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Summary Table of Findings
Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) and Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Indicators, Yemen, 2006

| Topic | MICS <br> Indicator <br> Number | MDG <br> Indicator Number | Indicator | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHILD MORTALITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child mortality | 1 |  | Under-five mortality rate | 78 | per thousand |
|  | 2 |  | Infant mortality rate | 69 | per thousand |
| NUTRITION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breastfeeding | 45 |  | Timely initiation of breastfeeding | 30 | percent |
| Vitamin A | 43 |  | Vitamin A supplementation (post-partum mothers) | 16 | percent |
| Low birth weight | 10 |  | Infants weighed at birth | 8 | percent |
| CHILD HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| Immunization | 25 | 15 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | 67 | percent |
|  | 26 |  | Polio immunization coverage | 60 | percent |
|  | 27 |  | DPT immunization coverage | 60 | percent |
|  | 28 |  | Measles immunization coverage | 59 | percent |
|  | 31 |  | Fully immunized children | 18 | percent |
|  | 29 |  | Hepatitis B immunization coverage | 19 | percent |
| Tetanus toxoid | 32 |  | Neonatal tetanus protection | 31 | percent |
| Care of illness | 33 |  | Use of oral rehydration therapy (ORT) | 87 | percent |
|  | 34 |  | Home management of diarrhoea | 31 | percent |
|  | 22 |  | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | 38 | percent |
| Solid fuel use | 24 | 29 | Solid fuels | 36 | percent |
| ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and Sanitation | 11 | 30 | Use of improved drinking water sources | 59 | percent |
|  | 13 |  | Water treatment | 5 | percent |
|  | 12 | 31 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | 52 | percent |
| REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contraception and unmet need | 21 | 19c | Contraceptive prevalence | 28 | percent |
|  | 98 |  | Unmet need for family planning | 24 | percent |
|  | 99 |  | Demand satisfied for family planning | 54 | percent |
| Maternal and newborn health | 20 | 17 | Antenatal care | 47 | percent |
|  | 44 |  | Content of antenatal care |  |  |
|  |  |  | Blood test taken | 37 | percent |
|  |  |  | Blood pressure measured | 40 | percent |
|  |  |  | Urine specimen taken | 34 | percent |
|  |  |  | Weight measured | 27 | percent |
|  | 4 |  | Skilled attendant at delivery | 36 | percent |
|  | 5 |  | Institutional deliveries | 24 | percent |
| Fertility |  |  | Total Fertility Rate | 5.2 | rate |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child development | 46 |  | Support for learning | 26 | percent |
|  | 47 |  | Father's support for learning | 32 | percent |
|  | 48 |  | Support for learning: children's books | 10 | percent |
|  | 49 |  | Support for learning: non-children's books | 59 | percent |
|  | 50 |  | Support for learning: materials for play | 18 | percent |
|  | 51 |  | Non-adult care | 31 | percent |


| Topic | MICS <br> Indicator Number | MDG <br> Indicator Number | Indicator |  | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | 52 |  | Pre-school attendance | 3 | percent |
|  | 54 |  | Net intake rate in basic education | 40 | percent |
|  | 55 | 6 | Net primary school attendance rate | 68 | percent |
|  | 56 |  | Net secondary school attendance rate | 24 | percent |
|  | 57 | 7 | Children reaching grade five | 79 | percent |
|  | 58 |  | Transition rate to secondary school | 66 | percent |
|  | 59 | 7 b | Primary completion rate | 18 | percent |
|  | 61 | 9 | Gender parity index |  |  |
|  |  |  | basic education | 0.80 | ratio |
|  |  |  | secondary school | 0.60 | ratio |
| Literacy |  |  | Adult literacy rate (Ever married women only) | 35 | percent |
| CHILD PROTECTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth registration | 62 |  | Birth registration | 22 | percent |
| Child labour | 71 |  | Child labour | 23 | percent |
|  | 72 |  | Labourer students | 60 | percent |
|  | 73 |  | Student labourers | 23 | percent |
| Child discipline | 74 |  | Child discipline |  |  |
|  |  |  | Any psychological/physical punishment | 94 | percent |
| Early marriage | 67 |  | Marriage before age 15 | 14 | percent |
|  |  |  | Marriage before age 18 | 52 | percent |
|  | 68 |  | Young women age 15-19 currently married | 19 | percent |
|  | 69 |  | Spousal age difference |  |  |
|  |  |  | Women age 15-19 | 16 | percent |
|  |  |  | Women age 20-24 | 18 | percent |
| Disability | 101 |  | Child disability | 25 | percent |
| Orphans | 75 |  | Prevalence of orphans | 5 | percent |
|  | 78 |  | Children's living arrangements | 2 | percent |


| Topic | MICS <br> Indicator Number | MDG <br> Indicator Number | Indicator |  | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIV and AIDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIV and AIDS knowledge and attitudes | 89 |  | Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV* | 32 | percent |
|  | 86 |  | Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS* | 5 | percent |
|  | 87 |  | Women who know where to be tested for HIV* | 12 | percent |
|  | 88 |  | Women who have been tested for HIV* | 2 | percent |
|  | 90 |  | Counselling coverage for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | 2 | percent |
|  | 91 |  | Testing coverage for the prevention of mother-tochild transmission of HIV | 1 | percent |

* Ever-married women only
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The betterment of health is the main causal factor behind the establishment of any health system. It is also the goal and aim of our health system represented by the Ministry of Public Health and Population. The realization of this goal is guided by the political leadership headed by the President of the Republic (may God preserve him) and by seeking to implement the goals of our right-guided government.

While the betterment of health is the prime need, still, great strides have been made in primary health care and the resulting improvement in health indicators, particularly those related to combating infectious diseases such as malaria, bilharzias, tuberculosis, and diarrheal diseases. Further progress has been made through routine immunization coverage by way of linkage activities, reducing maternal, under-five child, and infant mortality rates, increasing the rate of usage of family planning methods, and raising the health awareness of families with a focus on pregnant mothers to promote safe deliveries.

Within this framework, the Ministry of Public Health and Population has striven earnestly in cooperation with UNICEF and the Arab Family Health Project of the Arab League to implement the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey aimed at monitoring the situation of mothers and children in our beloved Yemen. The objective of this MICS is to update its data and establish a health information database that would contribute to the planning process to revive the health standards of this segment of the population.

The significance of this survey lies in the importance of the results which will facilitate the establishment of a database of updated health indicators. This database will help in analyzing the health, social, and educational situation of children and in comparing the results with data from the Family Health Survey of 2003. There is no doubt that the results of the MICS will greatly contribute to identifying the current situation of mother and child health (MCH). It will also help in the development of future remedies and plans based on a clear vision towards achieving the Millennium Development Goals and preparing the environment for national action and necessary programs that will revitalize MCH.

On the occasion of the publication of the final MICS report covering the health situation of women and children in Yemen, the Ministry of Public Health and Population is pleased to offer its sincere appreciation and esteem for the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) and the Arab Family Health Project of the Arab League for their support and contribution in implementing this vital survey. Many thanks also go to all local agencies, contributing researchers, administrators, and staff who participated in the success of this survey.

We have great hopes that the results of this survey will be a strong backdrop for the data and information necessary to enable us to make the appropriate decisions, formulate policies, prepare plans, and design health development programs that will have a resounding impact on improving the standard of mother and child health.

Minister of Public Health and Population<br>Dr. Abdul Karim Yahya Rasi'

In 2006, Yemen conducted its first Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) piloted by the Ministry of Public Health and Population. The survey received technical assistance from the Pan-Arab Project for Family Health (PAPFAM), with UNICEF providing technical and financial support.

The genesis of the global MICS effort dates to 1995 when UNICEF supported governments around the world to assess progress in meeting the World Summit Goals for children at mid-decade. Data made available from these surveys provided fresh understanding of the situation of children and women in the countries that undertook them. The data served as baselines in the development of new programmes and interventions whilst also facilitating the monitoring of trends on the health, education and protection status of children. Since then, these surveys are becoming institutionalized by governments on a wide-scale. The second round of the multiple indicator cluster surveys were conducted in 2000 and the third round undertaken by countries during 2005 and 2006.

The Republic of Yemen joined the effort with the third round of MICS in 2006 making good the opportunity to update key indicators presented in the Family Health Survey realized in 2003 by the Yemen Ministry of Public Health and Population, the Central Statistics Organisation and with support from the Pan-Arab Project for Family Health. This survey until recently constituted the single most important reference for a combination of indicators on maternal and child health. The 2006 Yemen MICS is an important contribution to this same strategy of addressing data gaps pertaining to the well-being of children using a standard methodology and producing internationally comparable estimates.

The Yemen MICS is a commendable endeavour in data collection, analysis and presentation. From its findings, new disaggregated data is provided on mortality, birth registration, child health and development, reproductive health, primary school attendance, child discipline, child labour, early marriage, water and sanitation and other very important information. The results are thus helping to fill data gaps and facilitating measurement of progress in child-related goals as well as relevant Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The available data sets will equally be useful in further disparity analysis based on urban/rural differentials, education, gender as well as socio-economic considerations.

The MICS exercise has emerged as a credible tool in strengthening national capacity and systems for data production and utilization. Its worth is seen in the evidence produced on how the country is progressing in fulfilling and protecting the rights of children. This sets the premise for improving policy, programming and reporting on child survival, meeting education targets and on actions addressing vulnerable children. Now expected to be pursued every three years, the MICS will continue to support the Government of Yemen's efforts and those of contributing national and international partners to fully utilize the data and knowledge created to ensure improved outcomes for children. UNICEF stands ready to support this process that will reinforce evidence gathering and its use for Yemeni children.

We congratulate the Ministry of Public Health and Population and the internal and external partners who played such an important role in bringing Yemen MICS 2006 into fruition.

## Executive Summary

The Yemen Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey was conducted in 2006 with cooperation between the Ministry of Public Health and Population and UNICEF and the support of the Pan-Arab Project for Family Health in the League of Arab States. The MICS is a nationally representative survey of 3,586 households, 3,742 ever-married women age 15-49 and 3,783 mothers' and caretakers of children age less than five. The primary objective of the MICS is to provide policy makers and planners with reliable and detailed information needed to monitor the situation of women and children in Yemen. Information on child mortality, nutrition, child health, child protection, water and sanitation, education, fertility, reproductive health, and knowledge of HIV and AIDS is included.

## Child Mortality

- In the five years preceding the survey infant mortality was estimated to be 69 deaths per 1,000 live births translating to approximately one in every 15 Yemeni children dying before they reach their first birthday.
- The under-five mortality rate was estimated to be 78 deaths per 1,000 live births.
- Both infant and under- 5 mortality rates are higher for children coming from rural areas compared to their urban counterparts; the figures for under-five mortality in rural areas is about 51 percent higher than in urban areas.
- The probability of dying among children living in the poorest households is considerably higher than the national average.


## Immunisation

- Thirty-eight percent of children age 12-23 months had been fully vaccinated at the time of the survey; 18 percent of these children had received all their vaccinations before the age of one.
- More than half of Yemeni children age 12-23 months had received each of the major vaccines by the age of one: 67 percent had received BCG, 60 percent had received all three doses of polio, a further 60 percent had received the third dose of DPT and 59 percent had received the measles vaccine.
- A low proportion of children, just 19 percent, had received the hepatitis B vaccine before their first birthday.
- Around one in ten Yemeni children age 12-23 months had not received a single vaccine.


## Diarrhea

- Nationally 34 percent of children under the age of five had diarrhea at some time in the two weeks before the survey.
- Almost nine out of ten of the children who had diarrhea were treated with some kind of oral rehydration therapy (ORT): 33 percent were treated with ORS (solution prepared from ORS packets) and the remainder of children were given home fluids recommended by the Ministry of Health.
- Thirteen percent of children with diarrhea did not receive any type of treatment at all.
- Home management of children with diarrhea was low with only 31 percent of mother's or caretakers reporting that their child received more fluids AND continued eating somewhat less, the same or more food.

Acute respiratory Infection (ARI)

- Thirteen percent of children under age five showed symptoms of ARI in the two weeks before the survey.
- Of the children who showed such symptoms of ARI only 38 percent received antibiotics.
- Only a fifth of mothers and caretakers of under 5 children reported that fast and difficult breathing would be cause for taking their children immediately to a health facility.
- The risk of acute respiratory illness is increased by the use of solid fuels used for cooking in Yemeni households; more than one third of households use solid fuels for cooking. Almost all of these households are in rural areas; 52 percent of rural households use solid fuels for cooking.


## Breastfeeding

- Three out of 10 children are breastfed within one hour of being born and 65 percent of children are breastfed within one day.
- Women's educational level appears to have a positive correlation with the early initiation of breastfeeding.


## Water and Sanitation

- In Yemen, 59 percent of the population is using an improved source of drinking water - 74 percent in urban areas and 52 percent in rural areas.
- Slightly less than three out of every ten households has water piped directly into their dwelling.
- Only 5 percent of the household population uses an appropriate method to treat their water.
- People living in households in urban settings or where the household head has received secondary or higher education or those living in the richest households, are significantly more likely to use an appropriate water treatment method than others.
- On average for households where water is not on the premises, it takes just over one hour to go to the source of drinking water, collect the water, and then return to home. The time it takes to collect water is longer for households in rural areas but still takes 45 minutes in urban areas.
- The burden of this job in over two thirds of households falls to a female adult.
- A little over half of the Yemeni population uses a sanitary means of excreta disposal.
- The difference between households in urban and rural areas is significant; 92 percent of the population in urban areas is using a sanitary form of excreta disposal compared to just 34 percent of the population living in rural areas.
- Thirty-seven percent of the Yemeni population is using both an improved source of drinking water and a sanitary means of excreta disposal.
- The gap between the rich and poor is striking when it comes to having use of both types of improved sources; the gap ranges from 2 percent for those living in the poorest households to 77 percent for those living in the richest.


## Fertility

- The total fertility rate for Yemen is estimated at 5.2 births per woman.
- Fertility rates are higher in rural areas than urban areas; the TFR in rural areas is 6 births per woman, while the TFR in urban areas is 4 . The age specific fertility rates for women age 15-19 in rural areas is 56 percent higher than for women of the same age in urban areas.


## Contraception

- Current use of contraception was reported by 28 percent of currently married Yemeni women.
- Nineteen percent of currently married women were using modern methods of contraception.
- The most popular method is the pill which is used by 9 percent of married women in Yemen.
- Contraceptive prevalence in urban areas was double the prevalence found in rural areas.
- The percentage of women using any method of contraception rises from 23 percent among those with no education to 34 percent among women with basic education, and to 42 percent among women with secondary or higher education.
- Just under a quarter of currently married women in Yemen report an unmet need for contraception.

Antenatal care

- Forty-seven percent of mothers who had a live birth in the two years preceding the survey received antenatal care from a doctor, nurse or trained midwife.
- Women living in urban areas are considerably more likely to receive antenatal care from skilled health personnel than their rural counterparts ( 68 percent versus 39 percent).
- Women are most likely to see a medical doctor for their antenatal care.

Assistance at delivery of births

- Only 36 percent of births occurring in the year prior to the MICS survey were delivered by skilled health personnel; ranging from 26 percent in rural areas to 62 percent in urban areas.
- Just under a quarter of births ( 24 percent) are delivered in a health facility.
- Socioeconomic status is positively correlated with the likelihood of giving birth in a health facility; only 9 percent of pregnant women from the poorest households delivered in a health facility compared to 51 percent of pregnant women from the richest households.
- Half of all ever-married women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey were assisted at delivery by a relative or friend.


## Child Development

- In the 3 days prior to the survey, an adult engage in more than four activities that promote learning and school readiness for one quarter of under- 5 children.
- The average number of activities was 2.5.
- Just under a third of fathers engage in such activities with their children.
- In Yemen 59 percent of children live in households that own more than 3 non-adult books and 10 percent live in households with 3 or more children's books.
- A little under a fifth of under-5 children live in households where there are no play things.
- Thirty-one percent of children age 0-59 months were left in the care of other children under the age of 10 in the week prior to the survey.
- A tenth of children were left alone in the week prior to the survey.


## Education

- Early childhood education is rare in Yemen and is attended by just 3 percent of Yemeni children age 3-5 years old.
- Forty percent of children age 6 are currently attending the first grade of school with little differences between male and female children. In urban areas 51 percent of 6 year olds are attending school compared to 38 percent in rural areas.
- Of all children of basic education age (6-14 years old), approximately 68 percent are attending primary school; 76 percent of boys are attending school compared to 60 percent of girls
- Around eight out of ten children in urban areas are attending schools providing basic education compared to 6 out of ten children in rural areas.
- Only 24 percent of secondary school age children (15-17 years old) are attending secondary education; 32 percent of boys are attending secondary school compared to 15 percent of girls.
- A further 24 percent of secondary school age children are still attending basic education.
- Over three quarters of students (79 percent) will eventually reach grade five; in urban areas 91 percent of children will reach grade 5 compared to 73 percent of children attending school in rural areas. The difference between male and female children reaching grade 5 is negligible.
- Approximately two thirds of children who completed the last grade of basic education were found at the moment the survey to be attending the first grade of secondary school.
- For every 10 boys who attend basic education, there are 8 girls. The gender parity index falls even more for secondary school education, with 6 girls attending for every 10 boys.


## Literacy

- A little over a third ( 35 percent) of Yemeni ever-married women age 15-24 are literate.
- The percentage of literate women in living in urban households is more than double the percentage for women living in rural households (59 percent versus 26 percent)


## Birth Registration

- The births of just 22 percent of children under five years of age in Yemen have been registered
- The most common reason for not registering the birth of a child was because the mother or caretaker did not know that the birth of their child had to be registered.


## Child Labour

- It was reported that just over one fifth of children age 5 to 14 were involved in a form child labour (23 percent)
- Of the 59 percent of children 5-14 years of age attending school, 23 percent are also involved in child labour activities. Out of the 23 percent of the children classified as child labourers, 60 percent of them are also attending school.


## Child Discipline

- In Yemen, 94 percent of children age 2-14 years were subjected to at least one form of psychological or physical punishment by their mothers/caretakers or other household members.


## Child Disability

- Mother's or caretakers reported that a quarter of Yemeni children age 2-9 years had at least one disability. The disability most commonly reported was delay in sitting, standing or walking followed by being unable to understand instructions and unable to be understood.


## Early Marriage

- 14 percent of women age $15-49$ years were married by the time they were 15 , the proportion increases to 52 percent by the time women are 18.
- $\quad 19$ percent of Yemeni women age 15-19 are currently married. In 16 percent of these marriages the husband is ten years older than the woman.

HIV and AIDS ${ }^{1}$

- In Yemen, 61 percent of the interviewed women have heard of AIDS ranging from 50 percent in rural areas to 85 percent in urban areas.
- Knowledge of the role condoms can play in preventing the transmission of HIV is low at 21 percent.
- Twenty-two percent of ever-married women know that a healthy-looking person can have the AIDS virus.
- Many ever-married women erroneously believe that AIDS can be transmitted by mosquito bites and by sharing food.
- Just under half of the women know that HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles.
- More than half of ever-married women know that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child; 32 percent knew all three ways of mother-to-child transmission.
- An overwhelming 95 percent of ever-married women agreed with at least one discriminatory statement towards people living with HIV. The most widely held attitude was to not purchase food from a person with HIV and AIDS followed by the belief that a female teacher with HIV should not be allowed to work.
- Just under one fifth of the women surveyed said that they would care for a family member who was sick with AIDS.
- Only 12 percent of ever-married women know a place to be tested for HIV; ranging from 7 percent of women living in rural areas to 23 percent of women living in urban areas.
- Only 1.9 percent of ever-married women have actually been tested and these women reside mainly in urban areas
- Two percent of ever-married women who gave birth in the 2 years preceding the survey were provided information about HIV prevention during an antenatal care visit.
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## Background

This report is based on the Yemen Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey, conducted in 2006 with cooperation between the Ministry of Public Health and Population and UNICEF and the support of the Pan-Arab Project for Family Health in the League of Arab States. The survey provides valuable information on the situation of children and women in Yemen, and was based, in large part, on the needs to monitor progress towards goals and targets emanating from recent international agreements: the Millennium Declaration, adopted by all 191 United Nations Member States in September 2000, and the Plan of Action of A World Fit For Children, adopted by 189 Member States at the United Nations Special Session on Children in May 2002. Both of these commitments build upon promises made by the international community at the 1990 World Summit for Children as well as declarations issued by the League of Arab States and related institutions and organizations concerned about child rights in Arab countries, and the Cairo Declaration "Towards an Arab World Fit for Children", and the Second Arab Work Plan for Children (2004-2015) that was adopted at the Arab Summits.

In signing these international agreements, governments committed themselves to improving conditions for their children and to monitoring progress towards that end. UNICEF was assigned a supporting role in this task (see table below).

## A Commitment to Action: National and International Reporting Responsibilities

The governments that signed the Millennium Declaration and the World Fit for Children Declaration and Plan of Action also committed themselves to monitoring progress towards the goals and objectives they contained:
"We will monitor regularly at the national level and, where appropriate, at the regional level and assess progress towards the goals and targets of the present Plan of Action at the national, regional and global levels. Accordingly, we will strengthen our national statistical capacity to collect, analyse and disaggregate data, including by sex, age and other relevant factors that may lead to disparities, and support a wide range of child-focused research. We will enhance international cooperation to support statistical capacity-building efforts and build community capacity for monitoring, assessment and planning." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 60)
"...We will conduct periodic reviews at the national and subnational levels of progress in order to address obstacles more effectively and accelerate actions...." (A World Fit for Children, paragraph 61)

The Plan of Action (paragraph 61) also calls for the specific involvement of UNICEF in the preparation of periodic progress reports:
"... As the world's lead agency for children, the United Nations Children's Fund is requested to continue to prepare and disseminate, in close collaboration with Governments, relevant funds, programmes and the specialized agencies of the United Nations system, and all other relevant actors, as appropriate, information on the progress made in the implementation of the Declaration and the Plan of Action."

Similarly, the Millennium Declaration (paragraph 31) calls for periodic reporting on progress:
"...We request the General Assembly to review on a regular basis the progress made in implementing the provisions of this Declaration, and ask the Secretary-General to issue periodic reports for consideration by the General Assembly and as a basis for further action."

The eight main goals that the Millennium Declaration focused on provided the basis for socioeconomic planning priorities in Yemen. The five-year plans that followed aimed at raising citizens' standard of living, improving income, and ensuring the best methods for making education available for boys and girls in both rural and urban areas. Special concern was given for health, environment, and women's empowerment, among other issues. MICS will be an important resource to ensure that appropriate data are available for use in monitoring progress made towards achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

This final report presents the results of the indicators and topics covered in the survey.

## Survey Objectives

The 2006 Yemen Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey has as its primary objectives:

- To provide up-to-date information for assessing the situation of children and women in Yemen;
- To furnish data needed for monitoring progress toward goals established in the Millennium Declaration, the goals of A World Fit For Children (WFFC), and other internationally agreed upon goals, as a basis for future action;
- To contribute to the improvement of data and monitoring systems in Yemen and to strengthen technical expertise in the design, implementation, and analysis of such systems.


## II. Sample and Survey Methodology

## Sample Design

The Yemen MICS3 sample was designed to provide estimates of a large number of indicators on the situation of women and children at the national level and for urban and rural areas. The 2004 General Population Census was used as the basic frame for selecting the survey sample ${ }^{2}$. The selection process was prepared in two stages in each region; the first stage entailed the selection of 200 clusters as enumeration areas using the probability proportion to size (pps) sampling technique. In the second stage, a systematic sample of the primary sampling units (households) were selected. The Yemen MICS3 sample is a stratified weighted sample. A more detailed description of the sample design can be found in Appendix A.

## Questionnaires

Three sets of questionnaires were used in the survey: 1) a household questionnaire which was used to collect information on all de jure household members, the household, and the dwelling; 2) a women's questionnaire administered in each household to all ever-married women age 15-49 years; and 3) an under-5 questionnaire, administered to mothers or caretakers of all children under 5 living in the household. The questionnaires included the following modules:

Household Questionnaire:

- Household listing
- Education
- Water and Sanitation
- Housing characteristics
- Child labor
- Child discipline
- Disability

Women's Questionnaire:

- Information panel
- Marriage
- Child mortality
- Birth history
- Tetanus Toxid
- Maternal and newborn health
- Contraception and unmet need
- HIV and AIDS


## Under-Five Questionnaire:

- Birth registration and early education
- Child development
- Care for illness
- Immunization

[^1]The questionnaires are based on the MICS3 model questionnaire ${ }^{3}$. From the MICS3 model Arabic version, the questionnaires were pre-tested and based on the results of the pre-test, modifications were made to the wording and translation of the questionnaires. A copy of the Yemen MICS questionnaires is provided in Appendix F.

## Training and Fieldwork

Training for the fieldwork was conducted for 2 weeks in August 2006. Training included lectures on interviewing techniques and the contents of the questionnaires, and mock interviews between trainees to gain practice in asking questions.

The data were collected by 16 teams; each team was comprised of 4 female interviewers, one driver, one male editor and a male supervisor. Fieldwork took place over one month in September 2006.

## Data Processing

Data were entered using the CSPro software. The data was carried out by 11 data entry operators and 1 data entry supervisor. In order to ensure quality control, and internal consistency checks were performed. Procedures and standard programs developed under the global MICS3 project and adapted to the Yemen questionnaire were used throughout. Data processing began after data collection had been conducted in Octave 2006 and was completed in December 2006. Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software program, Version 14, and the model syntax and tabulation plans developed by UNICEF this purpose.

[^2]
# III. Sample Coverage and the Characteristics of Households and Respondents 

## Sample Coverage

Of the 3979 households selected for the sample, 3972 were found to be occupied. Of these, 3586 were successfully interviewed for a household response rate of 90.3 percent. In the interviewed households, 3912 ever-married women (age 15-49) were identified. Of these, 3742 were successfully interviewed, yielding a response rate of 95.7 percent. In addition, 3918 children under age five were listed in the household questionnaire. Questionnaires were completed for 3783 of these children, which corresponds to a response rate of 96.6 percent. Overall response rates of 86.4 and 87.2 are calculated for the women's and under-5's interviews respectively (Table HH.1). Response rates were similar across urban and rural areas.

## Characteristics of Households

The age and sex distribution of the survey population is provided in Table HH.2. The distribution is also used to produce the population pyramid in Figure HH.1. In the 3586 households successfully interviewed in the survey, 26,088 household members were listed. Of these, 12,951 ( 49.6 percent) were males, and 13,137 ( 50.4 percent) were females. These figures indicate that the average household size is 7.3.

Table HH. 2 indicates that Yemen is characterised by a young population with high fertility; 15 percent of the population is under 5 years of age, 44.4 percent is under 15 years of age and over half of the population is under 18 ( 52.2 percent). The potentially economically active population age $15-64$ makes up 52.3 percent of the population and just 3.1 percent of the population is 65 years or older. Due to the large under- 15 population, the dependency ratio is extremely high with 9 dependents for every 10 persons age 15-64.

Figure HH.1: Age and Sex Distribution of Household Population, Yemen, 2006


Table HH. 3 provides basic background information on the households. Within households, the sex of the household head, urban/rural status and number of household members. These
background characteristics are also used in subsequent tables in this report; the figures in the table are also intended to show the numbers of observations by major categories of analysis in the report.

The weighted and unweighted numbers of households are equal, since sample weights were normalized (See Appendix A). The table also shows the proportions of households where at least one child under 18, at least one child under 5, and at least one eligible woman age 15-49 were found.

The figures in table HH. 3 indicate that just over nine out of every ten Yemen households are headed by a male household member; female headed households accounted for just 8.7 of all households in the survey. The table also shows that slightly more than two thirds of households in Yemen can be found in rural areas ( 68.4 percent). Yemen households are typically large with almost a quarter of all households containing 6-7 members ( 24.4 percent) and over a fifth of households containing 10 or more members (22.8). Just one third of households in Yemen (33.3. percent) contain 5 member or less.

In almost nine out of every ten households ( 87.9 percent) there is at least one child age less than 18 years old and in 62.4 percent of households there is at least one child age less than 5 . Also in almost nine out of every ten households ( 87.3 percent) there lives at least one woman of reproductive age (15-49).

## Characteristics of Respondents

Tables HH. 4 and HH. 5 provide information on the background characteristics of ever-married female respondents $15-49$ years of age and of children under age 5 . In both tables, the total numbers of weighted and unweighted observations are equal, since sample weights have been normalized (standardized). In addition to providing useful information on the background characteristics of women and children, the tables are also intended to show the numbers of observations in each background category. These categories are used in the subsequent tabulations of this report.

Table HH. 4 provides background characteristics of ever-married female respondents 15-49 years of age. The table includes information on the distribution of women according to urban-rural residence, age, marital status, motherhood status, education ${ }^{4}$ and wealth index quintiles ${ }^{5}$. Approximately one third of ever-married women in Yemen reside in urban areas and two thirds reside in the rural areas ( 31.3 and 68.7 percent respectively). One in ten in ever-married women were found in the oldest age group $45-49$ ( 10.8 percent); due to population growth the proportion of women found in each successive younger age group increases and peaks at 25-29 (21.8 percent) before falling to 18.6 percent for women age 20-24 and 8.5 percent for women age 15-19.

[^3]The reason for the small proportion of women in the youngest age group may be due to the number of women age 15-19 who are not married.

The majority of the ever-married sample was currently married; just 6.1 percent of women reported that they were formerly married but are not married now. Nine out of every ten women had given birth.

Two thirds of the women had never received any form of formal or non standard education (66 percent). Of the remaining women who had attended school at some point in their lives, a quarter had attended just basic (primary) education and just nine percent had received secondary or higher education.

Some background characteristics of children under 5 are presented in Table HH.5. These include distribution of children by several attributes: sex, area of residence, age in months, mother's or caretaker's education and wealth. As to be expected, the proportion of male and female children under the age of five is approximately equal ( 50.9 percent female and 49.1 percent male). Just less than three quarters of these children reside in rural areas ( 73 percent) and one quarter reside in households in urban areas ( 27 percent). When disaggregated by single year age, the largest proportion of children were age 0-11 months ( 22.5 percent) however the proportion of children in each yearly age group is approximately equal at around 20 percent in each year. Slightly fewer children were observed in the age group 48-59 months (18.3) percent.

Almost two thirds of children less than five years of age have mothers or primary caretakers who have received no formal or non standard education ${ }^{6}$ ( 65.6 percent). One quarter of children have mothers or primary caretakers who have received only basic education and just 8.4 percent of mothers or caretakers are educated to secondary level or higher. Children less than 5 years of age are more likely to be living in poorer households. As the wealth of the households increase the proportion of children living in these households decreased; 23.2 percent of children were living in the poorest households and 15.8 percent were living in the richest.
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## IV. Child Mortality

One of the overarching goals of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and the World Fit for Children (WFFC) is to reduce infant and under-five mortality. Specifically, the MDGs call for the reduction of under-five mortality by two-thirds between 1990 and 2015. Monitoring progress towards this goal is an important but difficult objective.

The mortality rates presented in this chapter are computed from information gathered from the birth history of the Women's Questionnaire. Women in the age-group 15-49 were asked whether they had ever given birth, and if they had, they were asked to report the number of sons and daughters who live with them, the number who live elsewhere, and the number who have died. In addition, they were asked to provide a detailed birth history of their children in chronological order starting with the first child. Woman were asked whether a birth was single or multiple; the sex of the child; the date of birth (month and year); survival status; age of the child on the date of the interview if alive; and if not alive; the age at death of each live birth. Since the primary causes of childhood mortality change as children age, mostly biological factors to environmental factors, childhood mortality rates are expressed by age categories and are customarily defined as follows;

- Neonatal mortality (NN): the probability of dying within the first month of life
- Postneonatal mortality (PNN): the difference between infant and neonatal mortality
- Infant mortality $\left({ }_{1} q_{0}\right)$ : the probability of dying between birth and the first birthday
- Child mortality ( $4 \mathrm{q}_{1}$ ): the probability of dying between exact ages one and five
- Under-five mortality ( $5 q_{0}$ ): the probability of dying between birth and the fifth birthday

The rates of childhood mortality are expressed as deaths per 1,000 live births, except in the case of child mortality, which is expressed as deaths per 1,000 children surviving to age one.

## Levels and Trends in Infant and Child Mortality

Table CM. 1 presents neonatal, post neonatal, infant, child and under-five mortality rates for the three recent five year periods before the survey while CM. 2 provides estimates of child mortality by various background characteristics. Neonatal mortality in the most recent period is 37 per 1000 live births. This rate is slightly higher than post neonatal deaths ( 31 per 1000 live births) during the same period; that is, the risk of dying for a child in the first month of life is slightly greater but approximately similar as in the remaining 11 months of the first year of life. Thus just over 50 percent of infant deaths in Yemen occur during the first month of life.

The infant mortality rate in the five years preceding the survey is 69 per 1,000 live births and under-five mortality is 78 deaths per 1,000 live births for the same period. This means that one in every 15 Yemeni children dies before reaching age one, while one in every 13 does not survive to the fifth birthday.

Male children experience slightly higher probabilities of dying than females. Both infant and under-5 mortality rates are higher for children coming from rural areas compared to their urban counterparts; the figures for under-five mortality in rural areas is about 51 percent higher than in urban areas. There are also significant differences in mortality in terms of the women's socioeconomic status. In particular, the probabilities of dying among children living
in the richest households are considerably lower than the national average. Differentials in under-5 mortality rates by background characteristics are shown in Figure CM.1.

Figure CM.1: Under 5 Mortality rates by background characteristics, Yemen 2006


Mortality trends can be examined in two ways: by comparing mortality rates for five year periods preceding a single survey and by comparing mortality estimates obtained from various surveys. However, these comparisons should be interpreted with caution because quality of data, time references and sample coverage varies. In particular, sampling errors associated with mortality estimates are large and should be taken into account when examining trends between surveys.

Figure CM. 2 compares the trends in under five mortality rates from previous household surveys in Yemen. All surveys indicate a downward trend in mortality. The most recent MICS under five mortality estimate is about 23 percent lower than the PAPFAM 2003 survey estimate ( 102 per 1000).

Figure CM.2: Trends in under-5 mortality rates, Yemen 2006


## V. Nutrition

## Breastfeeding

Breastfeeding for the first few years of life protects children from infection, provides an ideal source of nutrients, and is economical and safe. However, many mothers stop breastfeeding too soon and there are often pressures to switch to infant formula, which can contribute to growth faltering and micronutrient malnutrition and is unsafe if clean water is not readily available. The World Fit for Children goal states that children should be exclusively breastfed for 6 months and continue to be breastfed with safe, appropriate and adequate complementary feeding for up to 2 years of age and beyond.

WHO/UNICEF have the following feeding recommendations:

- Exclusive breastfeeding for first six months
- Continued breastfeeding for two years or more
- Safe, appropriate and adequate complementary foods beginning at 6 months
- Frequency of complementary feeding: 2 times per day for 6-8 month olds; 3 times per day for 9-11 month olds

It is also recommended that breastfeeding be initiated within one hour of birth. Table NU. 1 provides the proportion of ever-married women with a birth in the two years preceding the survey who started breastfeeding their infants within one hour of birth, and women who started breastfeeding within one day of birth (which includes those who started within one hour).

Almost thirty percent (29.6 percent) of ever-married women who had given birth in the 2 years preceding the survey started breastfeeding within one hour of birth and 65.1 percent began to breastfeed within one day. Women living in urban households were slightly more likely to start breastfeeding within an hour of birth compared to their rural counterparts ( 31.7 percent versus 28.8 percent) and were also more likely than women in rural households to start breastfeeding within one day of birth ( 71 percent versus 62.9 percent). Women's educational level appears to have a positive correlation with the early initiation of breastfeeding as shown in figure NU. 2 .

Figure NU. 2 Percentage of mothers who started breastfeeding within one hour and within one day of birth, Yemen, 2006


## Vitamin A Supplements

Vitamin A is essential for eye health and proper functioning of the immune system. It is found in foods such as milk, liver, eggs, red and orange fruits, red palm oil and green leafy vegetables, although the amount of vitamin A readily available to the body from these sources varies widely. In developing areas of the world, where vitamin A is largely consumed in the form of fruits and vegetables, daily per capita intake is often insufficient to meet dietary requirements. Inadequate intakes are further compromised by increased requirements for the vitamin as children grow or during periods of illness, as well as increased losses during common childhood infections. As a result, vitamin A deficiency is quite prevalent in the developing world and particularly in countries with the highest burden of under-five deaths.

The 1990 World Summit for Children set the goal of virtual elimination of vitamin A deficiency and its consequences, including blindness, by the year 2000. This goal was also endorsed at the Policy Conference on Ending Hidden Hunger in 1991, the 1992 International Conference on Nutrition, and the UN General Assembly's Special Session on Children in 2002. The critical role of vitamin A for child health and immune function also makes control of deficiency a primary component of child survival efforts, and therefore critical to the achievement of the fourth Millennium Development Goal: a two-thirds reduction in under-five mortality by the year 2015.

For countries with vitamin A deficiency problems, current international recommendations call for high-dose vitamin A supplementation every four to six months, targeted to all children between the ages of six to 59 months living in affected areas. Giving vitamin A to new mothers who are breastfeeding helps protect their children during the first months of life and helps to replenish the mother's stores of vitamin A, which are depleted during pregnancy and lactation. It is recommended that mothers take a Vitamin A supplement within eight weeks of giving birth due to increased Vitamin A requirements during pregnancy and lactation.

The percentage of ever-married women who had a birth in the two years preceding the survey and received a high dose vitamin A supplement before the infant was 8 weeks old was 15.9 percent (Table NU.2). This percentage was higher for women living in urban households compared to their rural counterparts (19.4 percent versus 14.7 percent). Women from wealthier households are also more likely to have received vitamin A; just 12.9 percent of women from the poorest household had taken the supplement increasing to 15.9 percent for women in the middle income households and 21.9 percent for women residing in the richest households.

## Low Birth Weight

Weight at birth is a good indicator not only of a mother's health and nutritional status but also the newborn's chances for survival, growth, long-term health and psychosocial development. Low birth weight (less than 2,500 grams) carries a range of grave health risks for children. Babies who were undernourished in the womb face a greatly increased risk of dying during their early months and years. Those who survive have impaired immune function and increased risk of disease; they are likely to remain undernourished, with reduced muscle strength, throughout their lives, and suffer a higher incidence of diabetes and heart disease in later life. Children born underweight also tend to have a lower IQ and cognitive disabilities, affecting their performance in school and their job opportunities as adults.

In the developing world, low birth weight stems primarily from the mother's poor health and nutrition. Three factors have most impact: the mother's poor nutritional status before conception, short stature (due mostly to under nutrition and infections during her childhood), and poor nutrition during the pregnancy. Inadequate weight gain during pregnancy is particularly important since it accounts for a large proportion of foetal growth retardation. Moreover,
diseases such as diarrhoea and malaria, which are common in many developing countries, can significantly impair foetal growth if the mother becomes infected while pregnant.

In the industrialized world, cigarette smoking during pregnancy is the leading cause of low birth weight. In developed and developing countries alike, teenagers who give birth when their own bodies have yet to finish growing run the risk of bearing underweight babies.

One of the major challenges in measuring the incidence of low birth weight is the fact that more than half of infants in the developing world are not weighed. In the past, most estimates of low birth weight for developing countries were based on data compiled from health facilities. However, these estimates are biased for most developing countries because the majority of newborns are not delivered in facilities, and those who are represent only a selected sample of all births (for example in Yemen and as will be discussed in chapter IX only 23.5 percent of births are delivered in a health facility).

Because many infants are not weighed at birth and those who are weighed may be a biased sample of all births, the reported birth weights usually cannot be used to estimate the prevalence of low birth weight among all children. Therefore, the percentage of births weighing below 2500 grams is estimated from two items in the questionnaire: the mother's assessment of the child's size at birth (i.e., very small, smaller than average, average, larger than average, very large) and the mother's recall of the child's weight or the weight as recorded on a health card if the child was weighed at birth ${ }^{7}$. However, this adjustment and calculation method has to be used with caution in settings where the proportion of infants weighed at birth is very small. As mentioned earlier, infants weighed at birth are a biased sample of all births and this bias becomes stronger the smaller the percentage weighed at birth. Therefore, this procedure should be used with caution for countries with very few infants weighed at birth. Unfortunately Yemen is an example of this and it is not possible from the data to calculate low birth weight prevalence.

As Table NU. 3 shows, only 7.8 percent of infants born in the two years before the survey were weighed at bir3th. Infants born to women living in urban areas were considerably more likely to be weighed than those in rural areas ( 19.5 versus 3.6 percent respectively) as were infants born to mothers with higher socioeconomic status. It is interesting to note that even among those few infants that were weighed at birth approximately 27 percent weighed less than 2.5 Kg (it should be emphasized once again however that this cannot be taken as a national estimate for low birth weight, but does provide some indication of the extent of the problem).

Table NU. 3 also indicates that under half of all women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey estimated that their child was of average size ( 43.5 percent). Of the remaining infants women were more likely to report that their child was 'smaller than average' or 'very small' compared to above average. Almost a quarter of women reported that their child was 'very small' at birth, in particular women from the poorest households were likely to report that their baby was very small ( 32.8 percent) compared to women living in the richest households (16 percent).
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## VI. Child Health

## Immunization

The Millennium Development Goal (MDG) 4 is to reduce child mortality by two thirds between 1990 and 2015. Immunization plays a key part in this goal. Immunizations have saved the lives of millions of children in the three decades since the launch of the Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI) in 1974. Worldwide there are still 27 million children overlooked by routine immunization and as a result, vaccine-preventable diseases cause more than 2 million deaths every year.

A World Fit for Children goal is to ensure full immunization of children under one year of age at 90 percent nationally, with at least 80 percent coverage in every district or equivalent administrative unit.

According to UNICEF and WHO guidelines, a child should receive a BCG vaccination to protect against tuberculosis, three doses of DPT to protect against diphtheria, pertussis, and tetanus, three doses of polio vaccine, and a measles vaccination by the age of 12 months. In Yemen, prior to March 2005, DPT was administered as a separate vaccination; since March 2005 the Pentavalent ${ }^{8}$ vaccination was introduced. The table on the right provides the current vaccination schedule in Yemen. The survey however was conducted just 6 months after the Pentavalent vaccine was introduced and therefore some children would still have received the single doses of DPT.

| Vaccination schedule for children less than <br> 24 month in Yemen |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vaccination | Required age given <br> to child |
| BCG | At birth |
| Polio 0 | At birth |
| Polio 1 | 1.5 months |
| Polio 2 | 2.5 months |
| Polio 3 | 3.5 months |
| Pentavalent 1 | 1.5 months |
| Pentavalent 2 | 2.5 months |
| Pentavalent 3 | 3.5 months |
| Measles 1 | 9 months |
| Measles 2 | 18 months | The coverage for each vaccination is shown separately in the tables. The Mothers/caretakers were asked to provide vaccination cards for children under the age of five. Interviewers copied vaccination information from the cards onto the MICS questionnaire.

Overall, 48.3 percent of children had health cards (Table CH.2). If the child did not have a card, the mother was asked to recall whether or not the child had received each of the vaccinations and, for DPT and Polio, how many times. The percentage of children age 12 to 23 months who received each of the vaccinations is shown in Table CH.1. The denominator for the table is comprised of children age 12-23 months so that only children who are old enough to be fully vaccinated are counted. In the top panel, the numerator includes all children who were vaccinated at any time before the survey according to the vaccination card or the mother's report. In the bottom panel, only those who were vaccinated before their first birthday, as recommended, are included. For children without vaccination cards, the proportion of vaccinations given before the first birthday is assumed to be the same as for children with vaccination cards.

Approximately 67.2 percent of children age 12-23 months received a BCG vaccination by the age of 12 months and the first dose of DPT was given to 76.9 percent. The percentage declines for subsequent doses of DPT to 59.7 percent for the second dose, and 28.5 percent for the third dose

[^6](Figure CH.1). Similarly, 78.9 percent of children received Polio 1 by age 12 months and this declines to 60.2 percent by the third dose. The coverage for measles vaccine by 12 months is lower than for the other vaccines at 59.2 percent; although 65.1 percent of children received the vaccine, only 59.2 percent received it by their first birthday. The percentage of children who had all the recommended vaccinations by their first birthday is low at only 17.9 percent. Just over one in every ten children had not received any of the vaccinations by 23 months ( 11.6 percent).

Figure CH. 1 Percentage of children age 12-23 months who received the recommended vaccinations by 12 months, Yemen, 2006


In Yemen, Hepatitis B and Haemophilus Influenzae Type b (Hib) are also recommended as part of the immunization schedule and are 2 of the antigens included in the Pentavalent vaccine. Prior to March 2005 the Hepatitis B vaccine was administered as a single vaccine. Table CH.1c reveals that one quarter of children ( 25.5 percent) had received the first Hepatitis B vaccine by 12 months of age, this proportion fell slightly for subsequent vaccines to 23.4 percent for HepB2 and 18.6 percent for HepB3.

Tables CH. 2 and CH.2c show vaccination coverage rates among children 12-23 months by background characteristics. The figures reflect children that have received the vaccinations at any time up to the date of the survey, and are based on information from both the vaccination cards and mothers'/caretakers' reports. From the table it is evident that there are no notable differences between the percentages of male and female with respect to receiving any of the vaccinations. However where the child lives, the education of the mother or caretaker and the wealth of the household appears to have a strong association with the risk of being vaccinated.

Children living in urban households were more likely to have received each of the vaccinations compared to children living in rural households; for example 89.6 percent of children in urban areas received the BCG vaccination compared to 60.5 percent of their rural counterparts.

Children born to mothers or caretakers who had received even just basic education were still more likely to receive each of the vaccinations compared to children with mothers who had never received any education. The differentials among the wealth quintiles however are the most
striking; just over half of the children living in the poorest households had received the measles vaccination (52.4) compared to 85.5 percent of children living in the richest households. Almost three quarters of children living in the richest households had received all of the vaccinations ( 72.5 percent) compared to under one fifth ( 17.8 percent) of children living in the poorest households.

## Tetanus Toxoid

One of the MDGs is to reduce by three quarters the maternal mortality ratio, with one strategy to eliminate maternal tetanus. In addition, another goal is to reduce the incidence of neonatal tetanus to less than 1 case of neonatal tetanus per 1000 live births in every district. A World Fit for Children goal is to eliminate maternal and neonatal tetanus by 2005.

Prevention of maternal and neonatal tetanus is to assure all pregnant women receive at least two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccine. However, if women have not received two doses of the vaccine during the pregnancy, they (and their newborn) are also considered to be protected if the following conditions are met:

- Received at least two doses of tetanus toxoid vaccine, the last within the prior 3 years;
- Received at least 3 doses, the last within the prior 5 years;
- Received at least 4 doses, the last within 10 years;
- Received at least 5 doses during lifetime.

Table CH. 3 shows the protection status from tetanus of women who have had a live birth within the last 24 months. Figure CH. 2 shows the protection of women against neonatal tetanus by major background characteristics. Thirty-one percent ( 30.8 percent) of all mothers who had give birth in the last 24 months were protected against tetanus; however protection varied considerably depending on whether the mother lived in an urban or rural household, her age and wealth of her household. Women residing in urban households were more likely to be protected against tetanus compared to their rural counterparts ( 40.5 percent versus 27.3 percent). Of women coming from the richest households 46.2 percent were protected against tetanus compared to just 22.1 percent of women living in the poorest households. Over half of women with secondary or higher education were protected against tetanus ( 51.8 percent) compared to just a quarter of women with no education and 36.3 percent of women with basic education.

Figure CH. 2 Percentage of women with a live birth in the last 12 months who are protected against neonatal tetanus Yemen, 2006


## Oral Rehydration Treatment

Diarrhoea is the second leading cause of death among children under five worldwide. Most diarrhoea-related deaths in children are due to dehydration from loss of large quantities of water and electrolytes from the body in liquid stools. Management of diarrhoea - either through oral rehydration salts (ORS) or a recommended home fluid (RHF) - can prevent many of these deaths. Preventing dehydration and malnutrition by increasing fluid intake and continuing to feed the child are also important strategies for managing diarrhoea.

The goals are to: 1) reduce by one half death due to diarrhoea among children under five by 2010 compared to 2000 (A World Fit for Children); and 2) reduce by two thirds the mortality rate among children under five by 2015 compared to 1990 (Millennium Development Goals). In addition, the World Fit for Children calls for a reduction in the incidence of diarrhoea by 25 percent.

The indicators are:

- Prevalence of diarrhoea
- Oral rehydration therapy (ORT)
- Home management of diarrhoea
- (ORT or increased fluids) AND continued feeding

In the MICS questionnaire, mothers (or caretakers) were asked to report whether their child had had diarrhoea in the two weeks prior to the survey. If so, the mother was asked a series of questions about what the child had to drink and eat during the episode and whether this was more or less than the child usually ate and drank.

Overall, 33.5 percent of under five children had diarrhoea in the two weeks preceding the survey (Table CH.4). Diarrhoea prevalence was higher in rural areas compared to urban areas (35.2 percent and 29.2 percent respectively). The peak of diarrhoea prevalence occurs in the first year of life (46.6 percent).

The Ministry of Health and Population in Yemen recommends that children with diarrhoea be given one or more of the following liquids: good drinking water, rice water, vegetable soup or fruit juice. Table CH. 4 shows the percentage of children receiving these various types of recommended liquids during the episode of diarrhoea. Since mothers were able to name more than one type of liquid, the percentages do not necessarily add to 100 .

Just under one third of children ( 32.7 percent) received fluids from ORS packets; the highest proportion of children with diarrhoea received good drinking water ( 73.9 percent) , 31.1 percent received fruit juice, one fifth of children received rice water ( 21.1 percent) and 10.8 percent received vegetable soup. Almost nine in ten children (86.7 percent) with diarrhoea received one or more of the recommended home treatments, while 13.3 percent received no treatment. As can be seen in figure CH.3, the differentials between the background variables are minimal.

Figure CH. 3 Percentage of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea who received oral rehydration treatment, Yemen, 2006


Of the under five children who had diarrhoea in the 2 weeks previous to the survey 59.9 percent drank more than usual while 38.8 percent drank the same or less (Table CH.5). Just over half ( 50.6 percent) ate somewhat less, same or more (continued feeding), but 47.8 percent ate much less or ate almost none. Given these figures, 30.7 percent children received increased fluids and at the same time continued feeding. Combining the information in Table CH. 5 with those in Table CH. 4 on oral rehydration therapy, it is observed that 47.6 percent of children either received ORT or fluid intake was increased, and at the same time, feeding was continued, as is the recommendation.

There are little differences in the home management of diarrhoea by background characteristics sex and urban-rural residence. However mother's education and socioeconomic wealth display a positive correlation with home management of diarrhoea as presented in figure CH.4.

Figure CH. 4 Percentage of children age 0-59 with diarrhoea who received ORT or increased fluids, AND continued feeding Yemen, 2006


## Care Seeking and Antibiotic Treatment of Pneumonia

Pneumonia is the leading cause of death in children and the use of antibiotics in under-5s with suspected pneumonia is a key intervention. A World Fit for Children goal is to reduce by onethird the deaths due to acute respiratory infections.

Children with suspected pneumonia are those who had an illness with a cough accompanied by rapid or difficult breathing and whose symptoms were NOT due to a problem in the chest and a blocked nose. The indicators are:

- Prevalence of suspected pneumonia
- Care seeking for suspected pneumonia
- Antibiotic treatment for suspected pneumonia
- Knowledge of the danger signs of pneumonia

Table CH. 6 presents the prevalence of suspected pneumonia and the use of antibiotics for the treatment of suspected pneumonia in under-5s by sex, age, residence, and socioeconomic factors. Over one in ten under-5 children in Yemen had suspected pneumonia in the two weeks prior to the survey; of these children 37.9 percent had received an antibiotic during the two weeks prior to the survey ( 12.9 percent). The percentage was considerably higher for children living in urban households compared to their rural counterparts ( 48.6 percent versus 34.4 percent). The table also shows that antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia is lower among the poorest households and among children whose mothers/caretakers who have not received any education.

Issues related to knowledge of danger signs of pneumonia are presented in Table CH.7A. Obviously, mothers' knowledge of the danger signs is an important determinant of care-seeking behaviour. Overall, 19.6 percent of women reported that they would immediately take their
children to a health facility if they were suffering from the two danger signs of pneumonia - fast and difficult breathing. The most commonly identified symptom for taking a child to a health facility is when the child develops fever ( 72.5 percent). One quarter of mothers or caretakers ( 24.7 percent) identified fast breathing and 29.2 percent of mothers identified difficult breathing as symptoms for taking children immediately to a health care provider. Mother's and caretakers living in urban households were almost twice as likely to recognise the two danger signs of pneumonia. Increased household wealth was also positively related to mothers or caretakers being able to recognise the two danger signs of pneumonia.

## Solid Fuel Use

More than 3 billion people around the world rely on solid fuels (biomass and coal) for their basic energy needs, including cooking and heating. Cooking and heating with solid fuels leads to high levels of indoor smoke, a complex mix of health-damaging pollutants. The main problem with the use of solid fuels is products of incomplete combustion, including CO, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, and other toxic elements. Use of solid fuels increases the risks of acute respiratory illness, pneumonia, chronic obstructive lung disease, cancer, and possibly tuberculosis, low birth weight, cataracts, and asthma. The primary indicator is the proportion of the population using solid fuels as the primary source of domestic energy for cooking.

Overall, more than a third ( 36.2 percent) of all households in Yemen are using solid fuels for cooking. Almost all of these households are in rural areas ( 52.4 percent); use of solid fuels is very low in urban areas ( 1 percent), but over half of all households in rural areas ( 52.4 percent) are using solid fuels. Differentials with respect to household wealth and the educational level of the household head are also significant. The findings show that use of solid fuels is very uncommon among households in the two richest wealth quintiles but almost universal in the poorest households (93.7 percent).

Solid fuel use alone however is a poor proxy for indoor air pollution, since the concentration of the pollutants is different when the same fuel is burnt in different stoves or fires. Use of closed stoves with chimneys minimizes indoor pollution, while open stove or fire with no chimney or hood means that there is no protection from the harmful effects of solid fuels. Unfortunately questions on stoves and fires were excluded from this survey; however the high use of solid fuels particularly in poor households warrants the need for further investigation into the practices of burning solid fuels within the home.

## VII. Environment

## Water and Sanitation

Safe drinking water is a basic necessity for good health. Unsafe drinking water can be a significant carrier of diseases such as trachoma, cholera, typhoid, and schistosomiasis. Drinking water can also be tainted with chemical, physical and radiological contaminants with harmful effects on human health. In addition to its association with disease, access to drinking water may be particularly important for women and children, especially in rural areas, who bear the primary responsibility for carrying water, often for long distances.

The MDG goal is to reduce by half, between 1990 and 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation. The World Fit for Children goal calls for a reduction in the proportion of households without access to hygienic sanitation facilities and affordable and safe drinking water by at least one-third.

The list of indicators used in MICS are as follows :

## Water

- Use of improved drinking water sources
- Use of adequate water treatment method
- Time to source of drinking water
- Person collecting drinking water


## Sanitation

- Use of improved sanitation facilities
- Sanitary disposal of child's faeces

The distribution of the population by source of drinking water is shown in Table EN. 1 and Figure EN.1. The population using improved sources of drinking water are those using any of the following types of supply: piped water (into dwelling, yard or plot), public tap/standpipe, tubewell/borehole, protected well, protected spring, rainwater collection. Bottled water is considered as an improved water source only if the household is using an improved water source for other purposes, such as hand-washing and cooking.

In Yemen, 58.9 percent of the population is using an improved source of drinking water - 73.8 percent in urban areas and 52.2 percent in rural areas. Use of improved drinking sources varies considerably by the socioeconomic status of the household ranging from 28.2 in the poorest households and rising to 81.7 percent in the richest.


The source of drinking water for the population varies considerable depending if the household is in an urban or rural area (Table EN.1). In urban households, 49 percent of members use drinking water that is piped into their dwelling or into their yard or plot. By contrast, 19.1 percent of household members living in rural areas use piped water; a further 20.1 percent use water from an unprotected well. Over a quarter of the household population living in the two lowest wealth quintiles obtain their water from unprotected wells and 22 percent obtain water from unprotected springs.

Use of in-house water treatment is presented in Table EN.2. Households were asked of ways they may be treating water at home to make it safer to drink - boiling, adding bleach or chlorine, using a water filter, and using solar disinfection were considered as proper treatment of drinking water. The table shows the percentages of household members using appropriate water treatment methods, separately for all households, for households using improved and unimproved drinking water sources.

The majority of the household population does not use any method to treat drinking water (92.4 percent) and just 4.6 percent of the household population uses an appropriate water treatment method ${ }^{9}$. The most common methods are boiling the water ( 3 percent) and straining water through a cloth ( 2.7 percent). Household members with access to improved water sources are slightly more likely to use an appropriate water treatment method compared to household members obtaining drinking water from unimproved sources ( 5.2 percent versus 3.8 percent). The urban household population are more likely to treat their drinking water compared to the rural population ( 7.8 percent versus 3.6 percent); 3.5 percent of the urban population uses a water filter. Household members living in households where the head has received secondary school education are more than twice as likely to use an appropriate water treatment method compared to households where the head has no education ( 9.6 percent versus 4 percent).

[^7]The amount of time it takes to obtain water is presented in Table EN. 3 and the person who usually collected the water in Table EN.4. Note that these results refer to one roundtrip from home to drinking water source. Information on the number of trips made in one day was not collected.

Table EN. 3 shows that for 49.3 percent of households, the drinking water source is on the premises. For over a quarter of all households, it takes more than one hour to get to the water source and bring water ( 26.8 percent), and one in ten households spend 30 minutes to 1 hour for this purpose. Excluding those households with water on the premises, the average time to the source of drinking water is just over one hour at 64 minutes. As to be expected the time spent in rural areas in collecting water is higher than in urban areas ( 65 minutes versus 45 minutes). The higher the education level of the household head the closer the water source is to the household. Similarly the wealthier the household the less time is spent on water collection; one striking finding however is the high average time spent by those in the richest households in collecting water when water is not available on the premises ( 66 minutes), however this result should be interpreted with caution due to the small number of rich households in this category without water on premises.

Table EN. 4 shows that for the majority of households, an adult female is the person collecting the water, when the source of drinking water is not on the premises ( 68.2 percent). Adult men collect water in only 11.4 percent of cases. For the rest of the households however, female or male children under age 15 collect water ( 15.9 percent), female children are more likely than male children to perform this task ( 10.9 percent versus 5 percent).

Inadequate disposal of human excreta and personal hygiene is associated with a range of diseases including diarrhoeal diseases and polio. Improved sanitation facilities for excreta disposal include: flush or pour flush to a piped sewer system, septic tank, or latrine; ventilated improved pit latrine, pit latrine with slab, and composting toilet.

As shown in Table EN. 5 more than half of the population of Yemen is living in households using improved sanitation facilities ( 51.7 percent). The population using improved sanitation facilities are those using any of the following: flush/pour flush to a piped sewer system or to somewhere else, a septic tank or a ventilated or unventilated pit latrine with or without a slab.

The difference by residence is striking; in urban areas 92.3 of the population is using an improved sanitary means of excreta disposal compared to 33.6 percent in rural areas. In rural areas, the population is mostly using pit latrines without slabs, or people simply have no facilities, whereas the most common facilities in urban areas are pit latrines and a flush/pour flush to a piped sewer system.

Residents living in households in which the household head has not received any education are less likely than others to use improved facilities. The table also indicates that use of improved sanitation facilities is strongly correlated with socioeconomic status. Only 3.2 of residents living in the poorest households are using improved sanitation facilities, a staggering three quarters of these residents do not use any facilities at all.

An overview of the percentage of household members using improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal is presented in Table EN.6. Combining these two indicators the table reveals that 36.9 percent of the household population are using both improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal. The strong correlation between use of improved facilities and the background variables follow the same patterns as described elsewhere in this chapter. For example those living in urban areas are three times as likely to use both improved sources of drinking water and sanitary means of excreta disposal, compared to the household population living in rural areas. Most striking is the gap
between the rich and poor when it comes to having access to both types of improved sources; the gap ranges from 1.7 percent for those living in the poorest households to 77.1 percent for those living in the richest.

## VIII. Fertility

Population size may play a critical role in achieving the MDGs. At both the household and national levels, larger families and rapidly growing populations obstruct development and prolong poverty. Children born into large, poor families face increased competition for limited resources, including food, clothing, health and education. At the national level, rapid population growth in poor countries stretches the demand for services, including health care and education, faster than the capacity to satisfy it. Monitoring levels of fertility is one of the three components of population dynamics needed to determine the future size and structure of the population.

This chapter presents the Yemen 2006 MICS results on the levels and trends in fertility. The analysis is based on birth history information collected from ever-married women age 15-49 interviewed during the survey. Each eligible woman was asked a series of questions on the number of sons and daughters who were living with her, the number living elsewhere, and the number who had died, in order to obtain the total number of live births she had had in her lifetime. For each live birth, information was also collected on the name sex, age and survival status of the child. For dead children, age at death was recorded. Information from the birth history is then used to assess current levels and trends in fertility.

## Current Fertility

Measures of current fertility are presented in Table FE. 1 for the three year period preceding the survey, corresponding to the calendar period 2003-2006. A three-year period was chosen because it reflects the most current information, while also allowing the rates to be calculated on a sufficient number of cases so as not to compromise the statistical precision of the estimates.

Two measures of current fertility are shown. Age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs), expressed as the number of births per thousand women in a specified age group, are calculated by dividing the number of live births to women in a specific age group by the number of woman-years lived in that age-group. Although information on fertility was obtained only for ever-married women, the age-specific rates are presented for all women regardless of marital status. Data obtained from the household questionnaire on the age structure of the population of never-married women were used to calculate the all women-rates. This procedure assumes that women who have never been married have had no children.

The total fertility rate (TFR) is a useful measure for examining the overall level of fertility. It can be defined as the average number of babies born to a woman during her reproductive years if she were to pass through those years bearing children at the currently observed age-specific fertility rates.

Table FE. 1 shows the current fertility rates for Yemen as a whole and for urban and rural areas. The total fertility rate for Yemen is estimated at 5.2 births per woman. Such high fertility is a strong indication of the huge population growth that Yemen will experience. Childbearing begins early in Yemen as reflected by the overall age pattern of fertility shown in the ASFRs. Fertility is low among adolescents and increases to a peak of 247 births per 1,000 among woman age 25-29 and declines thereafter (Table FE.1).

Fertility rates are higher in rural areas than urban areas; the TFR in rural areas is 6 births per woman, while the TFR in urban areas is 4 . The ASFR for women age 15-19 in rural areas is 56 percent higher than for women of the same age in urban areas (Figure FE.1).

Figure FE.1: Age-specific Fertility Rates by Urban-Rural Residence, Yemen, 2006


## Fertility Differentials

Table FE. 2 present differentials in the total fertility rates over the 3 years preceding the survey by urban-rural residence, education and wealth quintiles. Education has been dichotomised into women with no education and women with any education due to the small number of women with secondary or higher education which cannot be presented separately.

The total fertility rate for women who have not received any education is slightly higher than the national average at 5.8 births per woman in the most recent 3 year period. Women who have received some level of formal or son standard education experience slightly lower fertility at 4.7 births per woman. In the 3 year period before the survey women in the poorest households were, on average, likely to have twice the number of births than women from the richest households ( 6.6 births versus 3.4 births per woman).

## Fertility Trends

In addition to estimating levels and patterns of current fertility, retrospective data from birth histories can also be used to assess trends in fertility over time. Table FE. 3 compares age-specific fertility for successive three-year periods preceding the survey. The numerators of the rates are classified by three-year segments of time preceding the survey and the mother's age at the time of survey. Women 50 years and over were not interviewed in the survey, therefore rates for older age groups of women become progressively more truncated for periods more distant from the survey date.

Table FE. 3 shows an interesting pattern of fertility in Yemen over the last fifteen years. Fertility seems to have peaked during the 6-8 year period preceding the survey. Rates prior to this period appear to be lower in all age groups. Although the results indicate that fertility has declined in the last 6 years, the fertility rates for the most recent period ( $0-2$ years) are higher in every age group compared to rates from 3-5 years ago (other than for women age 15-19).

## IX. Reproductive Health

## Contraception

Appropriate family planning is important to the health of women and children by: 1) preventing pregnancies that are too early or too late; 2) extending the period between births; and 3 ) limiting the number of children. A World Fit for Children goal is access by all couples to information and services to prevent pregnancies that are too early, too closely spaced, too late or too many.

Current use of contraception was reported by 27.7 percent of currently married women (Table RH.1); this includes both modern and traditional methods. The use of modern methods, which includes female sterilisation, the pill, IUD, injections and condoms were reported by 19.2 percent of women. Use of traditional methods, which include the lactational amenorrhea method (LAM), periodic abstinence and withdrawal was reported by 8.4 percent of women.

The most popular method is the pill which is used by 9 percent of married women in Yemen. The next most popular method is LAM, which accounts for 5.8 percent of married women. Between 2-4 percent of women reported use of the IUD, injectables and female sterilisation. One percent or less reported use of periodic abstinence, withdrawal and the condom.

Current use of contraception in urban areas was double the prevalence found in rural areas (42.3 percent versus 21.1 percent). Adolescents are far less likely to use contraception than older women. Only one in ten married women age 15-19 currently use a method of contraception compared to a quarter of 20-24 year olds and 35 percent of married women age 35-39 years. Women's education level is strongly associated with contraceptive use; the percentage of currently married women using any method of contraception rises from 23.1 percent among those with no education to 34.2 percent among women with primary education, and to 42.1 percent among women with secondary or higher education.

Wealth quintiles show a strong association with contraceptive prevalence; the percentage of women using any method of contraception is 14.7 percent in the poorest households increasing to 27.1 percent in the middle income households and 43.7 percent in the richest households.

## Unmet Need

Unmet need ${ }^{10}$ for contraception refers to fecund women who are not using any method of contraception, but who wish to postpone the next birth or who wish to stop childbearing altogether. Unmet need is identified in MICS by using a set of questions eliciting current behaviours and preferences pertaining to contraceptive use, fecundity, and fertility preferences.

Women in unmet need for spacing includes women who are currently married, fecund (are currently pregnant or think that they are physically able to become pregnant), currently not using contraception, and want to space their births. Pregnant women are considered to want to space their births when they did not want the child at the time they got pregnant. Women who are not pregnant are classified in this category if they want to have another child, but want to have the child at least two years later.

[^8]Women in unmet need for limiting are those women who are currently married, fecund (are currently pregnant or think that they are physically able to become pregnant), currently not using contraception, and want to limit their births. The latter group includes women who are currently pregnant but had not wanted the pregnancy at all, and women who are not currently pregnant but do not want to have another child.

Total unmet need for contraception is simply the sum of unmet need for spacing and unmet need for limiting.

Using information on contraception and unmet need, the percentage of demand for contraception satisfied is also estimated from the MICS data. Percentage of demand for contraception satisfied is defined as the proportion of currently married women who are currently using contraception, of the total demand for contraception. The total demand for contraception includes women who currently have an unmet need (for spacing or limiting), plus those who are currently using contraception.

Table RH. 2 shows the results of the survey on contraception, unmet need, and the demand for contraception satisfied. Thirteen percent of currently married women age $15-49$ years old have an unmet need for spacing and 10.6 percent have an unmet need for limiting. These two indicators combined reveal that just under a quarter ( 23.6 percent) of currently married women in Yemen report an unmet need for contraception. Unmet need is higher for women living in rural areas compared to urban areas ( 28 percent versus 13.8 percent) and for women living in poorer households compared to their richer counterparts. The table also shows that as education of the women increases their need for contraception is more likely to be satisfied. Over 54 percent of currently married women reported that their demand for contraception was is satisfied.

## Antenatal Care

The antenatal period presents important opportunities for reaching pregnant women with a number of interventions that may be vital to their health and well-being and that of their infants. Better understanding of foetal growth and development and its relationship to the mother's health has resulted in increased attention to the potential of antenatal care as an intervention to improve both maternal and newborn health. For example, if the antenatal period is used to inform women and families about the danger signs and symptoms and about the risks of labour and delivery, it may provide the route for ensuring that pregnant women do, in practice, deliver with the assistance of a skilled health care provider. The antenatal period also provides an opportunity to supply information on birth spacing, which is recognized as an important factor in improving infant survival. Tetanus immunization during pregnancy can be life-saving for both the mother and infant. The prevention and treatment of malaria among pregnant women, management of anaemia during pregnancy and treatment of STIs can significantly improve foetal outcomes and improve maternal health. Adverse outcomes such as low birth weight can be reduced through a combination of interventions to improve women's nutritional status and prevent infections (e.g., malaria and STIs) during pregnancy. More recently, the potential of the antenatal period as an entry point for HIV prevention and care, in particular for the prevention of HIV transmission from mother to child, has led to renewed interest in access to and use of antenatal services.

WHO recommends a minimum of four antenatal visits based on a review of the effectiveness of different models of antenatal care. WHO guidelines are specific on the content on antenatal care visits, which include:

- Blood pressure measurement
- Urine testing for bateriuria and proteinuria
- Blood testing to detect syphilis and severe anemia
- Weight/height measurement (optional)

Coverage of antenatal care (by a doctor, nurse or midwife) is relatively low in Yemen with 47 percent of women receiving antenatal care at least once during the pregnancy. Women living in urban areas are considerably more likely to receive antenatal care than their rural counterparts ( 68.2 percent versus 39.3 percent). The lowest level of antenatal care is found among older women age 45-49 (24.9 percent) but is relatively equal among women in all other age groups (ranging from 41.9 to 50 percent). Antenatal care coverage is some 47 percent more in the richest households compared to the poorest ones.

The type of personnel providing antenatal care to women age 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceding is presented in Table RH.3. While over half of the women who gave birth in the previous 2 years did not receive any antenatal care, those that did were most likely to see a medical doctor for their antenatal care ( 39.5 percent); just 4.6 percent of women saw a nurse and 2.8 percent were seen by midwife. This pattern does not vary among the background variables.

The types of services pregnant women received as part of their antenatal care are shown in table RH.4. Thirty-seven percent of women reported that they were given a blood test, 40.4 percent reported that their blood pressure was measured, 34 percent had a urine specimen taken and 26.8 percent were weighed. Women living in urban areas were more likely to receive all of the aforementioned services compared to women living in rural areas indicating the differences in the quality of antenatal care services between urban and rural settings. The table also reveals a positive relationship between women's educational level and the chance of receiving the recommended antenatal care services. Also, perhaps to be expected, the higher the socioeconomic status of the household from which the women lives in the more likely she will receive all of the specific antenatal care services.

## Assistance at Delivery

Three quarters of all maternal deaths occur during delivery and the immediate post-partum period. The single most critical intervention for safe motherhood is to ensure a competent health worker with midwifery skills is present at every birth, and transport is available to a referral facility for obstetric care in case of emergency. A World Fit for Children goal is to ensure that women have ready and affordable access to skilled attendance at delivery. The indicators are the proportion of births with a skilled attendant and proportion of institutional deliveries. The skilled attendant at delivery indicator is also used to track progress toward the Millennium Development target of reducing the maternal mortality ratio by three quarters between 1990 and 2015.

The MICS included a number of questions to assess the proportion of births attended by a skilled attendant. A skilled attendant includes a doctor, nurse or midwife.

Only 35.7 percent of births occurring in the 2 years prior to the MICS survey were delivered by skilled health personnel (Table RH.5). The percentage ranges from 26.3 percent in rural areas to 61.7 percent in urban areas. The more educated a woman is, the more likely she is to have delivered with the assistance of a skilled attendant. Births delivered by skilled attendants occurred mainly among women in urban areas and to women living in households in the two richest wealth quintiles.

One fifth of the births ( 20.9 percent) in the 2 years prior to the MICS survey were delivered with assistance by a medical doctor. Midwifes assisted with the delivery of 8.8 percent of births and nurses assisted with 6 percent. A traditional birth attendant delivered 8 percent of all births. Alarmingly half of all women who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey were assisted at delivery by a relative or friend.

A little under a quarter of births ( 23.5 percent) were delivered in a health facility. Household wealth is positively associated with the likelihood of giving birth in a health facility; only 8.7 percent of pregnant women from the poorest households delivered in a health facility compared to 51 percent of pregnant women from the richest households.

## X. Child Development

It is well recognized that a period of rapid brain development occurs in the first 3-4 years of life, and the quality of home care is the major determinant of the child's development during this period. In this context, adult activities with children, presence of books in the home, for the child, and the conditions of care are important indicators of quality of home care. A World Fit for Children goal is that "children should be physically healthy, mentally alert, emotionally secure, socially competent and ready to learn."

Information on a number of activities that support early learning was collected in the survey. These included the involvement of adults with children in the following activities: reading books or looking at picture books, telling stories, singing songs, taking children outside the home, compound or yard, playing with children, and spending time with children naming, counting, or drawing things.

For just one quarter of under-five children ( 25.5 percent), an adult engage in more than four activities that promote learning and school readiness during the 3 days preceding the survey (Table CD.1). The average number of activities that adults engage with children was 2.5 . The table also indicates that the father's involvement in such activities was somewhat limited. Father's involvement with one or more activities was only 32.2 percent. Only 7.8 percent of children were living in a household without their fathers.

There are no gender differentials in terms of adult activities with children; however, a larger proportion of fathers engage in activities with male children ( 34.1 percent) than with female children ( 30.2 percent). Larger proportions of adults engage in learning and school readiness activities with children in urban areas ( 38.5 percent) than in rural areas ( 20.7 percent). Strong differentials by mother's and father's education and socio-economic status are also observed: Just under half of mothers with secondary or higher education engage in activities with children (46 percent) compared to 19.3 percent of mother's with no education at all. Adult engagement in activities with children was greatest in the richest households (44.6 percent), as opposed to those living in the poorest households ( 13.5 percent). Father's involvement showed a similar pattern in terms of adults' engagement in such activities.

Exposure to books in early years not only provides the child with greater understanding of the nature of print, but may also give the child opportunities to see others reading, such as older siblings doing school work. Presence of books is important for later school performance and IQ scores.

In Yemen, 58.6 percent of children are living in households where at least 3 non-children's books are present (Table CD.2). However, only one in ten children age $0-59$ months are living in households that have children's books (10.3 percent). While no gender or age differentials are observed, urban children appear to have considerably more access to both types of books than those living in rural households. The proportion of under-5 children who have 3 or more nonchildren's books is 73.1 percent in urban areas, compared to 57.7 percent in rural areas. A fifth of under-5 children living in urban areas live in households with more than 3 children's books ( 21.3 percent), while the figure is 6.3 percent in rural households. The presence of both non-children's and children's books is positively correlated with the education of the mother and household wealth.

Table CD. 2 also shows that 18 percent of children age 0-59 months had 3 or more playthings to play with in their homes, while just under one fifth of children ( 19.2 percent) had none of the playthings asked to the mothers/caretakers (Table CD.2). The playthings in MICS included household objects, homemade toys, toys that came from a store, and objects and materials found outside the home. It is interesting to note that 49.2 percent of children play with objects and materials found outside the home and 44.3 percent play with toys that came from a store and 43.1 percent play with household objects. The proportion of children who have 3 or more playthings to play with is 19.4 percent among male children and 16.5 percent among female children. Small urban-rural differentials are also observed in this respect. Larger differences are observed in terms of mother's education - 28 percent of children whose mother's are educated to secondary or higher level have 3 or more playthings, while the proportion is 16.4 percent for children whose mother's have only basic education. Differentials also exist by socioeconomic status of the household; just one in ten children living in the poorest households have 3 or more playthings but this is more than double for children living in the richest households (23.5 percent). The age of the child also has a strong correlation with the number of playthings children have available to them, a somewhat expected result.

Leaving children alone or in the presence of other young children is known to increase the risk of accidents. In MICS, two questions were asked to find out whether children age 0-59 months were left alone during the week preceding the interview, and whether children were left in the care of other children under 10 years of age.

Table CD. 3 shows that 30.7 percent of children age 0-59 months were left in the care of other children, while a tenth of children were left alone during the week preceding the interview (9.8 percent). Combining the two care indicators, it is calculated that 34.1 percent of children were left with inadequate care during the week preceding the survey. Only small differences were observed by the sex of the child, however if a child resides in an urban or rural household strongly affects the risk of he or she being left with inadequate care. In rural areas 35.2 percent of children were left in the care of other children and 11.8 percent were left alone, this compares to 18.6 percent and 4.6 percent in urban areas respectively.

Inadequate care was more prevalent among children whose mothers have not received any education ( 37.6 percent), as opposed to children whose mothers had received secondary or higher education ( 21.9 percent). Children aged $24-59$ months were more likely to be left in inadequate care than those children age $0-23$ months ( 37.5 percent versus 29.4 percent). There is a negative association between inadequate care and wealth of the household; in the two poorest wealth quintiles at least 40 percent of children were left with inadequate care compared to less than 30 percent in the middle, fourth and richest households.

## XI. Education

## Pre-School Attendance and School Readiness

Attendance to pre-school education in an organized learning or child education program is important for the readiness of children to school. One of the World Fit for Children goals is the promotion of early childhood education.

Only 2.6 percent of children age $36-59$ months are attending pre-school (Table ED.1). The figure rises to 5.3 percent in urban areas, compared to 1.6 percent in rural areas. No gender differential exists, but differentials by socioeconomic status are evident. Of children living in the richest households 8.4 percent attend pre-school, while no children living in the poorest households were reported to be attending pre-school.

## School Participation

Universal access to basic education and the achievement of primary education by the world's children is one of the most important goals of the Millennium Development Goals and A World Fit for Children. Education is a vital prerequisite for combating poverty, empowering women, protecting children from hazardous and exploitative labour and sexual exploitation, promoting human rights and democracy, protecting the environment, and influencing population growth.

The indicators for school attendance included in this report include:

- Net intake rate in primary education (basic education)
- Net primary school attendance rate (basic education)
- Net secondary school attendance rate
- Net basic education school attendance rate of children of secondary school age
- Female to male education ratio (or gender parity index - GPI)

The indicators of school progression include:

- Survival rate to grade five
- Transition rate to secondary school
- Net basic education completion rate

The education indicators presented in this chapter are based on the basic education system in Yemen; under this school system children are required to attend level one and two of basic education from 6 to 14 years old which

| Yemen Education System |  | UNESCO ISCED System |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grades | Age (yrs) | Grades | Age(yrs) |
| Basic Education |  | Primary Education |  |
| 1-9 | 6-14 | 1-6 | 6-11 |
| Secondary Education |  | Secondary Education |  |
| 10-12 | 15-17 | 7-12 | 12-17 | covers grades 1 to 9 . Secondary education is from 15 to 17 years and covers grades 10-12. The ages at which children attend school under the basic education system is different from the primary and secondary school age ranges which follow the UNESCO International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED). Tables presenting indicators based on primary and secondary school age ranges following the ISCED classification can be found after the basic education tables in the table section of this report.

The Yemen MICS was conducted at the end of the academic school year in which many children would have turned one year older than at the start of the school year. During the data analyses
children were rejuvenated by one year so that children whose ages at the time of survey would no longer fall in the age range for the different educational levels would still be included in the respective net attendance ratios.

Of Yemeni children who are of school entry age (age 6), 39.7 percent are attending the first grade (ED.2). Sex differentials are small; 41.7 percent of 6 year old boys are attending the first grade of school compared to 37.5 percent of 6 year old girls. Larger differentials are evident by urbanrural residence; in urban areas the value of the indicator reaches 50.8 percent, while it is 35.7 percent in rural areas. A positive correlation with mother's education and socioeconomic status is observed; for children age 6 whose mothers have at least basic school education, 54.1 percent were attending the first grade compared to 33.9 percent of children whose mothers have received no education. In rich households, the proportion is 51.7 percent, while it is 25.5 percent among children living in the poorest households.

Table ED. 3 provides the percentage of children of school age (6 to 14 years) that are attending school. Over two thirds of children are attending school ( 68.4 percent) which means that 31.6 percent of the children are out of school when they are expected to be participating in school. Male children of basic education age are more likely to be attending school than their female counterparts ( 76.1 percent versus 60.6 percent). Wider differentials exist between urban-rural residence, levels of mother's education and socioeconomic status; the indicator is as high as 90.5 percent for children whose mothers who have attended secondary school compared to 64.7 percent for children whose mother's do not have any education. Figure ED. 1 presents the proportion of children in school by age; from the ages of 6 to 10 the percentage of children attending school increases however after the age of 10 the proportion of children in school begins to fall.

Figure ED. 2 Percent distribution of children attending school by age, Yemen, 2006


The secondary net attendance ratio is presented in Table ED.4. More dramatic than for children in the age range for basic education, where 32 percent of the children are not attending school at all, is the fact that only 23.9 percent of children age 15 to 17 years are attending secondary school. Girls in particular are less likely to attend secondary school compared to boys (18.1 percent verses 29.9 percent). Urban-rural residence also appears to be a significant factor in whether 15 to

17 year olds attend secondary school; in rural areas only 17.1 percent of children are attending falling to 8.4 percent for females living in rural areas. However it is interesting to note that in urban areas a slightly higher proportion of girls were found to be attending secondary school compared to boys ( 38.9 percent versus 36.3 ) indicating no comparative advantage for boys in urban areas. The differentials among mother's education and socioeconomic wealth reveal a strong positive correlation with secondary school attendance.

The net attendance ratio of children age 15-17 attending basic education is presented in Table ED.4w. Just under a quarter ( 23.5 percent) of 15-17 year olds are attending basic education when they should be attending secondary school. The remaining 52.6 percent of $15-17$ year olds are not attending school at all, they are children out of school since we already indicated that 23.9 percent of them were attending upper secondary education. The differentials between urbanrural residence, mother's education and socioeconomic status when it comes to older children attending basic education are not large. However the majority of 15 to 17 years old attending basic education are 15 ( 34.1 percent); the proportion of 16 and 17 year olds attending basic education are 23.8 percent and 14 percent respectively.

The percentage of children entering first grade of basic education who eventually reach grade 5 is presented in Table ED.5. This MDG indicator is calculated using data by grade for two consecutive years in a procedure called the reconstructed cohort method. The method makes 3 assumptions: drop outs never return to school; promotion, repetition and dropout rates remain constant over the entire period in which the cohort is enrolled in school; and the same rates apply to all pupils enrolled in a given grade, regardless of whether they previously repeated a grade. As the table shows of all children starting grade one, over three quarters of them (78.9 percent) will eventually reach grade five. The ability to retain students is higher in urban areas than rural areas: in urban areas 91.1 percent of children will reach grade 5 compared to 73.1 percent of children attending school in rural areas. Children from richer households are also more likely to reach grade 5 compared to children from poorer households.

The net school completion rate (grade 9) and transition rate to upper secondary education is presented in Table ED.6. At the moment of the survey, only 18.4 percent of the children of basic education school completion age ( 14 years) were attending the last grade (grade 9). This value should be distinguished from the gross completion ratio which includes children of any age attending the last grade of basic education. Over a third of 14 year olds from the richest households ( 37.3 percent) were attending the last grade of basic education compared to just 7.4 percent of 14 year olds living in the poorest households.

A little under two thirds of the children ( 65.8 percent) that successfully completed the last grade of the second stage of the basic education system were found at the moment the survey to be attending the first grade of secondary school. A positive correlation between socioeconomic status and transition to secondary school is observed; however due to the overall small number of children transitioning to upper secondary school these figures should be used with caution.

The ratio of girls to boys attending basic education or secondary school is provided in Table ED.7. These ratios are better known as the Gender Parity Index (GPI). Notice that the ratios included here are obtained from net attendance ratios rather than gross attendance ratios; the latter ratios provide an erroneous description of the GPI mainly because in most of the cases the majority of over-age children attending early basic education tend to be boys. The table shows that gender parity for basic education is 0.80 , indicating that for every 10 boys attending, there are 8 girls. The gender parity drops to 0.60 for secondary school. The disadvantage of girls is particularly pronounced in rural areas, as well as among children living in the poorest households; in rural areas there are 7 girls for every 10 boys in basic education and this falls to 5 girls for every 10 boys among those children living in the poorest households. The only instance
when girls appear to be at a slight advantage over boys is for secondary school attendance in urban areas (GPI 1.07).

## Adult Literacy

One of the World Fit for Children goals is to assure adult literacy. Adult literacy is also an MDG indicator, relating to both men and women. In MICS, since only a women's questionnaire was administered, the results are based only on ever-married females age $15-24^{11}$. Literacy was assessed on the ability of women to read a short simple statement or was based on school attendance at secondary or higher levels. The percent literate is presented in Table ED.8. Slightly over one third of ever-married women ( 35.2 percent) in Yemen are literate. The percentage of literate women in living in urban households is more than double the percentage for women living in rural households ( 59 percent versus 26.3 percent). A strong correlation can also be observed between socioeconomic wealth and literacy.

The literacy rates for ever-married women age 15-19 and for ever-married women age 20-24 were the same. An interesting finding was that for women who had received basic education only 59.4 percent could actually read, perhaps reflecting the quality and length of the basic education they had received.

[^9]
## XII. Child Protection

## Birth Registration

The Convention on the Rights of the Child states that every child has the right to a name and a nationality and the right to protection from being deprived of his or her identity. Birth registration is a fundamental means of securing these rights for children. The World Fit for Children states the goal to develop systems to ensure the registration of every child at or shortly after birth, and fulfil his or her right to acquire a name and a nationality, in accordance with national laws and relevant international instruments. The indicator is the percentage of children under 5 years of age whose birth is registered.

The births of just 22.3 percent of children under five years of age in Yemen have been registered (Table CP.1). There is no variation in birth registration between male and female children. Children living in the rural households however are less likely to have their births registered than children living in rural areas ( 16.4 percent versus 38.2 percent). There is a positive correlation between the education of the mother/caretaker and birth registration, only 15.8 percent of mothers or caretakers with no education, registered the birth or their child compared to 41.4 of mothers or caretakers with secondary or higher education. Children born into wealthier households are also more likely to have their births registered; birth registration took place in only 5 percent of the poorest households compared to 50.4 percent in the richest households.

Mothers and caretakers were asked to provide the reasons why the births of their children were not registered (Table CP.1). Just under half of the mothers or caretakers reported that they didn't know the birth had to be registered ( 47.9 percent). The second most common reason cited was that they didn't want to have to travel so far ( 17.6 percent); this reason was more common in the rural areas ( 20.8 percent) than urban areas ( 6 percent).

## Child Labour

Article 32 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child states: "States Parties recognize the right of the child to be protected from economic exploitation and from performing any work that is likely to be hazardous or to interfere with the child's education, or to be harmful to the child's health or physical, mental, spiritual, moral or social development..." The World Fit for Children mentions nine strategies to combat child labour and the MDGs call for the protection of children against exploitation. In the MICS questionnaire, a number of questions were asked to measure the level and type of child labour, that is, children 5-14 years of age involved in labour activities. A child is considered to be involved in child labour activities at the moment of the survey if during the week preceding the survey:

- Ages 5-11: at least one hour of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work per week.
- Ages 12-14: at least 14 hours of economic work or 28 hours of domestic work per week.

This definition allows differentiation between child labour and child work to identify the type of work that should be eliminated. As such, the estimate provided here is a minimum of the prevalence of child labour since some children may be involved in hazardous labour activities for a number of hours that could be less than the numbers specified in the criteria explained
above. Table CP. 2 presents the results of child labour by the type of work. Percentages do not add up to the total child labour as children may be involved in more than one type of work.

It was reported that just over one fifth of children age 5 to 14 were involved in a form child labour ( 22.7 percent). There was no large variation between male and female children; female children however were much more likely to be engage in conducting household chores for more than 28 hours a week compared to their male counterparts ( 14.1 percent versus 7.5 percent). A third of children age 12-14 were involved in a form of child labour ( 33.1 percent) compared to 18.6 percent of children age 5-11 years. Children living in rural households are considerably more likely to be involved in child labour compared to children living in urban households (28.6 percent verses 8.1 percent). The proportion of children living in poorer households and who were engage in child labour was also significantly higher than children living in wealthier households.

Table CP. 3 presents the percentage of children classified as student labourers or as labourer students. Student labourers are the children attending school that were involved in child labour activities at the moment of the survey; of the 58.5 percent of children 5-14 years of age attending school, 23.4 percent are also involved in child labour activities. While there is no difference between male and female children, children attending school from urban areas are less likely to be participating in child labour compared to their rural counterpart ( 8.5 percent versus 31.2 percent).

Labourer students are the children who are involved in child labour activities but were also attending school at the time of the survey; out of the 22.7 percent of the children classified as child labourers, 60.2 percent of them are also attending school. Male children that are involved in child labour activities are more likely than female children, also involved in labour activities, to be attending school ( 69.8 percent versus 51.6 percent). Children from urban areas that participate in child labour also appear to have a greater chance to be attending school compared to children working in rural areas ( 74.2 percent versus 58.6 percent). For children that participate in child labour the opportunity to also be attending school is positively correlated with mother's education and socioeconomic status.

## Child Discipline

As stated in A World Fit for Children, "children must be protected against any acts of violence ..." and the Millennium Declaration calls for the protection of children against abuse, exploitation and violence. In the Yemen MICS survey, mothers/caretakers of children age 2-14 years were asked a series of questions on the ways parents tend to use to discipline their children when they misbehave. Note that for the child discipline module, one child age 2-14 per household was selected randomly during fieldwork. Out of these questions, the two indicators used to describe aspects of child discipline are: 1) the number of children 2-14 years that experience psychological aggression as punishment or minor physical punishment or severe physical punishment; and 2) the number of parents/caretakers of children 2-14 years of age that believe that in order to raise their children properly, they need to physically punish them.

In Yemen, proportion of children age 2-14 subjected to at least one form of psychological or physical punishment by their mothers/caretakers or other household member is extremely high at 94 percent. Alarmingly, 4 out of every 10 children age 2-14 years ( 41.2 percent) were subjected to severe physical punishment and 8 out of 10 ( 82.8 percent) were subjected to minor physical punishment. The proportion of children experiencing minor physical punishment was higher than the proportion of mothers/caretakers that believe that children should be physically punished ( 43.9 percent), which perhaps suggests that other household members are inflicting such punishment on children.

Male children were subjected more to both minor and severe physical discipline than female children ( 84 and 44.2 percent for boys versus 81.6 and 38.2 percent for girls). It is interesting to note that only small differences exist among the other background variables such as the age of child, mother's education and household wealth. A staggering 91.7 percent of children were subjected to psychological punishment; this did not vary across the background variables.

## Early Marriage

According to UNICEF's worldwide estimates, over 60 million women age 20-24 were married/in union before the age of 18. Factors that influence child marriage rates include: the state of the country's civil registration system, which provides proof of age for children; the existence of an adequate legislative framework with an accompanying enforcement mechanism to address cases of child marriage; and the existence of customary or religious laws that condone the practice. The right to 'free and full' consent to a marriage is recognized in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights - with the recognition that consent cannot be 'free and full' when one of the parties involved is not sufficiently mature to make an informed decision about a life partner. The Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women mentions the right to protection from child marriage in article 16, which states: "The betrothal and the marriage of a child shall have no legal effect, and all necessary action, including legislation, shall be taken to specify a minimum age for marriage..."

In many parts of the world parents encourage the marriage of their daughters while they are still children in hopes that the marriage will benefit them both financially and socially, while also relieving financial burdens on the family. In actual fact, child marriage is a violation of human rights, compromising the development of girls and often resulting in early pregnancy and social isolation, with little education and poor vocational training reinforcing the gendered nature of poverty. While marriage is not considered directly in the Convention on the Rights of the Child, child marriage is linked to other rights - such as the right to express their views freely, the right to protection from all forms of abuse, and the right to be protected from harmful traditional practices - and is frequently addressed by the Committee on the Rights of the Child.

Currently in Yemen, there is no legal minimum age for marriage; the law only stipulates that girls should not marry unless they have reached sexual maturity, yet even then there is no punishment for those families who allow their daughters to marry before this time. Research suggests that many factors interact to place a child at risk of marriage. Poverty and financial burden are factors which push families to get rid of their daughters' burden at early ages. Traditional values add to the belief that early marriage will protect girls from deviation and consequently will protect the family honour. Very often in Yemen it is the fathers, elder brothers and other male patrons who make the marriage decisions without the girl's consent. Evidence shows that women who are married at younger ages are more likely to believe that it is sometimes acceptable for a husband to beat his wife and are more likely to experience domestic violence themselves. The age gap between partners is thought to contribute to these abusive power dynamics and to increase the risk of untimely widowhood. Recently in Yemen the phenomenon of 'tourist marriage' has also emerged whereby gulf tourists come to Yemen, usually for the summer months and marry much younger girls for large dowries. After the summer the tourists return to their countries in many cases leaving children behind without fathers.

Closely related to the issue of child marriage is the age at which girls become sexually active. Women who are married before the age of 18 tend to have more children than those who marry later in life. Pregnancy related deaths are known to be a leading cause of mortality for both married and unmarried girls between the ages of 15 and 19, particularly among the youngest of this cohort. There is evidence to suggest that girls who marry at young ages are more likely to
marry older men which puts them at increased risk of HIV infection. Men often seek younger women as wives as a means to avoid choosing a wife who might already be infected. The demand for this young wife to reproduce and the power imbalance resulting from the age differential leads to very low condom use among such couples.

The percentage of women married at various ages is provided in Table CP.5. The table shows that 14 percent of women aged 15-49 married before age 15, 32 percent of women aged 20-24 married before age 18. These proportions seem to be declining rapidly during the last 3-4 decades. Nineteen (19) percent of women aged 15-19 are currently married. Differentials seem meaningful, particularly by wealth and education and slightly less so by urban-rural, although still significant for marriage before age 18 .

Another component is the spousal age difference with an indicator being the percentage of married women with a difference of 10 or more years younger than their current spouse. Table CP. 6 presents the results of the age difference between husbands and wives. Among currently married women age 15-19 years, 15.8 percent are married to men who are at least 10 years older than them. Among currently married women age 20-24 years, 17.9 percent have husbands who are 10 or more years older. Women living in urban households are more likely to be in marriages with a large spousal age difference; 23.1 percent of currently married women age 20-24 from urban areas are married to spouses who are at least ten years older compared to 15.9 percent of women living in rural areas. The correlation between large spousal age gaps and the women's educational level is unclear, however from the data is would appear that women with basic education are more likely to have husbands who are at least ten years older compared to women who either have no education at all or have secondary or higher education.

## Child Disability

One of the World Fit for Children goals is to protect children against abuse, exploitation, and violence, including the elimination of discrimination against children with disabilities. For children age 2 through 9 years, a series of questions were asked to assess a number of disabilities/impairments, such as sight impairment, deafness, and difficulties with speech. This approach rests in the concept of functional disability developed by WHO and aims to identify the implications of any impairment or disability for the development of the child (e.g. health, nutrition, education, etc.). It should be noted that the mothers/caretakers reports of disability are not verified by a clinical diagnosis therefore the percentages presented here can only be taken as a proxy of disability within the country.

It was reported that a quarter ( 24.5 percent) of children age 2-9 years had at least one disability (Table CP.7). The disability most commonly reported was delay in sitting, standing or walking ( 9.3 percent) followed by being unable to understand instructions ( 6 percent) and unable to be understood ( 6 percent). There were no major differences found between children living in urban or rural households. Mother's or caretakers in poorer households reported higher levels of child disability. In the poorest households it was estimated that 29.4 percent of children had at least one disability compared to less than 20 percent in the two richest wealth quintiles.

Among children age 3-9 it was reported that 7.4 percent did not have normal speech with little variation among the background variables. A quarter of mother or caretakers with a 2 year old reported that their child cannot name at least one object.

## Children's Living Arrangements

Children who are orphaned may be at increased risk of neglect or exploitation if the parents are not available to assist them. The frequency of children ( 0 to 17 years) living with neither parent,
mother only or father only is presented in Table CP.8. The table reveals that the majority of children in Yemen are living with both biological parents ( 85.8 percent); a very small number of children are living in households with neither of their biological parents ( 1.7 percent). Five percent of Yemeni children have lost either one or both of their biological parents. The definition of orphans in Yemen however, only takes into account children that have lost their biological father which was the case for 2.9 percent of children. Children living in households that had lost both biological parents (double orphans) was reported for 0.2 percent of children, however according to the 2006 National Report on Children in Yemen, it is believed that a great many more double orphans are living in government and private institutions.

## Knowledge of HIV Transmission

One of the most important prerequisites for reducing the rate of HIV infection is accurate knowledge of how HIV is transmitted and strategies for preventing transmission. Correct information is the first step toward raising awareness and giving young people the tools to protect themselves from infection. Misconceptions about HIV are common and can confuse young people and hinder prevention efforts. Different regions are likely to have variations in misconceptions although some appear to be universal (for example that sharing food can transmit HIV or mosquito bites can transmit HIV). The UN General Assembly Special Session on HIV and AIDS (UNGASS) called on governments to improve the knowledge and skills of young people to protect themselves from HIV. The indicators to measure this goal as well as the MDG of reducing HIV infections by half include improving the level of knowledge of HIV and its prevention, and changing behaviours to prevent further transmission of the disease. The HIV module was administered to ever married women 15-49 years of age ${ }^{12}$.

Ever-married women were asked whether they knew of two ${ }^{13}$ of the main ways of HIV prevention- using a condom and abstaining from sex. The results are presented in Table HA.1. In Yemen, 60.6 percent of the interviewed women have heard of AIDS ranging from 49.8 percent in rural areas to 84.5 percent in urban areas. However, the percentage of women who know both ways of preventing HIV transmission is only 13.7 percent. One fifth of women know of using a condom every time ( 20.8 percent), and 29 percent know of abstaining from sex as main ways of preventing HIV transmission. While 36.1 percent of women know at least one way, a high proportion of women ( 63.9 percent) do not know either of the two ways.

Table HA. 2 presents the percent of ever-married women who can correctly identify misconceptions concerning HIV. The indicator is based on the two most common and relevant misconceptions in Yemen, that HIV can be transmitted by sharing food and mosquito bites. The table also provides information on whether women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means and that HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles. Of the interviewed women, 6.9 percent reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthylooking person can be infected. Twenty four percent of women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by sharing food and 27.8 percent of women know that HIV cannot be transmitted by mosquito bites, while 22 percent of women know that a healthy-looking person can be infected.

Ever-married women in urban areas are more than 4 times as likely to reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthy-looking person can be infected compared to their rural counterparts ( 14.7 percent versus 3.3 percent). Three quarters of women in urban areas are aware that HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles ( 75.4 percent) compared to 41.7 percent of women living in rural areas. There is also a positive relationship between household wealth and the ability to reject the two most common misconceptions and know that a healthylooking person can be infected ranging from 0.7 percent in the poorest households to 4.7 percent in the middle income households to 16.9 percent in the richest households.

[^10]Table HA. 3 summarizes information from Tables HA. 1 and HA. 2 and presents the percentage of ever-married women who know the 2 ways of preventing HIV transmission that were asked in the survey and reject three common misconceptions. Overall, 1.3 percent of women were found to know both modes of HIV transmission, this was higher in urban areas ( 2.6 percent) compared to rural ( 0.7 percent). As expected, there is a positive correlation between HIV knowledge and woman's education level (Figure HA.1).

Figure HA. 1 Percent of ever-married women who know 2 ways of transmission and women who reject misconceptions of HIV and AIDS transmission, Yemen, 2006


Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV is also an important first step for women to seek HIV testing when they are pregnant to avoid infection in the baby. Women should know that HIV can be transmitted during pregnancy, delivery, and through breastfeeding. The level of knowledge among ever-married women age 15-49 years concerning mother-to-child transmission is presented in Table HA.4. Overall, more than half ( 51.2 percent) of ever-married women know that HIV can be transmitted from mother to child. The percentage of ever-married women who know all three ways of mother-to-child transmission is 32.4 percent, while 9.4 percent of women did not know of any specific way. There appeared to be little difference in knowledge among women of different age groups, however residence, education and socioeconomic status indicate strong associations with knowledge of mother to child HIV transmission. Of ever-married women living in urban areas, 45.4 percent knew of all three ways of transmission compared to 26.5 percent of women in rural areas. Women living in the richest households were almost 3 times as likely to know of all three ways compared to women living in the poorest households (44.3 percent versus 15.3 percent).

The indicators on attitudes toward people living with HIV measure stigma and discrimination in the community. Stigma and discrimination are low if respondents report an accepting attitude on the following four questions: 1 ) would care for family member sick with AIDS; 2 ) would buy fresh vegetables from a vendor who was HIV positive; 3) thinks that a female teacher who is HIV positive should be allowed to teach in school; and 4) would not want to keep HIV status of a family member a secret. Table HA. 5 presents the attitudes of women towards people living with HIV and AIDS.

An overwhelming 94.8 percent of women agreed with at least one of the discriminatory statements listed above. The most widely held attitude was to not purchase food from a person with HIV and AIDS (82.6 percent) followed by the belief that a female teacher with HIV should not be allowed to work ( 71.9 percent). Over half of the ever-married women reported that if a family member had HIV they would want to keep it a secret ( 52.2 percent) and just under a fifth of women reported that they would not care for a family member who was sick with AIDS (19 percent). There is little variation in attitudes toward people living with HIV among any of the background variables.

Another important indicator is the knowledge of where to be tested for HIV and use of such services. Questions related to knowledge among women of a facility for HIV testing and whether they have ever been tested is presented in Table HA.6. Only 12.4 percent of women know where to be tested, this ranged from 7.4 percent of women living in rural areas to 23.3 percent of women living in urban areas. Women with any education were much more likely to know of a place to be tested compared to women with no education. Over 16 percent of women living in households in the two richest wealth quintiles knew of a place to be tested compared to less than 10 percent of women living in household in the poorest, second and middle wealth index quintiles.

Table HA. 6 also shows that only 1.9 percent of ever-married women have actually been tested and these women reside mainly in urban areas. Of this small number of women who have been tested 51.6 percent has been told the result ( 88 percent) ${ }^{14}$.

Among women who had given birth within the two years preceding the survey, the percent who received counselling and HIV testing during antenatal care is presented in Table HA.7. As discussed in chapter IX less than half of the women received antenatal care from a health care professional ( 47 percent) thus presenting a particular challenge for the roll out of the prevention of mother to child transmission (PMTCT) services. Of women who received antenatal care just 2.1 percent of women were provided information about HIV prevention during their visit with very little variation among any of the background variables. Less than 1 percent of women recalled that they had been tested for HIV at an antenatal care visit ( 0.7 percent) and of these women only half a percent received the results. However these figures are extremely limited and should be used with caution due to the small number of women that the percentages are based upon.
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## Tables

Table HH.1: Results of household and individual interviews
Number of households, women, and children under 5 by results of the household, women's and under-five's interviews, and household, women's and under-five's response rates, Yemen, 2006

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Urban | Rural | Total |
| Number of households |  |  |  |
| Sampled | 1159 | 2820 | 3979 |
| Occupied | 1157 | 2815 | 3972 |
| Interviewed | 1055 | 2531 | 3586 |
| Response rate | 91.2 | 89.9 | 90.3 |
| Number of women |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 1136 | 2776 | 3912 |
| Interviewed | 1095 | 2647 | 3742 |
| Response rate | 96.4 | 95.4 | 95.7 |
| Overall response rate | 87.9 | 85.7 | 86.4 |
| Number of children under 5 |  |  |  |
| Eligible | 986 | 2932 | 3918 |
| Mother/Caretaker | 956 | 2827 | 3783 |
| interviewed | 97.0 | 96.4 | 96.6 |
| Response rate | 88.4 | 86.7 | 87.2 |
| Overall response rate |  |  |  |

Table HH.2: Household age distribution by sex
Percent distribution of the household population by five-year age groups and dependency age groups, and number of children age 0-17 years, by sex, Yemen, 2006

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age <br> 0-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-9 | 2006 | 15.5 | 1934 | 14.7 | 3940 | 15.1 |
| 10-14 | 1868 | 14.4 | 1810 | 13.8 | 3678 | 14.1 |
| 15-19 | 1631 | 12.6 | 1749 | 13.3 | 3381 | 13.0 |
| 20-24 | 1207 | 9.3 | 1299 | 9.9 | 2506 | 9.6 |
| 25-29 | 881 | 6.8 | 1081 | 8.2 | 1962 | 7.5 |
| 30-34 | 637 | 4.9 | 653 | 5.0 | 1290 | 4.9 |
| 35-39 | 492 | 3.8 | 583 | 4.4 | 1075 | 4.1 |
| 40-44 | 473 | 3.7 | 444 | 3.4 | 917 | 3.5 |
| 45-49 | 377 | 2.9 | 425 | 3.2 | 803 | 3.1 |
| 50-54 | 364 | 2.8 | 409 | 3.1 | 773 | 3.0 |
| 55-59 | 231 | 1.8 | 253 | 1.9 | 484 | 1.9 |
| 60-64 | 284 | 2.2 | 182 | 1.4 | 466 | 1.8 |
| 65-69 | 104 | 0.8 | 101 | 0.8 | 205 | 0.8 |
| 70+ | 363 | 2.8 | 248 | 1.9 | 611 | 2.3 |
| Missing/DK | 10 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.1 |
| Dependency age groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 15 | 5899 | 45.5 | 5695 | 43.3 | 11593 | 44.4 |
| 15-64 | 6576 | 50.8 | 7080 | 53.9 | 13656 | 52.3 |
| $65+$ | 467 | 3.6 | 349 | 2.7 | 816 | 3.1 |
| Missing/DK | 10 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.1 |
| Children age 0-17 | 6869 | 53.0 | 6758 | 51.4 | 13627 | 52.2 |
| Adults 18+/Missing/ DK | 6082 | 47.0 | 6379 | 48.6 | 12461 | 47.8 |
| Total | 12951 | 100 | 13137 | 100 | 26088 | 100 |

Table HH.3: Household composition
Percent distribution of households by selected characteristics, Yemen, 2006

|  | Weighted percent | Number of households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Sex of household head |  |  |  |
| Male | 91.3 | 3276 | 3274 |
| Female Residence | 8.7 | 311 | 312 |
| Urban | 31.6 | 1132 | 1055 |
| Rural | 68.4 | 2454 | 2531 |
| Number of household members |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.1 | 74 | 77 |
| 2-3 | 12.7 | 457 | 467 |
| 4-5 | 18.5 | 665 | 661 |
| 6-7 | 24.4 | 873 | 861 |
| 8-9 | 19.5 | 699 | 681 |
| 10+ | 22.8 | 818 | 839 |
| Total | 100.0 | 3586 | 3586 |
| At least one child age < 18 years | 87.9 | 3586 | 3586 |
| At least one child age < 5 years | 62.4 | 3586 | 3586 |
| At least one woman age 15-49 years | 87.3 | 3586 | 3586 |

Table HH.4: Women's background characteristics
Percent distribution of ever-married women age 15-49 years by background characteristics, Yemen, 2006

|  | Weighted percent | Number of women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 31.3 | 1170 | 1095 |
| Rural | 68.7 | 2572 | 2647 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 8.5 | 318 | 307 |
| 20-24 | 18.6 | 697 | 691 |
| 25-29 | 21.8 | 815 | 802 |
| 30-34 | 14.8 | 553 | 571 |
| 35-39 | 14.3 | 536 | 535 |
| 40-44 | 11.3 | 421 | 433 |
| 45-49 | 10.8 | 402 | 403 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |
| Currently married | 93.9 | 3514 | 3519 |
| Formerly married | 6.1 | 228 | 223 |
| Motherhood status |  |  |  |
| Ever gave birth | 88.6 | 3315 | 3308 |
| Never gave birth | 11.4 | 427 | 434 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None | 66.0 | 2469 | 2452 |
| Basic | 24.6 | 922 | 950 |
| Secondary + | 9.2 | 344 | 334 |
| Missing | (*) | 7 | 6 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 19.9 | 745 | 686 |
| Second | 19.6 | 735 | 724 |
| Middle | 19.5 | 731 | 791 |
| Fourth | 19.8 | 740 | 773 |
| Richest | 21.1 | 791 | 768 |
| Total | 100.0 | 3742 | 3742 |

(*) Percentage based on less than 25 unweighted cases

Table HH.5: Children's background characteristics
Percent distribution of children under five years of age by background characteristics, Yemen, 2006

|  | Weighted percent | Number of under-5 children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Unweighted |
| Sex |  |  |  |
| Male | 50.9 | 1925 | 1930 |
| Female | 49.1 | 1858 | 1853 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 27.0 | 1021 | 956 |
| Rural | 73.0 | 2762 | 2827 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| < 6 months | 11.1 | 421 | 408 |
| 6-11 months | 11.4 | 432 | 443 |
| 12-23 months | 19.1 | 721 | 715 |
| 24-35 months | 19.6 | 741 | 750 |
| 36-47 months | 20.5 | 774 | 781 |
| 48-59 months | 18.3 | 691 | 682 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |
| None | 65.6 | 2483 | 2453 |
| Basic | 24.9 | 941 | 971 |
| Secondary + | 8.4 | 316 | 310 |
| Non Standard Curriculum | (1.1) | 41 | 48 |
| Missing | (*) | 1 | 1 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 23.2 | 878 | 810 |
| Second | 21.4 | 810 | 798 |
| Middle | 20.1 | 759 | 831 |
| Fourth | 19.5 | 738 | 768 |
| Richest | 15.8 | 598 | 576 |
| Total | 100.0 | 3783 | 3783 |

(*) Percentage based on less than 25 unweighted cases
Percentages in parenthesis based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table CM.1: Childhood mortality rates
Neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-five mortality rates for five-year periods precedining the survey, Yemen 2006

| Years <br> precedining <br> the survey | Neonatal <br> mortality <br> $(\mathrm{NN})$ | Postneonatal <br> mortality <br> $(\mathrm{PNN})$ | Infant <br> mortality <br> $(1 q 0){ }^{*}$ | Child <br> mortality <br> $(4 \mathrm{q} 1)$ | Under five <br> mortality <br> $(5 q 0)^{\star *}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{0 - 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 2}$ |
| $5-9$ | 38.8 | 37.0 | 75.8 | 19.5 | 93.8 |
| $10-14$ | 38.7 | 48.2 | 86.9 | 23.4 | 108.3 |
| $15-19$ | 46.2 | 57.1 | 103.2 | 25.9 | 126.5 |
| $20-24$ | 52.2 | 68.5 | 120.7 | 38.8 | 154.8 |

* MICS indicator 2; MDG indicator 14
** MICS indicator 1; MDG indicator 13

Table CM.2: Child mortality by sex and residence characteristics
Neonatal, postneonatal, infant, child, and under-five mortality rates for the five-year periods preceding the survey, by background characteristics Yemen, 2006

|  | Neonatal mortality (NN) | Postneonatal mortality (PNN) | Infant mortality (1q0) | Child mortality (4q1) | Under five mortality (5q0) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 40.3 | 31.6 | 71.9 | 9.9 | 81.1 |
| Female | 34.2 | 30.5 | 64.7 | 11.2 | 75.2 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 29.1 | 26.2 | 55.3 | 1.6 | 56.7 |
| Rural | 40.3 | 32.9 | 73.3 | 13.8 | 86.1 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 43.9 | 50.0 | 93.9 | 26.6 | 118.0 |
| Second | 42.5 | 26.7 | 69.2 | 12.6 | 80.9 |
| Middle | 42.8 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 4.5 | 75.5 |
| Fourth | 28.6 | 29.7 | 58.4 | 3.0 | 61.2 |
| Richest | 23.5 | 12.6 | 36.0 | 1.4 | 37.4 |
| Total | 37.3 | 31.1 | 68.5 | 10.5 | 78.2 |

Table NU.1: Initial breastfeeding
Percentage of women age 15-49 years with a birth in the two years preceding the survey who breastfed their baby within one hour of birth and within one day of birth, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage who <br> started <br> breastfeeding within <br> one hour of birth* | Percentage who <br> started breastfeeding <br> within one day of <br> birth | Number of women with <br> a live birth in the two <br> years preceding the <br> survey |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence | 31.7 |  |  |
| Urban | 28.8 | 71.0 | 429 |
| Rural | 28.9 | 62.9 | 1181 |
| Mother's education | 29.8 | 61.2 | 1035 |
| None | 32.3 | 70.7 | 412 |
| Basic |  | 75.2 | 162 |
| Secondary + | 35.7 |  | 378 |
| Wealth index quintiles | 25.7 | 61.8 | 352 |
| Poorest | 30.3 | 59.2 | 322 |
| Second | 26.0 | 63.1 | 307 |
| Middle | 29.2 | 69.2 | 251 |
| Fourth |  | 75.8 |  |
| Richest | 29.6 | 65.1 | 1610 |
| Total |  |  |  |

## * MICS indicator 45

Total includes 1 child missing information on mother's education who is not shown separately.

## Table NU.2: Post-partum mothers' vitamin A supplementation

Percentage of women age 15-49 years with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey by whether they received a high dose vitamin A supplement before the infant was 8 weeks old, Yemen, 2006

|  | Received vitamin A <br> supplement* | Not sure if received <br> vitamin A | Number of women <br> age 15-49 years |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Residence | 19.4 | 4.8 |  |
| Urban | 14.7 | 3.4 | 429 |
| Rural | 14.2 |  | 1181 |
| Education | 18.4 | 2.9 | 1035 |
| None | 21.2 | 5.5 | 412 |
| Basic |  | 4.8 | 162 |
| Secondary + | 12.9 |  |  |
|  | 15.7 | 3.1 | 378 |
| Wealth index quintiles | 15.9 | 3.4 | 352 |
| Poorest | 15.0 | 4.0 | 322 |
| Second | 21.9 | 2.9 | 307 |
| Middle |  | 6.0 | 251 |
| Fourth | 15.9 | 3.8 |  |
| Richest |  |  | 1610 |
| Total |  |  |  |

## *MICS indicator 43

Total includes 1 child missing information on mother's education who is not shown separately.

Table NU.3: Child size at birth
Percentage of live births in the 2 years preceding the survey by mother's estimate of baby size at birth, Yemen, 2006

|  | Size of child at birth as estimated by the mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighed at birth* | Very large | Larger than average | Average | Smaller than average | Very small | Don't know/ missing | Total | Number of births |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.5 | 2.3 | 11.5 | 47.7 | 16.3 | 22.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 429 |
| Rural | 3.6 | 2.5 | 10.5 | 42.0 | 19.2 | 25.2 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1181 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 3.6 | 1.9 | 9.9 | 41.8 | 18.8 | 27.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 1035 |
| Basic | 11.9 | 3.9 | 12.4 | 45.3 | 17.8 | 19.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 412 |
| Secondary + | 23.9 | 2.9 | 12.2 | 49.9 | 17.8 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 162 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.2 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 37.6 | 22.4 | 32.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 378 |
| Second | 3.0 | 1.2 | 12.9 | 45.7 | 16.9 | 22.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 352 |
| Middle | 5.3 | 3.6 | 12.6 | 42.7 | 18.4 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 322 |
| Fourth | 12.1 | 2.2 | 13.0 | 44.1 | 16.3 | 24.3 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 307 |
| Richest | 22.3 | 2.7 | 13.9 | 49.9 | 17.1 | 16.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 251 |
| Total | 7.8 | 2.5 | 10.8 | 43.5 | 18.4 | 24.4 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 1610 |

** MICS indicator 10
Total includes 2 births missing information on mother's education that are not shown separately.

Table CH.1: Vaccinations in first year of life
Percentage of children age 12-23 months immunized against childhood diseases at any time before the survey and before the first birthday, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children age 12-23 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG* | DPT1 | DPT2 | DPT3** | Polio0 | Polio1 | Polio2 | Polio3*** | Measles**** | All***** | None |  |
| Vaccinated at any time before the survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| According to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccination card | 37.9 | 46.8 | 43.4 | 39.2 | 20.0 | 45.2 | 40.7 | 36.5 | 30.8 | 25.1 | 0.0 | 721 |
| Mother's report | 31.1 | 31.6 | 27.5 | 21.7 | 10.0 | 36.1 | 32.8 | 26.6 | 34.3 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 721 |
| Either | 69.0 | 78.4 | 70.9 | 61.0 | 30.0 | 81.2 | 73.5 | 63.0 | 65.1 | 37.5 | 11.6 | 721 |
| Vaccinated by 12 months of age | 67.2 | 76.9 | 70.1 | 59.7 | 28.5 | 78.9 | 72.3 | 60.2 | 59.2 | 17.9 | 11.6 | 721 |

* MICS indicator 25
** MICS indicator 27
*** MICS indicator 26
**** MICS indicator 28; MDG indicator 15
Since March 2005 DPT is typically administered as part of the Pentavalent vaccination


## Table CH.1c: Vaccinations in first year of life (continued)

Percentage of children age 12-23 months immunized against childhood diseases at any time before the survey and before the first birthday, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children age 12-23 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HepB1 | HepB2 | HepB3* | Hib1 | Hib2 | Hib3 |  |
| Vaccinated at any time before the survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| According to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaccination card | 11.8 | 11.0 | 8.7 | 32.8 | 30.2 | 26.7 | 721 |
| Mother's report | 16.3 | 13.0 | 10.7 | 25.8 | 20.9 | 15.9 | 721 |
| Either | 28.1 | 24.0 | 19.4 | 58.6 | 51.1 | 42.6 | 721 |
| Vaccinated by 12 months of age | 25.5 | 23.4 | 18.6 | 56.9 | 49.8 | 40.0 | 721 |

* MICS indicator 29

Hib typically received as part of the Pentavalent vaccine

Table CH.2: Vaccinations by background characteristics
Percentage of children age 12-23 months currently vaccinated against childhood diseases, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent with health card | Number of children age 12-23 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BCG | DPT1 | DPT2 | DPT3 | Polio0 | Polio1 | Polio2 | Polio3 | Measles | All | None |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 68.8 | 76.2 | 70.2 | 61.7 | 31.8 | 81.2 | 73.2 | 63.2 | 65.7 | 36.4 | 11.8 | 49.7 | 360 |
| Female | 69.1 | 80.5 | 71.7 | 60.2 | 28.1 | 81.2 | 73.8 | 62.9 | 64.5 | 38.6 | 11.5 | 47.0 | 361 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.6 | 91.9 | 85.5 | 79.5 | 43.4 | 87.4 | 80.6 | 72.9 | 80.3 | 57.7 | 6.4 | 51.2 | 210 |
| Rural | 60.5 | 72.7 | 64.8 | 53.2 | 24.5 | 78.7 | 70.6 | 59.1 | 58.8 | 29.3 | 13.8 | 47.2 | 511 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 61.0 | 71.2 | 63.0 | 51.9 | 24.5 | 77.1 | 68.9 | 58.8 | 59.5 | 29.0 | 14.4 | 44.4 | 454 |
| Basic | 81.4 | 89.4 | 82.0 | 71.3 | 39.0 | 87.6 | 78.5 | 67.4 | 72.2 | 47.4 | 7.8 | 55.9 | 175 |
| Secondary + | 86.4 | 92.0 | 87.3 | 83.9 | 39.5 | 88.4 | 85.6 | 73.6 | 80.7 | 60.1 | 5.5 | 53.8 | 82 |
| Non Standard Curriculum Wealth index quintiles | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 11 |
| Poorest | 53.3 | 63.7 | 52.6 | 40.3 | 21.4 | 76.5 | 64.0 | 51.8 | 52.4 | 17.8 | 14.3 | 43.9 | 153 |
| Second | 53.8 | 70.8 | 60.2 | 50.0 | 24.0 | 76.4 | 65.9 | 56.1 | 57.2 | 26.4 | 14.0 | 39.2 | 161 |
| Middle | 70.7 | 79.2 | 72.1 | 60.5 | 24.7 | 80.4 | 72.5 | 62.5 | 60.2 | 38.1 | 15.5 | 44.0 | 139 |
| Fourth | 76.0 | 83.7 | 77.6 | 65.5 | 39.3 | 79.8 | 76.0 | 63.9 | 74.5 | 40.2 | 12.0 | 55.3 | 141 |
| Richest | 97.9 | 98.4 | 97.2 | 94.6 | 43.6 | 95.9 | 93.2 | 85.6 | 85.5 | 72.5 | 0.8 | 62.3 | 127 |
| Total | 69.0 | 78.4 | 70.9 | 61.0 | 30.0 | 81.2 | 73.5 | 63.0 | 65.1 | 37.5 | 11.6 | 48.3 | 721 |

(*) Percentage based on less than 25 unweighted cases

Table CH.2c: Vaccinations by background characteristics (continued)
Percentage of children age 12-23 months currently vaccinated against childhood diseases, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children who received: |  |  |  |  |  | Percent with health card | Number of children age 12-23 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HepB1 | HepB2 | HepB3 | Hib1 | Hib2 | Hib3 |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 30.0 | 26.4 | 21.5 | 55.8 | 49.1 | 42.3 | 49.7 | 360 |
| Female | 31.3 | 26.0 | 20.9 | 64.8 | 56.1 | 45.4 | 47.0 | 361 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 40.3 | 36.0 | 30.9 | 68.5 | 61.4 | 56.6 | 51.2 | 210 |
| Rural | 26.8 | 22.3 | 17.3 | 57.0 | 49.0 | 38.7 | 47.2 | 511 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 24.9 | 21.1 | 17.0 | 57.7 | 49.0 | 39.4 | 44.4 | 454 |
| Basic | 39.7 | 33.5 | 27.5 | 63.6 | 54.7 | 46.4 | 55.9 | 175 |
| Secondary + | 38.9 | 34.7 | 29.1 | 68.1 | 67.2 | 61.4 | 53.8 | 82 |
| Non Standard Curriculum Wealth index quintiles | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 11 |
| Poorest | 17.1 | 11.9 | 8.5 | 52.6 | 42.6 | 30.6 | 43.9 | 153 |
| Second | 27.6 | 22.1 | 17.6 | 56.8 | 43.8 | 36.2 | 39.2 | 161 |
| Middle | 37.8 | 32.1 | 27.7 | 60.9 | 53.7 | 43.7 | 44.0 | 139 |
| Fourth | 34.0 | 29.0 | 24.3 | 61.4 | 54.5 | 45.4 | 55.3 | 141 |
| Richest | 38.5 | 38.5 | 29.8 | 72.3 | 72.6 | 68.1 | 62.3 | 127 |
| Total | 30.6 | 26.2 | 21.2 | 60.3 | 52.6 | 43.9 | 48.3 | 721 |

(*) Percentage based on less than 25 unweighted cases

Table CH.3: Neonatal tetanus protection
Percentage of mothers with a birth in the last 24 months protected against neonatal tetanus, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percent of mothers with a birth in the last 24 months who: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received at least 2 doses during last pregnancy | Received at least 2 doses, the last within prior 3 years | Received at least 3 doses, last within prior 5 years | Received at least 4 doses, last within prior 10 years | Received at least 5 doses during lifetime | Protected against tetanus* | Number of mothers |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 24.6 | 11.3 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 40.5 | 429 |
| Rural | 17.6 | 7.8 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 27.3 | 1181 |
| Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 20.7 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 137 |
| 20-24 | 20.1 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 31.9 | 431 |
| 25-29 | 21.3 | 11.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 35.1 | 464 |
| 30-34 | 16.2 | 10.3 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 29.1 | 266 |
| 35-39 | 22.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 27.5 | 190 |
| 40-44 | 13.0 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 92 |
| 45-49 | (7.7) | (3.5) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (11.2) | 30 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 17.3 | 7.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 1035 |
| Basic | 20.8 | 10.4 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 36.3 | 412 |
| Secondary + | 30.0 | 15.5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 51.8 | 162 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 16.0 | 5.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 22.1 | 378 |
| Second | 17.5 | 11.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 30.1 | 352 |
| Middle | 17.2 | 9.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 29.3 | 322 |
| Fourth | 20.2 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 31.2 | 307 |
| Richest | 29.4 | 11.4 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 46.2 | 251 |
| Total | 19.5 | 8.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 30.8 | 1610 |

* MICS indicator 32

Total includes 2 children with missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately
Percentages shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table CH.4: Oral rehydration treatment
Percentage of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks and treatment with oral rehydration solution (ORS) or other oral rehydration treatment (ORT), Yemen, 2006


## * MICS indicator 33

Total includes 1 child missing information on mother's education who is not shown separately
(*) Percentage based on less than 25 unweighted cases
Percentages shown in parenthesis based on less than 50 unweighted cases

## Table CH.5: Home management of diarrhoea

Percentage of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the last two weeks who took increased fluids and continued to feed during the episode, Yemen, 2006

|  | Children with diarrhoea who: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Received ORT or increased fluids AND continued feeding** | Number of children age 0-59 months with diarrhoea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had diarrhoea in last two weeks | Number of children age 059 months | Drank more | ```Drank the same or less``` | Ate somewhat less, same or more | Ate much less or none | Home management of diarrhoea* |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 34.6 | 1925 | 60.2 | 38.2 | 49.4 | 48.1 | 29.7 | 46.6 | 667 |
| Female | 32.4 | 1858 | 59.5 | 39.5 | 52.1 | 47.4 | 31.8 | 48.7 | 602 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 29.2 | 1021 | 61.6 | 37.5 | 51.9 | 46.9 | 32.6 | 49.9 | 298 |
| Rural | 35.2 | 2762 | 59.4 | 39.2 | 50.3 | 48.0 | 30.1 | 46.9 | 971 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 36.1 | 853 | 51.1 | 48.2 | 40.8 | 56.2 | 19.7 | 37.1 | 308 |
| 12-23 months | 43.4 | 721 | 62.2 | 35.9 | 46.1 | 52.8 | 29.1 | 44.4 | 313 |
| 24-35 months | 36.6 | 741 | 66.1 | 33.5 | 58.5 | 41.2 | 37.5 | 56.1 | 271 |
| 36-47 months | 28.0 | 774 | 59.1 | 37.8 | 58.1 | 39.6 | 35.1 | 53.3 | 217 |
| 48-59 months | 23.1 | 691 | 62.8 | 36.7 | 54.9 | 43.8 | 37.3 | 51.9 | 160 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 33.5 | 2483 | 59.2 | 39.3 | 49.4 | 48.8 | 29.3 | 45.9 | 831 |
| Basic | 33.2 | 941 | 62.2 | 37.0 | 50.1 | 48.6 | 31.7 | 48.0 | 312 |
| Secondary + | 33.6 | 316 | 60.1 | 38.6 | 59.6 | 39.1 | 38.7 | 56.5 | 106 |
| Non Standard | (42.9) | 41 | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | (*) | 18 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 35.0 | 878 | 58.7 | 39.0 | 44.1 | 53.1 | 27.8 | 41.4 | 307 |
| Second | 36.3 | 810 | 56.6 | 42.0 | 54.0 | 43.8 | 31.3 | 49.8 | 294 |
| Middle | 35.2 | 759 | 62.7 | 36.3 | 46.9 | 52.5 | 28.3 | 45.2 | 267 |
| Fourth | 32.7 | 738 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 56.1 | 43.5 | 33.9 | 51.3 | 241 |
| Richest | 26.5 | 598 | 60.7 | 37.6 | 55.0 | 43.3 | 34.0 | 53.8 | 159 |
| Total | 33.5 | 3783 | 59.9 | 38.8 | 50.6 | 47.8 | 30.7 | 47.6 | 1269 |

## * MICS indicator 34 <br> ** MICS indicator 35

Total includes 1 child missing information on mother's education who is not shown separately
(*) Percentage based on less than 25 unweighted cases
Percentages shown in parenthesis based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Percentage of children age 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia who received antibiotic treatment, Yemen, 2006

|  | Had acute respiratory infection/suspected pneumonia | Number of children age $0-59$ months | Percentage of children age 059 months with suspected pneumonia who received antibiotics in the last two weeks * | Number of children age 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the two weeks prior to the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 13.4 | 1925 | 37.2 | 257 |
| Female | 12.5 | 1858 | 38.6 | 231 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 11.6 | 1021 | 48.6 | 118 |
| Rural | 13.4 | 2762 | 34.4 | 370 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 12.1 | 853 | 38.3 | 103 |
| 12-23 months | 14.3 | 721 | 42.6 | 103 |
| 24-35 months | 10.7 | 741 | 41.2 | 79 |
| 36-47 months | 13.0 | 774 | 35.8 | 101 |
| 48-59 months | 14.8 | 691 | 32.1 | 102 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| None | 14.0 | 2483 | 36.6 | 348 |
| Basic | 11.0 | 941 | 42.7 | 104 |
| Secondary + | 8.8 | 316 | (34.6) | 28 |
| Non Standard | (18.5) | 41 | (*) | 8 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 15.4 | 878 | 29.7 | 135 |
| Second | 15.9 | 810 | 39.2 | 129 |
| Middle | 11.8 | 759 | 44.5 | 90 |
| Fourth | 11.2 | 738 | 42.0 | 83 |
| Richest | 8.7 | 598 | 38.1 | 52 |
| Total | 12.9 | 3783 | 37.9 | 488 |

* MICS indicator 22

Total includes 1 child missing information on mother's education who is not shown separately
(*) Percentage based on less than 25 unweighted cases
Percentages shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

## Table CH.7A: Knowledge of the two danger signs of pneumonia

Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children age 0-59 months by knowledge of types of symptoms for taking a child immediately to a health facility and percentage of mothers/caretakers who recognize fast and difficult breathing as signs for seeking care immediately, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of mothers/caretakers of children age 0-59 months who think that a child should be taken immediately to a health facility if the child: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mothers/caretakers who recognize the two danger signs of pneumonia | Number of mothers/caretakers of children age 0 59 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is not able to drink or breastfeed | Becomes sicker | Develops a fever | Has fast breathing | Has difficult breathing | Has blood in stool | Is drinking poorly |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 17.2 | 51.1 | 80.3 | 33.4 | 42.5 | 32.7 | 9.5 | 27.5 | 1021 |
| Rural | 16.0 | 56.4 | 69.6 | 21.5 | 24.2 | 21.5 | 8.7 | 16.7 | 2762 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 15.3 | 54.2 | 72.0 | 21.7 | 25.8 | 21.2 | 7.9 | 16.4 | 2483 |
| Basic | 16.8 | 52.8 | 74.7 | 27.5 | 33.0 | 28.2 | 10.5 | 23.7 | 941 |
| Secondary + | 21.7 | 65.8 | 69.3 | 40.4 | 44.4 | 40.4 | 13.1 | 33.4 | 316 |
| Non Standard | (21.6) | (21.6) | (21.6) | (21.6) | (21.6) | (21.6) | (21.6) | (21.6) | 41 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 13.1 | 53.2 | 66.0 | 19.0 | 20.7 | 16.8 | 8.3 | 14.5 | 878 |
| Second | 16.5 | 55.7 | 68.0 | 16.3 | 21.7 | 16.4 | 6.3 | 12.2 | 810 |
| Middle | 18.4 | 57.0 | 70.7 | 27.0 | 29.3 | 26.9 | 10.9 | 19.5 | 759 |
| Fourth | 19.5 | 56.2 | 80.9 | 32.8 | 40.3 | 34.5 | 9.8 | 28.8 | 738 |
| Richest | 14.3 | 52.5 | 80.0 | 31.5 | 37.8 | 31.4 | 9.9 | 26.0 | 598 |
| Total | 16.3 | 55.0 | 72.5 | 24.7 | 29.2 | 24.5 | 8.9 | 19.6 | 3783 |

Total includes 1 child missing information on mother's education who is not shown separately
Percentages shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table CH.8: Solid fuel use
Percent distribution of households according to type of cooking fuel, and percentage of households using solid fuels for cooking, Yemen 2006

|  | Percentage of households using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electricity | Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG) | Kerosene | Charcoal/Wood /Coal/Animal Dung/Crop residue | Other source | Total | Solid fuels for cooking* | Number of households |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 1.0 | 93.5 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 1132 |
| Rural | 0.4 | 42.9 | 3.5 | 52.4 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 52.4 | 2454 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 0.3 | 45.5 | 4.4 | 48.8 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 48.8 | 1532 |
| Basic | 0.9 | 63.9 | 4.1 | 30.6 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 30.6 | 930 |
| Secondary + | 0.8 | 81.0 | 1.1 | 16.1 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 16.1 | 812 |
| Non Standard | 0.7 | 52.6 | 2.9 | 43.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 43.1 | 303 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 0.0 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 93.7 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 93.7 | 815 |
| Second | 0.8 | 36.3 | 7.8 | 54.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 54.0 | 743 |
| Middle | 0.7 | 78.9 | 2.8 | 15.7 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 15.7 | 695 |
| Fourth | 0.6 | 93.9 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 653 |
| Richest | 0.8 | 99.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 680 |
| Total | 0.6 | 58.9 | 3.4 | 36.2 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 36.2 | 3586 |

* MICS indicator 24. MDG Indicator 29

Total includes 9 households missing information on education of household head who are not shown separately

## Table EN.1: Use of improved water sources

Percent distribution of household population according to main source of drinking water and percentage of household population using improved drinking water sources, Yemen, 2006

| Main source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Improved source of drinking water* | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unimproved sources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Piped into dwelling | Piped <br> into <br> yard/ <br> plot | Public tap/ standpipe | Tubewell/ borehole | Protected well | Protected spring | Rainwater | Bottled water | Unprotected well | Unprotected spring | Tanker truck | Cart <br> with <br> tank/ <br> drum | Surface water | Bottled water $^{1}$ | Other |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 49.0 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 100.0 | 73.8 | 8022 |
| Rural | 19.1 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 6.9 | 9.6 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 20.1 | 11.4 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 52.2 | 18066 |
| Education of household head None | 24 | 7.4 | 18 | 5 | 73 | 2 | 32 | 22 | 16 | 9.9 | 76 | 6 | 50 | 15 | 16 | 100.0 | 54 | 11506 |
| Basic | 32 | 4.7 | 2.7 | 4. | 7 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 7.9 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 0.8 | 100 |  | 6628 |
| Secondary + | 36.1 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 27 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 25 | 13.1 |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 38 | 25 | 0 | 65.8 | 5312 |
| Non Standard | 36.1 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 2.5 | 13.1 | 8.4 | 3.7 | 8.6 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 65.8 | 5312 |
| Non Standard | 19.8 | 2.8 | 5.1 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 16.3 | 10.0 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 55.7 | 2592 |
| Missing | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.1 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 31.7 | 50 |
| Wealth index quintiles Poorest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 15.2 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 34.7 | 22.0 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 28.2 | 5219 |
| Second | 11.2 | 6.9 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 12.5 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 10.1 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 46.9 | 5218 |
| Middle | 29.1 | 11.8 | 3.9 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 67.0 | 5215 |
| Fourth | 46.2 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 16.2 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 70.6 | 5215 |
| Richest | 54.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 81.7 | 5221 |
| Total | 28.3 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 13.9 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 100.0 | 58.9 | 26088 |

## MICS indicator 11; MDG indicator 30

Improved sources includes: piped water (into dwelling, yard or plot), public tap/standpipe, tubewell/borehole, protected well, protected spring, rainwater collection
${ }^{1}$ For households using bottled water as the main source of drinking water, the source used for other purposes such as cooking and handwashing is used to
determine whether to classify the source as improved.

## Table EN.2: Household water treatment

Percent distribution of household population according to drinking water treatment method used in the household, and percentage of household population that applied an appropriate water treatment method, Yemen, 2006

|  | Water treatment method used in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  | All drinking water sources |  | Improved drinking water sources |  | Unimproved drinking water sources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Boil | Add bleach/ chlorine | Strain through a cloth | Use water filter | Let it stand and settle | Other | Appropriate water treatment method* | Number of household members | Appropriate water treatment method | Number of household members | Appropriate water treatment method | Number of household members |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 91.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 7.9 | 8022 | 7.8 | 5922 | 8.3 | 2100 |
| Rural | 92.9 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 18066 | 3.6 | 9433 | 2.7 | 8633 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 93.8 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 11506 | 4.0 | 6208 | 1.7 | 5298 |
| Basic | 93.6 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 6628 | 4.2 | 4195 | 5.8 | 2433 |
| Secondary <br> $+$ <br> Non | 88.2 | 5.3 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 8.9 | 5312 | 9.6 | 3493 | 7.5 | 1819 |
| Standard | 91.6 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 2592 | 3.1 | 1443 | 3.5 | 1149 |
| W3ealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 92.4 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 5219 | 1.5 | 1469 | 2.9 | 3750 |
| Second | 93.3 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 5218 | 2.2 | 2448 | 3.2 | 2769 |
| Middle | 93.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 5215 | 3.6 | 3493 | 2.3 | 1722 |
| Fourth | 93.9 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 5215 | 3.9 | 3681 | 5.2 | 1534 |
| Richest | 89.2 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 10.4 | 5221 | 10.6 | 4264 | 9.4 | 957 |
| Total | 92.4 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 26088 | 5.2 | 15355 | 3.8 | 10733 |

* MICS indicator 13

Includes 34 household members with missing information on the education of the household head who are not shown separately.

Table EN.3: Time to source of water
Percent distribution of households according to time to go to source of drinking water, get water and return, and mean time to source of drinking water, Yemen, 2006

|  | Time to source of drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean time to source of drinking water* | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water on premises | Less than 15 minutes | 15 <br> minutes to less than 30 minutes | 30 <br> minutes <br> to less <br> than 1 <br> hour |  | Don't know | Missing | Total |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 89.4 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 45.0 | 1132 |
| Rural | 34.0 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 12.9 | 35.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 64.8 | 2454 |
| Education of household head None | 43.3 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 10.6 | 30 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 65.2 | 1532 |
| Basic | 50.1 | 6. | 5.5 | 10.7 | 25.9 | 0.9 | 2 | 100.0 | 58.9 | 930 |
| Secondary + | 64. | 5 | 4.7 | 8.4 | 16 | 0.5 | 3 | 100.0 | 53.3 | 812 |
| Non Standard | 41.5 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 33.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 83.8 | 303 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |
| Poorest | 10.2 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 15.0 | 60.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 76.6 | 815 |
| Second | 26.6 | 10.0 | 11.4 | 14.5 | 36.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 61.4 | 743 |
| Middle | 60.0 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 9.4 | 13.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 42.7 | 695 |
| Fourth | 82.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 39.9 | 653 |
| Richest | 90.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 66.2 | 680 |
| Total | 49.3 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 10.0 | 26.8 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 63.7 | 3586 |

* The mean time to source of drinking water is calculated based on those households that do not have water on the premises.

Includes 3 households with missing information on the education of the household head that are not shown separately.

Table EN.4: Person collecting water
Percent distribution of households according to the person collecting drinking water used in the household, Yemen, 2006

|  | Person collecting drinking water |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of households |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult woman | Adult man | Female child under age 15 | Male child under age 15 | Don't know | Missing | Total |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 19.7 | 45.1 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 26.6 | 100.0 | 98 |
| Rural | 71.1 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 1611 |
| Education of household head <br> None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 68.0 | 14.9 | 8.7 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 434 |
| Secondary + | 68.2 | 12.4 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 100.0 | 250 |
| Non Standard | 75.2 | 10.3 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 173 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 73.6 | 6.4 | 12.3 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 732 |
| Second | 71.6 | 9.7 | 12.2 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 545 |
| Middle | 69.5 | 11.9 | 7.5 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 7.4 | 100.0 | 276 |
| Fourth | 32.3 | 33.8 | 6.1 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 20.5 | 100.0 | 108 |
| Richest | (19.9) | (55.2) | (4.5) | (0.0) | (1.7) | (18.7) | 100.0 | 48 |
| Total | 68.2 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 1709 |

Includes 3 households with missing information on the education of the household head that are not shown separately.
Figures shown in parentheses are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

## Table EN.5: Use of sanitary means of excreta disposal

Percent distribution of household population according to type of toilet facility used by the household, and the percentage of household population using sanitary means of excreta disposal, Yemen, 2006

|  | Type of toilet facility used by household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Percentage of population using sanitary means of excreta disposal* | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  | Unimproved sanitation facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Flush/pour flush to: |  |  | Ventilated improved pit latrine | Pit latrine with slab | Flush/ <br> pour <br> flush to somewhere else | Flush/pour flush to unknown place/not sure/don't know | Pit latrine without slab/ open pit | Bucket | No facilities / bush / field | Other |  |  |  |
|  | Piped sewer system | Septic tank | Pit latrine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 41.8 | 2.3 | 46.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 8022 |
| Rural | 0.4 | 0.9 | 27.3 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 24.1 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 30.6 | 4.1 | 100.0 | 33.6 | 18066 |
| Education of household head None | 6.5 | 1.5 | 30.7 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 20.6 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 0.5 | 26.3 | 4.0 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 11506 |
| Basic | 16.3 | 1.8 | 34.3 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 17.2 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 19.8 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 56.3 | 6628 |
| Secondary + | 26.6 | 0.7 | 38.6 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 12.8 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 10.6 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 70.1 | 5312 |
| Non Standard | 6.9 | 0.8 | 31.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 21.7 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 43.1 | 2592 |
| Wealth index quintiles Poorest | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 1.5 0.2 | 0.8 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.4 |  | 5.9 | 100.0 | 2 | 9 |
| Second | 0.0 | 0.4 | 20.2 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 30 | 10 | 11.5 | 0.6 | 27.4 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 25.4 | 5218 |
| Middle | 3.3 | 1.2 | 40.6 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 32.2 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 100.0 | 52.7 | 5215 |
| Fourth | 17.6 | 2.2 | 57.9 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 14.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 82.1 | 5215 |
| Richest | 44.5 | 2.8 | 45.7 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 5221 |
| Total | 13.1 | 1.3 | 33.3 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 18.2 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 21.4 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 51.7 | 26088 |

* MICS indicator 12; MDG indicator 31

Includes 50 household members with missing information on the education of the household head who are not shown separately.

## Table EN.6: Use of improved water sources and improved sanitation

Percentage of household population using both improved drinking water sources and sanitary means of excreta disposal, Yemen, 2006

## Percentage of household population:

|  | Using improved sources of drinking water* | Using sanitary means of excreta disposal** | Using improved sources of drinking water and using sanitary means of excreta disposal*** | Number of household members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 73.8 | 92.3 | 68.4 | 8022 |
| Rural | 52.2 | 33.6 | 22.9 | 18066 |
| Education of household head |  |  |  |  |
| None | 54.0 | 42.4 | 28.9 | 11506 |
| Basic | 63.3 | 56.3 | 41.8 | 6628 |
| Secondary + | 65.8 | 70.1 | 51.4 | 5312 |
| Non standard | 55.7 | 43.1 | 30.6 | 2592 |
| Missing | 31.7 | 56.3 | 22.5 | 50 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 28.2 | 3.2 | 1.7 | 5219 |
| Second | 46.9 | 25.4 | 14.0 | 5218 |
| Middle | 67.0 | 52.7 | 35.8 | 5215 |
| Fourth | 70.6 | 82.1 | 56.0 | 5215 |
| Richest | 81.7 | 94.9 | 77.1 | 5221 |
| Total | 58.9 | 51.7 | 36.9 | 26088 |

* MICS indicator 11; MDG indicator 30

Table FE.1: Current Fertility
Age specific and total fertility rate for the three years preceding the survey, Yemen, 2006

|  | Residence |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age group | Urban | Rural | Total |
|  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 66 | 86 | 80 |
| $20-24$ | 156 | 243 | 211 |
| $25-29$ | 210 | 266 | 247 |
| $30-34$ | 185 | 239 | 221 |
| $35-39$ | 122 | 175 | 156 |
| $40-44$ | 45 | 96 | 78 |
| $45-49$ | 21 | 50 | 39 |
| TFR |  |  |  |

Note: Age-specific fertility rates are per 1,000 women.
TFR: Total fertility rate for ages 15-49, expressed per woman.

Table FE.2: Fertility by background characteristics
Total fertility rate 0-14 years preceding the survey by background characteristics, Yemen, 2006

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0-2 | 3-5 | 6-8 | 9-11 | 12-14 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.8 |
| Rural | 5.8 | 6.6 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 7.9 |
| Education of woman |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 7.6 |
| Any education | 4.7 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 8.8 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 6.6 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 7.7 |
| Second | 6.2 | 6.4 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 7.8 |
| Middle | 5.2 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 8.1 |
| Fourth | 4.9 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 8.7 |
| Richest | 3.4 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 5.9 |
| Total | 5.2 | 5.9 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 7.5 |

Table FE.3: Fertility Trends
Age specific fertility rates for three-year periods preceding the survey, by mother's age at the time of the birth, Yemen 2006

|  | Number of years preceding the survey |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mother's age at <br> birth | $0-2$ | $3-5$ | $6-8$ | $9-11$ | $12-14$ |
|  | 80 | 102 | 141 | 166 | 198 |
| $15-19$ | 211 | 232 | 308 | 312 | 351 |
| $20-24$ | 247 | 265 | 326 | 304 | 359 |
| $25-29$ | 221 | 226 | 295 | 264 | 300 |
| $30-34$ | 156 | 169 | 200 | 291 | . |
| $35-39$ | 78 | 88 | 130 | 31 | . |
| $40-44$ | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| $45-49$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table RH.1: Use of contraception
Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 years who are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method, Yemen, 2006

|  | Not using any method | Percent of women (currently married) who are using: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of currently married women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Female sterilization | Pill | IUD | Injections | Condom | LAM | Periodic abstinence | Withdrawal | Other | Total | Any modern method | Any traditional method | Any method* |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 57.7 | 4.2 | 15.9 | 8.8 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 8.6 | 42.3 | 1088 |
| Rural | 78.9 | 1.4 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 12.7 | 8.3 | 21.1 | 2426 |
| Age 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 89.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 10.4 | 312 |
| 20-24 | 74.8 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 8.7 | 25.2 | 675 |
| 25-29 | 70.6 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 0.4 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 19.2 | 10.2 | 29.4 | 774 |
| 30-34 | 66.2 | 1.7 | 9.9 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 23.9 | 9.9 | 33.8 | 522 |
| 35-39 | 65.0 | 3.5 | 10.9 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 4.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 26.0 | 9.0 | 35.0 | 498 |
| 40-44 | 71.1 | 5.5 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 22.2 | 6.7 | 28.9 | 390 |
| 45-49 | 77.2 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 6.4 | 22.8 | 342 |
| Number of living children** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 98.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 421 |
| 1 | 75.1 | 0.5 | 7.9 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 14.7 | 10.2 | 24.9 | 416 |
| 2 | 69.6 | 0.5 | 12.1 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 20.6 | 9.7 | 30.4 | 398 |
| 3 | 71.5 | 1.1 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 100.0 | 19.9 | 8.6 | 28.5 | 432 |
| 4+ | 66.5 | 3.8 | 10.7 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 24.1 | 9.4 | 33.5 | 1848 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 76.9 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 15.2 | 8.0 | 23.1 | 2305 |
| Basic | 65.8 | 1.4 | 13.2 | 6.9 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 5.6 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 25.9 | 8.4 | 34.2 | 870 |
| Secondary + | 57.9 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 8.2 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 30.1 | 12.0 | 42.1 | 334 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 85.3 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 9.8 | 14.7 | 697 |
| Second | 83.6 | 1.0 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 16.4 | 693 |
| Middle | 72.9 | 2.1 | 8.6 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 100.0 | 18.6 | 8.4 | 27.1 | 687 |
| Fourth | 64.6 | 4.0 | 13.1 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 100.0 | 28.4 | 7.0 | 35.4 | 697 |
| Richest | 56.3 | 3.5 | 16.7 | 10.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 34.2 | 9.5 | 43.7 | 740 |
| Total | 72.3 | 2.3 | 9.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 19.2 | 8.4 | 27.7 | 3514 |

* MICS indicator 21; MDG indicator 19C

Includes 6 women missing information on education who are not shown separately

Table RH.2: Unmet need for contraception
Percentage of currently married women age 15-49 years with an unmet need for family planning and percentage of demand for contraception satisfied, Yemen, 2006


* MICS indicator 21; MDG indicator 19C
**** MICS indicator 98
***** MICS indicator 99
Includes 2 women missing information on education who are not shown separately

Table RH.3: Antenatal care provider
Percent distribution of ever-married women age 15-49 who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey by type of personnel providing antenatal care, Yemen, 2006

|  | Person providing antenatal care |  |  |  |  |  |  | No antenatal care received | Total | Any skilled personnel* | Number of women who gave birth in the preceding two years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Medical doctor | Nurse | Midwife | Traditional birth attendant | Community <br> Health <br> Worker | Relative/ Friend | Other |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 60.3 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 27.9 | 100.0 | 68.2 | 429 |
| Rural | 32.0 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 59.3 | 100.0 | 39.3 | 1181 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 46.4 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 49.3 | 100.0 | 49.1 | 137 |
| 20-24 | 41.3 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 48.6 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 431 |
| 25-29 | 41.3 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 47.4 | 100.0 | 49.7 | 464 |
| 30-34 | 38.5 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 53.0 | 100.0 | 45.2 | 266 |
| 35-39 | 31.9 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 57.3 | 100.0 | 40.6 | 190 |
| 40-44 | 36.9 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 55.8 | 100.0 | 41.9 | 92 |
| 45-49 | (21.7) | (0.0) | (3.2) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (75.1) | (100.0) | (24.9) | 30 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 31.3 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 60.4 | 100.0 | 38.0 | 1035 |
| Basic | 51.5 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 38.1 | 100.0 | 59.2 | 412 |
| Secondary <br> $+$ | 61.4 | 8.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 23.8 | 100.0 | 73.3 | 162 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 24.6 | 5.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 66.6 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 378 |
| Second | 30.1 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 64.2 | 100.0 | 35.1 | 352 |
| Middle | 34.4 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 55.4 | 100.0 | 43.4 | 322 |
| Fourth | 48.9 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 37.9 | 100.0 | 56.6 | 307 |
| Richest | 70.6 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 19.2 | 100.0 | 79.3 | 251 |
| Total | 39.5 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 51.0 | 100.0 | 47.0 | 1610 |

## * MICS indicator 20

Skilled health personnel includes doctors, nurses and midwives.
Includes 2 women missing information on education who are not shown separately
Percentages shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted
cases

Table RH.4: Antenatal care
Percentage of pregnant women receiving antenatal care among women age 15-49 years who gave birth in two years preceding the survey and percentage of pregnant women receiving specific care as part of the antenatal care received, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percent of pregnant women receiving ANC one or more times during pregnancy | Percent of pregnant women who had: |  |  |  | Number of women who gave birth in two years preceding survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Blood test taken* | Blood pressure measured* | Urine specimen taken* | Weight measured* |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 72.1 | 57.6 | 64.0 | 54.9 | 49.1 | 429 |
| Rural | 40.7 | 29.5 | 31.8 | 26.4 | 18.6 | 1181 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 50.7 | 39.3 | 41.5 | 37.1 | 31.2 | 137 |
| 20-24 | 51.4 | 39.7 | 42.2 | 39.7 | 27.6 | 431 |
| 25-29 | 52.6 | 40.7 | 43.0 | 34.9 | 29.0 | 464 |
| 30-34 | 47.0 | 34.5 | 38.3 | 31.6 | 24.1 | 266 |
| 35-39 | 42.7 | 27.9 | 36.2 | 24.4 | 23.8 | 190 |
| 40-44 | 44.2 | 35.0 | 37.6 | 32.3 | 25.5 | 92 |
| 45-49 | 24.9 | 18.1 | 22.2 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 30 |
| Education 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 39.6 | 29.4 | 31.0 | 26.7 | 19.9 | 1035 |
| Basic | 61.9 | 47.6 | 54.1 | 45.4 | 34.7 | 412 |
| Secondary + | 76.2 | 57.8 | 65.0 | 50.8 | 50.5 | 162 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 33.4 | 23.0 | 25.3 | 20.5 | 16.2 | 378 |
| Second | 35.8 | 26.2 | 28.8 | 22.1 | 13.9 | 352 |
| Middle | 44.6 | 31.9 | 35.6 | 29.5 | 22.9 | 322 |
| Fourth | 62.1 | 45.9 | 51.8 | 45.3 | 35.2 | 307 |
| Richest | 80.8 | 68.8 | 71.6 | 62.7 | 55.5 | 251 |
| Total | 49.0 | 37.0 | 40.4 | 34.0 | 26.8 | 1610 |

* MICS indicator 44

Includes 2 women missing information on education who are not shown separately
Percentages shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table RH.5: Assistance during delivery

Percent distribution of women age 15-49 with a birth in two years preceding the survey by type of personnel assisting at delivery, Yemen, 2006


* MICS indicator 4; MDG indicator 17
** MICS indicator 5
Skilled health personnel includes doctors, nurses and midwives.
Includes 2 women missing information on education who are not shown separately
Percentages shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table CD.1: Family support for learning
Percentage of children age 0-59 months for whom household members are engage in activities that promote learning and school readiness, Yemen, 2006


## * MICS indicator 46 <br> ** MICS Indicator 47

Includes 1 child with missing information on mother's education and 6 children with missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.
Figures in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table CD.2: Learning materials
Percentage of children age 0-59 months living in households containing learning materials, Yemen, 2006

|  | Childr house | ing in with: | Child plays with: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of children age 059 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 or more nonchildren's books* | 3 or more children's books** | Household objects | Objects and <br> materials found outside the home | Homemade toys | Toys that came from a store | No playthings mentioned | 3 or more types of $\underset{* * *}{\text { playthings }}$ *** |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 59.5 | 10.8 | 42.2 | 51.5 | 14.2 | 46.5 | 18.7 | 19.4 | 1925 |
| Female | 57.7 | 9.8 | 44.0 | 46.9 | 15.2 | 42.1 | 19.6 | 16.5 | 1858 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 73.1 | 21.3 | 43.1 | 31.9 | 15.5 | 68.0 | 16.4 | 20.4 | 1021 |
| Rural | 53.3 | 6.3 | 43.1 | 55.6 | 14.4 | 35.6 | 20.2 | 17.1 | 2762 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 59.1 | 10.2 | 33.2 | 27.8 | 10.1 | 35.2 | 40.0 | 10.9 | 1574 |
| 24-59 months | 58.3 | 10.4 | 50.1 | 64.5 | 18.0 | 50.8 | 4.3 | 23.0 | 2209 |
| Mother's education 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 50.3 | 5.9 | 44.5 | 55.1 | 14.4 | 34.6 | 20.8 | 17.1 | 2483 |
| Basic | 71.2 | 16.4 | 37.4 | 36.3 | 14.1 | 60.1 | 16.8 | 16.4 | 941 |
| Secondary+ | 84.2 | 25.0 | 47.5 | 40.9 | 19.1 | 71.3 | 14.5 | 28.0 | 316 |
| Non-standard curriculum Wealth index quintiles | (77.0) | (24.1) | (51.9) | (49.3) | (14.8) | (64.2) | (10.6) | (28.5) | 41 |
| Poorest | 33.4 | 3.5 | 45.4 | 63.9 | 8.4 | 15.0 | 24.2 | 10.3 | 878 |
| Second | 48.3 | 3.7 | 44.0 | 56.6 | 13.4 | 31.8 | 20.5 | 15.7 | 810 |
| Middle | 63.7 | 8.2 | 42.9 | 49.4 | 17.6 | 47.0 | 19.0 | 20.4 | 759 |
| Fourth | 72.5 | 10.9 | 40.8 | 38.7 | 19.9 | 65.6 | 15.2 | 22.5 | 738 |
| Richest | 86.1 | 31.3 | 41.5 | 30.5 | 15.6 | 74.7 | 15.1 | 23.5 | 598 |
| Total | 58.6 | 10.3 | 43.1 | 49.2 | 14.7 | 44.3 | 19.2 | 18.0 | 3783 |

* MICS indicator 49
** MICS indicator 48
*** MICS indicator 50
Includes 1 child with missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.
Figures in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table CD.3: Children left alone or with other children
Percentage of children age 0-59 months left in the care of other children under the age of 10 years or left alone in the past week, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children age 0-59 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left in the care of children under the age of 10 years in past week* | Left alone in the past week | Left with inadequate care in past week | Number of children age 0-59 months |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 32.2 | 9.9 | 35.6 | 1925 |
| Female | 29.2 | 9.8 | 32.5 | 1858 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.6 | 4.6 | 20.8 | 1021 |
| Rural | 35.2 | 11.8 | 39.0 | 2762 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 0-23 months | 25.0 | 10.7 | 29.4 | 1574 |
| 24-59 months | 34.8 | 9.2 | 37.5 | 2209 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| None | 34.1 | 11.3 | 37.6 | 2483 |
| Basic | 26.1 | 7.2 | 29.3 | 941 |
| Secondary+ | 18.6 | 6.2 | 21.9 | 316 |
| Non-standard curriculum Wealth index quintiles | (20.0) | (9.1) | (27.4) | 41 |
| Poorest | 40.8 | 18.5 | 46.4 | 878 |
| Second | 35.6 | 10.6 | 40.0 | 810 |
| Middle | 28.4 | 7.5 | 29.9 | 759 |
| Fourth | 25.1 | 4.7 | 27.1 | 738 |
| Richest | 19.3 | 5.5 | 22.0 | 598 |
| Total | 30.7 | 9.8 | 34.1 | 3783 |

[^12]Table ED.1: Early childhood education
Percentage of children age 36-59 months who are attending some form of organized early childhood education programme, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children age 36-59 months currently attending early childhood education* | Number of children age 36-59 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 2.5 | 771 |
| Female | 2.6 | 694 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 5.3 | 384 |
| Rural | 1.6 | 1081 |
| Age of child |  |  |
| 36-47 months | 2.1 | 774 |
| 48-59 months | 3.1 | 691 |
| 6 years |  | na |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| None | 1.6 | 1007 |
| Basic | 3.3 | 335 |
| Secondary+ | 8.4 | 104 |
| Non-standard curriculum Wealth index quintiles | (*) | 18 |
| Poorest | 0.0 | 346 |
| Second | 0.5 | 309 |
| Middle | 1.9 | 302 |
| Fourth | 4.2 | 288 |
| Richest | 8.4 | 219 |
| Total | 2.6 | 1465 |

## * MICS indicator 52

Total includes 1 child missing information on mother's education who is not shown separately.
(*) Figures based on less than 25 unweighted cases
Figures shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table ED.2: Primary school entry (Basic Education)
Percentage of children of primary school entry age attending grade 1, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children of primary school entry age currently attending grade $1^{*}$ | Number of children of primary school entry age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 41.7 | 438 |
| Female | 37.5 | 411 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 50.8 | 226 |
| Rural | 35.7 | 624 |
| Age of child |  |  |
| 6 | 39.7 | 850 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| None | 33.9 | 622 |
| Basic | 54.1 | 157 |
| Secondary+ | 66.3 | 55 |
| Non-standard curriculum Wealth index quintiles | (*) | 13 |
| Poorest | 25.5 | 217 |
| Second | 39.3 | 158 |
| Middle | 42.1 | 167 |
| Fourth | 46.2 | 171 |
| Richest | 51.7 | 136 |
| Total | 39.7 | 850 |

Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year

* MICS indicator 54

Total includes 2 children missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.
(*) Figures based on less than 25 unweighted cases

Table ED.3: Primary school net attendance ratio (Basic Education)
Percentage of children of primary school age attending primary or secondary school (NAR), Yemen, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio* | Number of children |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 84.9 | 1008 | 80.4 | 939 | 82.7 | 1947 |
| Rural | 72.4 | 2419 | 53.1 | 2450 | 62.7 | 4869 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 45.4 | 438 | 39.7 | 411 | 42.6 | 850 |
| 7 | 69.3 | 432 | 58.3 | 440 | 63.7 | 872 |
| 8 | 81.8 | 328 | 72.2 | 324 | 77.0 | 652 |
| 9 | 84.7 | 416 | 69.9 | 476 | 76.8 | 892 |
| 10 | 87.5 | 328 | 76.8 | 285 | 82.5 | 612 |
| 11 | 87.6 | 409 | 74.0 | 385 | 81.0 | 795 |
| 12 | 85.9 | 379 | 65.3 | 329 | 76.3 | 708 |
| 13 | 75.7 | 336 | 55.5 | 335 | 65.6 | 671 |
| 14 | 73.2 | 360 | 40.5 | 404 | 55.9 | 763 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 73.1 | 2594 | 56.2 | 2579 | 64.7 | 5173 |
| Basic | 85.4 | 570 | 78.2 | 506 | 82.0 | 1077 |
| Secondary+ | 91.8 | 130 | 89.5 | 160 | 90.5 | 290 |
| Non-standard curriculum | 87.5 | 77 | 63.3 | 70 | 76.0 | 147 |
| Mother not in household Wealth index quintiles | 67.3 | 51 | 28.2 | 70 | 44.7 | 121 |
| Poorest | 56.5 | 727 | 30.8 | 711 | 43.8 | 1438 |
| Second | 74.6 | 670 | 53.7 | 684 | 64.0 | 1354 |
| Middle | 77.6 | 694 | 65.7 | 714 | 71.5 | 1408 |
| Fourth | 85.1 | 719 | 73.3 | 689 | 79.3 | 1408 |
| Richest | 88.6 | 617 | 83.7 | 591 | 86.2 | 1208 |
| Total | 76.1 | 3427 | 60.6 | 3389 | 68.4 | 6816 |

Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year

* MICS indicator 55; MDG indicator 6

Total includes 5 children missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.

Table ED.4: Secondary school net attendance ratio (Basic Education)
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending secondary school or higher (NAR), Yemen, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio* | Number of children |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 36.3 | 347 | 38.9 | 341 | 37.6 | 688 |
| Rural | 26.7 | 672 | 8.4 | 732 | 17.1 | 1405 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 20.1 | 334 | 14.5 | 380 | 17.1 | 714 |
| 16 | 28.6 | 276 | 16.3 | 280 | 22.4 | 556 |
| 17 | 38.9 | 409 | 22.7 | 413 | 30.7 | 822 |
| Mother's education** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 21.5 | 466 | 13.0 | 434 | 17.4 | 900 |
| Basic | 42.2 | 63 | 37.1 | 54 | 39.8 | 117 |
| Secondary+ Non-standard | (*) | 8 | (*) | 14 | (*) | 22 |
| curriculum | (*) | 6 | (*) | 13 | (*) | 19 |
| Mother not in household Wealth index quintiles | 17.3 | 67 | 7.1 | 145 | 10.3 | 212 |
| Poorest | 9.9 | 170 | 0.9 | 186 | 5.2 | 357 |
| Second | 25.2 | 194 | 5.1 | 219 | 14.5 | 413 |
| Middle | 32.8 | 224 | 10.6 | 212 | 22.0 | 436 |
| Fourth | 31.4 | 210 | 26.1 | 209 | 28.8 | 419 |
| Richest | 45.2 | 221 | 42.1 | 247 | 43.6 | 469 |
| Total | 29.9 | 1019 | 18.1 | 1073 | 23.9 | 2092 |

Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year

* MICS indicator 56
(*) Figures based on less than 25 unweighted cases

Table ED.4w: Secondary school age children attending primary school (Basic Education)
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending primary school, Yemen, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 35.2 | 347 | 22.1 | 341 | 28.7 | 688 |
| Rural | 30.8 | 672 | 11.8 | 732 | 20.9 | 1405 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 47.8 | 334 | 22.0 | 380 | 34.1 | 714 |
| 16 | 31.3 | 276 | 16.3 | 280 | 23.8 | 556 |
| 17 | 20.3 | 409 | 7.8 | 413 | 14.0 | 822 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 40.7 | 466 | 20.2 | 434 | 30.8 | 900 |
| Basic | 45.8 | 63 | 29.1 | 54 | 38.1 | 117 |
| Secondary+ Non-standard | (*) | 8 | (*) | 14 | (*) | 22 |
| curriculum | (*) | 6 | (*) | 13 | (*) | 19 |
| Mother not in household Wealth index quintiles | 35.4 | 67 | 13.4 | 145 | 20.3 | 212 |
| Poorest | 25.2 | 170 | 4.2 | 186 | 14.2 | 357 |
| Second | 32.4 | 194 | 13.6 | 219 | 22.4 | 413 |
| Middle | 26.7 | 224 | 17.9 | 212 | 22.4 | 436 |
| Fourth | 37.3 | 210 | 19.1 | 209 | 28.2 | 419 |
| Richest | 38.6 | 221 | 18.8 | 247 | 28.1 | 469 |
| Total | 32.3 | 1019 | 15.1 | 1073 | 23.5 | 2092 |

Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year
(*) Figures based on less than 25 unweighted cases

## Table ED.5: Children reaching grade 5 (Basic Education)

Percentage of children entering first grade of primary school who eventually reach grade 5, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percent attending $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade who were in $1^{\text {st }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade who were in $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $4^{\text {th }}$ grade who were in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $5^{\text {th }}$ grade who were in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade last year | Percent who reach grade 5 of those who enter $1^{\text {st }}$ grade* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 96.3 | 92.4 | 96.0 | 93.8 | 80.2 |
| Female | 95.5 | 91.7 | 95.1 | 92.9 | 77.3 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 96.7 | 98.8 | 96.6 | 91.1 |
| Rural | 94.7 | 89.8 | 94.1 | 91.5 | 73.2 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 96.1 | 91.6 | 95.5 | 92.1 | 77.5 |
| Basic | 97.6 | 95.9 | 95.9 | 96.2 | 86.3 |
| Secondary+ | 95.7 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 89.2 |
| Non-standard |  |  |  |  |  |
| curriculum | 92.3 | 94.9 | 96.3 | 94.8 | 80.0 |
| Mother not in household | 100.0 | 55.1 | 62.9 | 94.9 | 32.9 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 93.4 | 92.6 | 91.1 | 94.1 | 74.1 |
| Second | 97.6 | 89.6 | 92.4 | 91.2 | 73.7 |
| Middle | 93.8 | 87.8 | 95.2 | 87.6 | 68.7 |
| Fourth | 97.5 | 95.4 | 99.3 | 94.1 | 86.9 |
| Richest | 97.2 | 96.1 | 98.3 | 99.2 | 91.0 |
| Total | 95.9 | 92.1 | 95.6 | 93.4 | 78.9 |

* MICS indicator 57; MDG indicator 7

Table ED.6: Primary school completion and transition to secondary education (Basic Education)
Primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education, Yemen, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Number of children <br> who were in the last <br> Net primary school <br> completion rate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of children <br> of primary school <br> completion age | Transition rate to <br> the previous year |  |  |
| secondary education |  |  |  |  |

(*) Figures based on less than 25 unweighted cases
Figures shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

## Table ED.7: Education gender parity (Basic Education)

Ratio of girls to boys attending primary education and ratio of girls to boys attending secondary education, Yemen, 2006

|  | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for primary school NAR* | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for secondary school NAR* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 80.4 | 84.9 | 0.95 | 38.9 | 36.3 | 1.07 |
| Rural | 53.1 | 72.4 | 0.73 | 8.4 | 26.7 | 0.32 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 56.2 | 73.1 | 0.77 | 13.0 | 21.5 | 0.60 |
| Basic | 78.2 | 85.4 | 0.92 | 37.1 | 42.2 | 0.88 |
| Secondary+ | 89.5 | 91.8 | 0.97 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| Non-standard curriculum | 63.3 | 87.5 | 0.72 | (*) | (*) | (*) |
| Mother not in household Wealth index quintiles | 28.2 | 67.3 | 0.42 | 7.1 | 17.3 | 0.41 |
| Poorest | 30.8 | 56.5 | 0.54 | 0.9 | 9.9 | 0.09 |
| Second | 53.7 | 74.6 | 0.72 | 5.1 | 25.2 | 0.20 |
| Middle | 65.7 | 77.6 | 0.85 | 10.6 | 32.8 | 0.32 |
| Fourth | 73.3 | 85.1 | 0.86 | 26.1 | 31.4 | 0.83 |
| Richest | 83.7 | 88.6 | 0.95 | 42.1 | 45.2 | 0.93 |
| Total | 60.6 | 76.1 | 0.80 | 18.1 | 29.9 | 0.60 |

Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year

* MICS indicator 61; MDG indicator 9
(*) Percentages and ratios based on less than 25 unweighted cases

Table ED.8: Adult literacy
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-24 years that are literate ${ }^{15}$, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage literate* | Percentage not known** | Number of women <br> age 15-24 years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 59.0 | 0.4 | 275 |
| Rural | 26.3 | 0.5 | 739 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None | 2.4 | 0.4 | 516 |
| Basic | 59.4 | 0.6 | 370 |
| Secondary+ | 100.0 | 0.0 | 123 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 35.0 | 0.4 | 315 |
| $20-24$ | 35.2 | 0.5 | 699 |
| Wealth index quintiles | 7.8 | 1.1 | 197 |
| Poorest | 18.2 | 0.0 | 220 |
| Second | 33.7 | 1.1 | 202 |
| Middle | 48.9 | 0.0 | 205 |
| Fourth | 70.1 | 0.0 | 189 |
| Richest |  | 0.4 | 1014 |

* MICS indicator 60; MDG indicator 8

Total includes 5 women missing information on education who are not shown separately.

[^13]Yemen MICS Education Tables Following the ISCED School Age Classification (Primary school 6 to 11 years: Secondary school 12 to 17 years)

Table ED.1A: Early childhood education (ISCED)
Percentage of children age 36-59 months who are attending some form of organized early childhood education programme and percentage of first graders who attended pre-school, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children age 36-59 months currently attending early childhood education* | Number of children age 36-59 months | Percentage of children attending first grade who attended preschool program in previous year** | Number of children attending first grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 2.5 | 771 | 0.0 | 77 |
| Female | 2.6 | 694 | (0.0) | 42 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5.3 | 384 | (0.0) | 43 |
| Rural | 1.6 | 1081 | 0.0 | 76 |
| Age of child |  |  |  |  |
| 36-47 months | 2.1 | 774. |  | 0 |
| 48-59 months | 3.1 | 691. |  | 0 |
| 6 years |  | na | 0.0 | 119 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1.6 | 1007 | (0.0) | 73 |
| Basic | 3.3 | 335 | (0.0) | 38 |
| Secondary+ | 8.4 | 104 | (*) | 6 |
| Non-standard curriculum (*) Wealth index quintiles |  | 18 | (*) | 1 |
| Poorest | 0.0 | 346 | (*) | 12 |
| Second | 0.5 | 309 | (0.0) | 30 |
| Middle | 1.9 | 302 | (*) | 20 |
| Fourth | 4.2 | 288 | (0.0) | 29 |
| Richest | 8.4 | 219 | (0.0) | 28 |
| Total | 2.6 | 1465 | 0.0 | 119 |

* MICS indicator 52
** MICS indicator 53

Total includes 1 child missing information on mother's education who is not shown separately.
(*) Figures based on less than 25 unweighted cases
Figures shown in paranthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table ED.2A: Primary school entry (ISCED)
Percentage of children of primary school entry age attending grade 1, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children of primary school entry age currently attending grade 1* | Number of children of primary school entry age** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 41.7 | 438 |
| Female | 37.5 | 411 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Urban | 50.8 | 226 |
| Rural3 | 35.7 | 624 |
| Age of child** |  |  |
| 6 | 39.7 | 850 |
| Mother's education |  |  |
| None | 33.9 | 622 |
| Basic | 54.1 | 157 |
| Secondary+ | 66.3 | 55 |
| Non-standard curriculum Wealth index quintiles | (*) | 13 |
| Poorest | 25.5 | 217 |
| Second | 39.3 | 158 |
| Middle | 42.1 | 167 |
| Fourth | 46.2 | 171 |
| Richest | 51.7 | 136 |
| Total | 39.7 | 850 |

Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year

* MICS indicator 54

Total includes 2 children missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.
(*) Figures based on less than 25 unweighted cases $_{\text {s }}$

Table ED.3: Primary school net attendance ratio (ISCED)
Percentage of children of primary school age attending primary or secondary school (NAR), Yemen, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { attendance } \\ \text { ratio } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of children | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { attendance }_{\text {ratio* }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of children |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 83.5 | 685 | 83.0 | 631 | 83.3 | 1316 |
| Rural | 71.5 | 1667 | 57.2 | 1690 | 64.3 | 3357 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 45.4 | 438 | 39.7 | 411 | 42.6 | 850 |
| 7 | 69.3 | 432 | 58.3 | 440 | 63.7 | 872 |
| 8 | 81.8 | 328 | 72.2 | 324 | 77.0 | 652 |
| 9 | 84.7 | 416 | 69.9 | 476 | 76.8 | 892 |
| 10 | 87.5 | 328 | 76.8 | 285 | 82.5 | 612 |
| 11 | 87.6 | 409 | 74.0 | 385 | 81.0 | 795 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 71.7 | 1761 | 59.5 | 1766 | 65.6 | 3527 |
| Basic | 84.0 | 451 | 78.6 | 373 | 81.5 | 824 |
| Secondary+ | 90.7 | 91 | 87.3 | 132 | 88.6 | 222 |
| Non-standard curriculum Wealth index quintiles | (86.0) | 48 | 60.6 | (48.5) | 73.2 | 97 |
| Poorest | 55.0 | 512 | 33.2 | 506 | 44.2 | 1019 |
| Second | 76.0 | 463 | 59.1 | 454 | 67.7 | 917 |
| Middle | 77.1 | 460 | 69.1 | 498 | 72.9 | 957 |
| Fourth | 83.4 | 508 | 78.3 | 464 | 81.0 | 972 |
| Richest | 86.2 | 409 | 86.9 | 399 | 86.5 | 808 |
| Total | 75.0 | 2352 | 64.2 | 2321 | 69.7 | 4673 |

Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year

* MICS indicator 55; MDG indicator 6

Total includes 3 children missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.
Figures shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table ED.4A: Secondary school net attendance ratio (ISCED)
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending secondary school or higher (NAR), Yemen, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net <br> attendance <br> ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio* | Number of children |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 60.4 | 670 | 50.8 | 649 | 55.7 | 1318 |
| Rural | 43.4 | 1424 | 16.9 | 1493 | 29.8 | 2917 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 36.9 | 379 | 21.8 | 329 | 29.9 | 708 |
| 13 | 43.5 | 336 | 29.6 | 335 | 36.6 | 671 |
| 14 | 51.3 | 360 | 27.0 | 404 | 38.4 | 763 |
| 15 | 58.1 | 334 | 31.0 | 380 | 43.7 | 714 |
| 16 | 50.2 | 276 | 27.4 | 280 | 38.7 | 556 |
| 17 | 53.5 | 409 | 25.9 | 413 | 39.6 | 822 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 44.7 | 1300 | 22.7 | 1247 | 34.0 | 2547 |
| Basic | 64.3 | 182 | 53.7 | 187 | 58.9 | 369 |
| Secondary+ | (75.9) | 47 | (78.5) | 42 | 77.1 | 89 |
| Non-standard curriculum | (49.0) | 36 | (58.3) | 34 | 53.6 | 70 |
| Mother not in household Wealth index quintiles | 43.4 | 118 | 17.4 | 215 | 26.6 | 333 |
| Poorest | 25.2 | 385 | 4.9 | 391 | 15.0 | 776 |
| Second | 39.3 | 400 | 15.5 | 449 | 26.7 | 849 |
| Middle | 47.5 | 457 | 21.4 | 428 | 34.9 | 886 |
| Fourth | 57.2 | 421 | 38.1 | 434 | 47.5 | 855 |
| Richest | 72.2 | 430 | 53.7 | 439 | 62.8 | 869 |
| Total | 48.8 | 2094 | 27.2 | 2141 | 37.9 | 4235 |

Total includes 2 children missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.
Figures shown in parantheses are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table ED.4Aw: Secondary school age children attending primary school (ISCED)
Percentage of children of secondary school age attending primary school, Yemen, 2006

|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio | Number of children | Net attendance ratio* | Number of children |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.8 | 670 | 16.8 | 649 | 17.8 | 1318 |
| Rural | 23.0 | 1424 | 15.4 | 1493 | 19.1 | 2917 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 48.6 | 379 | 43.5 | 329 | 46.2 | 708 |
| 13 | 32.1 | 336 | 25.9 | 335 | 29.0 | 671 |
| 14 | 21.8 | 360 | 13.3 | 404 | 17.3 | 763 |
| 15 | 9.8 | 334 | 5.5 | 380 | 7.5 | 714 |
| 16 | 9.7 | 276 | 5.2 | 280 | 7.4 | 556 |
| 17 | 5.7 | 409 | 4.5 | 413 | 5.1 | 822 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 26.4 | 1300 | 20.8 | 1247 | 23.6 | 2547 |
| Basic | 25.5 | 182 | 20.3 | 187 | 22.9 | 369 |
| Secondary+ | 19.5 | 47 | 15.0 | 42 | 17.4 | 89 |
| Non-standard curriculum Mother not in | (36.0) | 36 | 10.7 | (34.3) | 23.6 | 70 |
| household Wealth index quintiles | 15.6 | 118 | 5.6 | 215 | 9.2 | 333 |
| Poorest | 23.9 | 385 | 10.6 | 391 | 17.2 | 776 |
| Second | 25.4 | 400 | 15.6 | 449 | 20.2 | 849 |
| Middle | 21.8 | 457 | 21.9 | 428 | 21.8 | 886 |
| Fourth | 21.7 | 421 | 16.3 | 434 | 19.0 | 855 |
| Richest | 15.9 | 430 | 14.1 | 439 | 15.0 | 869 |
| Total | 21.6 | 2094 | 15.8 | 2141 | 18.7 | 4235 |

Table based on estimated age as of the beginning of the school year

* MICS indicator 55; MDG indicator 6

Total includes 2 children missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.
Figures shown in parentheses are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table ED.5A: Children reaching grade 5 (ISCED)
Percentage of children entering first grade of primary school who eventually reach grade 5, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percent attending $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade who were in $1^{\text {st }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade who were in $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $4^{\text {th }}$ grade who were in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade last year | Percent attending $5^{\text {th }}$ grade who were in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade last year | Percent who reach grade 5 of those who enter $1^{\text {st }}$ grade* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 96.3 | 92.4 | 96.0 | 93.8 | 80.2 |
| Female | 95.5 | 91.7 | 95.1 | 92.9 | 77.3 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 98.7 | 96.7 | 98.8 | 96.6 | 91.1 |
| Rural | 94.7 | 89.8 | 94.1 | 91.5 | 73.2 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 96.1 | 91.6 | 95.5 | 92.1 | 77.5 |
| Basic | 97.6 | 95.9 | 95.9 | 96.2 | 86.3 |
| Secondary+ | 95.7 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 89.2 |
| Non-standard curriculum | 92.3 | 94.9 | 96.3 | 94.8 | 80.0 |
| Mother not in household | 100.0 | 55.1 | 62.9 | 94.9 | 32.9 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 93.4 | 92.6 | 91.1 | 94.1 | 74.1 |
| Second | 97.6 | 89.6 | 92.4 | 91.2 | 73.7 |
| Middle | 93.8 | 87.8 | 95.2 | 87.6 | 68.7 |
| Fourth | 97.5 | 95.4 | 99.3 | 94.1 | 86.9 |
| Richest | 97.2 | 96.1 | 98.3 | 99.2 | 91.0 |
| Total | 95.9 | 92.1 | 95.6 | 93.4 | 78.9 |

* MICS indicator 57; MDG indicator 7

Table ED.6A: Primary school completion and transition to secondary education (ISCED)
Primary school completion rate and transition rate to secondary education, Yemen, 2006

|  | Net primary school completion rate* | Number of children of primary school completion age | Transition rate to secondary education** | Number of children who were in the last grade of primary school the previous year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 32.8 | 409 | 85.1 | 284 |
| Female | 27.5 | 385 | 83.9 | 179 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 42.5 | 224 | 86.6 | 163 |
| Rural | 25.4 | 571 | 83.6 | 300 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |
| None | 26.1 | 628 | 86.2 | 321 |
| Basic | 46.8 | 122 | 92.4 | 78 |
| Secondary+ | (59.8) | 27 | (*) | 19 |
| Non-standard curriculum | (*) | 17 | (*) | 11 |
| Mother not in household | . | 0 | (*) | 8 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 13.2 | 158 | (90.0) | 47 |
| Second | 26.7 | 155 | 79.4 | 82 |
| Middle | 27.7 | 176 | 81.1 | 99 |
| Fourth | 33.8 | 166 | 85.2 | 120 |
| Richest | 52.6 | 139 | 88.7 | 114 |
| Total | 30.2 | 795 | 84.7 | 462 |

(*) Figures based on less than 25 unweighted cases
Figures shown in parentheses are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table ED.7A: Education gender parity (ISCED)
Ratio of girls to boys attending primary education and ratio of girls to boys attending secondary education, Yemen, 2006

|  | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Primary school net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for primary school NAR* | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR), girls | Secondary school net attendance ratio (NAR), boys | Gender parity index (GPI) for secondary school NAR* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 83.0 | 83.5 | 0.99 | 50.8 | 60.4 | 0.84 |
| Rural | 57.2 | 71.5 | 0.80 | 16.9 | 43.4 | 0.39 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 59.5 | 71.7 | 0.83 | 22.7 | 44.7 | 0.51 |
| Basic | 78.6 | 84.0 | 0.94 | 53.7 | 64.3 | 0.83 |
| Secondary+ | 87.3 | 90.7 | 0.96 | 78.5 | 75.9 | 1.04 |
| Non-standard curriculum | 60.6 | 86.0 | 0.70 | 58.3 | 49.0 | 1.19 |
| Mother not in household |  |  | . $\square$ | 17.4 | 43.4 | 0.40 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 33.2 | 55.0 | 0.60 | 4.9 | 25.2 | 0.20 |
| Second | 59.1 | 76.0 | 0.78 | 15.5 | 39.3 | 0.40 |
| Middle | 69.1 | 77.1 | 0.90 | 21.4 | 47.5 | 0.45 |
| Fourth | 78.3 | 83.4 | 0.94 | 38.1 | 57.2 | 0.67 |
| Richest | 86.9 | 86.2 | 1.01 | 53.7 | 72.2 | 0.74 |
| Total | 64.2 | 75.0 | 0.86 | 27.2 | 48.8 | 0.56 |

* MICS indicator 61; MDG indicator 9

Percent distribution of children age 0-59 months by whether birth is registered and reasons for non-registration, Yemen, 2006
Birth is not registered because:

|  | Birth is registered* | Don't know if birth is registered | Number of children age 059 months | Costs too much | Must travel too far | Didn't <br> know child should be registered | Late, did not want to pay fine | Doesn't <br> know <br> where to register | Other | Don't know | Total | Number of children age 0-59 months without birth registration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 22.4 | 8.0 | 1925 | 6.0 | 17.9 | 46.6 | 10.4 | 3.3 | 15.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1339 |
| Female | 22.2 | 7.3 | 1858 | 5.1 | 17.3 | 49.3 | 10.3 | 2.4 | 14.9 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 1310 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 38.2 | 6.3 | 1021 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 50.8 | 9.4 | 5.4 | 19.2 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 567 |
| Rural | 16.4 | 8.2 | 2762 | 4.9 | 20.8 | 47.1 | 10.6 | 2.1 | 14.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 2083 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-11 months | 18.1 | 7.4 | 853 | 4.0 | 17.6 | 48.5 | 11.0 | 3.9 | 14.4 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 635 |
| 12-23 months | 23.5 | 8.9 | 721 | 6.7 | 18.8 | 47.7 | 10.3 | 2.1 | 13.7 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 487 |
| 24-35 months | 22.5 | 8.7 | 741 | 6.5 | 16.3 | 48.9 | 10.8 | 2.9 | 14.3 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 510 |
| 36-47 months | 22.9 | 7.5 | 774 | 5.0 | 19.9 | 45.9 | 9.8 | 1.6 | 17.5 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 538 |
| 48-59 months | 25.1 | 5.9 | 691 | 6.2 | 15.3 | 48.6 | 9.9 | 3.5 | 15.7 | 0.7 | 100.0 | 477 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 15.8 | 8.7 | 2483 | 6.0 | 18.5 | 46.8 | 11.1 | 2.4 | 14.7 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 1875 |
| Basic | 33.1 | 5.6 | 941 | 4.7 | 14.6 | 52.1 | 9.0 | 2.9 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 577 |
| Secondary + | 41.4 | 6.2 | 316 | 4.3 | 18.5 | 46.4 | 5.2 | 6.8 | 15.5 | 3.3 | 100.0 | 166 |
| Non Standard Curriculum Wealth index | (18.3) | (18.3) | 41 | (3.2) | (13.8) | (45.7) | (18.8) | (8.6) | (9.8) | (0.0) | 100.0 | 31 |
| Poorest | 5.4 | 8.8 | 878 | 7.1 | 22.9 | 43.4 | 12.4 | 1.7 | 11.9 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 753 |
| Second | 10.9 | 7.8 | 810 | 3.6 | 18.1 | 52.6 | 10.9 | 1.1 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 658 |
| Middle | 19.6 | 8.9 | 759 | 6.4 | 18.1 | 47.1 | 10.3 | 3.6 | 14.2 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 542 |
| Fourth | 34.8 | 6.2 | 738 | 6.0 | 12.5 | 46.2 | 9.8 | 4.7 | 20.7 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 435 |
| Richest | 50.4 | 6.0 | 598 | 3.8 | 8.3 | 53.6 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 21.4 | 2.7 | 100.0 | 261 |
| Total | 22.3 | 7.7 | 3783 | 5.6 | 17.6 | 47.9 | 10.4 | 2.8 | 15.1 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 2649 |

## * MICS indicator 62

Figures shown in paranthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

Table CP.2: Child labour
Percentage of children age 5-14 years who are involved in child labour activities by type of work, Yemen, 2006

|  | Working outside household |  | Household chores for 28+ hours/ week | Working for family business | Total child labour* | Number of children age 5-14 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Paid work | Unpaid work |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.5 | 1.0 | 7.5 | 12.8 | 21.1 | 3874 |
| Female | 0.5 | 1.1 | 14.1 | 11.1 | 24.4 | 3744 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 0.6 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 8.1 | 2169 |
| Rural | 1.2 | 1.3 | 13.6 | 15.2 | 28.6 | 5449 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-11 years | 0.7 | 1.3 | 7.9 | 10.5 | 18.6 | 5444 |
| 12-14 years | 1.7 | 0.6 | 18.0 | 15.6 | 33.1 | 2174 |
| School participation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 1.0 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 13.2 | 23.4 | 4454 |
| No | 1.0 | 0.8 | 12.0 | 10.1 | 21.8 | 3164 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 1.2 | 0.7 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 25.3 | 5750 |
| Basic | 0.6 | 2.1 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 15.0 | 1362 |
| Secondary + | 0.3 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 8.4 | 348 |
| Non Standard Curriculum | 0.4 | 2.7 | 9.7 | 20.5 | 29.1 | 147 |
| Wealth index quintiles 20.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 1.7 | 0.5 | 20.0 | 17.6 | 36.8 | 1613 |
| Second | 1.1 | 0.7 | 12.7 | 16.1 | 28.5 | 1512 |
| Middle | 1.3 | 1.1 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 22.7 | 1572 |
| Fourth | 0.7 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 7.6 | 13.6 | 1597 |
| Richest | 0.1 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 10.2 | 1324 |
| Total | 1.0 | 1.1 | 10.7 | 12.0 | 22.7 | 7618 |

* MICS indicator 71

Includes 7 children with missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.

Table CP.3: Labourer students and student labourers
Percentage of children age 5-14 years who are labourer students and student labourers, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children in child labour* | Percentage of children attending school | Number of children 514 years of age | Percentage of child labourers who are also attending school** | Number of child labourers age 5-14 | Percentage of students who are also involved in child <br> labour**** | Number of students age 5-14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 21.1 | 63.8 | 3874 | 69.8 | 818 | 23.1 | 2473 |
| Female | 24.4 | 52.9 | 3744 | 51.6 | 915 | 23.8 | 1981 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 8.1 | 70.5 | 2169 | 74.2 | 175 | 8.5 | 1528 |
| Rural | 28.6 | 53.7 | 5449 | 58.6 | 1557 | 31.2 | 2925 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5-11 years | 18.6 | 51.7 | 5444 | 56.3 | 1013 | 20.3 | 2816 |
| 12-14 years | 33.1 | 75.3 | 2174 | 65.6 | 720 | 28.8 | 1638 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 25.3 | 55.6 | 5750 | 57.4 | 1455 | 26.1 | 3197 |
| Basic | 15.0 | 65.1 | 1362 | 77.0 | 205 | 17.8 | 887 |
| Secondary + | 8.4 | 75.9 | 348 | 72.1 | 29 | 8.0 | 264 |
| Non Standard Curriculum Wealth index | 29.1 | 69.3 | 147 | 65.2 | 43 | 27.3 | 102 |
| Poorest | 36.8 | 38.1 | 1613 | 43.3 | 594 | 41.9 | 614 |
| Second | 28.5 | 55.2 | 1512 | 67.0 | 431 | 34.6 | 835 |
| Middle | 22.7 | 60.2 | 1572 | 66.3 | 357 | 25.0 | 947 |
| Fourth | 13.6 | 67.2 | 1597 | 68.3 | 217 | 13.8 | 1073 |
| Richest | 10.2 | 74.4 | 1324 | 83.5 | 135 | 11.4 | 986 |
| Total | 22.7 | 58.5 | 7618 | 60.2 | 1733 | 23.4 | 4454 |

* MICS indicator 71
** MICS indicator 72
**** MICS indicator 73
Includes 7 children with missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.

Table CP.4: Child discipline
Percentage of children age 2-14 years according to method of disciplining the child, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children 2-14 years of age who experience: |  |  |  |  |  | Missing | Mother/caretaker believes that the child needs to be physically punished | Number of children age 2-14 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Only nonviolent discipline | Psychological punishment | Minor physical punishment | Severe physical punishment | Any psychological or physical punishment* | No discipline or punishment |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 3.7 | 92.2 | 84.0 | 44.2 | 94.4 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 44.2 | 1443 |
| Female | 4.2 | 91.2 | 81.6 | 38.2 | 93.7 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 43.6 | 1432 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 3.6 | 93.4 | 80.5 | 33.4 | 95.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 26.0 | 892 |
| Rural | 4.1 | 90.9 | 83.9 | 44.7 | 93.5 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 51.9 | 1983 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-4 years | 4.7 | 87.6 | 81.4 | 38.7 | 91.4 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 41.8 | 765 |
| 5-9 years | 2.6 | 94.3 | 86.7 | 44.3 | 96.0 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 45.4 | 1058 |
| 10-14 years | 4.7 | 92.1 | 80.0 | 39.9 | 94.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 43.9 | 1051 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 4.1 | 91.1 | 83.1 | 44.3 | 93.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 49.9 | 2049 |
| Basic | 2.2 | 94.9 | 86.5 | 36.8 | 96.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 31.8 | 565 |
| Secondary | 6.4 | 89.5 | 70.3 | 21.8 | 92.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 18.2 | 200 |
| Non Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curriculum | 5.4 | 90.0 | 81.5 | 41.6 | 93.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 41.3 | 58 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 5.3 | 87.3 | 81.2 | 45.2 | 90.8 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 59.3 | 633 |
| Second | 4.6 | 91.6 | 86.9 | 48.6 | 93.8 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 57.0 | 578 |
| Middle | 3.1 | 93.3 | 86.3 | 44.6 | 95.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 46.7 | 575 |
| Fourth | 3.5 | 93.6 | 83.6 | 36.9 | 94.9 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 30.5 | 545 |
| Richest | 3.1 | 93.3 | 76.1 | 29.5 | 95.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 22.6 | 545 |
| Total | 4.0 | 91.7 | 82.8 | 41.2 | 94.0 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 43.9 | 2875 |

[^14]Includes 2 children with missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.

## Table CP.5: Early marriage

Percentage of women age 15-49 years in marriage or union before their 15th birthday, percentage of women age 20-49 years in marriage or union before their 18th birthday and percentage of women age 15-19 years currently married or in union Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage married before age $15^{*}$ | Number of women age 1549 years | Percentage married before age 18* | Number of women age 20-49 years | Percentage of women 15-19 married/in union** | Number of women age 15-19 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 13.2 | 1,987 | 44.1 | 1,485 | 15.5 | 502 |
| Rural | 14.5 | 3,891 | 55.7 | 2,758 | 20.7 | 1,133 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 3.6 | 1,631 |  |  | 19.2 | 1,631 |
| 20-24 | 10.5 | 1,217 | 32.3 | 1,217 |  |  |
| 25-29 | 15.6 | 1,026 | 47.6 | 1,026 |  |  |
| 30-34 | 20.0 | 608 | 55.1 | 608 |  |  |
| 35-39 | 25.4 | 554 | 70.7 | 554 |  |  |
| 40-44 | 26.0 | 431 | 71.0 | 431 |  |  |
| 45-49 | 26.0 | 408 | 67.8 | 408 |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 21.0 | 3,016 | 64.4 | 2,511 | 29.4 | 505 |
| Basic | 7.0 | 2,726 | 34.7 | 1,652 | 15.2 | 1,074 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 17.8 | 1,056 | 60.8 | 773 | 21.7 | 283 |
| Second | 16.1 | 1,123 | 56.5 | 788 | 22.3 | 335 |
| Middle | 12.8 | 1,149 | 52.5 | 820 | 18.4 | 329 |
| Fourth | 12.8 | 1,179 | 51.5 | 843 | 14.1 | 336 |
| Richest | 11.7 | 1,388 | 40.5 | 1,019 | 18.5 | 369 |
| Total | 14.1 | 5,877 | 51.6 | 4,245 | 19.2 | 1,631 |

[^15]
## Table CP.6: Spousal age difference

Percent distribution of currently married women age 15-19 and 20-24 years according to the age difference with their husband or partner, Yemen, 2006

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Percentage of currently married women age 15-19 years whose husband or partner is:} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of women age 1519 years currently married} \& \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Percentage of currently married women age 20-24 years whose husband or partner is:} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Number of women age 2024 years currently married} \\
\hline \& Younger \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
0-4
\] \\
years older
\end{tabular} \& 5-9 years older \& \[
\begin{gathered}
10+ \\
\text { years } \\
\text { older* }^{*}
\end{gathered}
\] \& Husband/partner's age unknown \& Total \& \& Younger \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
0-4
\] \\
years older
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
5-9
\] \\
years older
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
10+ \\
\text { years } \\
\text { older* }^{*}
\end{gathered}
\] \& Husband/partner's age unknown \& Total \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{Residence} \\
\hline Urban \& 0.0 \& 32.4 \& 46.0 \& 21.6 \& 0.0 \& 100.0 \& 78 \& 0.1 \& 37.2 \& 39.7 \& 23.1 \& 0.0 \& 100.0 \& 189 \\
\hline Rural \& 1.1 \& 43.4 \& 40.6 \& 13.8 \& 1.1 \& 100.0 \& 234 \& 3.5 \& 45.2 \& 34.7 \& 15.9 \& 0.6 \& 100.0 \& 485 \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{Education} \\
\hline None \& 0.9 \& 49.9 \& 32.5 \& 15.6 \& 1.2 \& 100.0 \& 136 \& 3.8 \& 45.4 \& 31.6 \& 18.4 \& 0.8 \& 100.0 \& 340 \\
\hline Basic \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& 11 \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& 13 \\
\hline Secondary + \& 1.0 \& 36.3 \& 50.5 \& 12.2 \& 0.0 \& 100.0 \& 129 \& 1.5 \& 42.1 \& 40.1 \& 16.0 \& 0.2 \& 100.0 \& 231 \\
\hline Non Standard \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 34 \& 0.8 \& 36.0 \& 44.8 \& 18.4 \& 0 \& 100.0 \& 85 \\
\hline Missing \& ( \&  \&  \&  \& (*) \&  \& 34
2 \& 0

$(*)$ \& (*) \& (*) \& (*) \& (
( $)$ \& (*) \& 85
3 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{Wealth index quintiles} <br>
\hline Poorest \& 2.1 \& 47.0 \& 25.2 \& 21.4 \& 4.2 \& 100.0 \& 62 \& 2.8 \& 42.4 \& 36.0 \& 18.8 \& 0.0 \& 100.0 \& 125 <br>
\hline Second \& 1.6 \& 56.8 \& 36.4 \& 5.2 \& 0.0 \& 100.0 \& 75 \& 2.6 \& 44.1 \& 32.6 \& 19.9 \& 0.7 \& 100.0 \& 143 <br>
\hline Middle \& 0.0 \& 30.7 \& 45.8 \& 23.5 \& 0.0 \& 100.0 \& 60 \& 4.8 \& 45.9 \& 33.7 \& 15.6 \& 0.0 \& 100.0 \& 134 <br>
\hline Fourth \& (0.0) \& (38.4) \& (51.9) \& (9.7) \& (0.0) \& (100.0) \& 47 \& 1.0 \& 40.7 \& 38.9 \& 18.3 \& 1.1 \& 100.0 \& 151 <br>
\hline Richest \& 0.0 \& 27.5 \& 52.8 \& 19.6 \& 0.0 \& 100.0 \& 68 \& 1.6 \& 41.7 \& 39.7 \& 16.7 \& 0.3 \& 100.0 \& 119 <br>
\hline Total \& 0.8 \& 40.6 \& 42.0 \& 15.8 \& 0.8 \& 100.0 \& 312 \& 2.5 \& 42.9 \& 36.1 \& 17.9 \& 0.5 \& 100.0 \& 673 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

* MICS indicator 69


## Table CP.7: Child disability

Percentage of children age 2-9 years with disability reported by their mother or caretaker according to the type of disability, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percentage of children age 2-9 years with reported disability by type of disability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of children age 2-9 years with at least one reported disability* | Number of children age 2-9 years | 3-9 years <br> Speech is not normal | Number of children age 3-9 years | 2 <br> years <br> Cannot name at least one object | Number of children age 2 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay in sitting, standing or walking | Difficulty seeing, either in the daytime or at night | Appears to have difficulty hearing | No understanding of instructions | Difficulty in walking, moving arms, weakness or stiffness | Have fits, become rigid, lose conciousness | Not <br> learning <br> to do <br> things like <br> other <br> children <br> his/her <br> age | No speaking / cannot be understood in words | Appears mentally backward, dull, or slow |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 6.4 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 3.3 | 21.1 | 1722 | 9.3 | 1500 | 29.6 | 222 |
| Rural | 10.4 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 25.8 | 4578 | 6.8 | 4014 | 23.1 | 564 |
| Age of child |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-4 | 9.4 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 7.2 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 4.1 | 26.6 | 2360 | 8.8 | 1575 | 25.0 | 786 |
| 5-6 | 7.8 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 21.1 | 1566 | 7.7 | 1566 |  | 0 |
| 7-9 | 10.1 | 2.9 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 24.7 | 2374 | 6.4 | 2374 |  | 0 |
| Mother's education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 10.2 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 25.9 | 4468 | 7.1 | 3937 | 23.5 | 531 |
| Basic | 6.8 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 19.0 | 1369 | 7.2 | 1174 | 27.9 | 195 |
| Secondary + | 6.8 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 8.3 | 4.3 | 25.4 | 371 | 9.7 | 315 | 29.3 | 56 |
| Non Standard Curriculum Wealth index quintiles | 14.8 | 7.0 | 2.8 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 12.9 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 33.2 | 84 | 12.3 | 80 | (*) | 4 |
| Poorest | 12.0 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 29.4 | 1454 | 6.6 | 1268 | 23.3 | 185 |
| Second | 11.4 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 7.8 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 27.3 | 1263 | 6.3 | 1104 | 21.2 | 159 |
| Middle | 10.2 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 7.1 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 4.9 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 27.3 | 1267 | 9.0 | 1121 | 29.4 | 146 |
| Fourth | 6.8 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 17.4 | 1297 | 7.1 | 1132 | 26.5 | 165 |
| Richest | 4.8 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 19.7 | 1019 | 8.5 | 889 | 24.9 | 130 |
| Total | 9.3 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 24.5 | 6300 | 7.4 | 5514 | 25.0 | 786 |

* MICS indicator 101
(*) Figure based on less than 25 unweighted cases


## Table CP.8: Children's living arrangements and orphanhood

Percent distribution of children age 0-17 years according to living arrangements, percentage of children age 0-17 years in households not iving with a biological parent and percentage of children who are orphans, Yemen, 2006

| Living with both parents |  | Living with neither parent |  |  |  | Living with mother only |  | Living with father only |  | Impossible to determine | Total | Not living with a biological parent* | One or both parents dead** | Number of children |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Only father alive | Only mother alive | Both are alive | Both are dead | Father alive | Father dead | Mother alive | Mother dead |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 86.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 100 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 6869 |
| Female | 85.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 100 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 6758 |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 86.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 100 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 3863 |
| Rural | 85.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 100 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 9764 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-4 years | 91.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 100 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 3975 |
| 5-9 years | 88.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 6.8 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 100 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 3940 |
| 10-14 years | 84.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 100 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 3678 |
| 15-17 years | 72.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 100 | 5.9 | 12.1 | 2033 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 84.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 100 | 2.3 | 7.2 | 2913 |
| Second | 86.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 100 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 2783 |
| Middle | 86.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 100 | 1.2 | 5.2 | 2781 |
| Fourth | 85.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 8.3 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 100 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 2767 |
| Richest | 85.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 100 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 2382 |
| Total | 85.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 100 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 13627 |

* MICS indicator 78
** MICS indicator 75

Table HA.1: Knowledge of preventing HIV transmission
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 years who know two of the main ways of preventing HIV transmission, Yemen, 2006

| Heard of AIDS |  | Percentage who know transmission can be prevented by: |  |  |  |  | Number of evermarried women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Using a condom every time | Abstaining from sex | Knows both ways | Knows at least one way | Doesn't know any way |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 84.5 | 33.3 | 43.0 | 21.5 | 54.7 | 45.3 | 1170 |
| Rural | 49.8 | 15.1 | 22.7 | 10.1 | 27.7 | 72.3 | 2572 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 55.0 | 18.9 | 30.6 | 15.8 | 33.6 | 66.4 | 318 |
| 20-24 | 61.7 | 21.0 | 28.8 | 14.7 | 35.1 | 64.9 | 697 |
| 25-29 | 62.4 | 22.3 | 32.7 | 14.4 | 40.6 | 59.4 | 815 |
| 30-34 | 63.3 | 24.3 | 28.9 | 13.8 | 39.4 | 60.6 | 553 |
| 35-39 | 59.7 | 18.9 | 27.9 | 11.9 | 34.8 | 65.2 | 536 |
| 40-44 | 58.9 | 16.3 | 25.3 | 10.6 | 31.0 | 69.0 | 421 |
| 45-49 | 58.9 | 21.1 | 26.4 | 14.1 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 402 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 49.5 | 14.7 | 22.6 | 10.1 | 27.2 | 72.8 | 2469 |
| Basic | 77.7 | 29.3 | 38.8 | 19.8 | 48.3 | 51.7 | 922 |
| Secondary + | 94.6 | 41.6 | 48.6 | 22.9 | 67.3 | 32.7 | 344 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 31.8 | 9.2 | 15.7 | 6.3 | 18.7 | 81.3 | 745 |
| Second | 47.7 | 12.0 | 20.9 | 8.0 | 24.8 | 75.2 | 735 |
| Middle | 63.7 | 20.2 | 26.3 | 13.0 | 33.5 | 66.5 | 731 |
| Fourth | 73.7 | 27.2 | 36.4 | 17.6 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 740 |
| Richest | 84.7 | 34.4 | 44.7 | 22.8 | 56.3 | 43.7 | 791 |
| Total | 60.6 | 20.8 | 29.0 | 13.7 | 36.1 | 63.9 | 3742 |

Total includes 7 women missing information on education who are not shown separately

Table HA.2: Identifying misconceptions about HIV and AIDS
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 years who correctly identify misconceptions about HIV and AIDS, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percent who know that: |  |  | Reject two most common misconceptions and know a healthy-looking person can be infected | Percent who know that: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HIV cannot be transmitted by: |  | A healthy looking person can be infected |  | Option 3: HIV cannot be transmitted by supernatural means | Option 4: HIV can be transmitted by sharing needles |  |
|  | Option 1: sharing food | Option 2: <br> Mosquito bites |  |  |  |  | Number of evermarried women |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 51.1 | 42.1 | 34.2 | 14.7 | 67.4 | 75.4 | 1170 |
| Rural | 17.2 | 16.0 | 16.4 | 3.3 | 30.8 | 41.3 | 2572 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 23.2 | 20.5 | 18.6 | 5.8 | 42.4 | 50.5 | 318 |
| 20-24 | 28.2 | 26.6 | 23.8 | 7.3 | 44.5 | 53.0 | 697 |
| 25-29 | 27.8 | 24.4 | 22.3 | 5.4 | 43.6 | 53.6 | 815 |
| 30-34 | 30.1 | 25.4 | 23.5 | 9.1 | 45.3 | 55.6 | 553 |
| 35-39 | 30.0 | 25.1 | 23.6 | 8.1 | 41.5 | 50.4 | 536 |
| 40-44 | 25.3 | 22.0 | 21.5 | 6.6 | 36.4 | 48.6 | 421 |
| 45-49 | 27.6 | 21.6 | 16.8 | 5.7 | 38.3 | 48.9 | 402 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 35.7 | 39.6 | 30.4 | 34.2 | 26.7 | 9.8 | 2469 |
| Basic | 64.6 | 69.8 | 53.4 | 53.2 | 42.6 | 7.9 | 922 |
| Secondary + | 78.5 | 84.5 | 67.0 | 58.0 | 46.9 | 10.1 | 344 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 5.0 | 7.2 | 9.7 | 0.7 | 14.6 | 24.1 | 745 |
| Second | 13.5 | 11.9 | 14.7 | 1.8 | 26.7 | 37.9 | 735 |
| Middle | 22.3 | 22.2 | 20.9 | 4.7 | 40.1 | 55.9 | 731 |
| Fourth | 38.9 | 33.2 | 28.8 | 9.6 | 56.8 | 64.5 | 740 |
| Richest | 57.5 | 45.0 | 34.9 | 16.9 | 71.1 | 76.0 | 791 |
| Total | 27.8 | 24.2 | 22.0 | 6.9 | 42.2 | 52.0 | 3742 |

Total includes 7 women missing information on education who are not shown separately

Table HA.3: Comprehensive knowledge of HIV and AIDS transmission
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 years who have comprehensive knowledge of HIV and AIDS transmission, Yemen, 2006

|  | Knows condom use and abstinence can prevent HIV transmission | Correctly identify 3 misconceptions about HIV transmission | Have comprehensive knowledge (identify 2 prevention methods and 3 misconceptions) | Number of evermarried women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 21.5 | 14.7 | 2.6 | 1170 |
| Rural | 10.1 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 2572 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 15.8 | 5.8 | 2.0 | 318 |
| 20-24 | 14.7 | 7.3 | 1.3 | 697 |
| 15-24 | 15.0 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 1015 |
| 25-29 | 14.4 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 815 |
| 30-34 | 13.8 | 9.1 | 1.0 | 553 |
| 35-39 | 11.9 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 536 |
| 40-44 | 10.6 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 421 |
| 45-49 | 14.1 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 402 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| None | 10.1 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 2469.2 |
| Basic | 19.8 | 10.9 | 2.1 | 921.7 |
| Secondary + | 22.9 | 25.5 | 3.1 | 344.4 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 6.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 745 |
| Second | 8.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 735 |
| Middle | 13.0 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 731 |
| Fourth | 17.6 | 9.6 | 1.6 | 740 |
| Richest | 22.8 | 16.9 | 3.1 | 791 |
| Total | 13.7 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 3742 |

* Women were not asked if HIV transmission could be prevented by 'having only one faithful uninfected partner'. Therefore the MDG indicator 19B and MICS indicator 82 cannot be constructed from the survey data.
Total includes 7 women missing information on education who are not shown separately

Table HA.4: Knowledge of mother-to-child HIV transmission
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 years who correctly identify means of HIV transmission from mother to child, Yemen, 2006

|  | Know AIDS can be transmitted from mother to child | Percent who know AIDS can be transmitted: |  |  |  | Did not know any specific way | Number of evermarried women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | During pregnancy | At delivery | Through breastmilk | All three ways* |  |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 73.9 | 69.1 | 59.3 | 54.1 | 45.4 | 10.6 | 1170 |
| Rural | 40.9 | 36.5 | 30.4 | 35.2 | 26.5 | 8.9 | 2572 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 48.8 | 46.1 | 39.4 | 43.8 | 35.9 | 6.2 | 318 |
| 20-24 | 52.5 | 45.4 | 40.0 | 42.7 | 32.9 | 9.2 | 697 |
| 25-29 | 52.1 | 47.3 | 40.3 | 41.3 | 31.7 | 10.3 | 815 |
| 30-34 | 54.9 | 50.2 | 40.4 | 41.2 | 32.3 | 8.4 | 553 |
| 35-39 | 49.8 | 47.1 | 38.4 | 38.1 | 30.4 | 9.9 | 536 |
| 40-44 | 49.1 | 44.5 | 38.2 | 40.4 | 32.4 | 9.8 | 421 |
| 45-49 | 48.2 | 44.9 | 38.1 | 40.5 | 33.0 | 10.7 | 402 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 39.6 | 35.7 | 30.4 | 34.2 | 26.7 | 9.8 | 2469 |
| Basic | 69.8 | 64.6 | 53.4 | 53.2 | 42.6 | 7.9 | 922 |
| Secondary + Wealth index quintiles | 84.5 | 78.5 | 67.0 | 58.0 | 46.9 | 10.1 | 344 |
| Poorest | 22.2 | 18.5 | 16.5 | 20.5 | 15.3 | 9.6 | 745 |
| Second | 39.8 | 35.3 | 30.1 | 34.6 | 26.6 | 7.9 | 735 |
| Middle | 53.2 | 48.2 | 40.8 | 46.8 | 36.2 | 10.5 | 731 |
| Fourth | 63.0 | 58.7 | 48.4 | 49.3 | 39.0 | 10.8 | 740 |
| Richest | 76.4 | 71.2 | 60.1 | 53.6 | 44.3 | 8.3 | 791 |
| Total | 51.2 | 46.7 | 39.4 | 41.1 | 32.4 | 9.4 | 3742 |

## * MICS indicator 89

Total includes 7 women missing information on education who are not shown separately

Table HA.5: Attitudes toward people living with HIV and AIDS
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 years who have heard of AIDS who express a discriminatory attitude towards people living with HIV and AIDS, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percent of ever-married women who: |  |  |  |  |  | Number of evermarried women who have heard of AIDS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Would not care for a family member who was sick with AIDS | If a family member had HIV would want to keep it a secret | Believe that a female teacher with HIV should not be allowed to work | Would not buy food from a person with HIV and AIDS | Agree with at least one discriminatory statement | Agree with none of the discriminatory statements* |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 18.4 | 55.5 | 68.8 | 80.0 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 989 |
| Rural | 19.4 | 49.6 | 74.4 | 84.6 | 95.1 | 4.9 | 1279 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 20.9 | 54.8 | 72.7 | 85.9 | 97.2 | 2.8 | 175 |
| 20-24 | 20.5 | 51.3 | 70.7 | 82.3 | 93.8 | 6.2 | 430 |
| 25-29 | 19.8 | 50.8 | 73.0 | 82.9 | 96.1 | 3.9 | 509 |
| 30-34 | 17.7 | 58.8 | 72.3 | 84.2 | 95.9 | 4.1 | 350 |
| 35-39 | 21.1 | 50.8 | 70.5 | 76.8 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 320 |
| 40-44 | 13.3 | 47.1 | 71.3 | 83.8 | 93.6 | 6.4 | 248 |
| 45-49 | 18.0 | 52.0 | 73.5 | 84.2 | 95.2 | 4.8 | 237 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 19.1 | 49.8 | 73.0 | 83.4 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 1222 |
| Basic | 18.2 | 53.4 | 73.1 | 82.7 | 94.9 | 5.1 | 716 |
| Secondary + Wealth index quintiles | 20.4 | 58.5 | 65.2 | 79.1 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 326 |
| Poorest | 19.4 | 44.3 | 67.2 | 79.5 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 237 |
| Second | 20.8 | 53.3 | 75.2 | 86.3 | 95.6 | 4.4 | 350 |
| Middle | 23.5 | 45.3 | 78.0 | 86.1 | 96.1 | 3.9 | 466 |
| Fourth | 19.8 | 53.3 | 72.0 | 82.5 | 94.2 | 5.8 | 545 |
| Richest | 14.0 | 58.2 | 67.7 | 79.3 | 94.9 | 5.1 | 669 |
| Total | 19.0 | 52.2 | 71.9 | 82.6 | 94.8 | 5.2 | 2268 |

* MICS indicator 86

Total includes 4 women missing information on education who are not shown separately

Table HA.6: Knowledge of a facility for HIV testing
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 years who know where to get an HIV test, percentage of ever-married women who have been tested and, of those tested the percentage who have been told the result, Yemen, 2006

|  | Know a place to get tested* | Have been tested** | Number of evermarried women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Urban | 23.3 | 3.4 | 1170 |
| Rural | 7.4 | 1.3 | 2572 |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 10.7 | 1.4 | 318 |
| 20-24 | 11.9 | 1.4 | 697 |
| 25-29 | 15.2 | 2.3 | 815 |
| 30-34 | 12.8 | 2.3 | 553 |
| 35-39 | 12.5 | 2.5 | 536 |
| 40-44 | 10.2 | 2.3 | 421 |
| 45-49 | 10.3 | 0.7 | 402 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| None | 7.0 | 1.4 | 2469 |
| Basic | 20.1 | 2.9 | 922 |
| Secondary + | 30.6 | 2.9 | 344 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 4.3 | 0.6 | 745 |
| Second | 6.2 | 1.0 | 735 |
| Middle | 8.3 | 2.0 | 731 |
| Fourth | 16.8 | 2.7 | 740 |
| Richest | 25.3 | 3.3 | 791 |
| Total | 12.4 | 1.9 | 3742 |

* MICS indicator 87
* MICS indicator 88

Total includes 7 women missing information on education who are not shown separately

Table HA.7: HIV testing and counselling coverage during antenatal care
Percentage of ever-married women age 15-49 years who gave birth in the two years preceding the survey who were offered HIV testing and counseling with their antenatal care, Yemen, 2006

|  | Percent of ever-married women who: |  |  |  | Number of evermarried women who gave birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Received antenatal care from a health care professional for last pregnancy | Were provided information about HIV prevention during ANC visit* | Were tested for HIV at ANC visit | Received results of HIV test at ANC visit** |  |
| Residence |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 68.2 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 429 |
| Rural | 39.3 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1181 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 49.1 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 137 |
| 20-24 | 50.0 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 431 |
| 25-29 | 49.7 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 464 |
| 30-34 | 45.2 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 266 |
| 35-39 | 40.6 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 190 |
| 40-44 | 41.9 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 92 |
| 45-49 | (24.9) | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) | 30 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 38.0 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1035 |
| Basic | 59.2 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 412 |
| Secondary + | 73.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 162 |
| Wealth index quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poorest | 32.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 378 |
| Second | 35.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 352 |
| Middle | 43.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 322 |
| Fourth | 56.6 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 307 |
| Richest | 79.3 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 251 |
| Total | 47.0 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1610 |

## * MICS indicator 90

** MICS indicator 91
Total includes 2 women missing information on education who are not shown separately
Percentages shown in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

## Appendix A. Sample Design

## Parameters of Sample Design

The Yemen MICS sample design was a two-stage stratified cluster sample. The following parameters were accounted for in designing the sample:

1- The sample is to provide estimates with reasonable precision at national and urban/rural levels.
2- The residents of the Yemeni islands and the nomadic population are excluded from survey coverage.
3- The size of ultimate cluster is 20 households
4- It is approximately self-weighted design.

## Determination of Sample Size

The sample size has been figured out on the basis of the recommendations given in the MICS Manual of "Designing and Selection the Sample". The size of the Sample has been estimated using the following formula:

$$
n=\frac{4 \mathrm{r}(1-\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{f}(1.1)}{(0.12 r)^{2} \mathrm{p}_{n_{h}}}
$$

where:
n : is the required sample size
4: is a factor to achieve the $95 \%$ confidence level
$r$ : is the predicted or anticipated prevalence (coverage rate) for the indicator being estimated
1.1: is a factor necessary to raise the sample size by $10 \%$ for non-response
f : is a shortened symbol for design effect (deff)
0.12 r : is the margin of error to be tolerated at the $95 \%$ level of confidence, defined as 12 percent of $r$ ( 12 percent thus represents the relative sampling error of $r$ ) p : is the proportion of the total population upon which the indicator, r , is based, and $n_{h}$ : is average household size.

As the percentage of immunized children aged between 1-2 years is one of the most important indicators that the survey aims to estimate, it will be relied upon to determine the sample size. The Family Health Survey (PAPFAM) conducted in Yemen in 2003 revealed that the percentage of fully immunized children in the age group 12-23 months is $37.2 \%$. Based on the same survey, the proportion of children in this age group $(\mathrm{p})$ is 0.031 approximately. The 2004 Population Census indicates that the average household size $\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{h}\right)$ is 7.1 persons. Assuming that the design effect ( f ) is about 1.5 , the sample size has been estimated as 3516 households. It was deemed useful to increase the sample size to 4000 households so as to provide estimates for urban and rural strata with no much less precision. Increasing the sample will also be useful in case of measuring phenomena with less prevalence at the national level. In other words if a prevalence of another phenomenon measured in the survey is higher than $37.2 \%$, the sample will provide more precise estimates (less sampling errors) for the prevalence rates of such phenomena.

Conversely, if a phenomenon is less prevalent than the $37.2 \%$ level, the sample will provide an estimate of the prevalence rate with lower precision than that of the immunization rate.

## Sample allocation

The sample is allocated proportionally between urban and rural strata; the percentage of households that should be allocated to urban and rural areas was obtained from the 2004 Census. As the ultimate cluster is determined to be 20 households, the number of sample clusters is therefore 200. The proportional allocation of the sample is such that 142 for rural stratum and 58 for urban stratum.

## Sample Selection

The sample is to be selected in two stages. The Primary Sampling Unit (PSU) is a village (or a group of villages) in rural areas and a lane (hara) in urban. The micro data of the 2004 Census at these administrative levels has been relied upon to create frames for the first stage sample. The following provides a description of the sample selection in both stages:

## First Stage Sample

The 2004 Census data (numbers of households and population) for all urban and rural agglomerations have been utilized to create appropriate frames for the first stage sample of urban and rural strata. It was taken into account that the PSU size would be in the range 150-300 households approximately. The creation of a rural frame has entailed grouping neighboring small villages so as to create PSUs in the range of 150-300 households each. Hence, a rural PSU is in most cases a group of small villages. The whole village is considered a PSU as long as its size is in the range 150-300 households.

The situation in urban areas is quite different from rural areas since most lanes (Haras) are much larger than the indicated range of the desired PSU size. For this reason, a second (dummy) sampling stage is necessary to reduce the burden of field listing whenever the lane size is above 300 households. The first urban stage sample included 41 PSU's that required division into equally sized parts. Whereas only 4 PSU's in the rural sample needed to be divided into equal parts.

An implicit stratification has been introduced in both rural and urban frames of the PSUs. Governorates were ordered geographically in a serpentine fashion starting from the northwest corner moving to the northeast corner and back to the west, then to the east and so on till the last governorate. Moreover, as governorate are further divided into a number of directorates (modyriate), another process of implicit stratification within each governorate was implemented by geographically ordering directorates following the same way as for governorates. Undoubtedly, implicit stratification will contribute to more precise sample estimates at both national and urban/rural levels.

The selection of rural and urban first stage samples was made following the Probability Proportionate to Size (PPS) selection method. The employed measure of size (MOS) is the number of Households in each PSU as measured in the 2004 Census.

Accordingly, the probability of selection of the first stage sample can be represented as follows:

$$
\mathrm{p}(\alpha)=\frac{\lambda \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}}{\sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{p}(\alpha)$ is the probability of selecting the $\alpha^{\text {th }}$ PSU in the sample
$\lambda \quad$ is size of first stage sample : $\lambda=58$ in Urban, and $\lambda=142$ in Rural
$\mathrm{M}_{\alpha}$ is the number of households of the $\alpha^{\text {th }}$ PSU

As indicated above, a second (dummy) sampling stage is implemented in larger urban PSU's. The large urban PSU selected in the sample is divided into equal parts of about 150-300 households each. The cartographic facilities of the MOPHP as will as its Geographic Information System (GIS) have been utilized in dividing such PSU's into parts of equal population size. One part was then selected with equal probability method. If the $\alpha^{\text {th }}$ large urban PSU was selected in the sample, it was then divided into " $k$ " parts of equal size, the selection probability of a certain part in the sample is defined as: $(1 / \mathrm{k})^{*} \mathrm{P}(\alpha)$.

The distribution of the first stage urban and rural samples according to governorates is shown in table 1, while the lists of first stage urban and rural samples are given in the appendix.

Table1
Distribution of Urban/Rural first stage sample by governorates

| Governorate | Urban Sample | Rural Sample | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sa'da | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| Algouf | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Hadarmout | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| Ma'reb | - | 2 | 2 |
| Sana'a | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| El Amana | 17 | 1 | 18 |
| Omran | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Heggah | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| Al Hodayedah | 8 | 19 | 27 |
|  | - | 5 | 5 |
| Al Mahweet | - | 3 | 3 |
| Remah | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| Damar | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Al BAydaa' | - | 3 | 3 |
| Shabowa | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Abyan |  |  |  |


| Al Dhalee' | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ibb | 4 | 21 | 25 |
| Taez | 6 | 20 | 26 |
| Aden | 7 | - | 7 |
| Laheg | - | 7 | 7 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ |

Evidently, the above table shows that the distribution of first stage sample among different governorates is well balanced. Few governorates were not represented in the urban sample because of the extremely lower weight of their urban populations relative to the total urban population of the country.

## Second stage sample

The selected PSU from the first sample stage, whether it was the whole PSU or a part of one, was updated in the field. A field operation was carried out in each PSU (or a part of it ), which has been selected in the first stage sample so as to create an updated list of households for each sample PSU. These lists were used as sample frames for selecting the second stage sample.

The proposed selection method was determined in such a way so as to create compact ultimate clusters of 20 households in the rural sample, and non-compact ultimate cluster of the same size in the urban sample. The reason for selecting compact clusters for rural sample is that most of the rural sample PSU's are composed of several small villages which are, in most cases, located at the tops of adjacent mountains. The spread of the household sample over several small villages, within the same PSU, that would result from the systematic selection, would impose much difficulty in the main survey fieldwork. Hence it has been deemed operationally efficient to deal with the household list for each rural sample PSU as forming a circle. The selection of a single random number in the range of 1 - the total number of households in the list, will determine the entire household sample to be selected from the sample PSU. The household indicated by the selected random number and the subsequent 19 households in the list constitute the household sample to be selected from rural sample PSU's (keeping in mind the circular nature of the list).

As an example, assume that the list of a certain rural sample PSU includes 220 households. The selected random number (in the range of 1-220) is 206. Therefore, the household sample constitutes the households with the serial numbers:

206-207-208-209-210-211-212-213-214-215-216-217-218-219-220-1-2-3-4-5.
In the case of the urban sample, however, an ordinary random systematic selection is suggested, so as to produce a non-compact cluster of 20 households. The households forming urban PSU (or a part of it) are not dispersed over a large area; hence the compact cluster is not justifiable.

The conditional selection probability of a certain household given the selection of the PSU in the first stage sample is given as follows:
$\mathrm{P}(\beta \mid \alpha)=\frac{20}{\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{*}}$

Where $\mathrm{P}(\beta \mid \alpha)$ is the selection probability of the
$\beta^{\text {th }}$ household given that the $\alpha^{\text {th }}$ PSU was selected in the first stage sample, $\mathrm{M}_{\alpha}^{*}$ is the updated number of households of the $\alpha^{\text {th }} \mathrm{PSU}$ ( or a part of it).

## Sampling Rate

The overall sampling rate is the non-conditional probability of selecting a given household in the sample. It is given by the following formula:
$\mathrm{P}(\alpha \beta)=\mathrm{P}(\alpha) \mathrm{P}(\beta \mid \alpha)$, where :
$\mathrm{p}(\alpha)$ is the probability of the first stage sample,i.e, $\mathrm{p}(\alpha)=\frac{\lambda \mathrm{M}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{K} \sum_{\alpha} \mathrm{M}_{\alpha}}$, and $\mathrm{k}=$ number of parts of equal size into which the PSU is divided (urban sample), $\mathrm{K}=1$ if the PSU is not divided. $\mathrm{P}(\beta \mid \alpha)=\frac{20}{\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{*}}$. Thus :
$\mathrm{P}(\alpha \beta)=\frac{\lambda \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}}{\mathrm{K} \sum_{\alpha} \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}} \frac{20}{\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{*}}$

Evidently the sample is strictly self-weighted if $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}=\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}^{*}$ for all sample PSU's. Since the updating process will most probably result in a different PSU size, the sample is approximately self-weighted as long as the updated PSU size does not deviate very much from the non-updated (census) size.

## Sample Weights

Weights were used in deriving survey estimates to account for the expected differences between the updated household lists of the sample PSU and the Measure of Size (the 2004 number of households) as well as non-response which is inevitable in surveys of this nature. If non-response varies substantially over the sample PSU's weights are needed for data tuning. The final weight ( $W$ ) is the product of design weight $\left(W_{1}\right)$ and non-response weight $\left(W_{2}\right)$, where the design weight is the inverse of the overall selection probability and the non-response weight is the inverse of response rate. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & =\frac{1}{W_{1}} \frac{1}{W_{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{P(\alpha \beta)} \frac{1}{\text { response rate }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where: $P(\alpha \beta)$ is as defined above and the respnse rate $=\frac{\text { number of surveyed households }}{\text { number of sample households }}$

## Appendix B. List of Personnel Involved in the Survey

| List of Interviews: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abdulsalm Naser Al-Mansouri | Huda Abdou Allah Al-ba'adany |
| Afaf Ali Mohammed | Jamal Mojahed Zaid |
| Afrah Ahmed Bagah | Jamal Rashed Moqubel |
| Ahlam Abdou Al-hameed Al-Asbahi | Jamila Joma'an Harf |
| Ahmed Mansour | Joubran Abdullah Ahmed |
| Amal Mansour Ali | Kaireah Abdullah Al-Shamy |
| Amal Yaseen kaid Al-Masah | Lamya Abdullah Al-Akw'a |
| Amani Ahmed Kaderie | Lobna Al-Shar'abi |
| Amatt Alkhaleg Abdullah Jobareh | Lobna Al-Shara'bee |
| Amatt Alkhleg AbdulRahman Al-shaharee | Maha Mostafa Aobaliy |
| Amel Abdullah Al-Absi | Malkah Al-Amary |
| Amel Ahmed Ghaderi | Manal Yousef Zeed |
| Amel Yaseen Kaid Al-Masah | Merfat Ameen Theban |
| Ashwaq Mohammed Al-Iryani | Mohammed Hoseen Abdullah Al-Jilani |
| Asmahan Al-Yousefi | Mona Abdullah Moulhi |
| Atefa Abdulkareem Al-Agbary | Mona' Ahmed Al-kabzary |
| Belqis saeed Haza'a | Najla Mahmmed Ali Muawada |
| Bilqies Saeed Haza'a | Naseem Abdoul Azize Haiyel |
| Budour AbdulAllah Mohammed | Naser Mohmmed Saleh |
| Busaam Mohsen Mothana Eied | Nora Ahmed Sed Al-Kabzary |
| Bushra Al-Hamdany | Nowal Abdouh Ali Al-Jadabee |
| Bushra Mohammed Al-Mahbashi | Rana AbdoulWahed Yousef |
| Bushra Mohmmed Al-Faqih | Rasha Abdoul Wahab Saleh |
| Dr. Tareq Al-Serowry | Redha Ahmed Nassar |
| Eftekar Mohammed Abdullah | Rena Emam Ahmed |
| Ejlal Mohmmed Al-Shar'abi | Rodha AbdulAllah Shamsan |
| Ejlal Mohmmed Al-Shara'bee | Rodha Al-Hajj Mohammed |
| Eman Al-Shameree | Salwa Salemen Khwider |
| Eshraq Abdou Al-raqeeb Mohssen | Samerah Hossen Al-Hababi |
| Eshraq Ahmed Al-kedinee | Samerah Saleh Al-Jouzee |
| Fahed Ali Jouriba Sare'a | Samia Mohammed Al-Iryani |
| Fathia Abdou Allah Obaeed | Samia Mohammed Al-Kadasi |
| Feroz AbdulAllah Al-Karoth | Samira Ali Al-Jaily |
| Gamila Ali Kaid Mo’asar | Sarah Ahmed Moqbel |
| Gamila Kaid Al-Harazy | Sarah Hasen Al-Douminee |
| Ghaza AbdulRahaman Al-Doubae'e | Sawsan Abdullah Shamsan |
| Hakeem Mohammed Besher | Sawsan Mohammed Ali Kaied |
| Hamoodah Mahmood Basheer | Shokria Abduo Saleh |
| Hana Fowad Abdulallh Al-Areqee | Sowsan AbdulAllah Shamsan |
| Hasen Ahmed Al-Ja'fari | Ta'a Mohammed Bagah |
| Hayat Abdullah Al-Agbari | Welayah Ahmed Al-Homatee |
| Hend Ali Saleh Masshaka | Youmen AbdulRahamn Fatah |


| Team Leaders | Governates |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abdulhkeem Al-Doubai | Aden+ Abyan |
| Abdulwahab Saleh Al-Wasabi | Hadhramote + Al- Bedha |
| Ahmed Mohammed Muqble | Capital city + Almahweet |
| Ali Mohammed Kaid Al-Salami | Hodeaiedah |
| Bakeel Mohammed Hezam | Ibb |
| Fdhle Salah Al-Salami | Ibb |
| Fowad Moqubel Awon | Reviewer Lahj |
| Idris Al-Huraibi | Taiz |
| Kaid Ahmed Hasen Haider | Lahj |
| Kaid Ahmed Hasen haiedr | Lahj + Dhale |
| Khaled Juma'an | Hadhramote |
| Mahmood Al-Jaifi | Hajja |
| Mofadhel Al-Harazi | Amran + Sa’ada |
| Mohammed Sef Al-Barakani | Capital city + Mareb |
| Rajab Saeed Awadh | Mahra |
| Saeed Al-Hamadi | Hodeaiedah |
| Salah Ahmed Al-Hobishi | Reviewer Ibb |
| Saleh Nasr Mansour | Taiz |
| Tariq Al-Serowri | Sana'a + Al-Jouf |
| Waleed Mohammed Al-Sharjabi | Dhamar+ Reemah |
| Field Reviewers | Governates |
| Abdulkareem Al-Nahari | Capital city + Mareb |
| Abdullah Dahan Al-Nonee | Taiz |
| Abdulwasee Mohmmed Mahyoub | Amran + Sa'ada |
| Fares Abdullatef Al-Shibani | Taiz |
| Foad Moqble Aown | Lahj + Dhale |
| Hadhrami Hady Hadhrami | Hajja |
| Hani Al-Dowsari | Aden + Abyan |
| Jaml Mojahed Zaid | Sana'a + Aljouf |
| Mahmood Abdulwali Al-Dhobhani | Al-hodeadah |
| Mahmood Ahmed Salem | Hadhramote + Shabwa |
| Mahmood Mohammed Mahyoub | Dhamar + Al-Bidha |
| Mohammed Khalil | Dhamar + Reemah |
| Nadhmy Mohmmed Saeed | Al-hodeadah |
| Omer Mohammed Al-kowrhazy | Ibb |
| Rajab Saeed Awadh | Al-Maharh |
| Salah Ahmed Al-Hobishi | Ibb |
| Waheeb Al-Awadh | Capital city + Al-Mahweet |
| Census data updating team: | Technical and supervising team: |
| Fawzi Al-Mekhlafi | Dr. abdulkareem Rase'a (The Minister) |
| Zynab hasen Abdou | Dr. AbdoulMajeed Alkholidy The Deputy) |
| Khaled Jaedal | Dr. Abdullah Ali Al-Ashwal |
| Ebrahim Al-Showkani | Mr.Aboubaker Saleh Wahshan |
| Mohammed Abdoul Ghani | Dr. Adel Alsamei |
| Questionnaires collectors Team: | Mr.Fawzia Mohammed Othman |
| Saleh Ahmed Homeed | Abdullateef Hasen Al-shibani |
| Mohammed Al-Ashwal | Mahdi Al-Abassi |
| Bander Al-Faieq | Ameenah Alghorbani |
| Hylmi al-Maktari |  |

## Appendix C. Estimates of Sampling Errors

The sample of respondents selected in the Yemen Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey is only one of the samples that could have been selected from the same population, using the same design and size. Each of these samples would yield results that differ somewhat from the results of the actual sample selected. Sampling errors are a measure of the variability between all possible samples. The extent of variability is not known exactly, but can be estimated statistically from the survey results.

The following sampling error measures are presented in this appendix for each of the selected indicators:

- Standard error (se): Sampling errors are usually measured in terms of standard errors for particular indicators (means, proportions etc). Standard error is the square root of the variance. The Taylor linearization method is used for the estimation of standard errors.
- Coefficient of variation $(s e / r)$ is the ratio of the standard error to the value of the indicator
- Design effect (deff) is the ratio of the actual variance of an indicator, under the sampling method used in the survey, to the variance calculated under the assumption of simple random sampling. The square root of the design effect (deft) is used to show the efficiency of the sample design. A deft value of 1.0 indicates that the sample design is as efficient as a simple random sample, while a deft value above 1.0 indicates the increase in the standard error due to the use of a more complex sample design.
- Confidence limits are calculated to show the interval within which the true value for the population can be reasonably assumed to fall. For any given statistic calculated from the survey, the value of that statistics will fall within a range of plus or minus two times the standard error ( $p+2$.se or $p-2$.se) of the statistic in 95 percent of all possible samples of identical size and design.

For the calculation of sampling errors from MICS data, SPSS Version 14 Complex Samples module has been used. The results are shown in the tables that follow. In addition to the sampling error measures described above, the tables also include weighted and unweighted counts of denominators for each indicator.

Sampling errors are calculated for indicators of primary interest, for the national total, for the regions, and for urban and rural areas. Three of the selected indicators are based on households, 8 are based on household members, 13 are based on women, and 15 are based on children under 5 . All indicators presented here are in the form of proportions. Table SE. 1 shows the list of indicators for which sampling errors are calculated, including the base population (denominator) for each indicator. Tables SE. 2 to SE. 9 show the calculated sampling errors.

Table SE.1: Indicators selected for sampling error calculations
List of indicators selected for sampling error calculations, and base populations (denominators) for each indicator, Country, Year

| MICS Indicator |  | Base Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |
| 74 | Child discipline | Children aged 2-14 years selected |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |
| 11 | Use of improved drinking water sources | All household members |
| 12 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | All household members |
| 55 | Net primary school attendance rate | Children of primary school age |
| 56 | Net secondary school attendance rate | Children of secondary school age |
| 59 | Primary completion rate | Children of primary school completion age |
| 71 | Child labour | Children aged 5-14 years |
| 75 | Prevalence of orphans | Children aged under 18 |
| WOMEN |  |  |
| 4 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Ever-married women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years |
| 20 | Antenatal care | Ever-married women aged 15-49 years with a live birth in the last 2 years |
| 21 | Contraceptive prevalence | Women aged 15-49 currently married/in union |
| 60 | Adult literacy | Ever-married Women aged 15-24 years |
| 67 | Marriage before age 18 | Women aged 20-49 years |
| 86 | Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | Women aged 15-49 years |
| 88 | Women who have been tested for HIV | Women aged 15-49 years |
| 89 | Knowledge of mother- to-child transmission of HIV | Women aged 15-49 years |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |
| 25 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | Children aged 12-23 months |
| 26 | Polio immunization coverage | Children aged 12-23 months |
| 27 | Immunization coverage for DPT | Children aged 12-23 months |
| 28 | Measles immunization coverage | Children aged 12-23 months |
| 31 | Fully immunized children | Children aged 12-23 months |
| - | Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | Children under age 5 |
| 22 | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | Children under age 5 with suspected pneumonia in the last 2 weeks |
| - | Diarrhoea in last two weeks | Children under age 5 |
| 35 | Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | Children under age 5 with diarrhoea in the last 2 weeks |
| - | Fever in last two weeks | Children under age 5 |
| 46 | Support for learning | Children under age 5 |
| 62 | Birth registration | Children under age 5 |

Table SE.2: Sampling errors: Total sample
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Yemen, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root of |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Cont } \\ \quad \text { lit } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ence } \\ & \text { ts } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value <br> (r) | error <br> (se) | variation (se/r) | effect <br> (deff) | effect <br> (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | $\begin{gathered} r- \\ 2 s e \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r+ \\ 2 \mathrm{se} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0.940 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 2878 | 2872 | 0.931 | 0.950 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources | EN. 1 | 0.589 | 0.026 | 0.045 | 10.296 | 3.209 | 26088 | 3586 | 0.536 | 0.641 |
| Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.517 | 0.022 | 0.042 | 6.711 | 2.591 | 26088 | 3586 | 0.474 | 0.560 |
| Net primary school attendance rate (ISCED) | ED. 3 | 0.697 | 0.015 | 0.021 | 4.776 | 2.185 | 4673 | 4693 | 0.667 | 0.726 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate (ISCED) | ED. 4 | 0.379 | 0.014 | 0.037 | 3.651 | 1.911 | 4235 | 4271 | 0.350 | 0.407 |
| Primary completion rate (ISCED) | ED. 6 | 0.302 | 0.022 | 0.074 | 1.924 | 1.387 | 795 | 814 | 0.258 | 0.347 |
| Net primary school attendance rate (Basic Ed.) | ED.3A | 0.685 | 0.013 | 0.020 | 5.775 | 2.403 | 6816 | 6845 | 0.658 | 0.712 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate (Basic Ed.) | ED.4A | 0.239 | 0.012 | 0.051 | 1.757 | 1.326 | 2092 | 2119 | 0.214 | 0.263 |
| Primary completion rate (Basic Ed.) | ED.6A | 0.184 | 0.019 | 0.101 | 1.751 | 1.323 | 763 | 766 | 0.147 | 0.221 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.227 | 0.010 | 0.046 | 4.698 | 2.168 | 7618 | 7656 | 0.207 | 0.248 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0.052 | 0.004 | 0.069 | 3.567 | 1.889 | 13627 | 13637 | 0.044 | 0.059 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0.357 | 0.017 | 0.048 | 1.989 | 1.410 | 1610 | 1585 | 0.323 | 0.391 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.470 | 0.018 | 0.038 | 2.033 | 1.426 | 1610 | 1585 | 0.434 | 0.506 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.277 | 0.011 | 0.040 | 2.119 | 1.456 | 3514 | 3519 | 0.255 | 0.298 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.352 | 0.020 | 0.057 | 1.782 | 1.335 | 1014 | 997 | 0.311 | 0.392 |
| Marriage before age 18 Attitude towards people with | CP. 5 | 0.641 | 0.011 | 0.017 | 1.865 | 1.366 | 3427 | 3439 | 0.618 | 0.663 |
| HIVIAIDS <br> Women who have been | HA. 5 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 0.098 | 1.196 | 1.094 | 2268 | 2265 | 0.042 | 0.063 |
| tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.019 | 0.003 | 0.140 | 1.430 | 1.196 | 3742 | 3742 | 0.014 | 0.025 |
| Knowledge of mother- tochild transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.324 | 0.013 | 0.039 | 2.710 | 1.646 | 3742 | 3742 | 0.299 | 0.349 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.690 | 0.023 | 0.033 | 1.710 | 1.308 | 715 | 709 | 0.644 | 0.735 |
| Polio immunization coverage Immunization coverage for | CH. 2 | 0.630 | 0.022 | 0.035 | 1.497 | 1.223 | 714 | 707 | 0.586 | 0.675 |
| DPT <br> Measles immunization | CH. 2 | 0.610 | 0.027 | 0.045 | 2.155 | 1.468 | 698 | 691 | 0.555 | 0.664 |
| coverage | CH. 2 | 0.651 | 0.023 | 0.035 | 1.656 | 1.287 | 712 | 706 | 0.605 | 0.697 |
| Fully immunized children Acute respiratory infection in | CH. 2 | 0.375 | 0.024 | 0.065 | 1.768 | 1.330 | 708 | 702 | 0.326 | 0.424 |
| last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.129 | 0.007 | 0.055 | 1.694 | 1.302 | 3783 | 3783 | 0.115 | 0.143 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.379 | 0.026 | 0.068 | 1.382 | 1.176 | 488 | 497 | 0.327 | 0.430 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.335 | 0.010 | 0.029 | 1.607 | 1.268 | 3783 | 3783 | 0.316 | 0.355 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.476 | 0.015 | 0.032 | 1.239 | 1.113 | 1269 | 1301 | 0.445 | 0.507 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.255 | 0.013 | 0.053 | 3.570 | 1.890 | 3783 | 3783 | 0.228 | 0.282 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.223 | 0.017 | 0.074 | 5.993 | 2.448 | 3783 | 3783 | 0.190 | 0.256 |

## Yemen MICS 2006

Table SE.2: Sampling errors: Urban sample
Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Yemen, 2006

|  |  |  |  | Coefficient |  | Square root of |  |  | Con | ence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Table | Value <br> (r) | error (se) | $\begin{gathered} \text { variation } \\ (\mathrm{se} / r) \end{gathered}$ | effect <br> (deff) | effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | $\begin{gathered} r- \\ 2 s e \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r+ \\ 2 s e \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0.951 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.872 | 0.934 | 893 | 828 | 0.938 | 0.965 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources Use of improved | EN. 1 | 0.738 | 0.033 | 0.044 | 5.789 | 2.406 | 8022 | 1055 | 0.673 | 0.803 |
| sanitation facilities | EN. 5 | 0.923 | 0.026 | 0.028 | 9.917 | 3.149 | 8022 | 1055 | 0.871 | 0.975 |
| Net primary school attendance rate (ISCED) | ED. 3 | 0.833 | 0.017 | 0.021 | 2.614 | 1.617 | 1316 | 1209 | 0.798 | 0.867 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate (ISCED) | ED. 4 | 0.557 | 0.024 | 0.044 | 2.921 | 1.709 | 1318 | 1215 | 0.508 | 0.605 |
| Primary completion rate (ISCED) | ED. 6 | 0.425 | 0.037 | 0.086 | 1.123 | 1.060 | 224 | 205 | 0.351 | 0.498 |
| Net primary school attendance rate (Basic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ed.) | ED.3A | 0.828 | 0.016 | 0.020 | 3.303 | 1.817 | 1947 | 1792 | 0.796 | 0.860 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate (Basic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ed.) | ED.4A | 0.376 | 0.025 | 0.068 | 1.736 | 1.318 | 688 | 632 | 0.325 | 0.427 |
| Primary completion rate (Basic Ed.) | ED.6A | 0.316 | 0.037 | 0.118 | 1.269 | 1.127 | 214 | 200 | 0.241 | 0.390 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.081 | 0.008 | 0.098 | 1.677 | 1.295 | 2169 | 1995 | 0.065 | 0.097 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0.051 | 0.007 | 0.137 | 3.563 | 1.888 | 3863 | 3560 | 0.037 | 0.065 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0.617 | 0.021 | 0.034 | 0.742 | 0.862 | 429 | 397 | 0.575 | 0.659 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.682 | 0.025 | 0.037 | 1.169 | 1.081 | 429 | 397 | 0.631 | 0.732 |
| Contraceptive prevalence | RH. 1 | 0.423 | 0.019 | 0.044 | 1.430 | 1.196 | 1088 | 1019 | 0.386 | 0.460 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.590 | 0.036 | 0.060 | 1.363 | 1.167 | 275 | 260 | 0.519 | 0.662 |
| Marriage before age 18 | CP. 5 | 0.602 | 0.017 | 0.028 | 1.189 | 1.091 | 1090 | 1021 | 0.568 | 0.635 |
| Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | HA. 5 | 0.057 | 0.008 | 0.134 | 1.011 | 1.006 | 989 | 927 | 0.042 | 0.073 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.034 | 0.006 | 0.163 | 1.026 | 1.013 | 1170 | 1095 | 0.023 | 0.045 |
| Knowledge of mother- tochild transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.454 | 0.018 | 0.039 | 1.377 | 1.173 | 1170 | 1095 | 0.419 | 0.489 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuberculosis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.896 | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.546 | 0.739 | 208 | 191 | 0.864 | 0.929 |
| Polio immunization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| coverage | CH. 2 | 0.729 | 0.031 | 0.042 | 0.893 | 0.945 | 206 | 190 | 0.667 | 0.790 |
| Immunization coverage for DPT | CH. 2 | 0.795 | 0.032 | 0.041 | 1.199 | 1.095 | 206 | 189 | 0.731 | 0.860 |
| Measles immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.803 | 0.026 | 0.033 | 0.845 | 0.919 | 209 | 192 | 0.751 | 0.856 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.577 | 0.038 | 0.065 | 1.087 | 1.043 | 205 | 188 | 0.502 | 0.652 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| weeks | CH. 6 | 0.116 | 0.014 | 0.123 | 1.881 | 1.372 | 1021 | 956 | 0.087 | 0.144 |
| Antibiotic treatment of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.486 | 0.050 | 0.103 | 1.109 | 1.053 | 118 | 111 | 0.386 | 0.587 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks | CH. 4 | 0.292 | 0.016 | 0.056 | 1.215 | 1.102 | 1021 | 956 | 0.259 | 0.324 |
| Received ORT or increased fluids and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.499 | 0.029 | 0.058 | 0.924 | 0.961 | 298 | 280 | 0.441 | 0.556 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.385 | 0.029 | 0.077 | 3.498 | 1.870 | 1021 | 956 | 0.326 | 0.444 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.382 | 0.023 | 0.061 | 2.213 | 1.488 | 1021 | 956 | 0.335 | 0.429 |

Table SE.2: Sampling errors:

## Rural sample

Standard errors, coefficients of variation, design effects (deff), square root of design effects (deft) and confidence intervals for selected indicators, Yemen, 2006

|  | Table | Value <br> (r) | Standard error (se) | ```Coefficient``` | Design effect (deff) | Square root of design effect (deft) | Weighted count | Unweighted count | Confidence limits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} r- \\ 2 s e \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r+ \\ 2 s e \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child discipline | CP. 4 | 0.936 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 1.344 | 1.159 | 1984 | 2044 | 0.923 | 0.948 |
| HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Use of improved drinking water sources Use of improved sanitation facilities | EN. 1 EN. 5 | 0.522 0.336 | 0.035 0.026 | 0.067 0.077 | 12.335 7.522 | 3.512 2.743 | 18066 18066 | 2531 2531 | 0.452 0.285 | 0.592 0.388 |
| Net primary school attendance rate (ISCED) | ED. 3 | 0.643 | 0.019 | 0.029 | 5.448 | 2.334 | 3357 | 3484 | 0.605 | 0.681 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate (ISCED) | ED. 4 | 0.298 | 0.016 | 0.055 | 3.969 | 1.992 | 2917 | 3056 | 0.265 | 0.331 |
| Primary completion rate (ISCED) | ED. 6 | 0.254 | 0.027 | 0.107 | 2.395 | 1.548 | 571 | 609 | 0.200 | 0.309 |
| Net primary school attendance rate (Basic Ed.) | ED.3A | 0.628 | 0.017 | 0.027 | 6.413 | 2.532 | 4869 | 5053 | 0.594 | 0.662 |
| Net secondary school attendance rate (Basic Ed.) | ED.4A | 0.171 | 0.013 | 0.077 | 1.805 | 1.344 | 1405 | 1487 | 0.145 | 0.198 |
| Primary completion rate (Basic Ed.) | ED.6A | 0.133 | 0.021 | 0.155 | 2.091 | 1.446 | 550 | 566 | 0.092 | 0.174 |
| Child labour | CP. 2 | 0.286 | 0.013 | 0.047 | 4.957 | 2.227 | 5449 | 5661 | 0.259 | 0.313 |
| Prevalence of orphans | HA. 10 | 0.052 | 0.004 | 0.080 | 3.543 | 1.882 | 9764 | 10077 | 0.043 | 0.060 |
| WOMEN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled attendant at delivery | RH. 5 | 0.263 | 0.020 | 0.077 | 2.538 | 1.593 | 1181 | 1188 | 0.222 | 0.304 |
| Antenatal care | RH. 3 | 0.393 | 0.022 | 0.056 | 2.446 | 1.564 | 1181 | 1188 | 0.349 | 0.438 |
| Adult literacy | ED. 8 | 0.211 | 0.013 | 0.060 | 2.391 | 1.546 | 2426 | 2500 | 0.186 | 0.236 |
| Marriage before age 18 Comprehensive knowledge about HIV prevention among | CP. 5 | 0.263 | 0.023 | 0.088 | 2.025 | 1.423 | 739 | 737 | 0.217 | 0.309 |
| young people Attitude towards people with | HA. 3 | 0.659 | 0.014 | 0.021 | 2.112 | 1.453 | 2337 | 2418 | 0.631 | 0.687 |
| HIVIAIDS | HA. 5 | 0.049 | 0.007 | 0.139 | 1.324 | 1.151 | 1279 | 1338 | 0.035 | 0.062 |
| Women who have been tested for HIV | HA. 6 | 0.013 | 0.003 | 0.226 | 1.714 | 1.309 | 2572 | 2647 | 0.007 | 0.018 |
| Knowledge of mother- tochild transmission of HIV | HA. 4 | 0.265 | 0.016 | 0.059 | 3.337 | 1.827 | 2572 | 2647 | 0.234 | 0.296 |
| UNDER-5s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tuberculosis immunization coverage | CH. 2 | 0.605 | 0.032 | 0.052 | 2.169 | 1.473 | 508 | 518 | 0.542 | 0.669 |
| Polio immunization coverage Immunization coverage for | CH. 2 | 0.591 | 0.029 | 0.049 | 1.758 | 1.326 | 507 | 517 | 0.533 | 0.648 |
| DPT <br> Measles immunization | CH. 2 | 0.532 | 0.037 | 0.069 | 2.733 | 1.653 | 492 | 502 | 0.458 | 0.606 |
| coverage | CH. 2 | 0.588 | 0.031 | 0.052 | 1.982 | 1.408 | 503 | 514 | 0.527 | 0.649 |
| Fully immunized children | CH. 2 | 0.293 | 0.030 | 0.102 | 2.216 | 1.489 | 503 | 514 | 0.233 | 0.353 |
| Acute respiratory infection in last two weeks | CH. 6 | 0.134 | 0.008 | 0.061 | 1.653 | 1.286 | 2762 | 2827 | 0.118 | 0.151 |
| Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | CH. 6 | 0.344 | 0.029 | 0.084 | 1.412 | 1.188 | 370 | 386 | 0.287 | 0.402 |
| Diarrhoea in last two weeks Received ORT or increased | CH. 4 | 0.352 | 0.012 | 0.034 | 1.767 | 1.329 | 2762 | 2827 | 0.328 | 0.375 |
| fluids and continued feeding | CH. 5 | 0.469 | 0.018 | 0.039 | 1.340 | 1.158 | 971 | 1021 | 0.433 | 0.505 |
| Support for learning | CD. 1 | 0.207 | 0.015 | 0.074 | 4.021 | 2.005 | 2762 | 2827 | 0.177 | 0.238 |
| Birth registration | CP. 1 | 0.164 | 0.022 | 0.133 | 9.767 | 3.125 | 2762 | 2827 | 0.120 | 0.208 |

Appendix D. Data Quality Tables

Table DQ.1: Age distribution of household population
Single-year age distribution of household population by sex (weighted), Yemen, 2006

|  | Males |  | Females |  |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| 0 | 439 | 3.4 | 436 | 3.3 | 43 | 59 | 0.5 | 88 | 0.7 |
| 1 | 371 | 2.9 | 369 | 2.8 | 44 | 44 | 0.3 | 61 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 380 | 2.9 | 406 | 3.1 | 45 | 159 | 1.2 | 170 | 1.3 |
| 3 | 421 | 3.2 | 378 | 2.9 | 46 | 49 | 0.4 | 73 | 0.6 |
| 4 | 414 | 3.2 | 362 | 2.8 | 47 | 64 | 0.5 | 60 | 0.5 |
| 5 | 369 | 2.9 | 357 | 2.7 | 48 | 65 | 0.5 | 66 | 0.5 |
| 6 | 438 | 3.4 | 402 | 3.1 | 49 | 41 | 0.3 | 56 | 0.4 |
| 7 | 438 | 3.4 | 411 | 3.1 | 50 | 153 | 1.2 | 128 | 1.0 |
| 8 | 432 | 3.3 | 440 | 3.4 | 51 | 40 | 0.3 | 55 | 0.4 |
| 9 | 328 | 2.5 | 324 | 2.5 | 52 | 57 | 0.4 | 89 | 0.7 |
| 10 | 416 | 3.2 | 476 | 3.6 | 53 | 65 | 0.5 | 73 | 0.6 |
| 11 | 328 | 2.5 | 285 | 2.2 | 54 | 49 | 0.4 | 63 | 0.5 |
| 12 | 409 | 3.2 | 385 | 2.9 | 55 | 107 | 0.8 | 129 | 1.0 |
| 13 | 379 | 2.9 | 329 | 2.5 | 56 | 45 | 0.4 | 47 | 0.4 |
| 14 | 336 | 2.6 | 335 | 2.6 | 57 | 27 | 0.2 | 27 | 0.2 |
| 15 | 360 | 2.8 | 404 | 3.1 | 58 | 27 | 0.2 | 33 | 0.3 |
| 16 | 334 | 2.6 | 380 | 2.9 | 59 | 25 | 0.2 | 17 | 0.1 |
| 17 | 276 | 2.1 | 280 | 2.1 | 60 | 165 | 1.3 | 110 | 0.8 |
| 18 | 409 | 3.2 | 413 | 3.1 | 61 | 23 | 0.2 | 18 | 0.1 |
| 19 | 252 | 1.9 | 273 | 2.1 | 62 | 43 | 0.3 | 29 | 0.2 |
| 20 | 381 | 2.9 | 378 | 2.9 | 63 | 35 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 21 | 174 | 1.3 | 220 | 1.7 | 64 | 19 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 22 | 229 | 1.8 | 248 | 1.9 | 65 | 66 | 0.5 | 55 | 0.4 |
| 23 | 230 | 1.8 | 226 | 1.7 | 66 | 18 | 0.1 | 22 | 0.2 |
| 24 | 195 | 1.5 | 227 | 1.7 | 67 | 8 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 25 | 265 | 2.0 | 329 | 2.5 | 68 | 10 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.1 |
| 26 | 175 | 1.4 | 208 | 1.6 | 69 | 2 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.1 |
| 27 | 166 | 1.3 | 190 | 1.4 | 70 | 101 | 0.8 | 59 | 0.5 |
| 28 | 173 | 1.3 | 191 | 1.5 | 71 | 14 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 29 | 101 | 0.8 | 163 | 1.2 | 72 | 26 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.1 |
| 30 | 248 | 1.9 | 228 | 1.7 | 73 | 21 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.1 |
| 31 | 96 | 0.7 | 109 | 0.8 | 74 | 6 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 32 | 129 | 1.0 | 131 | 1.0 | 75 | 41 | 0.3 | 35 | 0.3 |
| 33 | 98 | 0.8 | 107 | 0.8 | 76 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 |
| 34 | 66 | 0.5 | 79 | 0.6 | 77 | 3 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 35 | 192 | 1.5 | 212 | 1.6 | 78 | 8 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 36 | 97 | 0.8 | 95 | 0.7 | 79 | 4 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| 37 | 64 | 0.5 | 76 | 0.6 | 80+ | 134 | 1.0 | 109 | 0.8 |
| 38 | 92 | 0.7 | 128 | 1.0 | DK/Missing | 10 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.1 |
| 39 | 47 | 0.4 | 72 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 207 | 1.6 | 132 | 1.0 | Total | 12951 | 100 | 13137 | 100 |
| 41 | 65 | 0.5 | 79 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 98 | 0.8 | 85 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table DQ.2: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed women

Household population of women age 10-54, interviewed women age 15-49, and percentage of eligible women who were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age group, Yemen, 2006

|  | Household <br> population of <br> women age 10- <br> 54 | Interviewed women age <br> $\mathbf{1 5 - 4 9}$ | Percentage of <br> eligible women <br> interviewed |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Number | Percent |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| $15-19$ | 339 | 317 | 8.4 | 93.7 |
| $20-24$ | 742 | 704 | 18.7 | 95.0 |
| $25-29$ | 859 | 820 | 21.7 | 95.5 |
| $30-34$ | 589 | 559 | 14.8 | 94.8 |
| $35-39$ | 563 | 541 | 14.3 | 96.1 |
| $40-44$ | 434 | 424 | 11.2 | 97.7 |
| $45-49$ | 419 | 409 | 10.8 | 97.7 |
| $50-54$ | 398 |  | . |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-49$ | 3944 | 3775 | 100.0 | 95.7 |

## Table DQ.3: Age distribution of eligible and interviewed under-5s

Household population of children age 0-4, children whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed, and percentage of under- 5 children whose mothers/caretakers were interviewed (weighted), by five-year age group, Yemen, 2006
\($$
\begin{array}{lccrr}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Household } \\
\text { population of } \\
\text { children age 0-7 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Interviewed children } \\
\text { age 0-4 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage } \\
\text { of eligible } \\
\text { children }\end{array}
$$ <br>

\)\cline { 2 - 4 } interviewed\end{array}$]$| Age | Number | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Table DQ.4: Age distribution of under-5 children

Age distribution of under-5 children by 3-month groups (weighted), Yemen, 2006

|  | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Age in months |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 | 123 | 6.4 | 96 | 5.2 | 219 | 5.8 |
| 3-5 | 103 | 5.4 | 99 | 5.3 | 202 | 5.3 |
| 6-8 | 97 | 5.0 | 129 | 7.0 | 226 | 6.0 |
| 9-11 | 104 | 5.4 | 102 | 5.5 | 205 | 5.4 |
| 12-14 | 105 | 5.4 | 136 | 7.3 | 241 | 6.4 |
| 15-17 | 99 | 5.2 | 84 | 4.5 | 183 | 4.8 |
| 18-20 | 96 | 5.0 | 88 | 4.8 | 184 | 4.9 |
| 21-23 | 61 | 3.2 | 52 | 2.8 | 113 | 3.0 |
| 24-26 | 124 | 6.4 | 150 | 8.1 | 274 | 7.2 |
| 27-29 | 83 | 4.3 | 82 | 4.4 | 164 | 4.3 |
| 30-32 | 89 | 4.6 | 76 | 4.1 | 165 | 4.4 |
| 33-35 | 69 | 3.6 | 68 | 3.7 | 137 | 3.6 |
| 36-38 | 127 | 6.6 | 116 | 6.2 | 243 | 6.4 |
| 39-41 | 102 | 5.3 | 115 | 6.2 | 217 | 5.7 |
| 42-44 | 112 | 5.8 | 82 | 4.4 | 194 | 5.1 |
| 45-47 | 56 | 2.9 | 64 | 3.4 | 120 | 3.2 |
| 48-50 | 125 | 6.5 | 112 | 6.0 | 236 | 6.2 |
| 51-53 | 105 | 5.4 | 88 | 4.7 | 193 | 5.1 |
| 54-56 | 92 | 4.8 | 65 | 3.5 | 157 | 4.1 |
| 57-59 | 53 | 2.7 | 53 | 2.9 | 106 | 2.8 |
| Total | 1925 | 100 | 1858 | 100 | 3783 | 100 |

## Table DQ.5: Heaping on ages and periods

Age and period ratios at boundaries of eligibility by type of information collected (weighted), Yemen, 2006

|  | Age and period ratios* |  |  | Eligibility boundary (lower-upper) | Module or questionnaire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Total |  |  |
| Age in household questionnaire |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.92 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.97 | 1.06 | 1.01 | Lower | Child discipline and child disability |
| 3 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.02 |  |  |
| 4 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.01 | Upper | Under-5 questionnaire |
| 5 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.93 | Lower | Child labour and education |
| 6 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 1.04 |  |  |
| 8 | 1.08 | 1.12 | 1.10 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.84 | 0.78 | 0.81 | Upper | Child disability |
| 10 | 1.17 | 1.32 | 1.24 |  |  |
| 13 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 0.98 |  |  |
| 14 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | Upper | Child labour and child discipline |
| 15 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.07 | Lower | Women's questionnaire |
| 16 | 1.03 | 1.07 | 1.05 |  |  |
| 17 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.80 | Upper | Orphaned and vulnerable children |
| 18 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.88 |  |  |
| 23 | 1.06 | 0.97 | 1.01 |  |  |
| 24 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.86 | Upper | Education |
| 25 | 1.25 | 1.29 | 1.27 |  |  |
| 48 | 1.15 | 1.09 | 1.12 |  |  |
| 49 | 0.47 | 0.68 | 0.57 | Upper | Women's questionnaire |
| 50 | 1.96 | 1.60 | 1.78 |  |  |

Table DQ.6: Presence of mother in the household and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire
Distribution of children under five by whether the mother lives in the same household, and the person interviewed for the under-5 questionnaire (weighted), Yemen, 2006

|  | Mother in the household |  |  |  | Mother not in the household |  |  |  |  | Number of children aged 0-4 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mother interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed | Other adult male interviewed | Child (<15) interviewed | Father interviewed | Other adult female interviewed | Other adult male interviewed | Total |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 97.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 100 | 876 |
| 1 | 98.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 100 | 739 |
| 2 | 97.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 100 | 786 |
| 3 | 97.4 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 799 |
| 4 | 97.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 100 | 775 |
| Total | 97.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 100 | 3975 |

## Table DQ.7: School attendance by single age

Distribution of household population age 5-24 by educational level and grade attended in the current year (weighted), Yemen, 2006

|  |  | Basic Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diploma before secondary school |  | Secondary school | Diploma after secondar y school | Bach elor | Higher | Non Stand ard Curric ulum | Not atten ding | Total | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preschool | Grade 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade } \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade } \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade } \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade } \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Grade1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Grade } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 100 | 726 |
| 6 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 100 | 840 |
| 7 | 1 | 24 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 100 | 850 |
| 8 | 0 | 22 | 26 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 100 | 872 |
| 9 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 24 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 100 | 652 |
| 10 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 100 | 892 |
| 11 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 100 | 612 |
| 12 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 100 | 795 |
| 13 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 19 | 17 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 100 | 708 |
| 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 100 | 671 |
| 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 100 | 763 |
| 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 100 | 714 |
| 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 100 | 556 |
| 18 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 100 | 822 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 100 | 525 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 100 | 759 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 100 | 393 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 100 | 477 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 100 | 456 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 89 | 100 | 422 |

## Table DQ.8: Sex ratio at birth among children ever born and living

Sex ratio at birth among children ever born, children living, and deceased children, by age of women (weighted), Yemen, 2006

|  | Children Ever Born |  |  | Children Living |  |  | Children deceased |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of sons ever born | Number of daughters ever born | Sex ratio | Number of sons living | Number of daughters living | Sex <br> ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { deceased } \\ \text { sons } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Number of deceased daughters | Sex <br> ratio | Number of women |
| Age $15-19$ | 88 | 118 | 0.75 | 83 | 112 | 0.74 | 5 | 6 | 0.89 | 318 |
| 20-24 | 686 | 624 | 1.10 | 613 | 578 | 1.06 | 74 | 45 | 1.63 | 697 |
| 25-29 | 1466 | 1315 | 1.11 | 1333 | 1207 | 1.10 | 133 | 108 | 1.24 | 815 |
| 30-34 | 1405 | 1404 | 1.00 | 1252 | 1259 | 0.99 | 153 | 145 | 1.05 | 553 |
| 35-39 | 1775 | 1710 | 1.04 | 1568 | 1537 | 1.02 | 207 | 173 | 1.19 | 536 |
| 40-44 | 1624 | 1644 | 0.99 | 1403 | 1422 | 0.99 | 221 | 222 | 0.99 | 421 |
| 45-49 | 1735 | 1563 | 1.11 | 1482 | 1356 | 1.09 | 253 | 208 | 1.22 | 402 |
| Total | 8780 | 8379 | 1.05 | 7734 | 7472 | 1.04 | 1046 | 907 | 1.15 | 3742 |

## Appendix E. MICS Indicators: Numerators and Denominators

| IND | ATOR | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Under-five mortality rate | Probability of dying by exact age 5 years |  |
| 2 | Infant mortality rate | Probability of dying by exact age 1 year |  |
| 4 | Skilled attendant at delivery | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that were attended during childbirth by skilled health personnel | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 5 | Institutional deliveries | Number of women aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that delivered in a health facility | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in 2 years preceding the survey |
| 10 | Infants weighed at birth | Number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey that were weighed at birth | Total number of last live births in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 11 | Use of improved drinking water sources | Number of household members living in households using improved sources of drinking water | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 12 | Use of improved sanitation facilities | Number of household members using improved sanitation facilities | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 13 | Water treatment | Number of household members using water that has been treated | Total number of household members in households surveyed |
| 20 | Antenatal care | Number of women aged 15-49 years that were attended at least once during pregnancy in the 2 years preceding the survey by skilled health personnel | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 21 | Contraceptive prevalence | Number of women currently married or in union aged 15-49 years that are using (or whose partner is using) a contraceptive method (either modern or traditional) | Total number of women aged 15-49 years that are currently married or in union |
| 22 | Antibiotic treatment of suspected pneumonia | Number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks receiving antibiotics | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with suspected pneumonia in the previous 2 weeks |
| 24 | Solid fuels | Number of residents in households that use solid fuels (wood, charcoal, crop residues and dung) as the primary source of domestic energy to cook | Total number of residents in households surveyed |
| 25 | Tuberculosis immunization coverage | Number of children aged 12-23 months receiving BCG vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |
| 26 | Polio immunization coverage | Number of children aged 12-23 months receiving OPV3 vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |
| 27 | Immunization coverage for diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus (DPT) | Number of children aged 12-23 months receiving DPT3 vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |


| INDICATOR |  | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | Measles immunization coverage | Number of children aged 12-23 months receiving measles vaccine before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |
| 29 | Hepatitis B immunization coverage | Number of children aged 12-23 months immunized against hepatitis before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |
| 30 | Yellow fever immunization coverage | Number of children aged 12-23 months immunized against yellow fever before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |
| 31 | Fully immunized children | Number of children aged 12-23 months receiving DPT1-3, OPV-1-3, BCG and measles vaccines before their first birthday | Total number of children aged 12-23 months surveyed |
| 32 | Neonatal tetanus protection | Number of mothers with live births in the previous year that were given at least two doses of tetanus toxoid (TT) vaccine within the appropriate interval prior to giving birth | Total number of women surveyed aged 15-49 years with a birth in the year preceding the survey |
| 33 | Use of oral rehydration therapy (ORT) | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks that received oral rehydration salts and/or an appropriate household solution | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 34 | Home management of diarrhoea | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks that received more fluids AND continued eating somewhat less, the same or more food | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 35 | Received ORT or increased fluids and continued feeding | Number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea that received ORT (oral rehydration salts or an appropriate household solution) or received more fluids AND continued eating somewhat less, the same or more food | Total number of children aged 0-59 months with diarrhoea in the previous 2 weeks |
| 43 | Vitamin A supplementation (post-partum mothers) | Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that received a high-dose vitamin $A$ supplement within 8 weeks after birth | Total number of women that had a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 44 | Content of antenatal care | Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that received antenatal care during the last pregnancy | Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 45 | Timely initiation of breastfeeding | Number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey that put the newborn infant to the breast within 1 hour of birth | Total number of women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding the survey |
| 46 | Support for learning | Number of children aged 0-59 months living in households in which an adult has engaged in four or more activities to promote learning and school readiness in the past 3 days | Total number of children aged 0-59 months surveyed |
| 47 | Father's support for learning | Number of children aged 0-59 months whose father has engaged in one or more activities to promote learning and school readiness in the past 3 days | Total number of children aged 0-59 months |
| 48 | Support for learning: children's books | Number of households with three or more children's books | Total number of households surveyed |
| 49 | Support for learning: nonchildren's books | Number of households with three or more non-children's books | Total number of households surveyed |
| 50 | Support for learning: materials for play | Number of households with three or more materials intended for play | Total number of households surveyed |
| 51 | Non-adult care | Number of children aged 0-59 months left alone or in the care of another child younger than 10 years of age in the past week | Total number of children aged 0-59 months surveyed |
| 52 | Pre-school attendance | Number of children aged 36-59 months that attend some form of early childhood education programme | Total number of children aged 36-59 months surveyed |


| INDICATOR | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54 | Net intake rate in primary <br> education | Number of children of school-entry age that are currently attending first grade |  |
| 55 | Net primary school <br> attendance rate | Number of children of primary-school age currently attending primary or secondary school <br> entry age surveyed children of primary- school |  |
| 56 | Net secondary school <br> attendance rate | Number of children of secondary-school age currently attending secondary school or higher <br> surveyed |  |
| 57 | Children reaching grade five | Proportion of children entering the first grade of primary- school age |  |
| 58 | Transition rate to secondary <br> school | Number of children that were in the last grade of primary school during the previous school year that attend <br> secondary school | Total number of children that were in the last <br> grade of primary school during the previous <br> school year surveyed |
| 59 | Primary completion rate of secondary-school |  |  |
| 60 anveyed |  |  |  |$\quad$ Number of children (of any age) attending the last grade of primary school (excluding repeaters) | Aditeracy rate |
| :--- |


| INDICATOR |  | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 | Children's living arrangements | Number of children aged 0-17 years not living with a biological parent | Total number of children aged 0-17 years surveyed |
| 86 | Attitude towards people with HIV/AIDS | Number of women expressing acceptance on all four questions about people with HIV or AIDS | Total number of women surveyed |
| 87* | Women who know where to be tested for HIV | Number of women that state knowledge of a place to be tested | Total number of women surveyed |
| 88* | Women who have been tested for HIV | Number of women that report being tested for HIV | Total number of women surveyed |
| 89* | Knowledge of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that correctly identify all three means of vertical transmission | Total number of women surveyed |
| 90* | Counselling coverage for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months and received antenatal care reporting that they received counselling on HIV/AIDS during this care | Total number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months surveyed |
| 91* | Testing coverage for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV | Number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months and received antenatal care reporting that they received the results of an HIV test during this care | Total number of women that gave birth in the previous 24 months surveyed |
| 98 | Unmet need for family planning | Number of women that are currently married or in union that are fecund and want to space their births or limit the number of children they have and that are not currently using contraception | Total number of women interviewed that are currently married or in union |
| 99 | Demand satisfied for family planning | Number of women currently married or in union that are currently using contraception | Number of women currently married or in union that have an unmet need for contraception or that are currently using contraception |
| 101 | Child disability | Number of children aged 2-9 years with at least one of nine reported disabilities: (1) delay in sitting, standing or walking, (2) difficulty seeing, either in the daytime or at night, (3) appears to have difficulty hearing, (4) difficulty in understanding instructions, (5) difficulty walking or moving arms or has weakness or stiffness of limbs, (6) has fits, becomes rigid, loses consciousness, (7) does not learn to do things like other children his/her age, (8) cannot speak or cannot be understood in words, (9) appears mentally backward, dull or slow | Total number of children aged 2-9 surveyed |

* Applies to ever-married women only.


## Appendix F. Questionnaires

## HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE

We Are from ministry of public health and population. We are working on a project CONCERNED WITH FAMILY HEALTH AND EDUCATION. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THIS. THE INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 20 MINUTES. ALL THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE IDENTIFIED. DURING THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK WITH THE HOUSEHOLD HEAD AND ALL MOTHERS OR OTHERS WHO TAKE CARE OF CHILDREN IN THE HOUSEHOLD.
MAY I START NOW? If permission is given, begin the interview.

| HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION PANEL | HH |
| :---: | :---: |
| HH1. Cluster number: | HH2. Household number: |
| HH3. Interviewer name and number: <br> Name $\qquad$ | HH4. Supervisor name and number: <br> Name $\qquad$ |
| HH5. Day/Month/Year of interview: | -_1__ |
| HH6. Area: Urban........................................................................................................................ | HH7. Region: <br> Region 1 Governorate $\qquad$ <br> Region 2 District $\qquad$ <br> Region 3 Auzla $\qquad$ <br> Region 4 Village / city $\qquad$ <br> Census Building No $\qquad$ |

HH8. Name of head of household:

After all questionnaires for the household have been completed, fill in the following information:

| HH9. Result of HH interview: | HH10. Respondent to HH questionnaire: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Completed.......................................... 1 | Name: |
| Not at home ........................................ 2 |  |
| Refused ............................................ 3 | Line No: |
| Other (specify) _ 6 | HH11. Total number of household members: |
| HH12. No.of women eligible for interview: | HH13. No.of women questionnaires completed: |
| HH14. No.of children under age 5: | HH15. No.of under-5 questionnaires completed: |

Interviewer/supervisor notes: Use this space to record notes about the interview with this household, such as call-back times, incomplete individual interview forms, number of attempts to re-visit, etc.

FIRST, PLEASE TELL ME THE NAME OF EACH PERSON WHO USUALLY LIVES HERE, STARTING WITH THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD.
List the head of the household in line 01. List all household members (HL2), their relationship to the household head (HL3), and their sex (HL4)
Then ask: ARE THERE ANY OTHERS WHO LIVE HERE, EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT AT HOME NOW? (THESE MAY INCLUDE CHILDREN IN SCHOOL OR AT WORK). If yes, complete listing.
Then, ask questions starting with HL5 for each person at a time. Add a continuation sheet if there are more than 16 household members. Tick here if continuation sheet used $\square$

| For all household members |  |  |  |  |  | Eligible for: |  |  | For children age 0-17 years ask HL9-HL12 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WOMEN'S INTERVIEW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHILD } \\ & \text { LABOUR } \\ & \text { MODULE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | UNDER-5 <br> INTERVIEW |  |  |  |  |
| HL1. <br> Line no. | HL2. <br> Name | HL3. <br> What is <br> THE <br> RELATION- <br> SHIP OF <br> (name) то <br> THE HEAD <br> OF THE <br> HOUSE- <br> HOLD? | HL4. IS (name) MALE OR FEMALE $?$ 1 MALE 2 FEM. | HL5. <br> How OLD <br> IS (name)? <br>  <br> How OLD WAS <br> (name) ON <br> HIS/HER LAST <br> BIRTHDAY? <br> $\quad$ <br> $\quad$ Record in <br> $\quad$ completed <br> $\quad$ years <br> $00=$ under 1 <br> year <br> $98=\mathrm{DK}$ | HL5.A <br> FOR HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS $15+$ YEARS <br> What is (NAME'S) MARITAL STATUS? <br> 1 SINGLE <br> 2 MARRIED <br> 3 DIVORCED <br> 4 WIDOWED | HL6. <br> Circle <br> Line no. if woman is age 15-49 and ever-married | HL7. <br> For each child age 5-14: <br> Who is the MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother/ caretaker | HL8. <br> For each child under 5: Who is the MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother/ caretaker | HL9. <br> Is (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> MOTHER ALIVE? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NOG HL11 <br> 8 DK $\Rightarrow H L 11$ | HL10. <br> If alive: <br> DoEs <br> (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> MOTHER LIVE <br> IN THIS <br> HOUSEHOLD? <br>  <br> Record Line <br> no. <br> of mother or <br> 00 for 'no' | HL11. <br> Is (name's) <br> FATHER <br> ALIVE? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO』 <br> $\quad$ NEXT LINE <br> 8 DK§ <br> NEXT LINE | HL12. <br> If alive: <br> DOES (name's) <br> FATHER LIVE IN THIS <br> HOUSEHOLD? <br> Record Line no. <br> of father or 00 for <br> 'no' |
| LINE | NAME | REL. | M F | AGE | 15+ | 15-49 | MOTHER | MOTHER | Y N DK | MOTHER | Y N DK | FATHER |
| 01 |  | 01 | 12 | - | - | 01 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - |
| 02 |  | - - | 12 | - | - | 02 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 03 |  | - - | 12 | - | - | 03 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 04 |  | - - | 12 | - | - | 04 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 05 |  | - - | 12 | - - | - | 05 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 06 |  | - | 12 | - | - | 06 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 07 |  | - | 12 | - - | - | 07 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 08 |  | - - | 12 | - - | - | 08 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 09 |  | - - | 12 | - - | - | 09 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
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| HL1. <br> Line no. | HL2. <br> Name | HL3. <br> What is THE RELATIONSHIP OF (name) то THE HEAD OF THE houseHOLD? | HL4. Is (name) MALE OR FEMALE $?$ 1 MALE 2 FEM. | HL5. <br> How OLD <br> IS (name)? <br>  <br> How OLD WAS <br> (name) ON <br> HIS/HER LAST <br> BIRTHDAY? <br> $\quad$ Record in <br> completed <br> $\quad$ years <br> 00=under 1 <br> year <br> $98=$ DK* | HL5.A <br> FOR HOUSEHOLD MEmbers 15+ YEARS <br> What is (NAME'S) <br> MARITAL STATUS? <br> 1 SINGLE <br> 2 MARRIED <br> 3 DIVORCED <br> 4 WIDOWED | HL6. Circle Line no. if woman is age 15-49 and ever-married | HL7. <br> For each child age 5-14: <br> Who is the MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother/ caretaker | HL8. <br> For each child under 5: <br> Who is the MOTHER OR PRIMARY CARETAKER OF THIS CHILD? <br> Record Line no. of mother/ caretaker | HL9. <br> Is (name's) <br> NATURAL <br> MOTHER ALIVE? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO $\Rightarrow$ HL11 <br> 8 DK $\Rightarrow$ HL11 | HL10. $\quad$ If alive: DoEs (name's) NATURAL MOTHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? Record Line no. of mother or 00 for 'no' | HL11. <br> Is (name's) <br> FATHER <br> ALIVE? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO』 <br> $\quad$ NEXT LINE <br> 8 DK§ <br> NEXT LINE | HL12. <br> If alive: <br> Does (name's) <br> FATHER LIVE IN THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> Record Line no. of father or 00 for ' $n o$ ' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE | NAME | REL. | M F | AGE | 15+ | 15-49 | MOTHER | MOTHER | Y N DK | MOTHER | Y N DK | FATHER |
| 10 |  | - | 12 | - - | - | 10 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 11 |  | - | 12 | - - | - | 11 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 12 |  | - - | 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 13 |  | - | 12 | - | - | 13 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 14 |  | - | 12 | - - | - | 14 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 15 |  | - | 12 | - - | - | 15 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |
| 16 |  | - - | 12 | - - | - | 15 | - - | - - | 128 | - - | 128 | - - |

ARE THERE ANY OTHER PERSONS LIVING HERE - EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT MEMBERS OF YOUR FAMILY OR DO NOT HAVE PARENTS LIVING IN THIS HOUSEHOLD?
INCLUDING CHILDREN AT WORK OR AT SCHOOL? If yes, insert child's name and complete form.
Then, complete the totals below.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l||c|c|c|}\hline\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Ever-Married } \\ \text { Women } \\ 15-49\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Children } \\ 5-14\end{array}\right]$ Under-5s

[^16]Now for each woman age 15-49 years, write her name and line number and other identifying information in the information panel of the Women's Questionnaire.
For each child under age 5, write his/her name and line number AND the line number of his/her mother or caretaker in the information panel of the Questionnaire for Children UnderFive.
You should now have a separate questionnaire for each eligible woman and each child under five in the household.

* Codes for HL3: Relationship to head of household:
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01 = Head
02 = Wife or Husband
03 = Son or Daughter
04 = Son or Daughter In-Law
$05=$ Grandchild
$06=$ Parent
07 = Brother or Sister
$08=$ Other Relative
$09=$ Not Related
98 = Don't Know

| For household members age 5 and above |  |  |  | For household members age 5-24 years |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ED1. } \\ \text { Line } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | ED1A. <br> Name | ED2. <br> HAS (name) EVER ATTENDED SCHOOL OR PRESCHOOL? $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{YES} \Rightarrow \text { ED3 } \\ 2 \text { NO § } \\ \text { NEXT LINE } \end{gathered}$ | ED3. <br> What is the highest level of SCHOOL (name) ATTENDED? <br> What is the highest grade (name) COMPLETED AT THIS LEVEL? <br> Level: <br> 0 PRE-SCHOOL <br> 1 BASIC <br> 2 DIPLOMA BEFORE SECONDARY <br> 3 SECONDARY <br> 4 DIPLOMA AFTER SECONDARY <br> 5 BSC <br> 6 POSTGRADUATE <br> 7 NON-STANDARD CURRICULUM <br> 8 DK <br> Grade: <br> 98 DK <br> If less than 1 grade, enter 00. | ED4. <br> DURING THE <br> (2005-2006) <br> SCHOOL <br> YEAR, DID <br> (name) <br> ATTEND <br> SCHOOL OR <br> PRESCHOOL <br> AT ANY TIME? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO $\Rightarrow$ ED7 | ED5. <br> SINCE <br> LAST <br> (day of the week), <br> HOW <br> MANY <br> DAYS DID <br> (name) <br> ATTEND SChOOL? <br> Insert number of days in space below. | ED6. <br> DURING THIS/THAT SCHOOL YEAR, WHICH LEVEL AND GRADE IS/WAS (name) ATTENDING? <br> LEVEL: <br> 0 PRE-SCHOOL <br> 1 BASIC <br> 2 DIPLOMA BEFORE SECONDARY <br> 3 SECONDARY <br> 4 DIPLOMA AFTER SECONDARY <br> 5 BSC <br> 6 POSTGRADUATE <br> 7 NON-STANDARD CURRICULUM <br> 8 DK <br> GRADE: <br> 98 DK <br> If less than 1 grade, enter 00. | ED7. <br> DID (name) <br> ATTEND <br> SCHOOL OR <br> PRESCHOOL <br> AT ANY TIME <br> DURING THE <br> PREVIOUS <br> SCHOOL <br> YEAR, THAT IS <br> (2004- <br> 2005)? <br>  <br> 1 YES <br>  <br> 2 NO § <br> NEXT LINE <br> 8 DK 』 <br> NEXT LINE | ED8. <br> DURING THAT PREVIOUS SCHOOL YEAR, WHICH LEVEL AND GRADE DID (name) ATTEND? <br> LEVEL: <br> 0 PRE-SCHOOL <br> 1 BASIC <br> 2 DIPLOMA BEFORE SECONDARY <br> 3 SECONDARY <br> 4 DIPLOMA AFTER SECONDARY <br> 5 BSC <br> 6 POSTGRADUATE <br> 7 NON-STANDARD CURRICULUM <br> 8 DK <br> GRADE: <br> 98 DK <br> IF LESS THAN 1 GRADE, ENTER 00. |
| LINE |  | YES NO | LEVEL | YES NO | DAYS | LEVEL : GRADE | Y N DK | LEVEL : GRADE |
| 01 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 |  | 012345678 | 128 | 012345678 |
| 02 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 |  | 012345678 | 128 | $012345678:$ |
| 03 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012345678 | 12 |  | 012345678 | 128 | 012345678 |
| 04 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 | - | $012345678:$ | 128 | $012345678:$ |
| 05 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 |  | $012345678:$ | 128 | $012345678:+$ |
| 06 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012345678 : | 12 |  | 012345678 | 128 | $012345678:$ |
| 07 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 |  | 012345678 | 128 | $012345678:$ |
| 08 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012345678 | 12 |  | 012345678 | 128 | 012345678 |
| 09 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 | - | $012345678:$ | 128 | $012345678:$ |
| 10 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 | - | $012345678:$ | 128 | $012345678:$ |
| 11 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012345678 | 12 |  | 012345678 | 128 | $012345678:$ |
| 12 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 |  | 012345678 | 128 | 012345678 |
| 13 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | 012345678 | 12 | - | 012345678 | 128 | 012345678 |
| 14 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 | - | 012345678 | 128 | 012345678 |
| 15 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 | - | $012345678:$ | 128 | $012345678:$ |
| 16 |  | $12 \Rightarrow$ NEXT LINE | $012345678:$ | 12 | - | $012345678:$ | 128 | 012345678 : |



| WS6. WHAT DO YOU USUALLY DO TO THE WATER TO MAKE IT SAFER TO DRINK? <br> Anything else? <br> Record all items mentioned. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WS7. WHAT KIND OF TOILET FACILITY DO members of your household usually USE? <br> If "flush" or "pour flush", probe: <br> Where does it flush to? <br> If necessary, ask permission to observe the facility. | Flush / pour flushFlush to piped sewer system ................. 11Flush to septic tank ........................ 12Flush to pit (latrine) .......................... 13Flush to somewhere else .................... 14Flush to unknown place/not sure/DKwhere............................................... 15Ventilated Improved Pit latrine (VIP) ......... 21Pit latrine with slab ........................... 22 <br> Pit latrine without slab / open pit............. 23Bucket..................................................... 41 | $95 \Rightarrow \text { NEXT }$ <br> MODULE |
| WS8. DO YOU SHARE THIS FACILITY WITH OTHER HOUSEHOLDS? | Yes ........................................................................................................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { NEXT } \\ & \text { MODULE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| WS9. HOW MANY HOUSEHOLDS IN TOTAL USE THIS TOILET FACILITY? | No. of households (if less than 10) $\qquad$ <br> Ten or more households ........................... 10 DK |  |


| HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS M | OULE | HC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC2. How MANY ROOMS IN THIS HOUSEHOLD ARE USED FOR SLEEPING? | No. of rooms .............................. |  |
| HC3. Main material of the dwelling floor: Record observation. |  |  |
| HC6. WHAT TYPE OF FUEL DOES YOUR HOUSEHOLD MAINLY USE FOR COOKING? |  |  |
| HC8. IS THE COOKING USUALLY DONE IN THE HOUSE, IN A SEPARATE BUILDING, OR OUTDOORS? |  |  |
| HC9. Does your household have: Electricity? <br> A RADIO? <br> A television? <br> A Mobile telephone? <br> A Non-mobile telephone? <br> A REFRIGERATOR? <br> A SATELLITE? <br> AN ELECTRIC GENERATOR? |  |  |
| HC10. DOES ANY MEMBER OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD OWN: <br> A BICYCLE? <br> A MOTORCYCLE OR SCOOTER? <br> AN ANIMAL-DRAWN CART? <br> A CAR OR TRUCK? <br> A BOAT WITH A MOTOR? <br> AGRICULTURAL LAND? <br> A WORKSHOP/FACTORY? <br> REAL ESTATE/LAND? <br> SHOP/COMPANY? |  |  |

To be administered to mother/caretaker of each child in the household age 5 through 14 years. For household members below age 5 or above age 14 , leave rows blank.
NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK ABOUT ANY WORK CHILDREN IN THIS HOUSEHOLD MAY DO.

| CL1. <br> Line <br> no. | CL2. <br> Name | CL3. <br> DURING THE PAST WEEK, DID (name) DO ANY KIND OF WORK FOR SOMEONE WHO IS NOT A MEMBER OF THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> If yes: FOR PAY IN CASH OR KIND? <br> 1 YES, FOR PAY <br> (CASH OR KIND) <br> 2 YES, UNPAID <br> 3 NO $\Rightarrow$ TO CL5 |  |  | CL If $y$ es: <br> Since las (day of the ABOUT HOW HOURS DID DO THIS W SOMEONE NOT A MEN THIS HOUS <br> If more then job, include hours at all <br> Record res then $\Rightarrow C L$ | CL5. <br> At any time DURING THE PAST YEAR, DID (name) DO ANY KIND OF WORK FOR SOMEONE WHO IS NOT A MEMBER OF THIS HOUSEHOLD? <br> If yes: FOR PAY IN CASH OR KIND? <br> 1 YES, FOR PAY (CASH OR KIND) 2 YES, UNPAID 3 NO |  |  | During WEEK, HELP W HOUSEH CHORES SUCH A COLLEC FIREWO CLEANIN FETCHIN OR CAR CHILDR <br> 1 YES $2 \mathrm{NO} \Rightarrow$ | PAST <br> ame) <br> PPING, <br> TER, <br> R <br> L8 | CL7. <br> If yes: <br> Since last (day of the week), ABOUT HOW MANY HOURS DID HE/SHE SPEND DOING THESE CHORES? | DURING WEEK, DO ANY FAMILY THE FAR BUSINES SELLING THE STR <br> 1 YES <br> 2 NO § NEXT | PAST <br> ame) <br> R <br> (ON <br> IN A <br> DS IN ) | CL9. <br> If yes: <br> SINCE LAST (day of the week), ABOUT HOW MANY HOURS DID HE/SHE DO THIS WORK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{LINE}}$ No. | NAME |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ES } \\ & \text { UNPAID } \end{aligned}$ | NO | NO. HO | PAID | ES <br> UNPAID | NO | YES | NO | NO. HOURS | YES | NO | NO. HOURS |
| 01 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| 02 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | - - |
| 03 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 04 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| 05 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| 06 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - - |
| 07 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | - - |
| 08 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| 09 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - - |
| 10 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | - - |
| 11 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - - | 1 | 2 | - - |
| 12 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| 13 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | - |
| 14 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| 15 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| 16 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |

## CHILD DISCIPLINE MODULE

table 1: childREN AgED 2-14 YEARS ELIGIBLE for child Discipline questions
Review the household listing and list each of the children aged 2-14 years below in order according to their line number (HL1). Do not include other household members outside of the age range 2-14 years. Record the line number, name, sex, age, and the line number of the mother or caretaker for each child. Then record the total number of children aged 2-14 in the box provided (CD7).

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CD1. } \\ \text { Rank } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | CD2. Line no. from HL1. Li | CD3. <br> Name from HL2. |  |  | CD5 <br> Age from HL5. | CD6. <br> Line no. of mother/ caretaker from HL7 or HL8. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE | LINE | NAME | M | F | AGE | MOTHER |
| 01 | - |  | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| 02 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| 03 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - - | - - |
| 04 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - - | - |
| 05 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - - | - - |
| 06 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| 07 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - | - - |
| 08 | - - |  | 1 | 2 | - - | - |
| CD7. | Total children aged 2-14 Years |  |  |  |  |  |

If there is only one child age 2-14 years in the household, then skip table 2 and go to CD11 to administer child discipline questions for that child.

## table 2: selection of random child for child Discipline questions

Use this table to select one child between the ages of 2 and 14 years, if there is more than one child in that age range in the household. Look for the last digit of the household number from the cover page. This is the number of the row you should go to in the table below. Check the total number of eligible children (2-14) in CD7 above. This is the number of the column you should go to. Find the box where the row and the column meet and circle the number that appears in the box. This is the rank number of the child about whom the questions will be asked. Record the rank number in CD9 below. Finally, record the line number and name of the selected child in CD11 on the next page. Then, find the mother or primary caretaker of that child, and ask the questions, beginning with CD12.

| CD8. | TOTAL NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE CHILDREN IN THE HOUSEHOLD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Last digit of the <br> questionnaire number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ |  |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 |  |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |  |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 |  |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 |  |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 5 |  |

CD9. Record the rank number of the selected child from table 2 above

Rank number of child
.................... _ -

| CHILD DISCIPLINE MODULE CD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identify eligible child aged 2 to 14 in the household using the tables on the preceding page, according to your instructions. Ask to interview the mother or primary caretaker of the selected child (identified by the line number in CD6). |  |  |
| CD11. Write name and line no. of the child selected for the module from CD3 and CD2, based on the rank number in CD9. | Name <br> Line number |  |
| CD12. ALL ADULTS USE CERTAIN WAYS TO TEACH CHILDREN THE RIGHT bEHAVIOUR OR TO address a behaviour problem. I will read VARIOUS METHODS THAT ARE USED AND I WANT YOU TO TELL ME IF YOU OR ANYONE ELSE IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD HAS USED THIS WITH (name) IN THE PAST MONTH. |  |  |
| CD12A. TOOK AWAY PRIVILEGES, FORBADE something (name) LIKED OR DID NOT ALLOW HIM/HER TO LEAVE HOUSE). | Yes .................................................................................................................................. No....... |  |
| CD12B. EXPLAINED WHY SOMETHING (THE behavior) was wrong. | Yes ...................................................................................................................................... No....... |  |
| CD12C. SHOOK HIM/HER. | Yes .......................................................................................................................................... |  |
| CD12d. SHouted, YELLED AT OR SCREAMED AT HIM/HER. |  |  |
| CD12E. GAVE HIM/HER SOMETHING ELSE TO DO. |  |  |
| CD12F. SPANKED, HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE BOTTOM WITH BARE HAND. |  |  |
| CD12G. HIT HIM/HER ON THE BOTTOM OR elsewhere on the body with something LIKE A BELT, HAIRBRUSH, STICK OR OTHER HARD OBJECT. | Yes ......................................................................................................................... No..... |  |
| CD12H. CALLED HIM/HER DUMB, LAZY, OR another name like that. |  |  |
| CD12I. HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE FACE, head or ears. | Yes ......................................................................................................................................... |  |
| CD12J. HIT OR SLAPPED HIM/HER ON THE HAND, ARM, OR LEG. | Yes ............................................................................................................................................. |  |
| CD12K. BEAT HIM/HER UP WITH AN IMPLEMENT (HIT OVER AND OVER AS HARD AS ONE COULD). | Yes........................................................................................................................................... |  |
| CD13. Do You beLieve that in order to bring UP (RAISE, EDUCATE) (name) PROPERLY, YOU NEED TO PHYSICALLY PUNISH HIM/HER? | Yes ...................................................................... 1 No.................................................................................... Donow/no opinion........ |  |

## Disability

To be administered to caretakers of all children 2 through 9 years old living in the household. For household members eligible line numbers of the child from the household listing form (HL1, HL2, HL5).
I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU IF ANY CHILDREN IN THIS HOUSEHOLD AGED 2 THROUGH 9 HAS ANY OF THE HEALTH CONDITIONS I AM 9

| DA1. <br> Line <br> по. | DA2. <br> Child's name | DA3. <br> Compared WITH OTHER CHILDREN, DOES OR DID (name) HAVE any serious DELAY IN SITTING, STANDING, OR WALKING? | DA4. Compared WITH OTHER CHILDREN, DOES (name) HAVE DIFFICULTY SEEING, EITHER IN THE DAYTIME OR AT NIGHT? | DA5. <br> DoEs <br> (name) <br> APPEAR TO <br> HAVE <br> DIFFICULTY <br> HEARING? <br> (USES <br> HEARING AID, <br> HEARS WITH <br> DIFFICULTY, <br> COMPLETELY <br> DEAF?) | DA6. <br> When you TELL (name) TO DO SOMETHING, DOES HE/SHE SEEM TO UNDERSTAND What you ARE SAYING? | DA7. <br> Does (name) HAVE DIFFICULTY IN WALKING OR MOVING HIS/HER ARMS OR DOES he/she have WEAKNESS AND/OR STIFFNESS IN THE ARMS OR LEGS? | DA8. <br> DoEs <br> (name) <br> SOMETIMES <br> HAVE FITS, <br> BECOME <br> RIGID, OR <br> LOSE <br> CONSC- <br> IOUSNESS? | DA9. DoEs (name) LEARN TO DO THINGS LIKE OTHER CHILDREN HIS/HER AGE? | DA DOES ( $n$ SPEAK (CAN HE MAKE HI HERSEL UNDERS IN WORD CAN SAY RECOGN WORDS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LINE | Name | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y N | Y |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
|  |  | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |

SI2. Does any eligible woman age 15-49 reside in the household?
Check household listing, column HL6.You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible woman.
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR INDIVIDUAL WOMEN
to administer the questionnaire to the first eligible woman.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Continue.

SI3. Does any child under the age of 5 reside in the household?
Check household listing, column HL8. You should have a questionnaire with the Information Panel filled in for each eligible child.
$\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE
to administer the questionnaire to mother or caretaker of the first eligible child.
$\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview by thanking the respondent for his/her cooperation.
Gather together all questionnaires for this household and tally the number of interviews completed on the cover page.

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE

## UNDER-FIVE CHILD INFORMATION PANEL

This questionnaire is to be administered to all mothers or caretakers (see household listing, column HL8) who care for a child that lives with them and is under the age of 5 years (see household listing, column HL5).
A separate questionnaire should be used for each eligible child.
Fill in the cluster and household number, and names and line numbers of the child and the mother/caretaker in the space below. Insert your own name and number, and the date.

| UF1. Cluster number: | UF2. Household number: |
| :---: | :---: |
| UF3. Child's Name: | UF4. Child's Line Number: |
| UF5. Mother's/Caretaker's Name: | UF6. Mother's/Caretaker's Line Number: |
| UF7. Interviewer name and number: | UF8. Day/Month/Year of interview: |
| UF9. Result of interview for children under 5 <br> (Codes refer to mother/caretaker.) | Completed. $\qquad$ <br> Not at home $\qquad$ <br> Refused $\qquad$ <br> Partly completed $\qquad$ <br> Incapacitated. $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ |

Repeat greeting if not already read to this respondent:
We are from ministry of health and population. We are working on a project concerned with family HEALTH AND EDUCATION. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THIS. THE INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 20-30 MINUTES. ALL THE INFORMATION WE OBTAIN WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL NEVER BE IDENTIFIED. Also, You are not obliged to answer any question you don't want to, and you MAY WITHDRAW FROM THE INTERVIEW AT ANY TIME. MAY I START NOW?

If permission is given, begin the interview. If the respondent does not agree to continue, thank him/her and go to the next interview. Discuss this result with your supervisor for a future revisit.

| UF10. NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE HEALTH OF EACH CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF 5 IN YOUR CARE, WHO LIVES WITH YOU NOW. <br> Now I WANt TO ASK you about (name). <br> IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WAS (name) BORN? <br> Probe: <br> What is his/her birthday? <br> If the mother/caretaker knows the exact birth date, also enter the day; otherwise, circle 98 for day. | Date of birth: <br> Day $\qquad$ <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| UF11. How OLD WAS (name) AT HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br> Record age in completed years. | Age in completed years ...........................- |


| BIRTH REGISTRATION AND EARLY LEARNING MODULE |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { 1弓BR5 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BR1. DoES (name) HAVE A BIRTH CERTIFICATE? MAY I SEE It? |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR2. HAS (name's) BIRTH BEEN REGISTERED WITH THE CIVIL AUTHORITIES? |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{BR} 5 \\ & 8 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{BR} 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| BR3. WHY IS (name's) BIRTH NOT REGISTERED? | Costs too much ......................................... 1Must travel too far ........................ 2Did not know it should be registered......... 3Does not know where to register ............ 5Other (specify)DK....................................................... 88 |  |  |  |  |
| BR4. DO YOU KNOW HOW TO REGISTER YOUR CHILD'S BIRTH? |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR5. Check age of child in UF11: Child is 3 or 4 years old? <br> $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with BR6 <br> $\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Go to BR8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR6. Does (name) ATTEND ANY ORGANIZED LEARNING OR EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION PROGRAMME, SUCH AS A PRIVATE OR GOVERNMENT FACILITY, INCLUDING KINDERGARTEN OR COMMUNITY CHILD CARE? | Yes .................................................................... 1No................................................................. 2DK............................................................ 8 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{BR} 8 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{BR} 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| BR7. WITHIN THE LAST SEVEN DAYS, ABOUT HOW MANY HOURS DID (name) ATTEND? | No. of hours ....................................... $=$ |  |  |  |  |
| BR8. IN THE PAST 3 DAYS, DID YOU OR ANY household member over 15 years of age ENGAGE IN ANY OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES WITH (name): |  |  |  |  |  |
| If yes, ask: WHO ENGAGED IN THIS ACTIVITY WITH THE CHILD - THE MOTHER, THE CHILD'S FATHER OR ANOTHER ADULT MEMBER OF THE household (INCLUDING THE CARETAKER/RESPONDENT)? <br> Circle all that apply. <br> BR8A. Read books or look at picture books WITH (name)? |  |  |  |  |  |
| BR8b. Tell stories to (name)? | Stories | A B | x | Y |  |
| BR8C. SING SONGS WITH (name)? | Songs | A B | X | Y |  |
| BR8D. TAKE (name) OUTSIDE THE HOME, COMPOUND, YARD OR ENCLOSURE? | Take outside | A B | X | Y |  |
| BR8E. PLAY WITH (name)? | Play with | A B | X | Y |  |
| BR8F. Spend time with (name) naming, COUNTING, AND/OR DRAWING THINGS? | Spend time with | A B | x | Y |  |

## CHILD DEVELOPMENT

| Some questions in this module are to be administer for each child in the household under the age of 5 Record only one response for each question, unles | ly once for each household visited. Others require rwise indicated. | responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CE1. HOW MANY CHILDREN'S BOOKS OR PICTURE BOOKS DO YOU HAVE FOR (NAME)? <br> If 'none' enter 00 | Number of children's books $\qquad$ <br> Ten or more books $\qquad$ 10 |  |
| CE2. How MANY OTHER BOOKS ARE THERE IN THE HOUSEHOLD? (INCLUDING SCHOOLBOOKS, BUT NOT OTHER BOOKS MEANT FOR CHILDREN, SUCH AS PICTURE BOOKS) <br> If 'none' enter 00 | Number of non-children's books <br> Ten or more non-children's books $\qquad$ 10 |  |
| CE3. I AM INTERESTED IN LEARNING ABOUT THE THINGS THAT (name) PLAYS WITH WHEN HE/SHE IS AT HOME. <br> WHAT DOES (name) PLAY WITH? DOES HE/SHE PLAY WITH <br> HOUSEHOLD OBJECTS, SUCH AS BOWLS, PLATES, CUPS OR POTS? <br> OBJECTS AND MATERIALS FOUND OUTSIDE THE LIVING QUARTERS, SUCH AS STICKS, ROCKS, ANIMALS, SHELLS, OR LEAVES? <br> HOMEMADE TOYS, SUCH AS DOLLS, CARS AND OTHER TOYS MADE AT HOME? <br> TOYS THAT CAME FROM A STORE? <br> Code Y if child does not play with any of the items mentioned. | Household objects <br> (bowls, plates, cups, pots) $\qquad$ <br> Objects and materials found outside the living quarters (sticks, rocks, animals, shells, leaves) <br> Homemade toys <br> (dolls, cars and other toys made at home) C <br> Toys that came from a store $\qquad$ <br> No playthings mentioned $\qquad$ |  |
| CE4. IN THE PAST WEEK, SINCE LAST (day of the week) HOW MANY TIMES WAS (name) LEFT IN THE CARE OF ANOTHER CHILD (THAT IS, SOMEONE LESS THAN 10 YEARS OLD)? <br> If 'none' enter 00 | Number of times...............................-_- |  |
| CE5. IN THE PAST WEEK, HOW MANY TIMES WAS (name) LEFT ALONE? <br> If 'none' enter 00 | Number of times...............................-_ - |  |


| CARE OF ILLNESS MODULE |  | CA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA1. HAS (name) HAD DIARRHOEA IN THE LAST TWO WEEKS, THAT IS, SINCE (day of the week) OF THE WEEK BEFORE LAST? <br> Diarrhoea is determined as perceived by mother or caretaker, or as three or more loose or watery stools per day, or blood in stool. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \Rightarrow C A 5 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA2. DURING THIS LAST EPISODE OF DIARRHOEA, DID (name) DRINK ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: <br> Read each item aloud and record response before proceeding to the next item. <br> CA2A. A fluid made from a special packet CALLED ORS? <br> CA2D. DRINKING WATER? <br> CA2E. RICE WATER? <br> CA2F. VEGETABLE SOUP? <br> CA2H. FRUIT JUICE? |  Yes No DK   <br> A. Fluid from ORS packet .................. 1 2 8  <br> D. Drinking water ............................... 1 2 2 8 <br> E. Rice water......................................... 1 2 8  <br> F. Vegetable soup............................. 1 2 2 8 <br> H. Fruit juice.................................... 1 2 2 8 |  |
| CA3. DURING (name's) ILLNESS, DID HE/SHE DRINK much less, About the same, or more than USUAL? | Much less or none................................... 1 About the same (or somewhat less) .......... 2 More......................................... 3 DK ............................................................. 8 |  |
| CA4. DURING (name's) ILLNESS, DID HE/SHE EAT Less, About the same, or more food than USUAL? <br> If "less", probe: MUCH LESS OR A LITTLE LESS? |  |  |
| CA5. HAS (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A COUGH at Any time in the last two weeks, that is, SINCE (day of the week) OF THE WEEK before LAST? | Yes .................................................................................................................. 2 No.................................................................. 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \leftrightharpoons C A 12 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA6. WHEN (name) HAD AN ILLNESS WITH A COUGH, DID HE/SHE BREATHE FASTER THAN USUAL WITH SHORT, QUICK BREATHS OR HAVE DIFFICULTY BREATHING? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No..................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \leftrightharpoons C A 12 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA7. WERE THE SYMPTOMS DUE TO A PROBLEM IN THE CHEST OR A BLOCKED NOSE? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 12 \\ & 6 \Leftrightarrow C A 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| CA8. DID You seek advice or treatment for the illness outside the home? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow C A 10 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C A 10 \end{aligned}$ |


| CA9. FRom Where did you seek care? | Public sector |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Govt. hospital .........................................A |  |
| Anywhere else? | Govt. health centre.............................B |  |
| Circle all providers mentioned, but do NOT prompt with any suggestions. | Maternal and child care unit.................C |  |
|  | Govt. health unit....................................D |  |
|  | Other public (specify)__ H |  |
| If source is hospital, health center, or clinic, write the name of the place below. Probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate code. | Private medical sector |  |
|  | Private hospital/clinic ............................ I |  |
|  | Private physician ................................ J |  |
|  | Private pharmacy ..................................K Other private |  |
|  | medical (specify) $\qquad$ |  |
|  | Other source |  |
| (Name of place) | Relative or friend ....................................P |  |
|  | Traditional Practitioner ...........................R |  |
|  | Other (specify) $\quad \mathrm{X}$ |  |
| CA10. WAS (name) GIVEN MEDICINE TO TREATTHIS ILLNESS? | Yes.................................................... 1 |  |
|  | No...................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow C A 12$ |
|  | DK .................................................... 8 | $8 \Rightarrow C A 12$ |
| CA11. WHAT MEDICINE WAS (name) GIVEN? | Antibiotic.............................................A |  |
| Circle all medicines given. | Antipyretics .........................................S |  |
|  | Deconqestant.......................................T |  |
|  | Antitusive .............................................. ${ }^{\text {U }}$ U |  |
|  | Other (specify) __ X |  |
|  | DK ..................................................... Z |  |
| Ask the following question (CA14) only once for each mother/caretaker. | Child not able to drink or breastfeed..........A |  |
|  | Child becomes sicker |  |
| CA14. Sometimes children have severe ILLNESSES AND SHOULD BE TAKEN IMMEDIATELY TO A HEALTH FACILITY. WHAT TYPES OF SYMPTOMS WOULD CAUSE YOU TO TAKE YOUR CHILD TO A HEALTH FACILITY RIGHT AWAY? | Child has fast breathing ..........................D |  |
|  | Child has difficult breathing.....................E |  |
|  | Child has blood in stool............................F |  |
|  | Child is drinking poorly............................ G |  |
|  | Child is Vomiting ............................ 1 |  |
|  | Other (specify) __ X |  |
| Keep asking for more signs or symptoms until the mother/caretaker cannot recall any additional symptoms. |  |  |
|  | Other (specify) __ Y |  |
|  | Other (specify) Z |  |
| Circle all symptoms mentioned, But do NOT prompt with any suggestions. |  |  |

If an immunization card is available, copy the dates in IM2-IM8 for each type of immunization or vitamin A dose recorded on the card. IM10-IM18 are for recording vaccinations that are not recorded on the card. IM10-IM18 will only be asked when a card is not available.


| IM11. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN A BCG VACCINATION AGAINST TUBERCULOSIS - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE ARM OR SHOULDER THAT CAUSED A SCAR? | Yes............................................................. 1 No................................................................... 2 DK................................................................... 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IM12. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN ANY "VACCINATION DROPS IN THE MOUTH" TO PROTECT HIM/HER FROM GETTING DISEASES THAT IS, POLIO? | Yes........................................................... 1 No................................................................... 2 DK................................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 15 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM13. HOW OLD WAS HE/SHE WHEN THE FIRST DOSE WAS GIVEN - JUST AFTER BIRTH (WITHIN TWO WEEKS) OR LATER? | Just after birth (within two weeks) <br> Later $\qquad$ |  |
| IM14. HOW MANY TIMES HAS HE/SHE BEEN GIVEN THESE DROPS? | No. of times..... |  |
| IM15. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN "DPT VACCINATION INJECTIONS" - THAT IS, AN INJECTION IN THE THIGH OR BUTTOCKS - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING TETANUS, WHOOPING COUGH, DIPHTHERIA? (SOMETIMES GIVEN AT THE SAME TIME AS POLIO) | Yes............................................................. 1 No................................................................... 2 DK................................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 17 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM16. How MANY TIMES? | No. of times.....................................-_ _ |  |
| IM16A. HAS (name) EVEN BEEN GIVEN "HEPB1 VACCINATION INJECTIONS"? | Yes............................................................ 1 No.................................................................... 2 DK................................................................. 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow I M 17 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow I M 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| IM16B. How many times? | No. of times.....................................-_ |  |
| IM17. HAS (name) EVER BEEN GIVEN "MEASLES VACCINATION INJECTIONS" OR MMR - THAT IS, A SHOT IN THE ARM AT THE AGE OF 9 MONTHS OR OLDER - TO PREVENT HIM/HER FROM GETTING MEASLES? | Yes............................................................. 1 No................................................................... 2 DK................................................................... 8 |  |
| IM19. PLEASE TELL ME IF (name) HAS PARTICIPATED IN ANY OF THE FOLLOWING CAMPAIGNS, NATIONAL IMMUNIZATION DAYS AND/OR VITAMIN A OR CHILD HEALTH DAYS: (CATEGORY NEEDS TO BE RECHECKED) IM19A. POLIO IM19B. POLIO FOR CHILDREN IM19C. MEASLES |  Y N DK <br> Polio ................................................ 1 2 8 <br> Polio for children ............................. 1 2 8 <br> Measles..................................... 1 2 8 |  |

[^17]| WOMEN'S INFORMATION PANEL |  | WM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This module is to be administered to all women age 15 through 49 (see column HL6 of HH listing). <br> Fill in one form for each eligible woman <br> Fill in the cluster and household number, and the name and line number of the woman in the space below. Fill in your name, number and the date. |  |  |
| WM1. Cluster number: | WM2. Household number: |  |
| WM3. Woman's Name: | WM4. Woman's Line Number: |  |
| WM5.Interviewer name and number: | WM6. Day/Month/Year of interview: $\qquad$ <br> 1 |  |
| WM7. Result of women's interview | Completed <br> Not at home <br> Refused <br> Partly completed <br> Incapacitated $\qquad$ <br> Other (specify) |  |

Repeat greeting if not already read to this woman:
We are from Ministry of health and population. We are working on a project concerned with family HEALTH AND EDUCATION. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THIS. THE INTERVIEW WILL TAKE ABOUT 20-30 minutes. All the information we obtain will remain strictly confidential and your answers will never be identified. Also, you are not obliged to answer any question you don't want to, and you MAY WITHDRAW FROM THE INTERVIEW AT ANY TIME. MAY I START NOW?

If permission is given, begin the interview. If the woman does not agree to continue, thank her, complete WM7, and go to the next interview. Discuss this result with your supervisor for a future revisit.

| WM8. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WERE YOU BORN? | Date of birth: <br> Month $\qquad$ <br> DK month $\qquad$ <br> Year $\qquad$ $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| WM9. How old were you at your Last BIRTHDAY? | Age (in completed years)................... __ _ |


| WM10. Have you ever attended school? | Yes............................................................................................................................... No ....... | 2 $\Rightarrow$ WM14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WM11. What is the highest level of school YOU ATTENDED: BASIC, SECONDARY, OR HIGHER? |  |  |
| WM12. WHAT IS THE HIGHEST GRADE YOU COMPLETED AT THAT LEVEL? | Grade. |  |
| WM13. Check WM11: $\begin{aligned} & \square \text { Secondary or higher. } \Rightarrow \text { Go to MA Next Module } \\ & \square \text { Basic } \quad . \Rightarrow \text { Continue with WM14 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| WM14. Now I WOULD LIKE YOU TO READ THIS SENTENCE TO ME. <br> Show sentences to respondent. <br> If respondent cannot read whole sentence, probe: <br> CAN YOU READ PART OF THE SENTENCE TO ME? <br> Example sentences for literacy test: <br> 1. The child is reading a book. <br> 2. The rains came late this year. <br> 3. Parents must care for their children. <br> 4. Farming is hard work. | Cannot read at all $\qquad$ 1 <br> Able to read only parts of sentence ............ 2 <br> Able to read whole sentence $\qquad$ <br> No sentence in <br> specific language $\qquad$ 4 <br> (specify language other than Arabic) <br> Blind/mute, visually/speech impaired $\qquad$ |  |


| MARRIAGE MODULE |  | MA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA1. WHAT IS YOUR MARITAL STATUS NOW: ARE YOU WIDOWED, DIVORCED OR SEPARATED? | MARRIED / SEPARATED .......................... 1 DIVORCED................................................................................................................ WIDOWED ....... | $\} \text { MA5 }$ |
| MA2. HOW OLD IS YOUR HUSBAND? | AGE IN YEARS <br> DON'T KNOW $\qquad$ |  |
| MA5. HAVE YOU BEEN MARRIED ONCE OR MORE than once? | ONCE $\qquad$ <br> MORE THAN ONCE $\qquad$ |  |
| MA6. IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU FIRST MARRY? |  |  |
| MA7. HOW OLD WERE YOU AT YOUR FIRST MARRIAGE? | AGE IN YEARS ............................ $\square$ |  |
| MA7A. Your first husband was a relative? | YES ......................................................... 1 NO ........................................................... 2 | $2 \Rightarrow \mathrm{CM}$ |
| MA7B. WHAT IS YOUR RELATIONSHIP TO YOUR FIRST HUSBAND? | COUSIN $1^{\text {ST }}$ DEGREE (FATHER SIDE).. 1 <br> COUSIN $1^{\text {ST }}$ DEGREE (MOTHER SIDE) . 2 <br> COUSIN SECOND DEGREE .................... 3  <br> OTHER RELATIVE .................................... 4  <br> RELATIVES BECAUSE OF MARRIAGE.. ....... 5  |  |


| Reproduction and Child Survival Module | CM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now I WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU ABOUT ALL THE BIRTHS YOU HAVE HAD DURING YOUR LIFE. |  |  |
| CM1. HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH? <br> If "No" probe by asking: <br> I MEAN, TO A CHILD WHO EVER BREATHED OR CRIED OR SHOWED OTHER SIGNS OF LIFE - EVEN IF HE OR SHE LIVED ONLY A FEW MINUTES OR HOURS? | Yes $\qquad$ <br> No. $\qquad$ .2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \\ & \text { CP1 } \end{aligned}$ |
| CM3. DO YOU HAVE ANY SONS OR DAUGHTERS TO WHOM YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH WHO ARE NOW LIVING WITH you? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No.............................................................. 2 | $2 弓 \mathrm{CM} 5$ |
| CM4. How many sons LIVE WITH YOU? AND HOW MANY DAUGHTERS LIVE WITH YOU? <br> If none record ' 00 ' | CM4A. Number of Sons at home: $\square$ <br> CM4B. Number of Daughters at home: $\square$ |  |
| CM5. DO YOU HAVE ANY SONS OR DAUGHTERS TO WHOM YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH AND WHO ARE ALIVE BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU? | Yes ......................................................... 1 No.............................................................. 2 | 2弓CM7 |
| CM6. How many sons are alive but do not live with YOU? AND HOW MANY DAUGHTERS ARE ALIVE BUT DO NOT LIVE WITH YOU? <br> If none record '00' | CM6A. Number of Sons elsewhere: $\square$ <br> CM6B. Number of Daughters elsewhere: $\square$ |  |
| CM7. HAVE YOU EVER GIVEN BIRTH TO A BOY OR A GIRL WHO WAS BORN ALIVE BUT LATER DIED? <br> If "No" probe by asking: <br> ANY BABY WHO CRIED OR SHOWED ANY SIGN OF LIFE BUT ONLY SURVIVED A FEW HOURS OR DAYS? | Yes ......................................................... 1 No............................................. 2 | 2 $\Rightarrow$ CM9 |
| CM8. IN ALL, HOW MANY BOYS HAVE DIED? AND HOW MANY GIRLS HAVE DIED? <br> If none record '00' | CM8A. Number of Boys dead: CM8B. Number of Girls dead: |  |
| CM9. Sum Answers to CM4, CM6, And CM8. | Sum - - |  |

```
CM10. Check CM4, CM6, CM8
Sum and check
TO CHECK THE NUMBERS THAT YOU HAVE EVER GIVEN BIRTH, YOU HAVE GIVEN BIRTH TO _ _ CHILDREN?
IF YES; CONTINUE
IF NO: Probe
CM4: YOU HAVE __ BOYS AND __ GIRLS LIVING WITH YOU, IS THIS CORRECT?
Cm6: YOU HAVE
```

$\qquad$

``` BOYS AND _ GIRLS WHO ARE NOT LIVING WITH YOU, IS THIS CORRECT?
CM8: YOU HAVE __ BOYS AND __ GIRLS WHO DIED AFTER THEY WERE BORN ALIVE, IS THIS CORRECT?
\(\square\) IF YES TO ALL: CONTINUE TO NEXT MODULE (BH)
\(\square\) IF NO: CHECK THAT THE TOTALS ARE CORRECT THEN CONTINUE TO NEXT MODULE (BH)
```

Now I would like to record the names of all your births, whether the child is still alive or not. I would like to start with the first ONE YOU HAD.
RECORD NAMES OF ALL BIRTHS; IF NAME NOT GIVEN, RECORD ‘ $X$ ’. RECORD TWINS AND TRIPLETS ON SEPARATE LINES.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& H1 \& BH2 \& BH3 \& BH4 \& BH5 \& BH6 \& BH7 \& BH8 \& BH9 \\
\hline Live birt h Line No. \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Name \\
All \\
childre \\
n, \\
wheth \\
er \\
alive \\
or \\
dead:
\end{tabular} \& Were
ANY OF
THESE
BIRTHS
TWINS?

1 SINGLE
2
MULTIPL
E \& Is
(name)
MALE OR
FEMALE
$?$
1 maLE
2

female \& \begin{tabular}{l}
IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WAS (name) BORN? <br>
Probe: <br>
WHAT IS HIS/HER BIRTHDAY? <br>
If they don't know write "98" for months and "9998" for year

 \& 

Is <br>
(name) <br>
STILL <br>
ALIVE? <br>
1 Yes <br>
2 No § <br>
BH9

 \& 

If alive: <br>
How old wAS <br>
(name) ON HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br>
Record age in completed years.
\end{tabular} \& If alive:

Is
(name)
LIVING
WITH
You?
1 Yes

2 No \& \begin{tabular}{l}
If alive: Record household line number of child (from HL1). <br>
Write " 00 " if child is not listed on household listing form (HL

 \& 

How old was (name) WHEN HE/SHE DIED? <br>
Record age at death. <br>
If less than 1 month, record days. <br>
If less than 2 years, record months.
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline LINE \& NAME \& S M \& M F \& MONTH \& YEAR \& Y N \& AGE \& Y N \& HH LINE NO. \& AGE AT DEATH <br>

\hline 01 \& | 1st |
| :--- |
| CHILD $\qquad$ | \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ DK MONTHS $\qquad$ .98 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>

\hline 02 \& 2nD CHILD

$\qquad$ \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& \[
11

\] \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& | 1.DAYS: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS .98 $\qquad$ |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ |
| DK MONTHS $\qquad$ |
| 3.YEARS: $\square$ |
| DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>


\hline 03 \& | 3RD |
| :--- |
| CHILD $\qquad$ | \& 12 \& 12 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M} \bigsqcup \perp \\
& \mathrm{Y} \bigsqcup \perp \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ |
| DK MONTHS. $\qquad$ .98 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>


\hline 04 \& | 4TH |
| :--- |
| CHILD $\qquad$ | \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.months: $\square$ DK MONTHS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>


\hline 05 \& | 5TH |
| :--- |
| CHILD $\qquad$ | \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ DK MONTHS $\qquad$ .98 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

Now I would like to record the names of all your births, whether the child is still alive or not. I would like to start with the first ONE YOU HAD.
RECORD NAMES OF ALL BIRTHS; IF NAME NOT GIVEN, RECORD ‘ $X$ ’. RECORD TWINS AND TRIPLETS ON SEPARATE LINES.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& H1 \& BH2 \& BH3 \& BH4 \& BH5 \& BH6 \& BH7 \& BH8 \& BH9 \\
\hline Live birt h Line No. \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Name \\
All \\
childre \\
n, \\
wheth \\
er \\
alive \\
or \\
dead:
\end{tabular} \& Were
ANY OF
THESE
BIRTHS
TWINS?

1 SINGLE
2
MULTIPL
E \& Is
(name)
MALE OR
FEMALE
$?$
1 maLE
2

female \& \begin{tabular}{l}
IN WHAT MONTH AND YEAR WAS (name) BORN? <br>
Probe: <br>
WHAT IS HIS/HER BIRTHDAY? <br>
If they don't know write "98" for months and "9998" for year

 \& 

Is <br>
(name) <br>
STILL <br>
ALIVE? <br>
1 Yes <br>
2 No § <br>
BH9

 \& 

If alive: <br>
How old wAS <br>
(name) ON HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br>
Record age in completed years.
\end{tabular} \& If alive:

Is
(name)
LIVING
WITH
You?
1 Yes

2 No \& \begin{tabular}{l}
If alive: <br>
Record <br>
household <br>
line number <br>
of child (from <br>
HL1). <br>
Write " 00 " if child is not listed on household listing form (HL

 \& 

How old was (name) WHEN HE/SHE DIED? <br>
Record age at death. <br>
If less than 1 month, record days. <br>
If less than 2 years, record months.
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline LINE \& NAME \& S M \& M F \& MONTH \& YEAR \& Y N \& AGE \& Y N \& HH LINE NO. \& AGE AT DEATH <br>

\hline 06 \& | 6TH |
| :--- |
| CHILD $\qquad$ | \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ DK MONTHS $\qquad$ .98 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>


\hline 07 \& | 7TH |
| :--- |
| CHILD | \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& \[

11

\] \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& | 1.DAYS: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS .98 $\qquad$ |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ |
| DK MONTHS $\qquad$ |
| 3.YEARS: $\square$ |
| DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>


\hline 08 \& | 8TH |
| :--- |
| CHILD $\qquad$ | \& 12 \& 12 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M} \bigsqcup \perp \\
& \mathrm{Y} \bigsqcup \perp \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ |
| DK MONTHS. $\qquad$ .98 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>


\hline 09 \& | 9TH |
| :--- |
| CHILD $\qquad$ | \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ DK MONTHS $\qquad$ 98 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS $\qquad$ 98 | <br>

\hline 10 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10TH } \\
& \text { CHILD } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ DK MONTHS $\qquad$ .98 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

Now I would like to record the names of all your births, whether the child is still alive or not. I would like to start with the first ONE YOU HAD.
RECORD NAMES OF ALL BIRTHS; IF NAME NOT GIVEN, RECORD ' $x$ '. RECORD TWINS AND TRIPLETS ON SEPARATE LINES.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& H1 \& BH2 \& BH3 \& BH4 \& BH5 \& BH6 \& BH7 \& BH8 \& BH9 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Live \\
birt \\
h \\
Line \\
No.
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Name \\
All \\
childre \\
n, \\
wheth \\
er \\
alive \\
or \\
dead:
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
WERE ANY OF THESE BIRTHS TWINS? \\
1 Single \\
2 \\
Multipl \\
E
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
IS \\
(name) \\
MALE OR \\
FEMALE \\
? \\
1 MALE \\
2 \\
FEMALE
\end{tabular} \& IN WHAT MONTH
AND YEAR WAS
(name) BORN?
Probe:
WHAT IS HIS/HER
BIRTHDAY?
If they don't know
write "98" for
months and
"9998" for year \& IS
(name)
STILL
ALIVE?

1 YES
2 NO』

BH9 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
If alive: <br>
How Old WAS <br>
(name) on HIS/HER LAST BIRTHDAY? <br>
Record age in completed years.

 \& 

If alive: <br>
Is (name) LIVING WITH you?

$$
\underset{\text { 2 Yes }}{1 \mathrm{Yes}}
$$

$$
2 \text { No }
$$

 \& 

If alive: <br>
Record <br>
household <br>
line number of child (from HL1). <br>
Write " 00 " if child is not listed on household listing form (HL

 \& 

How OLD WAS (name) WHEN HE/SHE DIED? <br>
Record age at death. <br>
If less than 1 month, record days. <br>
If less than 2 years, record months.
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline LINE \& NAME \& S M \& M F \& MONTH \& YEAR \& Y N \& AGE \& Y N \& HH LINE NO. \& AGE AT DEATH <br>

\hline 11 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11TH } \\
& \text { CHILD } \\
& \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 12 \& 12 \& \[

\] \& 12 \& \[

\square 1

\] \& 12 \& \[

11

\] \& | 1.DAYS: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.months: $\square$ DK MONTHS .98 $\qquad$ |
| 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS $\qquad$ 98 | <br>

\hline 12 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12TH } \\
& \text { CHILD } \\
& -
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 12 \& 12 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { m } \underset{y}{|l| l|l|} \\
& \mathrm{Y} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& 12 \& \[

1

\] \& | 1.DAYS: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ . 98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ 1 |
| DK MONTHS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 3.YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS $\qquad$ .98 | <br>

\hline 13 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13TH } \\
& \text { CHILD } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 12 \& 12 \&  \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ . 98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ , DK MONTHS .. 98 |
| YEARS: $\square$ DK YEARS $\qquad$ .98 | <br>

\hline 14 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14TH } \\
& \text { CHILD } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 12 \& 12 \& \[

\] \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ |
| DK MONTHS $\qquad$ .98 |
| 3.YEARS: $\square$ |
| DK YEARS $\qquad$ .98 | <br>

\hline 15 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 15TH } \\
& \text { CHILD } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 12 \& 12 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M} L \\
& \mathrm{Y} \\
& \mathrm{Y} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& | 1.DAYs: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ .. 98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ |
| DK MONTHS $\qquad$ 98 |
| 3.YEARS: $\square$ |
| DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>

\hline 16 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 16TH } \\
& \text { CHILD } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 12 \& 12 \& \[

\] \& 12 \& $\square$ \& 12 \& \[

\perp

\] \& | 1.DAYS: $\square$ |
| :--- |
| DK DAYS $\qquad$ 98 |
| 2.MONTHS: $\square$ |
| DK MONTHS $\qquad$ |
| 3.YEARS: $\square$ |
| DK YEARS. $\qquad$ .98 | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| BH 10. Interviewers: <br> Record date of birth of the last child in the BH table. | Date/ Month /Year of birth of the last child _—___ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BH11. Interviewers: <br> Check BH10: Since the last 2 years from the interview <br> If she had still birth, mention the name of the child whe No, there was no live birth in the last 2 years Yes, there was live birth in the last 2 years | (day/month/year), has she given any births? <br> you administer the following questions. | No $\Rightarrow$ Go to CP module |
| BH12. WHEN YOU WERE PREGNANT, DID YOU WISH TO BE PREGNANT THEN, OR WANTED TO WAIT FOR SOME TIME, OR YOU DID NOT WANT TO HAVE ANY MORE CHILDREN? | Wanted then Later on Did not want at all |  |


| TETANUS TOXOID (TT) MODULE |  | TT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding date of interview. |  |  |
| TT1. Do You have a card or other document WITH YOUR OWN IMMUNIZATIONS LISTED? <br> If a card is presented, use it to assist with answers to the following questions. |  |  |
| TT2. WHEN YOU WERE PREGNANT WITH YOUR LAST CHILD, DID YOU RECEIVE ANY INJECTION TO PREVENT HIM OR HER FROM GETTING tetanus, That is convulsions after birth (AN ANTI-TETANUS SHOT, AN INJECTION AT THE TOP OF THE ARM OR SHOULDER)? | Yes ................................................................ 1 No ................................................................. 2 DK......................................................................... 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{TT5} \\ & 8 \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{TT} 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| TT3. If yes: HOW MANY TIMES DID YOU RECEIVE this anti-tetanus injection during your LAST PREGNANCY? | No. of times ........................................-- - DK................................................................... 98 | 98 $\Rightarrow$ TT5 |
| TT4. How many TT doses during last pregnancy were reported in TT3? <br> $\square$ At least two TT injections during last pregnancy. $\Rightarrow$ Go to Next Module(MN) <br> $\square$ Fewer than two TT injections during last pregnancy. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with TT5 |  |  |
| TT5. DID YOU RECEIVE ANY TETANUS TOXOID injection at any time before your last PREGNANCY? | Yes ................................................................ 1 No ................................................................. 2 DK................................................................. 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2』NEXT } \\ & \text { MODULE } \\ & \text { 8ヵNEXT } \\ & \text { MODULE } \end{aligned}$ |
| TT6. How many times did you receive it? | No. of times |  |
| TT7. In WHAT MONTH AND YEAR DID YOU RECEIVE the Last anti-tetanus injection before THAT LAST PREGNANCY? <br> Skip to next module only if year of injection is given. Otherwise, continue with TT8. | Month. <br> DK month......................................................... 98 <br> Year <br> DK year $\qquad$ .9998 | $\Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE |
| TT8. HOW MANY YEARS AGO DID YOU RECEIVE THE LAST ANTI-TETANUS INJECTION BEFORE THAT LAST PREGNANCY? | Years ago .... |  |


| MATERNAL AND NEWBORN HEAL | MODULE | MN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This module is to be administered to all women with a live birth in the 2 years preceding date of interview. Check child mortality module CM12 and record name of last-born child here $\qquad$ Use this child's name in the following questions, where indicated. |  |  |
| MN1. IN THE FIRST TWO MONTHS AFTER YOUR LAST BIRTH [THE BIRTH OF name], DID YOU receive a Vitamin A dose like this? <br> Show 200,000 IU capsule or dispenser. |  |  |
| MN2. DID YOU SEE ANYONE FOR ANTENATAL CARE FOR THIS PREGNANCY? <br> If yes: Whom did you see? Anyone else? <br> Probe for the type of person seen and circle all answers given. |  | Y $\Rightarrow$ MN7 |
| MN3. As PART OF YOUR ANTENATAL CARE, WERE ANY OF THE FOLLOWING DONE AT LEAST ONCE? <br> MN3A. Were you weighed? <br> MN3B. WAS YOUR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASURED? <br> MN3C. DID YOU GIVE A URINE SAMPLE? <br> MN3D. DID YOU GIVE A BLOOD SAMPLE? |  Yes No <br> Weight ............................................ 1 2  <br> Blood pressure ........................... 1 2  <br> Urine sample.............................. 1 2  <br> Blood sample...................... 1 2  |  |
| MN4. DURING ANY OF THE ANTENATAL VISITS FOR the pregnancy, were you given any information or counseled about AIDS or the AIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| MN5. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT Were you tested for hiviaids as part of YOUR ANTENATAL CARE? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \Rightarrow \text { MN7 } \\ & 8 \Rightarrow \text { MN7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| MN6. I DON'T WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, BUT DID YOU GET THE RESULTS OF THE TEST? |  |  |
| MN7. WHO ASSISTED WITH THE DELIVERY OF YOUR LAST CHILD (name)? <br> Anyone else? <br> Probe for the type of person assisting and circle all answers given. | Health professional: Doctor ................................................... A Nurse ............................................ B Midwife ..................................... C Other person Traditional birth attendant.........................F Community health worker.................... G Relative/friend................................. Other (specify) __.......................................... Y |  |


| MN8. WHERE DID YOU GIVE BIRTH TO (name)? <br> If source is hospital, health center, or clinic, write the name of the place below. Probe to identify the type of source and circle the appropriate code. <br> (Name of place) | Home <br> Your home $\qquad$ <br> Other home $\qquad$ <br> Public sector <br> Govt. hospital. $\qquad$ .21 <br> Govt. clinic/health center ........................ 22 <br> Other public (specify) $\qquad$ 26 <br> Private Medical Sector <br> Private hospital 31 <br> Private clinic $\qquad$ $\qquad$ .32 <br> Other private medical (specify) $\qquad$ 36 <br> Other (specify) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MN9. WHEN YOUR LAST CHILD (name) WAS BORN, WAS HE/SHE VERY LARGE, LARGER THAN average, average, smaller than average, OR VERY SMALL? |  |  |
| MN10. WAS (name) WEIGHED AT BIRTH? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................................................... 8 No | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \leftrightharpoons \mathrm{MN} 12 \\ & 8 \leftrightarrows \mathrm{MN} 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| MN11. How MUCH DID (name) WEIGH? Record weight from health card, if available. | From card ............ 1 (kilograms) $\quad$ •——— From recall.......... 2 (kilograms) $\_$- - —— DK.............................................. 99998 |  |
| MN12. DID YOU EVER BREASTFEED (name)? |  | $2 \Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE |
| MN13. How LONG AFTER BIRTH DID YOU FIRST PUT (name) TO THE BREAST? <br> If less than 1 hour, record '00' hours. <br> If less than 24 hours, record hours. <br> Otherwise, record days. | Immediately ............................................ 000 Hours ................................................. $1--$ or Days ................................................. $2--$ Don't know/remember ........................... 998 |  |


| CONTRACEPTION AND UNMET NEED CP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To be administered to all eligible women who are currently married age 15-49 |  |  |
| CP1. I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT ANOTHER SUBJECT - FAMILY PLANNING - AND YOUR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH. <br> Are you pregnant now? | Yes, currently pregnant $\qquad$ <br> No $\qquad$ <br> Unsure or DK. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \leftrightharpoons C P 2 \\ & 8 \Rightarrow C P 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| CP1A. AT THE TIME YOU BECAME PREGNANT DID YOU WANT TO BECOME PREGNANT THEN, DID YOU WANT TO WAIT UNTIL LATER, OR DID YOU NOT WANT TO HAVE ANY MORE CHILDREN? |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \Rightarrow C P 4 B \\ & 2 \Rightarrow C P 4 B \\ & 3 \Rightarrow C P 4 B \end{aligned}$ |
| CP2. SOME PEOPLE USE VARIOUS WAYS OR METHODS TO DELAY OR AVOID A PREGNANCY. Are you currently doing something or USING ANY METHOD TO DELAY OR AVOID GETTING PREGNANT? | $\qquad$ | 2¢CP4A |
| CP3. WHICH METHOD ARE YOU USING? <br> Do not prompt. <br> If more than one method is mentioned, circle each one. | Female sterilization <br> Male sterilization <br> Pill. <br> IUD <br> Injections <br> Implants <br> Condom <br> Female condom <br> Diaphragm <br> Foam/jelly <br> Lactational amenorrhoea <br> method (LAM) <br> Periodic abstinence <br> Withdrawal. <br> Other (specify) $\qquad$ X |  |
| CP3A. FROM WHERE DID YOU GET THE CURRENT FAMILY PLANNING METHOD LAST TIME? <br> If the currently used method is continued breastfeeding, withdrawal, abstinence or others, ask as follows; <br> WHO INSTRUCTED THE METHOD FIRST TIME? | Public sector <br> Govt. hospital. <br> Govt. health centre $\qquad$ <br> Maternal and child care unit/health unit. 13 <br> Other public (specify) $\qquad$ 16 <br> Private medical sector <br> Private hospital/clinic $\qquad$ <br> Private physician $\qquad$ <br> Health worker. $\qquad$ <br> Other private medical (specify) $\qquad$ 26 |  |



| HIV/AIDS MODULE |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA1. Now I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT SOMETHING ELSE. <br> Have you ever heard of the virus Hiv or AN ILLNESS Called AIDS? | Yes ................................................................................................................................................... No | $2 \Rightarrow$ NEXT MODULE |
| HA3. CAN PEOPLE GET INFECTED WITH THE AIDS VIRUS BECAUSE OF WITCHCRAFT OR OTHER SUPERNATURAL MEANS? |  |  |
| HA4. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF GETting the AIDS virus by using a CONDOM EVERY TIME THEY HAVE SEX? | Yes .................................................................................................................................................................................................................................. |  |
| HA5. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS FROM MOSQUITO BITES? |  |  |
| HA6. CAN PEOPLE REDUCE THEIR CHANCE OF GETting infected with the AIDS virus by NOT HAVING SEX AT ALL? |  |  |
| HA7. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS BY SHARING FOOD WITH A PERSON WHO HAS AIDS? |  |  |
| HA7A. CAN PEOPLE GET THE AIDS VIRUS BY GETTING INJECTIONS WITH A NEEDLE THAT WAS ALREADY USED BY SOMEONE ELSE? |  |  |
| HA8. IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A HEALTHY-LOOKING PERSON to have the AIDS virus? |  |  |
| HA9. CAN THE AIDS VIRUS BE TRANSMITTED FROM A MOTHER TO A BABY? <br> HA9A. During pregnancy? <br> HA9b. DURING DELIVERY? <br> HA9c. BY BREASTFEEDING? |  Yes No DK <br> During pregnancy .................... 1 2 8  <br> During delivery........................ 1 2 8  <br> By breastfeeding ................ 2 8  |  |
| HA10. IF A FEMALE TEACHER HAS THE AIDS VIRUS bUT IS NOT SICK, ShOULD SHE BE ALLOWED TO CONTINUE TEACHING IN SCHOOL? |  |  |
| HA11. WOULD YOU BUY FRESH VEGETABLES FROM a Shopkeeper or vendor if you knew that THIS PERSON HAD THE AIDS VIRUS? |  |  |
| HA12. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME infected with the Aids virus, would you WANT IT TO REMAIN A SECRET? |  |  |
| HA13. IF A MEMBER OF YOUR FAMILY BECAME SICK WITH THE AIDS VIRUS, wOULD YOU be WILLING TO CARE FOR HIM OR HER IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD? |  |  |
| HA14. Check MN5: Tested for HIV during antenatal <br> $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ Go to HA18A <br> $\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ Continue with HA15 |  |  |
| HA15. I DO NOT WANT TO KNOW THE RESULTS, but have you ever been tested to see if you have hiv, the virus that causes AIDS? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No .................................................................... 2 | $2 ¢ \mathrm{HA} 18$ |
| HA16. I DO NOT WANT YOU TO TELL ME THE results of the test, but have you been TOLD THE RESULTS? | Yes ............................................................................................................................... No |  |
| HA17. DID You, Yourself, ASK FOR THE TEST, | Asked for the test .................................. 1 |  |


| WAS IT OFFERED TO YOU AND YOU ACCEPTED, OR WAS IT REQUIRED? | Offered and accepted $\qquad$ <br> Required $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HA18. At THIS TIME, DO YOU KNOW OF A PLACE WHERE YOU CAN GO TO GET SUCH A TEST TO SEE IF YOU HAVE THE AIDS VIRUS? | Yes ............................................................. 1 No .................................................................... 2 |  |
| HA18A. IF YOU HAVE BEEN TESTED FOR HIV DURING PREGNANCY, DO YOU KNOW A PLACE OTHER THAN MATERNAL CARE CLINIC WHERE YOU CAN GO TO GET A TEST FOR HIV? |  |  |
| HA19. <br> Check column (HL8) in Household Questionnaire <br> $\square$ Women either a mother or a caretaker for a child/ children under 5 <br> $\square$ Women not mother nor caretaker for child/childre | hildren under 5 (living with her) $\Rightarrow$ Go to questionn under $5 \Rightarrow$ Continue with HA19A | ire for |
| HA19A. <br> Check column (HL6) in Household Questionnaire <br> $\square$ There are other eligible women in the household $\leftrightharpoons$ <br> $\square$ There is no eligible women in the household $\Rightarrow$ Fin | Complete questionnaire for individual women sh interview |  |

Follow instructions in your Interviewer’s Manual.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All HIV data is based on a sample of ever-married women only.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The residents of the Yemeni islands and the nomadic population are excluded from the survey coverage.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The model MICS3 questionnaire can be found at www.childinfo.org, or in UNICEF, 2006.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Unless otherwise stated, "education" refers to educational level attended by the respondent throughout this report when it is used as a background variable.
    ${ }^{5}$ Principal components analysis was performed by using information on the ownership of household goods and amenities (assets) to assign weights to each household asset, and obtain wealth scores for each household in the sample (The assets used in these calculations were as follows: Electricity, A radio, A television, A mobile telephone, A non-mobile telephone, A refrigerator, a satellite dish, A bicycle, A motorcycle or scooter, An animal-drawn cart, A car or truck, A boat with a motor, agricultural land, a workshop/factory, real estate/land, and a shop orcompany. Each household was then weighted by the number of household members, and the household population was divided into five groups of equal size, from the poorest quintile to the richest quintile, based on the wealth scores of households they were living in. The wealth index is assumed to capture the underlying long-term wealth through information on the household assets, and is intended to produce a ranking of households by wealth, from poorest to richest. The wealth index does not provide information on absolute poverty, current income or expenditure levels, and the wealth scores calculated are applicable for only the particular data set they are based on. Further information on the construction of the wealth index can be found in Rutstein and Johnson, 2004, and Filmer and Pritchett, 2001.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Non standard curriculum includes courses primarily run by non governmental organisations such as literacy classes and may include education that has been received overseas.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ For a detailed description of the methodology, see Boerma, Weinstein, Rutstein and Sommerfelt, 1996.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ The Pentavalent vaccine is a combined vaccine which protects against diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, Hepatitis B and Haemophilus Influenzae Type b (Hib).

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Appropriate water treatment method includes boiling water, adding bleach or chlorine, or using a water filter.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ Unmet need measurement in MICS is somewhat different than that used in other household surveys, such as the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). In DHS, more detailed information is collected on additional variables, such as postpartum amenorrhoea, and sexual activity. Results from the two types of surveys are strictly not comparable.

[^9]:    ${ }^{11}$ The MDG indicator measures 'all' women age 15-24 therefore this indicator cannot be calculated from the Yemen ever-married women sample.

[^10]:    ${ }^{12}$ The MDG indicators on HIV are based on all women which cannot be calculated from the Yemen MICS which was an ever-married sample.
    ${ }^{13}$ The third main way of preventing HIV transmission 'having only one faithful uninfected partner' was deemed culturally inappropriate to be asked to women in Yemen. Therefore the MDG indicator 19B and MICS indicator 82 and also comprehensive knowledge indicators cannot be constructed from the survey data.

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ The disaggregation by background characteristics is not shown in table HA. 6 due to the national figure being based on a small number of unweighted cases.

[^12]:    * MICS indicator 51

    Includes 1 child with missing information on mother's education who are not shown separately.
    Figures in parenthesis are based on less than 50 unweighted cases

[^13]:    ${ }^{15}$ The MDG Indicator measures all women all 15-24 therefore this indicator cannot be calculated from the Yemen evermarried women sample.

[^14]:    * MICS
    indicator
    74

[^15]:    * MICS indicator 67
    ** MICS indicator 68
    *** MICS indicator 70

[^16]:    * See instructions: to be used only for elderly household members (code meaning "do not know/over age 50").

[^17]:    IM20. Does another eligible child reside in the household for whom this respondent is mother/caretaker? Check household listing, column HL8.
    $\square$ Yes. $\Rightarrow$ End the current questionnaire and then
    Go to QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CHILDREN UNDER FIVE to administer the questionnaire for the next eligible child.
    $\square$ No. $\Rightarrow$ End the interview with this respondent by thanking him/her for his/her cooperation.
    If this is the last eligible child in the household, go on to ANTHROPOMETRY MODULE.

