.1 | 44.9 | 97 |
| Other | 5.2 | 316 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Total | 5.5 | 2075 | 40.1 | 14.7 | 52.9 | 47.1 | 113 |

[^7]Table CH.4: Home management of diarrhea
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks who took increased fluids and continued to feed during the episode, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Children with diarrhoea who: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had diarrhoea in last two weeks | Number of children aged 0-59 months | Drank more | Drank the same or less | Ate somewh at less, same or more | Ate much less or none | Home management of diarrhoea* | Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding** | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5.8 | 1050 | 42.1 | 52.6 | 47.6 | 49.6 | 20.6 | 31.9 | 61 |
| Female | 5.1 | 1025 | 34.8 | 59.1 | 35.1 | 61.3 | 9.2 | 17.4 | 52 |
| Region Ashgabat city | 9.2 | 178 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Ahal | 6.0 | 281 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 17 |
| Balkan | 3.2 | 158 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 5 |
| Dashoguz | 6.3 | 407 | (24.1) | (67.9) | (24.2) | (71.8) | (4.1) | (8.1) | 26 |
| Lebap | 4.3 | 517 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 22 |
| Mary | 5.1 | 534 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 27 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.7 | 718 | (48.1) | (47.7) | (50.6) | (46.4) | (18.3) | (31.4) | 41 |
| Rural | 5.4 | 1357 | 33.4 | 60.1 | 36.9 | 59.8 | 13.6 | 21.7 | 73 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 5.6 | 459 | (23.9) | (61.0) | (44.1) | (47.9) | (5.0) | (13.4) | 25 |
| 12-23 months | 9.4 | 406 | (50.5) | (46.8) | (40.7) | (56.6) | (24.4) | (31.1) | 38 |
| 24-35 months | 6.0 | 416 | (53.4) | (44.5) | (38.6) | (59.3) | (16.6) | (25.8) | 25 |
| 36-47 months | 2.0 | 429 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 8 |
| 48-59 months | 4.5 | 366 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/ secondary | 5.5 | 1753 | 34.9 | 58.5 | 38.2 | 58.1 | 12.3 | 20.2 | 97 |
| Secondary vocational/ professional (special) | 4.0 | 232 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 9 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 7.7 | 90 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 7 |
| Poorest | 4.7 | 485 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 23 |
| Second | 3.7 | 414 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 15 |
| Middle | 7.0 | 435 | (19.0) | (77.7) | (32.1) | (67.9) | (7.1) | (15.6) | 30 |
| Fourth | 5.2 | 389 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 20 |
| Richest | 6.9 | 351 | (63.4) | (34.3) | (51.6) | (48.4) | (27.9) | (36.3) | 24 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 5.5 | 1759 | 39.2 | 55.2 | 44.0 | 53.3 | 16.8 | 26.6 | 97 |
| Other | 5.2 | 316 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 16 |
| Total | 5.5 | 2075 | 38.7 | 55.6 | 41.8 | 55.0 | 15.3 | 25.2 | 113 |

* MICS indicator 34
** MICS indicator 35


## Table CH.5: Care seeking for suspected pneumonia

Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the last two weeks taken to a health provider, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  |  |  | Children with suspected pneumonia who were taken to: |  |  |  |  |  | Any appropriate provider* | Number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Public sources |  |  |  |  | Other source |  |  |
|  | Had acute respiratory infection ${ }^{1}$ | Number of children aged 0-59 months | Govt hospital | Govt. health center | Govt. health post | Village health worker | Pharmacy | Traditional practitioner |  |  |
| Total | 1.3 | 2075 | (23.8) | (56.9) | (17.3) | (18.2) | (5.0) | (4.4) | (82.7) | 28 |

* MICS indicator 23

Table CH.6: Antibiotic treatment of pneumonia
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia who received antibiotic treatment,
Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of under-5s with <br> suspected pneumonia who <br> received antibiotics in the last two <br> weeks* | Number of children with suspected <br> pneumonia in the two weeks prior <br> to the Survey |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | (50.4) |  |

* MICS indicator 22


## Table CH.7: Knowledge of the two danger signs of pneumonia

Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children aged 0-59 months by knowledge of types of symptoms for taking a child immediately to a health facility, and percentage of mothers/caretakers who recognize fast and difficult breathing as signs for seeking care immediately, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children aged 0-59 months who think that a child should be taken immediately to a health facility if the child: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mothers/caretakers who recognize the two danger signs of pneumonia* | Number of mothers/caretakers of children aged 0 59 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is not able to drink or breastfeed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Becomes } \\ \text { sicker } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Develops a fever | Has fast breathing | Has difficult breathing | Has blood in stool | Is drinking poorly | Has other symptoms |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 28.5 | 52.1 | 87.9 | 30.5 | 34.9 | 23.1 | 13.9 | 8.8 | 20.6 | 178 |
| Ahal | 46.2 | 65.0 | 89.9 | 42.6 | 51.7 | 42.9 | 18.1 | 12.3 | 31.9 | 281 |
| Balkan | 19.1 | 50.1 | 93.9 | 12.3 | 17.7 | 9.4 | 10.0 | 12.6 | 2.0 | 158 |
| Dashoguz | 25.7 | 56.1 | 92.3 | 31.0 | 30.2 | 20.4 | 24.4 | 4.6 | 9.4 | 407 |
| Lebap | 17.1 | 44.1 | 90.9 | 14.1 | 13.9 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 12.2 | 1.0 | 517 |
| Mary | 29.2 | 65.0 | 94.1 | 29.6 | 29.1 | 25.8 | 19.6 | 8.0 | 15.7 | 534 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 26.6 | 52.4 | 91.3 | 23.4 | 29.1 | 21.8 | 14.3 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 718 |
| Rural | 27.2 | 57.6 | 92.1 | 28.2 | 27.7 | 19.9 | 14.6 | 8.5 | 11.8 | 1357 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 28.3 | 54.9 | 91.3 | 27.4 | 28.6 | 20.6 | 15.2 | 8.5 | 12.8 | 1753 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 20.8 | 63.1 | 96.2 | 21.9 | 25.4 | 19.3 | 11.7 | 14.8 | 10.2 | 232 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 17.3 | 55.0 | 91.6 | 21.3 | 28.2 | 23.1 | 7.8 | 12.1 | 9.6 | 90 |
| Poorest | 23.7 | 58.3 | 90.8 | 26.1 | 21.3 | 17.1 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 7.0 | 485 |
| Second | 30.1 | 59.3 | 92.8 | 30.6 | 29.5 | 22.7 | 19.5 | 6.5 | 15.2 | 414 |
| Middle | 26.8 | 51.6 | 95.0 | 26.9 | 34.1 | 23.1 | 16.2 | 12.1 | 14.4 | 435 |
| Fourth | 32.7 | 58.0 | 90.2 | 26.5 | 30.3 | 22.5 | 14.1 | 10.4 | 14.6 | 389 |
| Richest | 21.7 | 51.1 | 90.2 | 21.8 | 26.5 | 17.4 | 11.4 | 14.2 | 11.5 | 351 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 26.6 | 58.9 | 91.3 | 26.6 | 28.4 | 22.2 | 13.9 | 9.4 | 13.3 | 1759 |
| Uzbek | 29.0 | 39.0 | 94.4 | 31.4 | 29.0 | 11.3 | 21.9 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 193 |
| Russian | 16.8 | 38.9 | 94.2 | 13.4 | 21.8 | 14.9 | 5.5 | 18.2 | 5.2 | 54 |
| Other | 38.6 | 36.9 | 96.2 | 20.1 | 27.2 | 8.2 | 15.8 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 69 |
| Total | 27.0 | 55.8 | 91.8 | 26.5 | 28.2 | 20.5 | 14.5 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 2075 |

## Table CH.8: Solid fuel use

Percent distribution of households according to type of cooking fuel, and percentage of households using solid fuels for cooking, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of households using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electricity | Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG) | Natural Gas | Wood | Total | Solid fuels for cooking* | Number of households |
| Region <br> Ashgabat city | 0.2 | 0.0 | 99.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 666 |
| Ahal | 0.6 | 0.3 | 99.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 683 |
| Balkan | 0.1 | 11.1 | 88.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 455 |
| Dashoguz | 0.2 | 2.4 | 97.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 904 |
| Lebap | 0.2 | 16.7 | 81.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 1117 |
| Mary | 0.2 | 4.9 | 94.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 1217 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.1 | 3.1 | 96.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 2292 |
| Rural | 0.4 | 9.0 | 89.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 2750 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 0.3 | 7.0 | 92.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 3161 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 0.1 | 6.3 | 93.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 1026 |
| Higher | 0.2 | 3.9 | 95.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 854 |
| DK/Missing | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 2 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.5 | 31.0 | 66.3 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 885 |
| Second | 0.3 | 2.2 | 97.4 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 932 |
| Middle | 0.1 | 2.1 | 97.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 907 |
| Fourth | 0.3 | 0.4 | 99.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 972 |
| Richest | 0.2 | 0.1 | 99.7 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 1346 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.3 | 6.1 | 93.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 4050 |
| Uzbek | 0.3 | 14.0 | 83.6 | 2.1 | 100.0 | 2.1 | 346 |
| Russian | 0.2 | 0.5 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 411 |
| Other | 0.6 | 10.0 | 89.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 235 |
| Total | 0.3 | 6.3 | 93.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.4 | 5042 |

*MICS indicator 24; MDG indicator 29

## Table CH.9: Source and cost of supplies for oral rehydration salts

Percent distribution of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea during the two weeks preceding the Survey, by source of oral rehydration salts for treatment of diarrhoea, and percentage of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea during the two weeks preceding the Survey for whom oral rehydration salts were obtained for free, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Source of oral rehydration salts |  |  |  | Number of children with diarrhoea in prior 2 weeks who received oral rehydration salts | Percentage free |  | Median cost for those not free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public* | Private | Other | Total |  | Public | Private | Public | Private |
| Total | (82.3) | (7.9) | (9.9) | 100.0 | 45 | (27.1) | (*) | (*) | (*) |

* MICS indicator 96


## Table EN.1: Use of improved water sources

Percent distribution of household members according to main source of drinking water and percentage of household members using improved drinking water sources, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Main source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Improved source of drinking water * | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unimproved sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Piped into dwelling | $\begin{gathered} \text { Piped } \\ \text { into } \\ \text { yard/plot } \end{gathered}$ | Public tap/ standpipe | Tubewell/ borehole | Protected well | Protected spring | Rain water | Bottled water ${ }^{1}$ | Unprotected well | Unprotected spring | Tanker truck | Cart <br> with <br> tank/ <br> drum | Surface water | Other |  |  |  |
| Region 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 70.6 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 2639 |
| Ahal | 21.7 | 33.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 60.8 | 3751 |
| Balkan | 43.7 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 72.6 | 1941 |
| Dashoguz | 9.7 | 17.4 | 15.3 | 26.5 | 13.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 82.9 | 5302 |
| Lebap | 15.5 | 14.6 | 2.6 | 28.2 | 27.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 89.5 | 5525 |
| Mary | 14.6 | 13.8 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 47.7 | 0.4 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 39.0 | 6205 |
| Residence 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 54.9 | 23.2 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 91.1 | 9676 |
| Rural | 3.1 | 15.2 | 4.0 | 19.1 | 15.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 33.2 | 0.1 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 58.2 | 15688 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/second ary Secondary | 17.5 | 19.3 | 4.6 | 13.9 | 11.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 26.0 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 67.7 | 16388 |
| vocational/profession <br> al (special) | 32.4 | 15.5 | 3.6 | 13.9 | 8.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 19.3 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 76.1 | 4871 |
| Higher | 33.1 | 17.4 | 3.8 | 10.9 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 20.7 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 76.7 | 4091 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.2 | 15.8 | 1.8 | 19.8 | 21.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 61.0 | 5073 |
| Second | 0.1 | 14.2 | 6.2 | 23.5 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 34.2 | 0.2 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 59.2 | 5073 |
| Middle | 2.0 | 25.3 | 7.1 | 17.5 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 63.5 | 5072 |
| Fourth | 23.6 | 32.8 | 4.7 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 71.9 | 5074 |
| Richest | 88.4 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 5071 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 19.9 | 17.2 | 4.7 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 27.7 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 68.3 | 21307 |
| Uzbek | 15.1 | 36.4 | 4.4 | 28.5 | 0.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 89.0 | 1898 |
| Russian | 86.1 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 1137 |
| Other | 29.6 | 21.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 57.9 | 1022 |
| Total | 22.9 | 18.3 | 4.3 | 13.4 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 23.8 | 0.1 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 70.8 | 25364 |

* MICS indicator 11; MDG indicator 30


## Table EN.2: Household water treatment

 treatment method, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Water treatment method used in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All drinking water sources |  | Improved drinking water sources |  | Unimproved drinking water sources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Boil | Add bleach/ chlorine | Strain through a cloth | Use water filter | Solar disinfection | Let it stand and settle | Other | Don't know | Appropriat e water treatment method* | Number of household member s | Appropriat e water treatment method | Number of household member s | Appropriat e water treatment method | Number of household member s |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 37.2 | 54.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 2639 | 57.9 | 2507 | 11.5 | 132 |
| Ahal | 16.1 | 69.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 67.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.6 | 3751 | 62.5 | 2280 | 80.5 | 1471 |
| Balkan | 14.8 | 54.3 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 52.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 63.3 | 1941 | 63.2 | 1409 | 63.5 | 532 |
| Dashoguz | 57.9 | 40.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 40.7 | 5302 | 45.5 | 4393 | 17.6 | 909 |
| Lebap | 25.5 | 63.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 47.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.4 | 5525 | 62.9 | 4944 | 67.3 | 581 |
| Mary | 19.3 | 60.9 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 51.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 6205 | 58.3 | 2420 | 63.6 | 3785 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 30.2 | 59.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 36.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 60.6 | 9676 | 60.3 | 8816 | 64.3 | 860 |
| Rural | 29.5 | 56.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 44.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 56.9 | 15688 | 54.5 | 9137 | 60.2 | 6551 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/ secondary | 29.5 | 56.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 43.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 57.2 | 16388 | 56.4 | 11096 | 58.8 | 5292 |
| Secondary vocational/ professional (special) | 29.5 | 59.8 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 39.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 61.0 | 4871 | 58.2 | 3708 | 69.8 | 1164 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 30.7 | 57.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 39.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 4091 | 59.6 | 3136 | 59.6 | 955 |
| Poorest | 32.0 | 56.7 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 47.2 | 00.0 | 0.0 | 57.4 | 5073 | 54.8 | 3093 | 61.6 | 1981 |
| Second | 28.9 | 57.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 42.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 57.5 | 5073 | 56.3 | 3004 | 59.2 | 2069 |
| Middle | 30.4 | 57.4 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 42.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 58.5 | 5072 | 56.2 | 3223 | 62.6 | 1849 |
| Fourth | 27.5 | 56.2 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 45.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 5074 | 56.4 | 3649 | 61.1 | 1425 |
| Richest Language | 29.9 | 59.0 | . 3 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 30.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 60.3 | 5071 | 60.9 | 4983 | 25.8 | 88 |
| Turkmen | 27.6 | 58.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 44.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 21307 | 59.3 | 14543 | 60.3 | 6764 |
| Uzbek | 59.4 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.4 | 1898 | 35.8 | 1689 | 78.2 | 209 |
| Russian | 26.6 | 63.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 28.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 65.8 | 1137 | 66.1 | 1130 | (*) | 7 |
| Other | 24.0 | 54.5 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 55.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 1022 | 52.8 | 591 | 58.0 | 430 |
| Total | 29.8 | 57.3 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 41.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 58.3 | 25364 | 57.3 | 17953 | 60.7 | 7411 |

[^8]
## Table EN.3: Time to source of water

Percent distribution of households according to time to go to source of drinking water, get water and return, and mean time to source of drinking water, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Time to source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean time to source of drinking water* | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water on premises | Less <br> than 15 <br> minutes | 15 <br> minutes to less than 30 minutes | 30 <br> minutes to less than 1 hour | 1 hour or more | Don't know | Total |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 96.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 666 |
| Ahal | 92.8 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 683 |
| Balkan | 93.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 27.0 | 455 |
| Dashoguz | 53.7 | 21.0 | 18.8 | 6.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 904 |
| Lebap | 73.9 | 19.6 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 1117 |
| Mary | 83.2 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 16.1 | 1217 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 90.5 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 11.7 | 2292 |
| Rural | 70.8 | 16.4 | 9.1 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 13.7 | 2750 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 76.2 | 13.6 | 7.3 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 13.4 | 3161 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 84.7 | 9.5 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 1026 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 86.7 | 7.9 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 13.1 | 854 |
| Poorest | 67.1 | 17.4 | 10.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.0 | 885 |
| Second | 68.8 | 18.0 | 8.9 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 13.2 | 932 |
| Middle | 74.1 | 14.0 | 8.5 | 3.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 13.6 | 907 |
| Fourth | 83.0 | 11.7 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 11.2 | 972 |
| Richest | 97.5 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7.5 | 1346 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 78.8 | 13.0 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 12.3 | 4050 |
| Uzbek | 75.0 | 10.9 | 9.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 16.0 | 346 |
| Russian | 99.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 411 |
| Other | 67.7 | 11.3 | 9.1 | 10.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 22.5 | 235 |
| Total | 79.6 | 11.8 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 13.3 | 5042 |

[^9]
## Table EN.4: Person collecting water

Percent distribution of households according to the person collecting drinking water used in the household, Turkmenistan, 2006


Table EN.5: Use of sanitary means of excreta disposal
Percent distribution of household members according to type of toilet facility used by the household, and the percentage of household members using sanitary means of excreta disposal, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Type of toilet facility used by household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Percentage of population using improved sanitary means of excreta disposal* | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  | Unimproved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flush/pour flush to: |  |  | Ventilated improved pit latrine | Pit latrine with slab | Flush/ pour flush to somewhere else | Pit latrine without slab/ open pit | Other |  |  |  |
|  | Piped sewer system | Septic tank | Pit <br> latrine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 74.3 | 3.9 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 2639 |
| Ahal | 5.6 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 22.1 | 68.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 3751 |
| Balkan | 38.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 22.0 | 36.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 1941 |
| Dashoguz | 8.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 82.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 5302 |
| Lebap | 13.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 20.8 | 63.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 5525 |
| Mary | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 68.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.4 | 6205 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 48.9 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 20.0 | 25.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 9676 |
| Rural | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 14.6 | 82.8 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 15688 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 12.7 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 15.0 | 68.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 16388 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 28.6 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 15.7 | 52.5 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 4871 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 31.2 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 24.3 | 40.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 4091 |
| Poorest | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 91.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 5073 |
| Second | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 91.7 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 5073 |
| Middle | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.6 | 77.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 5072 |
| Fourth | 5.7 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 43.4 | 43.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 5074 |
| Richest | 87.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5071 |
| Language 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 15.2 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 17.8 | 63.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 21307 |
| Uzbek | 12.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 11.5 | 75.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 1898 |
| Russian | 89.1 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1137 |
| Other | 25.0 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 16.7 | 52.0 | 3.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 95.6 | 1022 |
| Total | 18.7 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 16.6 | 61.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 25364 |

* MICS indicator 12; MDG indicator 31

Table EN.6: Use of improved water sources and improved sanitation
Percentage of household population using both improved drinking water sources and sanitary means of excreta disposal, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of household population: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Using improved sources of drinking water* | Using sanitary means of excreta disposal** | Using improved sources of drinking water and using sanitary means of excreta disposal | Number of household members |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 95.0 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 2639 |
| Ahal | 60.8 | 99.9 | 60.7 | 3751 |
| Balkan | 72.6 | 99.2 | 72.0 | 1941 |
| Dashoguz | 82.9 | 97.6 | 80.6 | 5302 |
| Lebap | 89.5 | 98.3 | 88.5 | 5525 |
| Mary | 39.0 | 98.4 | 38.9 | 6205 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.1 | 99.8 | 91.0 | 9676 |
| Rural | 58.2 | 98.0 | 57.1 | 15688 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary Secondary | 67.7 | 98.5 | 66.8 | 16388 |
| vocational/professional (special) | 76.1 | 98.7 | 75.5 | 4871 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 76.7 | 99.2 | 76.3 | 4091 |
| Poorest | 61.0 | 98.2 | 59.5 | 5073 |
| Second | 59.2 | 98.5 | 58.2 | 5073 |
| Middle | 63.5 | 97.8 | 62.4 | 5072 |
| Fourth | 71.9 | 98.9 | 71.8 | 5074 |
| Richest | 98.3 | 100.0 | 98.2 | 5071 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 68.3 | 98.7 | 67.4 | 21307 |
| Uzbek | 89.0 | 99.7 | 88.7 | 1898 |
| Russian | 99.4 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 1137 |
| Other | 57.9 | 95.6 | 56.9 | 1022 |
| Total | 70.8 | 98.7 | 70.0 | 25364 |

* MICS indicator 11; MDGS indicator 30
** MICS indicator 12; MDG indicator 31


## Table RH.1: Use of contraception

Percentage of married or in union women aged 15-49 who are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Not using any method | Percent of women (currently married or in union) who are using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women currently married or in union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pill | IUD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Injectio } \\ & \text { ns } \end{aligned}$ | Implants | Condom | Female condom | Diaphragm/ foam/ jelly | LAM | Periodic abstinence | Withdrawal | Other | Any modern method | Any traditional method | Any method $\qquad$ |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 46.3 | 5.1 | 41.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 51.9 | 1.9 | 53.7 | 397 |
| Ahal | 55.0 | 2.3 | 39.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 43.4 | 1.6 | 45.0 | 590 |
| Balkan | 62.4 | 1.6 | 32.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 36.4 | 1.2 | 37.6 | 302 |
| Dashoguz | 52.5 | 0.5 | 45.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 47.0 | 0.5 | 47.5 | 775 |
| Lebap | 56.7 | 1.1 | 35.5 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 38.3 | 5.0 | 43.3 | 895 |
| Mary | 44.8 | 1.3 | 51.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 53.8 | 1.4 | 55.2 | 1002 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 53.1 | 3.1 | 39.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 45.2 | 1.7 | 46.9 | 1529 |
| Rural | 51.3 | 0.7 | 44.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 46.3 | 2.3 | 48.7 | 2432 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 94.5 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 74 |
| 20-24 | 77.6 | 1.7 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 3.1 | 22.4 | 469 |
| 25-29 | 58.4 | 2.1 | 35.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 38.7 | 2.9 | 41.6 | 741 |
| 30-34 | 42.5 | 1.4 | 51.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 55.0 | 2.4 | 57.5 | 702 |
| 35-39 | 35.9 | 2.1 | 56.9 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 62.0 | 2.1 | 64.1 | 703 |
| 40-44 | 42.3 | 2.2 | 51.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | . 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 56.2 | 1.4 | 57.7 | 674 |
|  | 59.6 | 0.4 | 38.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 0.9 | 40.4 | 599 |
| Number of living children** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 98.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 346 |
| 1 | 72.7 | 2.9 | 20.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 24.4 | 2.9 | 27.3 | 629 |
| 2 | 42.9 | 2.0 | 50.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 54.5 | 2.6 | 57.1 | 1020 |
| 3 | 36.9 | 1.7 | 57.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 61.2 | 1.9 | 63.1 | 884 |
| 4 and more | 45.9 | 1.0 | 48.9 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 52.0 | 2.0 | 54.1 | 1082 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 53.7 | 1.3 | 41.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 44.1 | 2.1 | 46.3 | 3120 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 46.4 | 2.2 | 46.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 51.9 | 1.6 | 53.6 | 592 |
| Higher | 43.5 | 4.4 | 46.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 53.6 | 2.8 | 56.5 | 250 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 54.1 | 0.5 | 40.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 3.4 | 45.9 | 791 |
| Second | 52.6 | 0.7 | 43.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 45.8 | 1.6 | 47.4 | 788 |
| Middle | 51.5 | 1.6 | 43.5 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 1.8 | 48.5 | 783 |
| Fourth | 49.9 | 1.1 | 46.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 48.3 | 1.8 | 50.1 | 788 |
| Richest | 51.9 | 4.4 | 38.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 46.2 | 1.9 | 48.1 | 812 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 52.4 | 1.2 | 42.6 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 45.3 | 2.3 | 47.6 | 3370 |
| Uzbek | 48.1 | 1.8 | 48.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 50.9 | 1.0 | 51.9 | 311 |
| Russian | 45.5 | 11.8 | 34.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.8 | $0.0$ | $0.0$ | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 52.2 | 2.3 | 54.5 | 145 |
| Other | 58.4 | 2.0 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.6 | 0.0 | 41.6 | 135 |
| Total | 52.0 | 1.7 | 42.5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 45.9 | 2.1 | 48.0 | 3961 |

* MICS indicator 21; MDG indicator 19C

Table RH.2: Unmet need for contraception
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years currently married or in union with an unmet need for family planning and percentage of demand for contraception satisfied, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Current use of contraception* | Unmet need for contraception |  |  | Number of women currently married or in union | Percentage of demand for contraception satisfied*** | Number of women currently married or in union with need for contraception |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | For spacing | For limiting | Total** |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 53.7 | 4.6 | 8.7 | 13.3 | 397 | 80.1 | 266 |
| Ahal | 45.0 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 18.3 | 590 | 71.1 | 373 |
| Balkan | 37.6 | 5.1 | 11.3 | 16.4 | 302 | 69.6 | 163 |
| Dashoguz | 47.5 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 17.6 | 775 | 72.9 | 505 |
| Lebap | 43.3 | 5.7 | 13.0 | 18.7 | 895 | 69.8 | 554 |
| Mary | 55.2 | 4.8 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 1002 | 82.7 | 669 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 46.9 | 5.8 | 10.9 | 16.6 | 1529 | 73.8 | 972 |
| Rural | 48.7 | 6.7 | 8.8 | 15.5 | 2432 | 75.9 | 1560 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 20 |
| 20-24 | 22.4 | 19.1 | 3.5 | 22.6 | 469 | 49.8 | 211 |
| 25-29 | 41.6 | 12.5 | 5.2 | 17.7 | 741 | 70.1 | 439 |
| 30-34 | 57.5 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 14.3 | 702 | 80.1 | 504 |
| 35-39 | 64.1 | 1.2 | 14.1 | 15.3 | 703 | 80.7 | 558 |
| 40-44 | 57.7 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 674 | 81.0 | 479 |
| 45-49 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 599 | 75.5 | 320 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/ secondary | 46.3 | 6.7 | 9.8 | 16.4 | 3120 | 73.8 | 1956 |
| Secondary vocational/ professional (special) | 53.6 | 4.6 | 9.6 | 14.2 | 592 | 79.0 | 401 |
| Higher <br> Wealth index quintiles | 56.5 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 13.3 | 250 | 80.9 | 174 |
| Poorest | 45.9 | 6.9 | 9.4 | 16.3 | 791 | 73.8 | 492 |
| Second | 47.4 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 15.6 | 788 | 75.2 | 496 |
| Middle | 48.5 | 5.9 | 9.5 | 15.4 | 783 | 75.9 | 500 |
| Fourth | 50.1 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 15.4 | 788 | 76.5 | 516 |
| Richest | 48.1 | 5.3 | 11.4 | 16.8 | 812 | 74.2 | 527 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 47.6 | 6.1 | 9.5 | 15.6 | 3370 | 75.3 | 2131 |
| Uzbek | 51.9 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 17.9 | 311 | 74.3 | 218 |
| Russian | 54.5 | 6.0 | 9.5 | 15.4 | 145 | 77.9 | 102 |
| Other | 41.6 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 18.8 | 135 | 68.9 | 81 |
| Total | 48.0 | 6.3 | 9.6 | 15.9 | 3961 | 75.1 | 2532 |

* MICS indicator 21; MDG indicator 19C
** MICS indicator 98
*** MICS indicator 99

Table RH.3: Antenatal care provider
Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the Survey, by type of personnel providing antenatal care, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Person providing antenatal care |  |  |  | No antenatal care received | Total | Any skilled personnel* | Number of women who gave birth in the preceding two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Traditional birth attendant | Other |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 72 |
| Ahal | 95.6 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Balkan | 88.3 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 71 |
| Dashoguz | 95.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 172 |
| Lebap | 92.3 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 229 |
| Mary | 98.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 218 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 96.6 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 327 |
| Rural | 94.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 543 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (95.5) | (4.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 29 |
| 20-24 | 96.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 281 |
| 25-29 | 96.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 302 |
| 30-34 | 94.7 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 161 |
| 35-39 | 91.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 73 |
| 40-44 | (91.8) | (5.7) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (2.5) | 100.0 | (97.5) | 23 |
| 45-49 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary Secondary | 95.2 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 745 |
| vocational/professional (special) | 95.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 96.5 | 86 |
| Higher $(98.6)$ $(1.4)$ $(0.0)$ <br> Wealth index quintiles   $(0.0)$  $(0.0)$ 100.0 (100.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 91.8 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 183 |
| Second | 94.6 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 182 |
| Middle | 96.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 176 |
| Fourth | 96.4 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 178 |
| Richest | 97.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 151 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 94.9 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 728 |
| Uzbek | 97.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 88 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 21 |
| Other | (96.1) | (3.9) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | 32 |
| Total | 95.3 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 869 |

* MICS indicator 20

Table RH.4: Antenatal care
Percentage of pregnant women receiving antenatal care among women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in two years preceding the survey and percentage of pregnant women receiving specific care as part of the antenatal care received, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percent of pregnant women receiving ANC one or more times during pregnancy | Percent of pregnant women who had:: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women who gave birth in two years preceding Survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Blood } \\ \text { test } \\ \text { taken* } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blood } \\ \text { pressure } \\ \text { measured }^{*} \end{gathered}$ | Urine specimen taken* | Blood group identified* | Gynaecological examination conducted* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pregnancy } \\ \text { term } \\ \text { determined * } \end{gathered}$ | Ultrasonic examination conducted * | Weight measured* |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 91.4 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 72 |
| Ahal | 100.0 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 99.2 | 98.5 | 97.7 | 89.5 | 97.0 | 108 |
| Balkan | 95.9 | 92.7 | 91.1 | 94.2 | 86.6 | 88.8 | 95.9 | 80.7 | 89.7 | 71 |
| Dashoguz | 99.4 | 96.9 | 80.5 | 92.0 | 82.9 | 98.1 | 94.5 | 71.1 | 86.9 | 172 |
| Lebap | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 98.2 | 98.2 | 94.7 | 78.4 | 97.1 | 229 |
| Mary | 99.4 | 98.7 | 98.1 | 97.9 | 93.7 | 92.9 | 98.1 | 64.2 | 76.7 | 218 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.5 | 98.5 | 96.6 | 97.3 | 96.0 | 95.3 | 97.8 | 89.6 | 95.4 | 327 |
| Rural | 99.3 | 98.2 | 94.0 | 96.9 | 91.7 | 95.7 | 95.5 | 68.9 | 86.1 | 543 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (79.2) | (100.0) | 29 |
| 20-24 | 99.8 | 98.7 | 94.2 | 97.7 | 89.4 | 96.7 | 97.2 | 78.4 | 90.5 | 281 |
| 25-29 | 99.8 | 98.7 | 95.7 | 98.4 | 95.2 | 93.8 | 96.0 | 72.1 | 88.0 | 302 |
| 30-34 | 99.0 | 97.8 | 93.4 | 94.2 | 95.0 | 97.5 | 94.9 | 76.3 | 87.4 | 161 |
| 35-39 | 97.3 | 95.9 | 96.5 | 95.9 | 94.1 | 94.6 | 96.2 | 85.7 | 91.0 | 73 |
| 40-44 | (97.5) | (97.5) | (97.5) | (89.5) | (97.5) | (89.5) | (97.5) | (85.6) | (97.5) | 23 |
| 45-49 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 99.4 | 98.2 | 94.3 | 96.8 | 92.5 | 95.4 | 96.0 | 75.1 | 89.1 | 745 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 98.8 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 97.8 | 96.5 | 98.8 | 83.5 | 90.8 | 86 |
| Higher | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (97.2) | (100.0) | (96.8) | (97.2) | (92.9) | (97.2) | 38 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.0 | 96.0 | 96.3 | 95.8 | 92.0 | 95.2 | 93.4 | 65.7 | 85.6 | 183 |
| Second | 100.0 | 99.4 | 92.2 | 97.9 | 90.1 | 96.6 | 98.9 | 66.7 | 84.9 | 182 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 99.2 | 92.2 | 96.8 | 92.8 | 95.9 | 94.3 | 73.9 | 88.3 | 176 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 99.6 | 97.3 | 98.0 | 95.6 | 93.8 | 97.3 | 85.5 | 94.9 | 178 |
| Richest | 98.9 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 96.4 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 98.2 | 94.9 | 95.3 | 151 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.4 | 98.5 | 98.2 | 96.9 | 96.0 | 95.4 | 96.2 | 74.7 | 89.2 | 728 |
| Uzbek | 98.8 | 96.4 | 65.6 | 96.4 | 68.0 | 96.1 | 95.2 | 89.2 | 90.1 | 88 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (97.5) | (97.5) | (97.5) | (97.5) | (94.3) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (86.3) | 21 |
| Other | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (97.9) | (100.0) | (70.9) | (100.0) | 32 |
| Total | 99.4 | 98.3 | 95.0 | 97.0 | 93.3 | 95.6 | 96.3 | 76.7 | 89.6 | 869 |

[^10]Table RH.5: Assistance during delivery
Percent distribution of women aged 15-49 with a birth in two years preceding the Survey, by type of personnel assisting at delivery, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Person assisting at delivery |  |  |  | No attendant | Total | Any skilled personnel | Delivered in health facility** | Number of women who gave birth in preceding two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse/ midwife | Traditional birth attendant | Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 87.3 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 72 |
| Ahal | 88.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Balkan | 68.9 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 97.5 | 91.3 | 71 |
| Dashoguz | 93.8 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | 172 |
| Lebap | 82.2 | 17.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 98.2 | 229 |
| Mary | 95.1 | 4.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 218 |
| Residence 00.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 85.3 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 327 |
| Rural | 89.5 | 9.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 97.2 | 543 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (86.5) | (13.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | (100.0) | 29 |
| 20-24 | 88.5 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 281 |
| 25-29 | 89.1 | 9.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 96.6 | 302 |
| 30-34 | 86.3 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 95.7 | 161 |
| 35-39 | 83.7 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 98.4 | 73 |
| 40-44 | (90.2) | (9.8) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | (100.0) | 23 |
| 45-49 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | (*) | (*) | 1 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary Secondary | 87.5 | 11.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 97.4 | 745 |
| vocational/professional (special) | 87.7 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 86 |
| Higher <br> Wealth index quintiles | (95.9) | (4.1) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | (100.0) | 38 |
| Poorest | 86.7 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 97.0 | 183 |
| Second | 90.3 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 97.6 | 182 |
| Middle | 87.4 | 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.8 | 176 |
| Fourth | 86.6 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 178 |
| Richest | 88.5 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 151 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 87.4 | 12.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 98.0 | 728 |
| Uzbek | 93.9 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 88 |
| Russian | (88.4) | (11.6) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (100.0) | (100.0) | 21 |
| Other | (82.0) | (14.0) | (4.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 100.0 | (96.0) | (96.0) | 32 |
| Total | 87.9 | 11.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 97.8 | 869 |

* MICS indicator 4; MDG indicator 17
** MICS indicator 5

Table CD.1: Family support for learning
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months for whom household members are engaged in activities that promote learning and school readiness, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children aged 0-59 months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For whom household members engaged in four or more activities that promote learning and school readiness* | Mean number of activities household members engage in with the child | For whom the father engaged in one or more activities that promote learning and school readiness** | Mean number of activities the father engaged in with the child | Living in a household without their natural father | Number of children aged 0-59 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 80.7 | 4.6 | 62.8 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 1050 |
| Female | 78.3 | 4.5 | 60.0 | 1.1 | 7.0 | 1025 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 82.0 | 4.7 | 75.9 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 281 |
| Balkan | 82.3 | 4.6 | 63.5 | 1.3 | 10.4 | 158 |
| Dashoguz | 87.3 | 4.8 | 71.2 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 407 |
| Lebap | 79.9 | 4.5 | 54.8 | 1.1 | 7.0 | 517 |
| Mary | 68.3 | 4.2 | 51.9 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 534 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 79.1 | 4.6 | 60.0 | 1.2 | 12.8 | 718 |
| Rural | 79.7 | 4.5 | 62.1 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 1357 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 63.1 | 3.8 | 55.2 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 864 |
| 24-59 months | 91.2 | 5.1 | 65.8 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 1211 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/ secondary Secondary | 78.8 | 4.5 | 61.0 | 1.1 | 4.9 | 1753 |
| vocational/ professional (special) | 81.4 | 4.8 | 63.1 | 1.4 | 12.7 | 232 |
| Higher Father's education | 89.1 | 5.2 | 65.3 | 1.4 | 16.0 | 90 |
| None/primary/ secondary Secondary | 78.4 | 4.5 | 64.2 | 1.2 | na | 1453 |
| vocational/ professional (special) | 78.1 | 4.7 | 65.9 | 1.3 | na | 269 |
| Higher | 82.8 | 4.9 | 73.6 | 1.5 | na | 223 |
| Father not in HH | 88.6 | 5.0 | na | na | na | 130 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 81.1 | 4.5 | 62.5 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 485 |
| Second | 76.7 | 4.5 | 59.5 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 414 |
| Middle | 78.8 | 4.5 | 63.7 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 435 |
| Fourth | 76.6 | 4.5 | 63.3 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 389 |
| Richest | 84.6 | 4.9 | 57.2 | 1.2 | 18.1 | 351 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 77.7 | 4.5 | 60.6 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 1759 |
| Uzbek | 92.4 | 4.8 | 76.1 | 1.4 | 5.3 | 193 |
| Russian | 89.2 | 5.3 | 38.4 | 1.1 | 47.4 | 54 |
| Other | 81.4 | 4.4 | 59.4 | 1.1 | 10.1 | 69 |
| Total | 79.5 | 4.6 | 61.4 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 2075 |

[^11]Table CD.2: Learning materials
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months living in households containing learning materials, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Children living in households with: |  | Child | has: | Child plays with: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 or more non-children's books* | Median number of non-children's books | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { or } \\ \text { more } \\ \text { child- } \\ \text { ren's } \\ \text { books** } \end{gathered}$ | Media n numb er of children's books | Household objects | ```Objects and materials found outside the home``` | Home made toys | Toys that came from a store | No playthings mentioned | Three or more types of playthings*** | Number of children aged 0-59 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 59.6 | 5 | 39.8 | 2 | 16.5 | 41.2 | 39.4 | 91.7 | 3.6 | 24.3 | 1050 |
| Female | 57.2 | 5 | 44.1 | 2 | 22.5 | 32.3 | 38.5 | 91.9 | 3.6 | 23.1 | 1025 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 77.2 | 7 | 66.4 | 4 | 33.1 | 44.5 | 54.8 | 95.6 | 1.2 | 39.1 | 281 |
| Balkan | 40.0 | 0 | 27.2 | 0 | 41.3 | 44.8 | 39.4 | 86.2 | 5.5 | 37.3 | 158 |
| Dashoguz | 62.1 | 6 | 49.8 | 2 | 6.9 | 38.7 | 53.9 | 92.7 | 4.1 | 22.6 | 407 |
| Lebap | 59.5 | 5 | 28.7 | 0 | 15.6 | 38.0 | 22.8 | 90.4 | 3.8 | 17.2 | 517 |
| Mary | 39.6 | 0 | 28.0 | 0 | 16.5 | 32.5 | 39.6 | 92.1 | 4.1 | 21.5 | 534 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 68.7 | 10 | 56.3 | 3 | 23.3 | 32.5 | 26.5 | 91.7 | 4.2 | 19.5 | 718 |
| Rural | 53.0 | 3 | 34.3 | 0 | 17.4 | 39.0 | 45.5 | 91.8 | 3.3 | 26.0 | 1357 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 55.3 | 5 | 38.3 | 0 | 17.3 | 17.7 | 25.3 | 89.6 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 864 |
| 24-59 months | 60.7 | 5 | 44.6 | 2 | 20.9 | 50.4 | 48.7 | 93.3 | 0.4 | 31.9 | 1211 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/ secondary | 54.4 | 4 | 37.5 | 1 | 19.0 | 37.6 | 40.4 | 91.4 | 3.8 | 24.4 | 1753 |
| Secondary vocational/ professional (special) | 74.3 | 10 | 61.5 | 4 | 20.2 | 32.5 | 34.4 | 93.3 | 2.8 | 19.7 | 232 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 46.5 | 1 | 23.7 | 0 | 17.2 | 42.6 | 44.0 | 90.7 | 2.8 | 26.7 | 485 |
| Second | 50.7 | 3 | 34.4 | 1 | 15.3 | 31.6 | 49.7 | 90.6 | 3.9 | 21.8 | 414 |
| Middle | 61.4 | 5 | 40.2 | 2 | 19.0 | 43.4 | 42.2 | 93.0 | 3.5 | 27.2 | 435 |
| Fourth | 66.1 | 8 | 53.7 | 3 | 22.7 | 33.5 | 36.8 | 90.7 | 4.5 | 22.9 | 389 |
| Richest | 72.0 | 10 | 65.3 | 6 | 24.2 | 30.5 | 17.6 | 94.2 | 3.4 | 18.2 | 351 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 57.3 | 5 | 39.8 | 2 | 21.3 | 37.7 | 38.3 | 91.7 | 3.6 | 25.1 | 1759 |
| Uzbek | 70.9 | 10 | 54.1 | 3 | 4.0 | 33.0 | 49.9 | 95.7 | 2.7 | 15.7 | 193 |
| Russian | 87.2 | 10 | 93.2 | 10 | 28.5 | 25.5 | 11.3 | 95.1 | 2.3 | 18.5 | 54 |
| Other | 31.0 | 0 | 22.6 | 0 | 7.5 | 31.8 | 46.2 | 79.2 | 7.6 | 15.0 | 69 |
| Total | 58.4 | 5 | 42.0 | 2 | 19.4 | 36.8 | 38.9 | 91.8 | 3.6 | 23.7 | 2075 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { * MICS indicator } 49 \\ & \text { ** MICS indicator } 48 \\ & \text { *** MICS indicator } 50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table CD.3: Children left alone or with other children
Percentage of children aged 0-59 months left in the care of other children under the age of $\mathbf{1 0}$ years or left alone in the past week, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children aged 0-59 months |  |  | Number of children aged 059 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left in the care of children under the age of 10 years in past week | Left alone in the past week | Left with inadequate care in past week* |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 15.1 | 3.6 | 15.2 | 1050 |
| Female | 15.2 | 4.1 | 15.7 | 1025 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 26.0 | 6.5 | 26.8 | 281 |
| Balkan | 20.1 | 6.4 | 20.5 | 158 |
| Dashoguz | 8.3 | 0.5 | 8.3 | 407 |
| Lebap | 9.6 | 1.1 | 9.6 | 517 |
| Mary | 19.0 | 7.8 | 19.5 | 534 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 16.0 | 4.0 | 16.4 | 718 |
| Rural | 14.7 | 3.8 | 14.9 | 1357 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 9.6 | 2.5 | 9.7 | 864 |
| 24-59 months | 19.2 | 4.8 | 19.5 | 1211 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 15.8 | 4.1 | 16.1 | 1753 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 12.6 | 3.2 | 12.6 | 232 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 10.0 | 0.7 | 10.7 | 90 |
| Poorest | 17.9 | 4.5 | 17.9 | 485 |
| Second | 14.2 | 4.1 | 14.8 | 414 |
| Middle | 16.1 | 3.1 | 16.4 | 435 |
| Fourth | 16.3 | 4.6 | 16.5 | 389 |
| Richest | 10.1 | 2.6 | 10.2 | 351 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 15.9 | 4.1 | 16.2 | 1759 |
| Uzbek | 10.4 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 193 |
| Russian | 7.1 | 3.3 | 8.3 | 54 |
| Other | 15.3 | 6.1 | 15.3 | 69 |
| Total | 15.2 | 3.8 | 15.4 | 2075 |

[^12]Table ED.1: Early childhood education
Percentage of children aged 36-59 months who are attending some form of organized early childhood education programme and percentage of first graders who attended preschool, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children aged 36-59 months currently attending early childhood education* | Number of children aged 3659 months | Percentage of children attending first grade who attended preschool programme in previous year** | Number of children attending first grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 23.2 | 421 | 34.9 | 166 |
| Female | 25.8 | 374 | 29.7 | 161 |
| Region <br> Ashgabat city | 67.3 | 67 | 90.0 | 28 |
| Ahal | 23.2 | 113 | 43.7 | 38 |
| Balkan | 35.5 | 60 | (29.7) | 17 |
| Dashoguz | 10.5 | 168 | 13.4 | 90 |
| Lebap | 20.9 | 188 | 27.9 | 73 |
| Mary | 22.5 | 199 | 32.4 | 80 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 52.4 | 261 | 64.3 | 106 |
| Rural | 10.8 | 534 | 16.9 | 221 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 36-47 months | 22.7 | 429 | na | na |
| 48-59 months | 26.4 | 366 | na | na |
| 7 years | na | na | 32.3 | 327 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 19.5 | 661 | 27.7 | 243 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 49.3 | 97 | 46.1 | 61 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | (46.4) | 37 | (44.5) | 22 |
| Poorest | 10.2 | 198 | 16.0 | 69 |
| Second | 7.9 | 156 | 14.2 | 70 |
| Middle | 19.3 | 175 | 20.1 | 76 |
| Fourth | 32.7 | 137 | 37.3 | 53 |
| Richest | 64.3 | 129 | 84.0 | 59 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 23.8 | 678 | 31.5 | 266 |
| Uzbek | 21.8 | 69 | (23.2) | 42 |
| Russian | (61.8) | 21 | (*) | 12 |
| Other | (*) | 26 | (*) | 7 |
| Total | 24.4 | 795 | 32.3 | 327 |

[^13]Table ED.2: Primary school entry
Percentage of children of primary school entry age attending grade 1, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children of primary school entry age currently attending grade $1^{*}$ | Number of children of primary school entry age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 95.8 | 270 |
| Female | 97.7 | 234 |
| Region |  |  |
| Ahal | 91.5 | 69 |
| Balkan | 94.8 | 32 |
| Dashoguz | 98.4 | 131 |
| Lebap | 97.4 | 107 |
| Mary | 97.7 | 119 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 96.7 | 165 |
| Rural | 96.7 | 338 |
| Child's age at the beginning of school year |  |  |
| 7 years | 96.7 | 503 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 97.1 | 381 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 94.4 | 93 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | (97.9) | 29 |
| Poorest | 96.0 | 107 |
| Second | 96.6 | 113 |
| Middle | 98.1 | 113 |
| Fourth | 96.3 | 79 |
| Richest | 96.2 | 91 |
| Language |  |  |
| Turkmen | 96.9 | 420 |
| Uzbek | (97.9) | 52 |
| Russian | (*) | 17 |
| Other | (*) | 14 |
| Total | 96.7 | 503 |

* MICS indicator 54

Table ED.3: Primary school net attendance ratio
Percentage of children of primary school age** attending primary or secondary school (NAR), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio* | Number of children |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 83 | 97.1 | 74 | 98.6 | 157 |
| Ahal | 99.3 | 114 | 98.0 | 116 | 98.6 | 230 |
| Balkan | 97.6 | 47 | 100.0 | 46 | 98.8 | 93 |
| Dashoguz | 100.0 | 186 | 98.8 | 174 | 99.4 | 360 |
| Lebap | 99.3 | 214 | 100.0 | 160 | 99.6 | 374 |
| Mary | 98.1 | 212 | 99.2 | 171 | 98.6 | 383 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.1 | 303 | 98.9 | 262 | 99.0 | 566 |
| Rural | 99.1 | 553 | 98.9 | 479 | 99.0 | 1031 |
| Age at the beginning of school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 years | 97.7 | 270 | 97.7 | 234 | 97.7 | 503 |
| 8 years | 100.0 | 271 | 99.2 | 247 | 99.6 | 518 |
| 9 years | 99.6 | 315 | 99.7 | 260 | 99.6 | 575 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 99.1 | 636 | 98.9 | 556 | 99.0 | 1192 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 99.1 | 147 | 98.7 | 134 | 98.9 | 282 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 99.3 | 179 | 98.7 | 163 | 99.0 | 342 |
| Second | 99.6 | 193 | 98.0 | 145 | 98.9 | 337 |
| Middle | 99.0 | 177 | 99.5 | 163 | 99.2 | 340 |
| Fourth | 99.1 | 150 | 99.5 | 129 | 99.3 | 279 |
| Richest | 98.7 | 158 | 99.0 | 141 | 98.8 | 298 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.4 | 737 | 99.0 | 636 | 99.2 | 1373 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 68 | 98.2 | 60 | 99.2 | 128 |
| Russian | (*) | 19 | (97.0) | 21 | 96.9 | 40 |
| Other | (91.6) | 31 | (*) | 24 | (95.3) | 55 |
| Total | 99.1 | 856 | 98.9 | 741 | 99.0 | 1597 |

* MICS indicator 55; MDG indicator 6

Table ED.4: Secondary school net attendance ratio
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending secondary school or higher (NAR), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio* | Number of children |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 95.2 | 178 | 96.5 | 180 | 95.9 | 359 |
| Ahal | 96.1 | 296 | 94.2 | 320 | 95.1 | 616 |
| Balkan | 96.1 | 148 | 95.7 | 118 | 95.9 | 266 |
| Dashoguz | 93.9 | 406 | 94.9 | 397 | 94.4 | 803 |
| Lebap | 94.3 | 427 | 97.0 | 421 | 95.6 | 848 |
| Mary | 95.2 | 466 | 94.0 | 460 | 94.6 | 925 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 95.7 | 707 | 95.7 | 716 | 95.7 | 1423 |
| Rural | 94.5 | 1215 | 94.9 | 1180 | 94.7 | 2394 |
| Age at the beginning of school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 years | 95.3 | 305 | 98.3 | 296 | 96.8 | 601 |
| 11 years | 98.5 | 327 | 98.9 | 334 | 98.7 | 662 |
| 12 years | 99.6 | 335 | 100.0 | 339 | 99.8 | 673 |
| 13 years | 98.5 | 315 | 99.8 | 324 | 99.1 | 639 |
| 14 years | 100.0 | 319 | 98.2 | 283 | 99.2 | 602 |
| 15 years | 77.6 | 321 | 76.2 | 320 | 76.9 | 641 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 94.9 | 1421 | 94.7 | 1429 | 94.8 | 2850 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 96.3 | 342 | 97.5 | 297 | 96.8 | 639 |
| Higher | 92.6 | 124 | 97.1 | 135 | 95.0 | 259 |
| Mother not in HH | (91.5) | 34 | (91.5) | 36 | 91.5 | 70 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 94.2 | 409 | 95.5 | 382 | 94.8 | 791 |
| Second | 95.1 | 373 | 94.1 | 379 | 94.6 | 751 |
| Middle | 94.5 | 386 | 96.0 | 389 | 95.2 | 774 |
| Fourth | 95.5 | 404 | 94.8 | 409 | 95.1 | 813 |
| Richest | 95.6 | 350 | 95.9 | 337 | 95.7 | 687 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 95.6 | 1648 | 95.8 | 1648 | 95.7 | 3297 |
| Uzbek | 93.4 | 135 | 92.0 | 139 | 92.7 | 275 |
| Russian | 89.8 | 52 | 97.1 | 46 | 93.3 | 98 |
| Other | 87.5 | 86 | 87.1 | 62 | 87.3 | 148 |
| Total | 94.9 | 1922 | 95.2 | 1896 | 95.1 | 3817 |

* MICS indicator 56

Table ED.5. Secondary school-age children attending primary school
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending primary school, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent attending primary school | Number of children | Percent attending primary school | Number of children | Percent attending primary school | Number of children |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 2.0 | 178 | 0.4 | 180 | 1.2 | 359 |
| Ahal | 0.8 | 296 | 0.5 | 320 | 0.7 | 616 |
| Balkan | 0.8 | 148 | 0.0 | 118 | 0.4 | 266 |
| Dashoguz | 0.3 | 406 | 0.0 | 397 | 0.1 | 803 |
| Lebap | 1.0 | 427 | 0.3 | 421 | 0.7 | 848 |
| Mary | 0.9 | 466 | 0.3 | 460 | 0.6 | 925 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.9 | 707 | 0.1 | 716 | 0.5 | 1423 |
| Rural | 0.9 | 1215 | 0.4 | 1180 | 0.6 | 2394 |
| Age at the beginning of school year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 years | 4.3 | 305 | 1.7 | 296 | 3.0 | 601 |
| 11 years | 1.1 | 327 | 0.0 | 334 | 0.6 | 662 |
| 12 years | 0.0 | 335 | 0.0 | 339 | 0.0 | 673 |
| 13 years | 0.0 | 315 | 0.0 | 324 | 0.0 | 639 |
| 14 years | 0.0 | 319 | 0.0 | 283 | 0.0 | 602 |
| 15 years | 0.0 | 321 | 0.0 | 320 | 0.0 | 641 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 0.9 | 1421 | 0.4 | 1429 | 0.6 | 2850 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 0.4 | 342 | 0.0 | 297 | 0.2 | 639 |
| Higher | 1.6 | 124 | 0.0 | 135 | 0.8 | 259 |
| Mother not in HH | (0.0) | 34 | (0.0) | 36 | 0.0 | 70 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.2 | 409 | 0.3 | 382 | 0.8 | 791 |
| Second | 0.7 | 373 | 0.4 | 379 | 0.5 | 751 |
| Middle | 0.2 | 386 | 0.2 | 389 | 0.2 | 774 |
| Fourth | 0.9 | 404 | 0.0 | 409 | 0.5 | 813 |
| Richest | 1.4 | 350 | 0.4 | 337 | 0.9 | 687 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.8 | 1648 | 0.3 | 1648 | 0.6 | 3297 |
| Uzbek | 1.1 | 135 | 0.0 | 139 | 0.5 | 275 |
| Russian | 1.3 | 52 | 1.4 | 46 | 1.4 | 98 |
| Other | 0.7 | 86 | 0.0 | 62 | 0.4 | 148 |
| Total | 0.9 | 1922 | 0.3 | 1896 | 0.6 | 3817 |

Table ED.6: Children reaching grade 5
Percentage of children entering first grade of primary school who eventually reach grade 5, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percent attending $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade who were in $1^{\text {st }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade who were in $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $4^{\text {th }}$ grade who were in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $5^{\text {th }}$ grade who were in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade last year | Percent who reach grade 5 of those who enter $1^{\text {st }}$ grade* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.8 |
| Region Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 99.0 |
| Ahal | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Balkan | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Dashoguz | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Lebap | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.8 |
| Rural | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Poorest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Second | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Fourth | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Richest | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.5 | 99.5 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| Uzbek | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Russian | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Other | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |

* MICS indicator 57; MDG indicator 7

Table ED.7: Primary school completion and transition to secondary education
Primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Net primary school completion rate* | Number of children of primary school completion age | Transition rate to secondary education** | Number of children who were in the last grade of primary school the previous year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 98.8 | 305 | 100.0 | 316 |
| Female | 99.6 | 296 | 99.5 | 277 |
| Region Ashgabat city | 98.5 | 56 | 97.7 | 60 |
| Ahal | 99.1 | 102 | 100.0 | 92 |
| Balkan | 98.7 | 41 | 100.0 | 36 |
| Dashoguz | 100.0 | 120 | 100.0 | 128 |
| Lebap | 99.0 | 135 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Mary | 99.1 | 147 | 100.0 | 141 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 99.4 | 234 | 99.4 | 223 |
| Rural | 99.0 | 367 | 100.0 | 370 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 99.1 | 447 | 99.7 | 440 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 100.0 | 125 | 100.0 | 124 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | (97.0) | 29 | (100.0) | 29 |
| Poorest | 99.0 | 132 | 100.0 | 137 |
| Second | 100.0 | 120 | 100.0 | 131 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 109 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Fourth | 98.1 | 115 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Richest | 98.9 | 126 | 98.8 | 115 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.3 | 526 | 100.0 | 508 |
| Uzbek | (100.0) | (38) | (100.0) | 44 |
| Russian | (*) | (*) | (*) | 21 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | 21 |
| Total | 99.2 | 601 | 99.8 | 594 |

[^14]Table ED.8: Education gender parity
Ratio of girls to boys attending primary education and ratio of girls to boys attending secondary education, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for primary school NAR* | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for secondary school NAR* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 98.0 | 99.3 | 0.99 | 94.2 | 96.1 | 0.98 |
| Balkan | 100.0 | 97.6 | 1.02 | 95.7 | 96.1 | 1.00 |
| Dashoguz | 98.8 | 100.0 | 0.99 | 94.9 | 93.9 | 1.01 |
| Lebap | 100.0 | 99.3 | 1.01 | 97.0 | 94.3 | 1.03 |
| Mary | 99.2 | 98.1 | 1.01 | 94.0 | 95.2 | 0.99 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.9 | 99.1 | 1.00 | 95.7 | 95.7 | 1.00 |
| Rural | 98.9 | 99.1 | 1.00 | 94.9 | 94.5 | 1.00 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 98.9 | 99.1 | 1.00 | 94.7 | 94.9 | 1.00 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 98.7 | 99.1 | 1.00 | 97.5 | 96.3 | 1.01 |
| Higher | 100.0 | 99.2 | 1.01 | 97.1 | 92.6 | 1.05 |
| Mother not in HH | - | - | - | 91.5 | 91.5 | 1.00 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 98.7 | 99.3 | 0.99 | 95.5 | 94.2 | 1.01 |
| Second | 98.0 | 99.6 | 0.98 | 94.1 | 95.1 | 0.99 |
| Middle | 99.5 | 99.0 | 1.01 | 96.0 | 94.5 | 1.02 |
| Fourth | 99.5 | 99.1 | 1.00 | 94.8 | 95.5 | 0.99 |
| Richest | 99.0 | 98.7 | 1.00 | 95.9 | 95.6 | 1.00 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.0 | 99.4 | 1.00 | 95.8 | 95.6 | 1.00 |
| Uzbek | 98.2 | 100.0 | 0.98 | 92.0 | 93.4 | 0.99 |
| Russian | 97.0 | 96.9 | 1.00 | 97.1 | 89.8 | 1.08 |
| Other | 100.0 | 91.6 | 1.09 | 87.1 | 87.5 | 1.00 |
| Total | 98.9 | 99.1 | 1.00 | 95.2 | 94.9 | 1.00 |

* MICS indicator 61; MDG indicator 9

Table ED.9: Adult literacy
Percentage of women aged 15-24 years who are literate, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage literate* | Percentage not known |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Number of women |
| :---: |
| aged |

* MICS indicator 60; MDG indicator 8

Table CP.1: Birth registration
Percent distribution of children aged 0-59 months by whether birth is registered and reasons for non-registration, Turkmenistan, 2006


* MICS indicator 62

Table CP.2: Child discipline
Percentage of children aged 2-14 years according to method of disciplining the child, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of children 2-14 years of age who experience: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Took away privileges, forbade something the child likes or did not allow to leave house | Explained why something (behaviour) was wrong | No discipline or punishment | Mother/care taker believes that the child needs to be physically punished | Number of children aged 2-14 years |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 64.6 | 88.0 | 10.4 | 20.1 | 1733 |
| Female | 59.1 | 84.8 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 1601 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 61.9 | 92.2 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 364 |
| Ahal | 61.9 | 88.2 | 9.3 | 29.4 | 488 |
| Balkan | 54.8 | 78.2 | 17.7 | 13.0 | 260 |
| Dashoguz | 63.7 | 91.9 | 7.6 | 14.4 | 649 |
| Lebap | 76.0 | 82.8 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 745 |
| Mary | 50.2 | 84.4 | 14.4 | 19.8 | 827 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 60.2 | 86.3 | 12.8 | 13.4 | 1366 |
| Rural | 63.1 | 86.5 | 11.2 | 19.1 | 1967 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-4 years | 62.7 | 84.5 | 13.4 | 15.2 | 672 |
| 5-9 years | 62.2 | 87.5 | 11.3 | 19.6 | 1125 |
| 10-14 years | 61.5 | 86.5 | 11.6 | 15.4 | 1537 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 61.2 | 86.1 | 12.1 | 17.8 | 2494 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 64.5 | 87.0 | 11.3 | 14.4 | 587 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 62.9 | 88.3 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 253 |
| Poorest | 66.1 | 84.3 | 12.9 | 17.4 | 659 |
| Second | 63.0 | 87.3 | 11.5 | 17.3 | 653 |
| Middle | 63.2 | 86.2 | 11.5 | 20.4 | 637 |
| Fourth | 58.1 | 84.9 | 13.7 | 17.8 | 628 |
| Richest | 59.6 | 89.0 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 756 |
| Mother's language |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 61.5 | 85.1 | 13.0 | 16.9 | 2821 |
| Uzbek | 61.3 | 94.7 | 3.6 | 14.0 | 248 |
| Russian | 65.4 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 13.0 | 137 |
| Other | 69.0 | 92.7 | 6.7 | 22.7 | 127 |
| Total | 61.9 | 86.4 | 11.9 | 16.8 | 3334 |

Table CP.3: Early marriage
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years in marriage or union before their 15th birthday, percentage of women aged 20-49 years in marriage or union before their 18th birthday, percentage of women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage married before age $15^{*}$ | Number of women aged 15- <br> 49 years | Percentage married before age $18 *$ | Number of women aged 2049 years | Percentage of women 15-19 married/in union** | Number of women aged 15-49 years currently married/in union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 0.6 | 769 | 6.7 | 631 | 5.1 | 138 |
| Ahal | 0.2 | 1040 | 5.4 | 808 | 4.1 | 232 |
| Balkan | 0.4 | 556 | 6.8 | 447 | 5.6 | 109 |
| Dashoguz | 0.4 | 1498 | 7.3 | 1206 | 2.2 | 292 |
| Lebap | 0.4 | 1529 | 8.6 | 1181 | 8.3 | 347 |
| Mary | 0.3 | 1769 | 4.9 | 1415 | 4.6 | 355 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.6 | 2794 | 7.4 | 2249 | 5.8 | 545 |
| Rural | 0.2 | 4366 | 6.1 | 3439 | 4.6 | 927 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 1472 | na | na | 5.0 | 1472 |
| 20-24 | 0.6 | 1341 | 7.3 | 1341 | na | na |
| 25-29 | 0.5 | 1088 | 7.3 | 1088 | na | na |
| 30-34 | 0.5 | 901 | 7.7 | 901 | na | na |
| 35-39 | 0.7 | 843 | 5.5 | 843 | na | na |
| 40-44 | 0.2 | 781 | 5.0 | 781 | na | na |
| 45-49 | 0.4 | 734 | 6.0 | 734 | na | na |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary Secondary | 0.4 | 5890 | 7.3 | 4464 | 5.1 | 1425 |
| vocational/professional (special) | 0.2 | 889 | 5.0 | 847 | 4.7 | 42 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 0.0 | 381 | 1.6 | 377 | (*) | 4 |
| Poorest | 0.5 | 1369 | 8.4 | 1068 | 7.6 | 301 |
| Second | 0.1 | 1409 | 5.8 | 1136 | 3.8 | 273 |
| Middle | 0.3 | 1415 | 5.8 | 1104 | 2.9 | 311 |
| Fourth | 0.3 | 1461 | 5.0 | 1134 | 5.2 | 327 |
| Richest | 0.7 | 1506 | 8.0 | 1247 | 5.7 | 259 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.3 | 6082 | 5.5 | 4781 | 4.5 | 1301 |
| Uzbek | 0.2 | 505 | 10.4 | 427 | 7.6 | 79 |
| Russian | 1.2 | 306 | 13.5 | 269 | (3.6) | 38 |
| Other | 1.6 | 266 | 14.4 | 212 | (15.8) | 54 |
| Total | 0.4 | 7160 | 6.6 | 5688 | 5.0 | 1472 |

[^15]
## Table CP.4: Spousal age difference

Percent distribution of currently married/in union women aged 15-19 and 20-24 years, according to the age difference with their husband or partner, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of currently married/in union women aged 15-19 years whose husband or partner is: |  |  |  |  | Number of women aged 15-19 years currently married/ in union | Percentage of currently married/in union women aged 20-24 years whose husband or partner is: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women aged 2024 years currently married/ in union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Younger | 0-4 years older | 5-9 years older | 10+ years older* | Total |  | Younger | 0-4 years older | 5-9 years older | 10+ years older* | Husband /partner's age unknown | Total |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 7 | 7.5 | 64.3 | 25.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 39 |
| Ahal | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 10 | 15.0 | 64.2 | 19.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 59 |
| Balkan | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 6 | 11.6 | 59.1 | 23.5 | 2.1 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 30 |
| Dashoguz | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 6 | 5.3 | 65.1 | 22.6 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79 |
| Lebap | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 29 | 9.3 | 64.7 | 21.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 130 |
| Mary | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 16 | 12.4 | 64.6 | 20.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 132 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | (1.8) | (62.1) | (32.0) | (4.1) | 100.0 | 32 | 8.1 | 65.4 | 23.0 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 163 |
| Rural | (12.5) | (46.6) | (37.8) | (3.1) | 100.0 | 42 | 11.3 | 63.7 | 20.7 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 306 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary Secondary | 8.1 | 52.7 | 35.5 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 72 | 10.1 | 63.9 | 21.7 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 443 |
| vocational/professional (special) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 2 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 19 |
| Higher <br> Wealth index quintiles | - | - | - | - | - | - | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 7 |
| Poorest | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 23 | 12.0 | 56.2 | 27.1 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 104 |
| Second | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 10 | 13.0 | 65.8 | 16.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 102 |
| Middle | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 9 | 13.1 | 67.4 | 16.2 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 107 |
| Fourth | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 17 | 3.6 | 75.2 | 19.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 79 |
| Richest | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 15 | 6.8 | 57.7 | 29.4 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 76 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 7.9 | 54.7 | 34.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 58 | 10.1 | 65.8 | 20.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 392 |
| Uzbek | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 6 | (7.9) | (60.3) | (29.5) | (2.3) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 47 |
| Russian | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 1 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 12 |
| Other | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 9 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 100.0 | 17 |
| Total | 7.9 | 53.2 | 35.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 74 | 10.2 | 64.3 | 21.5 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 469 |

[^16]Table CP.5: Attitudes toward domestic violence
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife/partner in various circumstances, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who believe a husband is justified in beating his wife/partner: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of women aged 1549 years who have ever been married/in union |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | When she goes out without telling him | When she neglects the children | When she argues with him | When she refuses sex with him | When she burns the food | For any of these reasons* |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 5.9 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 10.0 | 514 |
| Ahal | 20.8 | 30.0 | 57.2 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 61.8 | 648 |
| Balkan | 7.9 | 7.7 | 9.4 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 13.7 | 346 |
| Dashoguz | 24.6 | 22.5 | 42.5 | 6.5 | 20.7 | 50.8 | 846 |
| Lebap | 7.9 | 9.9 | 20.0 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 23.7 | 1015 |
| Mary | 19.6 | 29.1 | 38.1 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 47.0 | 1086 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 10.0 | 13.1 | 17.8 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 23.5 | 1885 |
| Rural | 19.7 | 24.1 | 41.6 | 11.6 | 14.6 | 48.1 | 2571 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.3 | 16.8 | 31.8 | 13.5 | 11.9 | 36.8 | 76 |
| 20-24 | 19.1 | 17.1 | 33.2 | 11.2 | 9.4 | 39.0 | 491 |
| 25-29 | 15.9 | 20.7 | 35.0 | 10.6 | 12.2 | 41.6 | 795 |
| 30-34 | 15.0 | 18.3 | 31.1 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 37.7 | 804 |
| 35-39 | 13.0 | 19.9 | 28.9 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 35.7 | 810 |
| 40-44 | 15.3 | 19.6 | 29.7 | 8.4 | 9.6 | 35.9 | 756 |
| 45-49 | 16.8 | 20.5 | 31.9 | 6.8 | 12.0 | 36.8 | 722 |
| Marital/union status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currently married/in union | 16.4 | 20.6 | 33.4 | 9.1 | 11.2 | 40.0 | 3961 |
| Formerly married/in union <br> Education | 9.2 | 10.4 | 16.7 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 19.7 | 494 |
| None/primary/secondary Secondary | 17.2 | 21.4 | 35.6 | 9.9 | 11.6 | 41.3 | 3409 |
| vocational/professional (special) | 10.7 | 14.0 | 19.9 | 5.0 | 7.2 | 28.5 | 735 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 9.1 | 10.5 | 14.8 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 20.1 | 312 |
| Poorest | 17.9 | 22.7 | 36.7 | 8.4 | 12.0 | 43.0 | 842 |
| Second | 23.9 | 26.6 | 42.6 | 12.7 | 16.6 | 50.3 | 844 |
| Middle | 19.4 | 26.5 | 43.1 | 11.4 | 16.3 | 50.2 | 830 |
| Fourth | 14.0 | 18.2 | 31.6 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 38.1 | 873 |
| Richest | 5.4 | 6.8 | 9.6 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 13.6 | 1067 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 14.7 | 20.6 | 31.7 | 8.6 | 10.9 | 37.4 | 3706 |
| Uzbek | 29.1 | 13.9 | 49.4 | 10.2 | 12.8 | 57.2 | 351 |
| Russian | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 231 |
| Other | 25.4 | 29.9 | 30.0 | 18.0 | 7.3 | 47.4 | 167 |
| Total | 15.6 | 19.4 | 31.5 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 37.7 | 4455 |

* MICS indicator 100

Table HA.1: Knowledge of preventing HIV transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who know the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  |  | Percentage who know transmission can be prevented by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Heard of AIDS | Having only one faithful uninfected sex partner | Using a condom every time | Abstaining from sex | Knows all three ways | Knows at least one way | Doesn't know any way | Number of women |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 67.5 | 44.9 | 33.2 | 20.6 | 13.6 | 52.8 | 47.2 | 769 |
| Ahal | 44.4 | 32.6 | 28.3 | 25.0 | 19.9 | 37.2 | 62.8 | 1040 |
| Balkan | 46.1 | 17.3 | 17.5 | 11.0 | 4.2 | 27.2 | 72.8 | 556 |
| Dashoguz | 66.7 | 36.4 | 22.8 | 36.0 | 13.5 | 48.5 | 51.5 | 1498 |
| Lebap | 71.1 | 40.9 | 31.7 | 25.1 | 14.4 | 50.5 | 49.5 | 1529 |
| Mary | 33.9 | 16.1 | 17.2 | 16.7 | 6.8 | 25.7 | 74.3 | 1769 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 65.4 | 37.2 | 30.7 | 23.3 | 13.5 | 46.9 | 53.1 | 2794 |
| Rural | 48.0 | 27.4 | 21.1 | 24.0 | 11.5 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 4366 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 33.8 | 13.9 | 10.3 | 12.6 | 5.5 | 20.3 | 79.7 | 1472 |
| 20-24 | 49.4 | 26.1 | 18.9 | 20.1 | 9.3 | 34.3 | 65.7 | 1341 |
| 25-29 | 60.9 | 35.1 | 30.0 | 26.4 | 14.7 | 45.2 | 54.8 | 1088 |
| 30-34 | 64.9 | 39.4 | 31.7 | 28.9 | 15.4 | 50.2 | 49.8 | 901 |
| 35-39 | 65.9 | 40.6 | 33.2 | 30.9 | 15.5 | 52.8 | 47.2 | 843 |
| 40-44 | 65.7 | 42.2 | 33.2 | 29.5 | 16.5 | 52.2 | 47.8 | 781 |
| 45-49 | 60.7 | 36.9 | 30.3 | 27.9 | 15.5 | 46.8 | 53.2 | 734 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 47.8 | 25.2 | 19.5 | 20.4 | 9.9 | 33.5 | 66.5 | 5890 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 84.3 | 54.8 | 45.6 | 37.7 | 20.5 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 889 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  | 80.6 | 19.4 | 381 |
| Poorest | 44.7 | 23.0 | 15.6 | 18.9 | 8.0 | 30.5 | 69.5 | 1369 |
| Second | 51.3 | 30.4 | 22.8 | 25.3 | 11.9 | 39.1 | 60.9 | 1409 |
| Middle | 53.4 | 29.5 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 12.5 | 40.3 | 59.7 | 1415 |
| Fourth | 51.2 | 29.3 | 26.1 | 24.6 | 14.3 | 38.5 | 61.5 | 1461 |
| Richest | 72.0 | 42.9 | 34.9 | 23.7 | 14.4 | 52.9 | 47.1 | 1506 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 51.4 | 28.9 | 22.7 | 22.6 | 11.1 | 37.9 | 62.1 | 6082 |
| Uzbek | 80.5 | 41.8 | 31.7 | 32.2 | 19.5 | 52.6 | 47.4 | 505 |
| Russian | 87.6 | 61.6 | 54.8 | 33.8 | 22.9 | 72.9 | 27.1 | 306 |
| Other | 45.0 | 28.1 | 26.3 | 21.3 | 12.4 | 38.2 | 61.8 | 266 |
| Total | 54.8 | 31.2 | 24.8 | 23.7 | 12.3 | 40.5 | 59.5 | 7160 |

Table HA.2: Identifying misconceptions about HIV/AIDS
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who correctly identify misconceptions about HIV/AIDS, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percent who know that: |  |  | Reject two most common misconceptions and know a healthy-looking person can be infected | Percent who know that: |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HIV cannot be transmitted by: |  | A healthylooking person can be infected |  | Option 3: HIV cannot be transmitted by sharing food | Option 4: HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles |  |
|  | Option 1: Supernatural means | Option 2: Mosquito bites |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 62.7 | 46.8 | 40.4 | 28.8 | 47.7 | 61.3 | 769 |
| Ahal | 36.9 | 25.7 | 30.6 | 18.6 | 26.1 | 38.4 | 1040 |
| Balkan | 27.7 | 18.6 | 19.7 | 7.7 | 19.7 | 37.7 | 556 |
| Dashoguz | 44.6 | 34.7 | 35.3 | 14.6 | 27.9 | 59.6 | 1498 |
| Lebap | 56.3 | 43.1 | 31.1 | 19.8 | 34.1 | 60.4 | 1529 |
| Mary | 25.8 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 8.1 | 15.3 | 31.5 | 1769 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 51.5 | 39.2 | 36.4 | 21.9 | 38.2 | 57.8 | 2794 |
| Rural | 35.9 | 25.4 | 24.2 | 11.7 | 20.4 | 42.1 | 4366 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.7 | 17.2 | 15.5 | 7.3 | 13.8 | 28.8 | 1472 |
| 20-24 | 36.8 | 27.1 | 23.8 | 11.3 | 23.2 | 43.2 | 1341 |
| 25-29 | 47.2 | 35.6 | 31.6 | 17.1 | 30.2 | 53.1 | 1088 |
| 30-34 | 50.1 | 36.2 | 37.6 | 20.5 | 34.1 | 57.2 | 901 |
| 35-39 | 53.4 | 38.8 | 39.0 | 23.5 | 37.8 | 59.4 | 843 |
| 40-44 | 49.5 | 36.6 | 38.0 | 21.4 | 32.2 | 58.0 | 781 |
| 45-49 | 49.3 | 35.6 | 29.7 | 17.5 | 32.1 | 55.0 | 734 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/ secondary Secondary | 34.5 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 10.9 | 20.8 | 41.3 | 5890 |
| vocational/professional (special) | 72.5 | 53.6 | 50.8 | 33.2 | 53.0 | 77.7 | 889 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 85.8 | 67.6 | 66.7 | 48.8 | 68.0 | 86.5 | 381 |
| Poorest | 32.1 | 25.9 | 19.1 | 9.9 | 18.2 | 39.2 | 1369 |
| Second | 40.7 | 28.1 | 24.8 | 12.3 | 22.8 | 44.6 | 1409 |
| Middle | 38.8 | 26.0 | 29.0 | 12.9 | 22.4 | 46.1 | 1415 |
| Fourth | 37.9 | 26.7 | 29.1 | 15.4 | 27.5 | 45.0 | 1461 |
| Richest | 59.1 | 46.1 | 41.6 | 27.0 | 44.4 | 64.9 | 1506 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 38.7 | 28.0 | 26.0 | 13.8 | 24.3 | 44.9 | 6082 |
| Uzbek | 59.0 | 45.6 | 41.4 | 17.8 | 39.0 | 71.5 | 505 |
| Russian | 81.5 | 63.5 | 65.3 | 47.1 | 69.5 | 84.2 | 306 |
| Other | 38.2 | 27.6 | 30.4 | 19.0 | 26.3 | 39.5 | 266 |
| Total | 42.0 | 30.8 | 29.0 | 15.7 | 27.3 | 48.2 | 7160 |

Table HA.3: Comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who have comprehensive knowledge of HIV/AIDS transmission, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Know 2 ways to prevent HIV transmission | Correctly identify 3 misconceptions about HIV transmission | Have comprehensive knowledge (identify 2 prevention methods and 3 misconceptions)* | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 25.1 | 18.6 | 15.1 | 1040 |
| Balkan | 11.0 | 7.7 | 3.4 | 556 |
| Dashoguz | 18.5 | 14.6 | 8.0 | 1498 |
| Lebap | 26.1 | 19.8 | 9.7 | 1529 |
| Mary | 11.0 | 8.1 | 3.5 | 1769 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.3 | 21.9 | 12.1 | 2794 |
| Rural | 16.8 | 11.7 | 6.8 | 4366 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 4.0 | 1472 |
| 20-24 | 14.9 | 11.3 | 5.7 | 1341 |
| 15-24 | 11.4 | 9.2 | 4.8 | 2813 |
| 25-29 | 24.1 | 17.1 | 10.2 | 1088 |
| 30-34 | 25.0 | 20.5 | 11.2 | 901 |
| 35-39 | 25.7 | 23.5 | 13.3 | 843 |
| 40-44 | 26.4 | 21.4 | 12.7 | 781 |
| 45-49 | 24.5 | 17.5 | 10.4 | 734 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 15.3 | 10.9 | 6.0 | 5890 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 36.2 | 33.2 | 18.3 | 889 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 49.5 | 48.8 | 31.6 | 381 |
| Poorest | 12.6 | 9.9 | 5.1 | 1369 |
| Second | 18.3 | 12.3 | 6.1 | 1409 |
| Middle | 17.8 | 12.9 | 7.1 | 1415 |
| Fourth | 20.6 | 15.4 | 10.5 | 1461 |
| Richest | 28.5 | 27.0 | 14.9 | 1506 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 17.9 | 13.8 | 7.8 | 6082 |
| Uzbek | 25.4 | 17.8 | 9.7 | 505 |
| Russian | 46.9 | 47.1 | 28.4 | 306 |
| Other | 18.5 | 19.0 | 10.0 | 266 |
| Total | 19.7 | 15.7 | 8.9 | 7160 |

* MICS indicator 82; MDG indicator 19b

Table HA.4: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Know AIDS can be transmitted from mother to child | Percent who know AIDS can be transmitted: |  |  |  | Did not know any specific way | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | During pregnancy | At delivery | Through breastmilk | All three ways* |  |  |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 54.7 | 47.7 | 40.7 | 42.5 | 31.7 | 12.8 | 769 |
| Ahal | 37.2 | 27.2 | 29.3 | 21.8 | 10.6 | 7.1 | 1040 |
| Balkan | 32.3 | 29.5 | 29.6 | 26.2 | 24.0 | 13.8 | 556 |
| Dashoguz | 45.1 | 28.4 | 14.9 | 32.1 | 10.3 | 21.7 | 1498 |
| Lebap | 60.1 | 58.6 | 48.6 | 38.7 | 33.2 | 11.0 | 1529 |
| Mary | 26.3 | 24.4 | 17.9 | 17.2 | 13.3 | 7.6 | 1769 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 50.4 | 43.5 | 37.7 | 36.2 | 26.8 | 14.9 | 2794 |
| Rural | 37.5 | 30.9 | 23.1 | 24.4 | 14.6 | 10.5 | 4366 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 21.2 | 16.5 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 8.1 | 12.6 | 1472 |
| 20-24 | 34.5 | 28.8 | 22.5 | 21.0 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 1341 |
| 25-29 | 49.1 | 41.3 | 33.1 | 34.5 | 22.9 | 11.8 | 1088 |
| 30-34 | 53.0 | 44.9 | 34.9 | 38.1 | 24.4 | 11.9 | 901 |
| 35-39 | 55.2 | 48.1 | 41.1 | 38.4 | 28.4 | 10.7 | 843 |
| 40-44 | 53.5 | 44.1 | 37.8 | 37.7 | 24.6 | 12.2 | 781 |
| 45-49 | 51.1 | 45.1 | 34.2 | 35.4 | 24.4 | 9.6 | 734 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary | 35.2 | 29.1 | 22.6 | 23.2 | 14.5 | 12.6 | 5890 |
| Secondary vocational/professional (special) | 72.2 | 63.7 | 55.4 | 51.8 | 40.2 | 12.2 | 889 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | 86.5 | 74.6 | 63.2 | 64.8 | 45.8 | 6.5 | 381 |
| Poorest | 33.6 | 29.6 | 22.6 | 20.6 | 14.5 | 11.0 | 1369 |
| Second | 39.9 | 32.8 | 23.1 | 25.6 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 1409 |
| Middle | 40.5 | 32.4 | 24.0 | 27.2 | 15.8 | 12.8 | 1415 |
| Fourth | 38.0 | 31.1 | 29.0 | 27.4 | 18.5 | 13.2 | 1461 |
| Richest | 59.4 | 52.1 | 44.2 | 42.9 | 32.3 | 12.6 | 1506 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 39.4 | 33.7 | 27.7 | 27.1 | 18.6 | 12.0 | 6082 |
| Uzbek | 62.9 | 43.7 | 24.1 | 39.1 | 14.5 | 17.7 | 505 |
| Russian | 77.5 | 68.2 | 62.6 | 53.5 | 43.0 | 10.1 | 306 |
| Other | 34.8 | 31.3 | 25.5 | 24.4 | 17.6 | 10.2 | 266 |
| Total | 42.5 | 35.8 | 28.8 | 29.0 | 19.3 | 12.2 | 7160 |

[^17]Table HA.5: Attitudes toward people living with HIV/AIDS
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS and who express a discriminatory attitude towards people living with HIV/AIDS, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percent of women who: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Would not care for a family member who was sick with AIDS | If a family member had HIV would want to keep it a secret | Believe that a teacher with HIV should not be allowed to work | Would not buy food from a person with HIV/AIDS | Agree with at least one discriminatory statement | Agree with none of the discriminatory statements* | Number of women who have heard of AIDS |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 7.1 | 70.3 | 42.0 | 68.9 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 519 |
| Ahal | 11.7 | 50.3 | 48.7 | 74.7 | 90.3 | 9.7 | 461 |
| Balkan | 25.3 | 45.5 | 52.3 | 73.3 | 88.7 | 11.3 | 256 |
| Dashoguz | 15.6 | 46.7 | 77.8 | 90.9 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 999 |
| Lebap | 9.5 | 60.5 | 61.5 | 79.2 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 1087 |
| Mary | 27.2 | 37.7 | 55.0 | 87.1 | 92.7 | 7.3 | 599 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 15.0 | 52.5 | 55.5 | 76.0 | 92.3 | 7.7 | 1827 |
| Rural | 14.5 | 52.7 | 63.9 | 85.6 | 95.6 | 4.4 | 2095 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 14.5 | 55.0 | 56.5 | 78.9 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 498 |
| 20-24 | 14.2 | 54.3 | 58.9 | 80.5 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 662 |
| 25-29 | 13.4 | 54.8 | 60.7 | 81.4 | 93.8 | 6.2 | 663 |
| 30-34 | 14.5 | 54.3 | 61.6 | 83.0 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 585 |
| 35-39 | 17.1 | 48.6 | 62.0 | 81.4 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 555 |
| 40-44 | 15.5 | 49.9 | 62.0 | 81.5 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 513 |
| 45-49 | 14.4 | 50.0 | 57.3 | 80.9 | 91.9 | 8.1 | 446 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary Secondary | 14.4 | 51.0 | 62.1 | 82.2 | 94.0 | 6.0 | 2818 |
| vocational/professional (special) | 16.9 | 55.4 | 57.1 | 78.6 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 750 |
| Higher <br> Wealth index quintiles | 13.0 | 59.3 | 48.8 | 77.8 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 354 |
| Poorest | 13.7 | 47.5 | 65.8 | 84.2 | 93.4 | 6.6 | 611 |
| Second | 11.9 | 54.3 | 66.2 | 86.1 | 96.2 | 3.8 | 723 |
| Middle | 17.5 | 50.4 | 64.9 | 85.1 | 96.2 | 3.8 | 755 |
| Fourth | 20.8 | 47.3 | 63.5 | 83.2 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 748 |
| Richest | 11.0 | 59.6 | 46.7 | 71.7 | 91.4 | 8.6 | 1085 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 15.6 | 49.6 | 59.8 | 82.1 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 3127 |
| Uzbek | 15.7 | 60.3 | 84.3 | 90.7 | 98.4 | 1.6 | 407 |
| Russian | 3.7 | 71.7 | 30.3 | 60.4 | 89.4 | 10.6 | 268 |
| Other | 13.5 | 61.6 | 47.7 | 69.7 | 89.9 | 10.1 | 120 |
| Total | 14.7 | 52.6 | 60.0 | 81.1 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 3922 |

* MICS indicator 86

Table HA.6: Knowledge of a facility for HIV testing
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of women who have been tested and of those tested, percentage who have been told the result, Turkmenistan, 2006
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} \\ & & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { women who } \\ \text { have been } \\ \text { Know a place } \\ \text { to get tested* }\end{array} \\ \text { tested for HIV }\end{array}\right]$

[^18]Table HA.7: HIV testing and counselling coverage during antenatal care
Percentage of women aged 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceding the Survey who were offered HIV testing and counselling with their antenatal care, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percent of women who: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received antenatal care from a health care professional for last pregnancy | Were provided information about HIV prevention during ANC visit* | Were tested for HIV at ANC visit | Received results of HIV test at ANC visit** | Number of women who gave birth in the 2 years preceding the Survey |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 100.0 | 31.3 | 37.7 | 24.2 | 72 |
| Ahal | 100.0 | 31.2 | 20.6 | 16.5 | 108 |
| Balkan | 95.2 | 18.1 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 71 |
| Dashoguz | 99.4 | 28.8 | 12.8 | 10.4 | 172 |
| Lebap | 99.2 | 55.1 | 54.7 | 31.6 | 229 |
| Mary | 99.4 | 29.0 | 30.9 | 28.7 | 218 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.8 | 33.8 | 31.8 | 20.3 | 327 |
| Rural | 99.3 | 36.3 | 30.7 | 23.3 | 543 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | (100.0) | (26.2) | (26.3) | (16.7) | 29 |
| 20-24 | 99.8 | 34.5 | 28.6 | 20.2 | 281 |
| 25-29 | 99.2 | 37.0 | 33.6 | 24.6 | 302 |
| 30-34 | 98.7 | 32.6 | 31.7 | 25.3 | 161 |
| 35-49 | 97.4 | 40.3 | 30.9 | 16.7 | 97 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None/primary/secondary Secondary | 99.4 | 32.2 | 28.4 | 20.6 | 745 |
| vocational/professional (special) | 96.5 | 51.3 | 43.7 | 29.6 | 86 |
| Higher Wealth index quintiles | (100.0) | (60.8) | (54.6) | (35.6) | 38 |
| Poorest | 98.0 | 36.5 | 33.9 | 21.2 | 183 |
| Second | 100.0 | 33.4 | 25.5 | 17.6 | 182 |
| Middle | 100.0 | 35.3 | 28.5 | 22.9 | 176 |
| Fourth | 99.7 | 36.5 | 29.6 | 22.5 | 178 |
| Richest | 97.6 | 35.2 | 39.2 | 27.7 | 151 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 99.1 | 37.5 | 34.4 | 24.4 | 728 |
| Uzbek | 98.8 | 26.8 | 5.4 | 3.5 | 88 |
| Russian | (100.0) | (25.7) | (43.2) | (33.5) | 21 |
| Other | (100.0) | (18.0) | (19.7) | (15.5) | 32 |
| Total | 99.1 | 35.4 | 31.1 | 22.2 | 869 |

[^19]Table HA.8: Children's living arrangements and orphanhood
Percent distribution of children aged 0-17 years according to living arrangements, percentage of children aged 0-17 years in households not living with a biological parent, and percentage of children who are orphans, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Living with both parents | Living with neither parent |  |  |  | Living with mother only |  | Living with father only |  | Impossible to determine | Total | Not living with a biological parent* | One or both parents dead** | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Only father alive | Only mother alive | Both are alive | Both are dead | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother dead |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 86.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 4950 |
| Female | 86.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 4743 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ashgabat city | 76.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 12.2 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 8.4 | 881 |
| Ahal | 88.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 7.3 | 1462 |
| Balkan | 86.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 5.1 | 679 |
| Dashoguz | 87.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 2051 |
| Lebap | 86.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 5.4 | 2251 |
| Mary | 88.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 2369 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 79.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 10.4 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 7.3 | 3523 |
| Rural | 90.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 5.6 | 6170 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 years | 93.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 4.3 | . 9 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2178 |
| 5-9 years | 87.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 5.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 2491 |
| 10-14 years | 85.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 7.1 | 3145 |
| 15-17 years | 80.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 12.0 | 1879 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 90.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 2102 |
| Second | 89.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1.4 | 6.6 | 1937 |
| Middle | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 5.4 | 1991 |
| Fourth | 87.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 6.5 | 1898 |
| Richest | 74.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 14.7 | 6.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 1765 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 87.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 6.3 | 8316 |
| Uzbek | 88.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.9 | 3.6 | 773 |
| Russian | 45.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 33.9 | 13.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 1.6 | 14.6 | 254 |
| Other | 80.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 0.7 | 5.5 | 350 |
| Total | 86.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 9693 |

* MICS indicator 78
** MICS indicator 75

Table HA.9: Orphaned children school attendance
School attendance by orphaned status among children aged 10-14 years, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Percent of children whose mother and father have died | School attendance rate of children whose mother and father have died | Percent of children of whom both parents are alive and child is living with at least one parent | School attendance rate of children of whom both parents are alive and child is living with at least one parent | Orphans to nonorphans school attendance ratio* | Total number of children aged 1014 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 0.5 | 100.0 | 91.4 | 99.4 | 1.01 | 1600 |
| Female | 0.6 | 100.0 | 91.8 | 99.8 | 1.00 | 1545 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ahal | 0.8 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 509 |
| Balkan | - | - | 93.4 | 100.0 | - | 210 |
| Dashoguz | 1.3 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 656 |
| Lebap | 0.2 | 100.0 | 93.0 | 99.6 | 1.00 | 710 |
| Mary | 0.2 | 100.0 | 91.4 | 98.8 | 1.01 | 766 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.2 | 100.0 | 90.1 | 99.9 | 1.00 | 1180 |
| Rural | 0.7 | 100.0 | 92.5 | 99.4 | 1.01 | 1965 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.7 | 100.0 | 93.9 | 99.3 | 1.01 | 650 |
| Second | 0.5 | 100.0 | 91.7 | 99.7 | 1.00 | 646 |
| Middle | 0.7 | 100.0 | 91.0 | 99.5 | 1.00 | 611 |
| Fourth | 0.4 | 100.0 | 92.4 | 99.5 | 1.01 | 647 |
| Richest | 0.3 | 100.0 | 88.7 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 590 |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkmen | 0.5 | 100.0 | 91.8 | 99.8 | 1.00 | 2705 |
| Uzbek | 0.9 | 100.0 | 92.8 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 240 |
| Russian | 0.8 | 100.0 | 82.6 | 100.0 | 1.00 | 75 |
| Other | - | - | 89.3 | 94.0 | - | 125 |
| Total | 0.5 | 100.0 | 91.5 | 99.6 | 1.00 | 3145 |

[^20]
## Appendix A. Sample Design

The major features of sample design are described in this appendix. Sample design features include target sample size, sample allocation, sample frame and listing, choice of domains, sampling stages, stratification, and the calculation of sample weights.

The primary objective of the sample design for the Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey was to produce statistically reliable estimates of most indicators, at the national level, for urban and rural areas, and for the six regions of the country: the capital city of Ashgabat and the velayats (provinces) of Ahal, Balkan, Dashoguz, Lebap and Mary. Urban and rural areas in each of the six regions were defined as the sampling domains.

A multi-stage, stratified cluster sampling approach was used for the selection of the Survey sample.

## Sample Size and Sample Allocation

The target sample size for the Turkmenistan MICS was calculated as 5,208 households. For the calculation of the sample size, the key indicator used was the underweight prevalence among children aged 18-29 months who were covered by immunization. The following formula was used to estimate the required sample size for these indicators:

$$
n=\frac{[4(r)(1-r)(f)(k)]}{\left[(0.06 r)^{2}(p)\left(n_{h}\right)\right]}
$$

where

- $n$ is the required sample size, expressed as number of households
- 4 is a factor to achieve the 95 percent level of confidence
- $r$ is the predicted or anticipated prevalence (coverage rate) of the indicator
- $\quad k$ is the factor necessary to raise the sample size by 10 percent for nonresponse
- $\quad f$ is the shortened symbol for deff (design effect)
- $0.06 r$ is the margin of error to be tolerated for a region
- $\quad p$ is the proportion of the total population upon which the indicator $r$ is based
- $\quad n_{h}$ is the average household size.

For the calculation, $r$ (immunization coverage) was assumed to be 94 percent. The value of deff (design effect) was taken as 1.5 based on estimates from previous surveys, $p$ (percentage of children aged 18-29 months in the total population) was taken as 2.5 percent, and $n_{h}$ (average household size) was taken as 5.3 (population census 1995).

The resulting number of households from this exercise was 840 households, which is the sample size needed in each region with the account for non-response ( $k$ ) equal to 1.05. The number of households for Ashgabat city was 1,008, with the account for non-response (k) equal to 1.25 . The average cluster size in the Turkmenistan MICS was determined as 20 households in the five regions (Ahal, Balkan, Dashoguz, Lebap and Mary velayats) and 24 households in Ashgabat, based on a number of considerations, including the budget available and the time needed per team to complete one cluster.

Dividing the total number of households by the number of households per cluster, the number of clusters needed in each region was calculated. Therefore, 42 clusters were allocated to each region, with the final sample size calculated at 5,208 households: in the five regions (Ahal, Balkan, Dashoguz, Lebap and Mary velayats) - 4,200 households (42 clusters * 5 regions * 20 households per cluster), and in Ashgabat city - 1,008 households (42 clusters * 24 households per cluster). In each region, the clusters (primary sampling units) were distributed to urban and rural domains, proportional to the size of urban and rural populations in that region. The table below shows the allocation of clusters to the sampling domains.

Table SD.1: Allocation of Sample Clusters (Primary Sampling Units) to Sampling Domains

| Region | Number of Clusters |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Urban | Rural |
| Ashgabat city | 42 | 42 | - |
| Ahal | 42 | 15 | 27 |
| Balkan | 42 | 34 | 8 |
| Dashoguz | 42 | 12 | 30 |
| Lebap | 42 | 17 | 25 |
| Mary | 42 | 10 | 32 |
| Total | 252 | 130 | 122 |

## Sampling Frame and Selection of Clusters

The 2005 family doctors' area lists were used for the selection of clusters. The family doctors' areas were defined as primary sampling units (PSUs) and were selected from each of the sampling domains by using systematic pps (probability proportional to size) sampling procedures, based on the estimated sizes of the family doctor's areas. The first stage of sampling was thus completed by selecting the required number of the family doctors' areas from each of the six regions by urban and rural areas separately.

## Listing Activities

Household lists in all selected family doctors' areas were updated before the selection of households. For this purpose listing teams were formed, who visited each enumeration area and listed the occupied households. This work was completed in each region in April-May 2006.

## Selection of Households

Lists of households were prepared by the listing teams in the field for each enumeration area. The households were then sequentially numbered from 1 to $n$ (the total number of households in each enumeration area) at the National Institute of State Statistics and Information of Turkmenistan (Turkmenmillihasabat), where selection of 20 households in each enumeration area ( 24 households for Ashgabat) was carried out using systematic selection procedures.

## Calculation of Sample Weights

The Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey sample is not self-weighted. Essentially, by allocating equal numbers of households to each of the regions, different sampling fractions were used in each region because the size of the regions varied. For this reason, sample weights were calculated, and these were used in the subsequent analyses of the survey data.

The major component of the weight is the reciprocal of the sampling fraction employed in selecting the number of sample households in that particular sampling domain:

$$
W_{h}=1 / f_{h}
$$

The term $f h$, the sampling fraction at the $h$-th stratum, is the product of probabilities of selection at every stage in each sampling domain:

$$
f_{h}=P_{1 h} * P_{2 h} * P_{3 h}
$$

where $P_{i h}$ is the probability of selection of the sampling unit in the $i$-th stage for the $h$-th sampling domain.

Because the estimated numbers of households per enumeration area before first-stage selection (selection of primary sampling units) and the updated number of households per enumeration area were different, individual sampling fractions for households in each enumeration area (cluster) were calculated. The sampling fractions for households in each enumeration area (cluster) therefore included the probability of selection of the enumeration area in that particular sampling domain and the probability of selection of a household in the sample enumeration area (cluster).

A second component that must be taken into account in the calculation of sample weights is the level of non-response for the household and individual interviews. The adjustment for household non-response is equal to the inverse value of:

$$
R R=\text { Number of interviewed households / Number of occupied households listed }
$$

After the completion of fieldwork, response rates were calculated for each sampling domain. These were used to adjust the sample weights calculated for each cluster. Response rates in the Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey are shown in Table HH. 1 in this report.

Similarly, the adjustment for non-response at the individual level (women and under-5 children) is equal to the inverse value of:

```
RR = Completed women's (or under-5's) questionnaires / Eligible women (or under-5s)
```

Numbers of eligible women and under-5 children were obtained from the household listing in the Household Questionnaire in households where interviews were completed.

The unadjusted weights for the households were calculated by multiplying the above factors for each enumeration area. These weights were then normalized (or standardized), one purpose of which is to make the sum of the interviewed sample units equal the total sample size at the national level. Normalization is performed by multiplying the aforementioned unadjusted weights by the ratio of the number of completed households to the total unadjusted weighted number of households. A similar standardization procedure was followed in obtaining standardized weights for the women's and under-5s questionnaires. Adjusted (normalized) weights varied between 0.5 and 1.9 in the 252 enumeration areas (clusters).

Sample weights were appended to all data sets and analyses were performed by weighting each household, woman or under-5 with these sample weights.

## Appendix B. List of Personnel Involved in the Survey

Project Director<br>Amanniyazova L.D.

# The First Deputy Director of the National Institute of State Statistics and Information of Turkmenistan (Turkmenmillihasabat), Dr. of Economic Science, Honoured Economist of Turkmenistan 

Technical Coordinator<br>Magerramova R.<br>Head of the Social Statistics Department, Turkmenmillihasabat

## Sampling Specialist

Agaeva G.A.

## Data Processing Specialist

Krzhivitskaya L.L.

## Regional/Field Coordinators

Jennelov M.
Rejepov M.
Ataev A.
Chajekov G.
Guvanjov H.
Akgaev M.

Ashgabat city
Ahal velayat
Balkan velayat
Dashoguz velayat
Lebap velayat
Mary velayat

## Appendix C. Estimates of Sampling Errors

The sample of respondents selected in the Turkmenistan Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey is only one of the samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. The extent of variability is not known exactly, but can be estimated statistically from the Survey results.

The following sampling error measures are presented in this appendix for each of the selected indicators:

- Standard error (se): Sampling errors are usually measured in terms of standard errors for particular indicators (means, proportions, etc). Standard error is the square root of the variance. The Taylor linearization method is used for the estimation of standard errors.
- Coefficient of variation $(\mathrm{se} / r)$ is the ratio of the standard error to the value of the indicator
- Design effect (deff) is the ratio of the actual variance of an indicator, under the sampling method used in the survey, to the variance calculated under the assumption of simple random sampling. The square root of the design effect (deft) is used to show the efficiency of the sample design. A deft value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a deft value above 1.0 indicates the increase in the standard error due to the use of a more complex sample design.
- Confidence limits are calculated to show the interval within which the true value for the population can be reasonably assumed to fall. For any given statistic calculated from the Survey, the value of that statistic will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error ( $p+2$. se or $p-2$.se) of the statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

For the calculation of sampling errors from MICS data, SPSS Version 14 Complex Samples module has been used. The results are shown in the tables that follow. In addition to the sampling error measures described above, the tables also include weighted and unweighted counts of denominators for each indicator.

Sampling errors are calculated for indicators of primary interest, for the national total, for the regions, and for urban and rural areas. Three of the selected indicators are based on households, eight are based on household members, 13 are based on women, and 15 are based on children under 5. All indicators presented here are in the form of proportions. Table SE. 1 shows the list of indicators for which sampling errors are calculated, including the base population (denominator) for each indicator. Tables SE. 2 to SE. 10 show the calculated sampling errors.

Table SE.1: Indicators selected for sampling error calculations
List of indicators selected for sampling error calculations, and base populations (denominators) for each indicator, Turkmenistan, 2006

| MICS Indicator |  | Base Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |
| 41 | lodized salt consumption | All households |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |
| 11 | Use of improved drinking water sources | All household members |
| 12 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | All household members |
| 55 | Net primary school attendance rate | Children of primary school age |
| 56 | Net secondary school attendance rate | Children of secondary school age |
| 59 | Primary completion rate | Children of primary school completion age |
| 75 | Prevalence of orphans | Children aged under 18 |
| WOMEN |  |  |
| 4 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years |
| 20 | Antenatal care | Women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years |
| 21 | Contraceptive prevalence | Women aged 15-49 currently married/in union |
| 60 | Adult literacy | Women aged 15-24 years |
| 67 | Marriage before age 18 | Women aged 20-49 years |
| 82 | Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | Women aged 15-24 years |
| 86 | Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | Women aged 15-49 years |
| 88 | Women who have been tested for HIV | Women aged 15-49 years |
| 89 | Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | Women aged 15-49 years |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |
| 6 | Underweight prevalence | Children under age 5 |
| 25 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | Children aged 18-29 months |
| 26 | Polio immunization coverage | Children aged 18-29 months |
| 27 | Immunization coverage for DPT | Children aged 18-29 months |
| 28 | Measles immunization coverage | Children aged 18-29 months |
| 31 | Fully immunized children | Children aged 18-29 months |
| - | Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | Children under age 5 |
| 22 | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | Children under age 5 with suspected pneumonia in the last 2 weeks |
| - | Diarrhoea in last two weeks | Children under age 5 |
| 35 | Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | Children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks |
| 46 | Support for learning | Children under age 5 |
| 62 | Birth registration | Children under age 5 |

Table SE.2. Sampling errors: Total sample
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweight ed count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.865 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 3.532 | 1.879 | 5030 | 5024 | 0.847 | 0.883 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.708 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 27.442 | 5.239 | 25364 | 24915 | 0.678 | 0.738 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.987 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 33.537 | 5.791 | 25364 | 24915 | 0.978 | 0.995 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.990 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.742 | 0.861 | 1597 | 1540 | 0.986 | 0.995 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.951 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.719 | 1.311 | 3817 | 3727 | 0.941 | 0.960 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 0.992 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 1.067 | 1.033 | 601 | 586 | 0.984 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.062 | 0.004 | 0.060 | 2.288 | 1.513 | 9693 | 9390 | 0.055 | 0.070 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0.995 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 1.402 | 1.184 | 869 | 846 | 0.989 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.991 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 1.631 | 1.277 | 869 | 846 | 0.983 | 0.999 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.480 | 0.010 | 0.021 | 1.583 | 1.258 | 3961 | 3933 | 0.460 | 0.500 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 0.992 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 2.028 | 1.424 | 2813 | 2757 | 0.987 | 0.997 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.066 | 0.005 | 0.071 | 2.031 | 1.425 | 5688 | 5704 | 0.057 | 0.076 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.089 | 0.009 | 0.099 | 6.894 | 2.626 | 7160 | 7160 | 0.071 | 0.106 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.059 | 0.006 | 0.104 | 2.673 | 1.635 | 3922 | 3928 | 0.047 | 0.071 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.122 | 0.008 | 0.069 | 4.680 | 2.163 | 7160 | 7160 | 0.105 | 0.139 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.193 | 0.009 | 0.049 | 4.089 | 2.022 | 7160 | 7160 | 0.175 | 0.212 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.110 | 0.009 | 0.081 | 1.638 | 1.280 | 2009 | 2007 | 0.092 | 0.128 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.998 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.648 | 0.805 | 413 | 418 | 0.995 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.991 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.767 | 0.876 | 413 | 418 | 0.982 | 0.999 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.999 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.022 | 411 | 415 | 0.999 | 0.999 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.986 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 412 | 416 | 0.973 | 0.998 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.973 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.777 | 0.882 | 411 | 416 | 0.959 | 0.987 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.013 | 0.004 | 0.291 | 2.365 | 1.538 | 2075 | 2075 | 0.006 | 0.021 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.504 | 0.030 | 0.059 | 0.098 | 0.313 | 28 | 29 | 0.445 | 0.563 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.055 | 0.006 | 0.113 | 1.541 | 1.241 | 2075 | 2075 | 0.042 | 0.067 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.252 | 0.020 | 0.078 | 0.232 | 0.482 | 113 | 114 | 0.213 | 0.291 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.795 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 1.809 | 1.345 | 2075 | 2075 | 0.771 | 0.819 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.955 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 1.817 | 1.348 | 2075 | 2075 | 0.942 | 0.967 |

Table SE.3. Sampling errors: Urban areas
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweigh ted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 \mathrm{se}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.835 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 2.746 | 1.657 | 2280 | 2596 | 0.811 | 0.859 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.911 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 22.576 | 4.751 | 9676 | 11037 | 0.885 | 0.937 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.998 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 4.249 | 2.061 | 9676 | 11037 | 0.997 | 1.000 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.990 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.978 | 0.989 | 566 | 632 | 0.983 | 0.998 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.957 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 1.124 | 1.060 | 1423 | 1589 | 0.947 | 0.968 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 0.994 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.785 | 0.886 | 234 | 256 | 0.985 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.073 | 0.006 | 0.084 | 2.201 | 1.484 | 3523 | 3945 | 0.061 | 0.085 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 327 | 368 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.988 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 1.538 | 1.240 | 327 | 368 | 0.973 | 1.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.469 | 0.014 | 0.031 | 1.453 | 1.205 | 1529 | 1760 | 0.441 | 0.498 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 0.991 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 1.441 | 1.200 | 955 | 1108 | 0.984 | 0.998 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.074 | 0.007 | 0.088 | 1.622 | 1.274 | 2249 | 2609 | 0.061 | 0.087 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.121 | 0.013 | 0.107 | 5.124 | 2.264 | 2794 | 3237 | 0.095 | 0.147 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.077 | 0.009 | 0.123 | 2.587 | 1.609 | 1827 | 2045 | 0.058 | 0.096 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.132 | 0.011 | 0.084 | 3.510 | 1.874 | 2794 | 3237 | 0.110 | 0.154 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.268 | 0.015 | 0.057 | 3.835 | 1.958 | 2794 | 3237 | 0.237 | 0.298 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.094 | 0.011 | 0.120 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 694 | 811 | 0.071 | 0.116 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.996 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.740 | 0.860 | 165 | 192 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.989 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.531 | 0.729 | 165 | 192 | 0.978 | 1.000 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.997 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.029 | 164 | 190 | 0.997 | 0.997 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.977 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 1.469 | 1.212 | 164 | 190 | 0.950 | 1.000 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.959 | 0.015 | 0.016 | 1.101 | 1.049 | 165 | 191 | 0.929 | 0.989 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.378 | 1.034 | 1.017 | 718 | 843 | 0.002 | 0.015 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.636 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6 | 8 | 0.636 | 0.636 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.057 | 0.010 | 0.182 | 1.685 | 1.298 | 718 | 843 | 0.036 | 0.077 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.314 | 0.028 | 0.090 | 0.174 | 0.417 | 41 | 48 | 0.258 | 0.371 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.791 | 0.018 | 0.023 | 1.652 | 1.285 | 718 | 843 | 0.755 | 0.827 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.958 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 718 | 843 | 0.945 | 0.972 |

Table SE.4. Sampling errors: Rural areas
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweigh ted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.890 | 0.013 | 0.015 | 4.313 | 2.077 | 2750 | 2428 | 0.864 | 0.916 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.582 | 0.023 | 0.039 | 30.153 | 5.491 | 15688 | 13878 | 0.536 | 0.628 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.980 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 31.918 | 5.650 | 15688 | 13878 | 0.966 | 0.993 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.990 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.625 | 0.791 | 1031 | 908 | 0.985 | 0.995 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.947 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 1.913 | 1.383 | 2394 | 2138 | 0.933 | 0.960 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 0.990 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 1.123 | 1.060 | 367 | 330 | 0.979 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.056 | 0.005 | 0.085 | 2.338 | 1.529 | 6170 | 5445 | 0.047 | 0.066 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0.992 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.243 | 1.115 | 543 | 478 | 0.983 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.993 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.775 | 1.332 | 543 | 478 | 0.983 | 1.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.487 | 0.014 | 0.028 | 1.610 | 1.269 | 2432 | 2173 | 0.459 | 0.514 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 0.993 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 2.307 | 1.519 | 1858 | 1649 | 0.986 | 0.999 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.061 | 0.006 | 0.106 | 2.266 | 1.505 | 3439 | 3095 | 0.048 | 0.074 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.068 | 0.012 | 0.175 | 8.799 | 2.966 | 4366 | 3923 | 0.044 | 0.092 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.044 | 0.008 | 0.187 | 3.002 | 1.733 | 2095 | 1883 | 0.027 | 0.060 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.116 | 0.012 | 0.102 | 5.312 | 2.305 | 4366 | 3923 | 0.092 | 0.139 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.146 | 0.012 | 0.085 | 4.820 | 2.195 | 4366 | 3923 | 0.121 | 0.171 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.119 | 0.012 | 0.103 | 1.711 | 1.308 | 1315 | 1196 | 0.095 | 0.144 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 248 | 226 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.992 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.937 | 0.968 | 248 | 226 | 0.980 | 1.000 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 247 | 225 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.991 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.962 | 0.981 | 248 | 226 | 0.979 | 1.000 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.983 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.484 | 0.696 | 247 | 225 | 0.971 | 0.995 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.016 | 0.006 | 0.358 | 2.536 | 1.592 | 1357 | 1232 | 0.004 | 0.027 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.466 | 0.038 | 0.081 | 0.115 | 0.340 | 21 | 21 | 0.390 | 0.542 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.054 | 0.008 | 0.144 | 1.450 | 1.204 | 1357 | 1232 | 0.038 | 0.069 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.217 | 0.028 | 0.130 | 0.304 | 0.551 | 73 | 66 | 0.161 | 0.273 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.797 | 0.016 | 0.019 | 1.837 | 1.355 | 1357 | 1232 | 0.766 | 0.828 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.953 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 2.062 | 1.436 | 1357 | 1232 | 0.936 | 0.970 |

Table SE.5. Sampling errors: Ashgabat City
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweigh ted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 \mathrm{se}$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.835 | 0.023 | 0.027 | 3.410 | 1.847 | 655 | 920 | 0.789 | 0.880 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.950 | 0.016 | 0.017 | 19.784 | 4.448 | 2639 | 3699 | 0.918 | 0.982 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 2639 | 3699 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.986 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.989 | 0.995 | 157 | 220 | 0.971 | 1.000 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.959 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.865 | 0.930 | 359 | 499 | 0.942 | 0.975 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 0.985 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 1.071 | 1.035 | 56 | 76 | 0.955 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.084 | 0.013 | 0.160 | 2.860 | 1.691 | 881 | 1228 | 0.057 | 0.110 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 72 | 103 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 72 | 103 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.537 | 0.026 | 0.048 | 1.511 | 1.229 | 397 | 564 | 0.486 | 0.589 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 0.995 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.739 | 1.319 | 246 | 350 | 0.985 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.067 | 0.008 | 0.123 | 0.981 | 0.990 | 631 | 900 | 0.051 | 0.084 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.169 | 0.024 | 0.140 | 4.360 | 2.088 | 769 | 1094 | 0.122 | 0.216 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.082 | 0.023 | 0.287 | 5.423 | 2.329 | 519 | 741 | 0.035 | 0.128 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.183 | 0.028 | 0.154 | 5.800 | 2.408 | 769 | 1094 | 0.127 | 0.239 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.317 | 0.027 | 0.086 | 3.719 | 1.928 | 769 | 1094 | 0.263 | 0.372 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.044 | 0.012 | 0.272 | 0.845 | 0.919 | 171 | 252 | 0.020 | 0.067 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.986 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.915 | 0.957 | 45 | 66 | 0.958 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.985 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 45 | 66 | 0.954 | 1.000 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 45 | 66 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.967 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 1.196 | 1.094 | 45 | 66 | 0.918 | 1.000 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.937 | 0.032 | 0.034 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 45 | 66 | 0.873 | 1.000 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.022 | 0.010 | 0.434 | 1.101 | 1.049 | 178 | 261 | 0.003 | 0.041 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 4 | 5 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.092 | 0.024 | 0.262 | 1.821 | 1.349 | 178 | 261 | 0.044 | 0.141 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.343 | 0.061 | 0.178 | 0.363 | 0.603 | 16 | 23 | 0.221 | 0.465 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.876 | 0.028 | 0.032 | 1.883 | 1.372 | 178 | 261 | 0.820 | 0.932 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.975 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 2.088 | 1.445 | 178 | 261 | 0.947 | 1.000 |

Table SE.6. Sampling errors: Ahal velayat
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweigh ted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2 se | $r+2$ se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.950 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 1.394 | 1.181 | 683 | 832 | 0.932 | 0.968 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.608 | 0.048 | 0.079 | 44.628 | 6.680 | 3751 | 4557 | 0.511 | 0.705 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.999 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 5.233 | 2.288 | 3751 | 4557 | 0.997 | 1.000 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.986 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.476 | 0.690 | 230 | 277 | 0.976 | 0.996 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.951 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.887 | 0.942 | 616 | 744 | 0.936 | 0.966 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 0.992 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 1.086 | 1.042 | 102 | 123 | 0.974 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.073 | 0.009 | 0.120 | 2.010 | 1.418 | 1462 | 1771 | 0.055 | 0.090 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 108 | 134 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 108 | 134 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.450 | 0.028 | 0.062 | 2.291 | 1.514 | 590 | 730 | 0.394 | 0.506 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 0.988 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.232 | 1.110 | 398 | 493 | 0.977 | 0.999 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.054 | 0.008 | 0.151 | 1.300 | 1.140 | 808 | 1003 | 0.038 | 0.070 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.151 | 0.046 | 0.302 | 20.992 | 4.582 | 1040 | 1289 | 0.060 | 0.243 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.097 | 0.019 | 0.195 | 2.350 | 1.533 | 461 | 578 | 0.059 | 0.135 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.092 | 0.024 | 0.265 | 9.136 | 3.023 | 1040 | 1289 | 0.043 | 0.140 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.107 | 0.019 | 0.177 | 4.818 | 2.195 | 1040 | 1289 | 0.069 | 0.144 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.183 | 0.023 | 0.125 | 1.196 | 1.094 | 271 | 344 | 0.137 | 0.228 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 53 | 67 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 53 | 67 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 53 | 67 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 52 | 66 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 52 | 66 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.017 | 0.004 | 0.232 | 0.338 | 0.581 | 281 | 356 | 0.009 | 0.025 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.326 | 0.166 | 0.509 | 0.626 | 0.791 | 5 | 6 | 0.000 | 0.657 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.060 | 0.016 | 0.261 | 1.543 | 1.242 | 281 | 356 | 0.029 | 0.091 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.424 | 0.049 | 0.116 | 0.199 | 0.447 | 17 | 21 | 0.326 | 0.523 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.820 | 0.029 | 0.035 | 1.962 | 1.401 | 281 | 356 | 0.762 | 0.877 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.962 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.394 | 0.628 | 281 | 356 | 0.949 | 0.975 |

Table SE.7. Sampling errors: Balkan velayat
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweigh ted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2se | $r+2$ se |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.853 | 0.026 | 0.030 | 4.177 | 2.044 | 454 | 794 | 0.802 | 0.904 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.726 | 0.046 | 0.064 | 36.534 | 6.044 | 1941 | 3421 | 0.634 | 0.818 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.992 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 6.140 | 2.478 | 1941 | 3421 | 0.984 | 0.999 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.988 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.952 | 0.976 | 93 | 165 | 0.972 | 1.000 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.959 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.928 | 0.963 | 266 | 473 | 0.942 | 0.977 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 0.987 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.909 | 0.953 | 41 | 73 | 0.961 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.051 | 0.007 | 0.136 | 1.196 | 1.093 | 679 | 1197 | 0.037 | 0.065 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0.975 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 3.111 | 1.764 | 71 | 127 | 0.926 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.952 | 0.034 | 0.036 | 3.163 | 1.779 | 71 | 127 | 0.884 | 1.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.376 | 0.026 | 0.069 | 1.534 | 1.239 | 302 | 541 | 0.324 | 0.428 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 0.991 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 1.697 | 1.303 | 207 | 370 | 0.978 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.068 | 0.013 | 0.196 | 2.237 | 1.496 | 447 | 799 | 0.041 | 0.094 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.034 | 0.008 | 0.246 | 2.097 | 1.448 | 556 | 993 | 0.017 | 0.050 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.113 | 0.023 | 0.200 | 2.313 | 1.521 | 256 | 453 | 0.068 | 0.159 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.050 | 0.010 | 0.201 | 2.101 | 1.449 | 556 | 993 | 0.030 | 0.070 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.240 | 0.028 | 0.115 | 4.150 | 2.037 | 556 | 993 | 0.185 | 0.295 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.050 | 0.016 | 0.316 | 1.436 | 1.198 | 151 | 277 | 0.018 | 0.081 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 33 | 61 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.966 | 0.020 | 0.021 | 0.715 | 0.846 | 33 | 61 | 0.926 | 1.000 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.985 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.050 | 32 | 59 | 0.983 | 0.986 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.950 | 0.036 | 0.038 | 1.654 | 1.286 | 33 | 60 | 0.877 | 1.000 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.902 | 0.043 | 0.047 | 1.235 | 1.111 | 33 | 61 | 0.817 | 0.987 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.990 | 2.218 | 1.489 | 158 | 291 | 0.000 | 0.023 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | . | 1 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.032 | 0.009 | 0.291 | 0.805 | 0.897 | 158 | 291 | 0.013 | 0.050 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.327 | 0.113 | 0.346 | 0.466 | 0.682 | 5 | 9 | 0.101 | 0.554 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.823 | 0.036 | 0.044 | 2.638 | 1.624 | 158 | 291 | 0.750 | 0.896 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.953 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 1.198 | 1.094 | 158 | 291 | 0.926 | 0.980 |

Table SE.8. Sampling errors: Dashoguz velayat
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweigh ted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | r-2se | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.962 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 1.539 | 1.241 | 904 | 840 | 0.946 | 0.979 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.829 | 0.030 | 0.037 | 32.000 | 5.657 | 5302 | 4931 | 0.768 | 0.889 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.977 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 37.830 | 6.151 | 5302 | 4931 | 0.950 | 1.000 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.994 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 1.044 | 1.022 | 360 | 335 | 0.986 | 1.000 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.944 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 1.860 | 1.364 | 803 | 746 | 0.921 | 0.967 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 120 | 112 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.063 | 0.008 | 0.124 | 1.956 | 1.399 | 2051 | 1907 | 0.047 | 0.078 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 172 | 163 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.994 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 1.047 | 1.023 | 172 | 163 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.475 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 1.611 | 1.269 | 775 | 735 | 0.429 | 0.522 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 0.995 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 1.036 | 1.018 | 583 | 552 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.073 | 0.008 | 0.113 | 1.153 | 1.074 | 1206 | 1143 | 0.057 | 0.090 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.080 | 0.019 | 0.239 | 7.018 | 2.649 | 1498 | 1419 | 0.042 | 0.118 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.019 | 0.004 | 0.222 | 0.906 | 0.952 | 999 | 950 | 0.011 | 0.027 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.039 | 0.009 | 0.235 | 3.161 | 1.778 | 1498 | 1419 | 0.021 | 0.057 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.103 | 0.019 | 0.185 | 5.599 | 2.366 | 1498 | 1419 | 0.065 | 0.142 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.114 | 0.019 | 0.166 | 1.367 | 1.169 | 400 | 389 | 0.076 | 0.151 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 74 | 72 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.972 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 74 | 72 | 0.933 | 1.000 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 74 | 72 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.986 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.974 | 0.987 | 74 | 72 | 0.959 | 1.000 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.958 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 0.304 | 0.552 | 74 | 72 | 0.932 | 0.985 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.030 | 0.016 | 0.515 | 3.242 | 1.801 | 407 | 395 | 0.000 | 0.061 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.251 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 12 | 12 | 0.251 | 0.251 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.063 | 0.017 | 0.272 | 1.968 | 1.403 | 407 | 395 | 0.029 | 0.098 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.081 | 0.003 | 0.039 | 0.003 | 0.057 | 26 | 25 | 0.075 | 0.088 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.873 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 1.661 | 1.289 | 407 | 395 | 0.830 | 0.916 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.985 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 1.915 | 1.384 | 407 | 395 | 0.968 | 1.000 |

Table SE.9. Sampling errors: Lebap velayat
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value (r) | Standard error (se) | Coefficient of variation (se/r) | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweigh ted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r-2 \mathrm{se}$ | $r+2 s e$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.783 | 0.030 | 0.038 | 4.337 | 2.083 | 1117 | 815 | 0.723 | 0.843 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.895 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 21.578 | 4.645 | 5525 | 4068 | 0.850 | 0.939 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.983 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 18.750 | 4.330 | 5525 | 4068 | 0.965 | 1.000 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.996 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 1.098 | 1.048 | 374 | 280 | 0.988 | 1.000 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.956 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 1.172 | 1.082 | 848 | 634 | 0.939 | 0.974 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 0.990 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 1.031 | 1.015 | 135 | 102 | 0.970 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.054 | 0.009 | 0.176 | 2.944 | 1.716 | 2251 | 1668 | 0.035 | 0.073 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0.994 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.891 | 0.944 | 229 | 168 | 0.983 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.992 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 1.418 | 1.191 | 229 | 168 | 0.975 | 1.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.433 | 0.020 | 0.045 | 1.033 | 1.016 | 895 | 666 | 0.394 | 0.472 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 627 | 466 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.086 | 0.014 | 0.159 | 2.077 | 1.441 | 1181 | 878 | 0.059 | 0.113 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.097 | 0.015 | 0.156 | 2.949 | 1.717 | 1529 | 1137 | 0.067 | 0.127 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.049 | 0.015 | 0.316 | 4.061 | 2.015 | 1087 | 800 | 0.018 | 0.079 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.254 | 0.028 | 0.110 | 4.661 | 2.159 | 1529 | 1137 | 0.199 | 0.310 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.332 | 0.026 | 0.079 | 3.501 | 1.871 | 1529 | 1137 | 0.279 | 0.384 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.103 | 0.016 | 0.160 | 1.124 | 1.060 | 507 | 384 | 0.070 | 0.136 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 107 | 80 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 107 | 80 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 106 | 79 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 107 | 80 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 106 | 79 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | . | 517 | 392 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | . | . | . | . | . | . | 0 | . | . |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.043 | 0.011 | 0.260 | 1.197 | 1.094 | 517 | 392 | 0.021 | 0.066 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.351 | 0.054 | 0.154 | 0.204 | 0.452 | 22 | 17 | 0.243 | 0.459 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.800 | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.777 | 0.881 | 517 | 392 | 0.764 | 0.835 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.941 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 1.203 | 1.097 | 517 | 392 | 0.915 | 0.967 |

Table SE.10. Sampling errors: Mary velayat
Standard errors, coefficients of variations, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Table | Value | Standard | Coefficient of | Design | Square root | Weighted | Unweigh | Confid | limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | variation (se/r) | (deff) | effect <br> (deft) |  | count | $r-2 s e$ | $r+2 s e$ |
|  |  |  |  | HOUSEH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lodized salt consumption | NU. 5 | 0.841 | 0.018 | 0.022 | 2.043 | 1.429 | 1217 | 823 | 0.805 | 0.878 |
|  |  |  |  | OUSEHOLD | MBERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.390 | 0.041 | 0.106 | 30.490 | 5.522 | 6205 | 4239 | 0.307 | 0.473 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.985 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 28.490 | 5.338 | 6205 | 4239 | 0.964 | 1.000 |
| Net primary school attendance rate | ED. 3 | 0.986 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.498 | 0.705 | 383 | 263 | 0.976 | 0.996 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate | ED. 4 | 0.946 | 0.013 | 0.014 | 2.017 | 1.420 | 925 | 631 | 0.920 | 0.972 |
| Primary completion rate | ED. 7 | 0.991 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.912 | 0.955 | 147 | 100 | 0.973 | 1.000 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 8 | 0.060 | 0.007 | 0.125 | 1.603 | 1.266 | 2369 | 1619 | 0.045 | 0.075 |
|  |  |  |  | WOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0.994 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.834 | 0.913 | 218 | 151 | 0.983 | 1.000 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.994 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.992 | 0.996 | 218 | 151 | 0.981 | 1.000 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.552 | 0.023 | 0.042 | 1.503 | 1.226 | 1002 | 697 | 0.506 | 0.598 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 9 | 0.985 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 2.179 | 1.476 | 752 | 526 | 0.970 | 1.000 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 3 | 0.049 | 0.011 | 0.220 | 2.467 | 1.571 | 1415 | 981 | 0.028 | 0.071 |
| Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | HA. 3 | 0.035 | 0.005 | 0.139 | 0.864 | 0.930 | 1769 | 1228 | 0.025 | 0.045 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.073 | 0.011 | 0.146 | 0.685 | 0.828 | 599 | 406 | 0.052 | 0.095 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.092 | 0.009 | 0.098 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 1769 | 1228 | 0.074 | 0.110 |
| Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.133 | 0.017 | 0.127 | 3.022 | 1.738 | 1769 | 1228 | 0.099 | 0.167 |
|  |  |  |  | UNDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight prevalence | NU. 1 | 0.117 | 0.024 | 0.209 | 2.078 | 1.441 | 508 | 361 | 0.068 | 0.166 |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 101 | 72 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Polio immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 101 | 72 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 101 | 72 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.983 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 1.312 | 1.146 | 101 | 72 | 0.947 | 1.000 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.983 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 1.312 | 1.146 | 101 | 72 | 0.947 | 1.000 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 5 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.789 | 2.391 | 1.546 | 534 | 380 | 0.000 | 0.026 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | . | . | 5 | 4 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 3 | 0.051 | 0.012 | 0.239 | 1.151 | 1.073 | 534 | 380 | 0.026 | 0.075 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 4 | 0.156 | 0.035 | 0.225 | 0.168 | 0.410 | 27 | 19 | 0.086 | 0.226 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.683 | 0.033 | 0.048 | 1.898 | 1.378 | 534 | 380 | 0.617 | 0.749 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.935 | 0.017 | 0.018 | 1.870 | 1.368 | 534 | 380 | 0.901 | 0.970 |

## Appendix D. Data Quality Tables

## Table DQ.1: Age distribution of household population

Single-year age distribution of household population by sex (weighted), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Males |  | Females |  |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 | 233 | 1.9 | 255 | 2.0 | 41 | 140 | 1.1 | 171 | 1.3 |
| 1 | 226 | 1.8 | 199 | 1.5 | 42 | 164 | 1.3 | 151 | 1.2 |
| 2 | 205 | 1.7 | 232 | 1.8 | 43 | 148 | 1.2 | 160 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 242 | 2.0 | 204 | 1.6 | 44 | 141 | 1.1 | 152 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 197 | 1.6 | 184 | 1.4 | 45 | 146 | 1.2 | 174 | 1.3 |
| 5 | 249 | 2.0 | 246 | 1.9 | 46 | 139 | 1.1 | 149 | 1.1 |
| 6 | 245 | 2.0 | 228 | 1.7 | 47 | 142 | 1.2 | 163 | 1.2 |
| 7 | 245 | 2.0 | 249 | 1.9 | 48 | 121 | 1.0 | 157 | 1.2 |
| 8 | 275 | 2.2 | 224 | 1.7 | 49 | 119 | 1.0 | 105 | 0.8 |
| 9 | 270 | 2.2 | 262 | 2.0 | 50 | 99 | 0.8 | 144 | 1.1 |
| 10 | 320 | 2.6 | 251 | 1.9 | 51 | 103 | 0.8 | 124 | 1.0 |
| 11 | 303 | 2.5 | 308 | 2.4 | 52 | 115 | 0.9 | 122 | 0.9 |
| 12 | 317 | 2.6 | 326 | 2.5 | 53 | 92 | 0.8 | 102 | 0.8 |
| 13 | 345 | 2.8 | 355 | 2.7 | 54 | 87 | 0.7 | 93 | 0.7 |
| 14 | 314 | 2.6 | 304 | 2.3 | 55 | 66 | 0.5 | 106 | 0.8 |
| 15 | 321 | 2.6 | 286 | 2.2 | 56 | 86 | 0.7 | 109 | 0.8 |
| 16 | 333 | 2.7 | 331 | 2.5 | 57 | 58 | 0.5 | 65 | 0.5 |
| 17 | 309 | 2.5 | 298 | 2.3 | 58 | 63 | 0.5 | 81 | 0.6 |
| 18 | 154 | 1.3 | 274 | 2.1 | 59 | 64 | 0.5 | 69 | 0.5 |
| 19 | 153 | 1.2 | 310 | 2.4 | 60 | 30 | 0.2 | 56 | 0.4 |
| 20 | 255 | 2.1 | 286 | 2.2 | 61 | 31 | 0.2 | 44 | 0.3 |
| 21 | 264 | 2.2 | 329 | 2.5 | 62 | 30 | 0.2 | 35 | 0.3 |
| 22 | 246 | 2.0 | 257 | 2.0 | 63 | 56 | 0.5 | 46 | 0.3 |
| 23 | 261 | 2.1 | 258 | 2.0 | 64 | 52 | 0.4 | 54 | 0.4 |
| 24 | 263 | 2.1 | 236 | 1.8 | 65 | 50 | 0.4 | 47 | 0.4 |
| 25 | 254 | 2.1 | 245 | 1.9 | 66 | 42 | 0.3 | 59 | 0.5 |
| 26 | 237 | 1.9 | 224 | 1.7 | 67 | 55 | 0.4 | 55 | 0.4 |
| 27 | 231 | 1.9 | 216 | 1.7 | 68 | 45 | 0.4 | 62 | 0.5 |
| 28 | 210 | 1.7 | 209 | 1.6 | 69 | 39 | 0.3 | 53 | 0.4 |
| 29 | 213 | 1.7 | 217 | 1.7 | 70 | 31 | 0.2 | 49 | 0.4 |
| 30 | 186 | 1.5 | 186 | 1.4 | 71 | 35 | 0.3 | 36 | 0.3 |
| 31 | 184 | 1.5 | 214 | 1.6 | 72 | 21 | 0.2 | 44 | 0.3 |
| 32 | 195 | 1.6 | 176 | 1.3 | 73 | 26 | 0.2 | 36 | 0.3 |
| 33 | 139 | 1.1 | 175 | 1.3 | 74 | 22 | 0.2 | 33 | 0.2 |
| 34 | 169 | 1.4 | 168 | 1.3 | 75 | 20 | 0.2 | 32 | 0.2 |
| 35 | 169 | 1.4 | 180 | 1.4 | 76 | 19 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.2 |
| 36 | 158 | 1.3 | 184 | 1.4 | 77 | 29 | 0.2 | 24 | 0.2 |
| 37 | 174 | 1.4 | 165 | 1.3 | 78 | 17 | 0.1 | 26 | 0.2 |
| 38 | 145 | 1.2 | 186 | 1.4 | 79 | 10 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.1 |
| 39 | 121 | 1.0 | 143 | 1.1 | 80+ | 48 | 0.4 | 95 | 0.7 |
| 40 | 163 | 1.3 | 161 | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women

Household population of women age 10-54, interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age group, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Household population of women age 10-54 | Interviewed women age 15-49 |  | Percentage of eligible women interviewed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number | Percent |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 10-14 | 1545 | na | na | na |
| 15-19 | 1499 | 1496 | 20.5 | 99.8 |
| 20-24 | 1366 | 1364 | 18.7 | 99.8 |
| 25-29 | 1111 | 1106 | 15.2 | 99.6 |
| 30-34 | 920 | 916 | 12.6 | 99.5 |
| 35-39 | 858 | 856 | 11.8 | 99.8 |
| 40-44 | 795 | 794 | 10.9 | 99.8 |
| 45-49 | 748 | 747 | 10.3 | 99.8 |
| 50-54 | 585 | na | na | na |
| 15-49 | 7297 | 7278 | 100.0 | 99.7 |

na: not applicable
Note: Weights for both household population of women and interviewed women are household weights. Age is based on the household schedule.

## Table DQ.3: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed under-5s

Household population of children age 0-4, children whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, and percentage of under-5 children whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age group, Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Household } \\ \text { population of children } \\ \text { age 0-7 }\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Interviewed } \\ \text { children age 0-4 }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Percentage <br>

of eligible <br>
children\end{array}\right\}\)
na: not applicable
Note: Weights for both household population of children and interviewed children are household weights. Age is based on the household schedule.

Table DQ.4: Age distribution of under-5 children
Age distribution of under-5 children by three-month groups (weighted), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 | 59 | 5.6 | 70 | 6.9 | 130 | 6.2 |
| 3-5 | 50 | 4.8 | 62 | 6.1 | 112 | 5.4 |
| 6-8 | 48 | 4.6 | 44 | 4.3 | 92 | 4.4 |
| 9-11 | 62 | 5.9 | 63 | 6.2 | 125 | 6.0 |
| 12-14 | 51 | 4.8 | 48 | 4.6 | 98 | 4.7 |
| 15-17 | 58 | 5.5 | 59 | 5.8 | 117 | 5.6 |
| 18-20 | 43 | 4.1 | 31 | 3.0 | 74 | 3.6 |
| 21-23 | 65 | 6.1 | 52 | 5.1 | 116 | 5.6 |
| 24-26 | 49 | 4.6 | 75 | 7.3 | 123 | 5.9 |
| 27-29 | 45 | 4.3 | 54 | 5.3 | 100 | 4.8 |
| 30-32 | 40 | 3.8 | 45 | 4.4 | 85 | 4.1 |
| 33-35 | 59 | 5.7 | 48 | 4.7 | 107 | 5.2 |
| 36-38 | 58 | 5.6 | 48 | 4.7 | 107 | 5.1 |
| 39-41 | 66 | 6.3 | 43 | 4.2 | 109 | 5.2 |
| 42-44 | 54 | 5.1 | 52 | 5.1 | 106 | 5.1 |
| 45-47 | 58 | 5.5 | 49 | 4.8 | 107 | 5.2 |
| 48-50 | 45 | 4.3 | 50 | 4.9 | 95 | 4.6 |
| 51-53 | 51 | 4.8 | 47 | 4.6 | 98 | 4.7 |
| 54-56 | 42 | 4.0 | 41 | 4.0 | 83 | 4.0 |
| 57-59 | 48 | 4.6 | 43 | 4.2 | 91 | 4.4 |
| Total | 1050 | 100.0 | 1025 | 100.0 | 2075 | 100.0 |

Age and period ratios at boundaries of eligibility by type of information collected (weighted), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Age and period ratios* |  |  | Eligibility boundary (lower-upper) | Module or questionnaire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total |  |  |
| Age in household questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.02 | 0.87 | 0.94 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.91 | 1.10 | 1.00 | Lower | Child discipline |
| 3 | 1.13 | 0.99 | 1.06 |  |  |
| 4 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.86 | Upper | Under-5 questionnaire |
| 5 | 1.08 | 1.12 | 1.10 | Lower | Education |
| 6 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.97 |  |  |
| 13 | 1.06 | 1.08 | 1.07 |  |  |
| 14 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.96 | Upper | Child discipline |
| 15 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.96 | Lower | Women's questionnaire |
| 16 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 1.06 |  |  |
| 17 | 1.16 | 0.99 | 1.07 | Upper | Orphaned children |
| 18 | 1.50 | 1.01 | 1.22 |  |  |
| 23 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 1.02 |  |  |
| 24 | 1.01 | 0.96 | 0.99 | Upper | Education |
| 25 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.03 |  |  |
| 48 | 0.95 | 1.11 | 1.03 |  |  |
| 49 | 1.05 | 0.78 | 0.90 | Upper | Women's questionnaire |
| 50 | 0.93 | 1.16 | 1.05 |  |  |
| Months since last birth in women's questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-11 | na | 1.00 | na |  |  |
| 12-17 | na | 1.04 | na |  |  |
| 18-23 | na | 0.90 | na | Upper | Maternal and child health |
| 24-29 | na | 1.13 | na |  |  |
| 30-35 | na | 0.85 | na |  |  |

* Age or period ratios are calculated as $x /\left(\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n}+x_{n+1}\right) / 3\right)$, where $x$ is age or period. na: not applicable

Table DQ.6: Completeness of reporting
Percentage of observations missing information for selected questions and indicators (weighted), Turkmenistan, 2006

| Questionnaire and Subject | Reference group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent with } \\ & \text { missing } \\ & \text { information* } \end{aligned}$ | Number of cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household |  |  |  |
| Salt testing | All households surveyed | 0.0 | 5042 |
| Women |  |  |  |
| Date of Birth | All women aged 15-49 |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.0 | 7160 |
| Month and year missing |  | 0.0 | 7160 |
| Date of first birth | All women aged 15-49 with at least one live birth |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.7 | 4102 |
| Month and year missing |  | 0.1 | 4102 |
| Completed years since first birth | All women age 15-49 with at least one live birth | 0.0 | 2 |
| Date of last birth | All women aged 15-49 with at least one live birth |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.1 | 4102 |
| Month and year missing |  | 0.0 | 4102 |
| Date of first marriage/union | All ever-married women age 15-49 |  |  |
| Month only |  | 1.5 | 4455 |
| Month and year missing |  | 1.0 | 4455 |
| Age at first marriage/union | All ever-married women age 15-49 | 0.2 | 4455 |
| Under-5 |  |  |  |
| Date of Birth | All under-5 children surveyed |  |  |
| Month only |  | 0.0 | 2075 |
| Month and year missing |  | 0.0 | 2075 |
| Anthropometry | All under-5 children surveyed |  |  |
| Height |  | 1.7 | 2075 |
| Weight |  | 1.7 | 2075 |
| Height or Weight |  | 1.7 | 2075 |

* Includes "Don’t know" responses


## Table DQ.7: Presence of mother in the household and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire

Distribution of children under five by whether the mother lives in the same household, and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire (weighted), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Mother in the household |  |  | Mother not in the household |  | Total | Number of children aged $0-4$ years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mother interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 99.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 488 |
| 1 | 99.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 425 |
| 2 | 96.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 437 |
| 3 | 98.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 447 |
| 4 | 98.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 380 |
| Total | 98.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 100.0 | 2178 |

Table DQ.8: School attendance by single age
Distribution of household population aged 5-24, by educational level and grade attended in the current year (weighted), Turkmenistan, 2006


Table DQ.9: Sex ratio at birth among children ever born and living
Sex ratio at birth among children ever born, children living, and deceased children, by age of women (weighted), Turkmenistan, 2006

|  | Children Ever Born |  |  | Children Living |  |  | Children deceased |  |  | Number of women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of sons ever born | Number of daughters ever born | Sex ratio | Number of sons living | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sex } \\ & \text { ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { deceased } \\ & \text { sons } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { deceased } \\ \text { daughters } \end{gathered}$ | Sex ratio |  |
| Age $15-19$ | 18 | 15 | 1.19 | 18 | 15 | 1.19 | - | - | - | 1472 |
| 20-24 | 278 | 261 | 1.06 | 261 | 254 | 1.03 | 17 | 7 | 2.52 | 1341 |
| 25-29 | 733 | 642 | 1.14 | 686 | 607 | 1.13 | 46 | 35 | 1.34 | 1088 |
| 30-34 | 1028 | 927 | 1.11 | 938 | 887 | 1.06 | 91 | 39 | 2.29 | 901 |
| 35-39 | 1326 | 1170 | 1.13 | 1195 | 1105 | 1.08 | 130 | 65 | 2.01 | 843 |
| 40-44 | 1512 | 1381 | 1.10 | 1369 | 1282 | 1.07 | 144 | 99 | 1.45 | 781 |
| 45-49 | 1563 | 1466 | 1.07 | 1385 | 1361 | 1.02 | 178 | 105 | 1.69 | 734 |
| Total | 6458 | 5862 | 1.10 | 5852 | 5512 | 1.06 | 606 | 350 | 1.73 | 7160 |

Note: Sex ratios are calculated as number of males/ number of females

## Table DQ.10: Distribution of women by time since last birth

Distribution of women aged 15-49 with at least one live birth, by months since last birth (weighted), Turkmenistan, 2006

Months since last birth

|  | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 41 | 3.5 | 18 | 23 | 1.9 |
| 1 | 42 | 3.6 | 19 | 18 | 1.6 |
| 2 | 53 | 4.5 | 20 | 25 | 2.1 |
| 3 | 39 | 3.4 | 21 | 25 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 38 | 3.2 | 22 | 40 | 3.4 |
| 5 | 40 | 3.4 | 23 | 36 | 3.1 |
| 6 | 39 | 3.3 | 24 | 26 | 2.2 |
| 7 | 31 | 2.6 | 25 | 35 | 3.0 |
| 8 | 30 | 2.6 | 26 | 33 | 2.8 |
| 9 | 35 | 3.0 | 27 | 29 | 2.5 |
| 10 | 47 | 4.0 | 28 | 30 | 2.5 |
| 11 | 52 | 4.4 | 29 | 24 | 2.1 |
| 12 | 28 | 2.4 | 30 | 16 | 1.4 |
| 13 | 37 | 3.2 | 31 | 22 | 1.9 |
| 14 | 34 | 2.9 | 32 | 13 | 1.1 |
| 15 | 33 | 2.8 | 33 | 22 | 1.9 |
| 16 | 39 | 3.4 | 34 | 28 | 2.4 |
| 17 | 41 | 3.5 | 35 | 24 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 1168 | 100.0 |

## Appendix E. MICS Indicators: Numerators and Denominators

| INDICATOR |  | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Under-5 mortality rate | Probability of dying by exact age 5 years |  |
| 2 | Infant mortality rate | Probability of dying by exact age 1 year |  |
| 4 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the Survey that were attended during childbirth by skilled health personnel | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the Survey |
| 5 | Institutional deliveries | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the Survey that delivered in a health facility | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in 2 years preceding the Survey |
| 6 | Underweight prevalence | Number of children under age 5 that fall below minus two standard deviations from the median weight for age of the NCHS/WHO standard (moderate and severe); number that fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) | Total number of children under age 5 that were weighed |
| 7 | Stunting prevalence | Number of children under age 5 that fall below minus two standard deviations from the median height for age of the NCHS/WHO standard (moderate and severe); number that fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) | Total number of children under age 5 measured |
| 8 | Wasting prevalence | Number of children under age 5 that fall below minus two standard deviations from the median weight for height of the NCHS/WHO standard (moderate and severe); number that fall below minus three standard deviations (severe) | Total number of children under age 5 weighed and measured |
| 9 | Low-birth-weight infants | Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the Survey weighing below 2500 grams | Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the Survey |
| 10 | Infants weighed at birth | Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the Survey that were weighed at birth | Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the Survey |
| 11 | Use of improved drinking water sources | Number of household members living in households using improved sources of drinking water | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 12 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | Number of household members using improved sanitation facilities | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 13 | Water treatment | Number of household members using water that has been treated | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 15 | Exclusive breastfeeding rate | Number of infants aged 0-5 months that are exclusively breastfed | Total number of infants aged 0-5 months surveyed |
| 16 | Continued breastfeeding rate | Number of infants aged 12-15 months and 20-23 months that are currently breastfeeding | Total number of children aged 12-15 months and 20-23 months surveyed |
| 17 | Timely complementary feeding rate | Number of infants aged 6-9 months that are receiving breastmilk and complementary foods | Total number of infants aged 6-9 months surveyed |
| 18 | Frequency of complementary feeding | Number of infants aged 6-11 months that receive breastmilk and complementary food at least the minimum recommended number of times per day (two times per day for infants aged 6-8 months, three times per day for infants aged 9-11 months) | Total number of infants aged 6-11 months surveyed |
| 19 | Adequately fed infants | Number of infants aged 0-11 months that are appropriately fed: infants aged 0-5 months that are exclusively breastfed and infants aged 6-11 months that are breastfed and ate solid or semi-solid foods the appropriate number of times (see above) yesterday | Total number of infants aged 0-11 months surveyed |


| INDICATOR |  | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Antenatal care | Number of women aged 15-49 years that were attended at least once during pregnancy in the 2 years preceding the survey by skilled health personnel | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 21 | Contraceptive prevalence | Number of women currently married or in union aged 15-49 years that are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method (either modern or traditional) | Total number of women aged 15-49 years that are currently married or in union |
| 22 | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | Number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks receiving antibiotics | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |
| 23 | Care-seeking for suspected pneumonia | Number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks that are taken to an appropriate health provider | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |
| 24 | Solid fuels | Number of residents in households that use solid fuels (wood, charcoal, crop residues and dung) as the primary source of domestic energy to cook | Total number of residents in households surveyed |
| 25 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | Number of children aged 18-29 months receiving BCG vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 18-29 months surveyed |
| 26 | Polio immunization coverage | Number of children aged 18-29 months receiving OPV3 vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 18-29 months surveyed |
| 27 | Immunization coverage for diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) | Number of children aged 18-29 months receiving DPT3 vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 18-29 months surveyed |
| 28 | Measles immunization coverage | Number of children aged 18-29 months receiving measles vaccine within first 18 months of life | Total number of children aged 18-29 months surveyed |
| 29 | Hepatitis B immunization coverage | Number of children aged 18-29 months immunized against hepatitis before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 18-29 months surveyed |
| 31 | Fully immunized children | Number of children aged 18-29 months receiving DPT1-3, OPV-1-3, BCG and measles vaccines within first 18 months of life | Total number of children aged 18-29 months surveyed |
| 33 | Use of oral rehydration therapy (ORT) | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks that received oral rehydration salts and/or an appropriate household solution | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 34 | Home management of diarrhoea | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks that received more fluids AND continued eating somewhat less, the same or more food | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 35 | Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea that received ORT (oral rehydration salts or an appropriate household solution) or received more fluids AND continued eating somewhat less, the same or more food | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 41 | lodized salt consumption | Number of households with salt testing 15 parts per million or more of iodine/iodate | Total number of households surveyed |
| 44 | Content of antenatal care | Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the Survey that received antenatal care during the last pregnancy | Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the Survey |
| 45 | Timely initiation of breastfeeding | Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the Survey that put the newborn infant to the breast within 1 hour of birth | Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the Survey |
| 46 | Support for learning | Number of children aged 0-59 months living in households in which an adult has engaged in four or more activities to promote learning and school readiness in the past 3 days | Total number of children aged 0-59 months surveyed |
| 47 | Father's support for learning | Number of children aged 0-59 months whose father has engaged in one or more activities to promote | Total number of children aged 0-59 months |


| INDICATOR |  | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | learning and school readiness in the past 3 days |  |
| 48 | Support for learning: children's books | Number of households with three or more children's books | Total number of households surveyed |
| 49 | Support for learning: non-children's books | Number of households with three or more non-children's books | Total number of households surveyed |
| 50 | Support for learning: materials for play | Number of households with three or more materials intended for play | Total number of households surveyed |
| 51 | Non-adult care | Number of children aged 0-59 months left alone or in the care of another child younger than 10 years of age in the past week | Total number of children aged 0-59 months surveyed |
| 52 | Pre-school attendance | Number of children aged 36-59 months that attend some form of early childhood education programme | Total number of children aged 36-59 months surveyed |
| 53 | School readiness | Number of children in first grade that attended some form of pre-school the previous year | Total number of children in the first grade surveyed |
| 54 | Net intake rate in primary education | Number of children of school-entry age that are currently attending first grade | Total number of children of primary- school entry age surveyed |
| 55 | Net primary school attendance rate | Number of children of primary-school age currently attending primary or secondary school | Total number of children of primary- school age surveyed |
| 56 | Net secondary school attendance rate | Number of children of secondary-school age currently attending secondary school or higher | Total number of children of secondary-school age surveyed |
| 57 | Children reaching grade 5 | Proportion of children entering the first grade of primary school that eventually reach grade 5 |  |
| 58 | Transition rate to secondary school | Number of children that were in the last grade of primary school during the previous school year that attend secondary school | Total number of children that were in the last grade of primary school during the previous school year surveyed |
| 59 | Primary completion rate | Number of children (of any age) attending the last grade of primary school (excluding repeaters) | Total number of children of primary school completion age (age appropriate to final grade of primary school) surveyed |
| 60 | Adult literacy rate | Number of women aged 15-24 years that are able to read a short simple statement about everyday life | Total number of women aged 15-24 years surveyed |
| 61 | Gender parity index | Proportion of girls in primary and secondary education | Proportion of boys in primary and secondary education |
| 62 | Birth registration | Number of children aged 0-59 months whose births are reported registered | Total number of children aged 0-59 months surveyed |
| 67 | Marriage before age 15 and age 18 | Number of women that were first married or in union by the exact age of 15 and the exact age of 18, by age groups | Total number of women aged 15-49 years and 2049 years surveyed, by age groups |
| 68 | Young women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union | Number of women aged 15-19 years currently married or in union | Total number of women aged 15-19 years surveyed |
| 69 | Spousal age difference | Number of women married/in union aged 15-19 years and 20-24 years with a difference in age of 10 or more years between them and their current spouse | Total number of women aged 15-19 and 20-24 years surveyed that are currently married or in union |
| 75 | Prevalence of orphans | Number of children under age 18 with at least one dead parent | Total number of children under age 18 surveyed |


| INDICATOR |  | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77 | School attendance of orphans versus non-orphans | Proportion of double orphans (both mother and father dead) aged 10-14 years attending school | Proportion of children aged 10-14 years, both of whose parents are alive, that are living with at least one parent and are attending school |
| 78 | Children's living arrangements | Number of children aged 0-17 years not living with a biological parent | Total number of children aged 0-17 years surveyed |
| 82 | Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among young people | Number of women aged 15-24 years that correctly identify two ways of avoiding HIV infection and reject three common misconceptions about HIV transmission | Total number of women aged 15-24 years surveyed |
| 86 | Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | Number of women expressing acceptance on all four questions about people with HIV or AIDS | Total number of women surveyed |
| 87 | Women who know where to be tested for HIV | Number of women that state knowledge of a place to be tested | Total number of women surveyed |
| 88 | Women who have been tested for HIV | Number of women that report being tested for HIV | Total number of women surveyed |
| 89 | Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that correctly identify all three means of vertical transmission | Total number of women surveyed |
| 90 | Counselling coverage for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months and received antenatal care reporting that they received counselling on HIV/AIDS during this care | Total number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months surveyed |
| 91 | Testing coverage for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months and received antenatal care reporting that they received the results of an HIV test during this care | Total number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months surveyed |
| 96 | Source of supplies | Number of children (or households) for whom supplies were obtained from public providers, presented separately for each type of supply: oral rehydration salts | Total number of children (or households) for whom supplies were obtained |
| 98 | Unmet need for family planning | Number of women that are currently married or in union that are fecund and want to space their births or limit the number of children they have and that are not currently using contraception | Total number of women interviewed that are currently married or in union |
| 99 | Demand satisfied for family planning | Number of women currently married or in union that are currently using contraception | Number of women currently married or in union that have an unmet need for contraception or that are currently using contraception |
| 100 | Attitudes towards domestic violence | Number of women that consider that a husband/partner is justified in hitting or beating his wife in at least one of the following circumstances: (1) she goes out without telling him, (2) she neglects the children, (3) she argues with him, (4) she refuses sex with him, (5) she burns the food | Total number of women surveyed |

## Appendix F. Questionnaires

## 函MICS-3

## NATI ONAL I NSTI TUTE OF STATE STATI STI CS AND I NFORMATI ON OF TURKMENI STAN

## HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE

We are from Nis . We are working on a project concerned with family health and education. I would like to talk to you about this. All the information we obtain will remain strictly confidential and your answers will never be IDENTIFIED. DURING THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK WITH THE HOUSEHOLD HEAD AND ALL MOTHERS OR OTHERS WHO TAKE CARE OF CHILDREN IN THE HOUSEHOLD. MAY I START NOW? If permission is given, begin the interview.

| HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION PANEL | HH |
| :---: | :---: |
| HH1. Cluster number: | HH2. Household number: |
| HH3. Interviewer name and number: <br> Name $\qquad$ | HH4. Supervisor name and number: <br> Name $\qquad$ |
| HH5. Day/month/year of interview: | - - - |
| HH6. Area: Urban..................................................................................................................... |  |

HH 8. Name of head of household:


HH16. Number of the data entry clerk:
HH 16A. Name and number of editor
Name

Date edited and signature:

FIRST, PLEASE TELL ME THE NAME OF EACH PERSON WHO USUALLY LIVES HERE, STARTING WITH THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD.
List the head of the household in line 01. List all household members (HL2), their relationship to the household head (HL3), and their sex (HLA)
Then ask: ARE THERE ANY OTHERS WHO LIVE HERE, EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT AT HOME NOW? (THESE MAY INCLUDE CHILDREN IN SCHOOL OR AT WORK). If yes, complete listing
Then, ask questions starting with HL5 for each person at a time. Add a continuation sheet if there are more than 15 household members. Tick here if continuation sheet used $\square$

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Eligible for: |  | For children age0-17 years ask HL9-HL12 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN'S INTERVIEW | UNDER-5 INTERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| HL1. <br> Line <br> no. | HL2. <br> Name | HL3. <br> What is <br> THE <br> RELATION- <br> SHIP OF <br> (name) TO <br> THE HEAD <br> OF THE <br> HOUSE- <br> HOLD? | $\quad \mathrm{HL} 4$. Is ( name) MALE OR FEMALE? 1 MALE 2 FEM. | HL5A <br> DATE OF BIRTH <br> (SPECIFY <br> MONTH AND <br> YEAR OF BIRTH) <br> 98=DK MONTH <br> 9998=DK YEAR | HL5. <br> How old is (name)? <br> How old WAS (name) ON HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record in completed years $98=\mathrm{DK}^{*}$ | HL6. Circle Line no. if woman is age 15-49 | HL8. <br> For each child under 5 : <br> Who is the MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother/ caretaker | HL9. <br> Is (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> MOTHER ALIVE? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO $\Rightarrow$ HL11 <br> 8 DK $\Rightarrow$ HL11 | HL10. <br> If alive: <br> DoES <br> (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> MOTHER LIVE <br> IN THIS <br> HOUSEHOLD? <br> Record Line <br> $\quad$ no. <br> of mother or <br> OO for 'no' | HL11. <br> Is (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> FATHER <br> ALIVE? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO』 <br> $\quad$ NEXT LINE <br> 8 DK§ <br> NEXT LINE | HL12. If alive: DOES (name's) NATURAL FATHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? Record Line no. of father or 00 for 'no' |
| LINE | NAME | REL. | M F | DD.MM.YY | AGE | 15-49 | MOTHER | Y N DK | MOTHER | Y N DK | FATHER |
| 01 |  | 01 | 12 |  | - | 01 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 02 |  | - | 12 |  | -_ - | 02 | - - | 128 | -_ - | 128 | - - |
| 03 |  | - | 12 |  | - - | 03 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 04 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 04 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 05 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 05 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 06 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 06 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 07 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 07 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 08 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 08 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 09 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 09 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 10 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 10 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |


| HL1. <br> Line <br> no. | HL2. <br> Name | HL3. <br> What is THE RELATIONSHIP OF (name) TO THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD? | HL4. <br> Is (name) <br> MALE OR <br> FEMALE? <br> 1 maLE <br> 2 FEM. | HL5A <br> DATE OF BIRTH <br> (SPECIFY <br> MONTH AND <br> YEAR OF BIRTH) <br> 98=DK MONTH <br> 9998=DK YEAR | HL5. <br> How old IS (name)? <br> How old was (name) ON HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record in completed years $98=\mathrm{DK}^{*}$ | HL6. <br> Circle <br> Line no. <br> if woman is age 15-49 | HL8. <br> For each child under 5 : <br> WHO IS THE MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother/ caretaker | HL9. <br> Is (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> MOTHER ALIVE? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO $\Rightarrow$ HL11 <br> 8 DK $\Rightarrow$ HL11 | HL10. <br> If alive: <br> Does (name's) NATURAL MOTHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> Record Line no. of mother or 00 for 'no' | HL11. <br> Is (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> FATHER <br> ALIVE? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO§ <br> NEXT LINE <br> 8 DK』 <br> NEXT LINE | HL 12. If alive: <br> Does (name's) NATURAL FATHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> Record Line no. of father or 00 for ' $n o$ ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE | NAME | REL. | M F | DD.MM.YY | AGE | 15-49 | MOTHER | Y N DK | MOTHER | Y N DK | FATHER |
| 11 |  | - | 12 |  | - | 11 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 12 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 12 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 13 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 13 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 14 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 14 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 15 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 11 | -_ - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 16 |  | - - | 12 |  | -_- | 12 | -_ - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 17 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 13 | -_ - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 18 |  | - - | 12 |  | - - | 14 | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| ARE THERE ANY OTHER PERSONS LIVING HERE - EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT MEMBERS OF YOUR FAMILY OR DO NOT HAVE PARENTS LIVING IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> INCLUDING CHILDREN AT WORK OR AT SCHOOL? If yes, insert child's name and complete form. <br> Then, complete the totals below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Women } \\ 15-49 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Under 5 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |

[^21]* Codes for HL3: Relationship to head of household:

01 = Head
$02=$ Wife or Husband
03 = Son or Daughter
04 = Son or Daughter In-Law
$05=$ Grandchild
06 = Parent
$07=$ Parent-In-Law
$07=$ Parent-In-Law
$08=$ Brother or Siste
$08=$ Brother or Sister
$09=$ Brother or Sister-In-Law
$09=$ Brother or
$10=$ Uncle/Aunt
$10=$ Uncle/Aunt
$11=$ Niece/Nephew by Blood
$11=$ Niece/Nephew by Blood
$12=$ Niece/Nephew by Marriage
$12=$ Niece/Nephew by Marriage
$13=$ Other Relative
13 = Other Relative
14 = Adopted/Foster/Stepchild
$15=$ Not Related
$98=$ Don't Know

| ED1. <br> Line <br> no. | ED1A NAME | $\begin{gathered} \text { ED1B } \\ A G E \end{gathered}$ | ED2. <br> HAS (name) EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL? $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { YES } \Rightarrow \text { ED3 } \\ & 2 \text { NO § } \\ & \text { NEXT LINE } \end{aligned}$ | ED3. <br> What is the highest level OF SCHOOL (name) <br> ATTENDED? <br> What is the highest grade (name) COMPLETED AT THIS LEVEL? <br> LEVEL: <br> 0 PRE- <br> SCHOOL/KINDERGARDEN <br> 1 PRIMARY <br> 2 SECONDARY <br> 3 PROFESSIONAL TRAINING <br> 4. HIGHER <br> 8 DK <br> Grade: <br> 98 DK <br> If less than 1 grade, enter 00. |  | E DURING (2005-200 SCHOOL (name) ATI SCHOOL PRESCH ANY TIME 1 YES $2 \mathrm{NO} \Rightarrow$ |  | ED6. <br> DURING THIS/THAT SCHOOL YEAR, WHICH LEVEL AND GRADE IS/WAS (name) ATTENDING? <br> LEVEL: <br> 0 PRE SCHOOL <br> KINDERGARDEN <br> 1 PRIMARY <br> 2 SECONDARY <br> 3 PROFESSIONAL TRAINING <br> 4. HIGHER <br> 8 DK <br> $98 d k$ |  | ED7. <br> DID (name) <br> ATTEND SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL AT ANY TIME dURING THE PREVIOUS SCHOOL YEAR, THAT IS (20042005)? <br> 1 YES 2 NO § NEXt LINE 8 DK 凹 NEXT LINE |  |  | ED8. <br> DURING THAT PREVIOUS SCHOOL YEAR, WHICH LEVEL AND GRADE DID (name) ATTEND? <br> LEVEL: <br> 0 PRE SCHOOL <br> KINDERGARDEN <br> 1 PRIMARY <br> 2 SECONDARY <br> 3 PROFESSIONAL TRAINING <br> 4. HIGHER <br> 8 DK <br> GRADE: <br> 98 DK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE | NAME | AGE | YES NO | LEVEL | GRADE | YES | NO | LEVEL | GRADE | Y | N | DK | LEVEL | GRADE |
| 01 |  | - | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | _ _ _ | 1 | 2 | 012348 | - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | - - |
| 02 |  | - | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | - - |
| 03 |  | - | $12 \leftrightharpoons$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 0123481 | - - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | - - |
| 04 |  | - | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 0123481 | -- | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | -- |
| 05 |  | -_ | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | _ _ |
| 06 |  | - | $12 \leftrightharpoons$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | - |
| 07 |  | - | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 012348 | - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | - |
| 08 |  | - - | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 012348 | - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | - |
| 09 |  | - | $12 \leftrightharpoons$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | - |
| 10 |  | - | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 012348 | - - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 012348 | - |


| For household members age 5 and above |  |  |  |  | For household members age 5－24 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { ED1. } \\ & \text { Line } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | ED1A NAME | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ED1B } \\ A G E \end{gathered}$ | ED2． <br> HAS（name）EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL？ $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1 \text { YES } \Rightarrow \text { ED3 } \\ 2 \text { NO \& } \\ \text { NEXT LINE } \end{array}$ | ED3． <br> What is the highest level OF SCHOOL（name） <br> ATTENDED？ <br> What is the highest grade （name）COMPLETED AT THIS LEVEL？ <br> Level： <br> 0 PRE－ <br> SCHOOL／KINDERGARDEN <br> 1 PRIMARY <br> 2 SECONDARY <br> 3 PROFESSIONAL TRAINING <br> 4．HIGHER <br> 8 DK <br> Grade： <br> 98 DK <br> If less than 1 grade，enter 00. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { " } \\ & \text { 6) } \\ & \text { AR, DID } \\ & \text { NDD } \\ & \text { AT } \end{aligned}$ | ED6． <br> DURING THIS／THAT SCHOOL YEAR，WHICH LEVEL AND GRADE IS／WAS（name） ATTENDING？ <br> LEVEL： <br> 0 PRE SCHOOL <br> KINDERGARDEN <br> 1 PRIMARY <br> 2 SECONDARY <br> 3 PROFESSIONAL TRAINING <br> 4．HIGHER <br> 8 DK <br> $98 d k$ |  <br> DID <br> ATTE <br> SCHO <br> PRES <br> ANY <br> DURI <br> PREV <br> SCHO <br> THAT <br> 2005 <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 <br> 2 <br> NO <br> NE <br> 8 DK <br> NE | ED7． <br> name） <br> ND <br> OL OR <br> Chool at <br> IME <br> va the <br> IOUS <br> OL YEAR， <br> is（2004－ <br> ？ <br> Xt line <br> XT LINE | ED8 <br> DURING THAT PR SCHOOL YEAR， AND GRADE DID ATTEND？ <br> LEVEL： <br> 0 PRE SCHOOL KINDERGARDEN <br> 1 PRIMARY <br> 2 secondary <br> 3 PROFESSIONAL <br> 4．HIGHER <br> 8 DK <br> GRADE： <br> 98 DK | VIOUS IICH LEVEL ame） <br> RAINING |
| LINE | NAME | AGE | YES NO | LEVEL GRADE | YES | NO | LEVEL ${ }^{\text {GRADE }}$ | Y | N DK | LEVEL | GRade |
| 11 |  | －－ | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | ＿＿＿＿ |
| 12 |  |  | 12 2 ${ }^{\text {NEXT LINE }}$ | 012348 | 1 | 2 | $012348{ }^{1}$－ | 1 | 28 | 012348 | ＿＿＿ |
| 13 |  | －－ | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 |  |
| 14 |  |  | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | － |
| 15 |  | － | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 － | 1 | 28 | 012348 | － |
| 16 |  | －－ | 1 2¢NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | － |
| 17 |  | －－ | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 ｜ | 1 | 2 | 0123481 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | －－ |
| 18 |  | －－ | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | －－ |
| 19 |  | －－ | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | －－ |
| 20 |  | －－ | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | －－ |
| 21 |  | －－ | 1 2¢NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | － |
| 22 |  |  | 1 2弓NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | －－ |
| 23 |  | －－ | 1 2¢NEXT LINE | 012348 | 1 | 2 | 012348 | 1 | 28 | 012348 | ＿＿－ |
| 24 |  | －－ | 1 2¢NEXT LINE | 012348 － | 1 | 2 | 0123481 －－ |  | 28 | 012348 | － |



| WS6. WHAT DO YOU USUALLY DO TO THE WATER TO MAKE IT SAFER TO DRINK? <br> ANYTHING ELSE? <br> Record all items mentioned. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WS7. WHAT KIND OF TOILET FACILITY DO members of your household usually USE? <br> If "flush" or "pour flush", probe: <br> Where does it flush to? <br> If necessary, ask permission to observe the facility. |  <br> No facilities or bush or field. $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ 96 | $95 \Rightarrow \text { NEXT }$ <br> MODULE |
| WS8. DO YOU SHARE THIS FACILITY WITH OTHER HOUSEHOLDS? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 } \Rightarrow \text { NEXT } \\ & \text { MODULE } \end{aligned}$ |
| WS9. HOW MANY HOUSEHOLDS IN TOTAL USE THIS TOILET FACILITY? | No. of households (if less than 10) ... 0 __ Ten or more households ........................... 10 DK ....................................................... 98 |  |


| HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS M | DULE | HC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC1B. WHAT IS THE MOTHER TONGUE/NATIVE LANGUAGE OF THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSEHOLD? | Turkmen $\qquad$ <br> Other language (specify) $\qquad$ 6 |  |
| HC2. How many rooms in this household are USED FOR SLEEPING? | No. of rooms .....................................- - |  |
| HC3. Main material of the dwelling floor: <br> Record observation. |  |  |
| HC4. Main material of the roof. <br> Record observation. | Rudimentary Roofing <br> Other (specify) |  |
| HC5. Main material of the walls. <br> Record observation. |  |  |
| HC6. WHAT TYPE OF FUEL DOES YOUR HOUSEHOLD MAINLY USE FOR COOKING? |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 01弓HC8 } \\ & 02 \leftrightharpoons H C 8 \\ & 03 \Leftrightarrow H C 8 \end{aligned}$ |



## ADDITIONAL HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

| HC11. DOES ANY MEMBER OF THIS HOUSEHOLD OWN ANY LAND THAT CAN BE USED FOR AGRICULTURE? | Yes ........................................................................................................................ No...... | 2¢HC13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. HC12. How many hectares of agricultural land do members of this household own? <br> 2. <br> If 1 he or more, circle " 1 " and record number of hectares <br> If more than 97 hectares, record '97'. <br> If less than 1 he, circle " 2 " and record number of hundred parts <br> If unknown, record '998'. | If $>=1 \mathrm{he}$, Hectares $\qquad$ 1, $\qquad$ <br> If < = 1 he, Hundred parts $\qquad$ 2, $\qquad$ <br> Unknown $\qquad$ 998 |  |
| HC13. DOES THIS HOUSEHOLD OWN ANY LIVESTOCK, HERDS, OR FARM ANIMALS? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... | 2ヶNEXT MODULE |
| HC14. HOW MANY OF THE FOLLOWING ANIMALS DOES THIS HOUSEHOLD HAVE? <br> MILK COWS OR BULLS? <br> Camels? <br> HORSES, DONKEYS, OR MULES? <br> Goats? <br> Sheep? <br> CHICKENS/OTHER BIRDS? <br> Rabbits? <br> Pigs? <br> If none, record '00'. <br> If more than 97, record '97'. <br> If unknown, record '98'. | Milk cows or bulls $\qquad$ <br> Camels $\qquad$ <br> Horses, donkeys, or mules $\qquad$ <br> Goats. $\qquad$ <br> Sheep. $\qquad$ <br> Chickens/other birds $\qquad$ <br> Rabbits $\qquad$ <br> Pigs $\qquad$ |  |

## CHILD DISCIPLINE MODULE

TABLE 1: CHILDREN AGED 2-14 YEARS ELIGIBLE FOR CHILD DISCIPLINE QUESTIONS
Review the household listing and list each of the children aged 2-14 years below in order according to their line number (HL1). Do not include other household members outside of the age range 2-14 years. Record the line number, name, sex, age, and the line number of the mother or caretaker for each child. Then record the total number of children aged 2-14 in the box provided (CD7).

| CD1. <br> Rank <br> no. | CD2. <br> Line <br> n. from <br> HL1. | CD3. <br> Name from HL2. | CD4. <br> Sex from <br> HL4. | CD5. <br> Age from <br> HL5. | CD6. <br> Line no. of mother/ <br> caretaker from HL7 <br> or HL8. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE | LINE | NAME | M | F | AGE |
| 01 | -- | 1 | 2 | - | MOTHER |$|$

If there is only one child age 2-14 years in the household, then skip table 2 and go to CD9; write down the rank number of the child and continue with CD11.

## TABLE 2: SELECTION OF RANDOM CHILD FOR CHILD DISCIPLINE QUESTIONS

Use this table to select one child between the ages of 2 and 14 years, if there is more than one child in that age range in the household. Look for the last digit of the household number from the cover page. This is the number of the row you should go to in the table below. Check the total number of eligible children (2-14) in CD7 above. This is the number of the column you should go to. Find the box where the row and the column meet and circle the number that appears in the box. This is the rank number of the child about whom the questions will be asked. Record the rank number in CD9 below. Finally, record the line number and name of the selected child in CD11 on the next page. Then, find the mother or primary caretaker of that child, and ask the questions, beginning with CD12.

| CD8. | TOT | M | E | E | RE | HE | E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Last digit of the questionnaire number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| CD9. Record the rank number of the selected child from Table 2 |  |  |  | Rank number of child |  |  |  |  |

## CHILD DISCIPLINE MODULE

Identify eligible child aged 2 to 14 in the household using the tables on the preceding page, according to your instructions. Ask to interview the mother or primary caretaker of the selected child (identified by the line number in CD6).

| CD11. Write name and line no. of the child selected for the module from CD3 and CD2, based on the rank number in CD9. | Name <br> Line number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD12. ALL ADULTS USE CERTAIN WAYS TO TEACH CHILDREN THE RIGHT bEHAVIOUR OR TO address a behaviour problem. I will read VARIOUS METHODS THAT ARE USED AND I WANT YOU TO TELL ME IF YOU OR ANYONE ELSE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD HAS USED THIS METHOD WITH (name) IN THE PAST MONTH. |  |  |
| CD12A. TOOK AWAY PRIVILEGES, FORBADE SOMETHING (name) LIKED OR DID NOT ALLOW HIM/HER TO LEAVE HOUSE). | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No...... |  |
| CD12B. EXPLAINED WHY SOMETHING (THE BEHAVIOR) WAS WRONG. |  |  |
| CD13. DO YOU THINK THAT IN ORDER TO RAISE (name) PROPERLY HE/SHE NEEDS TO BE PHYSICALLY PUNISHED? |  |  |


| SALT IODIZATION MODULE |  | SI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI1. We Would like to check whether the |  |  |
| SALT USED IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD IS IODIZED. | Not iodized 0 PPM ................................. 1 |  |
| MAY I SEE A SAMPLE OF THE SALT USED TO | Less than 15 PPM.................................. 2 |  |
| COOK THE MAIN MEAL EATEN BY MEMBERS OF | 15 PPM or more..................................... 3 |  |
| YOUR HOUSEHOLD LAST NIGHT? |  |  |
|  | No salt in home ...................................... 6 |  |
| Once you have examined the salt, circle number that corresponds to test outcome. | Salt not tested ......................................... 7 |  |

SI2. Does any eligible woman age 15-49 reside in the household?
Check household listing, column HL6. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible woman.

Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN
to administer the questionnaire to the first eligible woman.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Continue.
SI3. Does any child under the age of 5 reside in the household?
Check household listing, column HL8. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible child.
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE
to administer the questionnaire to mother or caretaker of the first eligible child.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview by thanking the respondent for his/her cooperation.
Gather together all questionnaires for this household and tally the number of interviews completed on the cover page.

NATI ONAL I NSTITUTE OF STATE STATI STI CS AND I NFORMATI ON OF TURKMENI STAN

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN

| WOMEN'S INFORMATION PANEL | WM |
| :---: | :---: |
| This module is to be administered to all women age 15 Fill in one form for each eligible woman. <br> Fill in the cluster and household number, and the nam name, number and the date. | hrough 49 (see column HL6 of HH listing). <br> and line number of the woman in the space below. Fill in your |
| WM1. Cluster number: | WM2. Household number: |
| WM3. Woman's Name: | WM4. Woman's Line Number: |
| WM5.Interviewer name and number: | WM6. Day/Month/Year of interview: $\qquad$ / $\qquad$ 1 |
| WM7. Result of women's interview |  |
| WM7 . Name and number of Editor: Name $\qquad$ | Date edited and signature: |

Repeat greeting if not already read to this woman:
We are from Nis. We are working on a project concerned with family health and education. I would LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THIS. ALL THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE IDENTIFIED. ALSO, YOU ARE NOT OBLIGED TO ANSWER ANY QUESTION YOU DON'T WANT TO, AND YOU MAY WITHDRAW FROM THE INTERVIEW AT ANY TIME. MAY I START NOW?

If permission is given, begin the interview. If the woman does not agree to continue, thank her, complete WM7, and go to the next interview. Discuss this result with your supervisor for a future revisit.

| WM8. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WERE YOU BORN? | Date of birth: <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> DK month $\qquad$ 98 <br> Year $\qquad$ 9998 |
| :---: | :---: |
| WM9. HOW OLD WERE YOU AT YOUR LAST BIRTHDAY? | Age (in completed years)................... __ |


| WM10. Have you ever attended school? | Yes ....................................................................................................................... No....... | $2 \Rightarrow W M 14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WM11. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOL YOU ATTENDED: PRIMARY, SECONDARY, SECONDARY PROFESSIONAL, OR HIGHER? | Primary............................................................................................................................................................................................................... |  |
| WM12. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST GRADE YOU COMPLETED AT THAT LEVEL? | Grade ............................................. |  |
| WM13. Check WM11: Secondary, secondary professional or higher. $\Rightarrow$ Primary $\Rightarrow$ Continue with WM14 | to Next Module |  |
| WM14. NOW I WOULD LIKE YOU TO READ THIS SENTENCE TO ME. <br> Show sentences to respondent. <br> If respondent cannot read whole sentence, probe: <br> CAN YOU READ PART OF THE SENTENCE TO ME? <br> Example sentences for literacy test: <br> 1. I love my children. <br> 2. A new theatre was built in our city recently. <br> 3. My children do their homework independently. <br> 4. Each person has to plant at least one tree during lifetime. | Able to read only parts of sentence ............ 2 <br> Able to read whole sentence....................... 3 <br> No sentence in required language $\qquad$ <br> (specify language) <br> Blind/mute, visually/speech impaired.. $\qquad$ |  |


| CHILD MORTALITY MODULE |  | CM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This module is to be administered to all women age All questions refer only to LIVE births． |  |  |
| CM1．Now I would Like To Ask About all the BIRTHS YOU HAVE HAD DURING YOUR LIFE． HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH？ <br> If＂No＂probe by asking： I MEAN，TO A CHILD WHO EVER BREATHED OR CRIED OR SHOWED OTHER SIGNS OF LIFE－ EVEN IF HE OR SHE LIVED ONLY A FEW MINUTES or Hours？ | Yes ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． No．．．．．． | $2 \Rightarrow$ MARRIAGE ／UNION MODULE |
| CM2A．WHAT WAS THE DATE OF YOUR FIRST BIRTH？ <br> I mean the very first time you gave birth， EVEN IF THE CHILD ID DEAD OR WAS FATHERED bY a man you are not living with now． <br> Skip to CM3 only if year of first birth is given． Otherwise，continue with CM2B． | Date of first birth <br> Day． <br> DK day $\qquad$ <br> Month <br> DK month $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ <br> DK year $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM} 3 \\ & \Omega \mathrm{CM} 2 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |
| CM2B．HOW MANY YEARS AGO DID YOU HAVE YOUR FIRST BIRTH？ | Completed years since first birth ．．．．．．．．．－－ |  |
| CM3．DO YOU HAVE ANY SONS OR DAUGHTERS TO whom you have given birth who are now LIVING WITH YOU？ | Yes ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． No．．．．．． | $2 弓 \mathrm{CM} 5$ |
| CM4．HOW MANY SONS LIVE WITH YOU？ <br> HOW MANY DAUGHTERS LIVE WITH YOU？ | Sons at home <br> Daughters at home |  |
| CM5．Do You have any sons or daughters to Whom you have given birth who are alive BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU？ | Yes ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． No．．．．．．． | 2弓CM7 |
| CM6．How many sons are alive but do not LIVE WITH YOU？ <br> How many daughters are alive but do NOT LIVE WITH YOU？ | Sons elsewhere <br> Daughters elsewhere |  |
| CM7．HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH TO A BOY OR GIRL WHO WAS BORN ALIVE BUT LATER DIED？ | Yes ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． No．．．．．．． | $2 弓 \mathrm{CM} 9$ |
| CM8．How many boys have died？ <br> How many girls have died？ | Boys dead <br> Girls dead |  |
| CM9．Sum answers to CM4，CM6，and CM8． | Sum． |  |
| CM10．JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE THIS RIGHT，YOU HAVE HAD IN TOTAL（total number）BIRTHS DURING YOUR LIFE．IS THIS CORRECT？ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \square \text { Yes. } \Rightarrow \text { Go to CM11 } \\ & \square \text { No. } \Rightarrow \text { Check responses and make corrections befc } \end{aligned}$ | e proceeding to CM11 |  |


| CM11. OF THESE (total number) BIRTHS YOU HAVE HAD, WHEN DID YOU DELIVER THE LAST ONE (EVEN IF HE OR SHE HAS DIED)? <br> If day is not known, enter ' 98 ' in space for day. | Date of last birth <br> Day/Month/Year $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM11A.SOMETIMES PREGNANCY IS NOT COMPLETED WITH A LIVE BIRTH. IN OTHER WORDS, PREGNANCY MAY BE TERMINATED BY ABORTION, MISCARRIAGE OR STILLBIRTH. Now I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT EACH OF THESE separately. How many abortions did you HAVE? <br> IF NO ABORTIONS, RECORD "OO" | Total abortions........................_- |  |
| CM11B. HOW MANY MISCARRIAGES? <br> IF No, RECORD "00" | Total miscarriages...................._- |  |
| CM11C. How MANY STILLBIRTHS? <br> IF No, RECORD "00" | Total stillbirths....................__ _ |  |
| CM12. Check CM11: Did the woman's last birth oc interview in 2004)? <br> If child has died, take special care when referring to No live birth in last 2 years. $\Rightarrow$ Go to MARRIAGE Yes, live birth in last 2 years. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CM <br> Name of child | ur within the last 2 years, that is, since (day and <br> his child by name in the following modules. <br> UNION module. <br> 13 | $h$ of |
| CM13. AT THE TIME YOU BECAME PREGNANT WITH (name), DID YOU WANT TO BECOME PREGNANT then, did you want to wait until later, or DID YOU WANT NO (MORE) CHILDREN AT ALL? | Then................................................................................................................................................................................. |  |


| MATERNAL AND NEWBORN HEALT | ODULE | MN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This module is to be administered to all women with Check child mortality module CM12 and record na Use this child's name in the following questions, | live birth in the 2 years preceding date of interview. of last-born child here $\qquad$ indicated. |  |
| MN1. IN THE FIRST TWO MONTHS AFTER YOUR LAST BIRTH [THE BIRTH OF NAME], DID YOU RECEIVE A VITAMIN A DOSE LIKE THIS? <br> Show 200,000 IU capsule or dispenser. |  |  |
| MN2. DID You SEE ANYONE FOR ANTENATAL CARE FOR THIS PREGNANCY? <br> If yes: Whom did you see? Anyone else? <br> Probe for the type of person seen and circle all answers given. | Health professional: <br> Doctor. $\qquad$ A <br> Nurse/midwife $\qquad$ <br> Other person <br> Traditional birth attendant $\qquad$ . F <br> Relative/friend $\qquad$ . H <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X <br> No one. $\qquad$ Y | Y $\Longleftrightarrow$ MN6A |
| MN3. As PART OF YOUR ANTENATAL CARE, WERE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING DONE AT LEAST ONCE? <br> MN3A. WERE YOU WEIGHED? <br> MN3B. WAS YOUR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASURED? <br> MN3C. DID YOU GIVE A URINE SAMPLE? <br> MN3D. DID YOU GIVE A BLOOD SAMPLE? <br> MN3E. WAS THE TYPE OF BLOOD DETERMINED? <br> MN3F. DID YOU HAVE A GINACOLIGICAL CHECK? <br> MN3G. WAS YOU PREGNANCY TERM CALCULATED? <br> MN3H. DID YOU HAVE AN ULTRASOUND? |  |  |
| MN4A. DURING ANY OF THE ANTENATAL VISITS FOR THE PREGNANCY, WERE YOU GIVEN OR PURCHASED ANY IRON TABS OR SYROPE? |  |  |
| MN4B. DURING THE PREGNANCYM HAW MANY DAYS DID YOU TAKE IRON TABS? | Number of days.................................... 8 |  |
| MN4. DURING ANY OF THE ANTENATAL VISITS FOR THE PREGNANCY, WERE YOU GIVEN ANY information or counseled about AIDS or THE AIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| MN5. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT were you tested for HIV/AIDS as part of YOUR ANTENATAL CARE? | Yes.............................................................................................................................................................................................. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow M N 6 A \\ & 8 \Rightarrow M N 6 A \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| MN6. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT DID YOU GET THE RESULTS OF THE TEST? |  |  |


| MN7. WHO ASSISTED WITH THE DELIVERY OF YOUR LAST CHILD (or name)? <br> Anyone else? <br> Probe for the type of person assisting and circle all answers given. | Health professional: <br> Doctor. $\qquad$ <br> Nurse/midwife $\qquad$ <br> Other person <br> Local birth attendant $\qquad$ . F <br> Relative/friend $\qquad$ . H <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ $x$ <br> No one. $\qquad$ Y |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN8. WHERE DID YOU GIVE BIRTH TO (name)? <br> If source is hospital, health center, or clinic, write the name of the place below. Probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate code. <br> (Name of place) |  |  |
| MN9. WHEN YOUR LAST CHILD (name) WAS BORN, WAS HE/SHE VERY LARGE, LARGER THAN average, average, smaller than average, OR VERY SMALL? | Very large................................................. 1 Larger than average.............................. 2 Average.................................... 3 Smaller than average............................... 4 Very small ..................................... 5 DK ............................................................... 8 |  |
| MN10. WAS (name) WEIGHED AT BIRTH? | Yes ................................................................................................................ 2 No.................................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { MN12 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { MN12 } \end{aligned}$ |
| MN11. HOW MUCH DID (name) WEIGH? <br> Record weight from health card, if available. |  |  |
| MN12. DID YOU EVER BREASTFEED (name)? | Yes ................................................................................................................................ | $2 \Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE |
| MN13. How LONG AFTER BIRTH DID YOU FIRST PUT (name) TO THE BREAST? <br> If less than 1 hour, record ' 00 ' hours. <br> If less than 24 hours, record hours. Otherwise, record days. | Immediately........................................... 000 Hours................................................. 1 —— or Days ....................................................... 2 —— Don't know/remember............................. 998 |  |


| MARRIAGE/UNION MODULE |  | MA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA1. Are You Currently married or living TOGETHER WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? | Yes, currently married.................................. 1 Yes, living with a man ................................................................................. No, not in union....... | $3 ¢$ MA3 |
| MA2. HOW OLD WAS YOUR HUSBAND/PARTNER ON HIS LAST BIRTHDAY? | Age in years <br> DK $\qquad$ 98 |  |
| MA3. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN MARRIED OR LIVED TOGETHER WITH A MAN? |  | दINEXT MODULE |
| MAЗA. Check MA3.No $\Rightarrow$ Skip to HIV/AIDS ModuleYes, was married or Yes, lived with a man $\Rightarrow$ Continue to MA4 |  |  |
| MA4. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS NOW: ARE You widowed, divorced or separated? |  |  |
| MA5. HAVE YOU bEEN MARRIED OR LIVED WITH A man only once or more than once? |  |  |
| MA6. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU FIRST MARRY OR START LIVING WITH A MAN AS IF MARRIED? | Month $\qquad$ <br> DK month .................................................. 98 <br> Year. $\qquad$ <br> DK year $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |
| MA7. Check MA6:Both month and year of marriage/union known? $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next ModuleEither month or year of marriage/union not known? $\Rightarrow$ Continue with MA8 |  |  |
| MA8. HOW OLD WERE YOU WHEN YOU STARTED LIVING WITH YOUR FIRST HUSBAND/PARTNER? | Age in years ................................... |  |


| CONTRACEPTION AND UNMET NEED <br> To be administered only to married women |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT ANOTHER SUBJECT - FAMILY PLANNING AND YOUR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH. |  |  |  |
| CPOA. SOME PEOPLE USE VARIOUS METHODS to delay or avoid a pregnancy. <br> Which methods have you heard about? <br> Do not prompt. <br> If more than one method is mentioned, circle each one. | Female sterilization <br> Male sterilization <br> Pill <br> IUD <br> Injections <br> Implants <br> Condom <br> Female condom <br> Diaphragm <br> Foam/jelly <br> Lactational amenorrhoea <br> method (LAM) <br> Periodic abstinence <br> Withdrawal <br> Other (specify) <br> None specified | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \\ & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{~J} \\ & \\ & \mathrm{~K} \\ & \mathrm{~L} \\ & \mathrm{M} \\ & \\ & \mathrm{X} \\ & \mathrm{Y} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| CP2. SOME PEOPLE USE VARIOUS WAYS OR METHODS TO DELAY OR AVOID A pregnancy. <br> Are you currently doing something or USING ANY METHOD TO DELAY OR AVOID GETTING PREGNANT? |  |  | $2 \Rightarrow C P 4 A$ |
| CP3. WHICH METHOD ARE YOU USING? <br> Do not prompt. <br> If more than one method is mentioned, circle each one. | Pill <br> IUD <br> Injections <br> Implants <br> Condom <br> Female condom <br> Diaphragm <br> Foam/jelly <br> Lactational amenorrhoea <br> method (LAM) <br> Periodic abstinence <br> Withdrawal <br> Other (specify) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{E} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \mathrm{G} \\ \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{I} \\ \mathrm{~J} \\ \\ \mathrm{~K} \\ \mathrm{~L} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |




| HIV/AIDS MODULE |  | HA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA1. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. <br> Have you ever heard of the virus HIV or AN ILLNESS CALLED AIDS? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................... | $2 \Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE |
| HA2. CAN PEOPLE PROTECT THEMSELVES FROM GETTING INFECTED WITH THE AIDS VIRUS BY HAVING ONE SEX PARTNER WHO IS NOT INFECTED AND ALSO HAS NO OTHER PARTNERS? |  |  |
| HA3. CAN PEOPLE GET INFECTED WITH THE AIDS VIRUS BECAUSE OF WITCHCRAFT OR OTHER SUPERNATURAL MEANS? |  |  |
| HA4. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF getting the Aids virus by using a CONDOM EVERY TIME THEY HAVE SEX? | Yes............................................................................................................................................................................................. No DK....... |  |
| HA5. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS FROM MOSQUITO BITES? |  |  |
| HA6. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF GETTING INFECTED WITH THE AIDS vIRUS BY NOT HAVING SEX AT ALL? |  |  |
| HA7. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS BY SHARING FOOD WITH A PERSON WHO HAS AIDS? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................................... No. DK....... |  |
| HA7A. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS BY GETTING INJECTIONS WITH A NEEDLE THAT WAS ALREADY USED BY SOMEONE ELSE? |  |  |
| HA8. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A HEALTHY-LOOKING PERSON TO HAVE THE AIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| HA9. CAN THE AIDS VIRUS BE TRANSMITTED FROM A MOTHER TO A BABY? <br> HA9A. During pregnancy? <br> HA9b. DURING DELIVERY? <br> HA9c. BY BREASTFEEDING? |  Yes No DK <br> During pregnancy.................... 1 2 8  <br> During delivery ..................... 1 2 8  <br> By breastfeeding ................ 1 2 8  |  |
| HA10. IF A FEMALE TEACHER HAS THE AIDS VIRUS bUt is not sick, should she be allowed to CONTINUE TEACHING IN SCHOOL? |  |  |
| HA11. WOULD YOU BUY FRESH VEGETABLES FROM a Shopkeeper or vendor if you knew that THIS PERSON HAD THE AIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| HA12. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME INFECTED WITH THE AIDS VIRUS, WOULD YOU WANT IT TO REMAIN A SECRET? |  |  |
| HA13. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK WITH THE AIDS VIRUS, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO CARE FOR HIM OR HER IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD? |  |  |

HA14. Check MN5: Tested for HIV during antenatal care?
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to HA18A
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with HA15

| HA15. I DO NOT WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT HAVE YOU EVER BEEN TESTED TO SEE IF you have HIV, the virus that causes AIDS? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No. $\qquad$ | $2 \Rightarrow H A 18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA16. I DO NOT WANT YOU TO TELL ME THE RESULTS OF THE TEST, BUT HAVE YOU bEEN TOLD THE RESULTS? | Yes ...................................................................................................................... No...... |  |
| HA17. DID YOU, YOURSELF, ASK FOR THE TEST, WAS IT OFFERED TO YOU AND YOU ACCEPTED, OR WAS IT REQUIRED? | Asked for the test $\qquad$ <br> Offered and accepted $\qquad$ 2 <br> Required. $\qquad$ 3 | $1 \Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE 2 $\Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE $3 \Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE |
| HA18. AT THIS TIME, DO YOU KNOW OF A PLACE WHERE YOU CAN GO TO GET SUCH A TEST TO SEE IF YOU HAVE THE AIDS VIRUS? <br> HA18A. If tested for HIV during antenatal care: Other than at the antenatal clinic, do YOU KNOW OF A PLACE WHERE YOU CAN GO TO GET A TEST TO SEE IF YOU HAVE THE AIDS VIRUS? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No................................................................. 2 |  |

Follow instructions in your Interviewer's Manual.

| TUBERCULOSIS MODULE |  | TB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TB1. HAVE YOU EVER HEARD OF AN ILLNESS CALLED TUBERCULOSIS? | Yes.................................................................................................................................................. | $2 \Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE |
| TB2. IS TUBERCULOSIS CURABLE? | Yes.............................................................. 1 No............................................... 2 DK ........................................................................ 8 |  |
| TB3. HAVE YOU OR YOUR FAMILY MEMBERS EVER HAD TUBERCULOSIS? | Yes ............................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No................... |  |
| TB4. BESIDES YOUR FAMILY MEMBERS, ARE THERE PERSONS YOU CONTACT OFTEN (NEIGHBOURS, COLLEAGUES OR CLOSE FRIENDS) WHICH HAVE BEEN ILL WITH TUBERCULOSIS? |  |  |
| TB5. WHAT SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS INDICATE THAT A PERSON IS SICK WITH TUBERCULOSIS? <br> Others? <br> Record all answers |  |  |
| TB6.WHAT SIGNS OR SYMPTOMS OF TUBERCULOSIS REQUIRE IMMEDIATE REFERRAL FOR MEDICAL HELP? <br> Others? <br> Record all answers |  |  |
| TB7. WHEN A PERSON FINDS OUT THAT HE/SHE has tuberculosis, how should he/she be Initially treated: In hospital, at home, or BOTH? | In hospital ................................................ 1At home ........................................... 2Initially in hospital, then at home .......... 3Other (specify)__DK ................................................. 8 |  |


| TB 8. How TUBERCULOSIS IS PERSON-TO-PERSON TRANSMITTED? <br> ANY OTHER WAY? <br> Record all answers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TB9. WHERE WOULD YOU GO TO GET HELP IF YOU THINK THAT YOU OR YOUR CHILD HAVE TUBERCULOSIS? <br> OTHER? <br> Record all answers | Public sector <br> Hospital $\qquad$ A <br> Health center/Polyclinics. $\qquad$ <br> Family doctor/Physician $\qquad$ <br> Tuberculosis prophylactic center ...............D <br> Other public $\qquad$ .. E (specify) <br> Private sector <br> Private hospital/clinic $\qquad$ F <br> Private doctor $\qquad$ . G <br> (specify) <br> Traditional practitioner. $\qquad$ <br> Mullah/priest................................................ J <br> Other $\qquad$ ........ X <br> (specify) |  |
| TB10. AFTER TREATMENT OF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY FOR TUBERCULOSIS IN A HOSPITAL, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO CARE FOR HIM/HER IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD? | Yes ............................................................................................................................. No |  |
| TB10A. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK WITH TUBERCULOSIS, WOULD YOU WANT it TO REMAIN A SECRET? | Yes, keep it secret ................................................................................................ <br> DK/not sure $\qquad$ |  |

Follow instructions in your Interviewer's Manual.

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER 5

| UNDER-FIVE CHILD INFORMATION PANEL |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| This questionnaire is to be administered to all mothers or caretakers (see household listing, column HL8) who care <br> for a child that lives with them and is under the age of 5 years (see household listing, column HL5). <br> A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible child. <br> Fill in the cluster and household number, and names and line numbers of the child and the mother/caretaker in the <br> space below. Insert your own name and number, and the date. |  |
| UF1. Cluster number: | UF2. Household number: |
| UF3. Child's Name: | UF4. Child's Line Number: |
| UF5. Mother's/Caretaker's Name: | UF6. Mother's/Caretaker's Line Number: |
| UF7. Interviewer name and number: | UF8. Day/Month/Year of interview: |
| UF9. Result of interview for children under 5 | Completed.................................................... 2 <br> Not at home .................................. <br> Refused............................................. 3 <br> Partly completed ............................ 4 <br> Other (specify) <br> (Codes refer to mother/caretaker.) |

Repeat greeting if not already read to this respondent:
We are from Nis. We are working on a project concerned with family health and education. I would LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THIS. THE INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT (number) MINUTES. ALL THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE identified. Also, you are not obliged to answer any question you don't want to, and you may WITHDRAW FROM THE INTERVIEW AT ANY TIME. MAY I START NOW?

If permission is given, begin the interview. If the respondent does not agree to continue, thank him/her and go to the next interview. Discuss this result with your supervisor for a future revisit.

| UF10. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE HEALTH OF EACH CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 5 IN YOUR CARE, WHO LIVES WITH YOU NOW. <br> NOW I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT (name). <br> IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WAS (name) BORN? <br> Probe: <br> WHAT IS HIS/HER BIRTHDAY? <br> If the mother/caretaker knows the exact birth date, also enter the day; otherwise, circle 98 for day. | Date of birth: <br> Day <br> DK day $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| UF11. HOW OLD WAS (name) AT HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record age in completed years. | Age in completed years ........................._- |



| Question CE1 is to be administered only once to each caretaker |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| CE1. How many books are there in the household? Please include SCHOOLBOOKS, BUT NOT OTHER BOOKS MEANT FOR CHILDREN, SUCH AS PICTURE BOOKS <br> If 'none' enter 00 | Number of non-children's books ............. 0 <br> Ten or more non-children's books $\qquad$ 10 |  |
| CE2. How MANY CHILDREN'S BOOKS OR PICTURE BOOKS DO YOU HAVE FOR (name)? <br> If 'none' enter 00 | Number of children's books $\qquad$ 0 <br> Ten or more books $\qquad$ 10 |  |
| CE3. I AM INTERESTED IN LEARNING ABOUT THE THINGS THAT (name) PLAYS WITH WHEN HE/SHE IS AT HOME. <br> What does (name) PLAY WITH? <br> DOES HE/SHE PLAY WITH <br> HOUSEHOLD OBJECTS, SUCH AS BOWLS, PLATES, CUPS OR POTS? <br> OBJECTS AND MATERIALS FOUND OUTSIDE THE LIVING QUARTERS, SUCH AS STICKS, ROCKS, ANIMALS, SHELLS, OR LEAVES? <br> HOMEMADE TOYS, SUCH AS DOLLS, CARS AND OTHER TOYS MADE AT HOME? <br> TOYS THAT CAME FROM A STORE? <br> If the respondent says "YES" to any of the prompted categories, then probe to learn specifically what the child plays with to ascertain the response <br> Code Y if child does not play with any of the items mentioned. | Household objects <br> (bowls, plates, cups, pots) <br> Objects and materials found outside the living quarters (sticks, rocks, animals, shells, leaves) $\qquad$ <br> Homemade toys <br> (dolls, cars and other toys made at home) <br> Toys that came from a store $\qquad$ <br> No playthings mentioned $\qquad$ |  |
| CE4. SOMETIMES ADULTS TAKING CARE OF Children have to leave the house to go SHOPPING, WASH CLOTHES, OR FOR OTHER REASONS AND HAVE TO LEAVE YOUNG CHILDREN WITH OTHERS. SINCE LAST (day of the week) HOW MANY TIMES WAS (name) LEFT in the care of another child (that is, SOMEONE LESS THAN 10 YEARS OLD)? <br> If 'none' enter 00 | Number of times...............................-_ |  |
| CE5. In THE PAST WEEK, HOW MANY TIMES WAS (name) LEFT ALONE? <br> If 'none' enter 00 | Number of times.................................- |  |


| BREASTFEEDING MODULE |  | BF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BF1. HAS (name) EVER BEEN BREASTFED? | Yes .................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No...................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow B F 3$ |
|  | DK ..................................................... 8 | $8 \Rightarrow B F 3$ |
| BF2. IS HE/SHE STILL BEING BREASTFED? | Yes ................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No.................................................................... 2 |  |
|  | DK ..................................................... 8 |  |
| BF3. SINCE THIS TIME YESTERDAY, DID HE/SHE RECEIVE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: |  |  |
| Read each item aloud and record response before proceeding to the next item. | Y N DKA. Vitamin supplements .................. 128 |  |
| BF3A. VITAMIN, MINERAL SUPPLEMENTS OR MEDICINE? <br> BF3B. PLAIN WATER? |  |  |
|  | B. Plain water $\qquad$ 128 |  |
| BF3C. SWEETENED, FLAVOURED WATER OR FRUIT JUICE OR TEA OR INFUSION? | C. Sweetened water or juice............. 128 |  |
| BF3D. ORAL REHYDRATION SOLUTION (ORS)?BF3E. INFANT FORMULA? | D. ORS....................................... 128 |  |
|  | E. Infant formula............................ 128 |  |
| BF3F. TINNED, POWDERED OR FRESH MILK? | F. Milk......................................... 11288 |  |
| BF3G. ANY OTHER LIQUIDS? | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { G. Other liquids.............................. } 1 & 1 & 2 & 8 \\ \text { H. Solid or semi-solid food.............. } 1 & 2 & 8\end{array}$ |  |
| BF3H. SOLID OR SEMI-SOLID (MUSHY) FOOD? |  |  |
| BF4. Check BF3H: Child received solid or semi-solid (mushy) food? |  |  |
| $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with BF5 |  |  |
| $\square$ No or DK. $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module |  |  |
| BF5. SINCE THIS TIME YESTERDAY, HOW MANY TIMES DID (name) EAT SOLID, SEMISOLID, OR SOFT FOODS OTHER THAN LIQUIDS? | No. of times ......................................- - |  |
|  |  |  |
| If 7 or more times, record ' 7 ', | Don't know ........................................... 8 |  |


| CARE OF ILLNESS MODULE |  | CA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA1. HAS (name) HAD DIARRHOEA IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS, THAT IS, SINCE (day of the week) OF THE WEEK BEFORE LAST? <br> Diarrhoea is determined as perceived by mother or caretaker, or as three or more loose or watery stools per day, or blood in stool. | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 5 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA2. DURING THIS LAST EPISODE OF DIARRHOEA, DID (name) DRINK ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: <br> Read each item aloud and record response before proceeding to the next item. <br> CA2A. A FLUID MADE FROM A SPECIAL ORS PACKET CALLED REHYDRON OR APECTRAL? <br> CA2b. Government-recommended homemade FLUID? | A. Fluid from ORS packet $\qquad$ 128 <br> B. Fluids recommended by the Ministry of Health and Medical Industry $\qquad$ 28 |  |
| CA3. DURING (name's) ILLNESS, DID HE/SHE DRINK much less, about the same, or more than USUAL? | Much less or none....................................... 1 About the same (or somewhat less) ............................................................ 3 More...................................................................... 8 |  |
| CA4. DURING (name's) ILLNESS, DID HE/SHE EAT LESS, ABOUT THE SAME, OR MORE FOOD THAN USUAL? <br> If "less", probe: <br> MUCH LESS OR A LITTLE LESS? |  |  |
| CA4A. Check CA2A: ORS packet used? <br> $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA4B <br> $\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Go to CA5 |  |  |
| CA4b. WHERE DID YOU GET THE REHYDRONOR APECTRAL? |  |  |


| CA4C. HOW MUCH DID YOU PAY FOR THE Rehydron or Apectral? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA5. HAS (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A COUGH at any time in the Last two weeks, that is, SINCE (day of the week) OF THE WEEK bEFORE LAST? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 12 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA6. WHEN (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A COUGH, DID HE/SHE BREATHE FASTER THAN USUAL WITH SHORT, QUICK BREATHS OR HAVE DIFFICULTY BREATHING? | Yes ..................................................................................................................................................................................................... No. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 12 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA7. WERE THE SYMPTOMS DUE TO A PROBLEM IN THE CHEST OR A BLOCKED NOSE? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 12 \\ & 6 \Leftrightarrow C A 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA8. DID YOU SEEK ADVICE OR TREATMENT FOR the ilLness outside the home? | Yes .......................................................................................................................................................................................... Do............. DK | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 10 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA9. FROM WHERE DID YOU SEEK CARE? <br> ANYWHERE ELSE? <br> Circle all providers mentioned, but do NOT prompt with any suggestions. <br> If source is hospital, health center, or clinic, write the name of the place below. Probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate code. <br> (Name of place) | Public sector <br> Govt. hospital $\qquad$ A <br> Govt. health centre $\qquad$ <br> Govt. health post $\qquad$ . B <br> Village health worker. $\qquad$ ... C <br> Mobile/outreach clinic $\qquad$ ... E <br> Other public (specify) $\qquad$ <br> Private medical sector <br> Private hospital/clinic $\qquad$ $\qquad$ . 1 <br> Private physician <br> Private pharmacy $\qquad$ .. J <br> Mobile clinic $\qquad$ <br> Other private <br> medical (specify) $\qquad$ 0 <br> Other source <br> Relative or friend $\qquad$ <br> Shop $\qquad$ <br> Traditional practitioner $\qquad$ Q R S <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
| CA10. WAS (name) GIVEN MEDICINE TO TREAT THIS ILLNESS? | Yes $\qquad$1 <br> . <br> DK $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CA} 12 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CA} 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA11. WHAT MEDICINE WAS (name) GIVEN? Circle all medicines given. | Antibiotic. <br> Paracetamol/Panadol/Acetaminophen $\qquad$ P <br> Aspirin <br> lbupropfen. $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ x <br> DK. $\qquad$ . |  |


| CA11A. Check CA11: Antibiotic given? Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with CA11B No. $\Rightarrow$ Go to CA12 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA11B. WHERE DID YOU GET THE ANTIBIOTIC? |  |  |
| CA11c. How MUCH DID YOU PAY FOR THE ANTIBIOTIC? |  |  |
| Ask the following question (CA14) only once for each mother/caretaker. <br> CA14. Sometimes children have severe ILLNESSES AND SHOULD BE TAKEN IMMEDIATELY TO A HEALTH FACILITY. WHAT TYPES OF SYMPTOMS WOULD CAUSE YOU TO TAKE YOUR CHILD TO A HEALTH FACILITY RIGHT AWAY? <br> Keep asking for more signs or symptoms until the mother/caretaker cannot recall any additional symptoms. <br> Circle all symptoms mentioned, <br> But do NOT prompt with any suggestions. |  |  |

## IMMUNIZATION MODULE

If an immunization card is available, copy the dates in IM2-IM8 for each type of immunization or vitamin A dose recorded on the card. IM10-IM18 are for recording vaccinations that are not recorded on the card. IM10-IM18 will only be asked when a card is not available.

| IM1. IS THERE A VACCINATION CARD FOR (name)? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Leftrightarrow I M 10 \\ & 3 \Leftrightarrow I M 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| (a) Copy dates for each vaccination from the card. <br> (b) Write '44' in day column if card shows that vaccination was given but no date recorded. | Date of Immunization |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAY | MONTH | YEAR |  |
| $\mathrm{IM} 2 . \mathrm{BCG}$ BCG |  |  |  |  |
| IM3A. POLIO AT BIRTH OPV 0 |  |  |  |  |
| IM3B. POLIO 1 PV 1 |  |  |  |  |
| IM3C. POLIO 2 PV 2 |  |  |  |  |
| IM3D. POLIO 3 OPV 3 |  |  |  |  |
| IM3E. POLIO 4 OPV 4 |  |  |  |  |
| IM4A. DPT1 DPT1 |  |  |  |  |
| IM4B. DPT2 DPT2 |  |  |  |  |
| IM4C. DPT3 DPT3 |  |  |  |  |
| IM4D. DPT4 DPT4 |  |  |  |  |
| IM5A. HepB1 HepB1 |  |  |  |  |
| IM5B. HEPB2 HepB2 |  |  |  |  |
| IM5C. HEPB3 HEPB3 |  |  |  |  |
| IM6. Measles (or MMR) Measles |  |  |  |  |
| IM10. HAS (name) EVER RECEIVED ANY VACCINATIONS TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING DISEASES, INCLUDING VACCINATIONS RECEIVED IN A CAMPAIGN OR IMMUNIZATION DAY? | Yes... <br> No. $\qquad$ <br> DK $\qquad$ |  | .................. 1 <br> 2 <br> 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 20 A \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 20 A \end{aligned}$ |
| IM11. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN A BCG VACCINATION AGAINST TUBERCULOSIS - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE ARM OR SHOULDER? | Yes....... <br> No $\qquad$ <br> DK $\qquad$ |  |  |  |
| IM12. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN ANY "VACCINATION DROPS IN THE MOUTH" TO PROTECT HIM/HER FROM GETTING DISEASES THAT IS, POLIO? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ DK $\qquad$ |  | ................... 1 $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ 2 ..................$~$ 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 } \Rightarrow I M 15 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM13. HOW OLD WAS HE/SHE WHEN THE FIRST DOSE WAS GIVEN - JUST AFTER BIRTH (WITHIN TWO WEEKS) OR LATER? | Just afte Later ..... | birth (within | ). $\qquad$ $1$ <br> 2 |  |


| IM14. How many times has he/she been given THESE DROPS? | No. of times. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IM15. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN "DPT VACCINATION INJECTIONS" - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING TETANUS, WHOOPING COUGH, DIPHTHERIA? (SOMETIMES GIVEN AT THE SAME TIME AS POLIO) | Yes ............................................................. 1 No.................................................................. 2 DK ............................................................ 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 16 A \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 16 A \end{aligned}$ |
| IM16. How many times? | No. of times.. |  |
| IM16A. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN "HEPB VACCINATION INJECTIONS" - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING HEPATITIS B? (SOMETIMES GIVEN AT THE SAME TIME AS DPT AND POLIO) | Yes .............................................................. 1 No.................................................................. 2 DK .................................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2 } \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 17 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM16B. How many times? | No. of times.. |  |
| IM17. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN "MEASLES VACCINATION INJECTIONS" OR MMR - THAT IS, A SHOT IN THE ARM AT THE AGE OF 9 MONTHS OR OLDER - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING MEASLES? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No.................................................................. 2 DK ........................................................................ 8 |  |
| IM20 Obtain all information needed to identify the child's individual card in the healthcare facility. After interview visit the healthcare facility and complete the Immunization Module by healthcare data. <br> Full name of child: $\qquad$ Address: $\qquad$ <br> Address of the healthcare facility which keeps the child's individual card, including immunization records |  |  |
| IM20. Does another eligible child reside in the household for whom this respondent is mother/caretaker? Check household listing, column HL8. Yes. $\Rightarrow$ End the current questionnaire and then <br> Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE to administer the questionnaire for the next eligible child. No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview with this respondent by thanking him/her for his/her cooperation. <br> If this is the last eligible child in the household, go on to ANTHROPOMETRY MODULE. |  |  |


| After questionnaires for all children are complete, the measurer weighs and measures each child. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After questionnaires for all children are complete, the measurer weighs and measures each child. Record weight and length/height below, taking care to record the measurements on the correct questionnaire for each child. Check the child's name and line number on the household listing before recording measurements. |  |  |
| AN1. Child's weight. | Kilograms (kg)............................ |  |
| AN2. Child's length or height. <br> Check age of child in UF11: <br> $\square$ Child under 2 years old. $\Rightarrow$ Measure length (lying down). <br> $\square$ Child age 2 or more years. $\Rightarrow$ Measure height (standing up). | Length (cm) <br> Lying down $\qquad$ 1 <br> Height (cm) <br> Standing up $\qquad$ 2 $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |  |
| AN2A. MEASURE OF UPPER ARM CIRCumferernce (MUAC). | MUAC (sm) ............................ __ _ . |  |
| AN3. Measurer's identification code. | Measurer code .................................- - |  |
| AN4. Result of measurement. | Measured................................................... 1 <br> Not present ................................................ 2 <br> Refused.............................. 3 <br> Other (specify) |  |

AN5. Is there another child in the household who is eligible for measurement?Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Record measurements for next child.No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview with this household by thanking all participants for their cooperation.
Gather together all questionnaires for this household and check that all identification numbers are inserted on each page. Tally on the Household Information Panel the number of interviews completed.



[^0]:    * Percentage of 0-17 children in households living separately from one of their biological parents

[^1]:    1 The terms "children under 5", "children aged 0-4 years" and "children aged 0-59 months" are used interchangeably in this report.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The model MICS3 questionnaire can be found at www.childinfo.org, or in UNICEF, 2006.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ This was determined by asking "What is the mother tongue of the household head?"
    ${ }^{4}$ Unless otherwise stated, throughout this report "education" refers to educational level attended by the respondent when it is used as a background variable.
    ${ }^{5}$ Principal components analysis was performed by using information on the ownership of household goods and amenities (assets) to assign weights to each household asset, and to obtain wealth scores for each household in the sample (The assets used in these calculations were as follows: source of water; sanitary [toilet] facility; main materials of the floor, roof and walls; number of rooms used for sleeping; type of fuel used for cooking; household effects (appliances and furniture). Each household was then weighted by the number of household members and the household population was divided into five groups of equal size, from the poorest quintile to the richest quintile, based on the wealth scores of households they were living in. The wealth index is assumed to capture the underlying long-term wealth through information on the household assets and is intended to produce a ranking of households by wealth, from poorest to richest. The wealth index does not provide information on absolute poverty, current income or expenditure levels, and the wealth scores calculated are

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ For a detailed description of the methodology, see Boerma, Weinstein, Rutstein and Sommerfelt, 1996.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ Unmet need measurement in MICS is somewhat different than that used in other household surveys, such as the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). In DHS, more detailed information is collected on additional variables, such as postpartum amenhorroea, and sexual activity. Results from the two types of surveys are strictly not comparable.

[^6]:    * MICS indicator 9
    ** MICS indicator 10

[^7]:    * MICS indicator 33

[^8]:    * MICS indicator 13

[^9]:    * The mean time to source of drinking water is calculated based on those households that do not have water on the premises.

[^10]:    * MICS indicator 44

[^11]:    * MICS indicator 46
    ** MICS indicator 47

[^12]:    * MICS indicator 51

[^13]:    * MICS indicator 52
    ** MICS indicator 53

[^14]:    * MICS indicator 59; MDG indicator 7b
    ** MICS indicator 58

[^15]:    * MICS indicator 67
    ** MICS indicator 68

[^16]:    * MICS indicator 69

[^17]:    * MICS indicator 89

[^18]:    * MICS indicator 87
    ** MICS indicator 88

[^19]:    * MICS indicator 90
    ** MICS indicator 91

[^20]:    * MICS indicator 77; MDG indicator 20

[^21]:    * See instructions: to be used only for elderly household members (code meaning "do not know/over age 50").

    Now for each woman age 15-49 years, write her name and line number and other identifying information in the
    information panel of the Women's Questionnaire.
    For each child under age 5, write his/her name and line number AND the line number of his/her mother or caretaker in the information panel of the Questionnaire for Children UnderFive.
    You should now have a separate questionnaire for each eligible woman and each child under five in the household.

